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A partial transformation $\alpha$ on a poset is regressive if $x \alpha \leq x$ for all $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$. For a poset $X$, let $P_{R E}(X), I_{R E}(X)$ and $T_{R E}(X)$ denote respectively the regressive partial transformation semigroup on $X$, the regressive 1-1 partial transformation semigroup on $X$ and the full regressive transformation semigroup on $X$. The following results relating to regularity and eventual regularity are known. The semigroup $P_{R E}(X)\left[I_{R E}(X)\right]$ is regular if and only if $X$ is isolated, and $T_{R E}(X)$ is regular if and only if $|C| \leq 2$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$. If $S(X)$ is $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ or $I_{R E}$ $(X)$, then $S(X)$ is eventually regular if and only if there is a positive integer $n$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$. A. Umar has proved an important isomorphism theorem as follows : For chains $X$ and $Y, T_{R E}(X) \cong T_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.

Our purpose is to extend the above known results. The following subsemigroups of $P_{R E}(X), I_{R E}(X)$ and $T_{R E}(X)$ are considered where $X^{\prime}$ is a subposet of $X . P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left\{\alpha \in P_{R E}(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in P_{R E}(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are defined similarly. We characterize when the semigroups $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}$ $\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are regular, and then the above known results of regularity become our special cases. For eventual regularity, the known result mentioned above and one of its lemmas are used to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for all of these semigroups to be eventually regular. Our main isomorphism theorems are as follows : If $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic. If $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong I_{R E}(Y$, $Y^{\prime}$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic. In particular, $P_{R E}(X) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic, and also $I_{R E}(X) \cong I_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic. If $X$ and $Y$ are chains and $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic. It can be seen that the last isomorphism theorem extends Umar's Isomorphism Theorem.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

For a set $X$, let $|X|$ denote the cardinality of $X$. The set of positive integers, the set of integers and the set of real numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively.

An element $a$ of a semigroup $S$ is called an idempotent of $S$ if $a^{2}=a$. For a semigroup $S$, let $E(S)$ be the set of all idempotents of $S$, that is,

$$
E(S)=\left\{a \in S \mid a^{2}=a\right\} .
$$

An element $a$ of a semigroup $S$ is said to be regular if $a=a b a$ for some $b \in S$, and we call $S$ a regular semigroup if every element of $S$ is regular. The set of all regular elements of a semigroup $S$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Reg}(S)$, that is,

$$
\operatorname{Reg}(S)=\{a \in S \mid a=a b a \text { for some } b \in S\}
$$

Consequently, $E(S) \subseteq R e g(S)$. By an eventually reqular element of a semigroup $S$ we mean an element $a$ of $S$ such that $a^{k} \in \operatorname{Reg}(S)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If every elementcof $S$ is eventually regular, qwe call $S$ an eventually regular semigroup. Therefore a regular semigroup is eventually regular.

For an element $a$ of a semigroup $S$, let $\langle a\rangle$ denote the subsemigroup of $S$ generated by $a$, that is,

$$
<a>=\left\{a^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

We call $S$ a periodic semigroup if $\langle a\rangle$ is finite for every $a \in S$. It is known that for $a \in S$, if $\langle a\rangle$ is finite, then $a^{k} \in E(S)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ([1], page 3-4). Since
$E(S) \subseteq \operatorname{Reg}(S)$ for every semigroup $S$, it follows that every periodic semigroup is eventually regular. In particular, every finite semigroup is eventually regular.

A partial transformation of a set $X$ is a map from a subset of $X$ into $X$. The empty transformation 0 is the partial transformation with empty domain. Let $P(X)$ be the set of all partial transformations of $X$, that is,

$$
P(X)=\{\alpha: A \rightarrow X \mid A \subseteq X\} .
$$

The identity map on a nonempty set $A$ is denoted by $1_{A}$. Then $1_{A} \in P(X)$ for every nonempty subset $A$ of $X$. In particular, $1_{X} \in P(X)$. We denote the domain and the range of $\alpha \in P(X)$ by dom $\alpha$ and ran $\alpha$, respectively. Also, for $\alpha \in P(X)$ and $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$, the image of $x$ under $\alpha$ is written by $x \alpha$. The composition $\alpha \beta$ of $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$ is defined as follows : $\alpha \beta=0$ if $\operatorname{ran} \alpha \cap \operatorname{dom} \beta=\varnothing$, otherwise, $\alpha \beta$ is the usual composition of the functions $\alpha_{\left.\right|_{(\text {ranandom } \beta) \alpha^{-1}}}$ and $\beta_{(\operatorname{ran} \alpha \cap \operatorname{dom} \beta)}$. Then under this composition, $P(X)$ is a semigroup having 0 and $1_{X}$ as its zero and identity, respectively. Observe that for $\alpha, \beta \in P(X)$,


The semigroup $P(X)$ is called the partial transformation semigroup on $X$. By a transformation semigroup on $X$ we mean a subsemigroup of $P(X)$.

By a transformation of $X$ we mean a map of $X$ into itself. Let $T(X)$ be the set of all transformations of $X$. Then

$$
T(X)=\{\alpha \in P(X) \mid \operatorname{dom} \alpha=X\}
$$

which is a subsemigroup of $P(X)$ containing $1_{X}$ and it is called the full transformation semigroup on $X$. Let $I(X)$ denote the set of all 1-1 partial transformations
of $X$, that is,

$$
I(X)=\{\alpha \in P(X) \mid \alpha \text { is } 1-1\} .
$$

Then $I(X)$ is a subsemigroup of $P(X)$ containing 0 and $1_{X}$ and it is called the 1-1 partial transformation semigroup on $X$ or the symmetric inverse semigroup on $X$.

It is well-known that all $P(X), T(X)$ and $I(X)$ are regular ([1], page 4) and for $\alpha \in P(X), \alpha^{2}=\alpha(\alpha \in E(P(X)))$ if and only if $\operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha$ and $x \alpha=x$ for all $x \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(T(X))=\{\alpha \in T(X) \mid x \alpha=x \text { for all } x \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha\}, \\
& E(I(X))=\left\{1_{A} \mid \varnothing \neq A \subseteq X\right\} \cup\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a nonempty subset $A$ of $X$ and $x \in X$, let $A_{x}$ denote the element of $P(X)$ with domain $A$ and range $\{x\}$. Observe that $A_{x} \in E(P(X))$ if and only if $x \in A$, in particular, $X_{a} \in E(T(X))$ for all $a \in X$.

For convenience, we sometimes write an element in $P(X)$ by using a bracket notation. For examples,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
b & b & d
\end{array}\right) \text { stands for the transformation }\{(a, b),(b, b),(c, d)\} \text {. } \\
& \text { จqM }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & x \\
y & 9 \\
y & x
\end{array}\right) \sim_{x \in X \backslash A} \operatorname{stands}^{\sigma} \text { for } \alpha \in T(X) \text { defined by } b \| \\
& x \alpha=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
y & \text { if } & x \in A, \\
x & \text { if } & x \in X \backslash A .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the area of semigroups, the full transformation semigroup $T(X)$ is considered very important. In 1975, J. S. Y. Symons [7] introduced the semigroup
$T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \varnothing \neq X^{\prime} \subseteq X$, under composition consisting of all mappings in $T(X)$ whose range are contained in $X^{\prime}$, that is,

$$
T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in T(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Then $X_{a} \in T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ for all $a \in X^{\prime}$ and $T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a subsemigroup of $T(X)$. The semigroup $T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ can be considered as a generalization of $T(X)$ since $T(X, X)=T(X)$. In fact, in 1966, K. D. Magrill [3] studied the semigroup

$$
\bar{T}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in T(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}
$$

which is also a generalization of $T(X)$ since $\bar{T}(X, X)=T(X)$. We can see that $1_{X} \in \bar{T}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ but $1_{X} \notin T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ if $X^{\prime} \subsetneq X$. It is clearly seen that $T\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ $\bar{T}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq T(X)$.

For $\alpha \in P(X)$ and $A \subseteq X$, we let $A \alpha$ stand for the set $(A \cap \operatorname{dom} \alpha) \alpha(=\{x \alpha \mid$ $x \in A \cap \operatorname{dom} \alpha\})$.

In this research the semigroups $P\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are defined analogously, that is,

$$
\begin{gathered}
P\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in P(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \bar{P}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in P(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
I\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in I(X) \mid \text { ran } \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \bar{I}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in I(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $P\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{P}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P(X)$ and $I\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{I}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq I(X)$. Since $P(X, X)=\widehat{B}(X, X)=P(X)$ and $I(X, X)=\bar{L}(X, X) \Rightarrow I(X)$, both $P\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{P}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are generalizations of $P(X)$ whie $I\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\frac{\varrho}{I}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are generalizations of $I(X)$.

Next, let $X$ be a poset. By a subchain of $X$ we mean a subposet of $X$ which is also a chain. A point $a \in X$ is said to be isolated if

$$
\text { for any } x \in X, x \leq a \text { or } x \geq a \Longrightarrow x=a,
$$

and we call a subposet $Y$ of $X$ isolated if every point of $Y$ is isolated in $Y$.
For $\alpha \in P(X), \alpha$ is said to be regressive if

$$
x \alpha \leq x \quad \text { for all } x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha
$$

A transformation semigroup on $X$ is said to be regressive if all of its elements are regressive. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{R E}(X)=\{\alpha \in P(X) \mid \alpha \text { is regressive }\} \\
& T_{R E}(X)=\{\alpha \in T(X) \mid \alpha \text { is regressive }\} \\
& I_{R E}(X)=\{\alpha \in I(X) \mid \alpha \text { is regressive }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$ are respectively subsemigroups of $P(X)$, $T(X)$ and $I(X)$. Observe that 0 and $1_{X}$ belong to $P_{R E}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$ and $1_{X} \in$ $T_{R E}(X)$. By a regressive transformation semigroup on $X$ we mean a subsemigroup of $P_{R E}(X)$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets. A bijection $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is called an order-isomorphism if

$$
\text { for } x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, x_{1} \leq x_{2} \text { in } X \Leftrightarrow x_{1} \alpha \leq x_{2} \alpha \text { in } Y \text {. }
$$

We say that $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic if there is an order-isomorphism from $X$ onto $Y$.

Example 1.1. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by

$$
66)^{\mathscr{c}} x \alpha=x-1 e^{\text {for }} \text { all } x \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \delta
$$

Then $\alpha$ is an element of $P_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}), T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $I_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$. Also, $\alpha$ is a bijection and
which implies that

$$
x\left(\alpha^{n}\right)^{-1}=x+n \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N},\left(\alpha^{n}\right)^{-1}$ is not regressive, so it belongs to none of $P_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$, $T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $I_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$. If $\alpha^{n}=\alpha^{n} \beta \alpha^{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta \in P_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$, then $\beta=\left(\alpha^{n}\right)^{-1}$ which is not regressive. This proves that $\alpha$ is not eventually regular.

Some known results of regressive transformation semigroups are as follows: A. Umar [5] has shown that if $X$ is a finite chain, then the subsemigroup $S=\{\alpha \in$ $T_{R E}(X)| | \operatorname{ran} \alpha|<|X|\}$ of $T_{R E}(X)$ is generated by $E(S)$, that is, for $\alpha \in S$, $\alpha=\delta_{1} \delta_{2} \ldots \delta_{k}$ for some $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k} \in E(S)$, and $S$ is not a regular semigroup if $|X| \geq 3$. Y. Kemprasit [2] showed that in any regressive transformation semigroup on a poset, its idempotents and regular elements are identical.

Proposition 1.2. ([2]) If $S(X)$ is a regressive transformation semigroup on a poset $X$, then $\operatorname{Reg}(S(X))=E(S(X))$.
Y. Kemprasit ([2]) also characterized when $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$ are regular semigroups as follows:

Theorem 1.3. ([2]) For a poset $X$, if $S(X)$ is $P_{R E}(X)$ or $I_{R E}(X)$, then $S(X)$ is a regular semigroup if and onty if $X$ is isolated.

Theorem 1.4. ([2]) For a poset $X, T_{R E}(X)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if for every subchain $C$ of $X,|C| \leq 2$.

A necessary and sufficient condition for $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$ to be eventually regular has been given in [2]. The next proposition was used as a lemma to obtain this characterization. Both will be referred for our work.
Proposition 1.5. ([2]) If $X$ is a poset and there is no positive integer n such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$, then there is a sequence of disjoint finite subchains $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \ldots$ of $X$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right|<\left|C_{2}\right|<\left|C_{3}\right|<\ldots$.

Theorem 1.6.([2]) Let $X$ be a poset and let $S(X)$ be $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ or $I_{R E}(X)$. Then $S(X)$ is eventually regular if and only if there is a positive integer $n$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$.

A significant isomorphism theorem on full regressive transformation semigroups was given by A. Umar [6] in 1996 as follows:

Theorem 1.7. ([6]) If $X$ and $Y$ are chains, then $T_{R E}(X) \cong T_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.

Notice that the converse of Theorem 1.7 is true for any posets $X$ and $Y$ as follows:

Proposition 1.8. For posets $X$ and $Y$, if $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is an order-isomorphism, then the map $\alpha \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi$ is an isomorphism from $T_{R E}(X)$ onto $T_{R E}(Y)$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in T_{R E}(X)$ and $y \in Y$, then $\left(y \varphi^{-1}\right) \alpha \leq y \varphi^{-1}$. Since $\varphi$ is an orderisomorphism, $y \varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi \leq y \varphi^{-1} \varphi=y$. Thus $\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi \in T_{R E}(Y)$. Also, for $\alpha, \beta \in$ $T_{R E}(X), \varphi^{-1} \alpha \beta \varphi=\left(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi\right)\left(\varphi^{-1} \beta \varphi\right)$, and if $\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi=\varphi^{-1} \beta \varphi$, then $\alpha=\beta$. For $\lambda \in T_{R E}(Y)$, we have $\varphi \lambda \varphi^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{R E}(X)$ and $\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi \lambda \varphi^{-1}\right) \varphi=\lambda$.
T. Saito, K. Aoki and K. Kajitori [4] have given necessary and sufficient conditions for any posets $X$ and $Y$ so that $T_{R E}(X) \cong T_{R E}(Y)$. Umar's Isomorphism Theorem became a special case of their result.

Example 1.9. (1) Foreach $n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}$ isorder-isomorphicto $n \mathbb{Z}$ through the map $x \mapsto n x$, by Theorem 1.7, $T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong T_{R E}(n \mathbb{Z})$. $\qquad$
(2) We have that $T_{R E} \widetilde{\mathbb{R})} \cong T_{R E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$where $\mathbb{R}^{+}$is the set of positive real numbers because the map $x \mapsto e^{x}$ is an order-isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Due to the semigroup introduced by J. S. V. Symons [7], the semigroup studied by K. D. Magrill [3] and those we define analogously, the following regressive transformation semigroups are defined for a poset $X$ and a subposet $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ analogously as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in P_{R E}(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in P_{R E}(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in T_{R E}(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in T_{R E}(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in I_{R E}(X) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\alpha \in I_{R E}(X) \mid X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P_{R E}(X), & T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq T_{R E}(X) \\
I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq I_{R E}(X), & P_{R E}(X, X)=\bar{P}_{R E}(X, X)=P_{R E}(X) \\
T_{R E}(X, X)=\bar{T}_{R E}(X, X)=T_{R E}(X) \text { and } I_{R E}(X, X)=\bar{I}_{R E}(X, X)=I_{R E}(X) .
\end{array}
$$

Observe that 0 belongs to $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $1_{X}$ belongs to $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ $\neq \varnothing$ (or equivalently, $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a subsemigroup of $\left.T_{R E}(X)\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } x \in X, x^{\prime} \leq x \text { for some } x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime} \text {. } \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then whenever we consider $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ is always assumed.


Then $\alpha \in T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that $T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z})$ has an identity element, say $\eta$. Thus

$$
\beta \eta=\eta \beta=\beta \text { for every } \beta \in T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z})
$$

Since $3 \eta \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{ran} \eta \subseteq 2 \mathbb{Z}, 3 \eta \leq 2$ which implies that $(3 \eta) \alpha<2$. But $3 \alpha=3 \eta \alpha<2$, so it is contrary to the definition of $\alpha$. Therefore $T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z})$ has no identity. Since $T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $T_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z})$ have an identity, we conclude that

$$
T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z})=T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \not \not 二 T_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z}) \not \not T_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z})=T_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}) .
$$

In Chapter II, we deal with the regularity of the six regressive transformation semigroups introduced previously. The aim is to generalize Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Our proofs are independent to those given in [2] for Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. Then these two theorems become consequences of our obtained results.

Eventual regularity of our target regressive transformation semigroups is studied in Chapter III. The purpose is to extend Theorem 1.6. We characterize in this chapter when these regressive transformation semigroups are eventually regular. For these characterizations, Proposition 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 are referred as tools.

Finally, some isomorphism theorems of two regressive transformation semigroups of the same kinds are determined in Chapter IV. The interesting isomorphism theorems obtained in this chapter are as follows: For chains $X$ and $Y$, a subchain $X^{\prime}$ of $X_{0}$ and a subchain $Y^{\prime}$ of $Y$, if $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic. This result generalizes Umar's Isomorphism Theorem. For posets $X$ and $Y, X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$, if $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic, also if $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic. Some nice and remarkable consequences of the later two isomorphism theorems are that for any posets $X$ and $Y, P_{R E}(X) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic and $I_{R E}(X) \cong I_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.

## CHAPTER II

## REGULAR REGRESSIVE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUPS

The purpose of this chapter is to generalize Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 by considering the regularity of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. More interesting results are obtained.

Throughout this chapter, $X$ denotes any poset and $X^{\prime}$ denotes any subposet of $X$, otherwise stated.
2.1 Regularity of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)
$$

Recall that if $S(X)$ is $P_{R E}(X)$ or $I_{R E}(X)$, then $S(X)$ is regular if and only if $X$ is isolated (Theorem 1.3). By the definition of regressive partial transformations of $X$, it is clearly seen that
$X$ is isolated $\Rightarrow P_{R E}(X)=I_{R E}(X)=\left\{1_{A} \mid \varnothing \neq A \subseteq X\right\} \cup\{0\}$.
Theorem 2.1.1. Let $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be $P_{R E}^{\circ}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then the semigroup $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular if and only if
(i) $X^{\prime}$ is isolated and
(ii) for any $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, either $x<x^{\prime}$ or $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are uncomparable.

Proof. Suppose first that $X^{\prime}$ is not isolated. Then there are $a, b \in X^{\prime}$ such that $a<b$. Let $\alpha=\binom{b}{a}$. Then $\alpha \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\alpha^{2}=0$, so $\alpha \notin E\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By

Proposition 1.2, $\alpha \notin \operatorname{Reg}\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Next, suppose that there are $c \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $d \in X^{\prime}$ such that $c>d$. Thus $\beta=\binom{c}{d} \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta^{2}=0 \neq \beta$. Hence $\beta \notin \operatorname{Reg}\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by Proposition 1.2. This shows that if $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular semigroup, then (i) and (ii) hold.

For the converse, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Let $\alpha \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $x \in$ $\operatorname{dom} \alpha$. Then $x \alpha \leq x$ and $x \alpha \in X^{\prime}$. Because of (ii), $x \in X^{\prime}$, so by (i), $x \alpha=$ $x$. This proves that $\alpha=1_{\text {dom } \alpha}$, the identity map on dom $\alpha$. Hence $\alpha=\alpha^{2} \in$ $\operatorname{Reg}\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Therefore the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.1.2. Let $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then the semigroup $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular if and only if
(i) $X^{\prime}$ is isolated,
(ii) $X \backslash X^{\prime}$ is isolated and
(iii) for any $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, either $x<x^{\prime}$ or $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are uncomparable.

Proof. Recall that $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. By Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 2.1.1, to prove the necessity part, it suffices to show that if $X \backslash X^{\prime}$ is not isolated, then there is a nonregular element in $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that there are $a, b$ in $X \backslash X^{\prime}$ such that $\widetilde{a}<b$. Then $\gamma=\binom{b}{a} \in \bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma^{2}=0 \neq \gamma$. Hence $\gamma \notin \operatorname{Reg}\left(\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by Proposition 1.2. Therefore if $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular, then (i)-(iii) hold. 9 ?

Conversely, assume that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Let $\alpha \in \bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $x \in$ $\operatorname{dom} \alpha$. Then $x \alpha \leq x$.

Case 1:x 1 : $X^{\prime}$. Since $X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}, x \alpha \in X^{\prime}$. Because $x \alpha \leq x$, it follows from (i) that $x \alpha=x$.

Case 2 $: x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. Since $x \alpha \leq x$, it follows from (iii) that $x \alpha \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. But
$X \backslash X^{\prime}$ is isolated by (ii), thus $x \alpha=x$.

This proves that $\alpha=1_{\text {dom } \alpha}$, so $\alpha$ is regular.
Hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 1.3 is directly obtained from Theorem 2.1.1 or Theorem 2.1.2 when $X^{\prime}=X$.

Corollary 2.1.3. If $S(X)$ is $P_{R E}(X)$ or $I_{R E}(X)$, then $S(X)$ is a regular semigroup if and only if $X$ is isolated.

In general, a subsemigroup of a regular semigroup need not be regular. An obvious example is that $(\mathbb{R},+$ ) is a regular semigroup (a group) and $\mathbb{N}$ is a subsemigroup of $(\mathbb{R},+)$ which is not regular. However, $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are respectively subsemigroups of $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and by Theorem 2.1.1 and Theorem 2.1.2, the regularity of $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\left[\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right]$ implies the regularity of its subsemigroup $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\left[I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right]$. In fact, it follows directly from Proposition 1.2 that any subsemigroup of a regular regressive partial transformation semigroup on $X$ is also regular.

Corollary 2.1.4. The following statements hold.
(i) If $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular semigroup, then so is $\overparen{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) If $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular semigroup, then so is $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.


Example 2.1.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$ defined by the Hasse diagrams as follows:


$$
X^{\prime}: \stackrel{a}{\bullet} \quad b
$$



By Theorem 2.1.1 and Theorem 2.1.2, $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are regular but neither $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ nor $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are regular. Also, from these two theorems, we have that all the semigroups, $P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right), \bar{P}_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ are regular. Note that by Corollary 2.1.3, none of $P_{R E}(X), I_{R E}(X), P_{R E}(Y)$ and $I_{R E}(Y)$ is regular while all $P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right), P_{R E}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ are regular.

### 2.2 Regularity of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$

In this section, we intend to generalize Theorem 1.4 stated that $T_{R E}(X)$ is regular if and only if $|C| \leq 2$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$.

Theorem 2.2.1. The semigroup $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular if and only if for every subchain $C$ of $X$,
(i) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \leq 2$ and
(ii) if $C \cap X^{\prime} \neq \phi$ and $C \cap X^{\prime}$ has an upper bound not in $C \cap X^{\prime}$, then $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right|=1$.

Proof. Assume that every subchain $G$ of $X$ satisfies (i) and (ii). By Proposition 1.2, it suffices to show that every element of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is an idempotent. Let $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $x \in X$. Then $x \geq x \alpha \geq x \alpha^{2}$ and $x \alpha, x \alpha^{2} \in X^{P}$.
Case 1:x $1: x X^{\prime}$. Then $x, x \alpha, x \alpha^{2} \in X^{\prime}$ and $x \geq x \alpha \geq x \alpha^{2}$. It follows from (i) that $x=x \alpha$ or $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$. Hence $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

Case 2: $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. Consider the chain $C=\left\{x \alpha, x \alpha^{2}\right\} \subseteq X^{\prime}$. Then $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ as is an upper bound of $C$. By (ii), $|C|=1$, and thus $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

We therefore conclude that $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$ for all $x \in X$. Hence $\alpha$ is an idempotent.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a chain $C$ of $X$ such that (1) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$ or (2) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ and $C \cap X^{\prime}$ has an upper bound in $X \backslash\left(C \cap X^{\prime}\right)$. In any cases, we have a subchain $a<b<c$ of $X$ with $a, b \in X^{\prime}$. Recall that $X^{\prime}$ satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$. Then for each $x \in X$, there exists $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \leq x$. Define $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
b & c & x \\
a & b & x
\end{array}\right)_{x \in X \backslash\{b, c\}}
$$

Then $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Since $b \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ and $b \alpha=a \neq b$, we have that $\alpha$ is not an idempotent. By Proposition 1.2, $\alpha$ is not a regular element of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.

Hence if $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular, then (i) and (ii) hold.

Theorem 2.2.2. The semigroup $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular if and only if for every subchain $C$ of $X$,
(i) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \leq 2$,
(ii) $\left|C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2$,
(iii) if $C \cap X^{\prime} \neq \phi$ and $C \cap X^{\prime}$ has an upper bound not in $C \cap X^{\prime}$, then $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right|=1$ and
(iv) if $C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right) \neq \phi$ and $C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$ has a lower bound not in $C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$,

Proof. Assume that every chain $C$ of $X$ satisfies (i)-(iv). Let $\alpha \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and


Case 1:x 1 : $X^{\prime}$. Since $X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}$, we have that all $x, x \alpha$ and $x \alpha^{2}$ belong to $X^{\prime}$. It therefore follows from (i) that $x=x \alpha$ or $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$, so $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

Case 2: $x \notin X^{\prime}$ and $x \alpha \in X^{\prime}$. Then $x \alpha^{2} \in X^{\prime}$ since $X^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}$. We then deduce from (iii) that $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

Case $3: x \notin X^{\prime}, x \alpha \notin X^{\prime}$ and $x \alpha^{2} \in X^{\prime}$. Then we have from (iv) that $x=x \alpha$, and hence $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

Case 4: $x \notin X^{\prime}, x \alpha \notin X^{\prime}$ and $x \alpha^{2} \notin X^{\prime}$. It then follows from (ii) that $x=x \alpha$ or $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$ which implies that $x \alpha=x \alpha^{2}$.

This shows that $\alpha^{2}=\alpha$, so $\alpha$ is a regular element of $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.
For the converse, suppose that there exists a subchain $C$ satisfying at least one of the following conditions.
(1) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$,
(2) $\left|C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 3$,
(3) $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ and $C \cap X^{\prime}$ has an upper bound not in itself,
(4) $\left|C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$ and $C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$ has a lower bound not in itself.

Case 1: $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$. Then there are $a, b, c \in C \cap X^{\prime}$ such that $a<b<c$.
Define $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ by


Then $\alpha \in T_{R E}(X)$ and $X^{\prime} \alpha=\{a, b\} \cup\left(X^{\prime} \backslash\{b, c\}\right) \subseteq X^{\prime}$, so $\alpha \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. But $b \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ and $b \alpha=a \neq b$, so $\alpha^{2} \neq \alpha$.


Case $2:\left|C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 3$. Then $e<f<g$ for some $e, f, g \in C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$. Let

Then $\beta \in T_{R E}(X)$ and $x \beta=x$ for all $x \in X^{\prime}$, so $\beta \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Since $f \in \operatorname{ran} \beta$ and $f \beta=e \neq f, \beta^{2} \neq \beta$.

Case 3: $\left|C \cap X^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ and $C \cap X^{\prime}$ has an upper bound $u \in X \backslash\left(C \cap X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $k>h$ for some $k, h \in C \cap X^{\prime}$, and thus $u>k>h$. Let

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
k & u & x \\
h & k & x
\end{array}\right)_{x \in X \backslash\{k, u\}}
$$

Then $\gamma \in T_{R E}(X)$. If $u \in X^{\prime}$, then $X^{\prime} \gamma=\{h, k\} \cup\left(X^{\prime} \backslash\{k, u\}\right)=X^{\prime} \backslash\{u\} \subseteq X^{\prime}$. If $u \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$, then $X^{\prime} \gamma=\{h\} \cup\left(X^{\prime} \backslash\{k\}\right)=X^{\prime} \backslash\{k\} \subseteq X^{\prime}$. Therefore $\gamma \in$ $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Since $k \in \operatorname{ran} \gamma$ and $k \gamma=h \neq k, \gamma^{2} \neq \gamma$.

Case $4:\left|C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$ and $C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$ has a lower bound $l \in X \backslash\left(C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then $p>q$ for some $p, q \in C \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$, and so $p>q>l$. Let

$$
\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
q & p & x \\
l & q & x
\end{array}\right)_{x \in X \backslash\{p, q\}}
$$

Then $\lambda \in T_{R E}(X)$. Since $X^{\prime} \subseteq X \backslash\{p, q\}, X^{\prime} \lambda=X^{\prime}$, thus $\lambda \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. But $q \in \operatorname{ran} \lambda$ and $q \lambda=l \neq q$, so $\lambda^{2} \neq \lambda$.

We therefore deduce from Proposition 1.2 that $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is not a regular semigroup.

Remark 2.2.3. It can be easily seen from Theorem 2.2 .2 that if the semigroup $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular, then the following statements hold.
(i) Every subchain of $X$ has length at most 4.
(ii) If $C=\{a, b, c, d\}$ is a subchain of $X$ such that $a<b<c<d$, then either $C \cap X^{\prime}=\{c, d\}$ or $C \cap X^{\nu}=\underset{\sigma}{=}\{d\}$.
We can see easily that Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.2.2.

Corollary 2.2.4. The semigroup $T_{R E}(X)$ is regular if and only if for every subchain $C$ of $X,|C| \leq 2$.

Also, from Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.2.2 or from Proposition 1.2, we have

Corollary 2.2.5. If $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular semigroup, then so is $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.

Example 2.2.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$ defined by the following Hasse diagrams.


By Theorem 2.2.1, $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is regular, and by Theorem 2.2.2, $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is not regular and both $T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ are regular.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ

## CHAPTER III

## EVENTUALLY REGULAR REGRESSIVE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUPS

The purpose of this chapter is to charaterize when our target regressive transformation semigroups are eventually regular. These characterizations will generalize Theorem 1.6.

Throughout this chapter unless mentioned, $X$ denotes any poset and $X^{\prime}$ denotes a subposet of $X$.

### 3.1 Eventual Regularity of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$

We first give a necessary and sufficient condition of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ to be eventually regular. This condition depends only on $X^{\prime}$. Theorem 3.1.1. Let $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if there exists a positive integer $n$ such


Proof. To prove necessity, assume that $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular. Based on Theorem 1.6, it suffices to show that $S\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular where

$$
S\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } \quad S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \\ I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } \quad S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \\ T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } \quad S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Let $\alpha \in S\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$.
Case 1 : $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\alpha \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Since $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular, $\alpha^{k} \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition 1.2, $\alpha^{k} \in E\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. But $\alpha^{k} \in S\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)$, so $\alpha^{k} \in E\left(S\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Case 2: $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. By $\left(^{*}\right)$, for every $x \in X$, there is an $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \leq x$. Define $\beta: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{cases}x \alpha & \text { if } \\ x \in X^{\prime} \\ x^{\prime} & \text { if } \\ x \in X \backslash X^{\prime} .\end{cases}
$$

Since $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right), \beta$ is clearly an element of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta_{\left.\right|_{X^{\prime}}}=\alpha$. But $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular, thus $\beta^{k} \in \operatorname{Reg}\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $\beta^{k} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by Proposition 1.2. But $\alpha=\beta_{X^{\prime}} \in T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, so $\alpha^{k} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

It therefore follows from Theorem 1.6 that there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X^{\prime}$.

To prove sufficiency, assume that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every chain $C$ of $X^{\prime}$. To show that $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular, let $\alpha \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+1}$. Then 6
Since ran $\alpha \subseteq X_{0}^{\prime}, x \alpha \geq x \alpha^{2} \in \sigma^{2} \geq x \alpha^{n} \geq x \alpha^{n} f$ is a subchain of $X^{\prime}$. We have by assumption that $x \alpha^{i}=x \alpha^{i+1}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Since $x \in$ $\operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+1}, x \alpha^{i} \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+1-i}$, so we have $x \alpha^{n+1}=\left(x \alpha^{i}\right) \alpha^{n+1-i}=\left(x \alpha^{i+1}\right) \alpha^{n+1-i}=$ $x \alpha^{n+2}$. This proves that dom $\alpha^{n+1} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+2}$ and $x \alpha^{n+1}=x \alpha^{n+2}$ for every $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+1}$. But dom $\alpha^{n+2} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{n+1}$, so we have $\alpha^{n+1}=\alpha^{n+2}$. Consequently, $\alpha^{n+1} \in E\left(S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Hence the theorem is proved.

The following corollary is obtained directly from Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 3.1.1.

Corollary 3.1.2. The following statements hold.
(i) $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular.
(ii) $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular.
(iii) $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular. Some easy consequences of Theorem 3.1.1 are as follows:

Corollary 3.1.3. If $X^{\prime}$ is a finite subposet of $X$, then all the semigroups $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are eventually regular.

Corollary 3.1.4. If $X^{\prime}$ is an infinite subchain of $X$, then none of the semigroups $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular.

Example 3.1.5. Let $X$ be a poset and $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ defined by the following Hasse diagrams.


Notice that $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ satisfy the property $\left(^{*}\right)$. We deduce from Theorem 3.1.1 that all $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are eventually regular. We give a remark that from Theorem 1.6, $T_{R E}(X)$ is not eventually regular but $T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular.

### 3.2 Eventual Regularity of $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$

In this section, we give a characterization determining when $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are eventually regular. The next theorem shows that this characterization depends only on $X$ but not on $X^{\prime}$, and it is the same as that given for being eventual regularity of $P_{R E}(X), I_{R E}(X)$ and $T_{R E}(X)$. To obtain this result, the following obvious fact is also needed and the proof is omitted.

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $S$ be a semigroup with $\operatorname{Reg}(S)=E(S)$ and $T$ a subsemigroup of $S$. Then for $a \in T$, if $a$ is an eventually regular element of $S$, then $a$ is an eventually regular element of $T$. Hence if $S$ is eventually regular, then so is $T$.

Theorem 3.2.2. Let $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\bar{S}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if there is a positive integer $n$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$.

Proof. To prove sufficiency, assume that there is a positive integer $n$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$. Then by Theorem 1.6, all $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$ are eventually regular But since $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are respectively subsemigroups of $P_{R E}(X), T_{R E}^{\circ}(X)$ and $I_{R E}(X)$, we have by Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 3.2.1 that all the semigroups $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are eventually regular.

To prove necessary by contrapositive, suppose that there is no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|C| \leq n$ for every subchain $C$ of $X$. By Proposition 1.5, there exists a sequence of disjoint finite subchains $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \ldots$ of $X$ such that $\left|C_{1}\right|<\left|C_{2}\right|<\left|C_{3}\right|<\ldots$. Therefore we deduce that there is a sequence $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \ldots$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
k_{1}<k_{2}<k_{3}<\ldots \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|C_{k_{1}} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|C_{k_{2}} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|C_{k_{3}} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\ldots \text { or } \\
\left|C_{k_{1}} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|C_{k_{2}} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|C_{k_{3}} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $D_{i}=C_{k_{i}}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left|D_{1} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|D_{2} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|D_{3} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\ldots$ or $\left|D_{1} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|D_{2} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|D_{3} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\ldots$.

Case 1: $\left|D_{1} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|D_{2} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\left|D_{3} \cap X^{\prime}\right|<\ldots$. We may assume that $\left|D_{1} \cap X^{\prime}\right|>1$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
D_{i} \cap X^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, x_{i_{2}}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{i_{i}}^{\prime}\right\} \text { where } x_{i_{1}}^{\prime}<x_{i_{2}}^{\prime}<\ldots<x_{i_{i}}^{\prime}
$$

Then $1<l_{1}<l_{2}<\ldots$. Define $\alpha: \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\left(D_{i} \cap X^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i_{j}}^{\prime} \alpha=x_{i_{j-1}}^{\prime} \quad \text { for all } i \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } j \in\left\{2,3, \ldots, l_{i}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\alpha \in I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $l_{k}>2 m$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (1), $x_{k_{l_{k}}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{2 m} \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{m}$ and

$$
x_{k_{l_{k}}}^{\prime} \alpha^{2 m}=x_{k_{l_{k}-2 m}^{\prime}}^{\prime}<x_{k_{l_{k}-m}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=x_{k_{l_{k}}}^{\prime} \alpha^{m} .
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } m \in \mathbb{N} \text {, there is an element } a \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{2 m} \text { such that } a \alpha^{m} \neq a \alpha^{2 m} \text {. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\alpha^{m} \neq \alpha^{2 m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $\alpha^{m} \notin E\left(I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Proposition $12, \alpha$ is not an eventually regular element of $d_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. But $I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a subsemigroup of $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, so by Lemma 3.2.1, $\alpha$ is not eventually regular in $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Define $\beta: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
x \beta= \begin{cases}x \alpha & \text { if } \quad x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \\ x & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\beta \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ since $X^{\prime} \beta=\left(\left(X^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{dom} \alpha\right) \cup\left(X^{\prime} \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha\right)\right) \beta=\left(X^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{dom} \alpha\right) \alpha \cup$ $\left(X^{\prime} \backslash \operatorname{dom} \alpha\right) \subseteq X^{\prime}$. To show that $\beta^{m} \neq \beta^{2 m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be
fixed. By (2), there is an element $a \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha^{2 m}$ such that $a \alpha^{m} \neq a \alpha^{2 m}$. Then $a, a \alpha, \ldots, a \alpha^{2 m-1} \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$. It follows from the definition of $\beta$ that

$$
a \beta=a \alpha, a \alpha \beta=a \alpha^{2}, \ldots, a \alpha^{2 m-1} \beta=a \alpha^{2 m} .
$$

Consequently, $a \beta^{m}=a \alpha^{m}$ and $a \beta^{2 m}=a \alpha^{2 m}$ which imply that $a \beta^{m} \neq a \beta^{2 m}$, so $\beta^{m} \neq \beta^{2 m}$. Therefore $\beta^{m} \notin E\left(\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we deduce from Proposition 1.2 that $\beta$ is not an eventually regular element of $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.

Case 2: $\left|D_{1} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|D_{2} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\left|D_{3} \cap\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)\right|<\ldots$. By considering $X \backslash X^{\prime}$ as $X^{\prime}$ in Case 1, we also have a map $\lambda \in I_{R E}\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the property that
for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an element $a \in \operatorname{dom} \lambda^{2 m}$ such that $a \lambda^{m} \neq a \lambda^{2 m}$.

This implies by Proposition 1.2 that $\lambda$ is not an eventually regular element of $I_{R E}\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$. But $I_{R E}\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right)$ is clearly a subsemigroup of $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 3.2.1, $\lambda$ is not eventually regular in $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Define $\mu: X \rightarrow X$ by


Since $X^{\prime} \subseteq X \backslash \operatorname{dom} \lambda, x \mu=x$ for all $x \in X^{\prime}$, so $\mu \in \bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. From (3) and the definition of $\mu$, we can prove similary as in Case 1 that $\mu^{m} \neq \mu^{2 m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus by Proposition $1.2, \mu$ is not eventually regular in $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.

Therefore the theorem is completely proved.

From Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 3.2.2, we have

Corollary 3.2.3. The following statements hold.
(i) $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $P_{R E}(X)$ is eventually regular.
(ii) $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $I_{R E}(X)$ is eventually regular.
(iii) $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular if and only if $T_{R E}(X)$ is eventually regular.

Also, the next result follows directly from Theorem 3.2.2.

Corollary 3.2.4. If $X$ is an infinite chain, then none of the semigroups $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, $\bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is eventually regular.

Example 3.2.5. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be defined as in Example 3.1.5. By Theorem 3.2.2, $\bar{P}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \bar{I}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{T}_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are not eventually regular. However, all of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ are eventually regular.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ

## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## CHAPTER IV

## ISOMORPHISM THEOREMS OF REGRESSIVE TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUPS

We first intend to generalize Umar's Theorem (Theorem 1.7) stated that for chains $X$ and $Y, T_{R E}(X) \cong T_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic. In fact, some other interesting isomorphism theorems are also provided in this chapter.

### 4.1 Elementary Results

Some required elementary results are provided in this section. These results will be referred later.

Proposition 4.1.1. Let $X$ be a poset, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and let $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ has an identity: (ii) For all $^{2} \in X, X^{\prime}$ and
(ii) For all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime}$, either $a<b$ or $a$ and $b$ are uncomparable.

$P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \quad$ if $\quad S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and
$I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \quad$ if $\quad S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). To prove by contrapositive, assume that there are $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime}$ such that $a>b$. Then $\binom{a}{b} \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. If $\alpha \in S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, then ran $\alpha \subseteq X^{\prime}$, so $a \notin \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ which implies that $\alpha\binom{a}{b}=0 \neq\binom{ a}{b}$.

This shows that $S\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ has no identity.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Suppose that (ii) holds. Clearly, $P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\alpha$ be an element of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $x \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha$. Then $x \alpha \leq x$ and $x \alpha \in X^{\prime}$. By (ii), $x$ must be an element of $X^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\alpha \in\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } \\
& \alpha \in P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \\
I_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) & \text { if }
\end{array} \quad \alpha \in I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) .\right.
$$

Therefore (iii) is proved.
$(\mathrm{iii}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$. Obvious.

Proposition 4.1.2. Let $X$ be a chain and $X^{\prime}$ a proper subchain of $X$. If the semigroup $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ has an identity, then the following statements hold.
(i) $\min X$ exists.
(ii) For all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}, a<b$.

Proof. Let $\eta$ be the identity of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. By the property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$, for every $x \in X$, there exists an element $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \leq x$.

Suppose that $X$ has no minimum element. From the above reason, $X^{\prime}$ has no minimum element. Let $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. Then $a>a \eta \in X^{\prime}$, so $a>a \eta>b$ for some $b \in X^{\prime}$. Define $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by 9 gelqu』 ค9Nค)

Then $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, so $\alpha \eta=\eta \alpha=\alpha$. Hence $b=(a \eta) \alpha=a \alpha=a \eta$, a contradiction. This shows that (i) holds, that is, $\min X$ exists. By $\left(^{*}\right), \min X^{\prime}=\min X$. Suppose that there are $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}$ such that $a>b$. Then
$a>b>\min X$. Define $\beta: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by

$$
x \beta= \begin{cases}b & \text { if } \quad x=a \\ \min X & \text { if } \quad x \in X^{\prime} \\ x^{\prime} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\beta \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and thus $\beta \eta=\eta \beta=\beta$. Since $a \eta \in X^{\prime}, a \eta \beta=\min X$. Hence $b=a \beta=a \eta \beta=\min X$, a contradiction. Therefore (ii) is proved.

The following result is similar to Proposition 1.8. The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 1.8 and we shall omit it

Proposition 4.1.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$. If there is an order-isomorphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $X^{\prime} \varphi=Y^{\prime}$, then $\alpha \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi$ is an isomorphism of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ onto $P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, of $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ onto $I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ onto $T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$.

Example 4.1.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow n \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $x \varphi=n x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an order-isomorphism and $(m \mathbb{Z}) \varphi=m n \mathbb{Z}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Proposition 4.1.3 that


The converse of Proposition 4.1.3 is not necessary true even when $X$ and $Y$ are chains. To see this, let $X$ and $Y$ be finite chains such that $|X| \neq|Y|$. Then $\left|T_{R E}(X,\{\min X\})\right|=1=\left|T_{R E}(Y,\{\min Y\})\right|$. Hence $T_{R E}(X,\{\min X\})$ and $T_{R E}(Y,\{\min Y\})$ are isomorphic but $X$ and $Y$ are not order-isomorphic. A nontrival example can be seen in the last part of Section 4.2.

### 4.2 Isomorphism Theorems of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$

We shall prove in this section that for chains $X$ and $Y$, a subchain $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ and a subchain $Y^{\prime}$ of $Y$, if $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are orderisomorphic. This result and Proposition 4.1.3 generalize Umar's Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 1.7). Our idea of the proof is based on the proof of Theorem 1.7 given by A. Umar [6].

An order-ideal of a poset $X$ is a nonempty subset $A$ of $X$ having the following property:

$$
\text { for } x \in X, x \leq a \text { for some } a \in A \text { implies } x \in A \text {. }
$$

Also, for a subposet $X^{\prime}$ of $X$, an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$ is a nonempty subset $B$ of $X^{\prime}$ having the following property:

$$
\text { for } x \in X^{\prime}, x \leq b \text { for some } b \in B \text { implies } x \in B \text {. }
$$

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a subposet of a poset $X$. If $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is such that rano is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$, then $\alpha E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right) \subseteq E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Let $\beta \in E\left(\overline{T_{R E}}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and let $x \in X$. Then $x \alpha \beta \leq x \alpha$. Since $x \alpha \beta \in X^{\prime}$, $x \alpha \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$ and ran $\alpha$ is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$, it follows that $x \alpha \beta \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$. But $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$, so $x \alpha \beta \alpha=x \alpha \beta$. Since $\beta^{2}=\beta$, we have $x \alpha \beta=(x \alpha \beta) \beta=$ $(x \alpha \beta \alpha) \beta=x(\alpha \beta)^{2}$. We then deduce that $\alpha \beta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot \mathcal{G}$

Lemma 4.2.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be chains, $X^{\prime}$ a subchain of $X, Y^{\prime}$ a subchain of $Y$ and $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ an isomorphism. Then for $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$, rano is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ is an order-ideal of $Y^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Assume that $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ is not an order-ideal of $Y^{\prime}$. Then there are $y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y^{\prime}$ such that $y_{1}<y_{2}, y_{2} \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ and $y_{1} \notin \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(\alpha \varphi)<y_{1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\beta: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ by

$$
y \beta=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
y_{1} & \text { if } & y \geq y_{1} \\
y & \text { if } & y \in Y^{\prime} \text { and } y<y_{1} \\
y(\alpha \varphi) & \text { if } & y \in Y \backslash Y^{\prime} \text { and } y<y_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\beta \in T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $y_{2}>y_{1}$ and $y_{2} \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=y_{2} \beta \in \operatorname{ran}((\alpha \varphi) \beta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y \in Y$ is such that $y \geq y_{1}$, then $y \beta^{2}=y_{1} \beta=y_{1}=y \beta$. If $y \in Y^{\prime}$ and $y<y_{1}$, then $y \beta^{2}=y=y \beta$. Next, let $y \in Y \backslash Y^{\prime}$ be such that $y<y_{1}$. Then $y \beta=y(\alpha \varphi) \leq$ $y<y_{1}$. Since $y(\alpha \varphi) \in Y^{\prime}$ and $y<y_{1}$, we have $y \beta^{2}=(y(\alpha \varphi)) \beta=y(\alpha \varphi)=y \beta$. This shows that $\beta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Then $\beta=\gamma \varphi$ for some $\gamma \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. But $y_{1}(\alpha \varphi) \beta \leq y_{1}(\alpha \varphi)<y_{1}$ by (1) and $y_{1} \in \operatorname{ran}((\alpha \varphi) \beta)$ by (2), so we have $(\alpha \gamma) \varphi=(\alpha \varphi)(\gamma \varphi)=(\alpha \varphi) \beta \notin E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Hence $\alpha \gamma \notin E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$. By Lemma 4.2.1, this proves that ran $\alpha$ is not an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$.

Since $\varphi^{-1}: T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, the converse follows from the above proof.

Observe that the range of the map $\beta$ defined in the proof of Lemma 4.2.2 is also an order-ideal of $Y^{\prime}$ whose maximum element is $y_{1}$. To be more precise, $\operatorname{ran} \beta=\left\{y \in Y^{\prime} \mid y \leq y_{1}\right\}$. It can be easily seen that for any $a \in X,\{x \in X \mid x \leq$ $a\}$ is an order-ideal of $X$ whose maximum element is $a$. For ease in writing, it will be denoted by $(\leftarrow a]_{X}$. Therefore, for any subposet $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ and $a \in X^{\prime}$, $(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}=(\leftarrow a]_{X} \cap X^{\prime}$ is the order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$ whose maximum element is $a$.

The following lemmas are required. The first one is obvious.

Lemma 4.2.3. Let $X$ be a poset and $K=\left\{(\leftarrow a]_{X} \mid a \in X\right\}$. Partially order $K$ by inclusion. Then the map $a \mapsto(\leftarrow a]_{X}$ is an order-isomorphism of $X$ onto $K$.

Lemma 4.2.4. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a subchain of a chain $X$. Then for every $a \in X^{\prime}$, there exists a map $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that rano $=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$.

Proof. By $\left({ }^{*}\right)$, for every $x \in X$, there is an element $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \leq x$. Let $a \in X^{\prime}$ and define $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by


Then $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{ran} \alpha=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$.
Lemma 4.2.5. Let $X^{\prime}$ be a subposet of a poset $X$. Then for each $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, there is an element $\alpha^{*} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that ran $\alpha^{*}=\operatorname{ran\alpha }$ and $\alpha \alpha^{*}=\alpha$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Define $\alpha^{*}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by


Thus $\alpha^{*} \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Let $x \in X$. Then $x \alpha \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$, so $x \alpha \alpha^{*}=x \alpha$. If $x \in \operatorname{ran} \alpha$, then $x\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{2}=x=x \alpha^{*}$. If $x \in X \backslash \operatorname{ran} \alpha$, then $x\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{2}=(x \alpha) \alpha^{*}=x \alpha=x \alpha^{*}$. This shows that $\alpha \alpha^{*}=\alpha$ and $\left.\alpha^{*}\right)^{2}=\alpha^{*}$. It is clear by the definition of $\alpha^{*}$ that $\operatorname{ran} \alpha^{*}=\operatorname{ran} \alpha$.
Lemma 4.2.6. Det $X$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ be chains, $X^{\prime} \mid$ a subchain of $X, Y$ a subchain of $Y$, $R_{1}=\left\{\right.$ ran $\left.\alpha \mid \alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $R_{2}=\left\{\right.$ ran $\left.\alpha \mid \alpha \in T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Partially order $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ by inclusion. Let $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ be an isomorphism and $\bar{\varphi}: R_{1} \rightarrow R_{2}$ defined by (ran $\left.\alpha\right) \bar{\varphi}=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ for all $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $\bar{\varphi}$ is an order-isomorphism of $R_{1}$ onto $R_{2}$.
(ii) $\left(\left\{(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \mid a \in X^{\prime}\right\}\right) \bar{\varphi}=\left\{(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}} \mid b \in Y^{\prime}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha^{*}$ be defined as in Lemma 4.2.5 for $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ or $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$.
(i) Let $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be arbitrary fixed. By Lemma 4.2.5, $\alpha^{*} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{ran} \alpha^{*}=\operatorname{ran} \alpha \text { and } \alpha \alpha^{*}=\alpha
$$

and $(\alpha \varphi)^{*} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)^{*}=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi) \text { and }(\alpha \varphi)(\alpha \varphi)^{*}=\alpha \varphi .
$$

Since $(\alpha \varphi)\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right)=\alpha \varphi$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)^{*}=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right)
$$

and since $(\alpha \varphi)^{*} \varphi^{-1} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right), \operatorname{ran} \alpha^{*}=\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran}\left(\alpha \varphi \varphi^{-1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}\left((\alpha \varphi)^{*} \varphi^{-1}\right)$.
This implies that $\alpha^{*}\left((\alpha \varphi)^{*} \varphi^{-1}\right)=\bar{\alpha}^{*}$. Thus $\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right)(\alpha \varphi)^{*}=\alpha^{*} \varphi$, and so

$$
\operatorname{ran}\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)^{*}
$$

This proves that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } \alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{ran}\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right)=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)^{*} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, to show that $\bar{\varphi}$ is an order-isomorphism of $R_{1}$ onto $R_{2}$, let $\alpha, \beta \in$ $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \beta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{ran} \alpha^{*} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \beta^{*}
$$

$$
\text { 6.6 } \underbrace{\Leftrightarrow}_{\Leftrightarrow}\left(\alpha^{*} \varphi\right)\left(\beta^{*} \varphi\right)=\alpha^{*} \varphi \beta^{*} \bar{q} \alpha^{*} \varrho \| \underbrace{\propto} \text { since } \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)^{*} \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)^{*} \quad \text { from (1) } \\
& \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\operatorname{ran} \beta \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)=\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore conclude from (3) that $\bar{\varphi}$ is well-defined and one-to-one and from (2) that $\bar{\varphi}$ is order-preserving. Clearly, $\bar{\varphi}$ is onto since $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is onto.
(ii) Let $a \in X^{\prime}$. By Lemma 4.2.4, there is a map $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \alpha=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$. Since $(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$ is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$, by Lemma 4.2.2, $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ is an order-ideal of $Y^{\prime}$. To show that $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)=(\leftarrow e]_{Y^{\prime}}$ for some $e \in Y^{\prime}$, let $b \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. Then $(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. If $(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}}=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$, then we are done. Assume that $(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}} \subsetneq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. By Lemma 4.2.4, there is a $\operatorname{map} \beta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \beta=(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}}$. Let $\gamma \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be such that $\gamma \varphi=\beta$. Hence $\operatorname{ran}(\gamma \varphi) \subsetneq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. We therefore have from (i) that $\operatorname{ran} \gamma \subsetneq \operatorname{ran} \alpha$. Also, by Lemma 4.2.2, $\operatorname{ran} \gamma$ is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$. Let $c \in \operatorname{ran} \gamma$. Then $(\leftarrow c]_{X^{\prime}} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \gamma \subsetneq(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}, \text { so }} c<a$. By the property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$, for every $x \in X$, there is an element $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \leq x$. Define $\lambda: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by


Clearly, $\lambda \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{ran} \lambda=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \backslash\{a\} \subsetneq(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}=\operatorname{ran} \alpha$. By (i), $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda \varphi) \subsetneq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. Let $d \in \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi) \backslash \operatorname{ran}(\lambda \varphi)$. Then $\operatorname{ran}(\lambda \varphi) \subsetneq(\leftarrow d]_{Y^{\prime}}$ $\subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$, and by Lemma 4.2.4, $\operatorname{ran} \eta=(\leftarrow d]_{Y^{\prime}}$ for some $\eta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Let $\mu \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be such that $\mu \varphi=\eta$. Thus ran $(\lambda \varphi) \subsetneq \operatorname{ran}(\mu \varphi) \subseteq \operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ which implies by (i) that $(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \backslash\{a\}=\operatorname{ran} \lambda \subsetneq \operatorname{ran} \mu \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \alpha=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$. Consequently, $\operatorname{ran} \mu=\operatorname{ran} \alpha$, and from $(\mathrm{i}), \operatorname{ran}(\mu \varphi)=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$. Hence $(\leftarrow$ $a]_{X^{\prime}} \bar{\varphi}=\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)=\operatorname{ran}(\eta)=(\leftarrow d]_{Y^{\prime}}$. It means that for any $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \alpha$ is an order-ideal of $X^{\prime}$ with $\max (\operatorname{ran} \alpha)$ exists, then $\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)$ is also an order-ideal of $Y^{\prime}$ with $\max (\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi))$ exists.

By considering $\varphi^{-1}$ instead of $\varphi$, from the above proof, we have that for ev-
ery $d \in Y^{\prime}$, there are $\eta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $a \in X^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{ran} \eta=(\leftarrow d]_{Y^{\prime}}$ and $\operatorname{ran}\left(\eta \varphi^{-1}\right)=(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}}$, and hence $(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \bar{\varphi}=\left(\operatorname{ran}\left(\eta \varphi^{-1}\right)\right) \bar{\varphi}=\operatorname{ran}\left(\left(\eta \varphi^{-1}\right) \varphi\right)=\operatorname{ran} \eta=$ $(\leftarrow d]_{Y^{\prime}}$.

Therefore the lemma is proved.

Theorem 4.2.7. Let $X$ and $Y$ be chains, $X^{\prime}$ a subchain of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subchain of $Y$. If $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 .6 (ii), the chains $\left\{(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \mid a \in X^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\left\{(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}} \mid b \in\right.$ $\left.Y^{\prime}\right\}$ under inclusion are order-isomorphic. But by Lemma 4.2.3, $\left\{(\leftarrow a]_{X^{\prime}} \mid a \in\right.$ $\left.X^{\prime}\right\}$ is order-isomorphic to $X^{\prime}$ and $\left\{(\leftarrow b]_{Y^{\prime}} \mid b \in Y^{\prime}\right\}$ is order-isomorphic to $Y^{\prime}$. Hence $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic.

Since $T_{R E}(X)=T_{R E}(X, X)$ for every chain $X$, we have that Umar's Isomorphism Theorem is a consequence of Theorem 4.2.7 and Proposition 4.1.3.

Corollary 4.2.8. For chains $X$ and $Y, T_{R E}(X) \cong T_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.

Unlike Umar's Isomorphism Theorem, the necessary condition in Theorem 4.2.7 is not sufficient. An example is given below

Example 4.2.9. Let $X=\{1,2,3\}$ be a chain under the natural order, $X_{1}=\{1,2\}$ and $X_{2} \oplus\{1,3\}$. Then $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are order-isomorphicsubchains of $X$ but

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right)\right\} \text { and } \\
T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

are not isomorphic.

Example 4.2.9 shows that being order-isomorphic of subchains $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ of a finite chain $X$ is not sufficient for the corresponding regressive full transformation semigroups to be isomorphic. In fact, the next theorem shows that they must be equal. The following lemma is required.

Lemma 4.2.10. Let $X$ be a poset with a minimum element and $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ subposets of $X$. If $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism, then the following statements hold.
(i) For $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N},|\operatorname{ran\alpha }|=n \Leftrightarrow|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)|=n$.
(ii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)| | \text { ran } \alpha \mid=n\right\}|=|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)| | \text { ran } \alpha \mid=n\right\} \mid .
$$

Proof. (i) By the property $\left(^{*}\right), \min X \in X_{1}$ and $\min X \in X_{2}$. Note that $X_{\min X} \alpha=$ $X_{\min X}$ for all $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \cup T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$. It is easily seen that if $\alpha \in$ $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \cup T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ is such that $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha|=1$, then $\alpha=X_{\min X} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ $\cap E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)$. Let $\beta \in T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)$ be such that $\beta \varphi=X_{\min X}$. Since $X_{\min X} \beta=$ $X_{\min X}$, we have

$$
X_{\min X} \varphi=\left(X_{\min X} \beta\right) \varphi=\left(\left(X_{\min X}\right) \varphi\right) X_{\min X}=X_{\min X}
$$

This shows that (i) holds for $n \xlongequal{=} 1$.
Assume that $k>1$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n<k,|\operatorname{ran} \alpha|=n \Leftrightarrow|\operatorname{ran}(\alpha \varphi)|=n$ for all $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ Let $\sigma \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ be such that $|\operatorname{ran} \beta|=k$. Then $\beta \varphi \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)$ and by assumption, $|\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)| \geq k$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be distinct elements in $\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)$ with $a_{k}=\min X$. Since $\beta \varphi \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(\beta \varphi)^{-1}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{\substack{x \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi) \\ x \notin\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}} x(\beta \varphi)^{-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a disjoint union. Since $x(\beta \varphi)=x$ for all $x \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in x(\beta \varphi)^{-1} \quad \text { for all } x \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for all } x \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi), x \leq y \text { for all } y \in x(\beta \varphi)^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\beta \varphi$ is regressive. Define $\gamma: X \rightarrow X_{2}$ by

$$
x \gamma= \begin{cases}a_{i} & \text { if } \quad x \in a_{i}(\beta \varphi)^{-1} \\ \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, k, \\ \min X & \text { if } \\ \bigcup_{\substack{y \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi) \\ y \notin\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}} y(\beta \varphi)^{-1} .\end{cases}
$$

From (1), $\gamma$ is well-defined and from (3), $\gamma$ is regressive. By the definition of $\gamma$, $\operatorname{ran} \gamma=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}=\min X\right\} \subseteq X_{2}$. By (2), $a_{i} \gamma=a_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Thus $\gamma \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\mid$ ran $\gamma \mid=k$. Since $\operatorname{ran} \gamma=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)$ and $a_{i}(\beta \varphi)=a_{i}$ for all $i$, it follows that $\gamma(\beta \varphi)=\gamma$. Thus $\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right) \beta=$ $\gamma \varphi^{-1}$ which implies that $\operatorname{ran}\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \beta$. Since $|\operatorname{ran} \gamma|=k$, by assumption $\left|\operatorname{ran}\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right)\right| \geq k$. But $|\operatorname{ran} \beta|=k$ and $\operatorname{ran}\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \beta$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ran}\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{ran} \beta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $x \in a_{i}(\beta \varphi)^{-1}$, then

$$
x(\beta \varphi) \gamma=a_{i} \gamma=a_{i}=x \gamma
$$

If $x \in y(\beta \varphi)^{-1}$ for some $y \in \operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)$ with $y \notin\left\{\varpi_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$, then $x, y \in y(\beta \varphi)^{-1}$ by (2), then by the definition of $\alpha$,

## 

It follows from (1) that $(\beta \varphi) \gamma=\gamma$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right)=\gamma \varphi^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore for every $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right) & =x \beta\left(\gamma \varphi^{-1}\right) & & \text { from (5) } \\
& =x \beta & & \text { from (4) and since } \gamma \varphi^{-1} \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that $\gamma \varphi^{-1}=\beta$ and thus $\beta \varphi=\gamma$. Therefore $|\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)|=|\operatorname{ran} \gamma|=k$.
If $\beta \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ is such that $|\operatorname{ran}(\beta \varphi)|=k$, it can be shown analogously that $\left|\operatorname{ran}\left((\beta \varphi) \varphi^{-1}\right)\right|=k$, so $|\operatorname{ran} \beta|=k$.

Therefore (i) is proved.
(ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism, by (i), $\varphi_{n}:\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)| | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \mid=n\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)| | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \mid=n\right\}$ defined by $\alpha \varphi_{n}=\alpha \varphi$ for all $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)$ is a bijection.

Hence (ii) is proved.

Theorem 4.2.11. Let $X$ be a finite chain and $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ subchains of $X$. Then $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ if and only if $X_{1}=X_{2}$.

Proof. The sufficiency part is immediate. To prove the necessity part, assume that $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic. By Theorem 4.2.7, $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|X_{2}\right|$. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $x_{1} \leqslant x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n}$. By the property $\left({ }^{*}\right), x_{1} \in X_{1} \cap X_{2}$. Then $x_{1} \alpha=x_{1}$ for every $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right) \cup T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$. To show that $X_{1}=X_{2}$, suppose instead that $X_{1} \neq X_{2}$. Since $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are finite and $\left|X_{1}\right|=\left|X_{2}\right|$, it follows that $X_{1} \backslash X_{2} \neq \phi$ and $X_{2} \backslash X_{1} \neq \phi$. Since $\left(X_{1} \backslash X_{2}\right) \cap\left(X_{2} \backslash X_{1}\right)=\phi$, either $\min \left(X_{1} \backslash X_{2}\right)<\min \left(X_{2} \backslash X_{1}\right)$ or $\min \left(X_{2} \backslash X_{1}\right)<\min \left(X_{1} \backslash X_{2}\right)$. Let

## 6 6 $x_{k}=\min \left\{\min \left(X_{1} \wedge X_{2}\right), \min \left(X_{2} \backslash X_{1}\right)\right\}$.

Then $k<\mu^{n}$. Since $x_{1} \in X_{1} \cap X_{2},{ }^{1}<k \circ<n$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x_{k}=\min \left(X_{1} \backslash X_{2}\right)$. For $x \in X_{1}$ with $x<x_{k}$, if $x \notin X_{2}$, then $x \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ which is contrary to that $x<x_{k}=\min \left(X_{1} \backslash X_{2}\right)$. For $x \in X_{2}$ with $x<x_{k}$, if $x \notin X_{1}$, then $x \in X_{2} \backslash X_{1}$, so $x \geq \min \left(X_{2} \backslash X_{1}\right)>x_{k}$, a contradiction. Hence $\left\{x \in X_{1} \mid x<x_{k}\right\}=\left\{x \in X_{2} \mid x<x_{k}\right\}$. Let $A=\left\{x \in X_{1} \mid x<x_{k}\right\}$. Then $x_{1} \in A$. Since $A \subseteq X_{1} \cap X_{2}$, it follows that the sets $\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq\right.$ $A$ and $|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| \leq 2\}$ and $\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq A\right.$ and $\left.|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| \leq 2\right\}$ are
identical. Let $m$ be its cardinality, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
m & =\mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq A \text { and }|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| \leq 2\right\} \mid \\
& =\mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq A \text { and }|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| \leq 2\right\} \mid . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that for $t \in\{2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}(X)\right)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{ran} \alpha=\left\{x_{1}, x_{t}\right\} \Leftrightarrow\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t-1}\right\} \alpha=\left\{x_{1}\right\}, x_{t} \alpha=x_{t} \\
\\
\text { and }\left\{x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \alpha \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, x_{t}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For } t \in\{2, \ldots, n\},\left|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}(X)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha=\left\{x_{1}, x_{t}\right\}\right\}\right|=2^{n-t} \text {. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right)||\operatorname{ran\alpha }| \leq 2\} \mid\right.\right. \\
& \quad \geq \mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha \subseteq A \text { and }|\operatorname{ran} \alpha| \leq 2\right\} \mid \\
& \\
& \quad+\left|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{ran} \alpha=\left\{x_{1}, x_{k}\right\}\right\}\right|  \tag{3}\\
& \quad=m+2^{n-k} . \quad \text { from (1) and (2) }
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x_{k} \notin X_{2}, X_{2}=A \cup\left(\left\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \cap X_{2}\right)$, and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right) \mid \text { ran } \alpha \mid \leq 2\right\}|?| Q \\
& =\mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right) \mid \text { ran } \alpha \subseteq A \text { and } \mid \text { ran } \alpha \mid \leq 2\right\} \mid+ \\
& \mid\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right) \mid \text { ran } \alpha \in\left\{x_{1}, x\right\} \text { forsome } x \in\left\{x_{k \nmid 1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \cap X_{2}\right\} \mid \\
& \leq m+2^{n-(k+1)}+2^{n-(k+2)}+\ldots+2+1 \quad \text { from (1) and (2) } \\
& =m+2^{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2^{n-k}}\right) \\
& <m+2^{n-k} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3) and (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{\alpha \in E ( T _ { R E } ( X , X _ { 1 } ) ) | | \operatorname { r a n } \alpha | \leq 2 \} \left|>\left|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)| | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \mid \leq 2\right\}\right|\right.\right.\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ is finite and $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{1}\right)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic, by Lemma 4.2.10 (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{\alpha \in E ( T _ { R E } ( X , X _ { 1 } ) ) | | \operatorname { r a n } \alpha | \leq 2 \} \left|=\left|\left\{\alpha \in E\left(T_{R E}\left(X, X_{2}\right)\right)| | \operatorname{ran} \alpha \mid \leq 2\right\}\right| .\right.\right.\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (5) and (6) yield a contradiction.
Hence the theorem is completely proved.

The following example shows that Theorem 4.2.11 need not hold if $X$ is an infinite chain.

Example 4.2.12. Consider the chain $\mathbb{Z}$. We have that $\mathbb{Z}^{-} \neq \mathbb{Z}^{-} \cup\{0\}$ and $T_{R E}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^{-}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^{-} \cup\{0\}\right)$ by Proposition 4.1.3 since $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $x \varphi=x+1$ is an order-isomorphism and $\mathbb{Z}^{-} \varphi=\mathbb{Z}^{-} \cup\{0\}$.

The next theorems characterizes when $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $T_{R E}(X)$ and when $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to $T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ when $X^{\prime}$ is a subchain of a chain $X$. Since both $T_{R E}(X)$ and $T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ have an identity, Proposition 4.1.2 is also a tool for these characterizations.

Lemma 4.2.13. Let $X$ be a chain and $X^{\prime}$ a subchain of $X$. If $\min X$ exists and $a<b$ for all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{$ min $X\}$, then for all $\alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}, a \alpha=\min X$.
$\left.X^{\prime}\right)$ and $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. Then $a>a \alpha \in X^{\prime}$, so by assumption, $a \alpha=\min X$.

Theorem 4.2.14. Let $X$ be a chain and $X^{\prime}$ a proper subchain of $X$. Then $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}(X)$ if and only if the following statements hold.
(i) $X^{\prime}$ and $X$ are order-isomorphic.
(ii) $\min X$ exists and $a<b$ for all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}$.

Proof. Assume that $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}(X)$ are isomorphic. Then $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $T_{R E}(X)$ have an identity. By Theorem 4.2.7, $X^{\prime}$ and $X$ are order-isomorphic. By Proposition 4.1.2, $\min X$ exists and $a<b$ for all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}$. Recall that $\min X=\min X^{\prime}$.

For the converse, assume that (i) and (ii) hold. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be an orderisomorphism. Then $(\min X) \varphi=\min X$. For $\alpha \in T_{R E}(X)$, define $\alpha^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by

$$
x \alpha^{\prime}= \begin{cases}x\left(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi\right) & \text { if } \\ \min X & \text { if } \\ \operatorname{mi\in X} X^{\prime} \\ & x \backslash X^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

We can see from the proof of Proposition 1.8 that $\alpha^{\prime} \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\bar{\varphi}$ : $T_{R E}(X) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\alpha \bar{\varphi}=\alpha^{\prime} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in T_{R E}(X)
$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{R E}(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Case 1: $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$. Then $x(\alpha \beta)^{\prime}=\min X$ and $x \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}=(\min X) \beta^{\prime}=\min X$.

Case 2: $x \in X^{\prime}$. Then $x \varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi \in X^{\prime}$, and thus $x(\alpha \beta)^{\prime}=x\left(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \beta \varphi\right)=$ $x\left(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi\right)\left(\varphi^{-1} \beta \varphi\right)=x \alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$.
Therefore $\bar{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism. 9 ,
To show that $\bar{\varphi}$ is one-to-one, let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{R E}(X)$ be such that $\alpha^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime}$. Then $x\left(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi\right)=x\left(\varphi_{0}^{-1} \beta \varphi\right)$ for all $\overparen{x} \in X^{\prime}$ which implies that ${ }^{\circ}$

$$
x \varphi^{-1} \alpha=x \varphi^{-1} \beta \quad \text { for all } x \in X^{\prime} .
$$

Since $X^{\prime} \varphi^{-1}=X$, it then follows that $x \alpha=x \beta$ for all $x \in X$, we conclude that $\alpha=\beta$.

Finally, to show that $\operatorname{ran} \bar{\varphi}=T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, let $\beta \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\varphi \beta \varphi^{-1} \in$
$T_{R E}(X)$. Since $(\min X) \beta=\min X$, by Lemma 4.2.13, $x \beta=\min X$ for all $x \in$ $\left(X \backslash X^{\prime}\right) \cup\{\min X\}$. Hence

$$
x\left(\varphi \beta \varphi^{-1}\right)^{\prime}= \begin{cases}x\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\varphi \beta \varphi^{-1}\right) \varphi\right)=x \beta & \text { if } \quad x \in X^{\prime}, \\ \min X=x \beta & \text { if } \quad x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore the theorem is completety proved.

Theorem 4.2.15. Let $X$ be a chain and $X^{\prime}$ a proper subchain of $X$. Then $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\min X$ exists and $a<b$ for all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}$.

Proof. The necessary part follows directly from Proposition 4.1.2.
Conversely, assume that $\min X$ exists and $a<b$ for all $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in$ $X^{\prime} \backslash\{\min X\}$. Define $\varphi: T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ by

$$
\alpha \varphi=\alpha_{\left.\right|^{\prime}}, \text { the restriction of } \alpha \text { to } X^{\prime}, \text { for all } \alpha \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$. If $x \in X^{\prime}$, then $x \alpha \in X^{\prime}$, so $x(\alpha \beta)_{\left.\right|_{X^{\prime}}}=x \alpha \beta=x\left(\left.\alpha_{\left.\right|_{X^{\prime}}} \beta\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right)$. Thus $\varphi$ is a homomorphism. To show that $\varphi$ is one-to-one, assume that $\alpha_{\left.\right|_{X^{\prime}}}=\beta_{X^{\prime}}$. Then $x \alpha=x \beta$ for all $x \in X^{\prime}$. If $x \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$, then by assumption and Lemma 4.2.13, $x \alpha=\min X=x \beta$. Therefore $\alpha=\beta$. Finally, let $\lambda \in T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Define $\mu: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ by 6) 9 か9 Me\|95?

Then $\mu \in T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{X^{\prime}}=\lambda$. Hence $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of $T_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ onto $T_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.

Therefore the theorem is proved.

Example 4.2.16. We can easily see that the map $\varphi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow\{0\} \cup(1, \infty)$
defined by

$$
x \varphi=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & x=0 \\
x+1 & \text { if } & x>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an order-isomorphism. Also, the subchain $\{0\} \cup(1, \infty)$ of $[0, \infty)$ satisfies the necessity parts of Theorem 4.2.14 and Theorem 4.2.15. We therefore have from Theorem 4.2.14 and Theorem 4.2.15 that

$$
T_{R E}([0, \infty)) \cong T_{R E}([0, \infty),\{0\} \cup(1, \infty)) \cong T_{R E}(\{0\} \cup(1, \infty)) .
$$

In fact, that $T_{R E}([0, \infty)) \cong T_{R E}(\{0\} \cup(1, \infty))$ can be considered as a consequence of Umar's Isomorphism Theorem. It is easy to check that $\{0\} \cup[1, \infty)$ and $[0, \infty)$ are not order-isomorphic. However, the subchain $\{0\} \cup[1, \infty)$ of the chain $[0, \infty)$ satisfies the necessity part of Theorem 4.2.15. Consequently,

$$
T_{R E}([0, \infty)) \not \approx T_{R E}([0, \infty),\{0\} \cup[1, \infty)) \cong T_{R E}(\{0\} \cup[1, \infty))
$$

### 4.3 Isomorphism Theorems of $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$

The aim of this section is to give necessary conditions for that $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong$ $P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ where $X$ and $Y$ are posets, $X^{\prime}$ is a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ is a subposet of $Y$. Consequently, we characterize when $P_{R E}(X) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$ and when $I_{R E}(X) \cong I_{R E}(Y)$.

The following two lemmas are required. 9 g ?

Lemma 4.3.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $\varphi: I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, then
for every $a \in X^{\prime}$, there exists an $\bar{a} \in Y^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\binom{a}{a} \varphi=\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} .
$$

(ii) If $\varphi: P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, then
for every $a \in X^{\prime}$, there exists an $\bar{a} \in Y^{\prime}$ and $A \subseteq Y \backslash Y^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\binom{a}{a} \varphi=\binom{A \cup\{\bar{a}\}}{\bar{a}}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $a \in X^{\prime}$. Then $\binom{a}{a} \varphi \in E\left(I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\bar{a} \in \operatorname{ran}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)$. Thus $\bar{a} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)$ and $\bar{a}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)=\bar{a}$. Consequently,

$$
\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)=\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}\right)\binom{a}{a}=\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}
$$

Thus $\varnothing \neq \operatorname{ran}\left(\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}\right) \subseteq\{a\}$ and so $\operatorname{ran}\left(\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}\right)=\{a\}$. But $\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1} \in$ $E\left(I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$, thus $\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}=\binom{a}{a}$. Hence $\binom{a}{a} \varphi=\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}$.

Therefore (i) is proved.
(ii) Let $a \in X^{\prime}$ and $\bar{a} \in \operatorname{ran}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)$. As can be seen from the proof in (i) that $\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}=B_{a}$ for some nonempty subset $B$ of $X$ containing $a$, so $\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}=$ $B_{a} \varphi$. Hence

$$
\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}=\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)\left(B_{a} \varphi\right)=\left(\binom{a}{a} B_{a}\right) \varphi=\binom{a}{a} \varphi
$$

which implies that ran $\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right) \subsetneq\{\bar{a}\}$, so ran $\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)=\{\bar{a}\}$ Since $\binom{a}{a} \varphi \in$ $E\left(P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{ran}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)=\{\bar{a}\}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{a}{a} \varphi=C_{\bar{a}} \text { for some } C \subseteq Y \text { and } \bar{a} \in C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\varphi^{-1}: P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$, so from the above proof, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for every } y \in Y^{\prime},\binom{y}{y} \varphi^{-1}=K_{z} \text { for some } K \subseteq X \text { and } z \in K . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d \in C \cap Y^{\prime}$, then from (2), $\binom{d}{d} \varphi^{-1}=D_{e}$ for some $D \subseteq X$ and $e \in D$. Since

$$
\binom{d}{\bar{a}}=\binom{d}{d} C_{\bar{a}}=\binom{d}{d}\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right),
$$

we have

$$
0 \neq\binom{ d}{\bar{a}} \varphi^{-1}=\left(\binom{d}{d} \varphi^{-1}\right)\binom{a}{a}
$$

$$
=D_{e}\binom{a}{a}
$$

which implies that $e=a$. Hence $\binom{d}{\frac{a}{a}} \varphi^{-1}=D_{a}$ and $a \in D$. Hence $D_{a} \in$ $E\left(P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $D_{a} \varphi=\binom{d}{\bar{a}} \in \bar{E}\left(P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Consequently, $d=\bar{a}$. This shows that $C \cap Y^{\prime}=\{\bar{a}\}$. We therefore deduce from (1) that

$$
\binom{a}{a} \varphi=\binom{A \cup\{\bar{a}\}}{\bar{a}} \text { for some } A \subseteq Y \backslash Y^{\prime}
$$

Hence (ii) is proved.

Lemma 4.3.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) If $\varphi: I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, then $\theta: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ defined by $a \theta=\bar{a}$ in (i) of Lemma 4.3.1 for all $a \in X^{\prime}$ is an order-isomorphism.
(ii) If $\varphi: P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \mapsto P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, then $\theta: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ defined by $a \theta=\bar{a}$ in (ii) of Lemma 4.3.1 for all $a \in X^{\prime}$ is an order-isomorphism.

Proof. (i) Since $\varphi$ is 1-1, $\theta$ oisclearly 1-1. Letcb $\in Y^{\prime}$ Byblemma 4.3.1 (i), $\binom{b}{b} \varphi^{-1}=\binom{c}{c}$ for some $c \in X^{\prime}$. Thus $\binom{c}{c} \varphi=\binom{b}{b}$. But $\binom{c}{c} \varphi=\binom{\bar{c}}{\bar{c}}$, so $c \theta=\bar{c}=b$. Hence $\theta$ in (i) is bijective.

Next, let $a, b \in X^{\prime}$ be such that $a<b$. Then $\binom{b}{a} \in I\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\binom{b}{b}\binom{b}{a}\binom{a}{a}=\binom{b}{a} .
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\binom{b}{b} \varphi\right)\left(\binom{b}{a} \varphi\right)\left(\binom{a}{a} \varphi\right)=\binom{b}{a} \varphi
$$

and so

$$
\binom{\bar{b}}{\bar{b}}\left(\binom{b}{a} \varphi\right)\binom{\bar{a}}{\bar{a}}=\binom{b}{a} \varphi
$$

Consequently, $\binom{b}{a} \varphi=\binom{\bar{b}}{\bar{a}} \in I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, so $\bar{a}<\bar{b}$ since $\binom{b}{a} \notin E\left(I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
(ii) Let $a_{1}, a_{2} \in X^{\prime}$ be such that $\bar{a}_{1}=\bar{a}_{2}$. Then

$$
\binom{a_{1}}{a_{1}} \varphi=\binom{A_{1} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{1}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{1}} \text { and }\binom{a_{2}}{a_{2}} \varphi=\binom{A_{2} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{2}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{2}} \text { for some } A_{1}, A_{2} \subseteq Y \backslash Y^{\prime}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\binom{a_{1}}{a_{1}}\binom{a_{2}}{a_{2}}\right) \varphi & =\left(\binom{a_{1}}{a_{1}} \varphi\right)\left(\binom{a_{2}}{a_{2}} \varphi\right) \\
& =\binom{A_{1} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{1}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{1}}\binom{A_{2} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{2}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{2}} \\
& =\binom{A_{1} \cup\left\{\bar{a}_{1}\right\}}{\bar{a}_{2}} \neq 0 \quad \text { since } \bar{a}_{1}=\bar{a}_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\binom{a_{1}}{a_{1}}\binom{a_{2}}{a_{2}} \neq 0$ which implies that $a_{1}=a_{2}$. This proves that $\theta$ is $1-1$. Next, let $b \in Y^{\prime}$. By Lemma 4.3.1 (ii), $\binom{b}{b} \varphi^{-1}=\binom{B \cup\{c\}}{c}$ for some $B \subseteq X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $c \in X^{\prime}$. Then
and thus

$$
\binom{c}{c}\left(\binom{b}{b} \varphi^{-1}\right)=\binom{c}{c}\binom{B \cup\{c\}}{c}=\binom{c}{c}
$$

## คq/9ค $\left(\binom{c}{c}^{\sigma} \varphi\right)\binom{b}{b}=\binom{c}{c} \varphi \cdot \frac{0}{Q}$

By Lemma 4.3.1 (ii), $\binom{c}{c} \varphi=\binom{C \cup\{\bar{c}\}}{\bar{c}}$ for some $C \subseteq Y \backslash Y^{\prime}$. Now we have $\binom{C \cup\{\bar{c}\}}{\bar{c}}\binom{b}{b}=\binom{C \cup\{\bar{c}\}}{\bar{c}}$. This implies that $b=\bar{c}$. Hence $\theta$ is bijective.

Finally, let $a, b \in X$ be such that $a<b$. Then

$$
\binom{b}{b}\binom{b}{a}\binom{a}{a}=\binom{b}{a}
$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 .1 (ii) that there are $A, B \subseteq Y \backslash Y^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\binom{B \cup\{\bar{b}\}}{\bar{b}}\left(\binom{b}{a} \varphi\right)\binom{A \cup\{\bar{a}\}}{\bar{a}}=\binom{b}{a} \varphi \neq 0 .
$$

We therefore conclude that

$$
\binom{b}{a} \varphi=\binom{B \cup\{\bar{b}\}}{\bar{a}} .
$$

$\operatorname{But}\binom{B \cup\{\bar{b}\}}{\bar{a}} \in P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\binom{b}{a} \notin E\left(P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)\right)$, so we have $\bar{a}<\bar{b}$.
Hence this lemma is proved.

From Lemma 4.3.2, we have

Theorem 4.3.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet of $X$ and $Y^{\prime}$ a subposet of $Y$. Then:
(i) If $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic.
(ii) If $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong I_{R E}\left(Y, Y^{\prime}\right)$, then $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are order-isomorphic.

The following interesting consequence follows directly from Theorem 4.3.3 and Proposition 4.1.3.

Corollary 4.3.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $P_{R E}(X) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.
(ii) $I_{R E}(X) \cong I_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if $X$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic.

Theorem 4.3.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be posets, $X^{\prime}$ a subposet $X$. Then
(i) $P_{R E E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if
(1.1) $X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic and
(1.2) for every $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime}$, either $a<b$ or $a$ and $b$ are uncomparable.
(ii) $I_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong I_{R E}(Y)$ if and only if
(2.1) $X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ are order-isomorphic and
(2.2) for every $a \in X \backslash X^{\prime}$ and $b \in X^{\prime}$, either $a<b$ or $a$ and $b$ are uncomparable.

Proof. (i) Assume that $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$. Then $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)$ has an identity, so (1.2) holds by Proposition 4.1.1. Also, (1.1) follows from Theorem 4.3.3 (i)

Conversely, assume that (1.1) and (1.2) hold. By (1.2) and Proposition 4.1.1, $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right)=P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. From (1.1) and Corollary 4.3 .4 (i), $P_{R E}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$. Hence $P_{R E}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right) \cong P_{R E}(Y)$.
(ii) It can be proved similarly by Proposition 4.1.1, Theorem 4.3.3 (ii) and Corollary 4.3.4 (ii)

Example 4.3.6. We have that $\mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \mathbb{Z}$ are order-isomorphic, $\mathbb{N}$ and $2 \mathbb{N}$ are order-isomorphic but $2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \mathbb{N}$ are not order-isomorphic. Therefore we deduce from Theorem 4.3.3, Corollary 4.3.4 and Theorem 4.3.5 that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong P_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}) \not \not P_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z}) \not \not P_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{N}) \cong P_{R E}(\mathbb{N}), \\
I_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong I_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}) \nsupseteq I_{R E}(\mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{Z}) \nsupseteq I_{R E}(2 \mathbb{Z}, 2 \mathbb{N}) \cong I_{R E}(\mathbb{N}) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This example also shows that the converses of both Theorem 4.3.3 (i) and Theorem 4.3.3 (ii) are not generally true.
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