# CHAPTER III 

## RESULTS

## Physicochemical properties <br> Membranes characteristics

Crosslinked chitosan - polyvinyl alcohol membranes

The characteristics of membrane prepared from casting solution technique were yellow, transparent, glossy, integrity, easy to prepare and peel off from the glass petri dish. All obtained membrane formulations resulted in flexible membranes. Therefore the using of plasticizer was not necessary. Some characteristics of crosslinked chitosan - PVA membranes were shown in Tables 11 to 13.

Higher amount of chitosan produced dark yellowish membrane. Increasing the concentration of crosslinking agent led to yellower membrane especially in the high ratio of chitosan formulations. All obtained membranes of $1: 4$ and $1: 9$ crosslinked chitosan: PVA were clear, glossy and yellowish.

With the same molecular weight of PVA, high ratio of PVA tended to produce flexible membrane which was easy to peel off from the glass petiri dish. Moreover, increasing the degree of crosslinking caused

Table 11. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - PVA 30,000 Membranes

| Formulations | yellow <br> color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to <br> peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPL $_{4}$ | +++ | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{5}$ | +++ | +++ | + | + | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{9}$ | +++ | +++ | + | ++ | + | + |
| CPL $_{10}$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + |
| CPL $_{14}$ | + | + | + | + | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{15}$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + |
| CPL $_{19}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{20}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{24}$ | + | ++ | + | + | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{25}$ | +++ | +++ | + | + | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{29}$ | + | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{30}$ | ++ | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{34}$ | + | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{35}$ | + | +++ | + | ++ | + | +++ |
| CPL $_{39}$ | + | +++ | + | +++ | + | +++ |
| CPL $_{40}$ | + | +++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |
| CPL $_{44}$ | + | +++ | + | +++ | + | +++ |
| CPL $_{45}$ | + | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |

The symbols of $(+)$ and $(-)$ showed the appearance and no appearance, respectively. The number of the symbol of $(+)$ showed a degree of the appearance.

Table 12. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - PVA 70,000 Membranes

| Formulations | yellow color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{4}$ | ++ | +1+ | + | + | $\div$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{3}$ | +++ | + +1 | $\pm$ | + | $\div$ | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{9}$ | ++ | + | - | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{10}$ | ++++ | ++1+ | $\pm$ | + | + | $\div+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{14}$ | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{15}$ | ++ | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{19}$ | ++ | + | $+$ | + | $\dagger$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{20}$ | $+$ | + | + | $+$ | + | + + |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{24}$ | ++ |  | + | $+$ | $+$ | $++$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{25}$ | +++ |  | + | + | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{29}$ | $+$ |  | + | $+$ | + | +++ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | + |  | + | $+$ | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{34}$ | + | $+$ | $+$ | $++$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | $+$ | $++$ | + | $+$ | + | +++ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{39}$ | + | +1+ | + | +++ | $\div$ | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | $\pm$ | +1 | $+$ | + + | $\dagger$ | +++ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{44}$ |  | +H | + | +++ | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{4}$ | + | +1+ | + | $\underline{++}$ | + | + + |

## สถาบันวิทยบริการ



Table 13. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - PVA 100,000 Membranes

| Formulations | yellow <br> color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to <br> peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{4}$ | +++ | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{5}$ | +++ | ++ | + | + | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{9}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{10}$ | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{14}$ | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{15}$ | +++ | + | + | + | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{19}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{20}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{24}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{25}$ | ++ | + | + | + | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{29}$ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{30}$ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{34}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | +++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{35}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{39}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{44}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{45}$ | + | ++ | + | +++ | + | ++ |

## สถาบันวิทยบริการ

stronger membrane. However higher concentrations of crosslinking agent could not be incorporated because the obtained membranes became too brittle.

Varying the molecular weight of PVA showed some effect on membrane characteristics. The membranes prepared form crosslinked chitosan-PVA 70,000 and 100,000 formulations were stronger than the membranes prepared from crosslinked chitosan-PVA 30,000 formulations.

Some problems occurred during the preparation of casting solution of crosslinked chitosan-PVA 70,000 and 100,000 membrane formulations. PVA powder tended to aggregation, therefore the time for preparing clear solution was quite long. Increasing temperature and speed of agitating could solve this problem but the forming of air bubbles was to be beware.

The viscosity of the casting solution was interesting because it affected filtration and disappearance of air bubbles. The high concentration of chitosan and crosslinking agent and the use of high molecular weight of PVA produced solution of high viscosity. Therefore the filtration of casting solution and the disappearance of air bubbles were difficult.

Crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes.

The casting solutions prepared in this experiment were yellow opaque viscous colloidal mixture. The resultant membranes were less transparent and glossy than the membranes prepared form crosslinked chitosan - PVA membranes. The transparency of prepared crosslinked chitosan-starch membranes could be ranked in the following manner : crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch membranes < crosslinked chitosan corn starch membranes < crosslinked chitosan - potato starch membranes. The effect of degree of chitosan and crosslinked on the color of membrane was the same as previously noted. In the preparing of crosslinked chitosan - starch casting solutions, only 0.4 g of glutaraldehyde solution $(5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ of total polymer) was used as the highest concentration of crosslinking agent while the preparing of chitosan - PVA casting solutions, the highest concentration of crosslinking solution was $0.8 \mathrm{~g}(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ of total polymer). Higher amount of glutaraldehyde solution led to gelatinous substance. In crosslinked chitosan - starch membrane formulation, ratio of chitosan : starch $1: 9,1: 4$ and $3: 7$ could not produced integrity membranes because the films tightly attached with the glass petri dish.


Besides, plasticized membranes were easier to peel off than unplasticized membranes. For all crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes, increasing the ratio of starch underwent to the brittle membranes.

The same as in crosslinked chitosan - PVA membranes, increasing the amount of crosslinking agent tended to stronger crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes. Some characteristics of crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes were demonstrated in Tables 14 to 16.

Table 14. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - Corn Starch Membranes

| Formulations | yellow color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{7}$ | +++ | + | - | + | $+$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{8}$ | + ++ | $+$ | $\div$ | + | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ | ++++ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | $+$ |  | $+$ | ++ | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{23}$ | +i+ | + | $+$ | + | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{24}$ | ++++ | + | + | ++ | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{31}$ | $\pm$ | $+$ | $\square$ | (2) | $\div$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{32}$ | $+$ | ++ | $\div$ | ) | $\dagger$ | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{39}$ | ++ | + | $\div$ |  | + | - |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{40}$ | + | ++ | + | + | $\div$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{47}$ | ++ | + + | + | - | ${ }_{-}^{+}$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{48}$ | 4 | + | +oba | \% + | $\sim+$ | - |

## จุหาลงกรณมหาวทยาลย

Table 15. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - Potato Starch Membranes

| Fornulations | yellow color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to <br> peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ | + + + | $++$ | - | $+$ | $\div$ | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | ++++ | +++ | - | $\div$ | $\div$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ | + + + + | +++ | $+$ | + | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | $++++$ | $++$ | $+$ | $+$ | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{23}$ | + + + | + | + | + | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{24}$ | + + | $+$ | + | + | $\dagger$ | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{31}$ | +++ | $+$ | + | - | $\div$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{32}$ | +++ |  | $+$ | + | $\div$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{39}$ | + | ++ | $\div$ | - | $\div$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{40}$ | + |  | $+$ | + | $\div$ | - |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{47}$ | ++ | $+$ | + | - | + | - |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{48}$ | + | ++ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | - |

Table 16. Some Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan - Tapioca Starch Membranes

| Formulations | yellow <br> color | transparency | glossy | flexibility | integrity | easy to <br> peel off |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{7}$ | ++++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{8}$ | ++++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{13}$ | +++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{16}$ | +++ | + | + | + |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | +++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{2+}$ | ++ | + | + | ++ | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{31}$ | +++ | + | + | ++ | + | - |
| $\mathrm{CI}_{32}$ | ++ | + | + | ++ | - | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{39}$ | ++ | + | + | - | + | - |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{40}$ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | ++ | + | + | - | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + |



Figure 7. An integrity membrane


สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## Water sorption

Selected crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes which showed good characteristics ; favorable color, glossy, integrity, flexibility and ease to isolate from the glass petri dish, were further studied. After exposed to the water, these membranes expanded. Moreover, some membrane formulations, especially crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes, broke and could mot be evaluated. The results of water sorption were presented in Table 17 and Figure 8.

The results indicated that, the variation of molecular weight of PVA did not affect the water absorption of membranes. But ratio of blending polymer was the important factor to this phenomenon. In the ratio of chitosan : PVA $1: 4\left(\mathrm{CPL}_{40}, \mathrm{CPM}_{40}, \mathrm{CPH}_{40}\right)$ the degree of absorption was low.

For crosslinked chitosan - starch membrane, plasticized membrane showed lower absorption than unplasticized membrane. The type of starch affected on percent water sorption and the strength of membrane after the membrane contacted to water. Crosslinked chitosan potato starch gave higher water sorption than the other crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes ( $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ comparison with $\mathrm{CC}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ comparison with $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ ). The effect of crosslinking agent on degree of water absorption of membrane could be summarized that, increasing the amount of crosslinking agent led to decreasing of water sorption.

Table 17. Percent Water Sorption of Various Crosslinked Chitosan : Polymer Membranes

| Type of membranes | Formulations | \% Water sorption $(\div S D)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CPL}_{40} \\ & \mathrm{CPL}_{43} \\ & \mathrm{CPL}_{44} \\ & \mathrm{CPL}_{4 j} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.5642(2.67) \\ & 48.5724(2.65) \\ & 45.1753(3.94) \\ & 35.2751(1.28) \end{aligned}$ |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 | $\mathrm{CPM}_{29}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{34}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{39}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ <br> CPM 4 <br> $\mathrm{CPM}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.7034(2.21) \\ & 26.2516(2.16) \\ & 56.9940(1.98) \\ & 32.3925(2.67) \\ & 50.2288(1.90) \\ & 27.6199(3.60) \\ & 64.7493(7.84) \\ & 41.6579(7.28) \end{aligned}$ |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 100,000 | $\mathrm{CPH}_{33}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{34}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{38}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{39}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{44}$ <br> $\mathrm{CPH}_{45}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67.8009(2.68) \\ & 56.4005(0.29) \\ & 69.6616(4.44) \\ & 52.7221(1.05) \\ & 31.2630(1.61) \\ & 62.2707(2.23) \\ & 49.8981(4.16) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| crosslinked chitosan - com starch | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{CC}_{7} \\ \mathrm{CC}_{8} \\ \mathrm{CC}_{15} \\ \mathrm{CC}_{16} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.9345(2.39) \\ & 39.3323(1.22) \\ & 44.5650(1.54) \\ & 36.0886(0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| crosslinked chitosan - potato starch 9 | $\mathrm{CP}_{7} \mid \mathrm{C}$ <br> $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ <br> $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ <br> $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.3505(3.05) \\ & 37.6398(1.15) \\ & 45.9382(0.55) \\ & 36.9409(1.92) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CT}_{47} \\ & \mathrm{CT}_{48} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 27.7284(2.02) \\ & 26.1743(2.06) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |



Figure 8. Percent water sorption of various crosslinked chitosan-polymer membranes


Figure 8. (cont.) Percent water sorption of various crosslinked chitosan-
polymer membranes


Figure 8. (cont.) Percent water sorption of various crosslinked chitosan-
polymer membranes
 important factor to mechanical properties of membranes whereas the chitosan - starch membranes, the results indicated that, the plasticizer was PVA membranes as well as percent elongation at break. For crosslinked
membranes showed lower tensile strength than the crosslinked chitosan starch membranes, ingenerally the resulted crosslinked chitosan - starch



 ultimate tensile strength and elongation at break. The difference of percent same molecular weight of PVA, the increasing amount of PVA led to high percent elongation at break. In the formulation which composed of the weight of PVA underwent to increasing ultimate tensile strength and
 $\square$
were presented in Table 18 and Figures 9 and 10.
data including ultimate tensile strength and percent elongation at break


Ultimate tensile strength and percent elongation at break.
sә!цадолд ןвग!ивчэәј

|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 苋 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\beta}{-\infty} \underset{\sim}{\Omega}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 北示 g oririu Nたたか oo |  |  |  |  |



Figure 9. Ultimate tensile strength of various crosslinked chitosan-polymer membranes


Figure 9. (cont.) Ultimate tensile strength of various crosslinked chitosanpolymer membranes


Figure 10. Percent elongation at break of various crosstinked chitosanpolymer membranes


Figure 10. (cont.) Percent efongation at break of various crosslinked chitosan-polymer membranes
plasticized crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes had higher ultimate tensile strength than unplasticized crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes.

Considering the effect of crosslinking agent on mechanical properties of membrane, high concentration of crosslinking agent led to high ultimate tensile strength. The result was be obvious in crosslinked chitosan - PVA membranes.

## Stability of membranes

The crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes which had appropriate water sorption (not more than 50\%) and a good mechanical properties (tensile strength not less than 1) were selected for stability test. After membranes were kept in controlled condition for one week, they were evaluated for their physical characteristics, water sorption and mechanical properties. The results were exhibited in Tables 19 to 21 and Figures 11 to 13.

## สถาบนวิทยบริการ

For physical characteristics, most test crosslinked chitosan polymer membranes were yellow color, transparence, integrity, glossy and flexible. In the formulations which composed of high concentration of chitosan, the membrane color was rather deep yellow. Considering water absorption data, the degree of water sorption of crosslinked chitosan polymer membranes was closed the crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes before stability test. For mechanical properties, most

Table 19. Some Physical Characteristics of Crosslinked Chitosan -
Polymer Membranes after Stability Test

| Formulation | Yellow color | Transparency | Glossy | Flexibility | Integrity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | + | $+$ | + | $\dagger+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{4}$ | + |  | + | $\div$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{4}$ | + | $\dagger$ | $+$ | $\because$ | $\dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | + | + | + | $+\div$ | $\dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $\div$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | 4 | $\dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{39}$ | + | + | $\div$ | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | + | + | + | + | + |
| CPM ${ }_{4}$ | 4 | + | $+$ | - | $\dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | + | + | + | 4 | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{4}$ | + | - | + | +- + | $\dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{7}$ | $++$ | - | + | + | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{8}$ | ++++ | $+$ | + | + | $\div$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ | $+++$ | + | + | + | + |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | ++++ | $\div$ | + | - | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ | ++++ | $\pm$ | + | $+$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | $+++$ | + | $+$ | 4 | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ | ++t+ | + | + | $\div$ | + |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | +1+ | + | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | + + | + | $+$ | - | + |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | + + | $+$ | + | $\div$ | $\dagger$ |

สถาบนวิทยบริการ

Table 20. Percent Water Sorption of Various Crosslinked Chitosan Polyiner Membranes after Stability Test

| Type of membranes | Formulations | \% Water sorption $(\div S D)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 | $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | 31.5355 (2.03) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPL}_{43}$ | 49.9026 (2.06) |
|  | CPL ${ }_{44}$ | 39.2144 (2.17) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPL}_{4}$ | 35.8259 (0.69) |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 | $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | 22.5271 (0.83) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | 28.6738 (2.87) |
|  | CPM ${ }^{9} 9$ | 42.8608 (2.38) |
|  | CPM 40 | 25.8976 (3.08) |
|  | CPM 45 | 34.9327 (2.44) |
| crosslinked chitosan - PVA 100,000 | $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | 29.5020 (1.42) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPH}_{4}$ | 49.3047 (1.71) |
| crosslinked chitosan - corn starch | $\mathrm{CC}_{7}$ | 44.5830 (2.11) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{8}$ | 34.3494 (1.32) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ | 39.1048 (0.45) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | 30.8353 (1.08) |
| crosslinked chitosan - potato starch | $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ | 42.5924 (0.48) |
|  | $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | 35.0652 (2.20) |
|  | $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ | 43.7023 (0.31) |
|  | $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | 37.3742 (3.16) |
| crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch | $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | 24.2228 (2.22) |
|  | $\mathrm{CI}_{48}$ | $23.0191(0.86)$ |

จุหาลงกรณโมหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 1 1. Percent water sorption of various crosslinked chitosan-polymer membranes after stability test


Figure 11. (cont.) Percent water sorption of various crosslinked chitosan-
polymer membranes after stability test

Table 21. Ultinate Tensile Strength and Percent Elongation at Break of Various Crosslinked Chitosan - Polymer Membranes after Stability Test

| Type of membranes | Formulations | Ulimate tensile strength $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ $( \pm$ SD) | \% Elongation at break ( + SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crosslinked chitosan PVA 30,000 | CPL40 | 1.4065 (0.11) | 13.33 (5.77) |
|  | CPL ${ }_{3}$ | 2.6370 (0.03) | 40.00 (8.66) |
|  | CPL | 2.6226 (0.27) | 36.67 (5.77) |
|  | CPL ${ }_{4}$ | $3.1605(0.16)$ | 33.33 (7.63) |
| crosslinked chitosan PVA 70,000 | $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | 1.2129 (0.54) | 23.33 (5.77) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | 1.5736 (0.11) | 20.00 (5.00) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPM}_{39}$ | 2.2680 (0.24) | 43.33 (7.64) |
|  | CPM ${ }_{4}$ | 2.8393 (0.32) | 36.67 (2.89) |
|  | $\mathrm{CPM}_{15}$ | $4.3036(0.08)$ | 36.67 (2.89) |
| crosslinked chitosan - <br> PVA 100,000 | CPH ${ }_{40}$ | 4.0838 (0.07) | 35.00 (5.00) |
|  | CPH4: | 4.8360 (0.41) | 31.67 (2.89) |
| crosslinked chitosan corn starch | $\mathrm{CC}_{7}$ | 0.7157 (0.04) | 6.67 (2.89) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{8}$ | 1.4786 (0.20) | 16.67 (2.89) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ | 0.6717 (0.11) | 10.00 (1.73) |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | $1.1954(0.20)$ | 11.67 (2.89) |
| crosslinked chitosan potato starch | $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ | 0.8240 (0.24) | 15.00 (5.00) |
|  | ${ }^{-\mathrm{CP}_{8}}$ | 1.5381 (0.06) | 16.67 (2.89) |
|  | $19 \mathrm{CP}_{15} /$ | $0.6282(0.26)$ | $13.33(2.89)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | $1.2015(0.12)$ | 16.67 (2.89) |
| crosslinked chitosan tapioca starch | $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | 1.3696 (0.07) | 13.33 (5.77) |
|  | $\mathrm{Cl}_{48}$ | $1.8161(0.26)$ | 23.33 (7.64) |



Figure 12.Ultimate tensile strength of various crosslinked chitosanpolymer membranes after stability test



Figure 13. Percent elongation al break of various crosslinked chitosanpolymer membranes after stability test


Figure 13. (cont.) Percent elongation at break of various crosslinked chitosan-polymer membranes ạter stability test
membranes were rather soft which could observe from the slight increasing of percent elongation at break.

From data noted above it was concluded that, the prepared crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes were rather stable in test condition.

For overall studies, it was found that the water sorption ability of crosslinked chitosan - polymer membrane was the critical factor for this in vitro - permeation study. Then the membranes which exhibited low water sorption were the good candidate to act as rate - controlling membrane in transdermal patch because high water sorption ability of membrane might be led to breakable membrane between in vitro permeation study. Therefore, 11 membrane formulations including $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}, \mathrm{CPL}_{45}, \mathrm{CPM}_{30}, \mathrm{CPM}_{35}, \mathrm{CPM}_{40}, \mathrm{CPH}_{40}, \mathrm{CC}_{16}, \mathrm{CT}_{47}, \mathrm{CT}_{48}, \mathrm{CP}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ were selected. Moreover, these selected crosslinked chitosan polymer membranes were also rather good mechanical properties and stability.

## Surface morphology

The surface morphology of crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes for isosorbide dinitrate transdermal patch, were manifested at 350 and 750 times. All photomicrographs were shown in Figures 14 to 23.


Figure 14. Surface photomicrographs of crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 membrane at magnification of 350 x and 750 x (CPL 40 ; chitosan : PVA $1: 4$ )


Figure 15. Surface photomicrographs of crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 membrane at magnification of 350 x and 750 x (CPL ${ }_{45}$; chitosan : PVA $1: 9$ )


Figure 16. Surface photomicrographs of crosslinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 membrane at magnification of 350 x and 750 x ( $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$; chitosan : PVA $2: 3$ )


Figure 17. Surface photomicrographs of crosslinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 membrane at magnification of 350 x and 750 x (CPM 35 ; chitosan : PVA 3 : 7)


Figure 18. Surface photomicrographs of crossiinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 membrane at magnification of 750 x (CPM ${ }_{40}$; chitosan : PVA $1: 4$ ) สถาบนวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 19. Surface photomicrographs of crosslinked chitosan - PVA 100,000 membrane at magnification of 350 x and 750 x $\left(\mathrm{CPH}_{40}\right.$; chitosan : PVA $\left.1: 4\right)$


Figure 20. Surface photomicrographs of plasticized crosslinked chitosan - corn starch membrane at magnification of 750 x ( $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$; chitosan : corn starch $4: 1$ )


Figure 21. Surface photomicrographs of plasticized crosslinked chitosan - potato starch membrane at magnification 750 x
(a) $\mathrm{CP}_{3}$; chitosan : potato starch $9: 1$ )
(b) $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$; chitosan : potato starch $4: 1$ )


Figure 22. Surface photomicrographs of plasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch membrane at magnification 750 x $\left(\mathrm{CT}_{47}\right.$, chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3$ )
 สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 23. Surface photomicrographs of plasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch membrane at magnification 350 x and $750 \times\left(\mathrm{CT}_{48}\right.$; chitosan : tapioca starch $\left.2: 3\right)$

Focused on crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 membranes, pores structure were found in their polymer networks. These uniformly dispersed in the free film. Comparison $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ membrane formulation ( 1 : 4 chitosan : PVA) with CPL ${ }_{45}$ membrane formulation ( $1: 9$ chitosan: PVA ), the porosity and pore size of $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ membrane formulation was higher and larger than those of CPL 40 . For crosslinked chitosan - PVA $70,000\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{30}, \mathrm{CPM}_{35}, \mathrm{CPM}_{40}\right)$ and $100,000\left(\mathrm{CPH}_{40}\right)$ membranes, the photomicrographs showed rather smooth surface and low porosity. From the photomicrographs, it could be concluded that, molecular weight of PVA affected the surface morphology of crosslinked chitosan - PVA membranes. Low molecular weight and high concentration of PVA led to high porosity of membrane.

Plasticized crosslinked chitosan - corn starch membrane ( $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ ) showed rough membrane surface with numerous pits. The same as plasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch membrane $\left(\mathrm{CT}_{48}\right)$, the surface characteristic was very rough irregular pit size. The surface morphology of unplasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch membrane $\left(\mathrm{CT}_{47}\right)$ was nonpitted membrane. The surface of plasticized crosslinked chitosan - potato starch membranes $\left(\mathrm{CP}_{8}, \mathrm{CP}_{16}\right)$ were also nonpitted. ${ }^{9}$ Varying concentration of potato starch in the formulation showed no effect on the surface characteristics.

## Surface area

The surface area of some crosslinked chitosan - polymer films used as controlled release membranes for isosorbide dinitrate transdermal system were measured. The data was listed in Table 22.

Table 22. Surface Area Data of Selected Crosslinked Chitosan - Polymer Membranes.

| Formulations | Surface area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | 0.38 |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | 0.66 |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | 0.22 |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | 0.39 |

The difference in surface area of these membranes was due to the different characteristics of the surface. The porous or rough membrane was likely to have higher surface area than the smooth membrane. Therefore the surface area measurement could present surface morphology of membrane and elucidate the controlled release mechanism.

## Infrared spectra

Infrared spectra of pure substances and selected crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes were exhibited in Figures 24 to 29. In general IR spectra of chitosan was divided into 3 zones; between 3600 $3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indication stretching - vibration of free O-H group (3650-3580 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), of bonding O-H group ( $3550-3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and of N-H group (3520$3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) ; between $1694-1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating stretching - vibration of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group (1694-1650 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and bending N-H group (1650-1515 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ); between $1170-1114 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ stretching (Ritthidej et al., 1994). IR spectra of all molecular weight of polyvinyl alcohol showed O-H stretching-vibration of intermolecular hydrogen bonding at $3500-$ $3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and C-H stretching-vibration at $3000-2800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In higher molecular weight of polyvinyl alcohol, the peak at $3500-3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region was longer and sharper. $\mathbb{R}$ spectra of all type of starches showed $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching - vibration at $3500-3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ too (Silverstein Bassler and Morrill, 1981).

The characteristic of pure chitosan spectrum differed from both unplasticized and plasticized crosslinked chitosan - polymer membrane spectra. The important peak in $\mathbb{R}$ spectrum of crosslinked chitosan polymer membrane was the appearing of a double peak between 1660 and $1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which was the characteristics of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ group. This result was closed to the result which observed by Thacharodi and Rao (1993). The other difference between spectrum of pure chitosan and crosslinked chitosan - polymer membrane was the disappearance of the crystallization


Figure 24.IR spectrum of chitosan
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Figure 25.IR spectra of PVA 30,000 , PVA 70,000 and PVA 100,000


Figure 26.IR spectra of corn, potato and tapioca starch


Figure 27. IR spectra of crosslinked chitosan : PVA $30,0001: 9$ (A), crosslinked chitosan : PVA 70,000 1: 9 (B) and crosslinked chitosan : PVA 100,000 1: 9 (C) membrane


Figure 28. IR spectra of crosslinked chitosan : corn starch $4: 1$ (A), plasticized crosslinked chitosan : corn starch 4 :1 (B), crosslinked chitosan : potato starch $4: 1$ (C) and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : potato starch 4 :1 (D) membrane


Figure 29. IR spectra of crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch 2 :3(A)
and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3$ (B) membrane
peak at $3451 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1091 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in both unplasticized and plasticized crosslinked blend membrane (Kim et al., 1992). In addition, the band of pure chitosan at $3600-3200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating stretchingvibration of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ group also changed and shifted to the lower frequency.

## Differential scanning calorimetry

The DSC thermograms of pure polymer substance and selected crosslinked chitosan - polymer membrane were demonstrated in Figures 30 to 36 and summarized in Table 23.

The DSC thermograms of pure polymer substance, chitosan showed melting endotherm at $138.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For PVA, high molecular weight of PVA tended to have high melting endotherm. For the melting endotherm of starch, potato starch gave highest melting point.

All investigated crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes did not show separation peak of pure polymer substance. The DSC thermograms of all membranes were different in pattern and endothermic peak temperature. In crosslinked chitosan PVA membranes, the endothermic melting temperature was rather high and characteristic of endothermic peak was sharp.

For crosslinked chitosan - starch membranes, the plasticized membranes showed lower endothermic melting point than the unplasticized membranes. However, the phenomenon of the opposition of
$\downarrow$ EXO.


Figure 30.DSC thermogram of chitosan
สุหาบันวิทยบริการ


Figure 31.DSC thermograms of PVA 30,000, PVA 70,000 and PVA 100,00


Figure 32.DSC thermograms of corn, potato and tapioca starch


Figure 33. DSC thermograms of crosslinked chitosan: PVA 30,000 1: 9
(A), crosslinked chitosan : PVA 70,000 1:9 (B) and crosslinked chitosan : PVA 100,000 1: 9 (C) membrane
(cont.)
$\downarrow$ EXO.


Figure 33. (cont.)DSC thermograms of crosslinked chitosan : PVA 30,000 1: 9 (A), crosslinked chitosan : PVA 70,000
29171:9(B) and crosslinked chitosan:PVA 100,000 1:9
(C) membrane


Figure 34. DSC thermograms of crosslinked chitosan : corn starch $4: 1$ (A) and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : corn starch 4 :1 (B) membrane
$\downarrow$ EXO.


Figure 35. DSC thermograms of crosslinked chitosan : potato starch 4 :1
(A) and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : potato starch $4: 1$
(B) membrane


Figure 36. DSC thermograms of crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3$
(A) and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3$
(B) membrane
result between plasticized and unplasticized membranes occurred in crosslinked chitosan • potato starch membrane formulations.

Table 23. DSC Peak Temperature.

| Samples | DSC peak temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| chitosan | 138.7 |
| polyvinyl alcohol molecular weight 30,000 | 157.1 |
| 70,000 | 162.1 |
| (3) 100,000 | 175.8 |
| com starch | 135.9 |
| potato starch | 146.6 |
| tapioca starch | 139.9 |
| CPL ${ }_{\text {ds }}$ membrane | 176.5 |
| CPM4s membrane | 183.2 |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{4}$ membrane | 168.6 |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{15}$ | 164.3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | (1) 155.3 |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{15}$ | 157.6 |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | 163 |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | 169.6 |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | 165.9 |

สถาบนวทยบรการ
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## Membrane thickness

The mean membrane thickness of 11 formulations used to prepare isosorbide dinitrate transdermal patch were shown in Table 24. It was varied in the range of $100 \pm 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Table 24. Thickness of Various Controlled Release Membranes


## Permeation


#### Abstract

Permeability of isosorbide dinitrate from saturated solution through shed snake skin


Cumulative amount of isosorbide dinitrate permeated through shed snake skin was exhibited in Table 25 and Figure 37.

According to the correlation coefficient of the permeation profile was 0.9860 , the permeation profile of isosorbide dinitrate was rather linear. The obtained result indicated that the permeation of isosorbide dinitrate through shed snake skin was good. Therefore the efficiency of controlled release of isosorbide dinitrate transdermal system affected from only prepared crosslinked chitosan - polymer membranes.

## Permeability of isosorbide dinitrate from transdermal

 patchIn this study, prepared isosorbide dinitrate transdermal patches were stored in the room temperature about 12 hours prior to in vitro permeation study. 6 In $/$ addition, commercial isosorbide dinitrate transdermal drug delivery system was also investigated for its permeation profile. The cumulative permeated isosorbide dinitrate through shed snake skin of various transdermal systems was shown in Table 26 and Figures 38 to 42 showed cumulative permeated drug against time.

From the result, is could be seen that $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ (crosslinked chitosan - PVA 30,000 ) and $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ (plasticized crosslinked chitosan - corn starch) gave the highest cumulative drug permeated through shed snake skin and $\mathrm{CP}_{8}, \mathrm{CP}_{16}$ (plasticized crosslinked chitosan - potato starch), $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ (unplasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch) and $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ (plasticized crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch) exhibited rather low cumulative amount of drug. For crosslinked chitosan - PVA membrane, the result of using high concentration of PVA led to increasing cumulative permeated drug. Moreover, using low molecular weight of PVA in crosslinked chitosan - PVA membrane formulations exhibited rather high cumulative amount of permeating drug. In crosslinked chitosan - tapioca starch, adding plasticizer in the formulation did not affect to the cumulative permeated drug.

Correlation coefficient and regression equation of the relationship between cumulative permeated isosorbide dinitrate versus time and the relationship between cumulative permeated isosorbide dinitrate versus square root time were shown in Tables 27 and 28. The permeation profile of cumulative permeated drug versus square root time also were showed in Figures 43 to 47. From all correlation coefficient, it could lead to the controlled release pattern. The correlation coefficient of relationship between cumulative amount of permeating drug against time was higher than the correlation coefficient of relationship between cumulative amount of permeating drug against square root time except in $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}, \mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ and $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ membranes (crosslinked chitosan - PVA 70,000 membrane formulations). The obtained result from the statistical
test indicated that, the kinetic pattern of these controlled release membrane (Table 29) was likely to be zero order except in $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ and $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ membranes which kinetic pattern might be zero order or Higuchi's model and $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ which kinetic pattern was likely to be Higuchi's model.

From preliminary study about surface morphology and the acquired data from permeation study, it might estimate that, the controlled release mechanism of these prepared membranes was likely to be pore and partition mechanism.

Table 25. Cumulative Amount of ISDN Permeated Through Shed Snake Skin



Figure 37. Cumulative amount of ISDN permeated through shed snake skin from ISDN saturated solution

Table 26. Cumulative Amount of Commercial ISDN TDDS and ISDN Transdermal Patch Which Composed of Various Crosslinked Chitosan - Polymer Membranes

|  | Cumulative drug permeated (mg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Times } \\ \text { (hrs) } \end{gathered}$ | Commercial TDDS | $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | $\mathrm{CPM}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.2853 | 0.5493 | 1.6111 | 0.5538 | 0.4166 | 0.3947 | 0.5470 | 1.4942 | 0.0399 | 0.0392 | 0 | 0.0712 |
| 2 | 0.1362 | 0.5237 | 2.6668 | 0.5597 | 1.0890 | 1.2195 | 0.2231 | 2.4849 | 0.0667 | 0.0648 | 0.0512 | 0.1003 |
| 4 | 0.5979 | 1.4333 | 3.2109 | 1.2441 | 1.2198 | 1.8763 | 0.4010 | 2.4637 | 0.1455 | 0.1856 | 0.1209 | 0.1086 |
| 6 | 1.7549 | 2.4161 | 4.4653 | 1.4999 | 1.6152 | 2.9691 | 1.0275 | 3.4158 | 0.2066 | 0.2501 | 0.2098 | 0.1414 |
| 8 | 2.2148 | 2.5768 | 5.4233 | 1.9664 | 2.3291 | 2.9325 | 1.3004 | 4.7031 | 0.2935 | 0.3678 | 0.2491 | 0.2219 |
| 12 | 6.9551 | 2.9211 | 5.8514 | 2.1854 | 2.4316 | 3.5226 | 2.3205 | 6.3389 | 0.4544 | 0.4928 | 0.4176 | 0.3949 |
| 16 | 7.8848 | 3.1855 | 9.3002 | 2.8397 | 2.7224 | 3.7560 | 3.5575 | 10.9499 | 0.6128 | 0.7918 | 0.6077 | 0.6702 |
| 20 | 11.0311 | 5.3610 | 13.5745 | 3.3035 | 3.3829 | 4.5531 | 4.09941 | 13.1065 | 0.7570 | 1.0108 | 0.7902 | 0.7622 |
| 24 | 11.3580 | 7.2005 | 14.5670 | 3.4508 | 4.1028 | 5.3673 | 7.7700 | 14.7160 | 0.9064 | 1.1826 | 0.9648 | 0.8969 |
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Figure 38. Cumulative drug permeated profile of commercial TDDS


Figure 39. The permeation profiles of ISDN transdermal patch using crosslinked chitosan: PVA 30,000 1:4 (CPL 40 ), $1: 9$ (CPL 45 ) and crosslinked chitosan : PVA 100,000 1:4 $\left(\mathrm{CPH}_{40}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane


- Figure 40. The permeation profiles of ISDN transdermal patch using crosslinked chitosan : PVA 70,000 $2: 3\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{30}\right), 3: 7$ $\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{35}\right)$ and $1: 4\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{40}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane


Figure 41. The permeation profile of ISDN transdermal patch using plasticized crosslinked chitosan : com starch $4: 1\left(\mathrm{CC}_{16}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane


Figure 42. The permeation profile of ISDN transdermal patch using plasticized crosslinked chitosan : potato starch $9: 1\left(\mathrm{CP}_{8}\right)$, 4:1 ( $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ ), unplasticized crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3\left(\mathrm{CT}_{47}\right)$ and plasticized crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch $2: 3\left(\mathrm{CT}_{48}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane

Table 27. Correlation Coefficient and Regression Equation of the Relationship Between Cumulative Permeated Isosorbide Dinitrate Against time

| Formulations | Correlation coefficient | Regression Equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial TDDS | 0.9633 | $y=0.5556 x-1.0498$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | 0.9291 | $y=0.2576 x+0.2452$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | 0.9655 | $y=0.5656 x+0.8967$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | 0.9616 | $y=0.1306 x+0.6059$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | 0.9545 | $y=0.1414 x+0.6059$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | 0.9128 | $y=0.1849 x+1.0444$ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | 0.9021 | $y=0.2852 x-0.5861$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | 0.9765 | $y=0.6052 x+0.3764$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | 0.9994 | $y=0.0383 x-0.0083$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | 0.9938 | $y=0.0509 x-0.0398$ |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | 0.9968 | $y=0.0416 x-0.0505$ |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | 0.9730 | $y=0.0387-0.0261$ |
| ษนวิตยปริการ |  |  |



Figure 43. Cumulative drug permeated profile of commercial TDDS


Square root time(hrs)
Figure 44. The permeation profiles of ISDN transdermal patch using crosslinked chitosan: PVA 30,000 1:4 (CPL ${ }_{40}$ ), 1:9 (CPL $\mathrm{is}_{5}$ ) and crosslinked chitosan: PVA. 100,000 1:4 $\left(\mathrm{CPH}_{40}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane


Figure 45. The permeation profiles of ISDN transdermal patch using crosslinked chitosan : PVA 70,000 $2: 3\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{30}\right), 3: 7$ $\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{35}\right)$ and $1: 4\left(\mathrm{CPM}_{40}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane
Cumulative drug permeated
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Figure 46. The permeation profile of ISDN transdermal patch using plasticized crosslinked chitosan : com starch $4: 1\left(\mathrm{CC}_{16}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane


Figure 47. The permeation profile of ISDN transdermal patch using plasticized crosslinked chitosan : potato starch $9: 1\left(\mathrm{CP}_{8}\right)$, $4: 1\left(\mathrm{CP}_{16}\right)$, unplasticized crosslinked chitosan : tapioca starch 2:3( $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ ) and plasticized crosslinked chitosan: tapioca starch $2: 3\left(\mathrm{CT}_{48}\right)$ as rate-controlling membrane

Table 28. Correlation Coefficient and Regression Equation of the Relationship Between Cumulative Permeated Isosorbide Dinitrate Against Square Root Time

| Formulations | Correlation coefficient | Regression Equation |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Commercial TDDS | 0.9218 | $\mathrm{y}=3.2683 \mathrm{x}-4.942$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | 0.8804 | $\mathrm{y}=1.588 \mathrm{x}-1.5395$ |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | 0.9136 | $\mathrm{y}=3.3538 \mathrm{x}-3.1941$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | 0.9869 | $\mathrm{y}=0.7962 \mathrm{x}-0.3908$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | 0.9645 | $\mathrm{y}=0.8483 \mathrm{x}-0.3546$ |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{40}$ | 0.9661 | $\mathrm{y}=1.1441 \mathrm{x}-0.4176$ |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | 0.7983 | $\mathrm{y}=1.6138 \mathrm{x}-3.7548$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | 0.9147 | $\mathrm{y}=0.2260 \mathrm{x}-0.2791$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | 0.9637 | $\mathrm{y}=0.2988 \mathrm{x}-0.3947$ |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | 0.9467 | $\mathrm{y}=0.2453 \mathrm{x}-0.3459$ |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | 0.8491 | $\mathrm{y}=0.2453 \mathrm{x}-0.3459$ |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | 0.9056 | $\mathrm{y}=0.2252 \mathrm{x}-0.2893$ |

สถาบนวิทยบริการ
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Table 29. Kinetic pattern.

| Formulations | Kinetic patterm |
| :---: | :---: |
| Commercial TDDS | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{40}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CPL}_{45}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{30}$ | Zero order/ |
|  | Higuchi's model |
| $\mathrm{CPM}_{35}$ | Zero order/ |
|  | Higuchi's model |
| $\mathrm{M}_{40}$ | Higuchi's model |
| $\mathrm{CPH}_{40}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{16}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CP}_{8}$ | Zero order |
| ( $\mathrm{CP}_{16}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{47}$ | Zero order |
| $\mathrm{CT}_{48}$ | Zero order |

