

REFERENCES

- (1). Office of the Council of State. **National Health Security Act B.E. 2545** [Online]. 2549. Available from:
http://www.krisdika.go.th/searchShowFile.jsp?path=%2Fexport%2Fnew_htdocs%2Fdata%2Fflaw%2Fflaw2%2F%25cb29%2F%25cb29-20-2545-a0001.pdf [2007, Jun 25]
- (2). Srivanichakorn, S.; Athicmanon, S.; Chaluadej, B.; Surakijkosol, T.; and Sukpuadee, N. **Primary Care Service**. Nonthaburi: Health Care Reform Office, Ministry of Public Health, 2545.
- (3). Ministry of Public Health. **The 10th National Health Development Plan**. [Online]. 2550. Available from:
<http://www.moph.go.th/other/inform/10/index.htm> [2007, Jun 25]
- (4). Roberge, D.; Beaulieu, M-D.; Haddad, S.; Lebea, R.; and Pineault R. Loyalty to the regular care provider: Patients' and physicians' views. **Fam Pract.** 18 (2001): 53-59.
- (5). Bowers, M.R.; and Kiefe, C.I. Measuring health care quality: comparing and contrasting the medical and the marketing approaches. **Am J Med Qual.** 17 (2002): 136-144.
- (6). Spahr, R.C.; and Hutchinson, R.L. The physician executive and patient satisfaction: Patient Care. **Physician Exec.** 29 (2003): 54-57.
- (7). Jacoby, J.; and Chestnut R.W. **Brand loyalty: Measurement and management**. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1978.
- (8). Jacoby, J.; and Kyner, D.B. Brand loyalty vs. repeat purchasing behavior. **J Market Res.** 10 (1973): 1-9.
- (9). Dick, A.S.; and Basu K. Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. **J Acad Market Sci.** 22 (1994): 99-113.
- (10). Oliver, R.L. Whence consumer loyalty? **J Market.** 63 (1999): 33-44.
- (11). Blodgett, J.G; Hill, D.J.; and Tax S.S. The effects of distributive, procedural, and interactional justice on postcomplaint behavior. **J Retailing.** 73 (1997):185-210.

- (12). Butcher, K.; Sparks, B.; and O'Callaghan F. Evaluative and relational influences on service loyalty. **Int J Serv Ind Manag.** 12 (2001): 310-327.
- (13). Jones, T.; and Taylor, S.F. The conceptual domain of service loyalty: how many dimensions? **J Serv Market.** 21 (2007): 36-51.
- (14). Dwyer, R.F; Schurr, P.H.; and Oh S. Developing buyer-seller relationships. **J Market.** 51 (1987): 11-27.
- (15). Anderson, E.W.; Fornell, C.; and Rust R.T. Customer satisfaction, productivity, and profitability: differences between goods and services. **Market Sci.** 16 (1997): 129-145.
- (16). Caruana, A. Service loyalty: the effects of service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction. **Eur J Market.** 36 (2002): 811-828.
- (17). Bendapudi, N.; and Berry, L.L. Customers' motivations for maintaining relationships with service providers. **J Retailing.** 73 (1997): 15-37.
- (18). Bove, L.L.; and Johnson, L.W. Customer relationships with service personnel: do we measure closeness, quality or strength? **J Bus Res.** 54 (2001): 189-197.
- (19). Zeithaml, V.A.; Berry, L.L.; and Parasuraman A. The behavioral consequences of service quality. **J Market.** 60 (1996): 31-46.
- (20). Bloemer, J.; de Ruyter, K.; and Wetzels, M. Linking perceived service quality and service loyalty: a multi-dimensional perspective. **Eur J Market.** 33 (1999): 1082-1106.
- (21). Boulding, W.; Kalra, A.; Staelin, R.; and Zeithaml V.A. A dynamic process model of service quality: from expectations to behavioral intentions. **J Market Res.** 30 (1993): 7-70.
- (22). Pudpong Tribubpha. **Relationships between perceived service quality and service loyalty.** Master's Thesis. Department of public relation, Faculty of communication arts, Chulalongkorn University; 2004.
- (23). de Ruyter, K.; Wetzels, M.; and Bloemer, J. On the relationship between perceived service quality, service loyalty and switching costs. **Int J Serv Ind Manag.** 9 (1998): 436-453.

- (24). Alden D.L.; Delacruz, J.; and Viboonsanti, P. Influences on client loyalty to reproductive health-care clinics in the Philippines and Thailand. **Asia Pac Popu J.** 19 (2004): 9-24.
- (25). Wang, H.L.; Hsiao, Y.C.; and Huang, J. **Service quality and loyalty in varying patient's knowledge and perceived switching costs: Lesson from Taiwan.** Proceedings of Asia Academy of Management: Professional Development Workshop. Hong Kong, Aug. 13, 2006. [Online]. Available from:
http://www.baf.cuhk.edu.hk/asia-aom/PDW06/T2_Wang_Hsiao_Huang.pdf
[2007, Jun 16]
- (26). Gronroos, C. **Service management and marketing.** Massachusetts: Lexington Books, 1990.
- (27). Parasuraman, A.; Zeithaml, V.A.; and Berry, L.L. A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. **J Market.** 49 (1985): 41-50.
- (28). Berry, L.L.; Zeithaml, V.A.; and Parasuraman A. Quality counts in services, too. **Bus Horiz.** 28 (1985): 44-52.
- (29). Berry, L.L.; Parasuraman, A.; and Zeithaml, V.A. The service-quality puzzle. **Bus Horiz.** 31 (1988): 35-43.
- (30). Cronin, J.J.; and Taylor, S.A. Measuring service quality: a reexamination and extension. **J market.** 56 (1992): 55-68.
- (31). Cronin, J.J.; and Taylor, S.A. SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling performance-based and perceptions-minus-expectations measurement of service quality. **J Market.** 58 (1994): 125-131.
- (32). Brow, T.J.; Churchill, G.A.; and Peter, J.P. Improving the measurement of service quality. **J Retailing.** 69 (1993): 127-139.
- (33). Buttle F. SERVQUAL: Review, critique, research agenda. **Eur J Market.** 30 (1996): 8-32.
- (34). van Dyke, T.P.; Prybutok, V.R.; and Kappelman, L.A. Cautions on the use of the SERVQUAL measure to assess the quality of information systems services. **Decis Sci J.** 30 (1999): 1-15.
- (35). Donabedian, A. **An introduction to quality assurance in health care.** New York: Oxford University press, 2003.

- (36). Rust, R.T.; and Oliver, R.L. **Service quality: Insights and managerial implications from the frontier**. In: Rust, R.T.; and Oliver, R.L.; editors. *Service quality: New directions in theory and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1994.
- (37). Brady, M.K.; and Cronin, J.J. Some new thoughts on conceptualizing perceived service quality: a hierarchical approach. **J Market**. 65 (2001):34-49.
- (38). Lehtinen, U.; and Lehtinen J.R. Two approaches to service quality dimensions. **Serv Indust J**. 11 (1991): 287-303.
- (39). Carman, J.M. Patient perceptions of service quality: Combining the dimensions. **J Serv Market**. 14 (2000): 337-352.
- (40). Patcharaporn Panyawuthikrai. **Development of perceived community pharmacy service quality scale (PCPSQ): Client perspective**. Doctoral Thesis. Department of Social Administrative Pharmacy, Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University, 2004.
- (41). Oliver, R.L. A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. **J Market Res**. 17 (1980): 460-469.
- (42). Spreng, R.A.; and Mackoy, R. An empirical examination of a model of perceived service quality and satisfaction. **J Retailing**. 72 (1996): 201-214.
- (43). Liljander, V.; and Strandvik, T. Emotions in service satisfaction. **Int J Serv Ind Manag**. 8 (1997): 148-169.
- (44). Cronin, J.J.; Brady, M.K.; and Hult, G.T.M. Assessing the effects of quality, value, and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environments. **J Retailing**. 76 (2000): 193-218.
- (45). Bagozzi, R.P.; Gopinath, M.; and Nyer, P.U. The role of emotions in marketing. **J Acad Market Sci**. 27 (1999): 184-206.
- (46). Yu, Y.T.; and Dean, A. The contribution of emotional satisfaction to consumer loyalty. **Int J Serv Ind Manag**. 12 (2001): 234-250.
- (47). White, C.J. Towards an understanding of the relationship between mood, emotions, service quality and customer loyalty intentions. **Serv Indust J**. 26 (2006): 837-847.

- (48). Persson, P.D.; and Huang, S.K. An empirical study of factors influencing patient loyalty: A community hospital case in Taiwan. Proceedings of ISQUA's London Conference, Oct. 22-25, 2006. [Online]. Abstract from: <http://www.isqua.org.au/isquaPages/Conferences/London/AbstractsSlides/DISPLAYS/202%20-%20Persson.pdf> [2007, Jul 1].
- (49). Patterson, P.G. Demographic correlates of loyalty in a service context. **J Serv Market.** 21 (2007): 112-121.
- (50). Hair, Jr. J.F.; Black, W.C.; Babin, B.J.; Anderson, R.E.; and Tatham, R.L. **Multivariate Data Analysis** 6th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 2006.
- (51). de Ruyter, K.; and Wetzels, M. On the complex nature of patient evaluations of general practice service. **J Econ Psychol.** 19 (1998): 565-590.
- (52). Brown, S.W.; and Swartz, T.A. A gap analysis of professional service quality. **J Market.** 53 (1989): 92-98.
- (53). Stevens, J.P., **Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences.** 3rd ed. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1996.
- (54). Srithamrongsawat, S.; and Torwatanakitkul, S. Implication of the Universal Coverage Scheme on health service delivery system in Thailand. **J Health Syst.** 1(2007): 47-62.
- (55). Gabbott, M.; and Hogg, G. Competing for patients: Understanding consumer evaluation of primary care. **J Manag Med.** 8(1994): 12-18.

APPENDICES

**APPENDIX A:
QUESTIONNAIRE (ENGLISH)**

QUESTIONNAIRE

Topic: Factors Influencing Client loyalty to Primary Care Units
in Muang District, Chonburi Province

As a service receiver of the primary care unit, you were asked to complete the questionnaire. All information will be kept confidential. The questionnaire consists of 4 parts, please complete all parts.

Part 1 General information

Notice Please answer these questions or check ✓ in that represent your information

1. Gender 1. Male 2. Female
2. Age.....Years Old
3. Highest Education Level

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Less than primary school	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Primary school
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Secondary school	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. High school
<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Undergraduate	<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Bachelor's degree
<input type="checkbox"/> 7. Higher than Bachelor's degree	
4. Occupation

<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Unemployed	<input type="checkbox"/> 2. Farmer	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Temporary employee
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. Retired	<input type="checkbox"/> 5. Housewife	
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. Governmental or State enterprise officer	<input type="checkbox"/> 7. Business owner	
<input type="checkbox"/> 8. Student	<input type="checkbox"/> 9. Other (describe.....)	
5. Total income.....Baht/month
6. Years of experience in this Community Health Center.....
7. Number of visits per year.....
8. Do you come to obtain service with chronic disease like diabetes or hypertension?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
------------------------------	-----------------------------
9. Waiting time for obtaining service.....hour.....minutes
10. Source of health expenditure

<input type="checkbox"/> Out of pocket	<input type="checkbox"/> CSMBC	<input type="checkbox"/> Social Security Scheme
<input type="checkbox"/> Universal Health Coverage Scheme		

Health care provider indicated in the UC card.....
11. Total expenditure per visit (including travel cost).....Baht

**APPENDIX B:
QUESTIONNAIRE (THAI)**

แบบสอบถามเพื่อการวิจัย
เรื่องปัจจัยที่มีผลต่อความภักดีของผู้ใช้บริการสุขภาพต่อหน่วยบริการปฐมภูมิ
ในอำเภอเมือง จังหวัดชลบุรี

ผู้วิจัยขอความร่วมมือจากท่านซึ่งเป็นผู้ใช้บริการสุขภาพจากหน่วยบริการปฐมภูมิ ในการตอบแบบสอบถาม โดยข้อมูลและความคิดเห็นต่างๆ ที่ท่านตอบ ผู้วิจัยจะเก็บไว้เป็นความลับสูงสุด โดยนำเสนอข้อมูลในภาพรวมเท่านั้น และจะไม่มีผลกระทบใดๆ ต่อท่านหรือการใช้บริการของท่าน รวมทั้งไม่มีผลกระทบต่อหน่วยบริการปฐมภูมิที่ท่านมาใช้บริการแบบสอบถามมี 4 ตอน กรุณาตอบคำถามทุกข้อ

ตอนที่ 1 ข้อมูลทั่วไป

คำชี้แจง กรุณาทำเครื่องหมาย ลงในช่อง ที่ต้องการ หรือเติมข้อความในที่ว่างที่กำหนด

1. เพศ 1. ชาย 2. หญิง

2. อายุ.....ปี

3. ระดับการศึกษาสูงสุด

1.ไม่ได้เรียนหนังสือ/ ไม่จบการศึกษาภาคบังคับประถมศึกษา 2.ประถมศึกษา

3.มัธยมต้น 4.มัธยมปลาย /ปวช. หรือเทียบเท่า

5.ปวส. /อนุปริญญา หรือเทียบเท่า 6.ปริญญาตรี 7.สูงกว่าปริญญาตรี

4. อาชีพ

1.ไม่ได้ประกอบอาชีพ 2.เกษตรกร 3.รับจ้าง/ลูกจ้าง

4.เกษียณอายุ 5.แม่บ้าน/พ่อบ้าน 6.ข้าราชการ/พนักงานรัฐวิสาหกิจ

7.ค้าขาย/เจ้าของกิจการ 8.นักเรียน/นักศึกษา 9. อื่นๆ (โปรดระบุ.....)

5. รายได้โดยเฉลี่ย.....บาทต่อเดือน

6. ท่านมารับบริการที่นี่มาเป็นเวลา.....ปี

7. ความถี่ที่ท่านมารับบริการที่นี่โดยเฉลี่ยปีละ.....ครั้ง

8. ท่านมารับบริการเนื่องจากโรคเรื้อรัง เช่น เบาหวาน ความดันโลหิตสูง ไชหรือไม

ใช่

ไม่ใช่

9. เวลาที่ใช้ในการรอรับบริการประมาณ.....ชั่วโมง.....นาที

10. สิทธิในการรักษาพยาบาล

ไม่ใช่

สวัสดิการข้าราชการ

ประกันสังคม

บัตรทอง สถานบริการลำดับแรกที่ระบุในบัตรทอง

11. การมารับบริการครั้งนี้ท่านต้องเสียค่าใช้จ่ายทั้งหมด (รวมค่าเดินทาง อาหาร).....บาท

**APPENDIX C:
RELIABILITY OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE**

Reliability

Part 1 Perceived service quality

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.975	37

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
matQA1	288.33	1664.437	.588	.974
matQA2	288.60	1645.766	.660	.974
matQA3	288.33	1665.471	.618	.974
matQA4	288.80	1608.303	.805	.974
matQA5	288.67	1614.989	.812	.974
matQA6	288.33	1645.471	.741	.974
hmQA7	288.00	1644.345	.782	.974
hmQA8	289.17	1642.489	.433	.977
hmQA9	288.07	1664.133	.742	.974
operQA10	287.90	1675.955	.761	.974
operQA11	288.53	1643.154	.665	.974
operQA12	287.77	1689.702	.672	.974
atdQA13	287.57	1704.461	.659	.975
atdQA14	288.10	1621.541	.726	.974
atdQA15	287.50	1704.259	.625	.975
atdQA16	287.80	1655.545	.715	.974
atdQA17	287.67	1672.851	.927	.974
atdQA18	287.87	1641.982	.905	.973
bhvQA19	287.77	1658.116	.792	.974
bhvQA20	288.23	1596.530	.906	.973
bhvQA21	288.30	1600.010	.885	.973
bhvQA22	288.23	1623.702	.807	.974
bhvQA23	287.77	1685.495	.760	.974
bhvQA24	288.17	1642.144	.689	.974
exptQA25	288.00	1658.690	.750	.974
exptQA26	288.37	1597.964	.902	.973
exptQA27	287.73	1690.271	.761	.974
exptQA28	288.07	1638.961	.812	.974
exptQA29	287.67	1694.161	.510	.975
exptQA30	288.37	1583.275	.909	.973
hchgQA31	288.27	1661.099	.542	.975
hchgQA32	288.10	1671.128	.798	.974
hchgQA33	288.07	1654.961	.896	.974
kchgQA34	288.07	1645.237	.874	.973
kchgQA35	288.20	1659.545	.546	.975
bchgQA36	288.47	1647.223	.596	.975
bchgQA37	287.97	1641.137	.804	.974

Part 2 Satisfaction emotions

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.812	7

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
happyS1	45.93	80.271	.573	.789
hopeS2	46.00	80.138	.601	.786
surpriseS3	46.17	82.557	.525	.796
angry	46.80	65.407	.669	.764
depress	46.67	65.195	.711	.755
guilty	46.63	59.551	.738	.750
humiliate	45.60	87.559	.172	.848

Part 3 Loyalty**Case Processing Summary**

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.860	11

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
UintL1	74.93	217.375	.538	.853
UintL2	75.10	211.334	.522	.852
reUintL3	74.77	225.564	.192	.869
pwmL4	74.97	203.344	.707	.842
pwmL5	75.17	185.385	.864	.826
pwmL6	75.20	184.648	.859	.825
rePriceL7	75.63	197.344	.533	.850
priceL8	76.03	187.689	.616	.844
reComL9	75.43	194.392	.591	.845
recomL10	76.13	195.844	.415	.865
reComL11	76.63	189.689	.508	.855

**APPENDIX D:
LOGISTIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS OUTPUT**

Logistic Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	350	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	350	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		350	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Disloyalty	0
Loyalty	1

Categorical Variables Codings

		Frequency	Parameter (1)
Type of disease	non chronic	214	1.000
	chronic	136	.000
PrimE	higher	112	1.000
	primary school	238	.000

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients Constant
Step	1	456.251	-.571
0	2	456.230	-.588
	3	456.230	-.588

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 456.230

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 3 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed			Predicted		
			Status		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 0	Status	Disloyalty	225	0	100.0
		Loyalty	125	0	.0
		Overall Percentage			64.3

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	-.588	.112	27.763	1	.000	.556

Variables not in the Equation^a

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	Material	9.651	1	.002
		Human	10.301	1	.001
		Operation	12.758	1	.000
		Attitude	20.478	1	.000
		Behavior	17.365	1	.000
		Expertise	17.593	1	.000
		Hchange	13.998	1	.000
		Kchange	12.881	1	.000
		Bchange	7.800	1	.005
		PositiveE	15.565	1	.000
		NegativeE	18.853	1	.000
		time	1.582	1	.209
		cost	.355	1	.551
		age	1.607	1	.205
		PrimE(1)	.000	1	1.000
		income	.059	1	.809
		chronic(1)	3.721	1	.054

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Block 1: Method = Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients			
			Constant	Attitude	NegativeE	Operation
Step 1	1	433.980	-5.020	.529		
	2	431.291	-7.129	.770		
	3	431.208	-7.584	.821		
	4	431.208	-7.601	.823		
	5	431.208	-7.601	.823		
Step 2	1	425.173	-3.483	.395	-.175	
	2	421.597	-5.202	.603	-.232	
	3	421.491	-5.624	.653	-.240	
	4	421.491	-5.642	.655	-.240	
	5	421.491	-5.642	.655	-.240	
Step 3	1	420.074	-3.560	.278	-.187	.149
	2	416.041	-5.441	.478	-.244	.179
	3	415.901	-5.946	.533	-.253	.183
	4	415.901	-5.971	.536	-.253	.184
	5	415.901	-5.971	.536	-.253	.184

a. Method: Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 456.230

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	25.021	1	.000
	Block	25.021	1	.000
	Model	25.021	1	.000
Step 2	Step	9.717	1	.002
	Block	34.739	2	.000
	Model	34.739	2	.000
Step 3	Step	5.590	1	.018
	Block	40.328	3	.000
	Model	40.328	3	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	431.208 ^a	.069	.095
2	421.491 ^a	.094	.130
3	415.901 ^a	.109	.149

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	3.174	5	.673
2	6.239	7	.512
3	3.510	8	.898

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		Status = Disloyalty		Status = Loyalty		Total
		Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
Step 1	1	33	32.794	3	3.206	36
	2	18	19.086	6	4.914	24
	3	23	24.019	10	8.981	33
	4	26	24.818	11	12.182	37
	5	33	30.681	17	19.319	50
	6	6	8.729	9	6.271	15
	7	86	84.872	69	70.128	155
Step 2	1	33	32.205	2	2.795	35
	2	30	28.940	5	6.060	35
	3	24	26.278	11	8.722	35
	4	24	25.827	14	12.173	38
	5	21	22.317	15	13.683	36
	6	20	19.538	14	14.462	34
	7	20	18.995	15	16.005	35
	8	7	4.103	1	3.897	8
	9	46	46.798	48	47.202	94
Step 3	1	34	32.533	1	2.467	35
	2	27	29.275	8	5.725	35
	3	26	26.291	9	8.709	35
	4	24	24.961	12	11.039	36
	5	22	21.969	12	12.031	34
	6	21	20.906	14	14.094	35
	7	19	19.562	16	15.438	35
	8	20	17.840	15	17.160	35
	9	17	15.013	15	16.987	32
	10	15	16.650	23	21.350	38

Classification Table^a

Observed			Predicted		
			Status		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 1	Status	Disloyalty	225	0	100.0
		Loyalty	125	0	.0
	Overall Percentage				64.3
Step 2	Status	Disloyalty	179	46	79.6
		Loyalty	77	48	38.4
	Overall Percentage				64.9
Step 3	Status	Disloyalty	187	38	83.1
		Loyalty	82	43	34.4
	Overall Percentage				65.7

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1	Attitude	.823	.196	17.692	1	.000	2.278	1.552	3.343
	Constant	-7.601	1.691	20.191	1	.000	.001		
Step 2	Attitude	.655	.199	10.786	1	.001	1.924	1.302	2.844
	NegativeE	-.240	.082	8.560	1	.003	.786	.669	.924
	Constant	-5.642	1.767	10.191	1	.001	.004		
Step 3	Operation	.184	.080	5.308	1	.021	1.201	1.028	1.404
	Attitude	.536	.209	6.564	1	.010	1.708	1.134	2.574
	NegativeE	-.253	.082	9.443	1	.002	.777	.661	.912
	Constant	-5.971	1.809	10.891	1	.001	.003		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Attitude.

b. Variable(s) entered on step 2: NegativeE.

c. Variable(s) entered on step 3: Operation.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	Attitude	Constant	Attitude	NegativeE	Operation
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.998				
	Attitude	-.998	1.000				
Step 2	Constant			1.000	-.994	-.326	
	Attitude			-.994	1.000	.241	
	NegativeE			-.326	.241	1.000	
Step 3	Constant			1.000	-.940	-.306	-.095
	Operation			-.095	-.232	-.075	1.000
	Attitude			-.940	1.000	.242	-.232
	NegativeE			-.306	.242	1.000	-.075

Model if Term Removed

Variable		Model Log Likelihood	Change in -2 Log Likelihood	df	Sig. of the Change
Step 1	Attitude	-228.115	25.021	1	.000
Step 2	Attitude	-217.460	13.430	1	.000
	NegativeE	-215.604	9.717	1	.002
Step 3	Operation	-210.745	5.590	1	.018
	Attitude	-211.720	7.538	1	.006
	NegativeE	-213.329	10.756	1	.001

Variables not in the Equation^a

Step	Variables		Score	df	Sig.
Step 1	Variables	Material	1.055	1	.304
		Human	2.808	1	.094
		Operation	4.427	1	.035
		Behavior	.869	1	.351
		Expertise	1.689	1	.194
		Hchange	2.696	1	.101
		Kchange	1.274	1	.259
		Bchange	.709	1	.400
		PositiveE	1.930	1	.165
		NegativeE	9.058	1	.003
		time	.783	1	.376
		cost	.665	1	.415
		age	.085	1	.770
		PrimE(1)	.075	1	.784
		income	.006	1	.937
Step 2	Variables	chronic(1)	.869	1	.351
		Material	.702	1	.402
		Human	3.592	1	.058
		Operation	5.434	1	.020
		Behavior	.390	1	.532
		Expertise	1.603	1	.206
		Hchange	1.676	1	.195
		Kchange	1.169	1	.280
		Bchange	.930	1	.335
		PositiveE	.881	1	.348
		time	.895	1	.344
		cost	.655	1	.418
		age	.004	1	.950
		PrimE(1)	.001	1	.972
		income	.012	1	.913
Step 3	Variables	chronic(1)	.949	1	.330
		Material	.216	1	.642
		Human	.321	1	.571
		Behavior	.062	1	.803
		Expertise	1.280	1	.258
		Hchange	.757	1	.384
		Kchange	.639	1	.424
		Bchange	.679	1	.410
		PositiveE	.183	1	.668
		time	3.173	1	.075
		cost	.663	1	.415
		age	.065	1	.799
		PrimE(1)	.150	1	.699
		income	.099	1	.753
		chronic(1)	2.645	1	.104

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Logistic Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	350	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	350	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		350	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Disloyalty	0
loyalty	1

Categorical Variables Codings

		Frequency	Parameter (1)
Type of disease	non chronic	214	1.000
	chronic	136	.000
PrimE	higher	112	1.000
	primary school	238	.000

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
			Constant
Step	1	201.629	1.714
0	2	181.353	2.342
	3	180.132	2.545
	4	180.123	2.565
	5	180.123	2.565

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 180.123

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed			Predicted		
			Utilization		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	loyalty	
Step 0	Utilization	Disloyalty	0	25	.0
		loyalty	0	325	100.0
	Overall Percentage				92.9

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	2.565	.208	152.726	1	.000	13.000

Variables not in the Equation^a

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	Material	38.545	1	.000
		Human	35.986	1	.000
		Operation	29.752	1	.000
		Attitude	64.957	1	.000
		Behavior	67.961	1	.000
		Expertise	54.472	1	.000
		Hchange	40.534	1	.000
		Kchange	45.452	1	.000
		Bchange	24.537	1	.000
		PositiveE	89.579	1	.000
		NegativeE	19.106	1	.000
		time	.119	1	.731
		cost	.002	1	.968
		age	1.785	1	.181
		PrimE(1)	.198	1	.656
		income	.094	1	.759
		chronic(1)	1.336	1	.248

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Block 1: Method = Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients		
			Constant	PositiveE	Human
Step 1	1	169.069	-1.369	.392	
	2	128.367	-2.951	.700	
	3	119.713	-4.225	.936	
	4	118.777	-4.841	1.049	
	5	118.758	-4.946	1.068	
	6	118.757	-4.948	1.068	
	7	118.757	-4.948	1.068	
Step 2	1	167.533	-1.513	.351	.067
	2	125.416	-3.262	.609	.147
	3	115.726	-4.764	.801	.233
	4	114.483	-5.572	.898	.284
	5	114.448	-5.738	.917	.295
	6	114.448	-5.743	.918	.295
	7	114.448	-5.743	.918	.295

- a. Method: Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)
 b. Constant is included in the model.
 c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 180.123
 d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 7 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	61.366	1	.000
	Block	61.366	1	.000
	Model	61.366	1	.000
Step 2	Step	4.310	1	.038
	Block	65.675	2	.000
	Model	65.675	2	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	118.757 ^a	.161	.400
2	114.448 ^a	.171	.425

- a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 7 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	8.126	6	.229
2	6.265	8	.618

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		Utilization = Disloyalty		Utilization = loyalty		Total
		Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
Step 1	1	17	16.232	25	25.768	42
	2	2	3.550	36	34.450	38
	3	1	1.591	36	35.409	37
	4	2	1.334	48	48.666	50
	5	3	.870	43	45.130	46
	6	0	.476	36	35.524	36
	7	0	.013	1	.987	1
	8	0	.933	100	99.067	100
Step 2	1	17	15.383	18	19.617	35
	2	2	4.147	34	31.853	36
	3	2	1.850	33	33.150	35
	4	1	1.125	35	34.875	36
	5	1	.805	37	37.195	38
	6	2	.582	35	36.418	37
	7	0	.382	31	30.618	31
	8	0	.343	38	37.657	38
	9	0	.137	20	19.863	20
	10	0	.248	44	43.752	44

Classification Table^a

Observed		Predicted			
		Utilization		Percentage Correct	
		Disloyalty	loyalty		
Step 1	Utilization	Disloyalty	7	18	28.0
		loyalty	2	323	99.4
	Overall Percentage				94.3
Step 2	Utilization	Disloyalty	7	18	28.0
		loyalty	3	322	99.1
	Overall Percentage				94.0

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1 ^a	PositiveE	1.068	.171	38.991	1	.000	2.910	2.081	4.069
	Constant	-4.948	1.131	19.159	1	.000	.007		
Step 2 ^b	Human	.295	.141	4.381	1	.036	1.343	1.019	1.771
	PositiveE	.918	.184	24.999	1	.000	2.504	1.747	3.589
	Constant	-5.743	1.259	20.796	1	.000	.003		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: PositiveE.

b. Variable(s) entered on step 2: Human.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	PositiveE	Human
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.975	
	PositiveE	-.975	1.000	
Step 2	Constant	1.000	-.764	-.367
	Human	-.367	-.287	1.000
	PositiveE	-.764	1.000	-.287

Model if Term Removed

Variable		Model Log Likelihood	Change in -2 Log Likelihood	df	Sig. of the Change
Step 1	PositiveE	-90.062	61.366	1	.000
Step 2	Human	-59.379	4.310	1	.038
	PositiveE	-74.498	34.549	1	.000

Variables not in the Equation^a

Step	Variables		Score	df	Sig.
Step 1	Variables	Material	3.476	1	.062
		Human	4.620	1	.032
		Operation	4.312	1	.038
		Attitude	2.833	1	.092
		Behavior	4.182	1	.041
		Expertise	2.183	1	.140
		Hchange	2.381	1	.123
		Kchange	1.371	1	.242
		Bchange	.250	1	.617
		NegativeE	1.965	1	.161
		time	.239	1	.625
		cost	.002	1	.965
		age	.270	1	.604
		PrimE(1)	.006	1	.937
		income	.611	1	.434
		chronic(1)	.564	1	.453
Step 2	Variables	Material	1.376	1	.241
		Operation	1.227	1	.268
		Attitude	1.753	1	.186
		Behavior	2.357	1	.125
		Expertise	1.158	1	.282
		Hchange	1.911	1	.167
		Kchange	.472	1	.492
		Bchange	.074	1	.786
		NegativeE	2.873	1	.090
		time	.642	1	.423
		cost	.049	1	.824
		age	.439	1	.507
		PrimE(1)	.016	1	.900
		income	.487	1	.485
		chronic(1)	.801	1	.371

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Logistic Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	350	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	350	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		350	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Disloyalty	0
Loyalty	1

Categorical Variables Codings

		Frequency	Parameter (1)
Type of disease	non chronic	214	1.000
	chronic	136	.000
PrimE	higher	112	1.000
	primary school	238	.000

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
			Constant
Step	1	205.690	1.703
0	2	186.310	2.315
	3	185.217	2.506
	4	185.210	2.523
	5	185.210	2.523

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 185.210

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed			Predicted		
			Word		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 0	Word	Disloyalty	0	26	.0
		Loyalty	0	324	100.0
Overall Percentage					92.6

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0 Constant	2.523	.204	153.166	1	.000	12.462

Variables not in the Equation^a

Step	Variables	Score	df	Sig.
0	Material	22.011	1	.000
	Human	20.613	1	.000
	Operation	23.452	1	.000
	Attitude	54.597	1	.000
	Behavior	57.066	1	.000
	Expertise	38.213	1	.000
	Hchange	24.255	1	.000
	Kchange	23.933	1	.000
	Bchange	10.180	1	.001
	PositiveE	52.961	1	.000
	NegativeE	3.981	1	.046
	time	8.686	1	.003
	cost	.516	1	.472
	age	.027	1	.869
	PrimE(1)	.539	1	.463
	income	.989	1	.320
	chronic(1)	.629	1	.428

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Block 1: Method = Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients				
		Constant	Behavior	PositiveE	time	
Step 1	1	184.108	-1.457	.383		
	2	151.672	-3.100	.675		
	3	146.854	-4.147	.853		
	4	146.615	-4.453	.904		
	5	146.614	-4.474	.908		
	6	146.614	-4.474	.908		
Step 2	1	180.610	-1.684	.247	.171	
	2	146.011	-3.463	.421	.315	
	3	140.401	-4.614	.531	.404	
	4	140.073	-4.979	.567	.432	
	5	140.071	-5.008	.570	.434	
	6	140.071	-5.008	.570	.434	
Step 3	1	177.332	-1.504	.248	.171	-.003
	2	141.129	-2.985	.417	.307	-.005
	3	135.018	-3.929	.523	.392	-.006
	4	134.633	-4.246	.560	.419	-.007
	5	134.631	-4.275	.564	.422	-.007
	6	134.631	-4.275	.564	.422	-.007

a. Method: Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 185.210

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	38.596	1	.000
	Block	38.596	1	.000
	Model	38.596	1	.000
Step 2	Step	6.543	1	.011
	Block	45.139	2	.000
	Model	45.139	2	.000
Step 3	Step	5.440	1	.020
	Block	50.580	3	.000
	Model	50.580	3	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	146.614 ^a	.104	.254
2	140.071 ^a	.121	.294
3	134.631 ^a	.135	.327

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 6 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	6.118	5	.295
2	10.128	7	.181
3	6.278	8	.616

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		Word = Disloyalty		Word = Loyalty		Total
		Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
Step 1	1	14	12.502	21	22.498	35
	2	2	4.198	33	30.802	35
	3	1	2.250	35	33.750	36
	4	1	1.388	30	29.612	31
	5	1	1.707	50	49.293	51
	6	0	.281	10	9.719	10
	7	7	3.674	145	148.326	152
Step 2	1	16	13.494	19	21.506	35
	2	1	3.932	34	31.068	35
	3	0	2.080	33	30.920	33
	4	2	1.589	34	34.411	36
	5	1	1.152	34	33.848	35
	6	2	.936	32	33.064	34
	7	0	.852	35	34.148	35
	8	1	.666	32	32.334	33
Step 3	9	3	1.298	71	72.702	74
	1	14	14.119	21	20.881	35
	2	4	3.548	31	31.452	35
	3	2	2.015	33	32.985	35
	4	0	1.561	35	33.439	35
	5	2	1.300	34	34.700	36
	6	2	1.042	33	33.958	35
	7	2	.834	33	34.166	35
	8	0	.687	36	35.313	36
	9	0	.514	35	34.486	35
10	0	.381	33	32.619	33	

Classification Table^a

Observed			Predicted		
			Word		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 1	Word	Disloyalty	2	24	7.7
		Loyalty	3	321	99.1
	Overall Percentage				92.3
Step 2	Word	Disloyalty	4	22	15.4
		Loyalty	2	322	99.4
	Overall Percentage				93.1
Step 3	Word	Disloyalty	6	20	23.1
		Loyalty	4	320	98.8
	Overall Percentage				93.1

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1	Behavior	.908	.158	33.225	1	.000	2.479	1.821	3.376
	Constant	-4.474	1.162	14.817	1	.000	.011		
Step 2	Behavior	.570	.199	8.227	1	.004	1.768	1.198	2.610
	PositiveE	.434	.164	6.988	1	.008	1.543	1.119	2.129
	Constant	-5.008	1.210	17.125	1	.000	.007		
Step 3	Behavior	.564	.204	7.644	1	.006	1.757	1.178	2.621
	PositiveE	.422	.166	6.432	1	.011	1.525	1.101	2.112
	time	-.007	.003	5.466	1	.019	.993	.987	.999
	Constant	-4.275	1.274	11.255	1	.001	.014		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Behavior.

b. Variable(s) entered on step 2: PositiveE.

c. Variable(s) entered on step 3: time.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	Behavior	Constant	Behavior	PositiveE	time
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.981				
	Behavior	-.981	1.000				
Step 2	Constant			1.000	-.654	-.201	
	Behavior			-.654	1.000	-.593	
	PositiveE			-.201	-.593	1.000	
Step 3	Constant			1.000	-.645	-.186	-.175
	Behavior			-.645	1.000	-.593	-.038
	PositiveE			-.186	-.593	1.000	-.010
	time			-.175	-.038	-.010	1.000

Model if Term Removed

Variable		Model Log Likelihood	Change in -2 Log Likelihood	df	Sig. of the Change
Step 1	Behavior	-92.605	38.596	1	.000
Step 2	Behavior	-74.298	8.524	1	.004
	PositiveE	-73.307	6.543	1	.011
Step 3	Behavior	-71.326	8.021	1	.005
	PositiveE	-70.264	5.897	1	.015
	time	-70.036	5.440	1	.020

Variables not in the Equation^a

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 1	Variables	Material	2.290	1	.130
		Human	2.360	1	.124
		Operation	4.566	1	.033
		Attitude	1.870	1	.171
		Expertise	.055	1	.814
		Hchange	.273	1	.601
		Kchange	.072	1	.788
		Bchange	.284	1	.594
		PositiveE	7.451	1	.006
		NegativeE	.764	1	.382
		time	6.436	1	.011
		cost	.693	1	.405
		age	1.945	1	.163
		PrimE(1)	.037	1	.847
		income	1.385	1	.239
Step 2	Variables	chronic(1)	3.428	1	.064
		Material	.384	1	.536
		Human	.515	1	.473
		Operation	2.340	1	.126
		Attitude	.428	1	.513
		Expertise	.213	1	.644
		Hchange	.003	1	.958
		Kchange	.661	1	.416
		Bchange	1.524	1	.217
		NegativeE	2.020	1	.155
		time	5.745	1	.017
		cost	.567	1	.451
		age	2.260	1	.133
		PrimE(1)	.022	1	.883
		income	1.127	1	.288
Step 3	Variables	chronic(1)	3.063	1	.080
		Material	.456	1	.500
		Human	.065	1	.798
		Operation	.750	1	.386
		Attitude	.962	1	.327
		Expertise	.005	1	.943
		Hchange	.123	1	.726
		Kchange	.307	1	.580
		Bchange	.937	1	.333
		NegativeE	2.520	1	.112
		cost	.138	1	.711
		age	.494	1	.482
		PrimE(1)	.767	1	.381
		income	.314	1	.575
		chronic(1)	.084	1	.772

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Logistic Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	350	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	350	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		350	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Disloyalty	0
Loyalty	1

Categorical Variables Codings

		Frequency	Parameter (1)
Type of disease	non chronic	214	1.000
	chronic	136	.000
PrimE	higher	112	1.000
	primary school	238	.000

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
Iteration			Constant
Step	1	483.819	.126
0	2	483.819	.126

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 483.819

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 2 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed			Predicted		
			Price		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 0	Price	Disloyalty	0	164	.0
		Loyalty	0	186	100.0
Overall Percentage					53.1

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0 Constant	.126	.107	1.381	1	.240	1.134

Variables not in the Equation^a

Step	Variables	Score	df	Sig.
0	Material	10.278	1	.001
	Human	11.455	1	.001
	Operation	22.794	1	.000
	Attitude	25.345	1	.000
	Behavior	23.968	1	.000
	Expertise	18.132	1	.000
	Hchange	13.148	1	.000
	Kchange	15.025	1	.000
	Bchange	6.070	1	.014
	PositiveE	16.867	1	.000
	NegativeE	19.086	1	.000
	time	.037	1	.847
	cost	.063	1	.802
	age	.014	1	.906
	PrimE(1)	.122	1	.727
	income	.018	1	.895
	chronic(1)	.670	1	.413

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Block 1: Method = Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients			
			Constant	Attitude	Operation	NegativeE
Step 1	1	457.151	-5.029	.613		
	2	456.684	-5.857	.709		
	3	456.683	-5.904	.715		
	4	456.683	-5.904	.715		
Step 2	1	447.084	-5.282	.457	.213	
	2	446.351	-6.318	.561	.233	
	3	446.348	-6.395	.569	.234	
	4	446.348	-6.395	.569	.234	
Step 3	1	437.663	-3.644	.301	.230	-.189
	2	436.642	-4.625	.400	.255	-.212
	3	436.636	-4.713	.409	.257	-.213
	4	436.636	-4.713	.409	.257	-.213

a. Method: Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 483.819

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	27.136	1	.000
	Block	27.136	1	.000
	Model	27.136	1	.000
Step 2	Step	10.335	1	.001
	Block	37.472	2	.000
	Model	37.472	2	.000
Step 3	Step	9.711	1	.002
	Block	47.183	3	.000
	Model	47.183	3	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	456.683 ^a	.075	.100
2	446.348 ^a	.102	.136
3	436.636 ^a	.126	.168

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	5.307	5	.380
2	5.225	8	.733
3	3.204	8	.921

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		Price = Disloyalty		Price = Loyalty		Total
		Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
Step 1	1	30	28.640	6	7.360	36
	2	14	14.869	10	9.131	24
	3	13	17.823	20	15.177	33
	4	20	17.802	17	19.198	37
	5	23	21.372	27	28.628	50
	6	4	5.996	11	9.004	15
	7	60	57.498	95	97.502	155
Step 2	1	29	29.176	7	6.824	36
	2	22	23.831	15	13.169	37
	3	19	19.210	16	15.790	35
	4	17	16.793	18	18.207	35
	5	14	15.604	21	19.396	35
	6	19	13.507	14	19.493	33
	7	14	13.744	23	23.256	37
	8	9	9.808	20	19.192	29
	9	5	4.153	8	8.847	13
	10	16	18.174	44	41.826	60
Step 3	1	28	29.302	7	5.698	35
	2	22	23.608	13	11.392	35
	3	22	20.225	13	14.775	35
	4	20	17.785	15	17.215	35
	5	16	15.937	19	19.063	35
	6	12	14.741	24	21.259	36
	7	15	12.821	20	22.179	35
	8	12	11.466	24	24.534	36
	9	8	8.385	22	21.615	30
	10	9	9.730	29	28.270	38

Classification Table^a

Observed			Predicted		
			Price		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 1	Price	Disloyalty	57	107	34.8
		Loyalty	36	150	80.6
	Overall Percentage				59.1
Step 2	Price	Disloyalty	72	92	43.9
		Loyalty	39	147	79.0
	Overall Percentage				62.6
Step 3	Price	Disloyalty	84	80	51.2
		Loyalty	42	144	77.4
	Overall Percentage				65.1

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1	Attitude	.715	.154	21.674	1	.000	2.044	1.513	2.761
	Constant	-5.904	1.309	20.352	1	.000	.003		
Step 2	Operation	.234	.074	9.943	1	.002	1.264	1.093	1.462
	Attitude	.569	.163	12.203	1	.000	1.766	1.284	2.431
	Constant	-6.395	1.358	22.194	1	.000	.002		
Step 3	Operation	.257	.076	11.487	1	.001	1.293	1.114	1.499
	Attitude	.409	.171	5.743	1	.017	1.506	1.077	2.104
	NegativeE	-.213	.070	9.300	1	.002	.808	.705	.927
	Constant	-4.713	1.452	10.535	1	.001	.009		

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: Attitude.

b. Variable(s) entered on step 2: Operation.

c. Variable(s) entered on step 3: NegativeE.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	Attitude	Constant	Operation	Attitude	NegativeE
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.996				
	Attitude	-.996	1.000				
Step 2	Constant			1.000	-.145	-.917	
	Operation			-.145	1.000	-.254	
	Attitude			-.917	-.254	1.000	
Step 3	Constant						-.339
	Operation				1.000	-.279	-.114
	Attitude				-.922	1.000	.273
	NegativeE				-.339	-.114	1.000

Model if Term Removed

Variable	Model Log Likelihood	Change in -2 Log Likelihood	df	Sig. of the Change
Step 1 Attitude	-241.910	27.136	1	.000
Step 2 Operation	-228.341	10.335	1	.001
Attitude	-230.190	14.032	1	.000
Step 3 Operation	-224.327	12.018	1	.001
Attitude	-221.401	6.165	1	.013
NegativeE	-223.174	9.711	1	.002

Variables not in the Equation^a

Step	Variables		Score	df	Sig.		
Step 1	Variables	Material	.705	1	.401		
		Human	2.672	1	.102		
		Operation	10.351	1	.001		
		Behavior	2.038	1	.153		
		Expertise	.594	1	.441		
		Hchange	1.345	1	.246		
		Kchange	1.186	1	.276		
		Bchange	.037	1	.848		
		PositiveE	1.193	1	.275		
		NegativeE	8.010	1	.005		
		time	.031	1	.859		
		cost	.178	1	.673		
		age	.820	1	.365		
		PrimE(1)	.003	1	.958		
		income	.199	1	.656		
		chronic(1)	.065	1	.800		
		Step 2	Variables	Material	.077	1	.782
Human	.162			1	.687		
Behavior	.764			1	.382		
Expertise	.261			1	.610		
Hchange	.345			1	.557		
Kchange	.406			1	.524		
Bchange	.005			1	.942		
PositiveE	.150			1	.698		
NegativeE	9.749			1	.002		
time	.799			1	.371		
cost	.239			1	.625		
age	.245			1	.621		
PrimE(1)	.279			1	.597		
income	.471			1	.492		
chronic(1)	.334			1	.563		
Step 3	Variables			Material	.000	1	.989
				Human	.133	1	.716
		Behavior	.265	1	.607		
		Expertise	.194	1	.660		
		Hchange	.033	1	.855		
		Kchange	.363	1	.547		
		Bchange	.002	1	.965		
		PositiveE	.016	1	.898		
		time	1.030	1	.310		
		cost	.246	1	.620		
		age	.755	1	.385		
		PrimE(1)	.701	1	.402		
		income	.559	1	.455		
		chronic(1)	.399	1	.527		

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Logistic Regression

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	350	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	350	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		350	100.0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
Disloyalty	0
Loyalty	1

Categorical Variables Codings

		Frequency	Parameter (1)
Type of disease	non chronic	214	1.000
	chronic	136	.000
PrimE	higher	112	1.000
	primary school	238	.000

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
			Constant
Step 1	1	476.205	.320
0	2	476.204	.323
	3	476.204	.323

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 476.204

c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 3 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

Observed			Predicted		
			Complain		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 0	Complain	Disloyalty	0	147	.0
		Loyalty	0	203	100.0
	Overall Percentage				58.0

a. Constant is included in the model.

b. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0	Constant	.323	.108	8.883	1	.003	1.381

Variables not in the Equation^a

			Score	df	Sig.
Step 0	Variables	Material	12.639	1	.000
		Human	16.533	1	.000
		Operation	22.325	1	.000
		Attitude	21.586	1	.000
		Behavior	30.018	1	.000
		Expertise	24.598	1	.000
		Hchange	11.685	1	.001
		Kchange	14.268	1	.000
		Bchange	7.526	1	.006
		PositiveE	30.407	1	.000
		NegativeE	15.713	1	.000
		time	.691	1	.406
		cost	.249	1	.618
		age	7.087	1	.008
		PrimE(1)	.207	1	.649
		income	2.630	1	.105
chronic(1)	5.056	1	.025		

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

Block 1: Method = Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}

Iteration		-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients				
			Constant	PositiveE	Operation	NegativeE	chronic(1)
Step 1	1	444.798	-3.123	.438			
	2	444.291	-3.647	.505			
	3	444.290	-3.670	.508			
	4	444.290	-3.670	.508			
Step 2	1	437.292	-3.740	.342	.188		
	2	436.693	-4.339	.403	.205		
	3	436.691	-4.369	.406	.205		
	4	436.691	-4.369	.406	.205		
Step 3	1	431.160	-2.864	.261	.202	-.150	
	2	430.305	-3.437	.320	.225	-.169	
	3	430.303	-3.473	.324	.227	-.170	
	4	430.303	-3.473	.324	.227	-.170	
Step 4	1	425.925	-2.524	.225	.235	-.147	-.493
	2	424.898	-3.035	.272	.271	-.168	-.579
	3	424.895	-3.068	.275	.273	-.170	-.583
	4	424.895	-3.069	.275	.273	-.170	-.583

a. Method: Forward Stepwise (Likelihood Ratio)

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 476.204

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	31.914	1	.000
	Block	31.914	1	.000
	Model	31.914	1	.000
Step 2	Step	7.599	1	.006
	Block	39.513	2	.000
	Model	39.513	2	.000
Step 3	Step	6.388	1	.011
	Block	45.901	3	.000
	Model	45.901	3	.000
Step 4	Step	5.408	1	.020
	Block	51.309	4	.000
	Model	51.309	4	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	444.290 ^a	.087	.117
2	436.691 ^a	.107	.144
3	430.303 ^a	.123	.165
4	424.895 ^a	.136	.183

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	1.502	6	.959
2	4.836	8	.775
3	4.052	8	.852
4	11.402	8	.180

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

		Complain = Disloyalty		Complain = Loyalty		Total
		Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
Step 1	1	30	30.949	12	11.051	42
	2	22	21.109	16	16.891	38
	3	17	17.010	20	19.990	37
	4	22	20.188	28	29.812	50
	5	18	16.755	28	29.245	46
	6	10	11.708	26	24.292	36
	7	0	.322	1	.678	1
	8	28	28.959	72	71.041	100
Step 2	1	29	27.382	6	7.618	35
	2	18	21.521	18	14.479	36
	3	16	17.808	20	18.192	36
	4	16	15.919	20	20.081	36
	5	16	14.013	20	21.987	36
	6	16	12.507	19	22.493	35
	7	11	11.011	23	22.989	34
	8	9	10.114	26	24.886	35
	9	4	5.270	16	14.730	20
	10	12	11.455	35	35.545	47
Step 3	1	29	27.776	6	7.224	35
	2	21	20.991	13	13.009	34
	3	16	18.551	20	17.449	36
	4	16	16.492	20	19.508	36
	5	12	14.435	24	21.565	36
	6	16	12.875	20	23.125	36
	7	12	11.163	23	23.837	35
	8	8	9.450	26	24.550	34
	9	7	7.411	24	23.589	31
	10	10	7.857	27	29.143	37
Step 4	1	30	28.099	5	6.901	35
	2	19	21.769	16	13.231	35
	3	18	18.979	18	17.021	36
	4	17	16.864	19	19.136	36
	5	17	14.434	18	20.566	35
	6	15	12.536	20	22.464	35
	7	7	10.985	28	24.015	35
	8	4	7.534	24	20.466	28
	9	8	8.528	27	26.472	35
	10	12	7.271	28	32.729	40

Classification Table^a

Observed			Predicted		
			Complain		Percentage Correct
			Disloyalty	Loyalty	
Step 1	Complain	Disloyalty	52	95	35.4
		Loyalty	28	175	86.2
	Overall Percentage				64.9
Step 2	Complain	Disloyalty	53	94	36.1
		Loyalty	30	173	85.2
	Overall Percentage				64.6
Step 3	Complain	Disloyalty	62	85	42.2
		Loyalty	32	171	84.2
	Overall Percentage				66.6
Step 4	Complain	Disloyalty	66	81	44.9
		Loyalty	36	167	82.3
	Overall Percentage				66.6

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation

		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95.0% C.I. for EXP(B)	
								Lower	Upper
Step 1	PositiveE	.508	.099	26.072	1	.000	1.661	1.367	2.018
	Constant	-3.670	.795	21.316	1	.000	.025		
Step 2	Operation	.205	.075	7.456	1	.006	1.228	1.060	1.423
	PositiveE	.406	.105	14.844	1	.000	1.501	1.221	1.845
	Constant	-4.369	.849	26.452	1	.000	.013		
Step 3	Operation	.227	.076	8.827	1	.003	1.254	1.080	1.456
	PositiveE	.324	.110	8.660	1	.003	1.383	1.114	1.716
	NegativeE	-.170	.068	6.302	1	.012	.843	.738	.963
	Constant	-3.473	.918	14.308	1	.000	.031		
Step 4	Operation	.273	.080	11.731	1	.001	1.314	1.124	1.537
	PositiveE	.275	.110	6.219	1	.013	1.317	1.061	1.635
	NegativeE	-.170	.068	6.156	1	.013	.844	.738	.965
	chronic(1)	-.583	.253	5.294	1	.021	.558	.340	.917
	Constant	-3.069	.927	10.968	1	.001	.046		

- Variable(s) entered on step 1: PositiveE.
- Variable(s) entered on step 2: Operation.
- Variable(s) entered on step 3: NegativeE.
- Variable(s) entered on step 4: chronic.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	Positive E	Operation	NegativeE	Constant	Operation	Positive E	Negative E	chronic (1)
Step 1	Constant	1.000	-.990							
	PositiveE	-.990	1.000							
Step 2	Constant	1.000	-.778	-.337						
	Operation	-.337	-.316	1.000						
	PositiveE	-.778	1.000	-.316						
Step 3	Constant	1.000	-.797	-.273	-.356					
	Operation	-.273	-.328	1.000	-.125					
	PositiveE	-.797	1.000	-.328	.271					
	NegativeE	-.356	.271	-.125	1.000					
Step 4	Constant					1.000	-.228	-.798	-.358	-.158
	Operation					-.228	1.000	-.351	-.125	-.277
	PositiveE					-.798	-.351	1.000	.276	.166
	NegativeE					-.358	-.125	.276	1.000	.012
	chronic(1)					-.158	-.277	.166	.012	1.000

Model if Term Removed

Variable	Model Log Likelihood	Change in -2 Log Likelihood	df	Sig. of the Change	
Step 1 PositiveE	-238.102	31.914	1	.000	
Step 2 Operation	-222.145	7.599	1	.006	
	PositiveE	-226.824	16.956	1	.000
Step 3 Operation	-219.665	9.026	1	.003	
	PositiveE	-219.838	9.373	1	.002
	NegativeE	-218.346	6.388	1	.011
Step 4 Operation	-218.500	12.105	1	.001	
	PositiveE	-215.780	6.666	1	.010
	NegativeE	-215.574	6.252	1	.012
	chronic	-215.152	5.408	1	.020

Variables not in the Equation

Step	Variables		Score	df	Sig.		
Step 1	Variables	Material	.544	1	.461		
		Human	3.850	1	.050		
		Operation	7.652	1	.006		
		Attitude	1.761	1	.184		
		Behavior	6.785	1	.009		
		Expertise	3.046	1	.081		
		Hchange	.023	1	.880		
		Kchange	.206	1	.650		
		Bchange	.000	1	.995		
		NegativeE	5.017	1	.025		
		time	.587	1	.443		
		cost	.822	1	.365		
		age	3.139	1	.076		
		PrimE(1)	.024	1	.878		
		income	2.551	1	.110		
		chronic(1)	2.596	1	.107		
		Step 2	Variables	Material	.120	1	.729
Human	.153			1	.696		
Attitude	.894			1	.344		
Behavior	4.550			1	.033		
Expertise	2.351			1	.125		
Hchange	.038			1	.845		
Kchange	.021			1	.884		
Bchange	.018			1	.893		
NegativeE	6.490			1	.011		
time	2.875			1	.090		
cost	.851			1	.356		
age	4.939			1	.026		
PrimE(1)	.107			1	.744		
income	1.939			1	.164		
chronic(1)	5.485			1	.019		
Step 3	Variables			Material	.021	1	.885
				Human	.212	1	.645
		Attitude	.218	1	.640		
		Behavior	2.871	1	.090		
		Expertise	1.873	1	.171		
		Hchange	.230	1	.632		
		Kchange	.013	1	.909		
		Bchange	.001	1	.980		
		time	3.121	1	.077		
		cost	.799	1	.371		
		age	3.717	1	.054		
		PrimE(1)	.396	1	.529		
		income	1.710	1	.191		
		chronic(1)	5.353	1	.021		
		Step 4	Variables	Material	.023	1	.880
				Human	.199	1	.656
				Attitude	.001	1	.973
Behavior	1.590			1	.207		
Expertise	.798			1	.372		
Hchange	.290			1	.590		
Kchange	.027			1	.869		
Bchange	.084			1	.772		
time	.077			1	.781		
cost	1.697			1	.193		
age	.725			1	.395		
PrimE(1)	.000			1	.994		
income	.677			1	.411		

a. Residual Chi-Squares are not computed because of redundancies.

BIOGRAPHY

Name: Miss Rawewan Chuaybamroong
Date of Birth: May 24, 1971
Education: Bachelor of Pharmacy
Mahidol University, Thailand, 1996
Work: Instructor of Sirindhorn College of Public Health Chonburi,
since 1996