REFERENCES

- Aharonov, Y., and Bohm, D. Significance of Electromagnetic Potentials in Quantum theory. Phys. Rev. 115(3): 485-491
- Al-Kuwari, H.A., and Taha, M.O. Noether's theorem and local gauge invariance.

 Am. J. Phys. 59 (April 1991): 363-365
- Arfken, G. Mathematical methods for physicists. 2nd ed.New York: Academic Press, 1970.
- Bersi, V., and Gorini, V. Reciprocity principle and the Lorentz transformations.

 J.Math. Phys. 10 (August 1969): 1518-1524
- Borisenko, A.I. <u>Vector and tensor analysis with applications</u>. New York:Dover Pub, 1968.
- Biswas, T. Displacement current-A direct derivation. Am. J. Phys. 56(April 1988): 373-374
- Cabrera, B. First results from a superconductive detector for moving magnetic monopoles. Phys. Rev.Lett. 48: 1378 (1982)
- Cheng, T.P., and Li, L. Resource Letter: GI-1 Gauge invariance. Am. J. Phys. 56

 (July 1988): 586-591
- Cho, Y.M. Eienstein lagrangian as the translational Yang-Mills Lagrangian. Phys. Rev. D 14 (10)(November 1976): 2521-2525
- Das, A., and Ferbel, T. Introduction to nuclear and particle physics. New York:

 John-Wiley, 1994.
- Dotson, A.C. Yang-Mills groups and fields. Am. J. Phys. 59: 670-676
- Dyson, F.J. Field theory. Sci. Am. 188 (April 1953): 57-64
- Einstein, A. On the electrodynamics of moving bodies. (1905) republished in Miller, A.I. Special relativity. California: Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- Feynman, R.P. The character of physical laws. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1965.

- Geogi, H., and Glashow, S.L. Unified theory of elementary particle forces. <a href="https://example.com/Phys.co
- Gorini, V., and Zecca, A. Isotropy of space. J. Math. Phys. 11 (July 1970): 2226-2230
- Griffths, D. Introduction to elementary particles. New York: Harper&Row, 1987.
- Griffiths, J.D., and Heald, M.A. Time-dependent generalizations of the Biot-Savart and Coulomb laws. Am. J. Phys. 59 (February 1991): 111-117
- Guidry, M. Gauge field theories. New York: John Wiley&Sons, 1991. Chapter 1.
- Halliday, D., and Resnick, R. Fundamentals of physics. 3rd. ed. New York: John Wiley&Sons, 1988. P.551
- Hauser, W. On the fundamental equations of electromagtenism. Am. J. Phys. 38

 (January 1970): 80-85
- Heras, J.A. A short proof of the generalized Helmholtz theorem. Am. J. Phys. 58 (February 1990): 154-155
- Imry, Y., and Webb, R.A. Quantum interference and the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

 Sci. Am. (April 1989): 36-42
- Jackson, J.D. Classical electrodynamics. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1975.
- Jefimenko, O.D. Comment on "On the equivalence of the laws of Biot-Savart and Ampere,". Am. J. Phys. 58 (May 1990): 505
- Karatas, D.L., and Kovalski K.L. Noether's theorem for local gauge transformations. <u>Am. J. Phys.</u> 58 (February 1990): 123-131
- Kenyon, I.R. General relativity. New York: Oxford U. press, 1990.
- Kobe, D.H. Derivation of Maxwell's equations from the local gauge invariance of quantum mechanics. Am. J. Phys. 46 (April 1978): 342-348
- _____. Derivation of Maxwell's equations from the gauge invariance of classical mechanics. Am. J. Phys. 48 (May 1980): 348-353

- Gauage-invariant classical hamiltonian formulation of the electrodynamics of nonrelativistic particles. Am. J. Phys. 49 (June 1981): 581-588 Helmholtz theorem for antisymmetric second-rank tensor fields and electromagnetism with magnetic monopoles. Am. J. Phys. 52 (April 1984): 354-357 Active and passive views of gauge invariance in quantum mechanics. Am. J. Phys. 54 (January 1986): 77-80 Helmholtz's theorem revisited. Am. J. Phys. 54 (June 1986): 552-554 Generalization of Coulomb's law to Maxwell's equations using special relativity. Am. J. Phys. 54 (July 1986):631-636 Comment on "Symmetry in electrodynamics: A classical approach to magnetic monopoles, . Am. J. Phys. 61 (August 1993): 755-756 Krefetz, E. A "Derivation" of Maxwell's equations. Am. J. Phys. 38 (April 1970): 513-517 Lee, A.R., and Kalotas, T.M. Lorentz transformations from the first postulate. Am. J. Phys. 43 (May 1975): 434-437 Levy-Leblond, J. One more derivation of the Lorentz transformation. Am. J. Phys. 44 (March 1976): 271-277 Lightman, Great ideas in physics. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1992. Lucas, D.R. Space, Time and Causality. New York: Oxford Press, 1984. Ch.XI Marsh, J.S. Alternate "derivation" of Maxwell's source equations from gauge invariance of classical mechanics. Am. J. Phys. 61 (February 1993): 177-178
- Miller, B.J. Interpretations from Helmholtz' theorem in classical electromagnetism.

 Am. J. Phys. 52 (October 1984): 948-950
- Mills, R. Gauge fields. Am. J. Phys. 57 (June 1989): 492-507

- Misner, C.W., Throne, K.S., and Wheeler, J.R. **Gravitation**. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and company, 1973.
- Neuenschwander, D.E., and Turner, B.N. Generalization of the Biot-Savart law to Maxwell's equations using special relativity. Am. J. Phys. 60 (January 1992): 35-38
- Ratanavararaksa, P. Addition of velocities directly from the postulates of special theory of relativity. Abstract A-12 in 15th Conference on Science and Technology of Thailand (1989): 116
- Rindler, W. introduction to special relativity. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford Press, 1991.
- Rosen, J. Redundancy and superity for electromagnetic fields and potentials. Am. J. Phys. 48 (December 1980): 1071-1073
- Rosser, W.G.V. Does the displacement current in empty space produce a magnetic field?. Am. J. Phys. 44 (December 1976): 1221-1223
- The displacement current. Am. J. Phys. 51 (December 1983): 1149-
- Sachs, M. Space, time and elementaryinteractions in relativity. Phys. Today
 (Febuary 1969): 51-60
- Salam, A. Gauge unification of fundamental forces. Rev. Mod. Phys. 52: 539-543 (1980)
- Schwatz, H.M. Introduction to classical electrodynamics. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972.
- Serway, R.A., Moses, C.J., and Moyer, C.A. Modern physics. U.S.A.: Sunders Pub, 1989.
- Sewjathan, V. A fundamental special-relativistic theory valid for all real-valued speeds. Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 7(3): 565-587 (1984)
- Shadowitz, A. The electromagnetic field. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1975.
- Sokolnikoff, I.S. Tensor analysis. 2nd ed. New York: John-Wiley, 1964.
- 2nd. ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966. pp. 425-426

- Ton, T. On the time-dependent, generalized Coulomb and Biot-Savart laws. Am. J. Phys. 59 (June 1991): 520-528
- Ungar, A. The abstract Lorentz transformation group. Am. J. Phys. 60 (September 1992): 815-827
- Utiyama, R. Invariant theoretical interpretation of interaction. Phys. Rev. 101(5):1597 (1956)
- Walker, G.B. The axioms underlying Maxwell's electromagnetic equations. Am. J.

 Phys. 53 (December 1985): 1169-1172
- Weber, T.A., and Malcomb, D.J. On the equivalence of the laws of Biot-Savart and Ampere. Am. J. Phys. 57 (January 1989): 57-59
- Weinberg, S. Unified theories of elementary particle interactions. Sci. Am. 231 (July 1974): 50-59
- _____, Conceptual foundation of the unified theory of weak and electromagnetic interactions. Rev. Mod. Phys. 52: 515-524 (1980)
- Weyl, H. Space-time-matter (1921) Translated by H.L. Brose. New York: Dover,
- H.P. Robertson New York: Dover, 1950
- Yang, C.N., and Mills,R.L. Conservation of isotropic spin and isotropic gauge invariance. Phys. Rev. 96(1): 191-195 (1954)
- Zee, A. Fearful symmetry. New York: Macmillan, 1986.
- Zeleny, W.B. Symmetry in electrodynamics: A classical approach to magnetic monopoles. Am. J. Phys. 59 (May 1991): 412-415
- Zeilik, M., and Smith, E. Introductory astronomy and astrophysics. 2nd. ed. Philadelphia: Saunders College Pub., 1987. p.6

APPENDIX A

NOETHER'S THEOREM

The continuous symmetries of classical field theories along with the equations of motion for the fields imply the existence of conserved currents from which one can construct conserved charges. This is usually called Noether's theorem, which in both its classical and operator forms is very important for classifying the general physical characteristics of quantum field theories (Cheng and Li, 1984). A brief review of the theorem given here is summarized from the papers by Karatas and Kowalski (1990), and AL-Kuwari and Taha (1991).

Let us consider a classical field theory characterized by a Lagrangian density L $\left[\varphi_a(X^\mu), \, \partial_\mu \varphi_a(X^\mu) \right]$ involving the fields φ_a at the spacetime point $X^\mu = (X^0, \, \mathbf{x})$ and their first-order spacetime derivatives. For the sake of simplicity, we ignore any explicit dependence of L on X^μ . Here, the index a enumerates the different field types including reference to their transformation properties with respect to the Lorentz group (scalar, spinor, vector, etc.). Also, $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial X^\mu$, where $\mu = 0,1,2,3$, and we employ the diag (1,-1,-1,-1) to raise and lower the vector index μ .

A signature of the symmetry of a classical field theory is the invariance of the action integral

$$S_{21}[\phi] = \int_{1}^{2} d^{4}X^{\mu} L[\phi_{a}(X^{\mu}), \partial_{\mu}\phi_{a}(X^{\mu})],$$
 (A-1)

taken between two spacelike surfaces under the associated transformations of the fields. Hamilton's principle then implies that the equations of motion are also invariant under these transformations. Noether's theorem refers to the local

implications of a symmetry and these are determined by exploring the consequences of the invariant

$$\delta S_{21}[\phi] = 0, \qquad (A-2)$$

under the infinitesimal transformations

$$\phi_a(X^{\mu}) \rightarrow \phi_a(X^{\mu}) + \delta \phi_a(X^{\mu}).$$
 (A-3)

The variations are assumed to vanish on the boundary surfaces 1 and 2.

Corresponding to Eq.(A-3) we have the variation in the Lagrangian density

$$\delta L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\varphi}_{a}(X^{\mu})} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left[\partial_{\mu}\dot{\varphi}_{a}(X^{\mu})\right]} \delta \left[\partial_{\mu}\dot{\varphi}_{a}(X^{\mu})\right], \qquad (A-4)$$

where summation over any repeated index is implied. If we suppose that we can interchange the δ and operation ∂_{μ} , Eqs.(A-2) and (A-4), together with equations of motion

$$\partial_{\mu}$$
 ∂L - ∂L = 0, (A-5)
$$\partial \left[\partial_{\mu} \dot{\varphi}_{a}(X^{\mu})\right] = \partial \dot{\varphi}_{a}(X^{\mu})$$

imply that

$$0 = \int_{\nu} d^{4}X^{\mu} \, \partial_{\mu} \, \frac{\partial L}{\partial [\partial_{\mu} \phi_{a}(X^{\mu})]} \, \delta \phi_{a}(X^{\mu}). \tag{A-6}$$

Since the integrand of Eq.(A-6) vanishes on the boundary surfaces and involves otherwise arbitrary variations of the fields induced by the symmetry transformations, it follows that

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0,$$
 (A-7)

where

$$J^{\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu \dot{\phi}_{a}(X^{\mu})} \delta \dot{\phi}_{a}(X^{\mu}), \qquad (A-8)$$

is the conserved Noether current. Evidently we can always define a Noether current corresponding to Eq.(A-3) whether or not Eq.(A-2), and therefore Eq.(A-7), is realized.

Actually, since arbitrary variations of the fields are involved, Eq.(A-8) defines an entire family of currents as well as the charges

$$Q(X^0) \equiv \int d^4X^{\mu} J^0(X^{\mu}), \qquad (A-9)$$

which are also conserved,

$$\frac{dQ(X^0)}{dX^0} = 0, \qquad (A-10)$$

as a consequence of Eq.(A-7) provided vanishes sufficiently quickly in spacelike directions at infinity.

From Eq.(A-8), we find that although J^µ is conserved but it is not unique. When this is applied to scalar electrodynamics,

$$L = -(1/4)F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + (\partial_{\mu}-ieA_{\mu})\phi^{*}(\partial_{\mu}+ieA_{\mu})\phi + m^{2}\phi^{*}\phi, \qquad (A-11)$$

one obtains form invariance under the local gauge transformation

$$\varphi(\text{X}^{\mu}) \rightarrow e^{-ie\chi(\text{X}^{\mu})} \varphi(\text{X}^{\mu}), \quad \text{A}_{\mu}(\text{X}^{\mu}) \rightarrow \text{A}_{\mu}(\text{X}^{\mu}) + \partial_{\mu}\chi(\text{X}^{\mu}), \tag{A-12}$$

the conserved Noether current

$$J_{\mu}(X^{\mu}) = ie(\varphi * \partial_{\mu} \varphi - \varphi \partial_{\mu} \varphi * + 2ieA_{\mu} \varphi *) \chi(X^{\mu}) - F_{\mu\nu}(X^{\mu}) \partial^{\mu} \chi(X^{\mu}). \tag{A-13}$$

Since J_{μ} turns out to be explicitly dependent on the gauge transformation function $\chi(X^{\mu})$, Karatas and Kowalski then define an intrinsic Noether's current J^{N}_{μ} given by the coefficient of $\chi(X^{\mu})$ in Eq.(A-13),

$$J^{N}_{\mu} = ie(\phi^* \partial_{\mu} \dot{\phi} - \phi \partial_{\mu} \dot{\phi}^* + 2ieA_{\mu} \dot{\phi}^*). \tag{A-14}$$

This intrinsic current is also conserved and is the source of the electromagnetic field

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(X^{\mu}) = J^{N}_{\mu}(X^{\mu}).$$
 (A-15)

It is thus implied that local gauge invariance enlarges the class of conserved Noether currents and a choice of what is physical relevant must be made.

The conditions of local gauge invariance under a general non-Abelian group are also discussed by Al-Kuwari and Taha. They show that no extra conserved currents associated with local gauge invariance, such as J^N_{μ} is needed but it is necessary to introduce the gauge fields in the Lagrangian or $L \equiv L(\dot{\varphi}_a, A_g^{\mu})$. The consequences of local gauge invariance include the field equations for the gauge fields as well as the existence of the usual conserved Noether currents. Their discussion conclusively proves that the conserved Noether currents that arise in the case of local gauge invariance are exactly those that follow from global gauge invariance.

APPENDIX B

ADDITION VELOCITY LAW

We will show here how we can directly obtain the addition law of velocity described in Eq.(3.16) from the two initial conditions I and II. The inertial transformation is derived directly from this result. This approach was first proposed by Pisistha Ratanavararaksa in 1989 (Ratanavararaksa, 1989).

We consider for the relative motion of two inertial frames S and S' along the xx'-axis with constant relative velocity v. We assume first that the addition law for velocities must be satisfied two initial postulates:

- I. The postulate of inertial motion. If the particle is at rest in frame S' then it will have velocity v in frame S.
- II. The postulate of universal velocity. If the particle has the velocity k (-k) in frame S' then it will also have velocity k (-k) in frame S.

We immediatly find that the conventional Galilean addition law,

$$u_x' = u_x - v,$$
 (B-1)

is not satisfied the second postulate therefore it has to be modified. At first, we are suggested that its proper form should be

$$u_x' = u_x - v + vF(u_x, u_x'),$$
 (B-2)

where $F(u_x,u_x')$ is the correction term to be determined. From the above two postulates we find that $F(u_x,u_x')$ must be corresponded to the initial conditions,

$$F(v,0) = F(0,-v) = 0$$
, $F(k,k) = F(-k,-k) = 1$. (B-3)

From the second condition in Eq.(B-3), we suggest that $F(u_x,u_x')$ be appeared as u_xu_x' , or u_x/u_x' , or u_x'/u_x , but finally the second and third forms are omitted to preserve the first condition. Thus, the modified addition velocity law in Eq.(B-2) should be written as

$$u_x' = u_x - v + v(cu_x u_x'),$$
 (B-4)

where c is the proper constant. If we make use of the condition F(k,k) = 1, we find that the constant c equals $1/k^2$ then the modified addition velocity law in Eq.(B-2) becomes

$$u_x' = u_x - v + v(u_x u_x'/k^2),$$

or, after rearranging,

$$u_x' = \frac{(u_x - v)}{(1 - vu_x/k^2)}$$
 (B-5)

This equation is the same as the addition law for velocities we have already developed in Eq.(3.16). From this, we can easily obtain the inertial transformation in Eq.(3.23a) by rewriting Eq.(B-5) as

$$u_x' = (dx'/dt') = \underbrace{\left[(dx/dt)-v\right]}_{\left[1-v(dx/dt)/k^2\right]}$$

or, after rearranging,

$$dx' = \beta(dx - vdt), \qquad (B-6a)$$

and

$$dt' = \beta(dt-vdx/k^2), \qquad (B-6b)$$

where β is the proper constant to be determined. If we integrate Eq.(B-6), and also assume that when (x',t')=(0,0), then (x,t)=(0,0), too, we will obtain the linear homogeneous coordinate transformation:

$$x' = \beta(x-vt), \tag{B-6a}$$

and

$$x' = \beta(x-vt),$$
 (B-6a)
 $t' = \beta(t-vx/k^2).$ (B-6b)

The inverse of these transformation laws are given by

$$x = \beta(x'+vt'),$$
 (B-7a)
 $t = \beta(t'+vx'/k^2).$ (B-7b)

and

$$t = \beta(t'+vx'/k^2). \tag{B-7b}$$

We now substitute x and t into Eq.(B-6) to get

$$\frac{3}{3}$$
 $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}$

To satisfy the initial condition, only the positive value of β is valid then we obtain the complete coordinate transformation laws:

$$x' = \beta(x-vt), \tag{B-9a}$$

$$y' = y, (B-9b)$$

$$z' = z, (B-9c)$$

$$t' = \beta(t-vx/k^2), \qquad (B-9d)$$

where we assume that the x-component and y-component are not disturbed by the motion along xx'-axis and $\beta = 1/(1-v^2/k^2)^{1/2}$. The complete set of Eq.(B-9) is in fact the inertial transformation we have already developed in Chapter III. As usual, its inverse is

$$x = \beta(x'+vt'), \qquad (B-10a)$$

$$y = y',$$
 (B-10b)

$$y = y',$$
 (B-10b)
 $z = z',$ (B-10c)
 $t = \beta(t'+vx'/k^2).$ (B-10b)

$$t = \beta(t' + vx'/k^2).$$
 (B-10b)

By using Eq.(B-10), the additional law for other components can be shown as follows:

$$u_y' = u_y, \qquad (B-11)$$

$$\beta(1-vu_y/k^2)$$

$$u_z' = u_z$$
 (B-12)
 $\beta(1 - vu_z/k^2)$

APPENDIX C

HELMHOLTZ'S THEOREM

Helmholtz's theorem provides the basis for a complete investication of the sources of a vector field. A lucidly presented proof of the theorem we paraphrase here is given by Griffths (1991), Miller (1984) and Kobe (1986).

Helmholtz theorem is commonly stated in two parts as (1) and (2) below.

- (1) A continuous vector field is uniquely determined by its divergence and its curl within a region and its normal component over the boundaries.
- (2) For any continuous vector function F(z) defined within a volumetric region V which is bounded, or may be infinite under the conditions that in the limit as r becomes infinite V·F and VxF vanish faster than 1/r which may then require a lower boundary, we define

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{v}} \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{v}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{v}} \frac{\nabla' \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{v}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

$$(C-1)$$

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V} \nabla' x F(\mathbf{r}') dV', \qquad (C-2)$$

in which r'specifies a variable source point whose coordinates are the variables of integration and all the primed elements are refered to these coordinates, and r specifies a fixed field point. It follows:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{W}. \tag{C-3}$$

A proof of Helmholtz theorem for three-vectors, which is shorter and slightly more general than the usual one, is given by Kobe as follows. Helmholtz theorem states that a vector field $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r})$ which vanishes at the boundaries may be written as the sum of two terms, one of which is irrotational and the other solenoidal. Consider the Laplacian of a vector field $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{r})$:

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{V} = -\nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}) + \nabla \mathbf{x} \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{V}. \tag{C-4}$$

If the vector field F is taken to be

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{F}, \qquad (C-5)$$

then it follows from Eq.(C-1) that

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \mathbf{U} + \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{W}. \tag{C-6}$$

The scalar field U is

$$U = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \qquad (C-7)$$

and the vector field W is

$$\mathbf{W} = \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{V}. \tag{C-8}$$

Equation (C-6) is Helmholtz theorem, where $-\nabla U$ is irrotational and $\nabla x W$ solenoidal.

A corollary of Helmholtz theorem is that the vector field which vanishes at the boundaries is determined by its divergence and curl. The uniqueness is proved by Arfken (1970). From the above equations this corollary can be proved. The divergence of Eq.(C-6) is Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 U = -\nabla \cdot F. \tag{C-9}$$

The solution to Poission equation for a function which vanishes at the boundaries of the volume V is

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{V} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \nabla' \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}') dV'. \qquad (C-10)$$

The Green's function is

$$G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = G_1(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') + G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'),$$
 (C-11)

where $G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=(4\pi|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)^{-1}$ and $G_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ is a solution to Laplace's equation as determined from the boundary condition that G=0 on the boundary of V. The curl of Eq.(C-6) gives

$$\nabla x \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla x \nabla x \mathbf{W} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{W}) - \nabla^2 \mathbf{W}$$
 (C-12)

from Eq.(C-1). Because of Eq.(C-8), ∇ -**W**=0, and Eq.(C-12) is Poisson equation. The solution to the equation is

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \nabla' x \, \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}') \, dV'. \qquad (C-13)$$

Therefore F can be determined by its divergence and curl when Eqs.(C-10) and (C-13) are used in Eq.(C-6). If only the green function G_1 in equation (C-11) is used in Eqs.(C-10) and (C-13), then Helmholtz theorem in Eq.(C-6) should have a harmonic term F_0 added to it which satisfies Laplace's equation in order to satisfy the boundary conditions.

Helmholtz theorem has been employed in electromagnetism texts in connection with the magnetic vector potential to justify the form used to specify the potential gauge (Jackson, 1975), and it has been extended, by Kobe (1984), to an antisymmetric second-rank tensor field. An antisymmetric second-rank tensor F^{µV} can be expressed as (Hauser, 1970),

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}) - *(\partial_{\mu}C_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}C_{\mu}) + F^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (C-14)$$

where $A_{\mu}(X^{\mu})$ and $C_{\mu}(X^{\mu})$ are non-singular four-vectors. The dual, denote by an asterisk, of an antisymmetric second-rank tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$*F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (C-15)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is totally the antisymmetric Levi-Cavita tensor with ϵ_{0123} =-1. The first and second terms on the right-hand side of Eq.(C-14) are analogous to the corresponding terms in Eq.(C-6). The third term $F^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ on the right-hand side of Eq.(C-14) is a solution to the homogeneous wave equation, which is added to satisfy the boundary conditions. It is analogous to a solution of Laplace's equation, which can be added to Eq.(C-6) to satisfy the boundary conditions.

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

5 | 49

CURRICULUM VITAE

Mr. Songkot Dasanonda was born on October 26, 1968 in Chantaburi. He received his B.Sc. degree in Physics from Chulalongkorn University in 1990.



์ ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย