REFERENCES

- 1. Brelot, M., Elements de la Théorie Classique du Potential (Paris,
- 2. ____. "Sur le Rôle du Point à l'Infini dans la Théorie des Fonctions Harmoniques." Ann. Sci. Ecol. Norm. Sup. 61(1944), 301-332.
- 3. Brawn, F.T., "Hyperplane Mean Values of Subharmonic Functions in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,1[,"]]$ Bull. London Math. Soc. 3(1971), 37-41.
- 4. Diestel, J., and J.J. Uhr, <u>Vector Measures</u>, Mathematical Survey,

 Amer. Math. Soc. 15(1977).
- Flett, T.M., "Mean Values of Subharmonic Functions on Half-Spaces,"
 J. London Math. Soc.(2), 1(1969), 375-383.
- 6. _____. "On the Rate of Growth of Holomorphic and Harmonic Functions," Proc. London Math. Soc.(3), 20(1970), 749-768.
- Grothendieck, A., <u>Produits Tensoriels Topologiques et Espaces</u>
 Nucleaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 16(1955).
- Hayman, W.K., and P.B. Kennedy, <u>Subharmonic Functions</u>, Vol. I,
 Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- Helms, L.L., <u>Introduction to Potential Theory</u>. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- Krantz, S., <u>Several Complex Variables</u>, Wiley-Interscience.
 New York, 1982.

Kuran, U., "Class of Subharmonic Functions in $R^{n} \times (0,+\infty)$," Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 16(1966), 473-492. . "On the Boundary Values of Poisson Integrals in 12. $R^{n} \times (0, +\infty)$, " J. London Math. Soc. 41(1966), 508-512. . "On Green's Formula for Half-Spaces," J. London Math Soc. 43(1968), 539-547. . "Harmonic Majorization in Half-Balls and Half-Spaces," Proc. London Math. Soc. 21(1970), 614-636. Lindenstrauss, J., and L. Trafrini, Classical Banach Spaces II, Springer-Verlag, 1979. 16. Nualtaranee, S., "The Hyperplane Means of Non-negative Subharmonic Functions, " J. London Math. Soc.(2), 7(1973), 48-54. . "On Least Harmonic Majorants in Half-Space," Proc. London 17. Math. Soc. 27(1973), 243-260. . "A Note on the Functions that Preserve Harmonicity in the 18. Plane, " SEA. Bull. MATH 8 No. 2, 1984. Rippon, P.J., "The Hyperplane Mean of a Positive Subharmonic Function," J. London Math. Soc.(2), 27(1983), 76-84.

20. Schaefer, H.H., Banach Lattices and Positive Opreators, Springer-

Verlag, 1974.

APPENDIX I

 $\underline{\text{Definition 1}}. \text{ Let } \Omega \text{ be a domain in } R^n \text{ and } \overline{R} \text{ an extended}$ real number system. A function $u \colon \Omega \to \overline{R}$ is said to be $\underline{\text{subharmonic}}$ in Ω if

- (i) u is upper semi-continuous in Ω .
- (ii) If $x_0 \in \Omega$ and r > 0 satisfies $\bar{B}(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$, then

$$u(x_0) \leq s_m^{-1} r^{-m+1} \int_{\partial B(x_0,r)} u(x) d\sigma(x),$$

where $d\sigma(x)$ denotes the surface area element on $\partial B(x_0,r)$ and $s_m = 2\pi^{m/2}/\Gamma(m/2)$.

A function $u: \Omega \to \overline{R}$ is said to be <u>superharmonic</u> in Ω if -u is subharmonic in Ω .

Definition 2. If u is subharmonic (superharmonic) in a domain $\Omega \subset R^m$, h is harmonic in Ω and u \leqslant h (u \geqslant h) on Ω , then h is called a <u>harmonic majorant (harmonic minorant)</u> of u. The function v is called a <u>least harmonic majorant (greatest harmonic minorant)</u> of u if v is a harmonic majorant (harmonic minorant) of u and v \leqslant h (v \geqslant h) whenever h is a harmonic majorant (harmonic minorant) of u.

APPENDIX II

In this section, we shall state some relevant definitions and basic properties of Banach lattices that we used without stating or proving in Chapter IV. All of these materials are drawn from .

Schaefer ([20], Chapter II). Moreover, we shall prove one lemma that was often referred in Chapter IV.

1. Definitions and basic properties of Banach lattices

An <u>ordered set</u> is a set A endowed with a binary relation, usually denoted by " \leq ", which is supposed to be transitive (x \leq y & y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z), reflexive (x \leq x, all x \in A), and anti-symmetric (x \leq y & y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y).

Let (A, \leqslant) be ordered set. We write $y \geqslant x$ to mean $x \leqslant y$ and $x \leqslant y$ to express that $(x \leqslant y \& x \neq y)$; similarly for y > x. A subset B of A is called <u>majorized (minorized)</u> if there exists $a_0 \in A$ such that $b \leqslant a_0$ for all $b \in B$ (respectively, $a_0 \leqslant b$ for all $b \in B$); a_0 is called a <u>majorant</u> or <u>upper bound</u> (respectively, a <u>minorant</u> or <u>lower bound</u>) of B in A. An order interval [x,y], where $x,y \in A$, is the set of all $z \in A$ satisfying $x \leqslant z \leqslant y$; a subset $C \subset A$ contained in some ordered interval of A, is called <u>order bounded</u>. If B is a majorized subset of A and if there exists a majorant of B that minorizes all majorants of B (in A), such an element is unique, called the <u>supremum</u> or <u>least upper bound</u> of B, and denoted by sup B; similarly

for inf B (the <u>infimum</u> or <u>greatest lower bound</u> of B). Note that these concepts depend on the set A of which B is thought to be a subset; hence, sometimes the notation $\sup_{A} B$, $\inf_{A} B$ are used.

Definition 1. An ordered set (L, \leqslant) is called a <u>lattice</u> if for each pair $(x,y) \in L \times L$, the elements $x \lor y := \sup \{x,y\}$ and $x \land y := \inf \{x,y\} \text{ exist in } L$.

Definition 2. A vector space E over R, endowed with an order relation ≼, is called an ordered vector space if these axioms are satisfied:

(LO)₁
$$x \le y \Rightarrow x + z \le y + z$$
 for all $x,y,z \in E$,

(LO)₂
$$x \le y \rightarrow \lambda x \le \lambda y$$
 for all $x,y \in E$ and $\lambda \in R_+$.

A vector lattice is an ordered vector space such that $x \vee y$ and $x \wedge y$ exist for all $x,y \in E$.

If (E, \leqslant) is an ordered vector space, the subset $E_+ := \{x \in E \mid x \geqslant 0\}$ is called the <u>positive cone</u> of E; elements $x \in E_+$ are called positive.

It is readily seen from (LO)₁ that whenever sup A exists for a non-void subset A \subset E then inf(-A) exists (and conversely); moreover for each $x \in$ E we have the following:

$$x + \sup A = \sup(x + A),$$

$$x + \inf A = \inf(x + A),$$

$$\sup A = -\inf(-A)$$
.

Definition 3. Let E be a vector lattice. For all x ∈ E, we define $x^+ := x \vee 0$, $x^- := (-x) \vee 0$, $|x| := x \vee (-x)$. x^+ , x^- and |x| are called the positive part, the negative part, and the modulus (or absolute value) of x, respectively.

Proposition 4. Let E be a vector lattice. For all x,y,x1,y1 ∈ E and all $\lambda \in R$, the following relations are valid.

For the proof of (1)-(7) can be found in ([20], page 51) and the remaining relations are easy consequences of the preceeding ones.

(12)

Definition 5. Let E be a vector lattice. A norm | on E is called a lattice norm if $|x| \leqslant |y|$ implies $||x|| \leqslant ||y||$ for all $x,y \in E$. If $\| \ \|$ is a lattice norm on E. the pair (E, $\| \ \|$) is called



a <u>normed (vector) lattice</u>; if, in addition, (E, | |) is norm complete it is called a <u>Banach lattice</u>.

Definition 6. A lattice norm $x \mapsto \|x\|$ on a vector lattice E is called an <u>L-norm</u> if it satisfies the axiom

$$\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad (x, y \in E_+).$$

(E, | |) is called an L-normed space, and an L-normed Banach lattice is called an abstract L-space (briefly, AL-space).

The dual E' of a Banach lattice E is also a Banach lattice provided that its positive cone is defined by x' > 0 in E' iff x'(x) > 0. for every x > 0 in E. It is easily verified that, for any $x', y' \in E'$ and every x > 0 in E, we have

$$(x' \vee y')(x) = \sup \{x'(u) + y'(x-u) | 0 \le u \le x\}$$

and

1

$$(x' \wedge y')(x) = \sup \{x'(v) + y'(x-v) | 0 \le v \le x\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1

Let E be a Banach lattice. The dual E' of the Banach lattice E is also a Banach lattice provided that its positive cone is defined by $e' \geqslant 0$ in E' iff $e'(e) \geqslant 0$, for each $e \in E_+$. Define a mapping i from E into its second dual E" as follow:

where $e^{u}(e') = e'(e)$. It is obvious that i is a positive operator.

If we can prove that $i^{-1}:i(E)\to E$ is also a positive operator, then our lemma is proved. To see this, let $e_0\in E$. The assumption,

$$e_0''(e') = e'(e_0) \ge 0$$
 (for all $e' \in E'_+$)

of Lemma 4.1, implies that $i(e_0) = e_0''$ is a positive element of i(E). By using positivity of i^{-1} , we get $i^{-1}(e_0'') = e_0 \ge 0$. Hence, it remains to show that i^{-1} is positive. To prove this, we begin by showing that

(13)
$$i(x) \lor i(y) = i(x \lor y)$$

for all $x,y \in E$. We prove this first under the assumption that $x \wedge y = 0$. For each $u' \in E'_1$, we have

$$(i(x) \lor i(y))(u') = \sup \{i(x)(v') + i(y)(u'-v') | 0 \le v' \le u'\}$$

= $\sup \{u'(y) + v'(x-y) | 0 \le v' \le u'\}.$

By putting $w'(z) = \sup_{n} \{u'(z \land nx)\}$ for each $z \in E'_+$, we define a bounded linear functional $w' \in E'$ (the linearity of w' is a consequence of the inequality $(a+b) \land c \leqslant a \land c + b \land c \leqslant (a+b) \land 2c$, which holds for all $a,b,c \in E'_+$. The functional w' satisfies $0 \leqslant w' \leqslant u'$, w'(x) = u'(x) and $(\sin c x \land y = 0) w'(y) = 0$. It follows that $(i(x) \lor i(y))(u') \geqslant u'(y) + w'(x-y) = u'(x+y) = u'(x \lor y) = i(x \lor y)(u')$, for every positive $u' \in E'$. Hence,

(14)
$$i(x) \lor i(y) \geqslant i(x \lor y).$$

Since i is positive, we have $i(x) \leqslant i(x \lor y)$ and $i(y) \leqslant i(x \lor y)$. Thus

(15)
$$i(x) \lor i(y) \leqslant i(x \lor y).$$

It follows from (14) and (15) that

$$i(x) \bigvee i(y) = i(x \lor y).$$

Assume now that x,y are arbitrary elements of E. Put $u = x - x \wedge y$, $v = y - x \wedge y$. Then we have $u \wedge v \geqslant 0$,

 $u \wedge v + x \wedge y \leq x$

and

 $u \wedge v + x \wedge y \leq y$.

Hence $u \wedge v + x \wedge y \leq x \wedge y$ and thus $u \wedge v = 0$. Therefore

(16) $i(u) \lor i(v) = i(u) + i(v) - i(u) \land i(v) = i(u \lor v) = i(u) + i(v) - i(u \land v).$

So (16) implies that $i(u) \wedge i(v) = i(u \wedge v) = i(0) = 0$. Moreover, we note that $i(x) = i(u) + i(x \wedge y)$ and $i(y) = i(v) + i(x \wedge y)$. Thus

(17) $i(x) \wedge i(y) = [i(u)+i(x \wedge y)] \wedge [i(v)+i(x \wedge y)]$ $= i(u) \wedge i(v) + i(x \wedge y) = i(x \wedge y).$

It follows from (17) that

(18) $i(x) \bigvee i(y) = i(x)+i(y)-i(x) \land i(y) = i(x)+i(y)-i(x \land y) = i(x \bigvee y).$ This proves (13).

To see that i^{-1} is positive, we let $e_1^* \in E_+^* \cap i(E)$. Then there exists $e_1 \in E$ such that $i(e_1) = e_1^*$. We note that

$$0 \le e_1^* = i(e_1) = i(e_1) \lor 0 = i(e_1) \lor i(0) = i(e_1 \lor 0) = i(e_1^+),$$

where the fourth equality follows from (13). Hence $i(e_1) = i(e_1^+)$ and this implies $e_1 = e_1^+ \geqslant 0$. This proves Lemma 4.1.



คุนยวิทยหรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

VITA

I was born on April 12th, 1951 in Chachoengsao province
nearly 60 kilometers to the east of Bangkok. I graduated from
Thammasat University in 1974 with a B.A. in mathematics and
received an M.S. in mathematics from Chulalongkorn University in
1976. Then I gained a position as an instructor in the department
of mathematics, Srinakharinwirot University, Pitsanuloke campus.
I have studied for my Ph.D. since 1981 with a partial support from
the Thai Government. Now, I am working in the same college as an
assistance professor in mathematics.

