



CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this chapter, we will introduce some properties of $K(x,t)$ and recall some facts from real analysis.

1.1 Some Properties of $K(x,t)$

It is easy to see that $K(x,t)$ satisfies the equation (1), by directly partial differentiation.

Proposition 1.1.1. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,t) dx = 1$, for each $t > 0$.

Proof: Fix $t > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,t) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{4t}\right) dx_n \cdots dx_1 \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{4t} \sqrt{\pi} (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{4t}\right) dx_{n-1} \cdots dx_1 \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (4\pi t)^{-(n-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{4t}\right) dx_{n-1} \cdots dx_2 \cdots dx_1
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeat the process (1.1) $n-1$ times, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,t) dx = 1.$$

Proposition 1.1.2. $K(x,t)$ has a maximum value at $x = 0$, for fixed $t > 0$.

Proof: For fixed $t > 0$. Observe that the function

$$(1.2) \quad h(s) = \exp(-s), \quad s \geq 0$$

is strictly decreasing. Its maximum value occurs at $s = 0$.

Hence, since $|x| \geq 0$,

$$(1.3) \quad K(0, t) \geq K(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proposition 1.1.3. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} K(x, t) = 0$, for $x \neq 0$.

$$\text{Proof: } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} K(x, t) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} ((\pi)^{-n/2} u) / \exp(|x|^2 u^{2/n}),$$

by L'Hospital rule, $x \neq 0$, the limit is zero.

Obviously, $K(0, t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2}$ tends to infinite as t tends to 0^+ .

1.2 Some Facts From Real Analysis

Theorem 1.2.1. (Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem)

Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of Lebesgue-integrable functions on a Lebesgue measurable subset Y of \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that

(a) $\{f_n\}$ converges almost everywhere on Y to a function f , and

(b) there is a nonnegative function g in $L(Y)$, such that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$|f_n(y)| \leq g(y), \text{ almost everywhere on } Y.$$

Then the limit function $f \in L(Y)$, the sequence $\left\{ \int_Y f_n(y) dy \right\}$ converges, and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_Y f(y) dy = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y f_n(y) dy.$$

The proof of the theorem will be omitted (for the completed proof see [1], p.270).

Theorem 1.2.2. Let X be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m and Y be a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and let f be a function on $Y \times X$ and satisfy the following conditions:

(a) For each fixed $x \in X$, the function $f_x(y)$ defined on Y by

$$f_x(y) = f(y, x)$$

is measurable on Y , and $f_x \in L(Y)$ for some $a \in X$.

(b) The partial derivative $D_{x_i} f(y, x)$ exists for each interior point (y, x) of $Y \times X$.

(c) There is a nonnegative function $G \in L(Y)$ such that

$$\left| D_{x_i} f(y, x) \right| \leq G(y)$$

for all interior points of $Y \times X$.

Then the Lebesgue integral $\int_Y f(y, x) dy$ exists for every

$x \in X$, and the function F defined by

$$F(x) = \int_Y f(y, x) dy$$

is differentiable with respect to x_i at each interior point of X . Moreover, its derivative with respect to x_i is given by

$$D_{x_i} F(x) = \int_Y D_{x_i} f(y, x) dy.$$

Proof: Claim that $|f_x(y)| \leq |f_a(y)| + |x - a| G(y)$
for all interior points (y, x) of $Y \times X$.

The Mean - Value Theorem gives us

$$f(y, x) - f(y, a) = (x_i - a_i) D_{x_i} f(y, c)$$

where $a = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, a_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$ and
 $c = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, c_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$ and c_i lies
between a_i and x_i . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y, x)| &\leq |f(y, a)| + |x_i - a_i| |D_{x_i} f(y, c)| \\ &\leq |f(y, a)| + |x - a| G(y). \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $|f_x(y)| \leq |f_a(y)| + |x - a| G(y).$

Since f_x is measurable on Y and dominated almost everywhere on Y by a nonnegative function in $L(Y)$, $f_x \in L(Y)$.

That is the integral $\int_Y f(y, x) dy$ exists for each $x \in X$.

Choose any sequence $\{x^k\}$ of points in X such that
 $x^k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i^k, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m) \neq x$
but $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^k = x$ or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_i^k = x_i$.

Define a sequence of functions $\{q_k\}$ on Y by

$$(1.5) \quad q_k(y) = \frac{f(y, x^k) - f(y, x)}{x_i^k - x_i}.$$

Then $q_k \in L(Y)$ and $q_k(y) \rightarrow D_{x_i} f(y, x)$ at each interior

point of Y . By the Mean - Value Theorem and (1.5), we have

$$q_k(y) = D_{x_i} f(y, c^k)$$

where $c^k = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, c_i^k, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_m)$ and c_i^k lies between $x_i^{k'}$ and x_i . Therefore, by hypothesis (c),

$$|q_k(y)| \leq G(y) \text{ almost everywhere on } Y.$$

Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem shows that

$\left\{ \int_Y q_k(y) dy \right\}$ converges, the integral $\int_Y D_{x_i} f(y, x) dy$ exists,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Y q_k(y) dy &= \int_Y \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} q_k(y) dy \\ &= \int_Y D_{x_i} f(y, x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \int_Y q_k(y) dy &= \int_Y \frac{f(y, x^k) - f(y, x)}{x_i^k - x_i} dy \\ &= \frac{F(x^k) - F(x)}{x_i^k - x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last quotient tends to a limit for all sequences $\{x^k\}$, it follows that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(x)$ exists and that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(x) = \int_Y \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(y, x) dy.$$

1.3 The Spherical Coordinate System.

The materials of this section are drawn from references [4], p 3 - 4.

If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ are in \mathbb{R}^n , the length of a vector x is denoted by $|x|$, and

$$|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 .$$

The distance between two vectors x and y is defined to be $|x-y|$. The angle between two nonzero vectors x and y is defined to be the angle θ such that $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i}{|x| |y|} .$$

Many of the functions that we shall deal with are functions only of the distance from the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For each functions it is more convenient to use a spherical coordinate system rather than a rectangular coordinate system. The spherical coordinates of $x \neq 0$ are defined as follows : if $r = |x|$, then

$$\theta = \left(\frac{x_1}{r}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{r} \right)$$

is a point of $\mathcal{D}B(0,1)$, the unit sphere with centre at 0. The pair (θ, r) uniquely determines x and are called the spherical coordinates of x . The spherical coordinates of 0 is the pair $(0,0)$. This transformation from rectangular coordinates to spherical coordinates is essentially the mapping $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightsquigarrow (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, r)$ where

$$\theta_1 = \frac{x_1}{r}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2 &= \frac{x_2}{r} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \theta_{n-1} &= \frac{x_{n-1}}{r} \\ r &= (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall also let $\theta_n = \frac{x_n}{r}$, θ_n is the cosine of the angle between x and the vector $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$; that is, the angle between x and the " x_n -axis". The Jacobian of the mapping is easily calculated and absolute value is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial (x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}, r)} \right| &= \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1-\theta_1^2 - \dots - \theta_{n-1}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{r^{n-1}}{|\theta_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho > 0$, then $\partial B(y, \rho)$ is the surface defined by the equation

$$(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2 = \rho^2.$$

Consider a Borel set $M \subset \partial B(y, \rho) \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) / x_n - y_n \geq 0\}$.

Let M_n denote the projection of M onto the subspace

$\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) / x_n = 0\}$; that is, $M_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) / (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in M\}$. For each $x \in \partial B(y, \rho)$ let $\delta = \delta(x)$

be the angle between the " x_n -axis" and the outer normal to $\partial B(y, \rho)$ at x . Then

$$\sec \delta = \frac{1}{\cos \delta} = \frac{\rho}{x_n - y_n}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(M) = \iint \dots \int_{M_n} \sec \gamma \, dx_1 \dots dx_{n-1}$$

represents the surface area of M . If $M \subset \partial B(y, \rho) \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) / x_n - y_n \leq 0\}$, the surface area of M is given by the same integral with $\sec \gamma = -\rho / (x_n - y_n)$. The integral of a Borel function f defined on $\partial B(y, \rho)$ relative to the surface area \mathcal{S} is denoted by

$$\int_{\partial B(y, \rho)} f(x) d\mathcal{S}(x).$$

Consider an extended real-valued function f with domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We shall take the following liberty with the functional notation. When f is considered as a function of the spherical coordinates (θ, r) of x , we shall denote the value of the composite function at (θ, r) by $f(\theta, r)$. Suppose f is integrable on $\bar{B}(0, \rho)$. Then the integral of f over $\bar{B}(0, \rho)$ can be evaluated using spherical coordinates as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{B}(0, \rho)} f(x) dx &= \int_0^\rho \iiint_{|\theta|=1} f(\theta, r) \frac{r^{n-1}}{|\theta_n|} d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^\rho r^{n-1} \left(\iiint_{|\theta|=1} f(\theta, r) d\mathcal{S}(\theta) \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Subharmonic Functions

A function u having continuous second partial derivatives on an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^n is said to be subharmonic on Ω if

$$\Delta u \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Theorem 1.4.1 If u is subharmonic on the open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$u(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} u ds$$

whenever $\bar{B}(x, \delta) \subset \Omega$, σ_n denote the surface area of a sphere with radius 1.

Proof: Suppose first that

$$(*) \quad \Delta u > 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{B}(x, \delta) \subset \Omega.$$

Let

$$h(y) = \begin{cases} u(y) & ; y \in \partial B, \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta} \int_{\partial B} \frac{\delta^2 - |x-y|^2}{|z-y|^n} u(z) d\mathcal{G}(z) & ; y \in B \end{cases}$$

h is continuous on $\bar{B}(x, \delta)$ and harmonic on B .

Claim that $u \leq h$ on B .

Let $w = h - u$.

$$\Delta w = \Delta h - \Delta u = -\Delta u < 0 \quad \text{on } B,$$

$$w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \text{ and}$$

w is continuous on \bar{B} .

Suppose w attains its minimum on \bar{B} at x_0 .

If $x_0 \in B$, then

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i^2} \Big|_{x_0} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

and

$$\Delta w(x_0) \geq 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore, $w = h - u \geq 0$ on \bar{B} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } u(x) &\leq h(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta} \int_{\partial B} \frac{\delta^2}{|z-x|^n} u(z) d\sigma(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} u d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Now $\Delta u \geq 0$ on B .

Letting $q(x) = -|x|^2$

$$\Delta q = -2n.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Delta(u - \varepsilon q) > 0$ on Ω .

By the first part of the proof,

$$\begin{aligned} u - \varepsilon q &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} (u - \varepsilon q) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} u d\sigma - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} q d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$u(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n \delta^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} u d\sigma.$$

Theorem 1.4.2. If u is subharmonic on the open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \Omega$, then

$$(1.8) \quad u(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{n} \delta^n} \int_{B(x, \delta)} u dz,$$

whenever $B(x, \delta) \subset \Omega$, $\sqrt[n]{n} = \frac{\sigma_n}{n}$.

Proof: Suppose that u is subharmonic on Ω , $x \in \Omega$, $B(x, \delta) \subset \Omega$. If $u(x) = -\infty$, (1.8) is trivially true. Assume that $u(x) > -\infty$. Since, by Theorem 1.4.1,

$$u(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n \rho^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B} u d\sigma, \quad 0 < \rho < \delta,$$

$$\sigma_n \rho^{n-1} u(x) \leq \int_{\partial B(x, \rho)} u d\sigma, \quad 0 < \rho < \delta.$$

Integrating over $(0, \delta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma_n \delta^n}{n} u(x) &\leq \int_0^\delta \int_{\partial B(x, \rho)} u d\sigma d\rho \\ &= \int_{B(x, \delta)} u dz. \quad (\text{by section 1.3}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the theorem is proved.