CHAPTER VI

EXISTENCE OF PAIRS OF ORTHOGONAL LATIN SQUARES

6.1 Existence of Pairs of Orthogonal Latin Squares of Order n, n = 4t+2, 10 & n & 100.

We shall show that $N(n) \geqslant 2$ for all n = 4t+2 in the range $10 \le 4t+2 \le 100$. By Corollary 4.2.3, we have $N(n) \geqslant 2$ for all n of the form n = 6t+4. It follows that $N(n) \geqslant 2$ for n = 10,22, 34,46,58,70,82,94. Further, we have shown in Chapter IV that $N(18) \geqslant 2$. Hence it is left to be shown that $N(n) \geqslant 2$ for n = 14,26,30,38,42,50,54,62,66,74,78,86,90,98. The cases n = 14,26,38, need special consideration. First we shall show the existence of pairs of orthogonal Latin squares of order 14. Consider the matrix

$$P_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \mathbf{x}_{3} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 6 & 9 \\ 6 & 1 & 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose elements are residues modulo 11 and the three indeterminates x_1, x_2, x_3 . Let P_1, P_2, P_3 be obtained from P_0 by cyclic permutation of the rows. Put $A_0 = (P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3)$ and let A_i be obtained from A_0 by adding i to every residue modulo 11 in A_0 .

$$D = (E, A_0, A_1, ..., A_{10}, A^*),$$

where A^* is an OA(3,4) on x_1 , x_2 , x_3 and E is the 4×11 matrix whose ith column contains i in every place. It can be verified that D is OA(14,4). Hence $N(14) \ge 2$.

(2) Existence of a pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order 26 can be shown by the same construction starting with the matrix

$$P_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \mathbf{x}_{3} \\ 3 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & \mathbf{20} & 12 & \mathbf{16} & \mathbf{20} & 17 & 8 \\ 12 & \mathbf{16} & 7 & 2 & \mathbf{19} & 6 & 21 \end{bmatrix}$$

with objects taken from the residues modulo 23 and the indeterminates x_1, x_2, x_3 .

Next, we shall show the existence of a pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order 38. Observe that if a pairwise balanced design BIB(41,5,1) exists, then by Theorem 5.1.9, we have

$$N(41-3) = N(38) \Rightarrow \min \left\{ N(3), N(4)-1, N(5)-1 \right\} = 2.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that a pairwise balanced design BIB(41,5,1) exists. We construct a pairwise balanced design BIB(41,5,1) as follows:

Take the elements of GF(41) as objects and our blocks are

$$A_{t} = \left\{ t, t+1, t+4, t+11, t+29 \right\},$$

$$B_{t} = \left\{ t+1, t+10, t+16, t+18, t+37 \right\},$$

where t = 0,1,...,40. Observe that the two sets $\{0,1,4,11,29\}$ and $\{1,10,16,18,37\}$ together have the property that every nonzero element d of GF(41) is expressible in exactly one way as

$$d = x_i - x_j$$

where both x_i and x_j are either in the first set or both in the second set. Now, we shall verify that every pair of distinct objects occurs together in exactly one block of our design. Let u and v be distinct elements of GF(41). Since $u - v \neq 0$ hence there exist x_i , x_j from the same set such that

$$u + v = x_i - x_j$$

$$t = u - x_i$$

$$u = x_i + t \text{ and } v = x_j + t$$

Hence both u and v are in A_+ or B_+ .

Put

Then

Thus every pair of distinct objects occurs together in exactly one block. Hence the design is a pairwise balanced design BIB(41,5,1).

Now, we consider the cases n = 30,42,50,66,78,98. By Theorem 4.2.1, we have

$$N(30) \geqslant \min \{ N(3), N(10) \}$$
.

Since $N(3) \geqslant 2$ and $N(10) \geqslant 2$, hence $N(30) \geqslant 2$.

By the same argument we see that

$$N(42) = N(3.14) \gg \min \left\{ N(3), N(14) \right\} \gg 2$$
 $N(50) = N(5.10) \gg \min \left\{ N(5), N(10) \right\} \gg 2$
 $N(66) = N(3.22) \gg \min \left\{ N(3), N(22) \right\} \gg 2$
 $N(78) = N(3.26) \gg \min \left\{ N(3), N(26) \right\} \gg 2$
 $N(98) = N(7.14) \gg \min \left\{ N(7), N(14) \right\} \gg 2$

Finally, we shall show that $N(m) \ge 2$ for n = 54,62,74,86,90. By Theorem 5.2.6, we have

$$N(54) = N(4.11+10) \ge \min \left\{ N(11), N(10), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\}.$$
Since $N(11) = 10$, $N(10) \ge 2$, $N(4) = 3$, $N(5) = 4$, hence
$$\min \left\{ N(11), N(10), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\} \ge \min \left\{ 10, 2, 3-1, 4-1 \right\} = 2.$$

Therefore $N(54) \gg 2$. By the same argument, we see that

$$N(62) = N(4.13+10) \geqslant \min \left\{ N(13), N(10), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\} \geqslant 2.$$

$$N(74) = N(4.16+10) \geqslant \min \left\{ N(16), N(10), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\} \geqslant 2.$$

$$N(86) = N(4.19+10) \geqslant \min \left\{ N(19), N(10), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\} \geqslant 2.$$

$$N(90) = N(4.19+14) \geqslant \min \left\{ N(19), N(14), N(4)-1, N(4+1)-1 \right\} \geqslant 2.$$

6.2 Existence of Pairs of Orthogonal Latin Squares of Order n, n > 6.

We shall show that $N(n) \geqslant 2$ for all n = 4t+2 in the range $100 < 4t+2 \leqslant 726$. Observe that if n can be written in the form n = 4m+x, where $N(m) \geqslant 3$ and $N(x) \geqslant 2$, then Theorem 5.2.6 can be

applied with k = 4 and we have

$$N(n) = N(4m+x) \ge \min \left\{ N(m), N(x), N(4)-1, N(5)-1 \right\} > 2.$$

Hence it suffices to demonstrate that each n=4t+2 in the above range can be represented in this form. This can be shown by choosing suitable values of m and x. Note that for each n the choice of m determines the value of x. The following table shows how m should be chosen to guarantee that $N(m) \geqslant 3$ and $N(x) \geqslant 2$.

Table VI

n	m	x
102 ≤ ń « 114	23	10 € x € 22
118 ≰ n ≼ 134	27	10 ≤ x ≤ 26
138 ≤ n ≤ 154	31	14 ≤ x ≤ 30
158 ≤ n ≤ 182	37	10 ≤ x ≤ 34
186 ≼ n ≼ 218	44	10 ≤ x ≤ 42
222 n 262	53	10 ≤ x ≤ 50
266 ≤ n ≤ 318	64	10 ≤ x ≤ 62
322 € n € 382	7 7	14 ≤ x ≤ 74
386 ≤ n ≤ 458	92	18 ≤ x ≤ 90
462 ≼ n ≼ 562	113	10 € x € 110
556 ≤ n ≤ 694	139	10 ≤ x ≤ 138
698 < n < 726	172	10 ≤ x ≤ 38

6.2.1 Lemma. If n = 4t+2, $n \gg 730$, then there exist positive integers g, u such that

$$n = 4(36g) + 4u + 10$$
,
where $g \gg 5$, $0 \le u \le 35$.

Proof Since $n = 4t+2 \geqslant 730$, hence $t \geqslant \frac{728}{4} = 182$.

Thus $n = 4t_1 + 10$ where $t_1 = t - 2 \ge 180$.

By division algorithm, there exist integers g and u such that

$$t_1 = 36g + u$$
,

and

From $t_1 > 180$, we have $g > \frac{180}{36} - \frac{u}{36} = 5 - \frac{u}{36}$.

Since g is an integer and $\frac{u}{36} < 1$, hence g > 5.

Therefore, we have n = 4(36g+u)+10 where $g \gg 5$, $0 \le u \le 35$.

Q. E. D.

6.2.2 Theorem. For every n > 6, there exists a pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order n.

<u>Proof</u> It suffices to prove that $N(n) \gg 2$ for n = 4t+2, $n \gg 730$. Since $n \gg 730$, by Lemma 6.2.1, we have

$$n = 4(36g) + 4u + 10$$

where $g \gg 5$, $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 35$.

By Corollary 2.3.4, it can be seen that $N(36g) \geqslant 3$.

Since $0 \le u \le 35$, therefore $10 \le 4u + 10 \le 150$. Hence $N(4u+10) \ge 2$. As $g \ge 5$, we have $36g \ge 180$. Therefore $4u + 10 \le 36g$. Apply Theorem 5.2.6 with k = 4, m = 36g, x = 4u + 10, we have

$$N(n) \geqslant \min \left\{ N(36g), N(4u+10), N(4)-1, N(5)-1 \right\}$$

 $\geqslant \min \left\{ 3,2,2,3 \right\} = 2.$

Therefore there exists a pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order n, n > 6.

Q.E.D.