

รายการอ้างอิง

- (1) Dirksen LC. Plastic teeth : Their adventages, disadvantages and limitations. J Am Dent Assoc. 1952;44:265-8.
- (2) Sweeney WT, Yost EL, Fee JG. Physical properties of plastic teeth. J Am Dent Assoc. 1958;56:833-41.
- (3) Suzuki S, Sakoh M, Shiba A. Adhesive bonding of denture base resin to plastic denture teeth. J Biomed Mat Res. 1990;24:1091-103.
- (4) Phillips RW. Skinner's science of dental materials. Philadelphia: W.B.Saunders Company; 1991.
- (5) Cunningham JL. Bond strength of denture teeth to acrylic bases. J Dent. 1993;21:274-80.
- (6) F.A.Fontijn-Tekamp, A.P.Slagter, Bilt AVD, Hof MAVT, D.J.Witter, W.Kalk, et al. Biting and chewing in Overdentures, Full Dentures, and Natural Dentitions. J Dent Res. 2000;79(7):1519-24.
- (7) F.A.Fontijn-Tekamp, et al. Bite Forces with Mandibular Implant-retained Overdentures. J Dent Res. 1998;77(10):1832-9.
- (8) Allen PF, McMillan AS, Smith DG. Complication oral maintenance requirements of implant-supported prostheses provided in a UK dental hospital. Br Dent J. 1997;182(8):298-302.
- (9) Cardash HS, et al. Effect of retention grooves in acrylic resin teeth on tooth-denture base bond. J Prosthet Dent. 1990;55:526-8.
- (10) Valittu PK. Bonding of resin teeth to the polymethyl methacrylate denture base material. Acta Odontol Scand. 1995;53:99-104.
- (11) Fletcher AM, et al. A method of improving the bonding betwwen artificial teeth and PMMA. J Dent. 1985;13:102-8.
- (12) Sorensen SE, Fjeldstad E. Bonding of plastic to acrylic resin denture base materials. J Dent Res. 1961;776.

- (13) Rupp.N.W., Bowen RL, Paffenbarger GC. Bonding cold-curing denture base acrylic resin to acrylic resin teeth. J Am Dent Assoc. 1971;83:601-6.
- (14) Morrow RW, et al. Bonding of plastic teeth to two heat-curing denture base resins. J Prosthet Dent. 1978;565-8.
- (15) Paffenbarger GC, Sweeney WT, Bowen RL. Bonding porcelain teeth to acrylic resin denture base. J Am Dent Assoc. 1969;78:1018-23.
- (16) Mohsen NM, Craig RG. Hydrolytic stability of silanated zirconia-silica-urethane dimethacrylate composites. J Oral Rehabil. 1995;22:213-20.
- (17) Matinlinna JP, V.J.Lassila L, Ozcan M, Yli-Urpo A, K.Valittu P. An Introduction to Silanes and Their Clinical Applications in Dentistry. Int J Prosthodont. 2004;17(2):155-64.
- (18) Anusavice KJ. Phillips' Science of Dental materials. 11 ed. Missouri: Elsevier Science; 2003.
- (19) O'Brien WJ. Dental materials and their selection. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc.; 1997.
- (20) เจน ร. ทันตวสคุศาสตร์. กรุงเทพมหานคร: โรงพิมพ์ไทยวัฒนาพาณิชย์; 2533.
- (21) The Glossary of Prosthodontic Terms, eighth edition; 2005.
- (22) McCabe JF, Basker RM. Tissue sensitivity to acrylic resin. Br Dent J. 1976;140:347-50.
- (23) Dogan A, Bek B, Cevik NN, Usanmaz A. The effect of preparation conditions of acrylic denture base materials on the level of residual monomer, mechanical properties and water adsorption. J Dent. 1995;23:313-8.
- (24) Smith DC, Bain MED. Residual methyl methacrylate in the denture base and its relation to denture sore mouth. Br Dent J. 1955;18(55-58).
- (25) Craig RG. Restorative dental materials. St.Louis: Mosby-Year Book, Inc.; 1993.
- (26) Austin AT, Basker RM. Residual monomer levels in denture bases. (The effects of varying short curing cycles). Br Dent J. 1982;149:281-6.

- (27) Sadamori S, Genefiyanti T, Hamada T, Arima T. Influence of thickness and location on the residual monomer content of denture base cured by three processing methods. J Prosthet Dent. 1994;72:19-22.
- (28) Smith DC. The acyclic denture base-Some effects of residual monomer and peroxide. Br Dent J. 1959;106:331-6.
- (29) Lung CYK, Darvell BW. Minimization of the inevitable residual monomer in denture base acrylic. Dent Mater. 2005;21:1119-28.
- (30) Moradian S, Fletcher AM, Amin WM, Purnaveja S, Ritchie GM, Dodd AW. Some mechanical properties including the repair strength of two self-curing acrylic resins. J Dent. 1982;10:271-80.
- (31) Polyzois GL, Dahl JE. Bonding of synthetic resin teeth to microwave or heat activated denture base resin. Eur J Prosthodont Res Dent. 1993;2:41-4.
- (32) Schneider RL, Curtis ER, Clancy JMS. Tensile bond strength of acrylic resin denture teeth to a microwave- or heat-processed denture base. J Prosthet Dent. 2002;88(2):145-50.
- (33) Yunus N, Harrison A, Huggett R. Effect of microwave irradiation on the flexural strength and residual monomer levels of an acrylic resin repair material. J Oral Rehabil. 1994;21(6):641-8.
- (34) Firtell DN, Harman LL. Porosity in boilable acrylic resin. J Prosthet Dent. 1983;49(1):133-4.
- (35) Clancy JM, Boyer DB. Comparative bond strengths of light-cured,heat-cured, and autopolymerizing denture resin to denture teeth. J Prosthet Dent. 1989;61:457-62.
- (36) Clancy JM, Hawkins LF, Keller JC, Boyer DB. Bond strength and failure analysis of light-cured denture resin bonded to denture teeth. J Prosthet Dent. 1991;65:315-24.
- (37) Caswell CW, Norling BK. Comparative study of the bond strengths of three abrasion-resistant plastic denture teeth bonded to a cross-linked denture base material. J Prosthet Dent. 1986;55:701-8.

- (38) Kawara M, Carter JM, Ogle RE, Johnson RR. Bonding of plastic teeth to denture base resins. J Prosthet Dent. 1991;66:566-71.
- (39) Whitman DJ, McKinney JE, Hinman RW, Hesby RA, Pelleu GB. In vitro wear rates of three types of commercial denture tooth materials. J Prosthet Dent. 1987;57:243-6.
- (40) Thean HPY, Chew C, Goh KI. Shear bond strength of denture teeth to base : A comparative study. Quint Int. 1996;27:425-8.
- (41) Anderson JN. The strength of the joint between plain and copolymer acrylic teeth and denture base resin. Br Dent J. 1958;6:317-20.
- (42) Valittu PK, Ruyter JE. The swelling phenomenon of acrylic resin polymer teeth at the interface with denture base polymer. J Prosthet Dent. 1997;78:194-9.
- (43) Chung WRC, Clark RKF, Darrell BW. The bonding of cold-cured acrylic resin to acrylic denture teeth. Aus Dent J. 1995;40:241-5.
- (44) Buyukyilmaz S, Ruyter IE. The effects of polymerization temperature on the acrylic resin denture base-tooth bond. Int J Prosthodont. 1997;10(1):49-54.
- (45) Darbar UR, Huggett R, Harrison A, Williams K. The tooth-denture base bond : Stress analysis using the finite element method. Eur J Prosthodont Res Dent. 1993;1:117-20.
- (46) Huggett R, John G, Jagger RG, Bates JF. Strength of the acrylic denture base tooth bond. Br Dent J. 1982;153:187-90.
- (47) Zuckerman GR. A reliable method for securing anterior denture teeth in denture bases. J Prosthet Dent. 2003;89(6):603-7.
- (48) Ruyter IE, Svendsen SA. Flexural properties of denture base polymers. J Prosthet Dent. 1980;43:95-104.
- (49) Darbar UR, Huggett R, Harrison A, Williams K. Finite element analysis of stress distribution at the tooth-denture base interface of acrylic resin teeth debonding from the denture base. J Prosthet Dent. 1995;74(6):591-4.
- (50) Cunningham JL, Benington IC. A new technique for determining the denture tooth bond. J Oral Rehabil. 1996;23:202-9.

- (51) Schoonover IC, Fischer TE, Serio AF, Sweeney WT. Bonding of plastic teeth to heat-cured denture base resin. J Am Dent Assoc. 1952;44:285-7.
- (52) Spratley MH. An investigation of the adhesion of acrylic resin teeth to dentures. J Prosthet Dent. 1987;58:389-92.
- (53) Catterin RK, Plummer KD, Gulley ME. Effect of tinfoil substitute contamination on adhesive of resin denture tooth to its denture base. J Prosthet Dent. 1993;69:57-9.
- (54) Civjan S, Huget EF, de Simon LB. Modifications of the fluid resin technique. J Am Dent Assoc. 1972;85:109-12.
- (55) Gerrts GAVM, Jooste CH. A comparison of the bond strengths of microwave-and water bath-cured denture material. J Prosthet Dent. 1993;70:406-9.
- (56) Cardash HS, Liberman R, Helft M. The effect of retention grooves in acrylic resin teeth on tooth denture-base bond J Prosthet Dent. 1986;55:701-8.
- (57) Arksornnukit M, Takahashi H, Nishiyama N, Pavasant P. Effects of Heat and pH in silanization process on flexural properties and hydrolytic durabilities of composite resin after hot water storage. Dent Mater J. 2004;23(2):175-9.
- (58) Plueddemann EP. Silane Coupling Agents. New York: Plenum; 1991.
- (59) van Ooij WJ, D.Q Z, Prasad G, Jayaseelan S, Fu Y, Teredesai N. Silane based chromate replacements for corrosion control, paint adhesion, and rubber bonding. Surface Eng. 2000;16:386-96.
- (60) Nishiyama N, Ishizaki T, Horie K, Tomari M, Someya M. Novel polyfunctional silanes for improved hydrolytic stability at the polymer-silica interface. J Biomed Mat Res. 1991;25:213-21.
- (61) Umemoto K, Kurata S. Effects of mixed silane coupling agent on porcelain tooth material and various dental alloys. Dent Mater J. 1995;14:135-42.
- (62) Barreto MT, Bottaro BF. A practical approach to porcelain repair. J Prosthet Dent. 1982;48:349-51.

- (63) Tjan AHL, Nemetz HA. A comparison of the shear bond strength between two composite resins and two etched ceramic materials. Int J Prosthodont. 1988;1:73-9.
- (64) Bowen RL. Use of epoxy-resins in restorative materials. J Dent Res. 1956;35:361-9.
- (65) Arksornnukit M, Takahashi H, Nishiyama N. Effects of silane coupling agent amount on mechanical properties and hydrolytic durability of composite resin after hot water storage. Dent Mater J. 2004;23(1):31-6.
- (66) Mohsen NM, Craig RG. Effect of silanation of fillers on their dispersability by monomer systems. J Oral Rehabil. 1995;22:183-9.
- (67) Solnit GS. The effect of methyl methacrylate reinforcement with silane-treated and untreated glass fibers. J Prosthet Dent. 1991;66:310-4.
- (68) Valittu PK. Comparison of two different silane compounds used for improving adhesion between fibers and acrylic denture base material. J Oral Rehabil. 1993;20:533-9.
- (69) Anagnostopoulos T, Eliades G, Palaghias G. Composition, reactivity and surface interactions of three dental silane primers. Dent Mater. 1993;9:182-90.
- (70) Ekstrand K, Ruyter IE, Oysaed H. Adhesion to titanium of methacrylate-based polymer materials. Dent Mater. 1988;4:111-5.
- (71) Robin C, Scherrer SS, Wiskott HWA, de Rijk WG, Belser UC. Weibull parameters of composite resin bond strengths to porcelain and noble alloy using Rocated system. Dent Mater. 2002;18:389-95.
- (72) Cobb DS, Vargas MA, Fridrich TA, Bouschlicher MR. Metal surface treatment : Characterization and effect on composite-to-metal bond strength. Oper Dent. 2000;25:427-33.
- (73) May KB, Fox J, Razzoog ME, Lang BR. Silane to enhance the bond between polymethyl methacrylate and titianium. J Prosthet Dent. 1995;73:428-31.
- (74) Kanie T, Arikawa H, Fujii K, Inoue K. Physical and mechanical properties of PMMA resins containing γ -methacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane. J Oral Rehabil. 2004;31:166-71.

- (75) Marchack BW, Yu Z, Zhao XY, White SN. Adhesion of denture tooth porcelain to heat-polymerized denture resin. J Prosthet Dent. 1995;74:242-9.
- (76) Yoshino N, Yamamoto Y, Teranaka T. Surface modification of denture to provide contamination-free ability by using silane coupling agent containing fluorocarbon chain. Chem Lett. 1993;5:821-4.
- (77) Nakabayashi N, Watanabe A, Arao T. A tensile test to facilitate identification of defects in dentine bonded specimens. J Dent. 1998;26:379-85.
- (78) Barpal D, et al. Failure load of acrylic resin denture teeth bonded to high impact acrylic resin. J Prosthet Dent. 1998;80(6):666-71.
- (79) Takahashi Y, Chai J, Takahashi T, Habu T. Bond strength of denture base to denture base resin. Int J Prosthodont. 2000;13(1):59-65.
- (80) Bona AD, Noort RV. Shear vs. Tensile bond strength of resin composite bonded to ceramic. J Dent Res. 1995;74(9):1591-6.
- (81) Matson JK. Dental products. Lincolnwood: Irving-Cloud Publishing Co; 1982.
- (82) Hirano S, May K, Wagner WC, Hacker CH. In vitro wear of resin denture teeth. J Prosthet Dent. 1998;79:152-5.
- (83) Kawano, et al. Shock absorbability and hardness of commercially available denture teeth. Int J Prosthodont. 2002;15(3):243-7.
- (84) Frisch HL, Frisch KC, D K. Interpenetrating polymer networks. Mod Plastics. 1977;54:76.
- (85) Söderholm K.J.M., Shang.S.W. Molecular orientation of silane at the surface of colloidal silica. J Dent Res. 1993;72(6):1050-4.

ภาคพนวก

ตาราง ก. แสดงสถิติพื้นฐานรวม

brand of denture teeth	concentration of silane	Minimum	Maximum	Std. Deviation	Mean
major dent	.0	23.53	50.04	8.92784	39.2330
	.1	46.25	61.84	5.01754	56.4670
	1.0	52.55	60.30	2.54061	55.8700
	2.0	42.74	62.69	7.58794	52.5260
	Total	23.53	62.69	9.42468	51.0240
excellence IPN	.0	30.79	55.97	8.04796	45.3870
	.1	29.35	59.26	11.71152	41.8090
	1.0	40.53	57.31	6.59078	47.8170
	2.0	24.94	55.80	10.03660	42.5050
	Total	24.94	59.26	9.26039	44.3795
orthosit	.0	4.87	24.69	6.94533	16.6570
	.1	16.03	39.52	6.38586	25.7150
	1.0	15.20	27.44	4.26046	21.2540
	2.0	6.78	31.67	8.33301	20.0750
	Total	4.87	39.52	7.17791	20.9252
trubyte	.0	22.97	41.57	6.14008	34.5820
	.1	20.87	48.85	9.29105	30.9300
	1.0	23.32	44.51	6.75675	36.7640
	2.0	29.40	48.50	6.32500	36.7590
	Total	20.87	48.85	7.36290	34.7588
Total	.0	4.87	55.97	13.06330	33.9647
	.1	16.03	61.84	14.45422	38.7303
	1.0	15.20	60.30	14.10990	40.4262
	2.0	6.78	62.69	14.27855	37.9663
	Total	4.87	62.69	14.05715	37.7719

ตาราง ข. แสดงการวิเคราะห์การแจกแจง (การกระจาย) ของข้อมูลชี้ฟันปลอม Major dent

Tests of Normality

	concentration of silane	Kolmogorov-Smirnov(a)			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
tensile force	.0	.173	10	.200(*)	.931	10	.458
	.1	.166	10	.200(*)	.910	10	.280
	1.0	.204	10	.200(*)	.910	10	.284
	2.0	.143	10	.200(*)	.914	10	.308

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a Lilliefors Significance Correction

ตาราง ค. แสดงการวิเคราะห์การแจกแจง (การกระจาย) ของข้อมูลชี้ฟันปลอม Excellence IPN

Tests of Normality

	concentration of silane	Kolmogorov-Smirnov(a)			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
tensile force	.0	.124	10	.200(*)	.965	10	.846
	.1	.209	10	.200(*)	.878	10	.123
	1.0	.207	10	.200(*)	.877	10	.120
	2.0	.151	10	.200(*)	.956	10	.742

* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a Lilliefors Significance Correction

ตาราง ง. แสดงการวิเคราะห์การแจกแจง (การกระจาย) ของข้อมูลชี้ฟันปลอม Orthosit

Tests of Normality

	concentration of silane	Kolmogorov-Smirnov(a)			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
tensile force	.0	.255	10	.064	.892	10	.177
	.1	.200	10	.200(*)	.916	10	.326
	1.0	.199	10	.200(*)	.918	10	.343
	2.0	.151	10	.200(*)	.950	10	.673

**ตาราง ๗. แสดงการวิเคราะห์การแจกแจง (การกระจาย) ของข้อมูลรีฟันปลอม Trubyte Bioform
Tests of Normality**

	concentration of silane	Kolmogorov-Smirnov(a)			Shapiro-Wilk		
		Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
tensile force	.0	.199	10	.200(*)	.915	10	.321
	.1	.188	10	.200(*)	.892	10	.177
	1.0	.152	10	.200(*)	.926	10	.409
	2.0	.186	10	.200(*)	.929	10	.434

**ตาราง ๘. แสดงการทดสอบความเหมือนของความแปรปรวน (Homogeneity of Variance) ด้วย
การใช้ การทดสอบแบบเลโวน (Levene's Test) ของข้อมูล**

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

tensile force			
Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
2.594	15	144	.002

ตาราง ๙. แสดงการทดสอบความมีอิทธิพลของปัจจัยในการศึกษา

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects

Dependent Variable: tensile force

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	23307,185(a)	15	1553,812	27,583	,000
Intercept	228274,327	1	228274,327	4052,331	,000
BRAND	20486,689	3	6828,896	121,227	,000
CONC	899,847	3	299,949	5,325	,002
BRAND * CONC	1920,649	9	213,405	3,788	,000
Error	8111,751	144	56,332		
Total	259693,263	160			
Corrected Total	31418,936	159			

a R Squared = ,742 (Adjusted R Squared = ,715)

ตาราง ณ. แสดงการวิเคราะห์ความแปรปรวนแบบทางเดียวด้วย (One-Way ANOVA) ด้วยการทดสอบแบบโรบัสท์ (Robust Test)

Robust Tests of Equality of Means

tensile force

	Statistic(a)	df1	df2	Sig.
Brown-Forsythe	27.583	15	108.984	.000

ตาราง ญ. แสดงการเปรียบเทียบเที่ยงชั้อน

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: tensile force

Tamhane

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
MJ0	MJ0.1	-17.2340(*)	3.23855	.012	-32.0203	-2.4477
	MJ1	-16.6370(*)	2.93532	.021	-31.4997	-1.7743
	MJ2	-13.2930	3.70517	.230	-29.3291	2.7431
	IPN0	-6.1540	3.80100	1.000	-22.5508	10.2428
	IPN0.1	-2.5760	4.65689	1.000	-22.9245	17.7725
	IPN1	-8.5840	3.50920	.957	-23.9727	6.8047
	IPN2	-3.2720	4.24782	1.000	-21.6077	15.0637
	ORT0	22.5760(*)	3.57693	.001	6.9792	38.1728
	ORT0.1	13.5180	3.47110	.139	-1.7622	28.7982
	ORT1	17.9790(*)	3.12822	.008	3.2896	32.6684
	ORT2	19.1580(*)	3.86193	.012	2.5196	35.7964
	TB0	4.6510	3.42647	1.000	-10.5105	19.8125
	TB0.1	8.3030	4.07468	.999	-9.2401	25.8461
	TB1	2.4690	3.54062	1.000	-13.0140	17.9520
	TB2	2.4740	3.45994	1.000	-12.7756	17.7236

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
MJ0.1	MJ0	17.2340(*)	3.23855	.012	2.4477	32.0203
	MJ1	.5970	1.77849	1.000	-7.6695	8.8635
	MJ2	3.9410	2.87668	1.000	-8.8584	16.7404
	IPNO	11.0800	2.99909	.227	-2.3831	24.5431
	IPNO.1	14.6580	4.02909	.329	-4.6091	33.9251
	IPN1	8.6500	2.61943	.401	-2.7974	20.0974
	IPN2	13.9620	3.54837	.180	-2.5673	30.4913
	ORT0	39.8100(*)	2.70949	.000	27.8973	51.7227
	ORT0.1	30.7520(*)	2.56817	.000	19.5647	41.9393
	ORT1	35.2130(*)	2.08152	.000	26.2035	44.2225
	ORT2	36.3920(*)	3.07595	.000	22.5073	50.2767
	TB0	21.8850(*)	2.50752	.000	11.0003	32.7697
	TB0.1	25.5370(*)	3.33915	.000	10.1877	40.8863
	TB1	19.7030(*)	2.66138	.000	8.0401	31.3659
	TB2	19.7080(*)	2.55306	.000	8.5966	30.8194
MJ1	MJ0	16.6370(*)	2.93532	.021	1.7743	31.4997
	MJ0.1	-.5970	1.77849	1.000	-8.8635	7.6695
	MJ2	3.3440	2.53045	1.000	-9.2155	15.9035
	IPNO	10.4830	2.66879	.255	-2.8652	23.8312
	IPNO.1	14.0610	3.78965	.393	-5.6124	33.7344
	IPN1	8.0530	2.23368	.366	-2.8101	18.9161
	IPN2	13.3650	3.27396	.227	-3.4123	30.1423
	ORT0	39.2130(*)	2.33864	.000	27.7494	50.6766
	ORT0.1	30.1550(*)	2.17334	.000	19.6372	40.6728
	ORT1	34.6160(*)	1.56864	.000	27.5241	41.7079
	ORT2	35.7950(*)	2.75488	.000	21.9569	49.6331
	TB0	21.2880(*)	2.10132	.000	11.1822	31.3938
	TB0.1	24.9400(*)	3.04595	.001	9.4506	40.4294
	TB1	19.1060(*)	2.28273	.000	7.9622	30.2498
	TB2	19.1110(*)	2.15547	.000	8.6954	29.5266
MJ2	MJ0	13.2930	3.70517	.230	-2.7431	29.3291
	MJ0.1	-3.9410	2.87668	1.000	-16.7404	8.8584
	MJ1	-3.3440	2.53045	1.000	-15.9035	9.2155
	IPNO	7.1390	3.49781	.999	-7.9265	22.2045
	IPNO.1	10.7170	4.41290	.966	-8.9766	30.4106
	IPN1	4.7090	3.17829	1.000	-9.0278	18.4458
	IPN2	10.0210	3.97882	.933	-7.3802	27.4222
	ORT0	35.8690(*)	3.25291	.000	21.8452	49.8928
	ORT0.1	26.8110(*)	3.13618	.000	13.2282	40.3938
	ORT1	31.2720(*)	2.75188	.000	18.7057	43.8383
	ORT2	32.4510(*)	3.56393	.000	17.0833	47.8187
	TB0	17.9440(*)	3.08671	.002	4.5340	31.3540
	TB0.1	21.5960(*)	3.79342	.003	5.1286	38.0634
	TB1	15.7620(*)	3.21295	.014	1.8940	29.6300
	TB2	15.7670(*)	3.12382	.011	2.2282	29.3058

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval		
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
IPNO	MJ0	6.1540	3.80100	1.000	-10.2428	22.5508	
	MJ0.1	-11.0800	2.99909	.227	-24.5431	2.3831	
	MJ1	-10.4830	2.66879	.255	-23.8312	2.8652	
	MJ2	-7.1390	3.49781	.999	-22.2045	7.9265	
	IPNO.1	3.5780	4.49365	1.000	-16.3078	23.4638	
	IPN1	-2.4300	3.28950	1.000	-16.7066	11.8466	
	IPN2	2.8820	4.06821	1.000	-14.8062	20.5702	
	ORT0	28.7300(*)	3.36166	.000	14.1950	43.2650	
	ORT0.1	19.6720(*)	3.24883	.001	5.5329	33.8111	
	ORT1	24.1330(*)	2.87960	.000	10.8508	37.4152	
	ORT2	25.3120(*)	3.66345	.000	9.5406	41.0834	
	TB0	10.8050	3.20110	.354	-3.1811	24.7911	
	TB0.1	14.4570	3.88707	.176	-2.3460	31.2600	
	TB1	8.6230	3.32300	.895	-5.7714	23.0174	
	TB2	8.6280	3.23690	.860	-5.4720	22.7280	
	IPNO.1	MJ0	2.5760	4.65689	1.000	-17.7725	22.9245
		MJ0.1	-14.6580	4.02909	.329	-33.9251	4.6091
		MJ1	-14.0610	3.78965	.393	-33.7344	5.6124
		MJ2	-10.7170	4.41290	.966	-30.4106	8.9766
		IPNO	-3.5780	4.49365	1.000	-23.4638	16.3078
		IPN1	-6.0080	4.24968	1.000	-25.4065	13.3905
		IPN2	-.6960	4.87743	1.000	-21.7942	20.4022
		ORT0	25.1520(*)	4.30578	.004	5.6678	44.6362
		ORT0.1	16.0940	4.21828	.205	-3.2646	35.4526
		ORT1	20.5550(*)	3.94095	.031	1.2215	39.8885
		ORT2	21.7340(*)	4.54531	.023	1.7117	41.7563
		TB0	7.2270	4.18163	1.000	-12.0930	26.5470
		TB0.1	10.8790	4.72740	.985	-9.6954	31.4534
		TB1	5.0450	4.27567	1.000	-14.3909	24.4809
		TB2	5.0500	4.20910	1.000	-14.2981	24.3981
IPN1	MJ0	8.5840	3.50920	.957	-6.8047	23.9727	
	MJ0.1	-8.6500	2.61943	.401	-20.0974	2.7974	
	MJ1	-8.0530	2.23368	.366	-18.9161	2.8101	
	MJ2	-4.7090	3.17829	1.000	-18.4458	9.0278	
	IPNO	2.4300	3.28950	1.000	-11.8466	16.7066	
	IPNO.1	6.0080	4.24968	1.000	-13.3905	25.4065	
	IPN2	5.3120	3.79700	1.000	-11.5993	22.2233	
	ORT0	31.1600(*)	3.02780	.000	18.1209	44.1991	
	ORT0.1	22.1020(*)	2.90203	.000	9.6092	34.5948	
	ORT1	26.5630(*)	2.48173	.000	15.4839	37.6421	
	ORT2	27.7420(*)	3.35972	.000	13.1158	42.3682	
	TB0	13.2350(*)	2.84849	.024	.9621	25.5079	
	TB0.1	16.8870(*)	3.60225	.028	1.0128	32.7612	
	TB1	11.0530	2.98483	.178	-1.7952	23.9012	
	TB2	11.0580	2.88867	.138	-1.3792	23.4952	

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
IPN2	MJ0	3.2720	4.24782	1.000	-15.0637	21.6077
	MJ0.1	-13.9620	3.54837	.180	-30.4913	2.5673
	MJ1	-13.3650	3.27396	.227	-30.1423	3.4123
	MJ2	-10.0210	3.97882	.933	-27.4222	7.3802
	IPN0	-2.8820	4.06821	1.000	-20.5702	14.8062
	IPN0.1	.6960	4.87743	1.000	-20.4022	21.7942
	IPN1	-5.3120	3.79700	1.000	-22.2233	11.5993
	ORT0	25.8480(*)	3.85968	.001	8.7839	42.9121
	ORT0.1	16.7900	3.76182	.051	-.0441	33.6241
	ORT1	21.2510(*)	3.44797	.006	4.7377	37.7643
	ORT2	22.4300(*)	4.12520	.005	4.5458	40.3142
	TB0	7.9230	3.72067	.998	-8.8292	24.6752
	TB0.1	11.5750	4.32501	.846	-7.0633	30.2133
	TB1	5.7410	3.82606	1.000	-11.2388	22.7208
	TB2	5.7460	3.75152	1.000	-11.0667	22.5587
ORT0	MJ0	-22.5760(*)	3.57693	.001	-38.1728	-6.9792
	MJ0.1	-39.8100(*)	2.70949	.000	-51.7227	-27.8973
	MJ1	-39.2130(*)	2.33864	.000	-50.6766	-27.7494
	MJ2	-35.8690(*)	3.25291	.000	-49.8928	-21.8452
	IPN0	-28.7300(*)	3.36166	.000	-43.2650	-14.1950
	IPN0.1	-25.1520(*)	4.30578	.004	-44.6362	-5.6678
	IPN1	-31.1600(*)	3.02780	.000	-44.1991	-18.1209
	IPN2	-25.8480(*)	3.85968	.001	-42.9121	-8.7839
	ORT0.1	-9.0580	2.98357	.577	-21.9185	3.8025
	ORT1	-4.5970	2.57661	1.000	-16.1931	6.9991
	ORT2	-3.4180	3.43040	1.000	-18.2856	11.4496
	TB0	-17.9250(*)	2.93152	.001	-30.5829	-5.2671
	TB0.1	-14.2730	3.66826	.136	-30.3361	1.7901
	TB1	-20.1070(*)	3.06417	.000	-33.2971	-6.9169
	TB2	-20.1020(*)	2.97058	.000	-32.9111	-7.2929
ORT0.1	MJ0	-13.5180	3.47110	.139	-28.7982	1.7622
	MJ0.1	-30.7520(*)	2.56817	.000	-41.9393	-19.5647
	MJ1	-30.1550(*)	2.17334	.000	-40.6728	-19.6372
	MJ2	-26.8110(*)	3.13618	.000	-40.3938	-13.2282
	IPN0	-19.6720(*)	3.24883	.001	-33.8111	-5.5329
	IPN0.1	-16.0940	4.21828	.205	-35.4526	3.2646
	IPN1	-22.1020(*)	2.90203	.000	-34.5948	-9.6092
	IPN2	-16.7900	3.76182	.051	-33.6241	.0441
	ORT0	9.0580	2.98357	.577	-3.8025	21.9185
	ORT1	4.4610	2.42757	1.000	-6.3262	15.2482
	ORT2	5.6400	3.31991	1.000	-8.8584	20.1384
	TB0	-8.8670	2.80143	.476	-20.9281	3.1941
	TB0.1	-5.2150	3.56515	1.000	-20.9915	10.5615
	TB1	-11.0490	2.93995	.159	-23.7110	1.6130
	TB2	-11.0440	2.84227	.122	-23.2772	1.1892

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
ORT1	MJ0	-17.9790(*)	3.12822	.008	-32.6684	-3.2896
	MJ0.1	-35.2130(*)	2.08152	.000	-44.2225	-26.2035
	MJ1	-34.6160(*)	1.56864	.000	-41.7079	-27.5241
	MJ2	-31.2720(*)	2.75188	.000	-43.8383	-18.7057
	IPN0	-24.1330(*)	2.87960	.000	-37.4152	-10.8508
	IPN0.1	-20.5550(*)	3.94095	.031	-39.8885	-1.2215
	IPN1	-26.5630(*)	2.48173	.000	-37.6421	-15.4839
	IPN2	-21.2510(*)	3.44797	.006	-37.7643	-4.7377
	ORT0	4.5970	2.57661	1.000	-6.9991	16.1931
	ORT0.1	-4.4610	2.42757	1.000	-15.2482	6.3262
	ORT2	1.1790	2.95957	1.000	-12.5542	14.9122
	TB0	-13.3280(*)	2.36331	.004	-23.7727	-2.8833
	TB0.1	-9.6760	3.23226	.724	-24.9577	5.6057
	TB1	-15.5100(*)	2.52597	.002	-26.8293	-4.1907
ORT2	TB2	-15.5050(*)	2.41158	.001	-26.2066	-4.8034
	MJ0	-19.1580(*)	3.86193	.012	-35.7964	-2.5196
	MJ0.1	-36.3920(*)	3.07595	.000	-50.2767	-22.5073
	MJ1	-35.7950(*)	2.75488	.000	-49.6331	-21.9569
	MJ2	-32.4510(*)	3.56393	.000	-47.8187	-17.0833
	IPN0	-25.3120(*)	3.66345	.000	-41.0834	-9.5406
	IPN0.1	-21.7340(*)	4.54531	.023	-41.7563	-1.7117
	IPN1	-27.7420(*)	3.35972	.000	-42.3682	-13.1158
	IPN2	-22.4300(*)	4.12520	.005	-40.3142	-4.5458
	ORT0	3.4180	3.43040	1.000	-11.4496	18.2856
	ORT0.1	-5.6400	3.31991	1.000	-20.1384	8.8584
	ORT1	-1.1790	2.95957	1.000	-14.9122	12.5542
	TB0	-14.5070(*)	3.27322	.045	-28.8641	-.1499
TB0	TB0.1	-10.8550	3.94668	.798	-27.8842	6.1742
	TB1	-16.6890(*)	3.39253	.015	-31.4250	-1.9530
	TB2	-16.6840(*)	3.30824	.012	-31.1462	-2.2218
	MJ0	-4.6510	3.42647	1.000	-19.8125	10.5105
	MJ0.1	-21.8850(*)	2.50752	.000	-32.7697	-11.0003
	MJ1	-21.2880(*)	2.10132	.000	-31.3938	-11.1822
	MJ2	-17.9440(*)	3.08671	.002	-31.3540	-4.5340
	IPN0	-10.8050	3.20110	.354	-24.7911	3.1811
	IPN0.1	-7.2270	4.18163	1.000	-26.5470	12.0930
	IPN1	-13.2350(*)	2.84849	.024	-25.5079	-.9621
	IPN2	-7.9230	3.72067	.998	-24.6752	8.8292
	ORT0	17.9250(*)	2.93152	.001	5.2671	30.5829
	ORT0.1	8.8670	2.80143	.476	-3.1941	20.9281
	ORT1	13.3280(*)	2.36331	.004	2.8833	23.7727
	ORT2	14.5070(*)	3.27322	.045	.1499	28.8641
	TB0.1	3.6520	3.52171	1.000	-12.0187	19.3227
	TB1	-2.1820	2.88712	1.000	-14.6323	10.2683
	TB2	-2.1770	2.78758	1.000	-14.1768	9.8228

(I) GROUP	(J) GROUP	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
TB0.1	MJ0	-8.3030	4.07468	.999	-25.8461	9.2401
	MJ0.1	-25.5370(*)	3.33915	.000	-40.8863	-10.1877
	MJ1	-24.9400(*)	3.04595	.001	-40.4294	-9.4506
	MJ2	-21.5960(*)	3.79342	.003	-38.0634	-5.1286
	IPN0	-14.4570	3.88707	.176	-31.2600	2.3460
	IPN0.1	-10.8790	4.72740	.985	-31.4534	9.6954
	IPN1	-16.8870(*)	3.60225	.028	-32.7612	-1.0128
	IPN2	-11.5750	4.32501	.846	-30.2133	7.0633
	ORT0	14.2730	3.66826	.136	-1.7901	30.3361
	ORT0.1	5.2150	3.56515	1.000	-10.5615	20.9915
	ORT1	9.6760	3.23226	.724	-5.6057	24.9577
	ORT2	10.8550	3.94668	.798	-6.1742	27.8842
	TB0	-3.6520	3.52171	1.000	-19.3227	12.0187
	TB1	-5.8340	3.63287	1.000	-21.7935	10.1255
	TB2	-5.8290	3.55428	1.000	-21.5781	9.9201
TB1	MJ0	-2.4690	3.54062	1.000	-17.9520	13.0140
	MJ0.1	-19.7030(*)	2.66138	.000	-31.3659	-8.0401
	MJ1	-19.1060(*)	2.28273	.000	-30.2498	-7.9622
	MJ2	-15.7620(*)	3.21295	.014	-29.6300	-1.8940
	IPN0	-8.6230	3.32300	.895	-23.0174	5.7714
	IPN0.1	-5.0450	4.27567	1.000	-24.4809	14.3909
	IPN1	-11.0530	2.98483	.178	-23.9012	1.7952
	IPN2	-5.7410	3.82606	1.000	-22.7208	11.2388
	ORT0	20.1070(*)	3.06417	.000	6.9169	33.2971
	ORT0.1	11.0490	2.93995	.159	-1.6130	23.7110
	ORT1	15.5100(*)	2.52597	.002	4.1907	26.8293
	ORT2	16.6890(*)	3.39253	.015	1.9530	31.4250
	TB0	2.1820	2.88712	1.000	-10.2683	14.6323
	TB0.1	5.8340	3.63287	1.000	-10.1255	21.7935
	TB2	.0050	2.92676	1.000	-12.6034	12.6134
TB2	MJ0	-2.4740	3.45994	1.000	-17.7236	12.7756
	MJ0.1	-19.7080(*)	2.55306	.000	-30.8194	-8.5966
	MJ1	-19.1110(*)	2.15547	.000	-29.5266	-8.6954
	MJ2	-15.7670(*)	3.12382	.011	-29.3058	-2.2282
	IPN0	-8.6280	3.23690	.860	-22.7280	5.4720
	IPN0.1	-5.0500	4.20910	1.000	-24.3981	14.2981
	IPN1	-11.0580	2.88867	.138	-23.4952	1.3792
	IPN2	-5.7460	3.75152	1.000	-22.5587	11.0667
	ORT0	20.1020(*)	2.97058	.000	7.2929	32.9111
	ORT0.1	11.0440	2.84227	.122	-1.1892	23.2772
	ORT1	15.5050(*)	2.41158	.001	4.8034	26.2066
	ORT2	16.6840(*)	3.30824	.012	2.2218	31.1462
	TB0	2.1770	2.78758	1.000	-9.8228	14.1768
	TB0.1	5.8290	3.55428	1.000	-9.9201	21.5781
	TB1	-.0050	2.92676	1.000	-12.6134	12.6034

ตาราง ด. แสดงชนิดของการแตกหักในชิ้นงานตัวอย่างจำแนกตามกลุ่ม
group * fracture type Crosstabulation

		fracture type				Total	
		adhesive	cohesive in denture base	cohesive in denture tooth	adhesive& cohesive		
group	MJ0	Count	8	1	1	0	10
		% within group	80,0%	10,0%	10,0%	,0%	100,0%
	MJ0.1	Count	4	4	0	2	10
		% within group	40,0%	40,0%	,0%	20,0%	100,0%
	MJ1	Count	3	4	1	2	10
		% within group	30,0%	40,0%	10,0%	20,0%	100,0%
	MJ2	Count	9	0	1	0	10
		% within group	90,0%	,0%	10,0%	,0%	100,0%
	IPN0	Count	7	0	2	1	10
		% within group	70,0%	,0%	20,0%	10,0%	100,0%
	IPN0.1	Count	1	3	6	0	10
		% within group	10,0%	30,0%	60,0%	,0%	100,0%
	IPN1	Count	7	2	0	1	10
		% within group	70,0%	20,0%	,0%	10,0%	100,0%
	IPN2	Count	8	0	1	1	10
		% within group	80,0%	,0%	10,0%	10,0%	100,0%
	ORT0	Count	1	0	9	0	10
		% within group	10,0%	,0%	90,0%	,0%	100,0%
	ORT0.1	Count	0	0	10	0	10
		% within group	,0%	,0%	100,0%	,0%	100,0%
	ORT1	Count	0	0	10	0	10
		% within group	,0%	,0%	100,0%	,0%	100,0%
	ORT2	Count	0	0	10	0	10
		% within group	,0%	,0%	100,0%	,0%	100,0%
	TB0	Count	8	0	1	1	10
		% within group	80,0%	,0%	10,0%	10,0%	100,0%
	TB0.1	Count	3	1	6	0	10
		% within group	30,0%	10,0%	60,0%	,0%	100,0%
	TB1	Count	9	0	1	0	10
		% within group	90,0%	,0%	10,0%	,0%	100,0%
	TB2	Count	0	0	10	0	10
		% within group	,0%	,0%	100,0%	,0%	100,0%

ตาราง ๔ แสดงการจำแนกการแตกหักของซี่ฟันปลอม Major dent จำแนกตามความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

concentration of silane * fracture type Crosstabulation

Count

	fracture type				Total
	adhesive	cohesive in denture base	cohesive in denture tooth	adhesive& cohesive	
concentration of silane ,0	8	1	1	0	10
,1	10	4	1	5	20
1,0	3	4	1	2	10
2,0	9	0	1	0	10
Total	30	9	4	7	50

ตาราง ๕ แสดงการทดสอบ Fisher's Exact Test ระหว่างชนิดของการแตกหักของซี่ฟันปลอม Major dent และความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)	Point Probability
Pearson Chi-Square	14,050(a)	9	,121	,113		
Likelihood Ratio	17,775	9	,038	,072		
Fisher's Exact Test	13,247			,071		
Linear-by-Linear Association	1,079(b)	1	,299	,305	,155	,001
N of Valid Cases	50					

a 12 cells (75,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,80.

b The standardized statistic is -1,039.

ตาราง ๖ แสดงการจำแนกการแตกหักของซี่ฟันปลอม Excellecne IPN จำแนกตามความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

concentration of silane * fracture type Crosstabulation

Count

	fracture type				Total
	adhesive	cohesive in denture base	cohesive in denture tooth	adhesive& cohesive	
concentration of silane ,0	7	0	2	1	10
,1	1	3	6	0	10
1,0	7	2	0	1	10
2,0	8	0	1	1	10
Total	23	5	9	3	40

ตาราง ๗ แสดงการทดสอบ Fisher's Exact Test ระหว่างชนิดของการแตกหักของซีพีนปลอม Excellence IPN และความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)	Point Probability
Pearson Chi-Square	20,970(a)	9	,013	,008		
Likelihood Ratio	25,829	9	,002	,003		
Fisher's Exact Test	19,694			,002		
Linear-by-Linear Association	2,430(b)	1	,119	,121	,058	,000
N of Valid Cases	40					

a 12 cells (75,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,75.

b The standardized statistic is -1,559.

ตาราง ๘ แสดงการจำแนกการแตกหักของซีพีนปลอม Orthosit จำแนกตามความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

concentration of silane * fracture type Crosstabulation

Count

	fracture type		Total
	adhesive	cohesive in denture tooth	
concentrati on of silane	,0	1	9
,1	0	20	20
1,0	0	10	10
2,0	0	10	10
Total	1	49	50

ตาราง ๙ แสดงการทดสอบ Fisher's Exact Test ระหว่างชนิดของการแตกหักของซีพีนปลอม Orthosit และความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)	Point Probability
Pearson Chi-Square	4,082(a)	3	,253	,600		
Likelihood Ratio	3,302	3	,347	,600		
Fisher's Exact Test	3,510			,600		
Linear-by-Linear Association	,689(b)	1	,406	,400	,200	,200
N of Valid Cases	50					

a 4 cells (50,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,20.

b The standardized statistic is ,830.

ตาราง ป แสดงการจำแนกการแตกหักของซีฟันปลอม Trubyte จำแนกตามความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

concentration of silane * fracture type Crosstabulation

Count

	fracture type				Total
	adhesive	cohesive in denture base	cohesive in denture tooth	adhesive& cohesive	
concentration of silane ,0	8	0	1	1	10
,1	3	1	6	0	10
1,0	9	0	1	0	10
2,0	0	0	10	0	10
Total	20	1	18	1	40

ตาราง ผ แสดงการทดสอบ Fisher's Exact Test ระหว่างชนิดของการแตกหักของซีฟันปลอม Trubyte และความเข้มข้นของสารละลายไซเลน

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)	Point Probability
Pearson Chi-Square	29,467(a)	9	,001	,000		
Likelihood Ratio	33,986	9	,000	,000		
Fisher's Exact Test	29,611			,000		
Linear-by-Linear Association	5,388(b)	1	,020	,019	,010	,000
N of Valid Cases	40					

a 12 cells (75,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is ,25.

b The standardized statistic is 2,321.

ประวัติผู้เขียนวิทยานิพนธ์

นางสาวพีรานุช ประยัดทรัพย์ เกิดเมื่อวันที่ 15 เดือนกุมภาพันธ์ พุทธศักราช 2523 ณ จังหวัดกรุงเทพมหานคร เรียนระดับมัธยมศึกษาที่ โรงเรียนสตรีวิทยา สำเร็จการศึกษาทันตแพทยศาสตร์บัณฑิตจาก มหาวิทยาลัยศรีนครินทรวิโรฒ ในปีพุทธศักราช 2545 เข้ารับราชการในตำแหน่งทันตแพทย์ สังกัดสำนักงานสาธารณสุขจังหวัดชัยนาท ดำรงตำแหน่งหัวหน้าฝ่ายทันตสาธารณสุข โรงพยาบาลวัดสิงห์ จังหวัดชัยนาท เป็นเวลา 2 ปี จึงลาออกจากราชการ เพื่อศึกษาต่อในหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตร์มหบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาทันตกรรมประดิษฐ์ คณะทันตแพทยศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย