

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARIES



The standard terminologies and properties for linear codes are cursorily given in section 2.1. In section 2.2, a linear error-block code which is a generalization of the classical linear error-correcting code is introduced. The terminologies used for linear error-block codes follow those which are initiated by K. Feng, L. Xu and F. J. Hickernell in [3].

2.1 Linear Codes

Let \mathbb{F}_q^n denote the vector space of all n -tuples over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . The vector (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) in \mathbb{F}_q^n is written in the form $v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ and called a **word of length n** . Each v_i in the word $v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ is called a **digit**.

\mathbb{F}_q^n is also a metric space under the Hamming distance d_H . The **Hamming distance** $d_H(u, v)$ between words $u = u_1u_2 \dots u_n$ and $v = v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ is defined to be the number of digits which u and v differ. In other words,

$$d_H(u, v) = d_H(u_1, v_1) + d_H(u_2, v_2) + \dots + d_H(u_n, v_n),$$

where

$$d_H(u_i, v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i \neq v_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_i = v_i. \end{cases}$$

For each $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the **Hamming weight** of v , denoted $w_H(v)$, is defined to be the number of nonzero digits of v . That is, for $v = v_1v_2 \dots v_n$,

$$w_H(v) = w_H(v_1) + w_H(v_2) + \dots + w_H(v_n),$$

where

$$w_H(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

A **linear code** \mathcal{C} of length n over \mathbb{F}_q is defined to be a subspace of the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n . An element v in \mathcal{C} is called a **codeword**. If the dimension of \mathcal{C} is k , \mathcal{C} is said to be an $[n, k]$ **(linear) code** over \mathbb{F}_q .

The **minimum Hamming distance** of a linear code \mathcal{C} containing at least two codewords, denoted $d_H(\mathcal{C})$, is defined by

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_H(u, v) \mid u, v \in \mathcal{C}, u \neq v\}.$$

Similarly, the **minimum Hamming weight** of a linear code \mathcal{C} , denoted $w_H(\mathcal{C})$, is defined by

$$w_H(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w_H(v) \mid v \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

To avoid the tedious computation of $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ when the dimension (size) of a code is large, the relationship between $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ and $w_H(\mathcal{C})$ is given.

Theorem 2.1.1. *If \mathcal{C} is a linear code, then $d_H(\mathcal{C}) = w_H(\mathcal{C})$.*

A process of decoding is an algorithm to determine which codeword was sent when a word is received. Here, the nearest neighbor decoding rule will be applied. If a word r is received, r will be decoded to $c_r \in \mathcal{C}$ if the distance between r and c_r is minimal among all the codewords in \mathcal{C} .

A linear code \mathcal{C} is said to be **t -error-correcting** if the nearest neighbor decoding is able to correct t or fewer errors. The minimum Hamming distance of a linear code plays very important role in its error-correcting capability. They are related as stated in the next theorem.

Theorem 2.1.2. *A linear code \mathcal{C} is t -error-correcting if and only if*

$$d_H(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2t + 1.$$

As an $[n, k]$ code \mathcal{C} is a k -dimensional subspace of the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n , every codeword in \mathcal{C} can be uniquely written as a linear combination of a fixed basis of \mathcal{C} . Each codeword in \mathcal{C} can represent one information word, so each message $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ is encoded to the word $u\mathbf{G}$ where \mathbf{G} is a matrix whose rows form a basis for \mathcal{C} . Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{u\mathbf{G} \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q^k\}.$$

\mathbf{G} is then called a **generator matrix** for \mathcal{C} .

Since a linear code is a subspace of the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n , it is the kernel of a linear transformation. In particular, there is an $(n - k) \times n$ matrix \mathbf{H} , called a **parity-check matrix** for the $[n, k]$ code \mathcal{C} ,

$$\mathcal{C} = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{H}v^T = 0\}.$$

Notice that the rows of \mathbf{H} will also be linear independent.

The minimum Hamming distance of a linear code can be determined via its parity-check matrix as follows :

Theorem 2.1.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear code over \mathbb{F}_q with parity-check matrix \mathbf{H} . Then $d_H(\mathcal{C}) = d$ if and only if any $d - 1$ columns of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent and \mathbf{H} has d columns that are linearly dependent.*

2.2 Linear Error-Block Codes

For a given positive integer n , $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \dots [n_s]$ is called a **partition** of n if $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s$ with $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_s$. In case

$$\pi = \underbrace{[m_1] \dots [m_1]}_{l_1 \text{ copies}} \underbrace{[m_2] \dots [m_2]}_{l_2 \text{ copies}} \dots \underbrace{[m_r] \dots [m_r]}_{l_r \text{ copies}},$$

we write $\pi = [m_1]^{l_1} [m_2]^{l_2} \dots [m_r]^{l_r}$ where $m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_r$.

Given a partition $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \dots [n_s]$ of n , the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n over \mathbb{F}_q can be viewed as a product of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}$'s, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{F}_q^n = \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}.$$

Each word $v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$ can be uniquely written as $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_s$ where $v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}$ and each v_i in the word $v_1 v_2 \dots v_s$ is called a **block** in v .

In 2006, K. Feng, L. Xu and F. J. Hickernell [3] initiated a concept of π -distance which is a natural generalization of the Hamming distance. For a given partition $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \dots [n_s]$ of a positive integer n , the π -**distance** between $u = u_1 u_2 \dots u_s$ and $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_s$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$ is defined to be the number of blocks which u and v differ. In other words,

$$d_\pi(u, v) = d_{[n_1]}(u_1, v_1) + d_{[n_2]}(u_2, v_2) + \dots + d_{[n_s]}(u_s, v_s)$$

where

$$d_{[n_i]}(u_i, v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_i \neq v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_i = v_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, d_π forms a metric on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$.

Similarly, π -**weight** of v in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$ is defined to be the number of nonzero blocks in v . That is, for $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_s$,

$$w_\pi(v) = w_{[n_1]}(v_1) + w_{[n_2]}(v_2) + \dots + w_{[n_s]}(v_s)$$

where

$$w_{[n_i]}(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_i}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2.1. For given $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, the following relations can be easily shown :

- i) $w_\pi(u) - w_\pi(v) \leq w_\pi(u + v) \leq w_\pi(u) + w_\pi(v)$,
- ii) $w_\pi(u - v) = d_\pi(u, v)$,
- iii) $w_\pi(v) = w_\pi(\lambda v)$, and
- iv) $w_\pi(\lambda u + v) = w_\pi(u + \lambda^{-1}v)$.

For each partition $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \dots [n_s]$ of n , a **linear error-block code with type π over \mathbb{F}_q** is defined to be a subspace \mathcal{C} of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n_1} \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_2} \times \dots \times \mathbb{F}_q^{n_s}$.

A k -dimensional linear error-block code with type π over \mathbb{F}_q is shortly called an $[n, k; \pi]$ (**linear error-block**) code over \mathbb{F}_q .

Remark 2.2.2. A linear code of length n is a linear error-block code with type $[1]^n$.

The **minimum π -distance** of a linear error-block code \mathcal{C} , denoted $d_\pi(\mathcal{C})$, is defined by

$$d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_\pi(u, v) \mid u, v \in \mathcal{C}, u \neq v\}.$$

Similarly, the **minimum π -weight** of a linear error-block code \mathcal{C} , denoted $w_\pi(\mathcal{C})$, is defined by

$$w_\pi(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w_\pi(v) \mid v \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

To rapidly compute $d_\pi(\mathcal{C})$ when the size of code is large, the relationship between $d_\pi(\mathcal{C})$ and $w_\pi(\mathcal{C})$ is given.

Theorem 2.2.1. *If \mathcal{C} is a linear error-block code with type π , then*

$$d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) = w_\pi(\mathcal{C}).$$

An $[n, k; \pi]$ code \mathcal{C} with minimum π -distance d will be denoted by $[n, k, d; \pi]$ **code** where n, k, π and d are called **parameters** of the linear error-block code \mathcal{C} .

A linear error-block code \mathcal{C} is said to be **t -error-block-correcting** if the nearest neighbor decoding is able to correct t or fewer error-blocks.

The minimum π -distance of a linear error-block code performs its error-correction.

Theorem 2.2.2. *A linear error-block code \mathcal{C} is t -error-block-correcting if and only if $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) \geq 2t + 1$.*

As in the classical case, a generator matrix for an $[n, k; \pi]$ code \mathcal{C} is also defined to be any $k \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} whose rows form a basis for \mathcal{C} . Since \mathcal{C} is an error-block code, a generator matrix for \mathcal{C} can be viewed in blocks depending on the partition π as follows: Let $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \cdots [n_s]$. A **generator matrix** for \mathcal{C} is a matrix $[G_1 \ G_2 \ \cdots \ G_s]$ where each G_i is a $k \times n_i$ matrix and

$$[G_1 \ G_2 \ \cdots \ G_s] = \mathbf{G}.$$

The G_i is called the i^{th} **block** in \mathbf{G} .

A parity-check matrix for \mathcal{C} is defined in term of a parity-check matrix for a linear code in the same fashion. That is for a parity-check matrix \mathbf{H} for \mathcal{C} considered as a linear code, $[H_1 \ H_2 \ \cdots \ H_s]$ is a **parity-check matrix** for the linear error-block code \mathcal{C} if each H_i is an $(n - k) \times n_i$ matrix and $[H_1 \ H_2 \ \cdots \ H_s] = \mathbf{H}$. Each H_i is called the i^{th} **block** in \mathbf{H} . Consequently,

$$0 = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{G}^T = H_1G_1^T + H_2G_2^T + \cdots + H_sG_s^T.$$

As we study parity-check matrix in blocks, the set of blocks $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_t}$ in $\mathbf{H} = [H_1 \ H_2 \ \cdots \ H_s]$ is said to be **linearly independent** if the union of all column vectors in $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_t}$ is a linearly independent set. Otherwise, we say that the set of blocks $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_t}$ is **linearly dependent**.

Remark 2.2.3. i) Blocks $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_l}$ in \mathbf{H} are linearly independent if

$$H_{i_1}v_{i_1}^T + H_{i_2}v_{i_2}^T + \dots + H_{i_l}v_{i_l}^T = 0$$

implies that $v_{i_j} = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_{i_j}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

ii) Blocks $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_l}$ in \mathbf{H} are linearly dependent if there is $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $v_{i_r} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_{i_r}}$ and

$$H_{i_1}v_{i_1}^T + \dots + H_{i_r}v_{i_r}^T + \dots + H_{i_l}v_{i_l}^T = 0$$

where $v_{i_j} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_{i_j}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$.

The minimum π -distance of a linear error-block code is determined using a parity-check matrix as follows :

Theorem 2.2.3. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k; \pi]$ code over \mathbb{F}_q with type $\pi = [n_1][n_2] \dots [n_s]$ and $\mathbf{H} = [H_1 \ H_2 \ \dots \ H_s]$ parity-check matrix for \mathcal{C} . Then $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) = d$ if and only if any $d - 1$ blocks of \mathbf{H} are linearly independent and \mathbf{H} has d blocks that are linearly dependent.

Proof. It suffices to show the following two assertions :

i) $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) \geq d$ if and only if any $d - 1$ blocks in \mathbf{H} are linearly independent.

ii) $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) \leq d$ if and only if \mathbf{H} has d blocks that are linearly dependent.

\mathcal{C} contains a nonzero word $v = v_1v_2 \dots v_s$ of π -weight l (where $0 \neq v_{i_j} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_{i_j}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and $v_{i_j} = 0 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n_{i_j}}$ otherwise) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathbf{H}v^T &= H_1v_1^T + H_2v_2^T + \dots + H_s v_s^T \\ &= H_{i_1}v_{i_1}^T + H_{i_2}v_{i_2}^T + \dots + H_{i_l}v_{i_l}^T \end{aligned}$$

which is true if and only if there are l blocks in \mathbf{H} that are linearly dependent, say $H_{i_1}, H_{i_2}, \dots, H_{i_l}$ are linearly dependent.

Thus $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) \geq d$ if and only if \mathcal{C} does not contain any nonzero words of π -weight $\leq d - 1$ if and only if $\leq d - 1$ blocks in \mathbf{H} are linearly independent. This proves i).

Similarly, $d_\pi(\mathcal{C}) \leq d$ if and only if \mathcal{C} contains a nonzero word of π -weight $\leq d$ if and only if \mathbf{H} has $\leq d$ blocks (and hence d blocks) that are linearly dependent. This proves ii). \square

Theorem 2.2.2 indicates that error-correcting capacity depends deeply on how the length of code words is partitioned. Next example illustrates this fact.

Example 2.2.1. Consider a parity-check matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

of a linear code \mathcal{C} . By Theorems 2.1.2 and 2.1.3, \mathcal{C} is a 1-error correcting linear code of length 7. Thus \mathcal{C} cannot correct any 2-errors. But by viewing \mathcal{C} as an $[7, 3; [2]^2[1]^3]$ codes, it can correct 2-errors which occur in the 1st and 2nd positions even though this new code is also 1-error-block-correcting code. This is because they are in the same first block. Similarly, it can also correct 2-errors which occur in the 3rd and 4th positions.