## Chapter IV

## Results and Discussion

1. Establishment cell lines

Two hybridoma cell lines O1 AFP were established by recloning in 96-well flat-bottomed microliter plates using spleen cell as feeder, Thif approach yielded the advantage of homogeneous antibody production from unique cell line and could be monitored in the culture supernatant. The cell lines were kept in the liquid nitrogen and could be thawed at any state when the production of antibody was required.
2. Production of monoclonal antibody to AFP

The monoclonal antibodies were produced by propagated the two cell lines and injected the cells into the peritoneal cavity of pristane-primed balb c mice. Two hybridomas grow weil and soread in ascites fluid. The ascites fluid from two celf lines were removed, collected and pretreatment for funther purification? 6
3. Characterization of monoclonal antibody from the hybridoma

The supernates of two hybridomas were tested for isotyping using Sigma Immunochemicals' Immuno Type ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ kit. The result showed that two hybridomas were IgG. $_{1}$. The
specificity of the monoclonal antibody was assessed by measuring the appearent response of the binding to human, bovine and rabbit serum albumins. The result was shown in Figure 13, the antibody was highly specific for AFP. Human, bovine and rabbit serum albumins exhibited no cross reactivity when they were assayed at very high concentration ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The association constant (K) for the monoclonal antibody towara the radiolabelled alphafetoprotein was estimated from Scatchard plots(Appendix II,4). The association congtant (K) of monoclonal anti AFP I and II were $1.55 \times 200^{9}$ and $1.07 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mole}$ respectively (Figure 14,15 ).
4. Matching of AFP IRMA system using two monoclonal antibodies

The percentage binding. $(B / T)$ at standard AFP $320 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ of the labelled antibody I, II and coated aritibody I, II was shown in Table 2 . The result showed that the use of the coated antibody I and labelled antibody II in AFP IRMA gave the highest percentage "binding f(45\% $B / T)$ qonsideration on the $K$ value, it was obviously seen that the higher $K$ value would psovide the high capalitylin pinding with the antigen ie; the higher $K$ value should be chosen as the captured antibody.Thus,the matching experiment also showed the supportive evidence of the $K$ value applications.
5. Purification of ascites

The precipitate of ascites fluid of monoclonal anti AFP I was purified by DEAE ion exchange chromatography. The IgG fraction of antibody was eluted from the column as shown in Figure 16. The precipitate of ascites fluid of monoclonal anti AFP II was purified by protein A column (Figure 17).
6. Identification of purified antibody by SDS polyacrylamide gel

Comparison of the purified antibody from Ammonium sulfate precipitation, DEAE and protein $A$ was made by SDS PAGE, the resulted bands were shown in Figure 18. The most purified band of monoglonad anti AFP II (lane 7) was from protein A purification Thus, it was selected as the purification method for the labelling antibody. The purified bands of monoclonal anti AFPS from Ammonium sulfate precipitation (lane 3,4) and DEAE column chromatography (lane 2) were not pure when compared with the ascites fraction (iane 19/\{ Therle obre, Aminonium sulfate precipitation should be selected for cellulose coating.

## จหาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลย

7. Solid phase coated antibody

The couplings of 200 mg activated cellulose and 1 ml of monoclonal anti AFP I solution in 0.05 M Barbitone buffer pH 8, were performed at various concentrations from $0.1-13.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. The result showed that the percentage binding ( $B / T$ ) of the coupled antibody increased sharply
from the concentration up to $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, and beyond this concentration it appeared to be constant. However, the efficiency of coupling decreased with monoclonal antibody concentration from 45 to $9.38 \%$ of available antibody (Figure 19). The solution remaining after coupling should therefore be retained to avoid wastage of reagent particularly if the IgG is of relatively low titer.

The couplings of activated cellulose were performed with monoclonal anti AFP for each of the buffer systems specified (Figure 20). The result showed that maximal coupling occurred at 0.95 M barbitone buffer pH 8 , which yielded $30 \%$ maximum binding at $A F P$ concentration $320 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. The comparison of the punsfied monoclonal anti AFP I by ammonium sulfate purification and DEAE column on cellulose coating were shown in Figure 21. The result showed that no significant change 0 (B/T) at AFP concentration 0 to $320 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ on coat 3 ng cellulose with ammonium sulfate purification and DEAE fraction of monoclonal anti AFP I. For this reason, the purification by Ammonium salfate precfpitation Walsselected for cellulose coating.

## จหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

8. Labelling of monoclonal antibody as radioisotope tracer

Monoclonal anti AFP II was iodinated to specific activity of 10 uCi/ug with $\mathrm{I}^{125}$ using N -Bromosuccinimide method. The results were shown in Figure 22 and Table 3. The level of incorporation of $\mathrm{NaI}^{125}$ into monoclonal anti AFP II was $76.6 \%$ (Table 3).

The binding of these labels in a sandwich assay was tested at various time intervals to determine the stability of labels at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The result showed the change in the binding for the labels with time (Figure 23). There was no significant change in zero binding of the 10 and 20 uCi/ug after 5 weeks (Figure 23 and Table 4). After 2 weeks there was a small $\mathrm{drop} / \mathrm{in}$ binding of the $10 \mathrm{uCi} / \mathrm{ug}$ label from 33.0 to $23.42 \%$, arnle the binding of the 20 $\mathrm{uCi} / \mathrm{ug}$ label started decreasing from 30.53 to $14.47 \%$ (Figure 23).
9. Purification of AFP from amniotic fluid for standard material

The purifications of human AFP from amniotic fluid using Sephacryl 300 S was shown in Figure 24. The result showed that two peaks of protein and AFP were detected by absorbance at 280 nm . The albumin and AFP should be in the first peak (fraction number $16-26$ ) because of the molecular weight is about 70,000 and the other proteins which is smaller than $A F P$ | Shoud bel 4 g the second peak (fraction number $34-42$ ). The resulted bands of two peaks were demonstrfat ay SPS RAGE and9月ilverqstaining CFigure 25). The elution pattern of AFP and albumin of the first peak (fraction number 16-26) from 8.1 using Affi gel Blue (Figure 26) showed that AFP was eluted out from Affi gel Blue column in fraction number 4-12 which was detected by absorbance at 280 nm . But the albumin which bound with the column was washed out with 1.4 M NaCl in 0.02 M Phosphate
buffer pH 7.4. Then the pooled fraction of AFP from Affi gel Blue was also purified by the protein coupling CNBr activated Sepharose 4B (Affinity column), the elution pattern of AFP was also detected by absorbance at 280 nm (Figure 27). Comparison of purified AFP from Affi gel Blue (8.2) and the protein coupling $C N B r$ activated Sepharose 4B (8.3) were made by SDS PAGE and silyer staining (Figure28). The purified AFP from the proteln coupling CNBr activated Sepharose 4B was also pure when compared with standard AFP(sigma). The comparison/ of AFP from CNBr activated Sepharose 4B and the fraction number 2 from Sephacryl 300 S with standard human serum albumin and low molecular weight marker protein was shown in Figure 29. The result showed that the AFP purified from CNBr activated Sepharose 4B was pure as same as standard AFP (sigma) with molecular weight about 70,000 . It was not round $A F P$ in the fraction number 2 from Sephacryl 3e0s (Figure 29)
10. Optimization of the assay protocol
10.1. Study on range benstandaed curves

The result showed that the standard curve yielded exponentiaf curve over the q/range $0-30 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ reaching a plateau at $1,000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$. For the AFP concentrations in excess of $1,000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$, the binding rapidly dropped and reached the background level at 50,000 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ due to hook effect (Figure 30).
10.2. Study on solid phase antibody required for AFP
standard curve
The result showed that $2.5 \mathrm{mg} /$ tube of cellulose solid phase anti AFP was sufficient to make the suitable percentage binding $(30 \% \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{T})$ at AFP concentration $320 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ (Figure 31).
10.3. Study on labelled antibody required for AFP standard curve

The result showed that $100,000 \mathrm{cpm}$ of labelled antibody was excess congentration to give the optimal binding at AFP concentratifon $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{T}=28 \%)$ and zero $\operatorname{AFP}(B / T=0.28 \%)$, The nigher amount of label $(200,000 \mathrm{cpm})$ made the lower binding at ARP concentration $300 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}(B / T$ $=22.67 \%)$ and higher binding at zero AFP $\quad(B / T=0.4 \%)$ (Figure 32, Table 4)
10.4. Study on optimal assay condition

The comparison of the percentege binding (B/T) of the standard curve for the determination of optimal condition in AFP IRMA was shown in figure 33. The result showed that the percentage oinging obtainea from one step assay with rotating overnight gave the highest binding at AFP concenfirat 0 n 320 ng $/ \mathrm{ml}(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{f} /=30 \%$ ) $/$ Fof two step assay, 3 hours at room temperature in the first and second incubation gave only $20 \% \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{T}$. Therefore the optimal assay condition at room temperature with rotating overnight was selected for the developed AFP IRMA protocol.
11. Characterization of the developed assay procedure
11.1. Reproducibility

AFP IRMA gave a sensitivity of $0.63 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ (the lowest detection limit) which was determined by measuring the AFP concentration of zero standard from 20 assays. Within assay precision (W.H.O. Immunoassay Programe) was less than $15 \%$ from $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$, and less than $5 \%$ from $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ (Figure 34). Between assay preaision (W.H.O. Immunoassay Programe) was less than $10 \%$ from 10 to $320 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ (Figure35).
11.2. The stability of ARP IRMA kit

The result showed that after 2 days the binding decreased from $15 \%$ to $2 \%$ and $17 \%$ to $11 \%$ for the reagent kept at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and room tempenature respectively(Figure 36). There was no significant change in the binding after 7 days until 2 months of the poggents kept at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 36).
11.3. Comparison of gelf-oreparation of AFP IRMA kit vs commercial available AFP kit

The ARP concentrations from 94 samples assayed by both methods were compared using linear regression analysis. Theqreldtionship9/(Figuteg37) qaỹe a slope of 0.760 with an intercept of $0.762 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ and regression

11.4. The expected value of normal subjects

The reference range of AFP was a summary of data obtained by measuring AFP serum samples from normal healthy subjects (Figure 38). From the normal distribution curve, the expected value calculated by using mean +2 SD was found to be $0.68-10.0 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Table 2 Matching of AFP IRMA system using two monoclnal antibodies


Table 3 Percentage incorporation of labelled antibody.


Table 4 The effect of specific activity on labelled antibody stability.


Table 5 Effect of amount of labelled antibody on AFP IRMA.

| AFP ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 30995 \\ \text { cpm/tube } \\ (\% \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{T}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61950 \\ \text { cpm/tube } \end{gathered}$ | 119559 cpm/tube ( $\% \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{T}$ ) | 250684 cpm/tube <br> (\%B/T) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.40 |
| 9.37 |  |  | 2.27 | 1.61 |
| 18.75 |  | . 22 | 4.10 | 3.00 |
| 37.50 |  | 7.15 | 6.61 | 4.95 |
| 75.00 |  |  | 11.29 | 8.39 |
| 150.00 | 21.40 |  | 19.00 | 13.92 |
| 300.00 |  | 27.22 | 28.00 | 22.67 |

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 14 Scatchard plot for solid phase monoclonal



Figure $15^{\circ}$ Scatchard plot for solid phase monoclonal



Figure 16 The elution pattern of ammonium sulfate cut



Figure 17 The elution pattern of ammonium sulfate cut จ9 97769 ascites fluid $H$ from protein $A$


Figure 18 SDS PAGE of ascites fluid I and II purified by จุหาลงฺipug ఇefiodixาวิทยาลัย
$1=$ Ascites fluid I , $2=$ DEAE column chromatography
3, $4=$ Ammonium sulfate precipitation (I)
$5=$ Ascites fluid II , $6=$ Ammonium sulfate precipitation (II)
7 = Protein A purification



ศนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
Figure 20 The effect of buffer on cellulose coating จุหาลงกรณมมหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 21 Comparison of DEAE and ammonium sulfate precipitate of monoctonal anti AFP I on



Figure 23 The effect of specific radioactivity on label


OD 280 nm


Figure 24 Purification of AFP from amniotic fluid using Sephacryl 300S column chromatography จุหาลงกรณมหาวทยาลย


## ศนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

Figure 25 SDS PAGE and silver staining of AFP and จึาล the protein gurified from Sephactyl 300 s

1, 2, $3=$ Standard AFP
4 = Standard marker
$5=$ Fraction number 1 (Sephacryl 300S)
6, $7=$ Fraction number 2 (Sephacryl 300S)

rification of AFP from amniotic fluid using Affi gel Blue column chromatography จุหาลงกรณมหาวทยาลย


270 Purification of AFP from amniotic fluid using
Figure ${ }^{27}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Pu}$ rification of AFP from amniotic
CNBr activated Sepharose 4 B จุหาลงกิรณมหาวทยาลย


Figure 28 ISDS PAGE of AFP purified by various methods

$5,6,7,8=\mathrm{CNBr}$ activated Sepharose 4B
9, $10=$ Standard AFP (Sigma)


## ศนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

Figare 29 SDS PAGE of various proteins



Figurie 30 Extended standard curve ( $0-50,000 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) จุหาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 31 The amount of antibody coated cellulose



Figure 32 The amount of labelled antibody required for



ศนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
Figure 33 Response curves with different incubation times จุหาลงกรณมมหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 34 Within-assay Precision Profile of AFP IRMA จุหาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย


Figure 35 Between-assay Precision Profile of AFP IRMA จุมัาลงกรるมมดาววตยาดย


Figure 36 The stability test of AFP IRMA kit จุหาลงกรณมหาวทยาลย


Figure 37 Correlation between AFP IRMA kit and



