

### 4.1 Chelex-100

4.1.1 Effect of pH on the Recovery Yields of $\mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ Pb and Zn through Chelex-100

The recovery yields of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 5 to 8 are given in Table 4.1-4.5 and plotted in Fig. 4.1-4.4.A slightly higher yield was observed for all elements at pH7.6. At this pH the precision of the experiment was also observed to be the best.
4.1.2 Effect of Flow Rates on the Recovery of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}$, Pb and Zn flom Sea Water through Chelex-100

The concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn in $4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ sea water as determined by varying the flow rate between 1-4 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} /$ min are given in Table 4.6 . No significance difference in the concentration was observed when the flow rate was changed although a better precision was observed when the flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \min$ was used.
4.1.3 Effect of Nitric Acid Concentration on the Stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn from Chelex-100

The stripping yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn using nitric acid at various concentration are given in Table 4.7 and plotted in Fig. 4.5-4.8. A complete stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$
and Zn could be obtained if the concentration of the nitric acid is higher than $2 \mathrm{M}_{\text {. }}$ As for Pb a lower acid concentration is also feasible. In the present experiment, 2 M nitric acid was normally used as eluting agent.

The elution patterns of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn with 2 M $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ are given in Table 4.8. The elution curves are plotted in Fig. 4.9-4.12. Complete elution of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Zn was observed by using only $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$.

### 4.2 Reverse Phase Chromatography

4.2.1 Effect of pH on the Recovery Yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ Pb and Zn by Reverse Phase Chromatography

The recovery yields of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH between 4-7 with APDC as complexing agent, using 60-80 mesh chromosorb W-DMCS, are given in Table 4.9-4. 12 and plotted in Fig. 4.13-4.16. Complete recovery of Cu was obtained between pH5-7. A maximum recovery yield of $80 \%$ at pH5 was obtained for Cd . The recovery yield of Zn between $\mathrm{pH} 5-7$ was practically constant at $80-85 \%$. The recovery yield of Pb was poor all through the pH range applied.

The recovery yields of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH between 4-7 with APDC as complexing agent, using 100-120 mesh chromosorb W-DMCS, are given in Table 4.13-4. 16 and plotted in Fig. 4.17-4.20. Complete recovery of Cu was observed at pH between 4-7. A maximum recovery yield of $88 \%$ was obtained for Cd at pH 5 . The recovery yield of Cd
decreases markedly at pH higher than 5. A maximum recovery yield of $90 \%$ was obtained for Zn at pH5. The recovery yield of Pb was poor all through the pH range applied.

The recovery yields of Cu at pH between $4-7$ with sodium diethyl-dithiocarbamate as complexing agent, using 100-120 mesh chromosorb W-DMCS, are given in Table 4.17 and plotted in Fig. 4.21. Complete recovery of Cu was obtained all through the pH range. The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn was measured and found to be lower than $20 \%$ and hence were not reported.
4.2.2 Effect of Particle Size of the Solid Support on the Recovery Yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by Reverse Phase Chromatography

The recovery yields of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn through chromosorb W-DMCS with particle sizes $60-80,80-100$ and 100-120 mesh are given in Table $4.10,4.14$ and 4.18 and plotted in Fig. 4.22-4.25. No significant difference was observed in all catseso
4.2.3 Effect of Flow Rates on the Recovery Yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}$ and Zn by Reverse Phase Chromatography

The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn are determined by varying the flow rate between $2-8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ min are given in Table 4.10, 4.19-4. 21 and plotted in Fig. 4.26-4.29. A slight-: 1y decrease in the recovery yield of Cd was observed when the rate is higher than $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \min$. The recovery yield of Cu
is constant at flow rate between $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \min$. The recovery yield of Cu decreases $20 \%$ when the flow rate is higher than $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \min$. No significant difference in the recovery yield was observed for Pb and Zn when the flow rate was changed although a better precision was observed when the flow rate is lower than $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \min$ 。
4.2.4 Effect of Eluting Agents on the Recovery Yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by Reverse Phase Chromatography

The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn using chloroform, benzene and toluene as eluting agents are given in Table 4.10, 4.22 and 4.23 and plotted in Fig. 4.30-4.33. Complete recovery of Cu was observed when chloroform was used as eluting agent. No significant difference was observed in the cases of Cd and $\mathrm{Zn}^{\wedge}$ which the recovery yield was about $80 \%$ The recovery yield of Pb was low in all cases.
4.2.5 Effect of Acid Concentration on the Stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn from Chloroform

The stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by hydrochloric acid at various concentration are given in Table 4.24 and plotted in Fig. 4.34-4.37. Complete stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn could be obtained if the concentration of HCl is higher than $2 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ and 0.5 M respectively. Cu could not be stripped quantitatively with HCl . The stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn using nitric acid at various concentration are given in Table 4. 25 and plotted in Fig. 4.38-4.41. Complete stripping of
$\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn could be obtained if the concentration of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is higher than $1 \mathrm{M}, 6 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{M}$ and 1 M respectively. $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ was normally used as stripping agent.

The results of the analysis of five samples of sea water after preconcentration by chelex-100 are given in Table 4.26. Table 4.27 gives the results by reverse phase
 chromatography prior ${ }^{\wedge}$ and after correction of chemical yield. Table 4.28 gives the results of both procedures in comparision to each other. It is obvious that the results from both procedures agree very well with each other.

Table 4.1 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 5.0 .

| $\frac{\mathrm{No}}{\mathrm{of}}$ | Cu |  |  | Cd |  |  | Zn |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experi- <br> ment | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{yg} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\mu g$ added | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 4 | 3.38 | 84.62 | 2 | 0.55 | 27.33 | 30 | 25. 79 | 85.95 | 10 | 8.87 | 88.67 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.43 | 85.67 | 2 | 0.48 | 24.00 | 30 | 26.35 | 87.83 | 10 | 8.87 | 38.67 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.73 | 93.33 | 2 | 0.99 | 49.33 | 30 | 27.90 | 93.00 | 10 | 8.76 | 87.60 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.73 | 93.33 | 2 | 1.06 | 53.20 | 30 | 25.92 | 86.40 | 10 | 8.54 | 85.40 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.60 | 90.00 | 2 | 0.62 | 30.93 | 30 | 27.49 | 91.63 | 10 | 8.66 | 86.60 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.69 | 92.30 | 2 | 0.56 | 28.00 | 30 | 28.31 | 94.36 | 10 | 8.66 | 86.60 |
| 7 | 4 | 3.43 | 85.67 | 2 | 0.64 | 31.87 | 30 | 28.55 | 95.18 | 10 | 9.08 | 90.80 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.54 | 88.60 | 2 | 0.56 | 27.75 | 30 | 28.02 | 94.40 | 10 | 9.28 | 92.80 |
| 9 | 4 | 3.90 | 97.60 | 2 | 0.51 | 25.60 | 30 | 26.78 | 89.28 | 10 | 9.28 | 92.80 |
| 10 | 4 | 3.84 | 96.00 | 2 | 0.48 | 24.00 | 30 | 27.28 | 90.93 | 10 | 8.85 | 88.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 90.68 |  |  | 32.30 |  |  | 90.80 |  |  | 88.84 |
| SD |  |  | 4.518 |  |  | 10.411 |  |  | 3.303 |  |  | 2.556 |
| RSD |  |  | 4.928 |  |  | 32.332 |  |  | 3.637 |  |  | 2.877 |

Table 4.2 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH6.0.

| $\frac{\text { No }}{\text { Of }}$ | Cu |  |  | Cd |  |  | Zn |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\stackrel{\mu g}{\text { added }}$ | found | $\frac{\text { \% }}{\text { Recovery }}$ | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { pound }}}{\text { for }}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 4 | 3.37 | 84.20 | 2 | 1.64 | 82.20 | 30 | 26.99 | 89.96 | 10 | 8.60 | 86.00 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.68 | 92.00 | 2 | 1.68 | 34.00 | 30 | 27.45 | 91. 50 | 10 | 8.45 | 84.50 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.57 | 89.33 | 2 | 1.68 | 84.00 | 30 | 28.83 | 96. 10 | 10 | 8.57 | 85.67 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.75 | 93.70 | 2 | 1.68 | 84.00 | 30 | 28.56 | 95. 20 | 10 | 8.57 | 85.67 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.70 | 92.50 | 2 | 1.83 | 91.47 | 30 | 26.39 | 87.95 | 10 | 8.64 | 86.40 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.89 | 97.17 | 2 | 1.83 | 91.47 | 30 | 28.38 | 94.60 | 10 | 8.64 | 86.40 |
| 7 | 4 | 3.67 | 91.67 | 2 | 1.70 | 84.93 | 30 | 25.91 | 86. 38 | 10 | 9.25 | 92.50 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.55 | 88.67 | 2 | 1.75 | 87.33 | 30 | 25.92 | 86.40 | 10 | 8.80 | 88.80 |
| 9 | 4 | 3.51 | 87.67 | 2 | 1.70 | 85.06 | 30 | 25.98 | 86.60 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.80 |
| 10 | 4 | 3.84 | 96.00 | 2 | 1.75 | 87.47 | 30 | 27.18 | 90.59 | 10 | 8.80 | 88.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 91.29 |  |  | 86.19 |  |  | 90.53 |  |  | 88.27 |
| SD |  |  | 3.927 |  |  | 3.195 |  |  | 3.757 |  |  | 4.333 |
| RSD |  |  | 4.301 |  |  | $3.70 \%$ |  |  | 4.150 |  |  | 4.909 |

Table 4.3 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 7.0 .

| No | Cu |  |  | Cd |  |  | Zn |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mu \mathrm{g}}{\text { added }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | 品 found | Recovery |
| 1 | 4 | 3.74 | 93.40 | 2 | 1.78 | 88.97 | 30 | 28.84 | 96.14 | 10 | 8.40 | 84.00 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.74 | 93.40 | 2 | 1.78 | 88.93 | 30 | 28.34 | 94.45 | 10 | 9.57 | 95.67 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.56 | 89.00 | 2 | 1.84 | 92. 13 | 30 | 28.82 | 96.05 | 10 | 9.33 | 93.33 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.56 | 89.00 | 2 | 1.81 | 90.53 | 30 | 28.08 | 93.61 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.75 | 93.67 | 2 | 1.81 | 90.53 | 30 | 28.50 | 95.00 | 10 | 8.64 | 86.40 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.57 | 89.33 | 2 | 1.81. | 90.53 | 30 | 28.05 | 93.50 | 10 | 8.48 | 84.82 |
| 7 | 4 | 3.43 | 85. 67 | 2 | 1.83 | 91.33 | 30 | 28.98 | 96.50 | 10 | 9.20 | 92.00 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.56 | 89.00 | 2 | 1.81 | 90.70 | 30 | 29.16 | 97.20 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 9 | 4 | 3.56 | 8 O .00 | 2 | 1.80 | 89.87 | 30 | 27.83 | 92.78 | 10 | 9.40 | 94.00 |
| 10 | 4 | 3.64 | 91.00 | 2 | 1.83 | 91.33 | 30 | 30.87 | 102.92 | 10 | 8.48 | 84.80 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 90.24 |  |  | 90.49 |  |  | 95.83 |  |  | 91.64 |
| SD |  |  | 2.572 |  |  | 1.015 |  |  | 2.889 |  |  | 6.482 |
| RSD |  |  | 2.851 |  |  | 1.122 |  |  | 3.317 |  |  | 7.073 |

Table 4.4 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 7.6 .

| $\frac{\mathrm{NO}}{\mathrm{Of}}$ | Cu |  |  | Cd |  |  | Zn |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu g}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | Recovery | $\underset{\substack{\mu g \\ \text { added }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\text { ug }}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 4 | 3.87 | 96.80 | 2 | 1.86 | 93.00 | 30 | 29.34 | 97.80 | 10 | 9.40 | 94.00 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.97 | 99.20 | 2 | 1.88 | 93.80 | 30 | 30.29 | 100.97 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.97 | 99.20 | 2 | 1.88 | 93.80 | 30 | 27.89 | 92.97 | 10 | 9.60 | 96.00 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.95 | 98.80 | 2 | 1.90 | 95.12 | 30 | 29.19 | 97.30 | 10 | 9.40 | 94.00 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.95 | 98.80 | 2 | 1.91 | 95.68 | 30 | 28.65 | 95. 50 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.60 | 2 | 1.93 | 96.60 | 30 | 28.39 | 94.63 | 10 | 10.24 | 102.40 |
| 7 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.60 | 2 | 1.93 | 96.70 | 30 | 28.70 | 95.68 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 2 | 1.88 | 94.13 | 30 | 29.33 | 97. 78 | 10 | 10.10 | 101.00 |
| 9 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.88 | 94.13 | 30 | 29.20 | 97.32 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 10 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.94 | 96.93 | 30 | 28.92 | 96.40 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 99.00 |  |  | 94.99 |  |  | 96.63 |  |  | 98.04 |
| SD |  |  | 0.984 |  |  | 1.416 |  |  | 2.147 |  |  | 2.893 |
| RSD |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 1.491 |  |  | 2.222 |  |  | 2.951 |

Table 4.5 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH8.0.

| No | Cu |  |  | Cd |  |  | Zn |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ |  | \% Recovery | added | $\stackrel{\mu}{g}$ found | $\frac{\%}{\text { Recovery }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 4 | 3.49 | 87.33 | 2 | 1.85 | 92.33 | 30 | 25.47 | 84.90 | 10 | 8.73 | 87.33 |
| 2 | 4 | 3.66 | 91.39 | 2 | 1.80 | 90.00 | 30 | 25.16 | 83.88 | 10 | 7.97 | 79.76 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.57 | 89.23 | 2 | 1.81 | 90.27 | 30 | 24.09 | 80.30 | 10 | 9.16 | 91. 60 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.49 | 87.33 | 2 | 1.72 | 86.00 | 30 | 27.81 | 92.70 | 10 | 9.16 | 91. 60 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.67 | 91.67 | 2 | 1.72 | 36.00 | 30 | 26.07 | 86.91 | 10 | 8.14 | 81.40 |
| 6 | 4 | 3.65 | 91.33 | 2 | 1.81 | 90.27 | 30 | 27.21 | 90.69 | 10 | 8.14 | 81.40 |
| 7 | 4 | 3.57 | 89.33 | 2 | 1.84 | 92.13 | 30 | 27.11 | 90.35 | 10 | 8.64 | 86.40 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.56 | 89.00 | 2 | 1.79 | 89.60 | 30 | 26.61 | 88.70 | 10 | 8.24 | 82.40 |
| 9 | 4 | 3.73 | 93.33 | 2 | 1.34 | 91.87 | 30 | 26.89 | 89.63 | 10 | 8.74 | 87.40 |
| 10 | 4 | 3.65 | 91.33 | 2 | 1.75 | 87.33 | 30 | 27.71 | 92.35 | 10 | 8.24 | 82.40 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 90.13 |  |  | 89.58 |  |  | 88.05 |  |  | 85. 18 |
| SD |  |  | 1.986 |  |  | 2.384 |  |  | 4.011 |  |  | 4.326 |
| RSD |  |  | 2. 303 |  |  | 2.661 |  |  | 4.556 |  |  | 5.079 |
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Table 4.6 The recovery of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn from $4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ sea water.

| No | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{ppb})$. |  |  |  | Cd (ppb) |  |  |  | Zn (ppb) |  |  |  | Pb (ppb) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & 1.0 \\ & \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{min} \end{aligned}$ | Flow rate 2.0 $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 3.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 4.0 $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 1.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 2.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 3.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow <br> rate <br> 4.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 1.0 $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 2.0 $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{min}$ | Flow rate 3.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flow } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & 4.0 \\ & 4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min} \end{aligned}$ | Flow <br> rate <br> 1.0 <br> $\mathrm{cm}^{3} /$ min | Flow rate 2.0 $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mi}$ | Flow rate 3.0 cm 3 min | Flow rate 4.0 $\mathrm{cm} /$ /nin |
| 1 | 2.50 | 2.58 | 2.60 | 2.65 | 0.104 | 0.099 | 0.106 | 0.095 | 20.36 | 20.65 | 20.62 | 21.20 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.44 |
| 2 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.64 | 2.58 | 0.102 | 0.105 | 0.098 | 0.106 | 20.48 | 20.50 | 21.00 | 20.45 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.49 |
| 3 | 2.58 | 2.62 | 2.54 | 2.72 | 0.100 | 0.102 | 0.098 | 0.108 | 20.52 | 20.42 | 20.24 | 20. 75 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| 4 | 2.56 | 2.54 | 2. 70 | 2.49 | 0.098 | 0.104 | 0.104 | 0.097 | 20,64 | 20.28 | 20.72 | 21.28 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.37 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | 2.59 | 2.59 | 2.62 | 2.61 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 0.102 | 20.50 | 20.46 | 20.65 | 20.67 | 0.430 | 0.435 | 0.418 | 0.418 |
| SD | 0.019 | 0.034 | 0.067 | 0.098 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.115 | 0.155 | 0.314 | 0.403 | 0.024 | 0.037 | 0.056 | 0.058 |
| RSD | 0.741 | 1.322 | 2.569 | 3.771 | 2.556 | 2.593 | 3.786 | 6.378 | 0.563 | 0.758 | 1.521 | 1.951 | 5.696 | 8.498 | 13.438 | 4.001 |

Table 4.7 Nitric acid concentration on the stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn from chelex-100。

| No | Recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cu}(\%)$ |  |  |  | Recovery yield of Cd (\%) |  |  |  | Recovery yield of $\mathrm{Zn}(\%)$ |  |  |  | Recovery yield of $\mathrm{Pb}(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ \mathrm{IM}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 2 \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 3 \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{4}{ }_{4}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}^{\mathrm{HN}}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}{ }_{2}$ | HNO 3 M | $\mathrm{HNO}_{4}{ }_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{2} \\ 2 \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 3 \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{4}{ }_{4}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ $1 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 3 \mathrm{M}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \\ 4 \mathrm{M}^{3} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 92.33 | 100.00 | 98.00 | 100.00 | 92.40 | 94.83 | 95.25 | 95.40 | 96.33 | 95.68 | 95.25 | 94. 52 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.40 | 99. |
| 2 | 92.33 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 92.40 | 94.83 | 94.20 | 94. 53 | 95.45 | 97.78 | 95.25 | 97.06 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.40 | 99.40 |
| 3 | 92.33 | 99.80 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94. 13 | 95.63 | 96.23 | 94.53 | 94.85 | 97.32 | 96.25 | 96.96 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.40 | 98.40 |
| 4 | 89.66 | 98.80 | 98.27 | 98.40 | 92.40 | 96.00 | 95.25 | 93.60 | 93.80 | 96.40 | 97.50 | 96. 84 | 98.50 | 99.50 | 98.00 | 98.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | 91.66 | 99.65 | 99.06 | 99.60 | 92.83 | 95. 32 | 95.22 | 94.52 | 95.11 | 96.80 | 96.06 | 96. 35 | 99.63 | 99.88 | 99.05 | 98.70 |
| SD | 1. 335 | 0.574 | 1.082 | 0.800 | 0.865 | 0.588 | 0.830 | 0.735 | 1.063 | 0.939 | 1.068 | 1.220 | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.700 | 0.808 |
| RSD | 1.456 | 0.576 | 1.093 | 0.803 | 0.932 | 0.617 | 0.871 | 0.778 | 1.111 | 0.970 | 1. 112 | 1.226 | 0.753 | 0.251 | 0.707 | 0.819 |

Fif. $405-108$ Effect of nitric acid cencentration on the stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and zn frem chelex-100



Table 4.8 Elution patterns of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn
Eluting agent : $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
Flow rate : $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ min

| Fraction <br> No | Elution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\%)$ |  |  | Elution of $\mathrm{Cd}(\%)$ |  |  | Elution of $\mathrm{Zn}(\%)$ |  |  | Elution of $\mathrm{Pb}(\%)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | №1 | No2 | No3 | No1 | No2 | No3 | No1 | No2 | No3 | №1 | No2 | No3 |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | - | - | - |
| 3 | 1.85 | 3.50 | 1.85 | 1.51 | 1.38 | 1.82 | 4.33 | 3.56 | 3.74 | 13.40 | 12.28 | 10.35 |
| 4 | 15.15 | 16.18 | 17.10 | 17. 50 | 18.14 | 18.62 | 7.52 | 8.17 | 8.30 | 70.15 | 68.25 | 75.60 |
| 5 | 43.50 | 44.20 | 45.08 | 40.00 | 38.26 | 41.20 | 15.17 | 16.08 | 15.21 | 16.35 | 17.40 | 13.25 |
| 6 | 22.40 | 21.38 | 19.48 | 27.52 | 26.44 | 24.45 | 33.52 | 35.12 | 35.00 | - | - | - |
| 7 | 10.30 | 10.10 | 9.50 | 7.50 | 7.92 | 7.30 | 17.50 | 18.12 | 17.18 | - | - | - |
| 8 | 3.25 | 1.75 | 4.00 | - | - | - | 9.48 | 10.30 | 10.14 | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4.98 | 4.52 | 3.88 | - | - | - |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | E | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Note - : un detectable

Pig. 4.9-4.12 Elution patterns of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn with $2 \mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$


Table 4.9 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 4.0 .
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 60-80 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| $\frac{\mathrm{No}}{0 \mathrm{f}}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{gathered} \mu g \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \text { pg } \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ug } \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ Recovery | added | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mu g}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 10 | 6.50 | 65.00 | 2 | 1.18 | 59.00 | 4 | 3.76 | 94.00 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 7.20 | 72.00 | 2 | 1.11 | 55.70 | 4 | 3.60 | 90.00 | 10 | 4.20 | 42.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 6.45 | 64.50 | 2 | 1.14 | 57.00 | 4 | 3.68 | 92.00 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 7.42 | 74.20 | 2 | 1.21 | 60.50 | 4 | 3.83 | 95.80 | 10 | 4.50 | 45.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 6.60 | 66.00 | 2 | 1.29 | 64.50 | 4 | 3.74 | 93.60 | 10 | 4.-9 | 40.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 6.75 | 67.50 | 2 | 1.27 | 63.60 | 4 | 3.74 | 93.40 | 10 | 4.50 | 45.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 7.06 | 70.60 | 2 | 1.31 | 65.30 | 4 | 3.80 | 95.00 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 6.65 | 66.50 | 2 | 1.26 | 62.80 | 4 | 3.82 | 95.50 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 63.29 |  | - | 61.05 |  |  | 93.76 |  |  | 41.50 |
| SD |  |  | 3.551 |  |  | 3.567 |  |  | 1.724 |  |  | 2.330 |
| RSD |  |  | 5.201 |  |  | 5.843 |  |  | 1.838 |  |  | 5.614 |

Table 4.10 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 5.0
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 60-80 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| No | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pg } \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\stackrel{\mu \mathrm{g}}{\text { added }}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\text { fug }}$ found | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.80 | 88.00 | 2 | 1.60 | 78.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.65 | 86.50 | 2 | 1.67 | 83.60 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.95 | 89.50 | 2 | 1.67 | 83.60 | 4 | 3.96 | 99.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 9.10 | 91.00 | 2 | 1.57 | 78.30 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 5 | 10 | 9.14 | 91.40 | 2 | 1.68 | 84.00 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.50 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.93 | 89.30 | 2 | 1.71 | 85.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.93 | 89.30 | 2 | 1.60 | 80.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.51 | 85.08 | 2 | 1.63 | 31.60 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 88.76 |  |  | 82.03 |  | \% | 99.63 |  |  | 55. 56 |
| SD |  |  | 2.150 |  |  | 2.492 |  |  | 0.582 |  |  | 2.112 |
| RSD |  |  | 2.422 |  |  | 3.037 |  |  | 0.585 |  |  | 3.801 |

Table 4.11 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 6.0 .
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 60-80 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| No | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ug } \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fig } \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | ${ }^{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ found | $\%$ Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\text { ug }}$ | found | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ added | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 10 | 8.40 | 84.00 | 2 | 1.15 | 57.40 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.85 | 88.50 | 2 | 1.21 | 60.50 | 24 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55. 50 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.75 | 87.50 | 2 | 1.25 | 62.60 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.90 | 89.00 | 2 | 1. 12 | 60.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.32 | 83.20 | 2 | 1.16 | 58.00 | 4 | 3.80 | 95.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.65 | 86.50 | 2 | 1.17 | 58.50 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.80 | 88.00 | 2 | 1.30 | 64.80 | 4 | 3.91 | 97.80 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.28 | 82.80 | 2 | 1.26 | 63.20 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 5.30 | 58.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 86.19 |  | บr | 60.70 |  |  | 98.66 |  |  | 57.81 |
| SD |  |  | 2.494 |  |  | 2.666 |  |  | 1.764 |  |  | 2.086 |
| RSD |  |  | 2.894 |  |  | 4.392 |  |  | 1.788 |  |  | 3.609 |

Table 4.12 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH7.0.
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 60-80 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| No | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu g}$ | found | Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mathrm{ug}}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 7.80 | 78.00 | 2 | 1.01 | 50.30 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.50 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 7.82 | 78.20 | 2 | 1.03 | 51.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.18 | 81.80 | 2 | 0.91 | 45.70 | 4 | 3.93 | 98.25 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 7.75 | 77.50 | 2 | 0.94 | 46.80 | 4 | 3.87 | 96.80 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 7.72 | 77.20 | 2 | 0.99 | 49.60 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 7.84 | 78.40 | 2 | 0.96 | 48.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.16 | 81.60 | 2 | 0.95 | 47.50 | 4 | 3.90 | 97.50 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.37 | 83.70 | 2 | 0.91 | 45.60 | 4 | 3.89 | 97.25 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 79.55 |  | OHO | 48.12 |  |  | 98.41 |  |  | 57.38 |
| SD |  |  | 2.442 |  |  | 2.162 |  |  | 1. 265 |  |  | 2.134 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.070 |  |  | 4.492 |  |  | 1.286 |  |  | 3.719 |

Fig. $4.13-4.16$ Effect of pH on the recovery yield of Cd , $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and m by reverse phase chremategraphy. : chremesert W-DHCS 60-80 mesh.
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Fige 4.16 : $2 n$

Table 4.13 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 4.0 。
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 100-120 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| $\stackrel{N_{0}}{O f}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { added }}{\text { adg }}$ | found | Recovery | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\text { ug }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 7.85 | 78.50 | 2 | 1.52 | 75.80 | 4 | 3.84 | 96.00 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.04 | 80.40 | 2 | 1.51 | 75.40 | 4 | 3.84 | 96.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 7.36 | 73.60 | 2 | 1.59 | 79.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 7.44 | 74.43 | 2 | 1.41 | 70.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 4.85 | 48.50 |
| 5 | 10 | 7.05 | 70.54 | 2 | 1.62 | 81.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 7.25 | 72.50 | 2 | 1.54 | 76.80 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 4.85 | 48.50 |
| 7 | 10 | 7.52 | 75.20 | 2 | 1.51 | 75.40 |  | 3.96 | 99.00 | 10 | 4.85 | 48.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 7. 75 | 77. 45 | 2 | 1.58 | 78.80 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 4.85 | 48.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 75.33 |  |  | 76.65 | UNIVE | SITY | 98. 30 |  |  | 49.88 |
| SD |  |  | 3.274 |  |  | 3.237 |  |  | 1.557 |  |  | 2.200 |
| RSD |  |  | 4.346 |  |  | 4.223 |  |  | 1.584 |  |  | 4.410 |

Table 4.14 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at $\mathrm{pH} 5.0^{\circ}$
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 100-120 mesh. Complexing agent : APDC

| $\mathrm{No}_{0}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{gathered} \text { ng } \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu g \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 10 | 8.63 | 86. 30 | 2 | 1.82 | 91.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 9.29 | 92.90 | 2 | 1.78 | 89.20 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.83 | 88.30 | 2 | 1.76 | 88.20 | 4 | 3.95 | 98.75 | 10 | 5.30 | 53.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 9.41 | 94.10 | 2 | 1.76 | 87.80 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.30 | 53.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.85 | 88.50 | 2 | 1.71 | 85.40 | 4 | 3.96 | 99.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.67 | 86.70 | 2 | 1.80 | 90.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 58.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 9.00 | 90.00 | 2 | 1.71 | 85.40 | 4 | 3.94 | 93.50 | 10 | 5.30 | 58.00 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 10 | 8.95 | 89.50 | 2 | 1.77 | 88.50 | 4 水 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.80 | 53.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 89. 54 |  |  | 88.19 |  | TY | 99.53 |  |  | 55.63 |
| SD |  |  | 2. 764 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 0.661 |  |  | 2.134 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.087 |  |  | 2.268 |  |  | 0.664 |  |  | 3.836 |

Table 4.15 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH6.0.
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS $100-120$ mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ug } \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ added | $\stackrel{\mu \mathrm{g}}{\mu}$ <br> found | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.54 | 85.40 | 2 | 1.19 | 59.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.85 | 68.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.50 | 85.00 | 2 | 1.15 | 57.50 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 6.85 | 68.50 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.12 | 81.20 | 2 | 1.25 | 62.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.85 | 68.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.34 | 83.40 | 2 | 1.28 | 64.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.50 | 65.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.76 | 87.60 | 2 | 1.18 | 59.00 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 6.50 | 65.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.36 | 83.60 | 2 | 1.17 | 58.80 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 6.50 | 65.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.70 | 87.00 | 2 | 1.26 | 63.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.35 | 63.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 9.02 | 90.20 | 2 | 1.27 | 63.50 | 4 | 3.85 | 96.25 | 10 | 6.35 | 63.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 85.45 |  |  | 60.98 |  |  | 98.78 |  |  | 65.94 |
| SD |  |  | 2.812 |  |  | 2.531 |  |  | 1.423 |  |  | 2.211 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.290 |  |  | 4.150 |  |  | 1.441 |  |  | 3.353 |

Table 4.16 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 7.0 .
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS $100-120$ mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| No | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{array}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | found | \% <br> Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 7.82 | 78.20 | 2 | 0.97 | 48.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98. 50 | 10 | 6. 55 | 65.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.38 | 83.80 | 2 | 0.84 | 42.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 6.55 | 65.50 |
| 3 | 10 | 7.62 | 76.20 | 2 | 0.83 | 41.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.25 | 82.50 | 2 | 1.00 | 50.00 | 4 | 3.96 | 99.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.42 | 84.20 | 2 | 0.92 | 45.80 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 7.83 | 78.30 | 2 | 1.01 | 50.50 | 4 | 3.90 | 97.50 | 10 | 6.55 | 65.50 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.05 | 80.50 | 2 | 0.94 | 46.80 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 7.98 | 79.80 | 2 | 0.87 | 43.25 | 4 | 3.82 | 95.50 | 10 | 6.00 | 60.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 80.44 |  | Uru | 46.04 |  | ग | 98.19 |  |  | 62.75 |
| SD |  |  | 2.870 |  |  | 3.525 |  |  | 1.308 |  |  | 2.940 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.568 |  |  | 7.650 |  |  | 1.332 |  |  | 4.685 |

Fig. $4017=4.20$ Effect of pH on the recovery yield of Cd , $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by reverse phase chromatography. 8 chromosorb W-DMCS 100-120 meah.



Fig. $4.19: ~ P m ~$


Fif. $4.20: 2 \mathrm{zn}$

Table 4.17 The recovery yield of Cu at pH between 4-7.
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 100-120 mesh Complexing agent : NaDEDTC

| $\frac{\mathrm{No}}{\mathrm{of}}$ | Cu at pH4 |  |  | Cu at pH5 |  |  | Cu at pH6 |  |  | Cu at pH 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | adg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pg } \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | found found | $\%$ Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { added }}{\text { ug }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu g \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.90 | 97.50 |
| 2 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 |
| 3 | 4 | 3.88 | 97.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 |
| 4 | 4 | 3.90 | 97.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 |
| 5 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 |
| 6 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.90 | 97. 50 |
| 7 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 3.96 | 99.00 |
| 8 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 98.87 |  |  | 99.63 |  | ITY | 99. 14 |  |  | 98.38 |
| SD |  |  | 1.275 |  |  | 0.694 |  |  | 0.776 |  |  | 0.835 |
| RSD |  |  | 1.289 |  |  | 0.697 |  |  | 0.781 |  |  | 0.848 |

Fig. 4.21 Effect of pH on the recovery yield of Cu by reverse phase chromatography.
: Chromosorb W-pMCS 100-120 mesh
: sodium diethyl-dithiecarbanate


Table 4.18 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 5.0 .
Condition:
Chromosorb W-DMCS 80-100 mesh Complexing agent : APDC

| $\mathrm{NO}_{0 \mathrm{o}}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | added | $\underset{\sim}{\mu g}$ found | \% Recovery | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ added | found | \% Recovery | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ug } \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 9.24 | 92.40 | 2 | 1.65 | 82.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.75 | 87.50 | 2 | 1.70 | 35.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.75 | 57. 50 |
| 3 | 10 | 9.00 | 90.00 | 2 | 1.72 | 36.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.75 | 57.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.90 | 89.00 | 2 | 1.69 | 84.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.75 | 57. 50 |
| 5 | 10 | 9.10 | 91.00 | 2 | 1.67 | 83.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.25 | 52.50 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.64 | 86.45 | 2 | 1.68 | 84.20 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.55 | 85.50 | 2 | 1.59 | 79.50 | 4 | 3.94 | 98.50 | 10 | 5.25 | 52.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 9.00 | 90.00 | 2 | 1. 72 | 86. 20 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.25 | 52.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 88.98 |  |  | 83.93 |  | TY | 99.56 |  |  | 55.00 |
| SD |  |  | 2.347 |  |  | 2.166 |  |  | 0.821 |  |  | 2.315 |
| RSD |  |  | 2.638 |  |  | 2.580 |  |  | 0.825 |  |  | 4.208 |

Pige $4022-4025$ Effect of particle size of the selid suppert on the recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by reverse phase chremategraphy.


Table 4.19 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { No } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Experi- } \\ \text { ment } \end{array}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { added }}{\text { ug }}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\text { foug }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { मg } \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ <br> found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \text { ug } \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { yg } \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 9.30 | 93.00 | 2 | 1.70 | 85.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 3.82 | 88.15 | 2 | 1.57 | 78.30 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.50 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.95 | 89.50 | 2 | 1.65 | 82.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.75 | 57.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 9.10 | 91.00 | 2 | 1.67 | 83.40 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.75 | 57. 50 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.93 | 89.30 | 2 | 1.60 | 80.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 6 | 10 | 9.20 | 92.00 | 2 | 1.62 | 81.00 | 4 | 3.96 | 99.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.77 | 87.65 | 2 | 1.63 | 81.50 | 4 | 3.98 | 99.50 | 10 | 5. 75 | 57.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.68 | 86. 80 | 2 | 1.69 | 84.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ |  |  | 89.68 |  |  | 82.03 |  | T | 99.75 |  |  | 55.38 |
| SD |  |  | 2.174 |  |  | 2.280 |  |  | 0.378 |  |  | 1.866 |
| RSD |  |  | 2.424 |  |  | 2.780 |  |  | 0.379 |  |  | 3.370 |

Table 4.20 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at flow rate $6.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ min .

| $\stackrel{N O}{o f}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\mu^{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mu \mathrm{g}}{\stackrel{\mathrm{f}}{\text { ( }}}$ found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hg } \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\xrightarrow{\mu}$ found | $\%$. Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.75 | 87. 50 | 2 | 1.47 | 73.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 4.85 | 48.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.75 | 87.50 | 2 | 1.59 | 79.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.34 | 83.35 | 2 | 1.56 | 78.00 | 4 | 3.92 | 98.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 7.96 | 79.60 | 2 | 1.52 | 76.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.05 | 80.45 | 2 | 1.58 | 79.20 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 4.85 | 43.50 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.35 | 83.50 | 2 | 1.53 | 76.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.68 | 86.80 | 2 | 1.48 | 74.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.20 | 82.00 | 2 | 1.43 | 71.50 | 4 | 3.80 | 95.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 83.84 |  |  | 76.03 |  | STY | 99.13 |  |  | 52.81 |
| SD |  |  | 3.134 |  |  | 2.859 |  |  | 1.808 |  |  | 2. 751 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.738 |  |  | 3.761 |  |  | 1.824 |  |  | 5.209 |

Table 4.21 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at flow rate $8.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$.

| $\stackrel{N o}{o f}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \text { pg } \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\mu g$ found | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.50 | 85.00 | 2 | 1.23 | 61.60 | 4 | 3.13 | 78.35 | 10 | 4.50 | 45.00 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.40 | 84.00 | 2 | 1.17 | 58.50 | 4 | 3.05 | 76.30 | 10 | 4.50 | 45.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 7.60 | 76.00 | 2 | 1.26 | 63.00 | 4 | 3.04 | 76.00 | 10 | 4.80 | 48.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 7. 75 | 77.45 | 2 | 1.15 | 57.40 | 4 | 3.05 | 76.35 | 10 | 4.80 | 48.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 7.85 | 78.50 | 2 | 1.31 | 65.50 | 4 | 3.03 | 75.80 | 10 | 4.25 | 42.50 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.25 | 82.50 | 2 | 1.17 | 58.50 | 4 | 2.85 | 71.25 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 7.55 | 75.50 | 2 | 1.28 | 64.10 | 4 | 2.72 | 68.00 | 10 | 4.25 | 42.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 7.93 | 79.30 | 2 | 1.31 | 65.30 | 4 | 2.82 | 70.50 | 10 | 4.00 | 40.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 79. 79 |  |  | 61.75 |  | STY | 74.07 |  |  | 43.88 |
| SD |  |  | 3.651 |  |  | 3.258 |  |  | 3.640 |  |  | 3.171 |
| RSD |  |  | 4.576 |  |  | 5.291 |  |  | 4.914 |  |  | 7.226 |

Pig. 4.26-4.29 Effect of flow rates en the recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn by reverse phase chromatography.


Fig. 4.26 : Cd

Fig. 4.27 : Cu (100)

Fig. 4.29 : 2 m

Table 4. 22 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn 。
Eluting agent : Benzene

| No | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.85 | 88.50 | 2 | 1.67 | 83.60 | 4 | 3.12 | 78.00 | 10 | 5.15 | 51.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.20 | 82.00 | 2 | 1.59 | 79.50 | 4 | 3.22 | 80.50 | 10 | 5.15 | 51.50 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.80 | 88.00 | 2 | 1.64 | 82.00 | 4 | 3.07 | 76.70 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.65 | 86.50 | 2 | 1.52 | 75.80 | 4 | 3.16 | 79.00 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.10 | 81.00 | 2 | 1.57 | 78.50 | 4 | 3.14 | 78.50 | 10 | 5.50 | 55.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 8.25 | 82.50 | 2 | 1.62 | 81.20 | 4 | 3.04 | 76.00 | 10 | 4,80 | 48.00 |
| 7 | 10 | 8.45 | 84. 50 | 2 | 1.52 | 76.00 | 4 | 3.20 | 80.00 | 10 | 4.80 | 48.00 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.55 | 85.50 | 2 | 1.57 | 78.40 | าวิง4 | 3.11 | 77.80 | 10 | 5.15 | 51.50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 84.81 |  |  | 79.38 |  | SITY | 78. 31 |  |  | 51.94 |
| SD |  |  | 2.802 |  |  | 2.777 |  |  | 1.533 |  |  | 2.921 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.304 |  |  | 3.498 |  |  | 1.957 |  |  | 5.623 |

Table 4.23 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn 。
Eluting agent : Toluene

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mathrm{gg}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mg } \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | found | \% Recovery | $\stackrel{\mu g}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\mu \mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{f}}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1 | 10 | 8.65 | 86.50 | 2 | 1.66 | 83.00 | 4 | 3.33 | 83.30 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 2 | 10 | 8.60 | 86.00 | 2 | 1.62 | 81.20 | 4 | 3.47 | 86.70 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 3 | 10 | 8.55 | 85.50 | 2 | 1.59 | 79.50 | 4 | 3.17 | 79.30 | 10 | 5.35 | 53.50 |
| 4 | 10 | 8.30 | 83.00 | 2 | 1.56 | 78.00 | 4 | 3.22 | 80.50 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 5 | 10 | 8.20 | 82.00 | 2 | 1.51 | 75.50 | 4 | 3.30 | 82.40 | 10 | 5.00 | 50.00 |
| 6 | 10 | 7.95 | 79.50 | 2 | 1.58 | 79.00 | 4 | 3.20 | 80.00 | 10 | 4.75 | 47.50 |
| 7 | 10 | 7.38 | 78.80 | 2 | 1.44 | 76.80 |  | 3.26 | 81.50 | 10 | 4.75 | 47.50 |
| 8 | 10 | 8.45 | 84. 50 | 2 | 1.63 | 81. 50 | 4 | 3.42 | 85.50 | 10 | 4.75 | 47. 50 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 83.23 |  |  | 79.31 |  | TY | 82.40 |  |  | 49.94 |
| SD |  |  | 2.933 |  |  | 2.526 |  |  | 2.638 |  |  | 2. 485 |
| RSD |  |  | 3.524 |  |  | 3.184 |  |  | 3.201 |  |  | 4.976 |

Fige $4.30-4.33$ Effect of eluting agents on the recevery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Pl}$ mich by reverse phase chremategraphy .


Figo $4.30: C A$


Fife $4.31: \mathrm{Cu}$


Pig. $4.32: ~ P b ~$


Fig. 4033 : 2m

Table 4.24 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH 5.0 .
Stripping agent : Hydrochloric acid

| $\text { Conc }{ }^{\text {IT }}$ $\mathrm{HCl}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molarity | adg | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | \% Recovery | मg added | foun found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ug} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | \% <br> Recovery |
| 0.5 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | . 24 | 12.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 0.5 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | . 24 | 12.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 0.5 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | . 26 | 13.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 0.5 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | . 28 | 14.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 12.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.44 | 72.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1. 46 | 73.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.44 | 72.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.48 | 74.00 | 4 | \& - | - | 10 | - | - |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 72.75 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 2.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 2.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.98 | 99.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 2.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.99 | 99.50 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 99.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.24 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn . Stripping agent : Hydrochloric acid

| Conc ${ }^{n}$ HCl | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Molari- } \\ \text { ty } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | added | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu g}$ | foun <br> found | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ug} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.00 | 70.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.50 | 75.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 1.99 | 99.50 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.50 | 75.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.50 | 75.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 99.88 |  |  |  |  |  | 73.75 |
| 4.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 4.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 4.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 4.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |

Table 4. 24 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn 。
Stripping agent : Hỳdrochloric acid

| Conc- ${ }^{n}$ HCl | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molari- ty | $\begin{gathered} \mu g \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ |  | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\underset{\text { found }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | $\%$ <br> Recovery | added | found | \% Recovery | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.35 | 98.50 | 2 | 1.98 | 99.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 | 2 | 1.97 | 98.50 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 | 2 | 1.98 | 99.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.85 | 98.50 | 2 | 1.97 | 93.50 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 |
| $\bar{x}$ |  |  | 98.25 |  |  | 98.75 |  |  |  |  |  | 98.75 |
| 10.0 | 10 | 9.75 | 97. 50 | 2 | 1.96 | 98.00 | 4 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 10 | 9.70 | 97.00 |
| 10.0 | 10 | 9.70 | 97.00 | 2 | 1.95 | 97.50 | 4 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 10 | 9.70 | 97.00 |
| 10.0 | 10 | 9.70 | 97.00 | 2 | 1.95 | 97.50 | 4 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 10 | 9.70 | 97.00 |
| 10.0 | 10 | 9.75 | 97. 50 | 2 | 1.95 | 97.50 | 4 | 1.00 | 25.00 | 10 | 9. 70 | 97.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 97.25 |  |  | 97.63 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 97.00 |

## Fifg $4034-4.37$ Effect of acid cencentration on the stripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Za from chloreforn.



Table 4.25 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn at pH5.0.
Stripping agent : Nitric acid

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Conc}^{\mathrm{n}} \\ & \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Molari- } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { added } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ Recovery | added | found | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 1.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.00 | 70.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3) - | - | 10 | 7.00 | 70.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 |  | - | - | 10 | 7.00 | 70.00 |
| 3.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | - | - | 10 | 7.00 | 70.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 70.00 |
| 5.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.40 | 85.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 5.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.40 | 85.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 5.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.36 | 84.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 5.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.36 | 84.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 84. 50 |  |  | 100.00 |

c|

Table 4. 25 The recovery yield of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn .
Stripping agent : Nitric acid

| Conc ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Zn |  |  | Cd |  |  | Cu |  |  | Pb |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Molari- } \\ \text { ty } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \mathrm{g} \\ & \text { found } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { added } \end{gathered}$ | found | \% Recoyery | added | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} \\ \text { found } \end{gathered}$ | \% Recovery | $\underset{\text { added }}{\mu \mathrm{g}}$ | found | \% Recovery |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 6.0 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |  |  | 100.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | S.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 | 2 | 1.99 | 99.50 | 4 | 4.00 | 100.00 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.90 | 99.00 | 2 | 1.98 | 99.00 | 4 | 3.80 | 99.50 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| 8.0 | 10 | 9.80 | 98.00 | 2 | 2.00 | 100.00 | 4 | 3.80 | 99.50 | 10 | 10.00 | 100.00 |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ |  |  | 98. 25 |  |  | 99.63 |  |  | 99.75 |  |  | 100.00 |

Note - : un detectable

Fig. $4038-4.41$ Effect of acid concentration on the atripping of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and za from chloroform.

$\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ Concentration(Melarity)
Fig. 4.38 : Cd

$\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ concentration(Molarity).
Fig. 4.39 : Cu $^{\text {P }}$


Table 4.26 Concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn in 5 samples of sea water after preconcentration by chelex-100.

| Sample No | No | Zn |  | Cd |  | Cu |  | Pb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ofof <br> Experiment | $\mu \mathrm{g} / 4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppb})}{\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / 4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / 4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppb}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / 4 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$. | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppb})}{\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 158.76 | 39. 59 | 0.461 | 0.115 | 10.36 | 2.59 | 4.89 | 1.22 |
|  | 2 | 163.58 | 40.89 | . 468 | 0.117 | 10.49 | 2.62 | 4.56 | 1.14 |
|  | 3 | 159.73 | 39.93 | 0.453 | 0.113 | 10.73 | 2.68 | 4.67 | 1.17 |
| 2 | 1 | 113.96 | 28.49 | 0.436 | 0.109 | 10.05 | 2.51 | 3.53 | 0.88 |
|  | 2 | 106.07 | 26.52 | 0.423 | 0.106 | 9.96 | 2.49 | 3.24 | 0.81 |
|  | 3 | 109.23 | 27.31 | 0.421 | 0.105 | 10.02 | 2.50 | 3.31 | 0.83 |
| 3 | 1 | 87.26 | 21.82 | 0.412 | 0.103 | 8.97 | 2.24 | 3.05 | 0.76 |
|  | 2 | 88.76 | 22.19 | 0.393 | 0.098 | 8.84 | 2.21 | 3.53 | 0.88 |
|  | 3 | 92.53 | 23.13 | 0.905 | 0.101 | 9.05 | 2.26 | 3.23 | 0.81 |
| 4 | 1 | 101.82 | 25.46 | 0.377 | 0.094 | 9.29 | 2.32 | 3.07 | 0.77 |
|  | 2 | 105.42 | 26.36 | 0.360 | 0.090 | 8.86 | 2.21 | 2.92 | 0.73 |
|  | 3 | 110.74 | 27.69 | 0.348 | 0.087 | 8.97 | 2.24 | 3.17 | 0.79 |
| 5 | 1 | 87.90 | 21.98 | 0.393 | 0.098 | 8.54 | 2.14 | 3.13 | 0.78 |
|  | 2 | 85.48 | 21.37 | 0.372 | 0.093 | 8.65 | 2.16 | 3.21 | 0.80 |
|  | 3 | 82. 40 | 20.60 | 0.341 | 0.085 | 8.37 | 2.09 | 3.05 | 0.76 |

Table $\frac{4027}{}$ Concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn in 5 samples of sea water after preconcentration by reverse phase chromatography.

| Sample <br> No | NoofExperiment | Zn |  | Cd |  | Cu |  | Pb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}}_{\text {obtained }}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ after correction for chemical yield | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ as obtained | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ after correction for chemical yield | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ as obtained | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ after correction for chemical yield | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ as obtained | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ after correction for chemical yield |
| 1 | 1 | 31.78 | 35.50 | . 094 | 0.107 | 2. 72 | 2. 72 | 0.56 | 1.00 |
|  | 2 | 33.54 | 37.46 | 0.089 | 0.101 | 2.81 | 2.81 | 0.57 | 1.03 |
| 2 | 1 | 22.29 | 24.89 | 0.084 | 0.095 | 2.60 | 2. 60 | 0.43 | 0.78 |
|  | 2 | 23.50 | 26.25 | 0.081 | 0.101 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 0.38 | 0.69 |
| 3 | 1 | 18.31 | 20.45 | 0.083 | 0.094 ยา | 2.28 | 2.28 | 0.36 | 0.65 |
|  | 2 | 16.45 | 18.37 | 0.080 | 0.091 | 2.35 | 2.35 | 0.39 | 0.71 |
| 4 | 1 | 21. 11 | 23.56 | 0.075 | 0.085 | 2.34 | 2.34 | 0.37 | 0.67 |
|  | 2 | 19.47 | 21.75 | 0.070 | 0.079 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 0.33 | 0.60 |
| 5 | 1 | 18.24 | 20.37 | 0.077 | 0.087 | 2.22 | 2.22 | 0.33 | 0.61 |
|  | 2 | 16.65 | 18.59 | 0.070 | 0.079 | 2.31 | 2.31 | 0.39 | 0.70 |

Table 4.28 Concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}$ and Zn in 5 samples of sea water by chelex-100 and by reverse phase chromatography.

| Sample <br> No | No <br> of | Zn |  | Cd |  | Cu |  | Pb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chelex-100 } \\ & \text { (ppb) } \end{aligned}$ | Reverse phase(correction) (ppb) | chelex-100 ( ppb ) | Reverse phaselcorrection) ( ppb ) | chelex-100 (ppb) | Reverse phase(correction) (ppb) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chelex-100 } \\ & \text { (ppb) } \end{aligned}$ | Reverse phase (correction) (ppb) |
| 1 | 1 | 39.69 | 35.50 | 0.115 | 0.107 | 2.59 | 2.72 | 1.22 | 1.00 |
|  | 2 | 40.89 | 37.46 | 0.117 | 0.101 | 2.62 | 2.81 | 1.14 | 1.03 |
|  | 3 | 39.93 |  | .113 |  | 2.68 |  | 1.17 |  |
|  | 1 | 28.94 | 24.89 | 0.109 | 0.095 | 2.51 | 2.60 | 0.88 | 0.78 |
|  | 2 | 26.52 | 26.25 | 0.105 | 0.101 | 2.49 | 2.71 | 0.81 | 0.69 |
|  | 3 | 27.31 |  | 0.105 |  | 2. 50 |  | 0.83 |  |
| 3 | 1 | 21.82 | 20.45 | 0.103 | 0.09 | 2.24 | 2.28 | 0.76 | 0.65 |
|  | 2 | 22.19 | 18.37 | 0.098 | 0.091 | -2. 21 | 2.35 | 0.88 | 0.71 |
|  | 3 | 23.13 |  | 0.101 |  | 2.26 |  | 0.81 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 25.46 | 23.56 | 0.094 | 0.085 | 2.32 | 2.34 | 0.77 | 0.67 |
|  | 2 | 26.36 | 21.75 | 0.090 | 0.079 | 2.21 | 2.39 | 0.73 | 0.60 |
| 5 | 3 | 27.69 |  | 0.087 |  | 2.24 |  | 0.79 |  |
|  | 1 | 21.98 | 20.37 | 0.098 | 0.087 | 2.14 | 2.22 | 0.73 | 0.61 |
|  | 2 | 21.37 | 18.59 | 0.093 | 0.079 | 2.16 | 2.31 | 0.76 | 0.70 |
|  | 3 | 20.60 |  | 0.085 |  | 2.09 |  | 0.80 |  |

