CHAPTER III

GROUPS WHICH ARE UNIONS OF THEIR PROPER SUBGROUPS

Alomost all the materials of this chapter are drawn from reference [2],

1 Introduction.

It is evident that any group G which is not cyclic is expressible as a union of proper subgroups; for example, such a group G is the union of its cyclic subgroups, which by hypothesis are all proper. Conversely, if a group is a union of proper subgroups of itself, it clearly can not be cyclic.

It is not easy to characterize groups which are finite unions of proper subgroups. That there exist noncyclic groups which are not such finite unions is seen from the example of the additive group \mathbb{Q}^+ (the set of rational numbers with the usual addition). Indeed suppose that \mathbb{Q}^+ is the union of its proper subgroups $H_1, H_2, \ldots,$ H_n . We can assume that this union is irredundant. Then there exists an $r = \underline{m}$ in H_1 for some integers m, $n \neq o$ and rdoes not belong to $\bigcup H_i$. If \underline{r} is in $\bigcup H_i$ for some integer $p \neq o$, then r is in $\bigcup H_i$. Then for any nonzero integer p, \underline{r}_p is in H_1 . Thus we have $\underline{r} = \underline{1}$ is in H_1 . Let \underline{c} be in \mathbb{Q}^+ where c and $d \neq o$ are integers, and since $\underline{1}$ is in H_1 , so we have $cn(\underline{1}) = \underline{c}$ is in H_1 . Hence $\mathbb{Q}^+ = H_1$, which is a contradiction. More generally, no locally cyclic group can be a finite union of its proper subgroups, which implies that no subgroup of Q^{\dagger} can be an irredundant union of its subgroups. A proof is given as follows:

Suppose that a locally cyclic group G is the irredundant union of its proper subgroups G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n . Then there exist g_1 and g_2 belong to $G_1 \\ \bigcup_{i=2}^{n} G_i$ and $G_2 \\ \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \ i\neq 2}}^{n} G_i$; respectively. Since G is locally cyclic, $i \neq 2$ $[g_1, g_2] = [g]$ for some g in G. If g belongs to G_1 , then [g] is a subgroup of G_1 so that g_1g_2 belongs to G_1 ; this implies that g_2 belongs to G_1 , which is impossible. Similary g can not belong to G_2 . Then g must belong to $\prod_{i=3}^{n} G_i \\ (G_1 \bigcup G_2)$ so that (g) is a subset of $\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} G_i$ and it follows that g_1 and g_2 belong to $\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} G_i$, which is a contradiction, since g_1 and g_2 do not belong to $\bigcup_{i=3}^{n} G_i$.

2 Groups can not be Unions of Two Proper Subgroups.

2.1 <u>Theorem</u>. No group is a union of two of its proper subgroups.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose that a group G is the union of its proper subgroups A and B. Then the union must be irredundant. Therefore there exist a in $A \sim B$ and b in $B \sim A$. So ab is in G, it follows that ab is either in A or B. If ab is in B, then a must be in B and if ab is in A, then b must be in A. In either case, we have a contradiction.

3 <u>Groups Which are Irredundant Unions of Arbitrary Number</u> of Their Subgroups.

3.1 <u>Theorem</u>. Let G be the irredundant union of the subgroups H_{α} 's. Then for each α H_{α} contains the intersection of all the remaining H's.

<u>Proof</u>. Let a be in $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ and not in the other H's and let b be an element contained in the intersection of all the other H's. If ab is in H_{β} for some $\beta \neq \mathcal{L}$, then $(ab)b^{-1} = a$ is in H_{β} , which is a contradiction. Therefore ab must belong to $H_{\mathcal{L}}$ so that $a^{-1}(ab) = b$ is in $H_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Hence the theorem is proved.

3.2 Theorem. Let G be a group and I an index set such that

 $G = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ is the irredundant union of its subgroups H and let

 $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ be such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \phi$.

If $(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I_1}^{H} \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in I_2}^{H}) \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I_1}^{H} \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in I_2}^{H} \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in I_2}^{H}$

contains its inverses.

<u>Proof</u>. Let a belong to $\bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{L} \in I_1}} \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in I_2}} \bigoplus_{\substack{\beta \in I_2}} \mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{h}}$. Then a belongs to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ for all \mathfrak{L} in I_1 . Since $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a subgroup of G, a^{-1} , the inverse of a belongs to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ for all \mathfrak{C} in I_1 . If a^{-1} is in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for some \mathfrak{P} in I_2 , then a is in $\mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{P}}$, which is a contradiction. Hence a^{-1} is in $\bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{L} \in I_1}} \mathbb{H}_{\mathfrak{P}} \stackrel{\mathsf{H}}{\underset{\substack{\alpha \in I_1}}} \mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{H}}$.

From 3.2, the following corollary follows immediately.

3.3 <u>Corollary</u>. Let G be a group and I an index set. If $G = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in I}} H_{\alpha}$ is the irredundant union of its subgroups H_{α} , then

for each p in I,

$$H_{\mathbf{p}} = H_{\mathbf{r}} \cup H_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{I}}$$

contains its inverses.

3.4 <u>Theorem</u>. Let G be a group and I an index set such that $G = \bigcup_{\substack{H \\ d \in I}} H_d$ is the irredundant union of its subgroups H_d and let I = $I_1 \cup I_2$ be such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$. If $\bigcap_{\substack{H \\ d \in I_1}} H_d \neq \emptyset$, then $\bigcap_{\substack{H \\ \beta \in I_2}} H_d = \emptyset$. $\beta \in I_2^\beta \quad \beta \in I_2^\beta$

<u>Proof</u>. Let $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I_1}^{H} \cap H \neq \phi$. Then there exists an a

in $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I_1} H_{\beta \in I_2} \beta$. Suppose there exists a b in $\bigcap_{\beta \in I_2} H_{\alpha \in I_1} \beta \in I_2 \beta \in I_1 \alpha$.

Since ab is in G, ab is either in H_d for some α in I₁ or in H_β for some β in I₂. If ab is in H_d some α in I₁, then $a^{-1}(ab) = b$ is in H_d and if ab is in H_β for some β in I₂, then a is in H_β. In either case, we have a contradiction.

Hence the theorem is proved.

3.5 <u>Theorem</u>. Let G be a group and I an index set such that $G = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} H_{\alpha}$ is the irredundant union of its subgroups H_{α} .

If a is in $H \setminus \bigcup H_{\mathcal{L}}$ and b in $H_{q} \setminus \bigcup H_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $p \neq q$, $p \quad \mathcal{L} \in I$ $\mathcal{L} \neq p$ $\mathcal{L} \neq q$

then ab is in $G > H_p \cup H_q$.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose that ab is in $H_p \cup H_q$. If ab is in H_p , then $a^{-1}(ab) = b$ is in H_p , and if ab is in H_q , then $(ab)b^{-1} = a$ is in H_q . In either case, we have a contradiction. Hence the conclusion follows.

3.6 <u>Theorem</u>. Let I be an index set and $A = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathcal{L} \in I \ \alpha}} A$ the irredundant union of subgroups A_{α} . If a group G can be mapped homomorphically onto A, then for each α in I, there exist G_{α} , a proper subgroup of G and $G = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathcal{L} \in I \ \alpha}} G_{\alpha}$ is the irredundant union of subgroups G_{α} .

<u>Proof</u>. Let $\varphi : G \longrightarrow A$ be an onto homomorphism. For each in I we define $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows;

$$G_{\mathcal{A}} = \bar{\varphi}^{1}(A_{\mathcal{A}})$$

Then G is a subgroup of G and

$$G = \bigcup_{\substack{\alpha \in I}} G_{\alpha}$$
.

Finally, we will show that $G = \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{I}} G_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the irredundant union of the $G_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let β be in I. Since $A_{\beta} \sim \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{I}} A_{\mathcal{L}} \neq \phi$, there exists an a_{β}' in $A_{\beta} \sim \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{I}} A$. If $G \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{I}} G_{\mathcal{L}}$, then $a \neq \beta$ $\varphi^{-1}(a_{\beta}') \subset \bigcup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{I}} G_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let y be an element in $\varphi^{-1}(a_{\beta}')$. Then y must belong to $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ for some \mathcal{L} in I and $a \neq \beta$, so that $a_{\beta}' = \varphi(y) = A_{\mathcal{L}}'$ which is impossible. Hence the union is irredundant. 4. <u>Groups Which are Irredundant Unions of Finite Number of Their Subgroups</u>. 4.1 <u>Theorem</u>. Let a group $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ be the irredundant union of its subgroups G and $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ is the irredundant union

of its subgroups G_i and $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} G_i$ is a normal subgroup of G. Then $o(G_{/K})$, the order of $G_{/K}$ is not a prime. Proof. Let 9 be the mapping such that

 $\varphi: G \longrightarrow G/K$, g ⊢→ gK. defined by Then 9 is an onto homomorphism . If $o(G_{/K})$ is a prime, then $G_{/K}$ has no proper subgroup. For each j in { 1, 2,...n }, $G_j \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_i \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an a'_j in $G_j \sim \bigcup_{i=1}^n G_j$. Let i≠i $A = \{ aK / a \in G_2 \},$ which is a subgroup of $G_{/K}$. Since a'_2 is not in K, $a'_2 K \neq K$. Then $A \neq \{K\}$. Suppose that $a_1^{\prime}K$ is in A, then there exists $a_2 \inf_{-1}^{G_2} G_2$ such that $a_1^{\prime}K = a_2^{\prime}K$, so that $a_1^{\prime}a_2^{-1}$ is in K. Then $a_1^{a_2}$ belongs to G_2 which implies that a_1^{2} is in G_2 ; a contradiction. Thus a K is not in A. So we have A is a proper subgroup of $G_{/K}$. Hence $o(G_{/K})$ is not a prime. 4.2 Lemma. Let a group G he the irredundant union of subgroups $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n (n > 2)$ and set $M = A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \dots \cup A_n$. Then if a is not in M, we have a^k in M for some k in $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. <u>Proof</u>. Since a is not in M, a is in A_1 . Let b belong to $A_2 \sim (\bigcup_{j=2}^n A_1 \cup A_1)$. If $a^j b$ is in A_1 for some $j in\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, then b is in A_1 , which is a contradiction. Thus $a^{J}b$ is in M for all $j in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. If $a^{j}b = a^{m}b$ for some

j, m in{0,1,...n-1} and suppose that j > m, then we have $a^{j-m} = 1$ which is in M. In the case when ab, $a^{2}b, \ldots, a^{n-1}b, b$ are all distinct, it follows that there exist k_1 and k_2 ,

 $k_1 \neq k_2$ where $0 \leq k_1$, $k_2 \leq n-1$ such that a b and a b are in the same A_i for some $i \geq 2$. Suppose that $k_1 > k_2$, so we have a $k_1 - k_2$ is in A_i which is a subset of M.

Hence the lemma is proved completely.

9

4.3 <u>Theorem</u>. Suppose the kth roots can be taken in the group G for every positive integer k less than a certain n. Then G is not an irredundant union of n (or fewer!) of it subgroups.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose that G is the irredundant union of n proper subgroups and adopt the notation of the lemma. Let a be not in M and b be the $(n-1)! \stackrel{\text{th}}{=} \text{root}$ of a which exists in G by hypothesis. If b is in M, then $b^{(n-1)!} = a$ is in M. So b is not in M. By 4.2 there exists a k in $\{1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$ such that b^k is in M. Then $(b^k)^r = a$ is in M where $r = (\underline{n-1})!$, which is a contradiction.

The "fewer part" is an obvious consequence of the above proof.

4.4 Lemma. Let G be a finite group of order N, r is a prime to N, then each element of G has an $r^{\underline{th}}$ root.

<u>Proof</u>. Let a be in G. Since r and N are relatively prime, there exist integers h and k such that hr + kN = 1. Therefore $a^{hr} \cdot a^{kN} = a$ so that $(a^h)^r = a$. Let $b = a^h$ which is in G. It follows that $b^r = a$. Then a has an $\frac{th}{r}$ root in G.

4.5 <u>Corollary</u>. Let G be a finite group of order N, p the smallest prime dividing N. Then G is not a union of p or fewer of its proper subgroups.

<u>Proof</u>. Let k be a positive integer and k less than p. Since p is the smallest prime dividing N, k and N are relatively prime. Then it follows from 4.4 that each element of G has k root. Hence, by 4.3 G is not an irredundant union of p or fewer of its proper subgroups.

The criterion of 4.3 can not be strengthened. Indeed let G be the abelian group generated by two elements a, b with the relations $a^p = b^p = 1$, then G is the union of the p+1 proper subgroups generated by a, b, ab, $a^{2}b, \ldots, a^{p-1}b$, respectively.

For finite groups, the case in which (as in the example just given) the minimum imposed by 4.5 is actually assumed may be partially characterized by

4.6 <u>Theorem</u>. With notations as in Corollary 4.5, suppose that G is the union of exactly p+1 proper subgroups S_i , then at least one of the S's, say S_j has index p. If moreover, this S_j is normal, then all the S_i have index p and p^2 divides N.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose the order of G_{S_i} , $o(G_{S_i})$ is not p for all i. Since p is the smallest prime that divides N and $o(G_{S_i})$ must divide N, we have $o(G_{S_i}) > p$ so that $o(G_{p+1}) \ge o(S_i)$ for all i. Thus we have

 $N < \sum o(S_i) \leqslant (p+1) \underbrace{o(G)}{p+1} = o(G) = N; a$ contradiction. Hence there exists at least one of S's, say S_i has index p.

Suppose further that S_j is normal. For $i \neq j$ S_iS_j is a subgroup of G. If S_iS_j is a proper subgroup of G, then G is the union of S_iS_j and the p-1 proper subgroups S_k for $k = 1, 2, ..., n+1, k \neq i, k \neq j$. By Corollary 4.5, it is impossible. Thus $S_i S_j = G$. Since we have $S_i S_j S_j$ is isomophic to $S_i S_i \cap S_j$, $\frac{o(G)}{o(S_j)} \circ (S_i \cap S_j) = o(S_i),$

 $p \circ (S_i \cap S_j) = o(S_i)$ for $i \neq j$. Let $o(S_i) = \frac{N}{q_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots p+1$. Since p is the smallest prime that divides N, $q_i \ge p$. Suppose

there exists an 1 such that
$$q_1 > p_1$$
, so we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{N} &= \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{G}) \leqslant \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{S}_{j}) + \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\mathrm{o}(\mathrm{S}_{k}) - \mathrm{o}(\mathrm{S}_{k} \cap \mathrm{S}_{j}) \right] \\ &\leqslant \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} + \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{q}_{k}} - \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}\mathrm{q}_{k}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} + \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{q}_{k}} \left[\mathrm{N} - \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} \right] \right] \\ &< \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} + \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} \left[\mathrm{N} - \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} \right] \right] \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} + \mathrm{p} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}} \left[\mathrm{N} - \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{p}} \right] \\ &= \mathrm{N} , \end{split}$$



which is a contradiction. So we have $q_i = p$ for all $i \neq j$ and $o(S_i \cap S_j) = N_p$. Hence all the S_i have index p and p^2 p^2 divides N.

5 Groups of Inner Automorphisms of Groups Which are Irredundant Unions of Their Subgroups.

5.1 <u>Theorem</u>. Let G be a group and let I(G) be the group of inner automorphisms of G. Let A be an index set. If I(G) = $\bigcup I_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the irredundant union of its $\mathcal{L}\in A$ subgroups $I_{\mathcal{K}}$, then for each \mathcal{L} in A, there exists $G_{\mathcal{K}}$, a subgroup of G such that $G = \bigcup G_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the irredundant union $\mathcal{L}\in A^{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. Let

I(G) = { i(g) / g \in G and i(g)(x) = g⁻¹xg for all x in G }, which is the group of inner automorphisms of G. Let φ be the mapping such that

$$\varphi: \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}(\mathbb{G}),$$

defined by $g \longmapsto i(g^{-1})$. It is clear that \mathcal{G} is an onto homomorphism. Since $I(G) = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in A} I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the irredundant union of its subgroups $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ by 3.6, for each \mathcal{A} in A, there exists $G_{\mathcal{A}}$, a subgroup of G and $G = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \in A} G_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the irredundant union of the $G_{\mathcal{A}}$. Hence the theorem is proved.

5.2 <u>Remark</u>. It is obvious to see that the converse of 5.1 is not true, since the group of inner automomorphisms of an abelian group is the trivial group, i.e., if G is an abelian group, then $I(G) = \{1\}$.