MICROEMULSION FORMATION BY MIXED ANIONIC AND CATIONIC SURFACTANTS



Ms. Manisa Ubolsuk

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements

For the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University

in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,

And Case Western Reserve University

2003

ISBN 974-17-2286-9

Thesis Title:

Microemulsion Formation by Mixed Anionic and Cationic

Surfactants.

By:

Ms. Manisa Ubolsuk

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisor:

Dr. Boonyarach Kitiyanan

Prof. David A. Sabatini

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

K. Bunyawat.

College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Kunchana Bunyakiat)

Thesis Committee:

Boonyarach Kitiyanan

(Dr. Boonyarach Kitiyanan)

(Prof. David A. Sabatini)

(Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

Ramouh R.

(Asst. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

บทคัดย่อ

มณิศา อุบลสุข: การเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั้นโดยการผสมระหว่างสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคท ไอออนและชนิดแอนไอออน (Microemulsion Formation by Mixed Anionic and Cationic Surfactants) อ.ที่ปรึกษา : ศ.เควิด เอ ซาบาตินิ และ คร.บุนยรัชต์ กิติยานันท์ 66 หน้า ISBN 974-17-2286-9

สารผสมระหว่างสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและชนิดแอนไอออนมีการส่งเสริม การทำงานร่วมกันแต่มีข้อเสียคือโดยทั่วไปต้องเติมแอลกองอล์ในการเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั้นโดยการ ผสมระหว่างสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออน และชนิดแอนไอออนเพื่อป้องกันการตกตะกอน ในงานวิจัยนี้ศึกษาบทบาทรูปร่างโมเลกุลของสารลคแรงตึงผิวในการผสมระหว่างสารลคแรงตึง ผิวชนิดแคท ใอออนและชนิดแอน ใอออน โดยปราสจากแอลกอฮอล์ และเนื่องจากการผสม ระหว่างสารลคแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและชนิดแอนไอออนตกตะกอนง่าย ดังนั้นจึงได้ทำการ ศึกษาแผนภาพแสดงการเปลี่ยนสถานะของตะกอน ลักษณะของไมโครอิมัลชั้นสำหรับระบบ SDS-DTAB, SDS-CTAB, SDS-DDAB, SDS-DTDACI, DTAB-AOT, DTAB-AMA, DTAB-AAY, และ DTAB-8390 ถูกศึกษาโดยใช้ปริมาณเท่ากันของน้ำและ hexane ที่อุณหภูมิ 25 องศาเซลเซียส สารผสมระหว่างสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและแอนไอออนซึ่งมีรูปร่าง โมเลกุลแตกต่างกันสามารถป้องกันการตกตะกอนและทำให้เกิดไมโครอิมัลชั้นโดยปราศจาก แอลกอฮอล์ได้ดังสมมติฐาน นอกจากนั้นสัคส่วนของสารลคแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและชนิด แอนไอออนเข้าใก้ลหนึ่งสำหรับสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดโมโนวาเลนท์ เมื่อใช้น้ำมันที่มีความเป็น ไฮโครโฟบิคเพิ่มขึ้น จาก TCE เป็น hexane เป็น hexadecane มิคเคิลเฟสไมโครอิมัลชั้น สำหรับการผสมระหว่างสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและชนิดแอนไอออนชนิดไควาเลนท์ และโมโนวาเลนท์เกิดที่สัดส่วน 2:1 ของสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแคทไอออนและชนิดแอนไอออน ซึ่งจากการศึกษานี้สามารถนำไปประยุกต์ใช้ในการเกิดไมโครอิมัลชันโดยการเลือกใช้รูปร่างของ สารลดแรงตึ้งผิวให้เหมาะสม

ABSTRACT

4471013063 : PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

Manisa Ubolsuk: Microemulsion Formation by Mixed Anionic and

Cationic Surfactants

Thesis Advisors: Prof. David A. Sabatini and Dr. Boonyarach

Kitiyanan, 66 pp. ISBN 974-17-2286-9

Keywords : Precipitation/ Microemulsion/ Anionic and Cationic Surfactant/

Mixtures

Anionic and cationic surfactant mixtures typically show synergistic behavior. For microemulsion formation, alcohol is normally added to the system as a cosurfactant in anionic and cationic surfactant mixtures to avoid precipitation or formation of other rigid surfactant structures. This research investigated the role of surfactant structure in formulating alcohol-free anionic and cationic microemulsions. Since anionic and cationic surfactant mixtures are easily precipitated, the precipitation phase diagram was also investigated. Microemulsion phase behavior was studied for SDS-DTAB, SDS-CTAB, SDS-DDAB, SDS-DTDACI, DTAB-AOT, DTAB-AMA, DTAB-AAY, and DTAB-Dowfax8390 systems in equal volumes of water and hexane at 25°C. As expected, anionic and cationic surfactant mixtures having asymmetric tails successfully avoided liquid crystal formation and formed an alcohol-free middle phase microemulsions. Furthermore, the molar ratio of cationic to anionic surfactant approached one for monovalent surfactants with increasing oil hydrophobicity (i.e. from TCE to hexane to hexadecane). For a mixed monovalent cationic and divalent anionic surfactant, the middle phase microemulsion was formed at a 2:1 mole ratio of cationic:anionic surfactant. This knowledge will helpful in formulating microemulsions by manipulation of the surfactant structure of mixed anionic and cationic surfactants.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My first great appreciation goes to Prof. David A. Sabatini, who is my thesis advisor, for his guidance, discerning discussion and encouragement throughout my work. Without his professional advice, this thesis would never have been successful. I would also like to express my great gratitude to Tuan Doan and Edgar Acosta for their discussions and suggestions.

I would like to give my gratefulness to Dr.Boonyarach Kitiyanan to be my advisor. Thanks are also extended to Chantra and Ummarawadee, two doctoral students, and Anuradee, graduate student for their willing help, suggestions and discussions along the way doing the research.

I would also like to acknowledge Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej and Asst. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit for their kind advice and serving as my thesis committee.

I would like to thank Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology (PPT Consortium) for partially fund.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all off my friends for their friendly help, cheerfulness, and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my parent for their understanding and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	Title Page	i
	Abstract (in English)	iii
	Abstract (in Thai)	iv
	Acknowledgements	V
	Table of Contents	vi
	List of Tables	ix
	List of Figures	xi
CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE SURVEY	3
	2.1 Surfactants	3
	2.2 Anionic and Cationic Surfactant Mixtures	4
	2.3 Microemulsion Systems	10
	2.4 Interfacial Tension	11
	2.5 Solublization Parameter	12
	2.6 Microemulsion with Anionic and Cationic Surfactant Mixtures	14
Ш	EXPERIMENTAL	17
	3.1 Materials	17
	3.2 Experimental	19
	3.2.1 Precipitation Boundary	19
	3.2.2 Microemulsion Formation	19
	3.2.3 Measurement of Microemulsion Interfacial Tension	20

CHAPTER		PAGE
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	21
	4.1 Precipitation of Anionic and Cationic Surfactant Mixtures	21
	4.1.1 Precipitation Phase Boundaries	21
	4.1.2 Effect of Electrolyte	24
	4.2 Effect of Cationic Surfactant Structures in Microemulsion	26
	Formation by Mixed Surfactants.	
	4.2.1 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of SDS-DTAB	26
	System	
	4.2.2 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of SDS-CTAB	29
	System	
	4.2.3 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of SDS-DDAB	32
	System	
	4.2.4 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of SDS- DTDACl	35
	System	
	4.3 Effect of Anionic Surfactant Structures in Microemulsion	37
	Formation by Mixed Surfactants	
	4.3.1 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of DTAB-AOT	37
	System	
	4.3.2 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of DTAB-AMA	38
	System	
	4.3.3 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of DTAB-AAY	40
	System	
	4.3.4 Microemulsion Phase Behavior of DTAB-	41
	Dowfax8390 System	
	4.4 Effect of Hydrophobicity of Oils in Anionic and Cationic	43
	Surfactant Mixture Microemulsion Formation	
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	45
	5.1 Conclusions	45

	PAGE
5.2 Recommendations	46
REFERENCES	47
APPENDICES	50
Appendix A	50
Appendix B	51
Appendix C	64
CURRICULUM VITAE	66

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
3.1	Chemical structure and molecular weight of the studied surfactants	18
3.2	Properties and chemical structure of the studied oils	19
4.1	Calculated crystallite sizes of the studied catalysts	29
A	Precipitation phase behavior at different cationic surfactant concentration ratio	46
B-1a	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	47
	SDS-DTAB in hexane	
B-1b	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	48
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	SDS-CTAB in hexane	
B-1c	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	49
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	SDS-DDAB in hexane	
B-1d	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	50
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	SDS-DTDACl in hexane	
B-2a	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	51
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-AOT in hexane	
B-2b	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	52
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-AMA in hexane	
B-2c	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	53
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-AAY in hexane	

TABLE		PAGE
B-2d	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	54
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-Dowfax8390 in hexane	
B-3a	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	55
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-AAY in hexadecane	
B-3b	Winsor type of microemulsion and phase volume at different	56
	cationic surfactant concentration ratio for microemulsion of	
	DTAB-AAY in TCE	
C	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	60
	microemulsion phase for varying cationic surfactant mole ratio	
	scan at 0.05 M total surfactant concentration	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Structure of surfactant molecule.	3
2.2	Schematic of the precipitation phase diagram in a mixture of an anionic and a cationic surfactant.	4
2.3	Schematic of the equilibrium in a micellar system containing anionic surfactant and cationic surfactant which is forming precipitate.	5
2.4	Schematic illustration of the progression of microemulsion phase equilibrium and interfacial tension from equal volume of an aqueous phase and an oil phase with varying variables.	11
2.5	Solubilization parameter as a function of salinity.	13
2.6	Schematic illustrating the conceptual of anionic and cationic surfactants interactions.	
4.1	Precipitation Phase Boundaries of no added salt systems: SDS-DPCl, SDS-CTAB, and SDS-DTDACl.	22
4.2	Comparison between precipitation phase diagrams of SDS-DPCL with no added salt and 0.15 M NaCl.	25
4.3	The interfacial tension between excess phase and microemulsion phase of SDS-DTAB system as a function of cationic surfactant fraction.	28
4.4	Volume fractions of SDS-CTAB system as a function of cationic surfactant fraction.	31
4.5	Oil and water solubilization parameters of SDS-CTAB system as a function of cationic surfactant fraction.	32
4.6	The interfacial tension between excess phase and microemulsion phase of SDS-DDAB system as a function of cationic surfactant fraction.	34

FIGURE		PAGE
4.7	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	36
	microemulsion phase of SDS-DTDACl system as a function of	
	cationic surfactant fraction.	
4.8	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	39
	microemulsion phase of DTAB-AOT system as a function of	
	cationic surfactant fraction.	
4.9	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	39
	microemulsion phase of DTAB-AMA system as a function of	
	cationic surfactant fraction.	
4.10	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	40
	microemulsion phase of DTAB-AAY system as function of	
	cationic surfactant fraction.	
4.11	The interfacial tension between excess phase and	42
	microemulsion phase of DTAB-Dowfax8390 system as function	
	of cationic surfactant fraction.	
4.12	Comparison the IFT values of each system for DTAB with	42
	various anionic surfactants, AOT, AAY, AMA, SDS, and	
	Dowfax8390.	
4.13	Effect of hydrophobicity of oils on DTAB-AAY systems.	44