

CHAPTER IV

THE OPEN MAPPING AND CLOSED GRAPH THEOREMS

Definition 4.1 X is called a Frechet space over \mathbb{H} (abbreviated by FS (\mathbb{H})) if and only if X is a separated complete paranormed space over \mathbb{H} .

Example 4.2 (1) Every Banach space over \mathbb{H} is a FS(\mathbb{H}).

(2) Let $w = \{ z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid z_n \in \mathbb{H} \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ with

$$\|z\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|z_n|}{1+|z_n|} \text{ where } z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in w. \text{ Then } (w, \|\cdot\|) \text{ is a FS}(\mathbb{H})$$

and $(w, \|\cdot\|)$ is not a Banach space over \mathbb{H} .

Proof : For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_n(z) = |z_n|$, $z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in w$.

It is clear that P_n is a paranorm on w for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.5, we have shown that $\|\cdot\|$ is a paranorm on w . It is clear that $\|\cdot\|$ is not a norm ; hence $(w, \|\cdot\|)$ is not a Banach space over \mathbb{H} . By Theorem 2.5, we have the property that for any net z in w , $z \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $P_n(z) \rightarrow 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the space of infinite

tuples of quaternions, let $T_{\|\cdot\|}$ be the paranorm topology on w and let T be the product topology for $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We must show that $T = T_{\|\cdot\|}$. Let

$z = (z^\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in w such that $z^\delta \rightarrow 0$ in $(w, T_{\|\cdot\|})$. Then

$z^\delta \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{H} so $P_n(z^\delta) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{H} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $|z_n^\delta| \rightarrow 0$ for

each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so $|z_n^\delta| \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{H} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ therefore $z = (z^\delta)_{\delta \in D} \rightarrow 0$ in

(w, T) . By Corollary 1.17, $T_{\|\cdot\|} \supseteq T$. We can prove similarly that $T \supseteq T_{\|\cdot\|}$

so $T = T$ and hence the paranorm $\|\cdot\|$ gives the product topology i.e. $(w, T, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{N}}, T)$. Since \mathbb{H} is complete, by Theorem 3.55, $\mathbb{H}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is complete so $(w, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete. Let $z_0 \in w \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\|z_0\| > 0$. Choose $U = \{z \in w \mid \|z\| < \|z_0\|/2\}$. Then U is a neighborhood of 0 in w and $z \in U$ so w is separated. Hence w is a separated paranorm space over \mathbb{H} so $(w, \|\cdot\|)$ is a FS(\mathbb{H}) which is not a Banach space over \mathbb{H} . #

Definition 4.3 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a paranormed space over \mathbb{H} . A series

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in X is said to be absolutely convergent if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| < \infty.$$

Theorem 4.4 A paranormed space over \mathbb{H} is complete if and only if every absolutely convergent series is convergent.

Proof : Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a paranormed space over \mathbb{H} .

(\Rightarrow) Assume that X is complete. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ be an absolutely convergent

series. We must show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges. Claim that $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

is Cauchy. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. We must show that there exists an

$N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \geq N$ implies that $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|$

$< \epsilon$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\|$ converges, there exists an $L \in \mathbb{R}$ and an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that $n \geq N$ implies that $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| - L \right| < \epsilon/2$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$m, n \geq N$. Suppose that $m \geq n$. Then $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^m x_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^m \|x_i\|$

$$= \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \right| = \left| \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| - L \right) + \left(L - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| - L \right| + \left| L - \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \right| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \text{ so we have the claim. Since}$$

X is complete, $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges; hence $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ converges.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that X is not complete. Let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nonconvergent

Cauchy sequence. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$m, n \geq N_k$ implies that $\|y_m - y_n\| < 2^{-k}$ and $N_{k+1} > N_k$. Let $x_k = y_{N_{k+1}}$

$- y_{N_k}$. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|y_{N_{k+1}} - y_{N_k}\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1$. By the

comparison test, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|$ converges. Since $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no convergent

subsequence, $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ does not converge. #

Definition 4.5 Let X, Y be TVS(\mathbb{H})'s. A linear map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called almost open if and only if for each $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $\overline{f(U)} \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$.

Lemma 4.6 Let X, Y be TVS(\mathbb{H})'s. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear and suppose that for each $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $\overline{f(U)}$ has nonempty interior. Then f is almost open.

Proof : Let $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$. Then there exists a $V \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ such that $V - V \subseteq U$. Let $y \in \text{Int}(\overline{f(V)})$. Since f is linear, $f(V) - f(V) = f(V - V) \subseteq f(U)$. By Theorem 3.24, $\overline{f(V)} - \overline{f(V)} \subseteq \overline{f(V) - f(V)} \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$ so $\overline{f(V)} - y = \{x - y \mid x \in \overline{f(V)}\} \subseteq \{x - z \mid x, z \in \overline{f(V)}\} = \overline{f(V)} - \overline{f(V)} \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$. Since $0 \in \text{Int}(\overline{f(V)} - y) \in \mathcal{N}(X)$, $\overline{f(U)} \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$ therefore f is almost open. #

Lemma 4.7 Let X, Y be TVS(\mathbb{H})'s and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear. Suppose

that $f(X)$ is of second category in Y . Then f is almost open.

Proof : Let $U \in N(X)$. We must show that $f(U) \in N(Y)$. Let $V = f(U)$. Claim that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{nU\}$. Let $x \in X$. Since U is absorbing, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $tx \in U$ for $|t| < \epsilon$. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n_0} < \epsilon$. Since $|\frac{1}{n_0}| < \epsilon$, $\frac{1}{n_0}x \in U$ so $x \in n_0U$ therefore $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{nU\}$ so we have the claim. Hence $f(X) = f(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{nU\}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{nf(U)\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{nV\}$. Since $f(X)$ is of second category, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Int}(\overline{mV}) \neq \emptyset$. We must show that $\text{Int}(\overline{V}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in \text{Int}(\overline{mV})$. Then there exists an open set $W \ni y$ such that $W \subseteq \overline{mV} = \overline{mf(U)}$. Claim that $W \subseteq \overline{mf(U)}$. Suppose not. Then there exists a $z \in W$ such that $z \notin \overline{mf(U)}$ so $\frac{z}{m} \notin \overline{f(U)}$. Hence there exists an open set $M \ni \frac{z}{m}$ such that $M \cap \overline{f(U)} = \emptyset$ therefore $mM \cap \overline{mf(U)} = \emptyset$. Now $mM \ni z$ and since M is open, mM is open therefore $z \in mM$ and $mM \cap \overline{mf(U)} = \emptyset$. Hence $z \notin \overline{mf(U)}$, a contradiction. So we have the claim. Since $W \ni y$ and $W \subseteq \overline{mf(U)}$, $y/m \in W/m \subseteq \overline{f(U)}$ so $\text{Int}(\overline{V}) = \text{Int}(\overline{f(U)}) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 4.6, we can conclude that f is almost open. #

Lemma 4.8 Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a FS(H) and (Y, p) is a separated paranormed space over \mathbb{H} . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear, continuous and almost open. Then f is open.

Proof : Let U be an open set in $N(X)$. We must show that $f(U) \in N(Y)$. Since $U \in N(X)$, $U \supseteq M_\epsilon = \{x \mid \|x\| < \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Let $V = M_{\epsilon/2}$. We must show that $\overline{f(V)} \subseteq f(U)$. Let $z \in \overline{f(V)}$. Since f is almost open, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{f(M_{\epsilon/2^n})} \in N(Y)$; hence there exists a $\delta'_n > 0$ such that $\overline{f(M_{\epsilon/2^n})} \supseteq \{y \in Y \mid p(y) < \delta'_n\}$. Let $\delta_n = \min\{\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_n\}$

Then $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $\overline{f(M_{\epsilon/2^n})} \supseteq \{y \in Y \mid p(y) < \delta_n\}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$B = \{y \in Y \mid p(z - y) < \delta_2\}$. Since $z \in B$, $B \neq \emptyset$ so B is a nonempty open

set. Since $z \in \overline{f(M_{\epsilon/2})}$ and $B \ni z$, $B \cap \overline{f(M_{\epsilon/2})} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x_1 \in M_{\epsilon/2}$

such that $p(z - f(x_1)) < \delta_2$. Then $z - f(x_1) \in \overline{f(M_{\epsilon/4})}$. Choose

$x_2 \in M_{\epsilon/4}$ such that $p(z - f(x_1) - f(x_2)) < \delta_3$. Repeating this argument,

we get that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $x_n \in M_{\epsilon/2^n}$ such that

$p(z - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)) < \delta_{n+1}$. Since $\|x_n\| < \epsilon/2^n$, by Theorem 4.4, $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$

converges. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous, $\|x\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| < \epsilon$; so $x \in U$.

Since $p(z - f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)) = p(z - \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)) < \delta_{n+1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

and $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is decreasing, $z = f(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i) = f(x) \in f(U)$ so $\overline{f(V)} \subseteq f(U)$.

Since $\overline{f(V)} \in N(Y)$, $f(U) \in N(Y)$ therefore f is open. #

Theorem 4.9 (Open mapping theorem)

Let X, Y be FS(\mathbb{H})'s. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear, continuous and onto.

Then f is open.

Proof : Claim that Y is of second category. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

be a sequence of closed sets in Y with empty interior.

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_n = Y \setminus A_n$. Since $\text{Int}(Y \setminus G_n) = \text{Int}(A_n) = \emptyset$, G_n is a dense open set for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We must show that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n = \emptyset$.

Let d be the metric of Y . Since G_1 is an open nonempty subset of Y there exists a $y_1 \in G_1$ and an $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that $D(y_1, \gamma_1) = \{y \mid d(y, y_1) \leq \gamma_1\} \subseteq G_1$. Since G_2 is dense in Y , $G_2 \cap D(y_1, \gamma_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Choose $y_2 \in G_2 \cap D(y_1, \gamma_1)$. Then there exists an $\gamma_2' > 0$ such that $D(y_1, \gamma_2') = \{y \mid d(y, y_2) < \gamma_2'\} = G_2 \cap D(y_1, \gamma_1)$. Let $\gamma_2 = \min\{\gamma_2', \frac{\gamma_1}{2}\}$

Then $D(y_2, \gamma_2) \subseteq G_2 \cap D(y_1, \gamma_1)$ and $0 < \gamma_2 < \frac{\gamma_1}{2}$. Continuing this method, we obtain a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $0 < \gamma_{n+1} < \gamma_n/2$ and

$D(y_{n+1}, \gamma_{n+1}) \subseteq D(y_n, \gamma_n) \cap G_n$. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $m > n$. Then

$y_m \in D(y_m, \gamma_m) \subseteq D(y_n, \gamma_n)$; so $d(y_m, y_n) \leq \gamma_n$ therefore $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

is a Cauchy sequence, Since Y is complete, $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to

a point in Y , say y . Since $y_m \in D(y_n, \gamma_n)$ for all $m > n$ and $D(y_n, \gamma_n)$

is closed in Y for each n , $y \in D(y_n, \gamma_n)$ for each n . Hence $y \in G_n$ for

all n so $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \neq Y$ so Y is of the second category.

By Lemma 4.7, f is almost open. By Lemma 4.8, f is open. #

Theorem 4.10 Let X be a FS(IH), Y a separated paranormed space over IH, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ linear and continuous. If $f(X)$ is of second category in Y then f is an open map from X onto Y .

Proof : Since f is linear and $f(X)$ is of second category in Y , by Lemma 4.7, f is almost open. By Lemma 4.8, since f is linear continuous and almost open, f is open. We must show that f is onto.

Let $y \in Y$. Since $f(X) \in N(Y)$ and Y is a TVS(\mathbb{H}), $f(X)$ is absorbing so there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $ty \in f(X)$ for $|t| < \epsilon$. Thus $(\epsilon/2)y \in f(X)$; hence $y \in \frac{2}{\epsilon} f(X) \subseteq f(X)$ so f is onto. #

Corollary 4.11 Let X, Y be Frechet spaces over \mathbb{H} and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a linear, continuous, one-to-one and onto map. Then f is a homeomorphism.

Proof : By Theorem 4.10, f is open. Then f^{-1} is continuous ; so f is homeomorphism. #

Corollary 4.12 Two comparable Frechet topologies for a vector space over \mathbb{H} must be equal.

Proof : Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{H} with two comparable Frechet topologies, say T_1 and T_2 . Suppose $T_1 \supseteq T_2$. Let $i : (X, T_1) \rightarrow (X, T_2)$ be the identity map. Then i is linear, continuous, 1-1 and onto. By Corollary 4.11, i is a homeomorphism. Let $Q \in T_1$ be open. Then $i(Q) = Q$ is open in T_2 . Hence $Q \in T_2$. Then $T_1 \subseteq T_2$. Hence $T_1 = T_2$. #

Theorem 4.13 (Closed graph Theorem).

Let X, Y be FS(\mathbb{H})'s. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map with a closed graph G . Then f is continuous.

Proof : Claim 1 $X \times Y$ is a FS(\mathbb{H})

Define $\| \cdot \| : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by $\| (x, y) \| = p_1(x) + p_2(y)$ where p_1, p_2 are the paranorms on X and Y respectively. We must show that $\| \cdot \|$ is a paranorm on $X \times Y$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then $\| -(x, y) \| = \| (-x, -y) \| = p_1(-x) + p_2(-y) = p_1(x) + p_2(y) = \| (x, y) \|$. Let $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times Y$.

Then $\|(x, y) + (u, v)\| = \|(x+u, y+v)\| = p_1(x+u) + p_2(y+v) \leq p_1(x) + p_1(u) + p_2(y) + p_2(v) = (p_1(x) + p_2(y)) + (p_1(u) + p_2(v)) = \|(x, y)\| + \|(u, v)\|$. Let (t_n) be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $t_n \rightarrow t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{H}$ and $((x_n, y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \times Y$ with $\|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)\| \rightarrow 0$ for some $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. We must show that $\|t_n(x_n, y_n) - t(x, y)\| \rightarrow 0$.

Since $p_1(t_n x_n - tx) \rightarrow 0$, $p_2(t_n y_n - ty) \rightarrow 0$ and $+$ is continuous,

$$\|t_n(x_n, y_n) - t(x, y)\| = \|(t_n x_n - tx, t_n y_n - ty)\| = p_1(t_n x_n - tx) + p_2(t_n y_n - ty) \rightarrow 0 + 0 = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Hence } \|\cdot\| \text{ is a paranorm on } X \times Y.$$

Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ be such that $\|(x, y)\| = 0$. Then

$$p_1(x) + p_2(y) = 0; \text{ so } p_1(x) = 0 \text{ and } p_2(y) = 0. \text{ Since } p_1 \text{ and } p_2 \text{ are total, } x = y = 0 \text{ so } \|\cdot\| \text{ is total. Let } (x, y) \in X \times Y \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Then $\|(x, y)\| > 0$. Let $0 < r < \|(x, y)\|$. Then $(x, y) \notin B((0, 0), r)$

so $X \times Y$ is separated. We must show that $X \times Y$ is complete. Let $(x_\delta, y_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a Cauchy net in $X \times Y$. Claim that $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ is a Cauchy net in X and $(y_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ is a Cauchy net in Y . Let $U \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}(Y)$. Since $(x_\delta, y_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ is Cauchy net in $(X \times Y)$, there exists a $\delta' \in D$ such that $\alpha \geq \delta', \beta \geq \delta'$ implies that $(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) - (x_\beta, y_\beta) \in U \times V$; that is, $(x_\alpha - x_\beta, y_\alpha - y_\beta) \in U \times V$; hence $x_\alpha - x_\beta \in U$ and $y_\alpha - y_\beta \in V$ for all $\alpha \geq \delta', \beta \geq \delta'$ so we have the claim. Since X and Y are complete, $x_\delta \rightarrow x', y_\delta \rightarrow y'$ for some $x' \in X, y' \in Y$. Then $(x_\delta, y_\delta) \rightarrow (x', y') \in X \times Y$ so $X \times Y$ is complete. Hence $(X \times Y, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separated paranormed space so $(X \times Y, \|\cdot\|)$ is a FS(\mathbb{H}) and the topology induced by $\|\cdot\|$ is the product topology of $X \times Y$.

Claim 2 G is a FS(\mathbb{H}) with respect to the relative topology

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be paranorm on $X \times Y$. Then $(G, \|\cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space

over \mathbb{H} with respect to the relative topology. Since $\{(0, 0)\}$ is closed in $X \times Y$ and G is closed in $X \times Y$, $\{(0, 0)\} = \{(0, 0)\} \cap G$. Thus $(G, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separated paranormed space over \mathbb{H} . We must show that $(G, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete. Let $(x_\delta, f(x_\delta))_{\delta \in D}$ be a Cauchy net in G . Then $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ is a Cauchy net in X and $(f(x_\delta))_{\delta \in D}$ is a Cauchy net in $f(X)$. Since X is complete, $x_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ for some $x_0 \in X$. Since $(f(x_\delta))_{\delta \in D}$ is Cauchy in $f(X)$, it is Cauchy in Y . Since Y is complete, $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow y_0$ for some $y_0 \in Y$. Since G is closed, $(x_0, y_0) \in G$ so G is complete and thus G is a $FS(\mathbb{H})$ with respect to the relative topology.

Let $T_1 : G \rightarrow X$ be defined by $T_1(x, y) = x$. Clearly, T_1 is linear, continuous, one-to-one and onto. Since G is a Frechét space T_1 is a homeomorphism. Also the map $T_2 : G \rightarrow Y$ given by $T_2(x, y) = y$ is continuous. Since $f = T_2 \circ T_1^{-1}$ and T_2, T_1 are continuous, f is continuous. #

Theorem 4.14 Let X, Y be $TVS(\mathbb{H})$'s and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear map. Then f has a closed graph if and only if for each net $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ in X , if $x_\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow y$ then $y = 0$.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Suppose that f has a closed graph, say G . Let $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in X such that $x_\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in Y$. We must show that $y = 0$. Since $(x_\delta, f(x_\delta)) \rightarrow (0, y)$, $(0, y) \in \bar{G} = G$ so $y = f(0) = 0$.

(\Leftarrow) Let G be the graph of f . Let $(a, b) \in \bar{G}$. Then there exists a net $(g_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ in G such that $g_\delta \rightarrow (a, b)$ in $X \times Y$,



say $g_\delta = (x_\delta, f(x_\delta))$ where $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ is a net in X . By assumption, $x_\delta \rightarrow a$ and $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow b$. Then $x_\delta - a \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x_\delta - a) = f(x_\delta) - f(a) \rightarrow b - f(a)$. Hence $b - f(a) = 0$, so $f(a) = b$. Hence $(a, b) \in G$ so G is closed. #

Corollary 4.15 Let X, Y be TVS(\mathbb{H})'s with Y separated. Then a continuous linear map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ must have closed graph.

Proof : Let $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in X such that $x_\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in Y$. Since f is continuous and $x_\delta \rightarrow 0$, $f(x_\delta) \rightarrow f(0) = 0$; hence $y = 0$. By Theorem 4.14, f has closed graph. #

Theorem 4.16 Let X, Y be FS(\mathbb{H})'s. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be linear and onto with closed graph. Then f is continuous and open.

Proof : Since f is linear and has a closed graph, by Theorem 4.13, f is continuous. Since f is onto, by Theorem 4.9, f is open. #

Definition 4.17 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}) and let A, B be vector subspaces of X . A and B are algebraically complementary if and only if $A+B = X$ and $A \cap B = \{0\}$. Define $P : X \rightarrow A$ by $P(x) = a$ where $x = a+b$, $a \in A$, $b \in B$. P is called the projection on A .

Theorem 4.18 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}) and A, B be vector subspaces of X which are algebraically complementary. Then A, B are closed if and only if the projection on A has closed graph.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Suppose A and B are closed. Let P be the projection on A . We must show that P has a closed graph. Let $(x_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in X such that $x_\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $P(x_\delta) \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in A$.

Since $A+B = X$, $x_\delta - P(x_\delta) \in B$ and $x_\delta - P(x_\delta) \rightarrow 0 - y = -y$. Since B is a closed vector subspace of X and $-y \in B$, $y \in B$. Since $P(x_\delta) \in A$, $P(x_\delta) \rightarrow y$ and since A is closed in X , $y \in A$. Hence $y \in A \cap B = \{0\}$; so $y = 0$. By Theorem 4.14, P has closed graph.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(a_\delta)_{\delta \in D}$ be a net in A such that $a_\delta \rightarrow a$ for some $a \in X$. We must show that $a \in A$. Since $a_\delta \rightarrow a$, $a_\delta - a \rightarrow 0$; hence $P(a_\delta - a) = a_\delta - P(a) \rightarrow a - P(a)$. Since P has closed graph, by Theorem 4.14, $a - P(a) = 0$ so $a = P(a) \in A$ therefore A is closed. Similarly, we can show that B is closed in X . #

Definition 4.19 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}) and let A, B be algebraically complementary of X . Then A, B are called topologically complementary if and only if the projection on A is continuous. A is said to be complemented in X if and only if A is one of a pair of topologically complementary subspaces A, B in which case we write $X = A + B$.

Theorem 4.20 Let X be a Frechet space over \mathbb{H} and let A, B be algebraically complementary closed subspaces of X . Then A and B are topologically complementary.

Proof : Let P be the projection on A . We must show that P is continuous. Since A and B are closed, by Theorem 4.18, P has a closed graph. Since P is linear and has a closed graph, by the closed graph theorem, P is continuous. #

Definition 4.21 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}). A basis for X is a sequence

$(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that every $x \in X$ has a unique representation $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n b^n$.

For example, let c_0 = the set of all sequences converging to 0 with $\|x\|_\infty = \sup \{ |x_n| \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Then $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of c_0 where δ^n is the sequence with $x_n = 1$ and $x_k = 0$ if $k \neq n$.

Definition 4.22 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}). Let $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of X . Define $\ell_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ by $\ell_n(x) = t_n$ where $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n b^n$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ℓ_n is a linear functional on X and ℓ_n has the property of forming with $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a biorthogonal system, that is, $\ell_n(b^k) = 0$ if $n \neq k$ and $\ell_n(b^n) = 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ℓ_n is called a coordinate functional and $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a Schauder basis if and only if each $\ell_n \in X'$.

Definition 4.23 A K -space over \mathbb{H} is a vector space over \mathbb{H} of sequences which has a topology such that each P_n is continuous, where $P_n(x) = x_n$, $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Remark 4.24 Let X be a sequence space over \mathbb{H} with basis $B = (\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then X is a K -space over \mathbb{H} if and only if B is a Schauder basis.

Proof : (\Rightarrow) Suppose that X is a K -space over \mathbb{H} . Then X has a topology such that P_n is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since P_n is linear and continuous and $P_n(\delta^k) = 0$ if $k \neq n$ and $P_n(\delta^n) = 1$, B is a Schauder basis.

(\Leftarrow) Since B is a Schauder basis for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an $\ell_n \in X'$ such that $\ell_n(b^k) = 0$ if $k \neq n$ and $\ell_n(b^n) = 1$. Then $\ell_n(x) = \ell_n\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \delta^n\right) = x_n$. Since $\ell_n \in X'$, there exists a topology

in X which makes ℓ_n continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus X is K -space over \mathbb{H} . #

Remark 4.25 Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}) with basis $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then X is linearly homeomorphic to a sequence space Y with basis $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Furthermore, Y is a K -space over \mathbb{H} if and only if $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis of X .

Proof : Let X be a TVS(\mathbb{H}) with basis $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We must show that there exists a sequence space Y with basis $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a $u : X \rightarrow Y$ such that u is a linear homeomorphism. Let $Y = \{t = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n b^n \text{ converges in } X\}$. Since $Y \ni 0, Y \neq \emptyset$. Then $Y = \{t = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid t_n = \ell_n(x) \text{ for some } x \in X\}$. Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ be defined as follows : Let $x \in X$. Then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n b^n$, define $u(x) = (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Clearly, u is linear and bijective.

Let $T = \{M \subseteq Y \mid \text{there exists an open set } G \text{ in } X \text{ such that } M = u(G)\}$. Clearly T is a topology on Y . Also u is continuous with respect to T so u is a linear homeomorphism of X onto Y . Also, $u(b^n) = \delta^n$ and $\ell_n = P_n \circ u$ where $P_n(t) = P_n((t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = t_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $P_n \in Y'$ if and only if $\ell_n \in X'$. By Remark 4.24, we can conclude that Y is a K -space over \mathbb{H} if and only if $(b^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis for X . #

Lemma 4.26 Let (X, p) be a paranormed space over \mathbb{H} with basis $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $q(x) = \sup\{p(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta^i) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \delta^i\}$. Then q is a paranorm for X and $q \geq p$.

Proof : For $x \in X$, let $u^n = u^n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta^i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n,$

$0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $q(x) = \sup \{p(u^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We must show that q is a paranorm on X . It is clear that $q(x) \geq 0$, $q(x) = q(-x)$ for all $x \in X$, $q(0) = 0$ and $q(x+y) \leq q(x) + q(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. We must show that scalar multiplication is continuous.

Claim 1 Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $r_k \rightarrow 0$. Then $q(r_k x) \rightarrow 0$ for each $x \in X$. _____ (1)

Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $r_k \rightarrow 0$. Let $x \in X$. We must show that $q(r_k x) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (u^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x in (X, p) , $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded ; so there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|t| < \delta$ implies that $p(tu^n) < \epsilon/2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $r_k \rightarrow 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > N$ implies that $|r_k| < \delta$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k > N$. Then $p(r_k u^n) < \epsilon/2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; so $q(r_k x) = \sup \{p(r_k u^n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$ so we have claim 1.

Claim 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . Then $q(x^k) \rightarrow 0$ implies that $q(tx^k) \rightarrow 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{H}$. (2)

Let $t \in \mathbb{H}$, let $x^k = (x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $u^{k,n} = (x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_n^k, 0, 0, \dots)$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let $U \in N(X, p)$ be such that $p(tu) < \epsilon/2$ for all $u \in U$. Since $q(x^k) \rightarrow 0$ and $p(u^{k,n}) = p((x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_n^k, 0, 0, \dots)) \leq \sup \{p(u^{k,n})\} \leq q(x^k)$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > N$ implies that $u^{k,n} = (x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_n^k, 0, 0, \dots) \in U$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k > N$. Since $u^{k,n} \in U$ and $p(tu) < \epsilon/2$ for all $u \in U$, $p(tu^{k,n}) < \epsilon/2$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $q(tx^k) = \sup \{p(tu^{k,n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$ so we have claim 2.

Claim 3. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} and $(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$.

If $r_k \rightarrow 0$ and $q(x^k) \rightarrow 0$ then $q(r_k x^k) \rightarrow 0$. — (3)

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Let U be a balanced neighborhood of 0 in (X, p) with $p(u) < \epsilon/2$ for all $u \in U$. Then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k > N$ implies that $\|r_k\| < 1$ and $u^{k,n} \in U$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k > N$. Since $\|r_k\| < 1$ and U is balanced, $r_k u^{k,n} \in r_k U \subseteq U$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $p(r_k u^{k,n}) < \epsilon/2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $q(r_k x^k) = \sup \{p(r_k u^{k,n}) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \epsilon/2$ so we have claim 3. Let $(t_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in \mathbb{H} such that $t_k \rightarrow t$ for some $t \in \mathbb{H}$ and let

$(x^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in X such that $q(x^k - x) \rightarrow 0$ for

some $x \in X$. We must show that $q(t_k x^k - tx) \rightarrow 0$. Let $r_k = t_k - t$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y^k = x^k - x$. Then $q(r_k x^k - tx) = q(r_k(x^k - x) + r_k x + t(x^k - x) - tx^k) \leq q(r_k y^k) + q(r_k x) + q(ty^k) + q(tx^k)$. By claim 3, $q(r_k y^k) \rightarrow 0$ and $q(tx^k) \rightarrow 0$. By claim 1, $q(r_k x) \rightarrow 0$. By claim 2, $q(ty^k) \rightarrow 0$ hence $q(r_k x^k - tx) \rightarrow 0$ so scalar multiplication is continuous therefore q

is a paranorm on X . Let $x \in X$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \delta^i$ so $p(x) = p(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \delta^i)$

$\leq \sup \{p(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta^i) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = q(x)$ therefore $p \leq q$. #

Theorem 4.27 Every basis of a FS(H) is a Schauder basis.

Proof : Let (X, p) be a FS(H). By Remark 4.25, we can take (X, p) to be a sequence space with basis $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For each $x \in X$,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \delta^i, \text{ let } q(x) = \sup \{ p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta^i \right) \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \}. \text{ By}$$

Lemma 4.26, q is a paranorm for X and $q \geq p$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for

$$\text{all } x \in X, \text{ let } u^n = u^n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta^i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots). \text{ Claim}$$

that u^n is continuous on (X, q) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in X$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\delta = \epsilon$. Let $x \in X$ be such that $q(x - a) < \delta$.

$$\text{Then } q(u^n(x) - u^n(a)) = q(u^n(x - a)) = q \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i) \delta^i \right)$$

$$= \sup \{ p \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - a_i) \delta^i \right) \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, n \} \leq \sup \{ p \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (x_i - a_i) \delta^i \right) \mid$$

$$k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \} = q(x - a) < \delta = \epsilon. \text{ So } u^n \text{ is continuous at } x = a.$$

Since a was arbitrary, u^n is continuous on (X, q) for each n . Since

$$u^n(x) - u^{n-1}(x) = x_n \delta^n = P_n(x) \delta^n, \text{ by Corollary 3.48, } P_n \text{ is continuous}$$

on (X, q) for each n . Claim that (X, q) is complete. Let $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

be a q -Cauchy sequence. Since P_k is linear and continuous on (X, q)

for each k , P_k is uniformly continuous on (X, q) for each k ; hence

$$(x_k^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{H} \text{ for each } k. \text{ Let } x_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^n.$$

We shall show that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \delta^k$ converges in (X, p) . Since p is continuous

$$\text{on } (X, p), p \left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k \delta^k \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k^n \delta^k \right) \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Since $(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is q -Cauchy, there

exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > m \geq N$ implies that $q(x^m - x^n) < \epsilon/3$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > N$. Then $p\left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k^n \delta^k\right)$

$$= p\left(\sum_{k=u}^v (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k + \sum_{k=u}^v x_k^N \delta^k\right)$$

$$\leq p\left(\sum_{k=u}^v (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + p\left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k^N \delta^k\right)$$

$$= p\left(\sum_{k=1}^v (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k - \sum_{k=1}^{u-1} (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + p\left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k^N \delta^k\right)$$

$$\leq p\left(\sum_{k=1}^v (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + p\left(\sum_{k=1}^{u-1} (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + p\left(\sum_{k=1}^v x_k^N \delta^k\right)$$

$$\leq q\left(\sum_{k=1}^v (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + q\left(\sum_{k=1}^{u-1} (x_k^n - x_k^N) \delta^k\right) + q\left(\sum_{k=u}^v x_k^N \delta^k\right)$$

$$< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon$$

Hence $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \delta^k\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is p -Cauchy. Since (X, p) is complete,

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \delta^k$ converges in (X, p) . Let $a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \delta^k \in X$ taken with the p

metric. We shall show that $q(x^n - a) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > m \geq N$ implies that $q(x^m - x^n) < \epsilon$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > m \geq N$. Then $p\left(\sum_{k=1}^r (x_k^n - x_k^m) \delta^k\right) \leq q(x^n - x^m) < \epsilon$ for

any r . Thus for any such r , $p\left(\sum_{k=1}^r (x_k - x_k^m) \delta^k\right) \leq \epsilon$ whenever $m \geq N$.

Thus $q(a - x^m) \leq \epsilon$ for $m \geq N$. Hence $q(x^n - a) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$(x^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in (X, q) . So (X, q) is complete. Hence (X, q)

is a FS(H). Since $p \leq q$, by Corollary 4.12, the topology induced by p equals the topology induced by q . Hence P_n is continuous in (X, p) for

each n so $(\delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis for X . #