

6.

สัจจะทางธรรมศึกษาลัทธิและการประยุกต์ เพื่อการศึกษา เลี้ยงราก

ของอุโมงค์ผันน้ำ บริเวณที่ตั้งเชื่อมเขียวหวาน จังหวัดสุราษฎร์ธานี



นายดอนพล ตันโนยภาล

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นล้วนหนึ่งของ การศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญา วิทยาศาสตร์มหาปัลศีต

ภาควิชาธรรมวิทยา

บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

พ.ศ. 2528

ISBN 974-564-467-7

008703

I155800b4

GEOMECHANICAL ASPECTS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO THE DIVERSION  
TUNNEL STABILITY AT CHIEW LARN DAM SITE, CHANGWAT SURAT THANI

Mr. Danupon Tonnayopas

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of Master of Science

Department of Geology

Graduate School

Chulalongkorn University

1985

ISBN 974-564-467-7

Thesis Title	Geomechanical Aspects and Their Applications to the Diversion Tunnel Stability at Chiew Larn Dam Site, Changwat Surat Thani
By	Mr. Danupon Tonnayopas
Department	Geology
Thesis Advisor	Dr. Nopadon Muangnoicharoen Dr. Ninnart Gumperayarnnont



Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in  
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree.

*S. Bunnag* ..... Dean of Graduate School  
(Associate Professor Supradit Bunnag, Ph.D.)

### **Thesis Committee**

Ch. Khantaprab ..... Chairman  
(Assistant Professor Chaiyudh Khantaprab, Ph.D.)

*Ekavit Limsuwan* ..... Member  
(Associate Professor Ekavit Limsuwan, Ph.D.)

.....  ..... Member  
(Assistant Professor Pakdi Thanyarachorn, Ph.D.)

..... G. Ninnart ..... Member  
(Ninnart Gumperavarnnont, Ph.D.)

..... N. Muangnoicharoen ..... Member  
(Nopadon Muangnoicharoen, Ph.D.)



หัวข้อวิทยานิพนธ์

សៀវភៅ

นายดอนพล ตั้นน้อยภาลี

อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา วิทยานิพนธ์

## อาจารย์ ดร. นภดล ม่วงน้อยเจริญ

## อาจารย์ ดร. นันนา ก ศ์มีภิรัญกานต์

ภาควิชา

ପ୍ରକାଶକ

ปี๔๒๕๓๖

2527

หน้า ๑๘

ผลการศึกษาทั้งหมดได้ถูกรวบรวมมา เพื่อการเปรียบเทียบและวิเคราะห์เพื่อประเมินค่า เลี้ยงภาพของบริเวณอุโมงค์ผ่านน้ำทั้ง ในส่วนอุโมงค์และบริเวณที่ล่าด เอียงที่ปากอุโมงค์ทั้งสอง

ด้าน และบริเวณฐานรากของ เยื่อง ผลการ เปรียบเทียบระหว่างระบบจำแนกเชิงรหัสกับกลศาสตร์ และระบบ Q เพื่อศึกษาเลือกใช้ภาพภาย ในตัวอุโมงค์ พบร้าสักษณะของมวลหินล้วนให้อยู่ใน Class II ซึ่งแสดงว่ามีเลือกใช้ภาพดี ขณะที่บางบริเวณมีการแปรปรวนสูงถึง Class V ซึ่ง แสดงว่าบริเวณเหล่านั้นมีเลือกใช้ภาพต่ำลง เนื่องจากผลการตรวจสอบล้อบการขยายตัวของมวลหิน จาก 3 บริเวณในตัวอุโมงค์ ดังกล่าวพบว่าการขยายตัวเป็นไปอย่างปกติ

การศึกษาเลือกใช้ภาพของที่ลาดเอียงบริเวณปากอุโมงค์โดยใช้เครื่องขยายมิติและ ระบบจำแนกเชิงรหัสกับกลศาสตร์ระบุว่าบางบริเวณมีเลือกใช้ภาพต่ำ โดยอาจจะเกิดการฟัง กระถายได้แบบล้มหรือการเลื่อนแบบนาบตามรอยแตกที่มีอยู่แล้วในหิน ส่วนการศึกษาเลือกภาพ ของฐานรากของ เยื่องโดยใช้ระบบจำแนกเชิงรหัสกับกลศาสตร์ เพื่อสร้างรูปแบบของการเปลี่ยน แปลงพบว่าฐานรากมีเลือกใช้ภาพที่ร้าวไปมาก เว้นแต่ในบางบริเวณจำต้องได้รับการปรับปรุง เสิร์กน้อย

Thesis Title            Geomechanical Aspects and Their Applications to  
                          the Diversion Tunnel Stability at Chiew Larn  
                          Dam Site, Changwat Surat Thani

Name                    Mr. Danupon Tonnayopas

Thesis Advisor        Dr. Nopadon Muangnoicharoen  
                          Dr. Ninnart Gumperayarnnont

Department            Geology

Academic Year        1985

#### ABSTRACT

A geotechnological investigation was performed at Chiew Larn damsite in Changwat Surat Thani, southern Thailand, mainly in the diversion tunnel which was being excavated through the graywackes with subordinate subarkosic sandstones. It was found that the geomechanical properties of these rocks had a close relationship with the index behavior of the rock mass here. The index geomechanical properties were obtained from the study and determination on the lithology, some discontinuities, and the physical and mechanical characteristics of the rocks. These were done in-situ, in a field laboratory, and in other fully-equipped laboratories elsewhere. Some of the index properties, especially the density and Schmidt hammer rebound hardness give a rough estimation of the rock mass condition while a more detail estimation was done using an engineering rock classification according to those index geomechanical properties. Besides some properties were used to estimate the

suitability of the graywackes as the alternative construction materials. The latter study indicated a rather negative result for the rocks as the aggregates.

All study results had been compiled for the comparison and analyses for the stability of the diversion tunnel and its portal slopes and of the main dam foundation. A comparison between the Geomechanics Classification system and Q system for the stability determination of the tunnel indicated that most rock masses were in Class II which suggested a stablyness while some might vary as far as in Class V which indicated a low stability value. Meanwhile, a normal deformation was recorded in all 3 study zones in the diversion tunnel.

The stereographical methods as well as the Geomechanics Classification system were applied to the stability determination of the slopes of both portals. The study revealed that some slope faces might be unstable. The wedge and planar failures might occur along the existing discontinuities. The Geomechanical Classification system was further applied to the study of the dam foundation stability with a study result showing that the foundation was generally stable though parts of it were needed to be improved.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Chulalongkorn Amoco Geological Fund and the research grant of the Graduate School Fund which partly contributed to the financial support for this research, are greatly appreciated.

The author wishes to express his profound and sincere gratitude to his thesis advisors, Dr. Nopadon Muangnoicharoen and Dr. Ninnart Gumperayarnnont, for their valuable guidance and suggestions which helped making this thesis finished.

The author is also grateful Assistant Professor Dr. Chaiyudh Khantaprab, Assistant Professor Dr. Pakdi Thanvarachorn and Associate Professor Dr. Ekasit Limsuwan for their valuable suggestions, discussions, criticisms and for serving as members of the thesis examination committee.

Special note of appreciation is extended to the Hydro-Electric Construction Department, Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT) for the permission to carry out the field investigation at the site. The author is also indebted to the superintendent of Chiew Larn Project, Mr. Kittivatana Sutcharitpongse, for providing all the whole facilities at that area.

Without the generous and continuing assistance of many professional officials of EGAT in this project, it would not have been possible to receive the recording data, especially Mr. Somkiat Thaepounkulngam, an EGAT site geologist who closely collaborate with during the field investigation.

Thanks are also extended to Dr. Walter Steiner, an ELC geotechnical engineer, who made available his time to instruct and give the extramural lectures, discuss, advice and answer the many questions which followed, and to Professor Dr. Richard E. Goodman, Geological Engineering Department, University of California, Berkeley, for giving some vital articles.

Special thanks go to the Department of Geotechnology and the Department of Civil Engineering, Khon Kaen University, for the availability of testing facilities and other assistance which includes the preparation of the laboratory tested specimens to be used in this thesis discussion.

The author also wishes to acknowledge his indebtedness to his friends for their invaluable help and never ending moral support during his study at Chulalongkorn University.

Finally, this whole work is dedicated to the author's beloved parents and other family members, for their loving encouragement, sympathy and sacrifices which are hard to express in words.



## CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT IN THAI .....	iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH .....	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	viii
CHAPTER	
I        INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Purpose and Scope of Study .....	8
1.2 Location and Accessibility .....	11
1.3 Climate .....	11
1.4 Physiography .....	13
1.4.1 Topography .....	13
1.4.2 Drainage Pattern .....	13
1.4.3 Vegetation .....	13
1.5 Previous Geological Investigation .....	15
II      GEOLOGY AND DISCONTINUITY FEATURES OF CHIEW- LARN DAM SITE .....	16
2.1 Geologic Setting .....	16
2.1.1 Rock Units and Stratigraphic Sequences .....	16
2.1.2 Structural Framework and Tectonic Movement .....	18

	Page
2.2 Local Distribution of Rock Units .....	19
2.2.1 Pebby Graywackes to Pebby Mudstones .....	19
2.2.2 Subarkosic to Arkosic Sandstones and Weathered Pebby Graywackes to Pebby Mudstones .....	22
2.2.3 Mudshales .....	25
2.2.4 Alluvial Deposits .....	26
2.3 Discontinuities .....	26
2.3.1 Joints .....	26
2.3.2 Faults .....	31
2.3.3 Cleavage and Fissility .....	33
2.4 Degree of Alteration .....	44
2.5 Hydrological and Permeability of Rock Mass .....	44
III GEOTECHNICAL STUDY PROGRAM .....	46
3.1 Preliminary Study of the Investigation Area .....	46
3.2 Rock Mass Investigation .....	47
3.2.1 Engineering Geological Investi- gation .....	47
3.2.2 Hydrological Investigation ....	47
3.2.3 In-Situ Deformation Measurements	48

	Page
3.2.4 Borehole Logging .....	48
3.2.5 Rock Mass Rating .....	50
3.2.6 Analysis of Rock Mass Classifi- cation .....	50
3.3 Intact Rock Quality Index .....	50
IV ROCK QUALITY INDEX TESTS .....	60
4.1 Description of Samples .....	62
4.2 Petrographic and Mineralogical Exam- inations .....	63
4.3 The Basic Physical Property Tests .....	63
4.3.1 Water Content .....	65
4.3.2 Water Absorption .....	66
4.3.3 Bulk Density and Unit Weight ..	67
4.3.4 Porosity .....	69
4.3.5 Void Index .....	70
4.3.6 Slake Durability Index .....	71
4.3.7 Results and Discussion of Physical Properties .....	74
4.3.8 Correlations of Various Physical Properties .....	82
4.4 The Mechanical Property Tests .....	86
4.4.1 Determination of Sonic Velocity	86
4.4.2 Determination of Strength .....	91
4.4.3 Determination of Hardness .....	143

	Page
4.4.4 Correlations of Various Mechanical Properties .....	172
4.5 Relationship Between Index Properties ...	172
V CHUSHED ROCK AGGREGATES .....	193
5.1 Physical Tests .....	195
5.1.1 Grading .....	195
5.1.2 Shape .....	197
5.1.3 Gross Apparent Specific Gravity ..	200
5.1.4 Bulk Density and Voids .....	201
5.1.5 Petrographic Examination .....	201
5.2 Mechanical Tests .....	202
5.2.1 Aggregate Crushing Value .....	202
5.2.2 Los Angeles Abrasion Value .....	202
5.2.3 Sulphate Soundness Test .....	202
5.3 Relationship Between Mechanical Properties of Concrete and Aggregate Properties ....	203
VI GEOTECHNICAL ROCK CLASSIFICATION SYSTEMS .....	208
6.1 Geological Classification Systems .....	209
6.1.1 Field Classification of Sedimentary Rocks .....	209
6.1.2 Petrographic Classification of Sandstones .....	209
6.1.3 Quantitative Classification of the State of Alteration .....	216

	Page
6.2 Engineering Classification Systems ..	222
6.2.1 Intact Rock Classification Systems .....	224
6.2.2 Rock Mass Classification Systems .....	229
6.2.3 Parameters Affecting Rock Mass Classification .....	248
6.2.4 Correlation of Different Rock Mass Classification Systems ..	255
6.2.5 Range of Application .....	260
VII DIVERSION TUNNEL STABILITY ANALYSIS .....	268
7.1 CSIR Geomechanics Classification ....	268
7.1.1 Strength of Intact Rock Material .....	269
7.1.2 Rock Quality Designation (RQD)	269
7.1.3 Joint Spacings and Block Sizes	273
7.1.4 Joint Conditions .....	275
7.1.5 Groundwater Inflow .....	279
7.1.6 Joint Orientation and Inclination .....	280
7.2 NGI Tunnelling Quality Index .....	280
7.2.1 Determination of RQD .....	282
7.2.2 Joint Sets Number .....	282
7.2.3 Measurement of Groundwater Inflow .....	283

	Page
7.2.4 Joint Roughness and Joint Alteration Number .....	283
7.2.5 Stress Reduction Factor .....	283
7.3 Results and Discussions .....	284
7.4 Rock Mass Deformation Measurements ...	287
 VIII ROCK PORTAL SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS .....	 297
8.1 Rock Slope Stability Analysis .....	297
8.1.1 CSIR Geomechanics Classification	297
8.1.2 Graphical Analysis of Stability	298
8.2 Collecting and Recording Data on Slope Stability Condition .....	307
8.2.1 Plane of Weakness.....	307
8.2.2 Surface Roughness .....	307
8.2.3 Slope Parameters .....	307
8.2.4 Sliding Plane and Direction ...	310
8.2.5 Safety Factor .....	310
8.3 Results and Discussions of Portal Discontinuities .....	310
8.4 Results and Discussions of Rock Slope Stability Analyses .....	311
8.4.1 Upstream Portal Slope .....	319
8.4.2 Downstream Portal Slope .....	322
 IX ROCK FOUNDATION STABILITY ANALYSIS .....,	 326
9.1 Geotechnical Classification .....,	327
9.2 Rock Quality Designation (RQD) .....	327

	Page
9.3 Goundwater .....	329
9.4 Deformation Modulus .....	329
9.5 Results and Discussions of Foundation Stability .....	332
X SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	337
XI SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	343

## REFERENCES

## ARRENDIX

## BIOGRAPHY

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	General arrangement of project .....	5
1.2	Plan and profile of diversion tunnel .....	6
1.3	Distribution of construction materials .....	9
1.4	Location and accessibility .....	12
1.5	Meteorological and hydrological features of Surat Thani .....	14
2.1	Regional geological feature of the Chiew Larn dam site area .....	17
2.2	Regional geological structural trend in southern Thailand .....	20
2.3	Engineering geologic amp .....	21
2.4	Geological overbreak on left wall at cha. 65.00- 69.00 m in the diversion tunnel .....	24
2.5	Subarkosic sandstones contact with pebbly graywackes at inlet diversion tunnel .....	24
2.6	The rock types of the clasts, their shapes and sizes of weathered pebbly graywackes .....	27
2.7	X-ray diffractogram of the clayey part of mudshale specimen, CuK <sub>α</sub> radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5045 \text{ \AA}$ 35 KV 25 MP) was employed .....	27
2.8	Engineering geological log of diversion tunnel	34-43
3.1	A single-point rod extensometer .....	49
3.2	Master flow chart of thesis research .....	52

Figure		Page
3.3	Subflow chart of thesis research .....	53-59
4.1	Slake-durability index vs. number of slaking cycles for slake-durability tests, graywackes of quarry areas and the diversion tunnel ....	61
4.2	Correlations of various physical properties of Chiew Larn pebbly graywackes .....	84-85
4.3	Histogram illustrating range and distribution of a) mean velocity ( $V_p$ ), b) mean velocity ( $V_s$ ) .....	89
4.4	Relationships between P-wave us. S-wave in core specimens in oven-dry, air-dry and saturated condition .....	90
4.5	Axial and diametrical stress-strain curves for uniaxial compression test .....	101-122
4.6	Histogram showing distribution of the uniaxial compressive strength values for pebbly graywackes specimens tested .....	123
4.7	Sketch of typical main phase of failure of Chiew Larn pebbly graywackes under the uniaxial compressive tests. Sample numbers are according to those in Figure 4.5 .....	126-128
4.8	Uniaxial compressive strength versus inclination of discontinuity .....	128
4.9	Uniaxial compressive strength versus inclination of anisotropy .....	128

Figure	Page
4.10      Histogram of a) pebbly graywackes and b) subarkosic sandstones specimens tested for the Brazilian tensile tests .....	133
4.11      The point-load test machine being worked on the pebbly graywacke core specimens .....	139
4.12      Histogram of pebbly graywackes specimens tested for the tensile strength. The point-load tests are a) irregular lump, b) diametrical, and c) axial .....	139-140
4.13      Direct shear of rock core-schematic .....	142
4.14      Dial gages and proving ring of direct shear test .....	142
4.15      Correlations of the results of direct shear strength test on Chiew Larn rock samples .....	146-160
4.16      Shear strength vs. normal stress, for direct shear tests, a) total rock samples (CHR and DH), b) air-dry samples (DH), c) saturated sample (CHR), d) subarkosic sandstone samples .....	161
4.17      Graph of the rebound number plotted against a) cubic compressive strength and b) cylindri- cal compressive strength .....	164
4.18      Relationship between Schmidt hardness versus the uniaxial compressive strength and tangent modulus of rock .....	165
4.19      Correlations of various mechanical properties.	176-179
4.20      Relationship between index properties .....	184-188

Figure	Page
6.1 Some triangle in common use for the classification of sandstones .....	214
6.2 A : Classification of terrigenous sandstones, B : Subdivision of lithic arenites .....	214
6.3 Engineering classification for intact rock samples from Chiew Larn damsite (37 specimens, 95 % of points) after the classification system of Deere and Miller's (1966) .....	226
6.4 Deere-Gamble durability-plasticity classification of the intact rock .....	230
6.5 Classification scheme for fissuring in 37 Chiew Larn rock specimens .....	230
6.6 Standup time as a function of rock class and unsupported width of tunnel roof .....	233
6.7 Histogram plot of the frequency distribution of the rock quality designation of Chiew Larn rock .....	233
6.8 a) Comparison of output data from classifications, b) Relationships between stand up time and unsupported span for various rock mass classes .....	238
6.9 Typical roughness profiles and suggested nomenclature. The length of each profile is in the range 1 to 10 metres. The vertical and horizontal scale are equal .....	243

Figure	Page
6.10      a) Relationship between span, standup time and the rock mass quality (Q), b) Man-made and natural unsupported excavation indiffe- rent quality index Q .....	249
6.11      Relationship between the maximum equivalent dimension of an unsupported underground excavation and the NGI rock mass quality index Q .....	250
6.12      Scatter diagram display correlation between Geomechanics Classification and logarithm of number of rock mass quality Q of the diversion tunnel .....	259
7.1      Rock mass classification of the diversion tunnel, Chiew Larn dam .....	264-268
7.2      Histogram showing distribution of rock mass rating along the diversion tunnel .....	271
7.3      Histogram showing distribution of RQD derived from volumetric joint count ( $J_v$ ) .....	271
7.4      Correlation between rock quality designation (RQD) and volumetric joint count ( $J_v$ ) .....	272
7.5      Histogram showing distribution of joint spacing .....	274
7.6      Histogram showing distribution of block size .....	274
7.7      Histogram showing distribution of joint per- sistence .....	276

Figure		Page
7.8	Histogram showing of distribution of joint spacing .....	276
7.9	Histogram showing of distribution of joint roughness surface .....	277
7.10	Close up of joint conditions on the left wall between the extensometer Section no. 1 and no. 2 .....	277
7.11	Geographic orientation of discontinuities of the diversion tunnel .....	281
7.12	Histogram of rock mass quality Q showing distribution of rating .....	285
7.13	Recommended maximum unsupported excavation spans for different quality rock mass .....	285
7.14	Monitoring arrangement at measuring station of the diversion tunnel .....	288
7.15	Dial gage mounted on the borehole extensometer in pebbly graywackes of diversion tunnel ...	289
7.16	Long term displacements by single-point borehole of extensometers in diversion tunnel top heading Section 1 at Cha. 46.50 m .....	290
7.17	Long term displacements by single-point borehole of extensometers in diversion tunnel top heading Section 2 at cha. 56.50 m .....	291

Figure	Page
7.18 Long term displacements by single-point borehole of extensometers in diversion tunnel top heading Section 3 at cha. 329.00 m .....	292
7.19 Results of stress measurements. a) Vertical stresses. b) Average horizontal stresses ..	295
8.1 Recognition diagram for different types of shape failure and lower hemisphere equal area projection .....	303
8.2 Markland's method of rock slope stability analysis .....	304
8.3 An axample of Hendron et al.'s technique for wedge failure analysis .....	306
8.4 A method of estimating peak shear strength from roughness profiles .....	308
8.5 Roughness profiles and corresponding range of JRC values associated with each one .....	309
8.6 Simplified cross-section of upstream portal slopes .....	312-314
8.7 Simplified cross-section of downstream portal slopes .....	315
8.8 Panorama of upstream diversion tunnel .....	316
8.9 Panorama of downstream diversion tunnel ....	316
8.10 Rock slope stability analyses at upstream portal slopes .....	317

Figure		Page
8.11	Rock slope stability analyses at downstream portal slopes .....	318
9.1	Schematic section along line AA' of main dam foundation .....	318
9.2	Histogram showing distribution of RQD along the main dam foundation .....	330
9.3	Histogram showing distribution of permeability of rock mass .....	330
9.4	Lugeon permeability coefficient of main dam foundation .....	331
9.5	Relationship between Geomechanics Classification rating and ratio of deformation modulus of rock mass ( $E_M$ ) to that of rock material ( $E_L$ )	333
9.6	Correlation between the in-situ modulus of deformation and the Geomechanics Classification of rock mass rating (RMR) .....	333
9.7	Histogram showing distribution of rock mass rating in a case of foundation .....	334

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Principal features of Chiew Larn project ....	2-4
2.1	Summary of discontinuities orientations in the study area .....	29
4.1	Index rock properties of significance in tunneling. ....	61
4.2	Summary of petrographic and mineral examination of the Chiew Larn rock types .....	64
4.3	Summary of physical properties results in Chiew Larn dam site area .....	72
4.4	Gamble's slake durability classification ....	74
4.5	Summary of slake durability test results ....	75
4.6	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in oven-dry condition* .....	76
4.7	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in air-dry condition* .....	77
4.8	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in saturated condition* .....	78
4.9	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in oven-dry condition** .....	79
4.10	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in air-dry condition** .....	80

Table		Page
4.11	Summary of pulse velocity tests results in saturated condition** .....	81
4.12	Summary of uniaxial compression tests results	95
4.13	Summary of uniaxial compression tests results	96
4.14	Summary of uniaxial compression tests results	97
4.15	Summary of uniaxial compression tests results	98
4.16	Summary of Brazilian tests results .....	132
4.17	Summary of point-load tests results .....	137
4.18	Summary of direct shear tests results .....	144
4.19	Summary of Schmidt rebound hammer tests results in tunnel .....	167
4.20	Summary of Schmidt rebound hammer tests results	168
4.21	Summary of Los Angeles abrasion tests results	171
4.22	Comparison of uniaxial compressive strength values obtained from various tests type .....	173
4.23	Comparative Young's modulus obtained from various tests types .....	174
4.24	Comparison between the static and dynamic elastic moduli .....	175
4.25	Summary of relationship between various properties	180-182
4.26	Summary of engineering properties of the Chiew Larn graywackes .....	189-190
4.27	Summary of engineering properties of the Chiew Larn subarkosic sandstones .....	191-192
5.1	Necessary tests of rock aggregated sample ,..	194

Table		Page
5.2	Classification of grading .....	196
5.3	Results of grading tests .....	197
5.4	Classification of particle shape .....	198
5.5	Relationship between aggregate characteristics and their influences on concrete .....	206
5.6	Summary of crushed graywackes aggregates tests results .....	207
6.1	Megascopic classification of normal sedimentary rocks .....	210
6.2	Field classification of mudrock .....	211
6.3	Classification of shale .....	211
6.4	Summary of classification of terrigenous sandstone .....	215
6.5	Comparison of some engineering rock weathering classification .....	217-221
6.6	Some engineering classification systems for rock	223
6.7	Uniaxial compressive strength classification for intact rock .....	228
6.8	Deere's RQD quality bands .....	232
6.9	Geomechanics Classification of jointed rock mass	236
6.10	Assessment of joint orientation favourability upon stability of a) tunnels, b) dam founda- tions .....	237
6.11	Uniaxial compressive strength classification for intact rock .....	240

Table		Page
6.12	Discontinuity spacing classification .....	241
6.13	Description increments for filled discontinuity	244
6.14	Description increments for seepage .....	245
6.15	Classification of individual parameters used in NGI tunnelling quality index .....	246
6.16	Approximate equation for principal stress rela- tionship and Mohr envelops for intact rock and jointed rock masses .....	251
6.17	Factors considered or included in various rock classification systems .....	256-258
6.18	Comparison of rock mass classification applied at the Overaal tunnel .....	262
7.1	Classes of discontinuity infilling upon the behavior of tunnel	278
7.2	Geomechanics Classification guide for excava- tion and support in rock tunnels .....	286
8.1	Assessment of joint orientation favourability upon stability of slope .....	299
8.2	The slope information and results of the ana- lyses of upstream portal slopes by Markland's (1972) and the Hendron et al.'s (1971) technique .....	300
8.3	The slope information and results of the ana- lyses of downstream portal slopes by Markland's (1972) and Hendron et al.'s (1971) technique	301

Table		Page
9.1	Relation between RQD and rock mass factor ...	329
9.2	Summary of in-situ modulus of deformation ...	334
A-1	Summary of joint survey results .....	361-362
A-2	Location of core specimens in laboratory tests	363-364
A-3	Input data form of Geomechanics Classification of jointed rock masses (revised) .....	365



## ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

A	Specimen area, cm <sup>2</sup>
ACV	Aggregate crushing value, percent
An	Angularity number
ASTM	American Standard Testing Materials
Bs	British Standard
CSIR	South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
CU	Uniformity coefficient
CZ	Curvature coefficient
c <sub>a</sub>	Air-dry peak cohesion, MPa
c <sub>s</sub>	Saturated peak cohesion, MPa
D	Specimen diameter, cm
E	Young's modulus or modulus of elasticity, MPa
E <sub>L</sub>	Laboratory modulus of deformation, GPa
E <sub>M</sub>	In-situ modulus of deformation, GPa
E <sub>ave</sub>	Average modulus of elasticity, MPa
E <sub>dyn</sub>	Dynamic modulus of elasticity, MPa
E <sub>sec</sub>	Secant modulus of elasticity, MPa
E <sub>sta</sub>	Static modulus of elasticity, MPa
E <sub>t</sub>	Tangent modulus of elasticity, MPa
EI	Elongation index
EGAT	Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand
ESR	Excavation support ratio
F,P	Applied force at failure

FI	Flakiness index
FM	Fineness modulus
G	Shear modulus of elasticity or modulus of rigidity, MPa
$G_a$	Air-dry shear modulus of elasticity, MPa
$G_d$	Oven-dry shear modulus of elasticity, MPa
$G_{dyn}$	Dynamic shear modulus of elasticity, MPa
$G_s$	Saturated shear modulus of elasticity, MPa
$G_{sta}$	Static shear modulus of elasticity, MPa
$\gamma_a$	Apparent specific gravity
Gwke	Pebbly graywackes to pebbly mudstones
g	Gravitational acceleration, cm/sec <sup>2</sup>
h	Specimen height, cm
$I_{a_D}$	Strength anisotropy index
$I_d$	Slake durability index, percent
$I_s$	Point-load strength index, MPa
$I_v$	Void index, percent
ISRM	International Society for Rock Mechanics
Ja	Joint alteration number
Jn	Joint set number
Jr	Joint roughness number
Jv	Volumetric Joint count
Jw	Joint water reduction
JCS	Joint wall compressive strength
j	Rock mass factor,
K	Bulk modulus, MPa
$K_a$	Air-dry bulk modulus, MPa

$K_d$	Oven-dry bulk modulus, MPa
$K_{dyn}$	Dynamic bulk modulus MPa
$K_s$	Saturated bulk modulus, MPa
$K_{sta}$	Static bulk modulus, MPa
$k$	Horizontal stress, MPa
$k_s$	Shear stiffness
$L$	Lame's constant and pulse travel distance, cm
L.A.	Los Angeles abrasion hardness, percent
$M_c$	Modulus of constrained, MPa
$M_r$	Modulus of resilience
$M_s$	Mass of grains, gm
$M_t$	Modulus of toughness, MPa
$M_w$	Mass of pore water, gm
$n$	Porosity, percent
$Q$	NGI tunnelling quality index
$R$	Schmidt rebound hardness
$R_c$	Schmidt rebound hardness correct
RID	Royal Irrigation Department
RMR	Rock mass rating
RQD	Rock quality designation, percent
RSR	Rock structure rating
$r$	Correlation coefficient
$S$	Sphericity
Sark	Subarkosic sandstones
Sr	Water absorption, percent
S.D.	Standard deviation
S.G.	Gross apparent specific gravity

SRF	Stress reduction factor
t	Specimen thickness, cm
$t_p$	P-wave travel distance time, minute
$t_s$	S-wave travel distance time, minute
U.F.	Uniformity factor
V	Bulk sample volume, $\text{cm}^3$
$v_p$	P-wave velocity, m/sec
$v_s$	S-wave velocity, m/sec
v	Void ratio
W,w	Water content, percent
$w_d$	Dried weight, gm
$w_i$	Initial weight, gm
Y	Stiffness modulus, MPa
Z	Depth, m
$\gamma_b$	Bulk unit weight, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{sec}^2$
$\rho$	Density, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^3$
$\rho_a$	Air-dry density, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^3$
$\rho_b$	Bulk density, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^3$
$\rho_d$	Oven-dry density, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^2$
$\rho_{sat}$	Saturated density, $\text{gm}/\text{cm}^3$
$\nu$	Poisson's ratio
$\nu_a$	Air-dry Poisson's ratio
$\nu_d$	Oven-dry Poisson's ratio
$\nu_{dyn}$	Dynamic Poisson's ratio
$\nu_s$	Saturated Poisson's ratio
$\nu_{sta}$	Static Poisson's ratio
$\sigma_1$	Major principal stress, MPa

$\sigma_3$	Minor principal stress, MPa
$\sigma_c$	Uniaxial compressive stress, MPa
$\sigma_n$	Normal compressive stress, MPa
$\sigma_t$	Tensile strength, MPa
$\sigma_u$	Ultimate uniaxial compressive strength, MPa
$\sigma_v$	Vertical stress, MPa
$\xi$	Strain
$\xi_a$	Longitudinal or axial strain
$\xi_l$	Lateral or circumferential strain
$\xi_v$	Volumetric strain
$\phi$	Internal friction angle, degree
$\phi_p$	Peak internal friction angle, degree
$\phi_r$	Residual internal friction angle, degree
$\tau$	Shear strength, MPa
$\tau_p$	Peak shear strength, MPa
$\tau_r$	Residual shear strength, MPa
$\lambda$	Lame's constant