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NATTHAPANINEE THANOMSRIDETCHAI: EXPRESSION OF SPECIFIC PROTEIN(S) IN BRAIN, BRAINSTEM AND SPINAL CORD OF RABIES INFECTED DOGS. ADVISOR: PROF. THIRAVAT HEMACHUDHA, M.D. CO-ADVISOR: SITTIRUK ROYTRAKUL, Ph.D., 81 pp.

Furious and paralytic forms of rabies are unique clinical entities. They have been recognized particularly in rabies infected humans and dogs. The underlying mechanisms remained unclear. . We investigated host responses as determined by changes in the cellular proteome of nervous tissue samples from naturally rabies infected furious and paralytic dogs during late stage as compared to non-infected controls. Proteins were extracted from these tissues and analyzed by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE). These proteins were then identified by quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry and tandem mass spectrometry (Q-TOF MS and MS/MS) and were validated by real-time PCR. From $>1,000$ protein spots visualized in each gel, spot matching, quantitative intensity analysis and ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons revealed 32,49 and 67 protein spots that were differentially expressed among the three clinical groups in hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord, respectively., including anti-oxidants, apoptosisrelated proteins, cytoskeletal proteins, heat shock proteins/ chaperones, immune regulatory proteins, metabolic enzymes, neuron-specific proteins, transcription/translation regulators, ubiquitination/proteasome-related proteins, vesicular transport proteins, and hypothetical proteins. Among these, 13, 17 and 41 proteins in hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord, respectively, significantly differed between paralytic and furious forms, and thus may potentially be biomarkers to differentiate these two distinct forms of rabies. In summary, we report herein for the first time a large dataset of changes in proteomes of hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord in dogs naturally infected with rabies. These data will be useful for better understanding of molecular mechanisms of rabies and for differentiation of its paralytic and furious forms.
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## CHAPTER I <br> INTRODUCTION

## 1. Background and Rationale

Rabies remains an enigma. Almost a universally fatal outcome is expected once symptoms and signs develop. Three survivors with nonsignificant sequelae or none at all have been reported and associated with bat variants (Hattwick et al., 1972; Willoughby et al., 2005; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)., 2010). Human patients associated with dog variants exhibited more unique clinical manifestations than those with bats in the form of furious and paralytic rabies. A more pronounced suppression of immune response to rabies virus has been shown in dog- than bat-related cases (Hemachudha et al., 2002). None of the cases associated with dog viruses in Thailand, Cambodia and Africa were cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) positive for rabies antibody (Hemachudha et al., 2000; Dacheux et al., 2008). The development of serum rabies antibody is also unpredictable as compared to the bat related cases. Serum and CSF rabies antibody appeared with time of survival in the latter (Hemachudha, 1994).

Rabies in humans can be categorized in two forms: classic (furious and paralytic rabies) and non-classic or atypical rabies. All forms are progressive to coma and death usually within 14 days without intensive care support (Hemachudha et al., 2002). The majority of the cases present as furious rabies, with hydrophobia and hyper-excitability. Paralytic rabies present with flaccid muscle weakness. The pathogenesis underlying these two clinical forms remains to be elucidated. The non-classic or atypical rabies usually occurs following exposure to the bite of a bat, but has also been described in association with dog variants whereas the classic form is associated with dog variants (Hemachudha et al., 2006). The two classical forms of rabies share a similar pattern of regional viral antigen distribution in the central nervous
system (CNS) with a predilection of brainstem and spinal cord during the early clinical phase. Analysis of the nucleocapsid (N) and glycoprotein (G) and phosphoprotein ( P ) genes of rabies viruses from 2 furious and 2 paralytic rabies patients demonstrated no specific genetic or amino-acid pattern (Hemachudha et al., 2003). Both furious and paralytic rabies patients remain alert until the pre-terminal stage; functions of brainstem remain intact almost throughout the whole course or until the pre-terminal phase. Analysis of regional distribution of rabies viral antigen in the CNS of human rabies patients of both forms revealed similar pattern. The site of the infecting bites in these patients did not have any influence on the distribution of antigen. Rabies viral antigen preferentially localized in the spinal cord and brainstem and basal ganglia and thalamus if the survival period was less than 7 days.

Similar findings were found in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study; spinal cord, brainstem and midline structures were involved predominantly in both forms. It has been shown that limb weakness in paralytic rabies patient was explained by peripheral nerve dysfunction based on serial electrophysiologic examination prior to coma stage (Laothamatas et al., 2003). In case of non comatose furious rabies patients, anterior horn cell dysfunction in the spinal cord can be observed. These patients do not exhibit any demonstrable weakness of the arms and legs. Innate immune responses in the brains of paralytic dogs have been greater than furious dogs which inversely correlated with the viral amount in the brains. Disturbances of MRI signals in the brains are greater in the case of paralytic than furious rabies infected patients and dogs (Laothamatas et al., 2003, 2008). The faster time to death is also another characteristic of furious rabies. Despite dissimilarity among clinical manifestations, imaging features, clinical courses and amount of viral load in the brain, they share similar pathologies of the CNS, including scant inflammation (Hemachudha et al., 2002; Laothamatas et al., 2003; Mitrabhakdi et al., 2005). Inflammation may be truly lacking or invading cells became
apoptotic, thus, unable to be demonstrated (Laothamatas et al., 2003; Mitrabhakdi et al., 2005).

Preservation of the integrity of infected neurons is essential for the virus to propagate from periphery to the CNS, particularly spinal cord and brainstem pathways. The pathogenicity of a particular strain correlates inversely with its ability to induce apoptosis. Apoptosis may be a protective rather than a pathogenetic mechanism, less pathogenic viruses induced more apoptosis than more pathogenic viruses. Lack of apoptosis in the CNS has been shown to be a marker for virulence of wild-type or street rabies virus in order to escape immune recognition and to facilitate spreading (Yan et al., 2001; Sarmento et al., 2005 ; Jackson et al., 2008 ; Suja et al., 2009 ; Préhaud et al., 2010).

In contrast, fixed virus, such as the challenged virus standard (CVS) strain, induces marked degree of/apoptosis in the infected neurons (Jackson et al., 1997, 1998; Morimoto et al., 1999; Weli et al., 2006). Intriguingly, neurons of different regions display diverse degrees of resistance to cell death. It has been demonstrated that motor neurons of spinal cord resist to apoptosis and cytolysis, and remain functioning several days after CVS infection. However, hippocampal neurons become apoptotic shortly after the infection (Guigoni and Coulon, 2002). Midline CNS structures, i.e. thalamus, brainstem, basal ganglia and spinal cord, have been shown to be preferentially infected with rabies in both humans and dogs (Tirawatnpong et al., 1989; Laothamatas et al., 2003, 2008). Therefore, the survival of neurons may depend not only on the viral strain but also on differential site-specific responses.

In this study, naturally rabies infected dogs, both furious and paralytic forms, were used for proteomics analysis. Three regions of CNS; hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord, were compared by Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) combined with MALDI quadrupole time-of-flight (MALDI Q-TOF) mass spectrometry. Site-specific responses were analyzed.

Hopefully, a greater understanding of the host responses and effect of viral infection upon neurophysiology and homeostasis will be achieved. The study will be performed in suitable experimented model. Result of the study may provide insights into the pathogenesis mechanisms, by which viral infection leads to disease development and may explain different clinical characteristics between furious and paralytic rabies.

## 2. Research Question

Are there any differences in the expression of protein(s) in brain, brainstem and spinal cord of rabies infected dogs based on proteomic study?

## 3. Objective of the Study

To display and analyze in the expression of protein(s) in brain, brainstem and spinal cord of rabies infected and non-rabies infected dogs.

## 4. Hypothesis

There are differences in the expression of protein(s) in brain, brainstem and spinal cord of furious and paralytic dogs.

## 5. Key Words

Brainstem; Furious; Hippocampus; Paralytic; Proteomics; Rabies; Spinal cord

## 6. Expected Benefits and Applications

This is proof that there are alterations or differences in the expression of protein(s) that is (are) specific in brain, brainstem and spinal cord of furious
and paralytic rabies infected dogs, compared to non-rabies infected group. The result of this study should also demonstrate how host responds to viral infection which may lead to pathogenetic mechanisms.

## CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE REVIEW

## Genomic organization of rabies virus

Rabies virus (RV) is a highly neurotropic virus that is classified in the Rhabdoviridae family of the Mononegavirale order of viruses. It is further divided into the Lyssavirus genus (Murphy et al., 1995). The negative, singlestranded genome is nonsegmented RNA that is approximately 12 kb (Mayo and Pringle, 1997). The rabies genome encodes 5 structural proteins of the virus particle (virion) include: nucleoprotein (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix protein (M), glycoprotein (G) and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase or large protein (L). The ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) is composed of the genomic RNA intimately associated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{L}$ and P proteins. This complex ensures a functional template for transcription and replication. A layer of $M$ protein covers this complex structure. The glycoprotein forms are tightly arranged above the virion surface (CDC).


Figure 1. Structure of rabies virus (CDC)

## Pathogenesis of rabies virus

Rabies virus is transmitted via the bite of a rabid animal which shed infectious virus with their saliva. Rabies virus enters the body through transdermal inoculation (i.e. wounds) or direct contact of infectious material (i.e. saliva, cerebrospinal liquid, nerve tissue) to mucous membranes or skin lesions. The virus remains close to the site of exposure for the majority of the long incubation period, which usually lasts from less than 7 days to more than 6 years (Smith et al., 1991) depending on the amount of virus in the saliva, the site of inoculation and the virus strain. After entry, rabies virus binds to the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor in muscle (Lentz et al., 1982), which is expressed on the postsynaptic membrane of the neuromuscular junction. After budding from the plasma membrane of muscle cell, virus is taken up into
unmyelinated nerve endings at the neuromuscular junctions or at the muscle spindles.Viruses may replicate within striated muscle cells or directly infect nerve cells (Murphy and Bauer, 1974). The virus then travels to CNS via retrograde fast axonal transport at 8 to $20 \mathrm{~mm} /$ day mechanisms (Wilson et al., 1975). Both motor and sensory fibres may be involved depending on the animal infected (Murphy, 1977). Once the virus has reached the CNS, rapid virus replication takes place, causing pathologic effects on nerve cell. The virus then moves from the CNS via anterograde axoplasmic flow within peripheral nerves, leading to centrifugal spread along peripheral nerves to other tissue, such as salivary glands, liver, muscle, skin, adrenal glands, and heart. Rabies virus replications in acinar cells of salivary glands result in viral excretion in the saliva of rabid animals.


Figure 2. Schematic diagram showing the sequential steps in the pathogenesis of rabies after an animal bite (Jackson AC, 2008).

## Clinical Manifestations

During the acute neurological phase, clinical features in human rabies can be distinguished as classic (encephalitic or furious and paralytic or dumb) and non-classic or atypical forms. Almost a universally fatal outcome is
expected once symptoms and signs develop. Human patients associated with dog variants exhibited more unique clinical manifestations than those with bats in the form of furious and paralytic rabies.

Different anatomical involvement of the nervous system has been shown in the case of furious and paralytic rabies. Brainstem, thalamus, basal ganglia and spinal cord are preferential sites of rabies viral infection in both forms during the early stage of illness. Access of the virus to the CNS does not necessarily lead to rapid development of symptoms and death. Furious rabies patient remains alert until the pre-terminal phase and does not exhibit any demonstrable weakness of the arms and legs until the patient lapses into coma (Mitrabhakdi et al., 2005). Pattern of consciousness of paralytic rabies patient is similar with none or minimal signs of aggression and phobic spasms. Weakness of the extremities, caused by demyelin- or axonopathy, is the initial presentation in this form of rabies. During pre-terminal or comatose phase, these 2 different forms are indistinguishable.

## Neuronal dysfunction and death

Rabies is characterized by severe neurologic signs with relatively mild neuropathologic lesions. Mechanisms of neuronal dysfunctions that occur in natural rabies are still not understood.

## Effects on ion channels

RV infection might have effects on transmembrane ion channel activity. RC-HL strain infected cultured mouse neuroblastoma cells show a reduction in functional expression of both voltage-dependent $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels and inward rectifier $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channels without changing that of delayed rectifier $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel by using the whole-cell patch clamp technique (Iwata et al, 1999). Another study in NG108-15 cells, RC-HL strain infection does not found to alter the
functional expression of voltage-dependent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ channels, but it attenuates the $\alpha 2$-adrenoreceptors-mediated inhibition of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ channel activity (Iwata et al., 2000). These results provide evidence for possible involvement of the change in membrane properties in functional impairment.

Proteomic profiling on brain homogenates in ICR mice infected with attenuated CVS-B2C or wild type silver-haired bat rabies virus found that the expression of proteins involved in ion homeostasis was altered. Upregulation of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ATPase and $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase as well as downregulation of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ATPase were discussed alter infection with SHBRV. And there was downregulation of proteins relevant to synaptic physiology, which is involved in docking and fusion of synaptic vesicles to the presynaptic membrane (Dhingra et al., 2007).

## Neuronal death

Rabies virus may induce neuronal death, possibly through apoptotic mechanisms. Lack of apoptosis in the CNS has been shown to be a marker of virulence of wild type or street rabies virus to avoid immune recognition and to facilitate spreading. The street rabies virus (silver-haired bat rabies virus, SHBRV) induced only mild histological changes and little or no Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP-biotin nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining in the brain of ICR mice on intracerebral injection (Yan et al., 2001). Statistical analyses revealed that the number of apoptotic cells in primary neuronal cultures and mice infected with SHBRV was not significantly different from the number in uninfected neurons or sham-infected animals by either test. Despite rabies antigen was detected in almost all in the spinal cord, little apoptosis was detected in the spinal cord or in the brain of mice infected with $10^{3}$ ffu of SHBRV (Sarmento et al., 2005). In paraffin-embedded brain tissues of 12 cases postmortem human rabies did not demonstrate morphological features of neuronal apoptosis and TUNEL staining. Similarly, immunostained activated caspase-3 was not seen in neurons, but prominently
stained the processes of microglia (Jackson et al., 2008). The ability of the street rabies virus to activate apoptosis in nerve cells was studied in 10 brains of adult dogs by determining the DNA fragmentation and TUNEL technique. The result did not undergo apoptosis in these experiments (Suja et al., 2009).

On the contrary, fixed viruses induced marked degree of apoptosis of infected neurons. CVS strain has been observed to induce apoptotic cell death in rat prostatic adenocarcinoma (AT3) cells (Jackson and Rossiter, 1997). Characteristic morphologic features of apoptosis and evidence of oligonucleosomal DNA fragmentation was demonstrated by TUNEL staining. Higher expression of the Bax protein was decreased. Whereas, CVS-infected Bcl-2-transfected AT3 cells did not demonstrate these features. In primary culture of mouse cortical and hippocampus neurons showed that expression of activated caspase 3 and TUNEL positive staining was observed in CVSinfected neurons by 24 h p.i. and later increased (Weli et al., 2006). The experimental in CVS-11 rabies virus-infected mouse neuroblastoma cells underwent chromatin condensation (DAPI staining) and DNA fragmentation within 48 h post-infection, more evident at 72 h . An increased level of Bax, the apoptotic enhancer, was detected within 24 h after infection. In contrast, Bcl-2, the apoptotic antagonist, remained unchanged. Shortly after detection of Bax, caspase 1 (ICE) was upregulated. And after that, poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) (the DNA repair enzyme) was significantly degraded (Ubol et al., 1998).

For the attenuated strain Evelyn Rotnycki Abelseth (ERA) also infects nonneuronal cells. In these reports, both rabies virus strains (CVS and ERA) infect activated murine lymphocytes and the human lymphoblastoid Jurkat Tcell line. In contrast to that of the CVS strain, ERA viral replication, is concomitant with viral glycoprotein expression, induces apoptosis of infected Jurkat T cells that demonstrated increased in cell mortality by flow cytometry, TUNEL positive and DNA electrophoresis fragmentation (Thoulouze et al.,
1997). Unlike pathogenic CVS strain, attenuated ERA strain triggers Annexin V staining. Furthermore, they observed the induction of TUNEL staining of the human lymphoblastoid cell line Jurkat rtTA (Préhaud et al., 2003). ERAinfection induce not only caspase-dependent apoptosis (pro-caspases-3, -8, and -9) in the human lymphoblastoid Jurkat T cell line (Jurkat-vect), but also a caspase-independent pathway involving the apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF). Caspase activation was detected in a higher proportion of cells infected with ERA (20 to 55\% of cultured cells) than of CVS-infected cells (7 to $18 \%$ of cultured cells). AIF translocation immunostaining was induced in cultures but does not occur in all apoptotic cells (Thoulouze et al., 2003). In BSR cells infected with CVS-B2C, TUNEL staining showed that many apoptotic cells were detected in cells. For caspase activity assays, infection with CVS-B2C caused a $24 \%$ increase in total caspase activities over the negative controls infection with CVS-B2C resulted in a $14 \%$ and a $21 \%$ increase in caspase- 3 and -8 activities, respectively. Caspase-9 activity increased only 4.4\% indicating that the induction of apoptosis by CVS-B2C may involve an extrinsic apoptotic pathway. The 85 kDa cleaved fragment of PARP was detected. In addition, AIF was upregulated and translocated from the cytosol to the nucleus. Therefore, these results suggest that CVS-B2C induces apoptosis through caspase-dependent and caspase-independent pathways (Sarmento et al., 2005).

In animal models, prominent apoptotic death of neurons has been observed in the brains of mice of various ages inoculated intracerebrally with the CVS strain of fixed RV. 6-week-old ICR mice inoculated intracerebrally with CVS (7 days) LM shown a typical apoptotic morphology, EM Multiple condensations of nuclear chromatin cytoplasmic shrinkage most marked changes in cortical neurons and in pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus, TUNEL prominent in pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus and in cortical neurons less in the cerebellum (despite strong immunostaining for rabies virus
antigen), Immunostaining for the Bax protein (+) in pyramidal neurons of the hippocampus and cortical neurons (Weli et al., 2006; Jackson and Park, 1999).

Intriguingly, neurons of different regions display diverse degree of resistance to cell death process. It has been demonstrated that motoneurons of spinal cord, despite the massive infection, resist to apoptosis and cytolysis and remained functioning over a period of 7 days after CVS infection, whereas $70 \%$ of infected hippocampal neurons became apoptotic and died within 3 days. Moreover, axons of rabies infected motoneuron were elongated indicating that metabolic activity was maintained in these infected cells. In contrast, hippocampus neurons were apoptotic shortly after infection (Guigoni and Coulon, 2002). The reasons for these site-specific differences are not clear. Therefore, even neurons from the same region of the brain can respond in different ways to virus infection. This may reflect an inherent heterogeneity in the motor neuron population or differential virus exposure. Based on the results reported here, it may be assumed that different populations of neurons, especially in spinal cord motor neurons, respond by the different mechanism when exposed to the stimulus.

Midline CNS structures, thalamus, brainstem, basal ganglia, and spinal cord have been shown to be preferentially infected in rabies infected patients and dogs (Laothamatas, 2003, 2008, Tirawatnpong, 1989). Therefore, survival of neurons may not be depending solely on the nature of the viral stain. Differential site-specific responses may also play role. Cytochrome c leakage in the cytoplasm representing early stage of mitochondrial cell death has been demonstrated in degree of order from cortices of the brain, brainstem and spinal cord of 10 rabies pateints. Spinal cord and brainstem are heavily infected as compared to the higher levels (Juntrakul et al., 2005).

## Neurotoxicity

Nitric oxide (NO) generated by Nitric oxide synthase (NOS). Different types of NO-producting enzymes have been found in the CNS. There are three known isoforms, two are constitutive (cNOS): Endothelial NOS (eNOS) and Neuronal NOS (nNOS) and the third is inducible (iNOS). During CVS-infected rats, the activity of cNOS significantly decreased without a neuronal loss (Akaike et al., 1995). Increased expression of eNOS was detected in neuron of cattle brain with natural rabies infection. Moreover, these studies demonstrated that the co-localization of eNOS and rabies neucleoprotein in inclusion bodies (Negri bodies). The result suggest that eNOS is involved in the formation of rabies inclusion bodies (Shin et al., 2004). The level of NO was determined directly in the CNS of rats infected with rabies virus. Using spin trapping of NO and electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, the result show that amounts of NO (up to 30-fold more than controls) are elaborated and correlated with the onset of clinical signs and the clinical progression of disease (Hooper et al., 1995). Upregulation of the iNOS gene has been observed in rat brain that was experimentally infected with rabies virus (Van Dam, 1995). The level of iNOS mRNA expression appear to correlate with clinical severity, inflammatory, innate immunity and antioxidant (Koprowski, 1993, Shin, 2004). These results suggest that iNOS-derived NO could play an important role in the CNS damage associated with the disease states. In addition, iNOS inhibition, treatment of rabies virus-infected mice with iNOS inhibitor (aminoguanidine), delayed apoptotic deaths by affecting viral replication that may show in NO suppress RNA synthesis (N, G, L genes) (Ubol, 2001a, 2001b). In contrast, iNOS induction is essential for permiabilizing the blood-brain barrier and allowing entry of the necessary effector cells to clear the virus (Fabis, 2008). Oxidative stress has been reported in rabies. Axonal swellings with 4-HNElabeled puncta were also associated with aggregations of actively respiring mitochondria. Jackson and his colleagues have found evidence that rabies virus infection in cultured adult mouse DRG neurons causes axonal injury through
oxidative stress (Jackson, 2010). Oxidative stress may be important in vivo in rabies and may explain previous observations of the degeneration of neuronal processes in studies of transgenic mice (Scott, 2008). Antioxidant proteins were found increased, more in paralytic.


## CHAPTER III

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A diagram of the experimental design to determine and analyze in the expression of protein(s) in brain, brainstem and spinal cord of naturally rabies infected and non-rabies infected dogs was presented in figure 3.

## Non-Rabies infected Dogs



Figure 3. Diagram of the experimental designs.

## Sample collection

Samples for examination and analyses were taken from rabies infected paralytic, furious, and non-infected dogs. Each animal was observed at the Quarantine and Rabies Diagnostic Unit of the Queen Saovabha Memorial Institute (QSMI). As previously described (Tirawatnpong et al., 1989; Laothamatas et al., 2008), stages of infection were clinically defined as early or late, based on whether the dogs remained fully conscious (early) or lapsed into coma (late). Further, the stage of disease was confirmed by the relative absence of rabies antigen at the cerebral hemisphere, in particular the frontal lobe. Paralytic rabies was defined by the presence of hind limb weakness with minimal or none at all of furious symptoms. This study focused on only late stage of infection. The animals died naturally without receiving any supportive treatment. From each animal, 3 anatomical locations of the CNS tissues, including hippocampus, brainstem (midbrain, pons and medulla) and cervical enlargement of spinal cord were taken and were saved at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

## Immunoperoxidase staining of rabies antigen

The diagnosis of rabies was confirmed by the presence of rabies antigen in the CNS tissues. Paraffin-embedded sections of formalin-fixed tissues $(3-\mu \mathrm{m}$ thick) were stained with anti-rabies nucleocapsid polyclonal antibody (Bio-Rad; Marnes-la-Coquette, France) at a dilution of $1: 80$. After rinsing with PBS, the sections were incubated with respective secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase in the DAKO EnVision ${ }^{\text {TM }}$-System kit (DAKO Corporation; CA) for 30 min . The slides were then washed with PBS and incubated for 10 min with a peroxidase substrate containing $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ diaminobenzidine (Sigma; St. Louis, MO), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 1 M imidazole in Tris-

HCl buffer. After rinsing by tap water, the tissues were counterstained with hematoxylin.

## Sample extraction for proteomic analysis

The sample tissues (hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord) were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to powder using prechilled mortar and pestle. Tissues were resuspended in a lysis buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, $4 \%$ 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethyl-ammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 2\% v/v ampholytes ( $\mathrm{pH} 3-10$ ), 120 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and 40mM Tris-base, and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Unsolubilized nuclei, cell debris, and particulate matters were removed by a centrifugation at $10,000 \mathrm{rpm}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Protein concentration in individual samples was measured by by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using Bio-Rad protein assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories; Hercules, CA). Proteins derived from one region of each animal were further resolved in individual 2-D gels.

## Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis (2-DE)

For the controlled group, each gel was derived from each sample ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ gels/region). For the paralytic and furious groups, duplicated 2-D gels were derived from each sample to have 6 gels/region in each group. Overall, a total of 54 gels were analyzed in this experiment.Briefly described, an equal amount of total protein from each sample was resolved in each 2-D gel ( $n=6$ gels in each group; total $\mathrm{n}=54$ gels). Immobiline DryStrip (non linear pH gradient of 3-10, 7 cm long; GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) was rehydrated overnight with $150 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein that was premixed with a rehydration buffer containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 2\% CHAPS, 2\% (v/v) ampholytes (pH 3-10), 120 mM DTT, 40 mM Tris-base, and bromophenol blue (to make the final volume of $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per strip).

The first dimensional separation or isoelectric focusing (IEF) was performed in Ettan IPGphor III System (GE Healthcare) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using a stepwise mode to reach $9,083 \mathrm{Vh}$ with limiting current of 50 mA / strip. After completion of the IEF, the strips were first equilibrated for 15 min in an equilibration buffer containing 6 M urea, 130 mM DTT, 112 mM Tris-base, 4\% SDS, 30\% glycerol and 0.002\% bromophenol blue, and then in another similar buffer that replaced DTT with 135 mM iodoacetamide, for further 15 min . The second dimensional separation was performed in 12\% polyacrylamide gel using SE260 Mini-Vertical Electrophoresis Unit (GE Healthcare) at 150V for approximately 2 h .

## SYPRO Ruby staining and visualization

After 2-DE, separated proteins in slab gels were fixed with $10 \%$ methanol and $7 \%$ acetic acid for 30 min. The SYPRO Ruby fluorescence dye (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes; Eugene, OR) was added to each gel and incubated on a gentle continuous rocker in a dark room at room temperature for overnight and then visualized using Typhoon 9200 laser scanner (GE Healthcare).

## Matching and Analysis of Visualized Protein Spots

Image Master 2D Platinum software (GE Healthcare) was used for matching and analysis of protein spots in 2-D gels. Parameters used for spot detection were (i) minimal area $=10$ pixels; (ii) smooth factor $=2.0$; and (iii) saliency $=2.0$. A reference gel was created from an artificial gel combining all of the spots presenting in different gels into one image. The reference gel was then used for determination of existence and difference of protein expression between gels. Background subtraction was performed and the intensity volume of each spot was normalized with total intensity volume (summation of the intensity volumes obtained from all spots within the same 2-D gel).

## Statistical analysis

All the quantitative data are reported as mean $\pm$ SEM. Intensity volumes of individual spots matched across different gels were compared among groups by multiple comparisons using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's post-hoc test (SPSS; version 13.0). P values less than 0.05 were considered as statistical significant. Significantly differed protein spots were subjected to in-gel tryptic digestion and identification by mass spectrometry.

## In-gel tryptic digestion

All the protein spots whose intensity levels significantly differed among groups were excised from 2-D gels, washed twice with $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $50 \%$ acetonitrile $(\mathrm{ACN}) / 25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ buffer ( pH 8.0 ) at room temperature for 15 min , and then washed once with $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $100 \%$ ACN. After washing, the solvent was removed, and the gel pieces were dried by a SpeedVac concentrator (Savant; Holbrook, NY) and rehydrated with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ trypsin (Promega; Madison, WI ) in $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$. After rehydration, the gel pieces were crushed and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for at least 16 h . Peptides were subsequently extracted twice with $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $50 \%$ ACN/5\% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA); the extracted solutions were then combined and dried with the SpeedVac concentrator. The peptide pellets were resuspended with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.1 \%$ TFA and purified using $\mathrm{ZipTip}_{\mathrm{C} 18}$ (Millipore; Bedford, MA). The peptide solution was drawn up and down in the $\mathrm{ZipTip}_{\mathrm{C} 18}$ ten times and then washed with $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.1 \%$ formic acid by drawing up and expelling the washing solution three times. The peptides were finally eluted with $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $75 \% \mathrm{ACN} / 0.1 \%$ formic acid.

## Protein identification by Q-TOF MS and MS/MS analyses

The trypsinized samples were premixed 1:1 with the matrix solution containing $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} \alpha$-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) in $50 \%$ ACN, $0.1 \%$ (v/v) TFA and $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ ammonium citrate, and deposited onto the 96 -well MALDI target plate. The samples were analyzed by Q-TOF Ultima ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ mass spectrometer (Micromass; Manchester, UK), which was fully automated with predefined probe motion pattern and the peak intensity threshold for switching over from MS survey scanning to MS/MS, and from one MS/MS to another. Within each sample well, parent ions that met the predefined criteria (any peak within the $m / z 800-3,000$ range with intensity above 10 count $\pm$ include/exclude list) were selected for CID MS/MS using argon as the collision gas and a mass dependent $\pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$ rolling collision energy until the end of the probe pattern was reached. The MS and MS/MS data were extracted and outputted as the searchable .txt and .pkl files, respectively, for independent searches using the MASCOT search engine (http://www.matrixscience.com), assuming that peptides were monoisotopic. Fixed modification was carbamidomethylation at cysteine residues, whereas variable modification was oxidation at methionine residues. Only one missed trypsin cleavage was allowed, and peptide mass tolerances of 100 and 50 ppm were allowed for peptide mass fingerprinting and MS/MS ions search, respectively.

## RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from each tissue sample. The RNeasy Lipid Tissue mini Kit (Qia-gen, Hilden, Germany) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was isolated from tissues using Qiazol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA), DNase treatment of RNA prior to RT-PCR and was subjected to reverse transcription
using PrecisionTM reverse transcription kit (Primer design) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The samples were incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , followed by a final RT inactivation step at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min , and then stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

## SYBR Green Real-time PCR

A real-time PCR assay was performed to assure the presence of proteins as selected from 2-DE and MALDI Q-TOF mass spectrometry using LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Applied Science, Mann-heim, Germany). These included ACNTase, CRMP-2, GFAP, Hsp70 and Orp150 transcripts using GAPDH as an endogenous control. PCR amplification was performed with QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit: $2 \times$ QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Master Mix with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primers, 12.5 ng cDNA, and nuclease-free water according to the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The PCR conditions were as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min for preincubation, followed by 45 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $15 \mathrm{~s}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s. Levels of cDNA were expressed as threshold cycle (CT) and the melting temp was used for analysis. To avoid genomic DNA amplification, primers used in this study were designed to span intron-exon boundaries as follows in appendix B.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS

## 1. Regional distribution of rabies virus in CNS

## Rabies viral antigen

We investigated changes in tissue proteomes of hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord of paralytic and furious dogs naturally infected with rabies compared to the non-infected controls. Rabies infection was confirmed in paralytic and furious dogs by positive immunoperoxidase staining of rabies nucleocapsid protein in their CNS tissues (as illustrated in brown in Figure 4). The overall regional distribution of rabies viral antigen was roughly similar in terms of number and location to that previous report (Juntrakul et al., 2005).


Figure 4. Immunoperoxidase staining of rabies antigen. Hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord from non-infected dogs and those naturally infected with rabies (both paralytic and furious forms) were subjected to immunohistochemical study for rabies antigen using polyclonal antibody against rabies nucleocapsid as the primary antibody and hematoxylin as the counterstain. Immunoreactive locales of rabies nucleocapsid are shown in brown, whereas nuclei are illustrated in blue.

## 2. Identification of proteins with significant expression levels

### 2.1 2-D gels analysis

Proteins were extracted from these tissues and analyzed by 2-DE ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ gels/region for each group, with or without replication of individual samples; a total of 54 gels were analyzed). From $>1,000$ protein spots visualized in each gel, spot matching, quantitative intensity analysis and ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons revealed 32, 49 and 67 protein spots that were differentially expressed among the three clinical groups in hippocampus (Figure 5), brainstem (Figure 6) and spinal cord (Figure 7), respectively.


Figure 5. 2-D Proteome maps of differentially expressed proteins in hippocampus of dogs naturally infected with rabies. Proteins that
significantly differed among groups, including non-infected control, patalytic form of rabies and furious form of rabies, ate labeled
with numbers that correspond to those reported in Tables 1.


$\square$

Figure 6. 2-D Proteome maps of differentially expressed proteins in brainstem of dags naturally infected with rabies. Proteins that
significantly differed among groups, including non-infected control, paralytic form of rabies and furious form of rabies, are labeled
with numbers that correspond to those reported in Tables 2.


Figure 7. 2-D Proteome maps of differentially expressed proteins in spinal cord of dogs naturally infected with rabies. Proteins that
significantly differed among groups, including non-infected control, paralytic form of rabies and furious form of rabies, are labeled
with numbers that correspond to those reported in Tables 3

### 2.2 Protein Identification by MALDI-Q-TOF MS and MS/MS Analyses

Subsequent analyses of these differentially expressed protein spots were done by MALDI Q-TOF. The criteria for the significant changes in protein abundance were defined as (i) p values must be $<0.05$, (ii) means of the three groups using the multiple comparisons must have p values $<0.05$.
Table 1. Summary of Altered Proteins in hippocampus region compare to non-infected (N), furious (F) and paralytic (D) groups Identified by QTOF MS and/or MS/MS Analyses

| Spot no. | Protein name | NCBI ID | Identified <br> by | Identifica tion scores (MS, MS/MS) | \%Cov <br> (MS, MS/MS) | No. of matched peptides (MS, MS/MS) | pl | MW <br> (kDa) | Intensity (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANOVA } \\ p \\ \text { values } \end{gathered}$ | Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Control | Paralytic | Furious |  | Paralytic vs Control | Furious vs Control | Paralytic vs Furious |
| 95 | Pyruvate carboxylase, mitochondrial precursor (Pyruvic carboxylase) (PCB) isoform 1 | gi\|73982897 | MS | 140, NA | 21, NA | 23, NA | 6.32 | 130.25 | $0.0881 \pm 0.0107$ | $0.0907 \pm 0.0041$ | $0.0410 \pm 0.0185$ | 0.0225 | NS | 0.0456 | 0.0346 |
| 106 | Protein C9orf55 isoform 1 | gi\|73971036 | MS | 69, NA | 11, NA | 18, NA | 6.11 | 212.96 | $0.0696 \pm 0.0106$ | $0.0623 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.0964 \pm 0.0097$ | 0.0395 | NS | NS | 0.0410 |
| 107 | Interferon alpha 4 | gi\|18767673 | MS | 77, NA | 29, NA | 6, NA | 6.95 | 23.32 | $0.1034 \pm 0.0076$ | $0.1106 \pm 0.0066$ | $0.1439 \pm 0.0101$ | 0.0078 | NS | 0.0092 | 0.0313 |
| 143 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Transitional endoplasmic } \\ & \text { reticulum ATPase (TER } \\ & \text { ATPase) (15S Mg(2+)- } \\ & \text { ATPase p97 subunit) } \\ & \text { (Valosin-containing protein) } \\ & \text { (VCP) isoform } 13 \end{aligned}$ | gi\|73971232 | MS | 79, NA | 25, NA | $13, \mathrm{NA}$ | 5.11 | 90.35 | $0.0229 \pm 0.0078$ | $0.0332 \pm 0.0041$ | $0.0521 \pm 0.0059$ | 0.0140 | NS | 0.0117 | NS |
| 164 | Dynamin | gi\|181849 | MS/MS | NA, 30 | 1, NA | 1, NA | 6.93 | 97.75 | $0.1218 \pm 0.0144$ | $0.0803 \pm 0.0032$ | $0.0949 \pm 0.0084$ | 0.0288 | 0.0244 | NS | NS |
| 179 | Propionyl-Coenzyme A carboxylase, alpha polypeptide isoform 4 | gi\|114650510 | MS | 84, NA | 24, NA | 14, NA | 6.98 | 82.54 | $0.0376 \pm 0.0120$ | $0.0594 \pm 0.0047$ | $0.0798 \pm 0.0040$ | 0.0064 | NS | 0.0047 | NS |
| 192 | Cytochrome P450 2B12 (CYPIIB12) | gi\|62639273 | MS, MS/MS | 81, 27 | 25,1 | 9, 1 | 8.43 | 56.54 | $0.1120 \pm 0.0081$ | $0.0798 \pm 0.0014$ | $0.1251 \pm 0.0082$ | 0.0008 | 0.0111 | NS | 0.0007 |
| 215 | Mitochondrial inner <br> membrane protein (Mitofilin) <br> (p87/89) (Proliferation- <br> inducing gene 4 protein) <br> isoform 1 | gi\|73980353 | MS | 112, NA | 28, NA | $15, \mathrm{NA}$ | 6.21 | 83.58 | $0.1290 \pm 0.0070$ | $0.0825 \pm 0.0033$ | $0.0972 \pm 0.0061$ | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0036 | NS |
| 229 | Aconitase 2, mitochondrial isoform 7 | gi\|73968976 | MS | 72, NA | 20, NA | 11, NA | 8.61 | 85.64 | $0.1797 \pm 0.0160$ | $0.1297 \pm 0.0054$ | $0.1496 \pm 0.0119$ | 0.0309 | 0.0252 | NS | NS |
| 230 | Aconitase 2, mitochondrial isoform 8 | gi\|73968978 | MS | 170, NA | 32, NA | 20, NA | 8.07 | 89.05 | $0.3063 \pm 0.0270$ | $0.2279 \pm 0.0111$ | $0.2573 \pm 0.0093$ | 0.0218 | 0.0179 | NS | NS |
| 243 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0189 \pm 0.0084$ | $0.0630 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.0532 \pm 0.0100$ | 0.0387 | 0.0398 | NS | NS |
| 255 | Cytokeratin type II | gi\|73996498 | MS/MS | NA, 33 | NA, 1 | NA, 1 | 6.33 | 107.86 | $0.0253 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.0357 \pm 0.0022$ | $0.0173 \pm 0.0056$ | 0.0480 | NS | NS | 0.0390 |
| 258 | Annexin A6 (Annexin VI) (Lipocortin VI) (P68) (P70) (Protein III) (Chromobindin 20) ( 67 kDa calelectrin) (Calphobindin-II) (CPB-II) isoform 2 | gi\|73953627 | MS, MS/MS | 109, 148 | 30, 8 | 16, 4 | 5.47 | 76.24 | $0.0421 \pm 0.0058$ | $0.0522 \pm 0.0014$ | $0.0578 \pm 0.0031$ | 0.0369 | NS | 0.0314 | NS |
| 264 | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | MS, MS/MS | 80, 27 | 25, 1 | 12, 1 | 7.62 | 65.62 | $0.0330 \pm 0.0027$ | $0.0358 \pm 0.0050$ | $0.0625 \pm 0.0049$ | 0.0004 | NS | 0.0007 | 0.0016 |


| 371 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP2) isoform 3 | gi\|73993697 | MS/MS | NA, 89 | NA, 4 | NA, 2 | 5.98 | 74.06 | $0.4072 \pm 0.1000$ | $0.2610 \pm 0.0174$ | $0.5731 \pm 0.0446$ | 0.0126 | NS | NS | 0.0095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 382 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP2) isoform 6 | gi\|73993705 | MS |  | 32, NA | 12, NA | 5.95 | 62.62 | $0.0834 \pm 0.0375$ | $0.1060 \pm 0.0165$ | $0.1926 \pm 0.0215$ | 0.0272 | NS | 0.0289 | NS |
| 434 | MCG10327 | gi\|148690968 | MS | 64, NA | 24, NA | 8, NA | 6.13 | 47.43 | $0.0545 \pm 0.0121$ | $0.0807 \pm 0.0031$ | $0.0859 \pm 0.0055$ | 0.0298 | NS | 0.0346 | NS |
| 445 | Hypothetical protein | gi\|59006605 | MS | 71, NA | 12, NA | 13, NA | 8.45 | 149.79 | $0.0656 \pm 0.0233$ | $0.1267 \pm 0.0092$ | $0.1354 \pm 0.0108$ | 0.0135 | 0.0383 | 0.0178 | NS |
| 446 | G patch domain containing protein 2 | gi\|74006169 | MS | 68, NA | 22, NA | 9, NA | 9.31 | 59.25 | $0.0762 \pm 0.0177$ | $0.1243 \pm 0.0072$ | $0.1195 \pm 0.0119$ | 0.0368 | 0.0486 | NS | NS |
| 466 | G patch domain containing protein 2 | gi\|74006169 | MS | 68, NA | 22, NA | 9, NA | 9.31 | 59.25 | $0.4195 \pm 0.0441$ | $0.3009 \pm 0.0223$ | $0.3723 \pm 0.0145$ | 0.0402 | 0.0331 | NS | NS |
| 493 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADH-ubiquinone } \\ & \text { oxidoreductase } 49 \mathrm{kDa} \\ & \text { subunit, mitochondrial } \\ & \text { precursor (Complex l-49KD) } \\ & \text { (CI-49KD) isoform 4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | gi\|74006142 | MS | 82, NA | 33, NA | 12, NA | 7.21 | $51.78$ | $0.2624 \pm 0.0234$ | $0.1804 \pm 0.0169$ | $0.1946 \pm 0.0155$ | 0.0179 | 0.0207 | NS | NS |
| 600 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(o), alpha subunit 2 isoform 1 | gi\|73949832 | MS | 71, NA | 29, NA | 9, NA | 5.62 | 40.56 | $0.1778 \pm 0.0254$ | $0.1457 \pm 0.0104$ | $0.2802 \pm 0.0271$ | 0.0018 | NS | 0.0141 | 0.0019 |
| 736 | FBXW10 protein | gi\|20306882 | MS | 70, NA | 15, NA | 14, NA | 9.45 | 122.08 | $0.2409 \pm 0.0428$ | $0.2500 \pm 0.0288$ | $0.1221 \pm 0.0148$ | 0.0182 | NS | 0.0406 | 0.0271 |
| 791 | Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 | gi\|50979220 | MS, MS/MS | 73, 30 | 37, 5 | 8, 1 | 5.97 | 24.65 | $0.1292 \pm 0.0099$ | $0.0947 \pm 0.0126$ | $0.0723 \pm 0.0054$ | 0.0034 | NS | 0.0026 | NS |
| 888 | Peroxiredoxin 2 <br> (Thioredoxin peroxidase 1) <br> (Thioredoxin-dependent <br> peroxide reductase 1) <br> (Thiol-specific antioxidant <br> protein) (TSA) (PRP) <br> (Natural killer cell enhancing <br> factor B) (NKEF-B) isoform <br> 1 | gi\|73986497 | MS | 80, NA | 46, NA | 6, NA | 5.23 | 22.11 | $0.2700 \pm 0.0363$ | $0.2982 \pm 0.0272$ | $0.4202 \pm 0.0262$ | 0.0073 | NS | 0.0084 | 0.0311 |

Table 1 (Continue)

| 936 | Ryanodine receptor 2 | gil73952508 | MS | 78, NA | 7, NA | 30, NA | 5.75 | 569.86 | $0.3831 \pm 0.0367$ | $0.2834 \pm 0.0305$ | $0.1647 \pm 0.0443$ | 0.0035 | NS | 0.0025 | NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | TUBB2B protein | gi\|133778299 | MS/MS | NA, 72 | NA, 9 | NA, 1 | 4.88 | 20.87 | $0.5454 \pm 0.1100$ | $0.4849 \pm 0.0357$ | $0.2196 \pm 0.0590$ | 0.0177 | NS | 0.0199 | NS |
| 1007 | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | MS | 81, NA | 23, NA | 12, NA | 7.62 | 65.62 | $0.3612 \pm 0.2170$ | $1.5856 \pm 0.2501$ | $1.0169 \pm 0.1782$ | 0.0044 | 0.0032 | NS | NS |
| 1040 | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | MS | 92, NA | 27, NA | 13, NA | 7.62 | 65.62 | $0.1234 \pm 0.0991$ | $0.5663 \pm 0.1111$ | $0.7768 \pm 0.1543$ | 0.0063 | NS | 0.0054 | NS |
| 1084 | Beta globin | gi\|57113367 | MS, MS/MS | 184, 140 | 87, 32 | 11, 3 | 7.83 | 16.23 | $3.2760 \pm 0.6120$ | $3.1782 \pm 0.2172$ | $5.6296 \pm 0.0810$ | 0.0004 | NS | 0.0014 | . 0010 |
| 1267 | Guanine deaminase | gil73946803 | MS/MS | NA, 57 | NA, 2 | NA, 1 | 6.53 | 63.27 | $0.0424 \pm 0.0139$ | $0.0456 \pm 0.0102$ | $0.1045 \pm 0.0042$ | 0.0009 | NS | 0.0018 | 0.0028 |
| 1359 | SARM1 protein | gi\|114325428 | MS | 81, NA | 21, NA | 12, NA | 5.98 | 78.70 | $0.0231 \pm 0.0118$ | $0.0180 \pm 0.0030$ | $0.0535 \pm 0.0116$ | 0.0440 | NS | 0.0308 | 0.0204 |

NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information
$\%$ Cov $=\%$ Sequence coverage [(number of the matched residues/total number of residues in the entire sequence) $\times 100 \%$ ]
NA = Not applicable
Table 2. Summary of Altered Proteins in brainstem region compare to non-infected ( N ), furious $(\mathrm{F}$ ) and paralytic ( D ) groups Identified by Q-TOF MS and/or MSIMS Analyses

| Spot no. | Protein name | NCBI ID | Identified <br> by | Identiticationscores(MS,MS/MS) |  | No. of <br> matched <br> peptides (MS <br> MS/MS) | pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MW } \\ & \text { (kDa) } \end{aligned}$ | Intensity (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  |  | ANOVA <br> $p$ values | Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Control | Paralytic | Furious |  | Paralytic vs Control | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Furious vs } \\ \text { Control } \end{array}$ | Paralytic <br> vs Furious |
| 37 | Neurofilament, heavy polypeptide 200 kDa | gil50979202 | MS, MS/MS | 89, 57 | 16, 2 | 16, 2 | 8.10 | 124.69 | $0.4792 \pm 0.0901$ | $0.0644 \pm 0.0437$ | $0.2507 \pm 0.0223$ | 0.0010 | 0.0048 | 0.0300 | NS |
| 76 | Phosphatase, orphan 1 isoform 1 | gi\|109114246 | MS | 73, NA | 35, NA | 8, NA | 7.64 | 30.10 | $0.0679 \pm 0.0057$ | $0.0253 \pm 0.0093$ | $0.0304 \pm 0.0056$ | 0.0010 | 0.0020 | 0.0055 | NS |
| 96 | Heat shock protein 90 kDa beta, member 1 | gil50979166 | MS | 72, NA | 20, NA | 16, NA | 4.78 | 92.74 | $0.2270 \pm 0.0193$ | $0.3507 \pm 0.0226$ | $0.3002 \pm 0.0205$ | 0.0030 | 0.0022 | NS | NS |
| 128 | Chain A, Solution Structure | gi\|159164645 | MS | 63, NA | 100, NA | 5, NA | 4.85 | 5.44 | $0.0365 \pm 0.0077$ | $0.0699 \pm 0.0118$ | $0.0339 \pm 0.0044$ | 0.0160 | 0.0363 | NS | 0.0241 |
| 132 | Nebulin-related anchoring | gi\|114632883 | MS | 70, NA | 14, NA | 19, NA | 9.29 | 198.17 | $0.0632 \pm 0.0069$ | $0.0343 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.0360 \pm 0.0062$ | 0.0110 | 0.0174 | 0.0250 | NS |
| 163 | Hypothetical protein LOC84070 | gi\|14149789 | MS | 74, NA | 21, NA | 16, NA | 9.08 | 104.06 | $0.0388 \pm 0.0047$ | $0.0256 \pm 0.0057$ | $0.0169 \pm 0.0038$ | 0.0200 | NS | 0.0159 | NS |
| 164 | Aconitase 2, mitochondrial | gi\|73968980 | MS, MS/MS | 211, 180 | 37, 9 | 26,5 | 7.89 | 85.87 | $0.1753 \pm 0.0188$ | $0.0503 \pm 0.0242$ | $0.1497 \pm 0.0358$ | 0.0130 | 0.0142 | NS | NS |
| 168 | Mitochondrial inner membrane protein (Mitofilin) (p87/89) (Proliferationinducing gene 4 protein) isoform 1 | gil73980353 | MS, MS/MS | $210,81$ | $40,2$ | 22, 2 | 6.21 | 53.58 | $0.0515 \pm 0.0082$ | $0.0476 \pm 0.0113$ | $0.0178 \pm 0.0039$ | 0.0240 | NS | 0.0317 | NS |
| 181 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0764 \pm 0.0085$ | $0.0097 \pm 0.0097$ | $0.0085 \pm 0.0085$ | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | NS |
| 192 | Protein kinase C, gamma | gil13384594 | MS | 69, NA | 18, NA | 12, NA | 7.27 | 79.65 | $0.0424 \pm 0.0031$ | $0.0260 \pm 0.0008$ | $0.0303 \pm 0.0022$ | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0050 | NS |
| 193 | Phosphofructokinase, platelet | gil73949194 | MS | 112, NA | 21, NA | 15, NA | 6.60 | 99.37 | $0.0794 \pm 0.0107$ | $0.0572 \pm 0.0039$ | $0.0512 \pm 0.0042$ | 0.0296 | NS | 0.0312 | NS |
| 208 | Myosin, heavy chain 2, | gi\|115947178 | MS | 72, NA | 13, NA | 20, NA | 5.64 | 223.98 | $0.0401 \pm 0.0033$ | $0.0199 \pm 0.0066$ | $0.0313 \pm 0.0034$ | 0.0269 | 0.0213 | NS | NS |
| 235 | N -ethyImaleimide sensitive fusion protein isoform 5 | gil73965161 | MS, MS/MS | 159, 88 | 34, 4 | 21, 3 | 6.55 | 83.77 | $0.1353 \pm 0.0063$ | $0.0949 \pm 0.0032$ | $0.1104 \pm 0.0056$ | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0108 | NS |
| 239 | N -ethy Imaleimide sensitive | gi\|73965153 | MS, MS/MS | 204, 62 | 40, 2 | 26, 2 | 6.55 | 84.02 | $0.0830 \pm 0.0064$ | $0.0567 \pm 0.0046$ | $0.0614 \pm 0.0045$ | 0.0069 | 0.0081 | 0.0285 | NS |
| 241 | N -ethylmaleimide sensitive | gi\|73965159 | MS, MS/MS | 172, 130 | 32, 5 | 21, 4 | 6.55 | 84.98 | $0.0561 \pm 0.0086$ | $0.0465 \pm 0.0042$ | $0.0282 \pm 0.0069$ | 0.0345 | NS | 0.0297 | NS |
| 246 | Annexin A6 (Annexin VI) (Lipocortin VI) (P68) (P70) (Protein III) (Chromobindin 20) ( 67 kDa calelectrin) | gi\|73953627 | MS, MS/MS | 223, 41 | 39, 2 | 25, 1 | 5.47 | 76.24 | $0.0739 \pm 0.0071$ | $0.0722 \pm 0.0074$ | $0.0456 \pm 0.0023$ | 0.0078 | NS | 0.0127 | 0.0193 |


| 249 | Glutaminase, isoform CRA_a | gil149046200 | MS, MS/MS | 89, 43 | 28, 2 | 12, 1 | 6.63 | 68.06 | $0.0481 \pm 0.0023$ | $0.0314 \pm 0.0054$ | $0.0276 \pm 0.0022$ | 0.0029 | 0.0150 | 0.0035 | NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 275 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0747 \pm 0.0070$ | $0.0338 \pm 0.0020$ | $0.0627 \pm 0.0023$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | NS | 0.0009 |
| 314 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP2) isoform 6 | gi\|73993705 | MS, MS/MS | 221, 324 | 51, 13 | 23, 5 | 5.95 | 62.62 | $0.1336 \pm 0.0150$ | $0.1953 \pm 0.0134$ | $0.1537 \pm 0.0067$ | 0.0087 | 0.0074 | NS | NS |
| 338 | ATPase, $\mathrm{H}+$ transporting, V1 subunit B, isoform 2 isoform 2 | gi\|73993820 | MS,MS/MS | 172, 262 | 50, 11 | 22, 4 | 5.65 | 56.14 | $0.3896 \pm 0.0345$ | $0.2466 \pm 0.0090$ | $0.5235 \pm 0.0593$ | 0.0008 | NS | NS | 0.0005 |
| 341 | ATPase, H+ transporting, V1 subunit B, isoform 2 isoform 2 | gi\|73993820 | MS, MS/MS | 157, 208 | 48, 11 | $20,4$ | 5.65 | 56.14 | $0.2130 \pm 0.0295$ | $0.0973 \pm 0.0214$ | $0.2944 \pm 0.0141$ | 0.0001 | 0.0066 | NS | 0.0001 |
| 349 | Chain A, The Crystal <br> Structure Of <br> Dihydrolipoamide <br> Dehydrogenase And <br> Dihydrolipoamide <br> Dehydrogenase-Binding <br> Protein (Didomain) <br> Subcomplex Of Human <br> Pyruvate Dehydrogenase <br> Complex. | gi\|83753870 | MS, MS/MS | $91,144$ | $38,12$ | $12,4$ | $6.50$ | $50.66$ | $0.1392 \pm 0.0193$ | $0.1001 \pm 0.0102$ | $0.0864 \pm 0.0038$ | 0.0279 | NS | 0.0270 | NS |
| 366 | Fascin 1 | gi\|4507115 | MS, MS/MS | 82, 118 | 47, 9 | 17, 4 | 6.84 | 55.12 | $0.2224 \pm 0.0232$ | $0.1498 \pm 0.0126$ | $0.1720 \pm 0.0114$ | 0.0214 | 0.0191 | NS | NS |
| 390 | Calcium/calmodulindependent protein kinase IIA isoform 1 isoform 2 | gi\|73953675 | MS/MS | NA, 79 | NA, 6 | NA, 2 | 7.05 | 55.87 | $0.0423 \pm 0.0028$ | $0.0158 \pm 0.0080$ | $0.0276 \pm 0.0114$ | 0.0164 | 0.0126 | NS | NS |
| 426 | Vesicle amine transport protein 1 | gi\|57091463 | MS/MS | NA, 21 | NA, 4 | NA, 1 | 6.02 | 42.74 | $0.0993 \pm 0.0047$ | $0.0740 \pm 0.0146$ | $0.0635 \pm 0.0055$ | 0.0460 | NS | 0.0425 | NS |
| 429 | GDP dissociation inhibitor 2 | gi\|50978926 | MS | 78, NA | 31, NA | 11, NA | 6.11 | 50.80 | $0.1042 \pm 0.0063$ | $0.0839 \pm 0.0096$ | $0.0335 \pm 0.015$ | 0.0011 | NS | 0.0010 | 0.0135 |
| 461 | Glutamine synthetase | gi\|50950189 | MS/MS | NA, 143 | NA, 12 | NA, 3 | 6.28 | 42.57 | $0.3038 \pm 0.0181$ | $0.2079 \pm 0.0142$ | $0.2218 \pm 0.0214$ | 0.0040 | 0.0053 | 0.0158 | NS |
| 467 | Creatine kinase B-type (Creatine kinase, B chain) (B-CK) isoform 1 | gi\|73964131 | MS | 87, NA | 29, NA | 7, NA | 5.55 | 44.31 | $0.0926 \pm 0.0063$ | $0.0419 \pm 0.0089$ | $0.0218 \pm 0.0099$ | 0.0001 | 0.0021 | 0.0001 | NS |
| 480 | Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 isoform 2 | gi\|74007807 | MSMS | NA, 101 | NA, 11 | NA, 3 | 7.99 | 44.89 | $0.2632 \pm 0.0228$ | $0.1241 \pm 0.0250$ | $0.1518 \pm 0.0159$ | 0.0009 | 0.0010 | 0.0064 | NS |
| 490 | Actin-related protein 2 isoform 4 | gi\|73969820 | MSMS | NA, 53 | NA, 3 | NA, 1 | 6.06 | 46.03 | $0.1348 \pm 0.0067$ | $0.1785 \pm 0.0249$ | $0.1128 \pm 0.0063$ | 0.0250 | NS | NS | 0.0216 |
| 505 | Silent information regulator 2 | gi\|73697550 | MS | 93, NA | 37, NA | 10, NA | 7.67 | 32.01 | $0.4111 \pm 0.0274$ | $0.2530 \pm 0.0556$ | $0.3516 \pm 0.0160$ | 0.0265 | 0.0219 | NS | NS |
| 508 | Creatine kinase B-type (Creatine kinase, B chain) (B-CK) isoform 1 | gi\|73964131 | MS, MS/MS | 92, 39 | 45, 5 | 12, 2 | 5.55 | 44.31 | $0.0802 \pm 0.0087$ | $0.1289 \pm 0.0137$ | $0.0846 \pm 0.0072$ | 0.0076 | 0.0115 | NS | 0.0209 |

Table 2 (Continue)

| 550 | GTP-binding protein alpha o | gi\|8394152 | MS/MS | NA, 104 | NA, 9 | NA, 3 | 5.34 | 40.61 | $0.1927 \pm 0.0220$ | $0.3018 \pm 0.0375$ | $0.1850 \pm 0.0118$ | 0.0104 | 0.0164 | NS | 0.0248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 566 | Silent information regulator $2$ | gi\|73697550 | MS, MS/MS | 88, 22 | 42, 10 | 9, 2 | 7.67 | 32.01 | $0.1672 \pm 0.0204$ | $0.1711 \pm 0.0177$ | $0.0590 \pm 0.0072$ | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0007 | 0.0005 |
| 579 | Tubulin, alpha 1 isoform 9 | gi\|73996547 | MS, MS/MS | 64, 126 | 32,15 | 8, 4 | 4.96 | 46.78 | $0.2392 \pm 0.0452$ | $0.5260 \pm 0.0861$ | $0.2565 \pm 0.0414$ | 0.0074 | 0.0122 | NS | 0.0018 |
| 621 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein, beta-1 subunit | gi\|6680045 | MS, MS/MS | 91, 69 | 41,10 | 10,3 | 5.60 | 38.15 | $0.3892 \pm 0.0467$ | $0.1933 \pm 0.0480$ | $0.3289 \pm 0.0202$ | 0.0111 | 0.0098 | NS | NS |
| 623 | Tubulin alpha-2 chain (Alpha-tubulin 2) isoform 7 | gil73996522 | MS, MS/MS | 82, 122 | 23, 11 | 7,3 | 5.00 | 48.86 | $0.1450 \pm 0.0105$ | $0.0636 \pm 0.0349$ | $0.0855 \pm 0.0066$ | 0.0441 | 0.0420 | NS | NS |
| 644 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0842 \pm 0.0163$ | $0.0200 \pm 0.0127$ | $0.0510 \pm 0.0110$ | 0.0150 | 0.0114 | NS | NS |
| 754 | Centrosomal protein 63kDa isoform 2 | gil194221623 | MS | 58, NA | 37, NA | 13, NA | 5.69 | 58.31 | $0.1721 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.3377 \pm 0.0335$ | $0.2202 \pm 0.0244$ | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | NS | 0.0098 |
| 807 | Glutathione S-transferase Mu 3 (GSTM3-3) (GST class-mu 3) (hGSTM3-3) isoform 1 | gi\|57088159 | MS/MS | NA, 32 | NA, 7 | NA, 1 | 6.74 | 27.32 | $0.1705 \pm 0.0128$ | $0.2736 \pm 0.0398$ | $0.1591 \pm 0.0229$ | 0.0186 | 0.0452 | NS | 0.0257 |
| 813 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.4906 \pm 0.0173$ | $0.3973 \pm 0.0308$ | $0.5654 \pm 0.0213$ | 0.0006 | 0.0360 | NS | 0.0004 |
| 887 | ATP synthase, $\mathrm{H}+$ transporting, mitochondrial FO complex, subunit d isoform a | gi\|57092471 | MS/MS | NA, 63 | NA, 8 | NA, 1 | 5.40 | 18.68 | $0.2529 \pm 0.0077$ | $0.3795 \pm 0.0516$ | $0.3091 \pm 0.0203$ | 0.0446 | 0.0360 | NS | NS |
| 895 | Alpha crystallin B chain (Alpha(B)-crystallin) (Rosenthal fiber component) (Heat-shock protein beta-5) (HspB5) isoform 1 | gil57085977 | MS | 84, NA |  | 9, NA | 6.76 | 20.05 | $0.3015 \pm 0.0109$ | $0.3478 \pm 0.0277$ | $0.4039 \pm 0.0239$ | 0.0170 | NS | 0.0130 | NS |
| 977 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2259 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.5457 \pm 0.0711$ | $0.3730 \pm 0.0562$ | 0.0026 | 0.0018 | NS | NS |
| 993 | Cytosolic purine 5nucleotidase (5-nucleotidase cytosolic II) isoform 8 | gi\|73998435 | MS | 60, NA | 20, NA | 10, NA | 5.88 | 66.25 | $0.3931 \pm 0.0260$ | $0.6284 \pm 0.0636$ | $0.4158 \pm 0.0390$ | 0.0041 | 0.0063 | NS | 0.0128 |
| 997 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.2259 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.5457 \pm 0.0711$ | $0.3730 \pm 0.0562$ | 0.0026 | NS | 0.0150 | NS |
| 1000 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0931 \pm 0.0101$ | $0.1017 \pm 0.0117$ | $0.2252 \pm 0.0550$ | 0.0216 | NS | 0.0321 | 0.0457 |
| 1013 | NAD(P) dependent steroid dehydrogenase-like isoform 1 | gi\|74008671 | MS | 51, NA | 21, NA | 7, NA | 7.17 | 40.97 | $0.1609 \pm 0.0059$ | $0.2792 \pm 0.0247$ | $0.2659 \pm 0.0149$ | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.0015 | NS |
| 1023 | Immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region | gi\|112700066 | MS | 69, NA | 71, NA | 6, NA | 8.56 | 11.08 | $0.2323 \pm 0.0192$ | $0.4159 \pm 0.0349$ | $0.2525 \pm 0.0302$ | 0.0007 | 0.0012 | NS | 0.0031 |

NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information
$\%$ Cov $=\%$ Sequence coverage [(number of the matched residues/total number of residues in the entire sequence) $\times 100 \%$ ]
Table 3. Summary of Altered Proteins in spinal cord region compare to non-infected (N), furious (F) and paralytic (D) groups Identified by QTOF MS and/or MS/MS Analyses

| Spotno. | Protein name | NCBI ID | Identified <br> by | Identifica tion scores (MS, MS/MS) | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { Cov } \\ \text { (MS, } \\ \text { MS/MS) } \end{gathered}$ | No. of matched peptides (MS, MS/MS) | pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MW } \\ & \text { (kDa) } \end{aligned}$ | Intensity (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ANOVA } \\ p \\ \text { values } \end{gathered}$ | Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Control | Paralytic | Furious |  | Paralytic vs Control | Furious vs Control | Paralytic vs Furious |
| 73 | 150 kDa oxygen-regulated protein precursor (Orp150) (Hypoxia up-regulated 1) | gi\|73955046 | MS, MS/MS | 75, 76 | 16, 2 | 14, 2 | 5.46 | 122.21 | $0.0341 \pm 0.0045$ | $0.1260 \pm 0.0264$ | $0.0750 \pm 0.0233$ | 0.0376 | 0.0300 | NS | NS |
| 157 | Oxygen-regulated protein 1; AltName: Full=Retinitis pigmentosa RP1 protein homolog | gi\|62900882 | MS | 84, NA | 11, NA | 20, NA | 5.61 | 242.48 | $0.0231 \pm 0.0035$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.0214 \pm 0.0071$ | 0.0047 | 0.0076 | NS | 0.0130 |
| 158 | rCG47063 | gil149028757 | MS | 79, NA | 21, NA | 15, NA | 6.82 | 121.89 | $0.0375 \pm 0.0045$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.0332 \pm 0.0095$ | 0.0010 | 0.0015 | NS | 0.0042 |
| 159 | Hypothetical rhabdomyosarcoma antigen Mu-RMS-40.6c | gi\|48476968 | MS | 76, NA | 18, NA | 10, NA | 4.97 | 49.75 | $0.0630 \pm 0.0070$ | $0.0087 \pm 0.0048$ | $0.0411 \pm 0.0100$ | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | NS | 0.0266 |
| 182 | Heat shock protein 90kDa beta, member 1 | gi\|50979166 | MS, MS/MS | 137, 87 | 30, 4 | 23, 3 | 4.78 | 92.74 | $0.3857 \pm 0.0451$ | $0.3422 \pm 0.0475$ | $0.1595 \pm 0.0373$ | 0.0090 | NS | 0.0101 | 0.0370 |
| 283 | NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) Fe-S protein 1, 75 kDa precursor isoform 1 | gi\|57110953 | MS | 123, NA | 32, NA | 17, NA | 5.85 | 80.65 | $0.0410 \pm 0.0095$ | $0.0402 \pm 0.0048$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0005 | NS | 0.0011 | 0.0013 |
| 284 | NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) Fe-S protein 1, 75 kDa precursor isoform 4 | gi\|74005206 | MS | 84, NA | 23, NA | 13, NA | 6.10 | 81.06 | $0.0533 \pm 0.0038$ | $0.0318 \pm 0.0099$ | $0.0070 \pm 0.0070$ | 0.0045 | NS | 0.0033 | NS |
| 304 | Werner helicase interacting protein 1, isoform CRA_b | gi\|148700412 | MS | 82, NA | 21, NA | 10, NA | 5.89 | 63.96 | $0.0527 \pm 0.0031$ | $0.0363 \pm 0.0072$ | $0.1077 \pm 0.0110$ | 0.0001 | NS | 0.0008 | 0.0001 |
| 327 | Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (Heat shock 70 kDa protein 8) | gi\|123647 | MS, MS/MS | 117, 148 | 30, 9 | 16, 4 | 5.24 | 70.99 | $0.2055 \pm 0.0237$ | $0.3348 \pm 0.0182$ | $0.2743 \pm 0.0391$ | 0.0229 | 0.0177 | NS | NS |
| 364 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (CRMP-2) isoform 6 (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) | gi\|73993705 | MS | 124, NA | 41, NA | 17, NA | 5.95 | 62.62 | $0.0295 \pm 0.0094$ | $0.0172 \pm 0.0052$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0194 | NS | 0.0153 | NS |
| 373 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP2) isoform 4 | gi\|73993699 | MS/MS | NA, 302 | NA, 14 | NA, 5 | 5.80 | 62.17 | $0.1654 \pm 0.0143$ | $0.0786 \pm 0.0099$ | $0.0947 \pm 0.0226$ | 0.0056 | 0.0066 | 0.0249 | NS |
| 375 | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP2) isoform 6 | gi\|73993705 | MS, MS/MS | 226, 372 | 54, 15 | 24, 5 | 5.95 | 62.62 | $0.2287 \pm 0.0307$ | $0.1062 \pm 0.0174$ | $0.0925 \pm 0.0058$ | 0.0008 | 0.0031 | 0.0013 | NS |


| 408 | Dihydropyrimidinase-like 2 | gi\|40254595 | MS, MS/MS | 187, 293 | 45, 14 | 20, 5 | 5.95 | 62.64 | $0.2805 \pm 0.0384$ | $0.1761 \pm 0.0329$ | $0.3310 \pm 0.0298$ | 0.0244 | NS | NS | 0.0212 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 436 | Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $0.0494 \pm 0.0051$ | $0.0468 \pm 0.0050$ | $0.0092 \pm 0.0060$ | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0005 | 0.0009 |
| 445 | Glial fibrillary acidic protein, astrocyte (GFAP) isoform 2 | gi\|73965502 | MS, MS/MS | 109, 23 | 43, 2 | 16, 1 | 5.63 | 49.52 | $0.0174 \pm 0.0059$ | $0.0371 \pm 0.0069$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0017 | NS | NS | 0.0012 |
| 459 | Glial fibrillary acidic protein, astrocyte (GFAP) isoform 1 | gi\|73965500 | MS | 90, NA | 34, NA | 13, NA | 5.63 | 49.52 | $0.0620 \pm 0.0050$ | $0.0517 \pm 0.0032$ | $0.1421 \pm 0.0210$ | 0.0003 | NS | 0.0013 | 0.0004 |
| 460 | Septin-8 | gi\|73971156 | MS, MS/MS | 90, 52 | 29, 4 | 13, 2 | 6.35 | 61.56 | $0.0907 \pm 0.0047$ | $0.0126 \pm 0.0106$ | $0.0516 \pm 0.0047$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0118 | 0.0118 |
| 469 | Chaperonin containing TCP1, subunit 2 isoform 1 | gi\|73968673 | MS | 160, NA | 44, NA | 48, NA | 6.01 | 57.74 | $0.1049 \pm 0.0087$ | $0.0837 \pm 0.0081$ | $0.1453 \pm 0.0194$ | 0.0173 | NS | NS | 0.0146 |
| 553 | Creatine kinase B-type (Creatine kinase, B chain) (B-CK) isoform 1 | gi\|73964131 | MS, MS/MS | 236, 324 | 54, 18 | 21, 5 | 5.55 | 44.31 | $0.6536 \pm 0.0330$ | $0.6194 \pm 0.0661$ | $0.8821 \pm 0.0517$ | 0.0110 | NS | 0.0330 | 0.0144 |
| 579 | Creatine kinase B-type (Creatine kinase, B chain) (B-CK) isoform 1 | gi\|73964131 | MS, MS/MS | 218, 242 | 54, 18 | 18, 5 | 5.55 | 44.31 | $0.4507 \pm 0.0290$ | $0.4179 \pm 0.0323$ | $0.6666 \pm 0.0596$ | 0.0023 | NS | 0.0093 | 0.0033 |
| 602 | Glial fibrillary acidic protein, astrocyte (GFAP) isoform 1 | gi\|73965500 | MS | 135, NA | 38, NA | 16, NA | 5.63 | 49.52 | $0.0585 \pm 0.0379$ | $0.1897 \pm 0.1438$ | $4.3635 \pm 0.4024$ | 0.0000 | NS | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 609 | Creatine kinase, mitochondrial 1B precursor isoform 1 | gi\|57108147 | MS, MS/MS | 87, 187 | 29, 14 | 11, 4 | 8.60 | 47.45 | $0.1315 \pm 0.0297$ | $0.2570 \pm 0.0208$ | $0.1459 \pm 0.0425$ | 0.0339 | 0.0433 | NS | NS |
| 629 | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C (Brain-type aldolase) isoform 1 | gi\|57091277 | MS, MS/MS | 148, 220 | 52, 18 | 15, 4 | 6.21 | 39.72 | $0.4337 \pm 0.0392$ | $0.2344 \pm 0.0208$ | $0.3765 \pm 0.0454$ | 0.0055 | 0.0050 | NS | 0.0425 |
| 634 | Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase C (Brain-type aldolase) isoform 2 | gi\|73966974 | MS, MS/MS | 56, 88 | 41, 13 | 7, 2 | 5.90 | 31.32 | $0.0640 \pm 0.0110$ | $0.0090 \pm 0.0076$ | $0.0703 \pm 0.0200$ | 0.0149 | 0.0381 | NS | 0.0207 |
| 651 | Isocitrate dehydrogenase 3 (NAD+) alpha isoform 2 | gi\|73951310 | MS | 64, NA | 36, NA | 10, NA | 5.86 | 35.09 | $0.1590 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.1092 \pm 0.0059$ | $0.2230 \pm 0.0127$ | 0.0000 | 0.0041 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 |
| 661 | Silent information regulator 2 | gi\|73697550 | MS | 70, NA | 41, NA | 8, NA | 7.67 | 32.01 | $0.1419 \pm 0.0139$ | $0.1653 \pm 0.0132$ | $0.0792 \pm 0.0254$ | 0.0167 | NS | NS | 0.0158 |
| 681 | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | MS | 90, NA | 26, NA | 13, NA | 7.62 | 65.62 | $0.0413 \pm 0.0019$ | $0.0329 \pm 0.0052$ | $0.0145 \pm 0.0073$ | 0.0130 | NS | 0.0114 | NS |
| 683 | Silent information regulator 2 | gi\|73697550 | MS/MS | NA, 57 | NA, 15 | NA, 3 | 7.67 | 32.01 | $0.1611 \pm 0.0288$ | $0.0973 \pm 0.0112$ | $0.0740 \pm 0.0185$ | 0.0295 | NS | 0.0281 | NS |
| 693 | Zinc finger protein 615 | gi\|197102729 | MS | 58, NA | 24, NA | 16, NA | 9.31 | 86.12 | $0.1327 \pm 0.0094$ | $0.1273 \pm 0.0073$ | $0.1698 \pm 0.0141$ | 0.0316 | NS | NS | 0.0394 |


| 698 | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | MS | 96, NA | 26, NA | 12, NA | 7.62 | 65.62 | $0.1207 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.0849 \pm 0.0108$ | $0.1658 \pm 0.0247$ | 0.0222 | NS | NS | 0.0173 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 711 | Chromosome 1 open reading frame 27 | gi\|126306536 | MS | 79, NA | 21, NA | 7, NA | 6.37 | 53.56 | $0.1848 \pm 0.0194$ | $0.2134 \pm 0.0278$ | $0.3747 \pm 0.0224$ | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0003 | 0.0013 |
| 735 | N -ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein attachment protein beta | gi\|62645998 | MS | 87, NA | 41, NA | 11, NA | 5.88 | 40.32 | $0.0988 \pm 0.0099$ | $0.1470 \pm 0.0457$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0155 | NS | NS | 0.0134 |
| 770 | 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial precursor (HIBADH) isoform 1 | gi\|73976179 | MS | 89, NA | 24, NA | 8, NA | 8.38 | 35.68 | $0.1274 \pm 0.0039$ | $0.0685 \pm 0.0118$ | $0.0855 \pm 0.0071$ | 0.0014 | 0.0013 | 0.0166 | NS |
| 811 | Myotubularin related protein 6 | gi\|194672062 | MS | 76, NA | 27, NA | 12, NA | 7.29 | 71.00 | $0.1762 \pm 0.0133$ | $0.0835 \pm 0.0066$ | $0.1628 \pm 0.0140$ | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0009 |
| 814 | Cytoplasmic beta-actin isoform 2 | gi\|73958067 | MS/MS | NA, 71 | NA, 7 | NA, 2 | 5.29 | 42.08 | $0.0935 \pm 0.0197$ | $0.1495 \pm 0.0279$ | $0.0139 \pm 0.0139$ | 0.0037 | NS | NS | 0.0028 |
| 821 | Complement component 1, q subcomponent binding protein precursor | gi\|73955331 | MS/MS | NA, 27 | NA, 5 | NA, 1 | 4.77 | 30.42 | $0.3736 \pm 0.0209$ | $0.2669 \pm 0.0160$ | $0.4236 \pm 0.0577$ | 0.0270 | NS | NS | 0.0238 |
| 872 | Ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 | gi\|73951868 | MS/MS | NA, 126 | NA, 11 | NA, 2 | 5.95 | 35.32 | $0.5050 \pm 0.0347$ | $0.3185 \pm 0.0398$ | $0.5122 \pm 0.0482$ | 0.0105 | 0.0226 | NS | 0.0180 |
| 874 | Heat shock protein beta-1 | gi\|50979116 | MS, MS/MS | 74,96 | 31, 12 | 8, 2 | 6.23 | 22.93 | $0.3965 \pm 0.0214$ | $0.3070 \pm 0.0141$ | $0.6276 \pm 0.0376$ | 0.0000 | NS | 0.0001 | 0.0000 |
| 913 | ATP synthase, $\mathrm{H}+$ transporting, mitochondrial FO complex, subunit d isoform a | gi\|57108097 | MS, MS/MS | 53, 99 | $77,26$ | 11, 3 | 5.64 | 18.70 | $0.3123 \pm 0.0156$ | $0.2056 \pm 0.0114$ | $0.2918 \pm 0.0095$ | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | NS | 0.0009 |
| 915 | DJ-1 protein isoform 1 | gi\|57086915 | MS/MS | NA, 31 | NA, 7 | NA, 1 | 5.97 | 20.17 | $0.2451 \pm 0.0189$ | $0.1234 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.1872 \pm 0.0267$ | 0.0018 | 0.0012 | NS | NS |
| 918 | Peroxiredoxin 2 <br> (Thioredoxin peroxidase 1) <br> (Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase 1) (Thiol-specific antioxidant protein) (TSA) (PRP) (Natural killer cell enhancing factor B) (NKEF-B) isoform 1 | gi\|73986497 | MS/MS | NA, 169 | NA, 23 | NA, 4 | 5.20 | 22.11 | $0.3579 \pm 0.0243$ | $0.2140 \pm 0.0242$ | $0.4055 \pm 0.046$ | 0.0035 | 0.0247 | NS | 0.0035 |
| 932 | Peroxiredoxin 1 | gi\|4505591 | MS, MSMS | 113, 46 | 44, 10 | 11, 2 | 8.27 | 22.32 | $0.2288 \pm 0.0154$ | $0.1288 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.1712 \pm 0.0128$ | 0.0013 | 0.0010 | 0.0452 | NS |
| 960 | Alpha-crystallin B chain (Alpha(B)-crystallin) | gi\|149716488 | MS | 85, NA | 48, NA | 8, NA | 6.76 | 19.98 | $0.5524 \pm 0.0525$ | $0.2190 \pm 0.0289$ | $0.7896 \pm 0.0898$ | 0.0001 | 0.0054 | 0.0452 | 0.0000 |
| 1043 | Fatty acid-binding protein, brain (B-FABP) (Brain lipidbinding protein) (BLBP) (Mammary derived growth inhibitor related) | gi\|73946307 | MS, MS/MS | 68, 182 | 70,27 | 10, 3 | 5.19 | 15.04 | $0.4825 \pm 0.1061$ | $0.1939 \pm 0.0361$ | $0.2126 \pm 0.0537$ | 0.0231 | 0.0342 | 0.0484 | NS |
| 1055 | DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily C, member 15 (predicted), isoform CRA b | gi\|149050007 | MS | 66, NA | 66, NA | 5, NA | 10.21 | 6.43 | $0.3574 \pm 0.0272$ | $0.1871 \pm 0.0092$ | $0.2475 \pm 0.0125$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0020 | NS |
| 1057 | Alpha-S1-casein | gi\|162794 | MS/MS | NA, 115 | NA, 11 | NA, 2 | 4.85 | 24.54 | $0.1663 \pm 0.0543$ | $0.0285 \pm 0.0185$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0075 | 0.0291 | 0.0089 | NS |
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| 1065 | Dihydrouridine synthase 1like (S. cerevisiae) | gil123288584 | MS | 71, NA | 21, NA | 10, NA | 8.85 | 55.29 | $0.1881 \pm 0.0199$ | $0.1801 \pm 0.0299$ | $0.2720 \pm 0.012$ | 0.0329 | NS | NS | 0.0449 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1069 | S-100 calcium-binding protein beta subunit (S-100 protein, beta chain) | gi\|74001608 | MS/MS | NA, 40 | NA, 3 | NA, 1 | 9.91 | 49.49 | $0.7950 \pm 0.0532$ | $0.3898 \pm 0.0235$ | $0.2685 \pm 0.0367$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | NS |
| 1185 | NEFM protein | gi\| 148342538 | MS | 91, NA | 21, NA | 15, NA | 4.85 | 98.39 | $0.2874 \pm 0.0160$ | $0.1145 \pm 0.0387$ | $0.3737 \pm 0.0517$ | 0.0015 | 0.0394 | 0.0245 | NS |
| 1194 | Pyruvate carboxylase, mitochondrial precursor (Pyruvic carboxylase) (PCB) isoform 1 | gi\|73982897 | MS, MS/MS | 212, 22 | 28, 1 | 27, 1 | 6.32 | 130.25 | $0.0225 \pm 0.0132$ | $0.1121 \pm 0.0233$ | $0.0613 \pm 0.0091$ | 0.0127 | 0.0098 | NS | NS |
| 1215 | Annexin A2 | gi\|18645167 | MS | 70, NA | 38, NA | 10, NA | 7.57 | 38.78 | $0.1893 \pm 0.0276$ | $0.1379 \pm 0.0182$ | $0.0868 \pm 0.0191$ | 0.0227 | NS | 0.0175 | NS |
| 1220 | Serotransferrin precursor (Transferrin) (Siderophilin) (Beta-1-metal binding (alobulin) isoform 1 | gi\|73990142 | MS, MS/MS | 239, 186 | 42,8 | 27, 5 | 7.73 | 80.22 | $0.0647 \pm 0.0102$ | $0.1730 \pm 0.0231$ | $0.0849 \pm 0.0173$ | 0.0033 | 0.0039 | NS | 0.0166 |
| 1251 | Collagen, type VI, alpha 1 isoform 1 | gi\|119887130 | MS/MS | NA, 73 | NA, 3 | NA, 3 | 5.24 | 109.74 | $0.0041 \pm 0.0041$ | $0.0881 \pm 0.0190$ | $0.0050 \pm 0.0050$ | 0.0006 | 0.0014 | NS | 0.0016 |
| 1259 | Vinculin (Metavinculin) | gi\|73953587 | MS | 88, NA | 22, NA | 16, NA | 6.82 | 87.67 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1152 \pm 0.0246$ | $0.0691 \pm 0.0111$ | 0.0015 | 0.0011 | 0.0404 | NS |
| 1260 | Xin actin-binding repeat containing 2 isoform 1 | gi\|66841385 | MS | 79, NA | 9, NA | 30, NA | 5.83 | 431.30 | $0.0165 \pm 0.0110$ | $0.1183 \pm 0.0278$ | $0.0669 \pm 0.0106$ | 0.0132 | 0.0099 | NS | NS |
| 1283 | Thymopoietin II | gi\|229542 | MS | 78, NA | 97, NA | 5, NA | 8.04 | 55.59 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1248 \pm 0.0057$ | $0.1722 \pm 0.0153$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0091 |
| 1342 | Hypothetical protein | gi\|6808049 | MS | 62, NA | 95, NA | 6, NA | 4.68 | 5.07 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1968 \pm 0.0408$ | $0.0791 \pm 0.0520$ | 0.0134 | 0.0105 | NS | NS |
| 1343 | Beta globin | gil57113367 | MS, MS/MS | 181, 211 | 87, 42 | 11, 5 | 7.83 | 16.23 | $0.1932 \pm 0.0802$ | $0.8061 \pm 0.1392$ | $0.3819 \pm 0.1471$ | 0.0176 | 0.0157 | NS | NS |
| 1344 | Beta globin | gi\|57113367 | MS, MS/MS | 133, 61 | 86, 8 | 10, 1 | 7.83 | 16.23 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.4206 \pm 0.0366$ | $0.2362 \pm 0.0817$ | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0180 | NS |
| 1381 | Keratin 10 isoform 2 | gi\|114667513 | MS | 90, NA | 29, NA | 13, NA | 5.05 | 56.86 | $0.0176 \pm 0.0176$ | $0.0756 \pm 0.0227$ | $0.3540 \pm 0.1066$ | 0.0047 | NS | 0.0057 | 0.0205 |
| 1385 | Alpha crystallin B chain (Alpha(B)-crystallin) (Rosenthal fiber component) (Heat-shock protein beta-5) (HspB5) isoform 1 | gi\|57085977 | MS, MS/MS | 120, 187 | 60, 26 | 11,3 | 6.76 | 20.05 | $0.0076 \pm 0.0076$ | $0.4507 \pm 0.0203$ | $0.1978 \pm 0.0448$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0011 | 0.0001 |
| 1394 | 40S ribosomal protein S3a (V-fos transformation effector protein) isoform 11 | gi\|73977917 | MS | 58, NA | 25, NA | 6, NA | 9.93 | 30.69 | $0.1508 \pm 0.0410$ | $0.0887 \pm 0.0187$ | $0.2208 \pm 0.0126$ | 0.0152 | NS | NS | 0.0116 |
| 1395 | Carbonic anhydrase I (Carbonate dehydratase I) (CA-I) (Carbonic anhydrase B) | gi\|57108007 | MS, MS/MS | 120, 71 | 60, 5 | 11, 1 | 6.59 | 29.03 | $0.1720 \pm 0.0571$ | $0.1475 \pm 0.0069$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0048 | NS | 0.0063 | 0.0178 |
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| 1400 |  | gil 17948324 | MS, MS/MS | 78,33 | 48,7 | 6, 1 | 7.12 | 22.24 | $0.0262 \pm 0.0128$ | $0.0947 \pm 0.0192$ | $0.0395 \pm 0.0177$ | 0.0417 | 0.0450 | NS | ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1408 | Annexin A2 | gil50950177 | MS, MS/MS | 200, 175 | 58, 14 | 18,3 | 6.92 | 38.92 | $0.1237 \pm 0.0270$ | $0.3673 \pm 0.0710$ | $0.2773 \pm 0.0429$ | 0.0258 | 0.0214 | NS | NS |
| 1512 | Heat shock protein beta-1 | gil50979116 | MSIMS | NA, 99 | NA, 12 | NA, 2 | 6.23 | 22.93 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1178 \pm 0.0105$ | $0.0191 \pm 0.0191$ | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | NS | 0.0003 |
| 1514 | Glycogen phosphorylase, muscle form (Myophosphorylase) | gil1730556 | MS | 76, NA | 19, NA | 16, NA | 6.91 | 97.73 | $0.0906 \pm 0.0323$ | $0.0644 \pm 0.0049$ | $0.1619 \pm 0.0258$ | 0.0319 | ns | NS | 0.0304 |

NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information
$\% C o v=\%$ Sequence coverage [(number of the matched residues/total number of residues in the entire sequence) $\times 100 \%$ ] NA = Not applicable
Table 4: Summary of significant differences between furious and paralytic rabies.

| Protein name |  | NCBI ID | Spotno. | Intensity (Mean $\pm$ SEM) |  |  | ANOVA $p$ value | Multiple comparisons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Control [C] |  | Paralytic [P] | Furious [F] | Pvs. C |  | F vs. C | P vs. F |
| Hippocampus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Beta globin |  | gi\|57113367 | 1084 | $3.2760 \pm 0.6120$ | $3.1782 \pm 0.2172$ | $5.6296 \pm 0.0810$ | 0.0004 | NS | 0.0014 | 0.001 |
|  | Cytochrome P450 2B12 (CYPIIB12) | gi\|62639273 | 192 | $0.1120 \pm 0.0081$ | $0.0798 \pm 0.0014$ | $0.1251 \pm 0.0082$ | 0.0008 | 0.0111 | NS | 0.0007 |
|  | Cytokeratin type II | gi\|73996498 | 255 | $0.0253 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.0357 \pm 0.0022$ | $0.0173 \pm 0.0056$ | 0.048 | NS | NS | 0.039 |
|  | Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP-2) isoform 3 | gi\|73993697 | 371 | $0.4072 \pm 0.1000$ | $0.2610 \pm 0.0174$ | $0.5731 \pm 0.0446$ | 0.0126 | NS | NS | 0.0095 |
|  | FBXW10 protein $\square$ | gi\|20306882 | 736 | $0.2409 \pm 0.0428$ | $0.2500 \pm 0.0288$ | $0.1221 \pm 0.0148$ | 0.0182 | NS | 0.0406 | 0.0271 |
|  | Guanine deaminase | gi\|73946803 | 1267 | $0.0424 \pm 0.0139$ | $0.0456 \pm 0.0102$ | $0.1045 \pm 0.0042$ | 0.0009 | NS | 0.0018 | 0.0028 |
|  | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(0), alpha subunit 2 isoform 1 | gi\|73949832 | 600 | $0.1778 \pm 0.0254$ | $0.1457 \pm 0.0104$ | $0.2802 \pm 0.0271$ | 0.0018 | NS | 0.0141 | 0.0019 |
|  | Interferon alpha 4 | gi\|18767673 | 107 | $0.1034 \pm 0.0076$ | $0.1106 \pm 0.0066$ | $0.1439 \pm 0.0101$ | 0.0078 | NS | 0.0092 | 0.0313 |
|  | Keratin 1 | gi\|160961491 | 264 | $0.0330 \pm 0.0027$ | $0.0358 \pm 0.0050$ | $0.0625 \pm 0.0049$ | 0.0004 | NS | 0.0007 | 0.0016 |
|  | Peroxiredoxin 2 (Thioredoxin peroxidase 1) (Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase 1) (Thiol-specific antioxidant protein) (TSA) (PRP) (Natural killer cell enhancing factor B) (NKEF-B) isoform 1 | gi\|73986497 | 888 | $0.2700 \pm 0.0363$ | $0.2982 \pm 0.0272$ | $0.4202 \pm 0.0262$ | 0.0073 | NS | 0.0084 | 0.0311 |
|  | Protein C9orf55 isoform 1 CD) | gi\|73971036 | 106 | $0.0696 \pm 0.0106$ | $0.0623 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.0964 \pm 0.0097$ | 0.0395 | NS | NS | 0.041 |
|  | Pyruvate carboxylase, mitochondrial precursor (Pyruvic carboxylase) (PCB) isoform 1 | gi\|73982897 | 95 | $0.0881 \pm 0.0107$ | $0.0907 \pm 0.0041$ | $0.0410 \pm 0.0185$ | 0.0225 | NS | 0.0456 | 0.0346 |
|  | SARM1 protein | gi\|114325428 | 1359 | $0.0231 \pm 0.0118$ | $0.0180 \pm 0.0030$ | $0.0535 \pm 0.0116$ | 0.044 | NS | 0.0308 | 0.0204 |
| Brainstem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Actin-related protein 2 isoform 4 | \| $\mathrm{i} \mid 73969820$ | 490 | $0.1348 \pm 0.0067$ | $0.1785 \pm 0.0249$ | $0.1128 \pm 0.0063$ | 0.025 | NS | NS | 0.0216 |
|  | Annexin A6 (Annexin VI) (Lipocortin VI) (P68) (P70) (Protein III) (Chromobindin 20) ( 67 kDa calelectrin) (Calphobindin-II) (CPB-II) isoform 2 | gi\|73953627 | 246 | $0.0739 \pm 0.0071$ | $0.0722 \pm 0.0074$ | $0.0456 \pm 0.0023$ | 0.0078 | NS | 0.0127 | 0.0193 |
|  | ATPase, $\mathrm{H}+$ transporting, V1 subunit B, isoform 2 isoform 2 | gi\|73993820 | 338 | $0.3896 \pm 0.0345$ | $0.2466 \pm 0.0090$ | $0.5235 \pm 0.0593$ | 0.0008 | NS | NS | 0.0005 |
|  | ATPase, $\mathrm{H}+$ transporting, V1 subunit B, isoform 2 isoform 2 | gi\|73993820 | 341 | $0.2130 \pm 0.0295$ | $0.0973 \pm 0.0214$ | $0.2944 \pm 0.0141$ | 0.0001 | 0.0066 | NS | 0.0001 |
|  | Centrosomal protein 63kDa isoform 2 | gi\|194221623 | 754 | $0.1721 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.3377 \pm 0.0335$ | $0.2202 \pm 0.0244$ | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | NS | 0.0098 |
|  | Chain A, Solution Structure Of The Twelfth Cysteine-Rich Ligand- Binding Repeat In Rat Megalin | gi\|159164645 | 128 | $0.0365 \pm 0.0077$ | $0.0699 \pm 0.0118$ | $0.0339 \pm 0.0044$ | 0.016 | 0.0363 | NS | 0.0241 |
|  | Creatine kinase B-type (Creatine kinase, B chain) (B-CK) isoform 1 | gi\|73964131 | 508 | $0.0802 \pm 0.0087$ | $0.1289 \pm 0.0137$ | $0.0846 \pm 0.0072$ | 0.0076 | 0.0115 | NS | 0.0209 |
|  | Cytosolic purine 5-nucleotidase (5-nucleotidase cytosolic II) isoform 8 | gi\|73998435 | 993 | $0.3931 \pm 0.0260$ | $0.6284 \pm 0.0636$ | $0.4158 \pm 0.0390$ | 0.0041 | 0.0063 | NS | 0.0128 |
|  | GDP dissociation inhibitor 2 | gi\|50978926 | 429 | $0.1042 \pm 0.0063$ | $0.0839 \pm 0.0096$ | $0.0335 \pm 0.0150$ | 0.0011 | NS | 0.001 | 0.0135 |
|  | Glutathione S-transferase Mu 3 (GSTM3-3) (GST class-mu 3) (hGSTM3- <br> 3) isoform 1 | gi\|57088159 | 807 | $0.1705 \pm 0.0128$ | $0.2736 \pm 0.0398$ | $0.1591 \pm 0.0229$ | 0.0186 | 0.0452 | NS | 0.0257 |

Table 4 (Continue)
GTP-binding protein alpha o
Immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region
Silent information regulator 2 Tubulin, alpha 1 isoform 9 Unidentified Unidentified 40S rib

| $\mid$ gi\|8394152 | 550 | $0.1927 \pm 0.0220$ | $0.3018 \pm 0.0375$ | $0.1850 \pm 0.0118$ | 0.0104 | 0.0164 | NS | 0.0248 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gi $\mid 112700066$ | 1023 | $0.2323 \pm 0.0192$ | $0.4159 \pm 0.0349$ | $0.2525 \pm 0.0302$ | 0.0007 | 0.0012 | NS | 0.0031 |
| gi\|73697550 | 566 | $0.1672 \pm 0.0204$ | $0.1711 \pm 0.0177$ | $0.0590 \pm 0.0072$ | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0007 | 0.0005 |
| gi\| 73996547 | 579 | $0.2392 \pm 0.0452$ | $0.5260 \pm 0.0861$ | $0.2565 \pm 0.0414$ | 0.0074 | 0.0122 | NS | 0.0018 |
| NA | 275 | $0.0747 \pm 0.0070$ | $0.0338 \pm 0.0020$ | $0.0627 \pm 0.0023$ | 0 | 0 | NS | 0.0009 |
| NA | 813 | $0.4906 \pm 0.0173$ | $0.3973 \pm 0.0308$ | $0.5654 \pm 0.0213$ | 0.0006 | 0.036 | NS | 0.0004 |
| NA | 1000 | $0.0931 \pm 0.0101$ | $0.1017 \pm 0.0117$ | $0.2252 \pm 0.0550$ | 0.0216 | NS | 0.0321 | 0.0457 |


Table 4 (Continue)

| Heat shock protein 90kDa beta, member 1 |  | gi\|50979166 | 182 | $0.3857 \pm 0.0451$ | $0.3422 \pm 0.0475$ | $0.1595 \pm 0.0373$ | 0.009 | NS | 0.0101 | 0.037 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heat shock protein beta-1 |  | gil50979116 | 1512 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1178 \pm 0.0105$ | $0.0191 \pm 0.0191$ | 0 | 0 | NS | 0.0003 |
| Hypothetical rhabdomyosarcoma antigen Mu-RMS-40.6c |  | gil48476968 | 159 | $0.0630 \pm 0.0070$ | $0.0087 \pm 0.0048$ | $0.0411 \pm 0.0100$ | 0.0007 | 0.0005 | NS | 0.0266 |
| Isocitrate dehydrogenase 3 (NAD+) alpha isoform 2 |  | gi\|73951310 | 651 | $0.1590 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.1092 \pm 0.0059$ | $0.2230 \pm 0.0127$ | 0 | 0.0041 | 0.0005 | 0 |
| Keratin 1 |  | gi\|160961491 | 698 | $0.1207 \pm 0.0148$ | $0.0849 \pm 0.0108$ | $0.1658 \pm 0.0247$ | 0.0222 | NS | NS | 0.0173 |
| Keratin 10 isoform 2 |  | gi\|114667513 | 1381 | $0.0176 \pm 0.0176$ | $0.0756 \pm 0.0227$ | $0.3540 \pm 0.1066$ | 0.0047 | NS | 0.0057 | 0.0205 |
| Heat shock protein beta-1 |  | gi\|50979116 | 874 | $0.3965 \pm 0.0214$ | $0.3070 \pm 0.0141$ | $0.6276 \pm 0.0376$ | 0 | NS | 0.0001 | 0 |
| Myotubularin related protein 6 |  | gi\|194672062 | 811 | $0.1762 \pm 0.0133$ | $0.0835 \pm 0.0066$ | $0.1628 \pm 0.0140$ | 0.0001 | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0009 |
| NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) Fe-S protein 1, 75kDa precursor isoform 1 |  | gi\|57110953 | 283 | $0.0410 \pm 0.0095$ | $0.0402 \pm 0.0048$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0005 | NS | 0.0011 | 0.0013 |
| N -ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion protein attachment protein beta |  | gil62645998 | 735 | $0.0988 \pm 0.0099$ | $0.1470 \pm 0.0457$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | 0.0155 | NS | NS | 0.0134 |
| Oxygen-regulated protein 1; AltName: Full=Retinitis pigmentosa RP1 protein homolog |  | gi\|62900882 | 157 | $0.0231 \pm 0.0035$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.0214 \pm 0.0071$ | 0.0047 | 0.0076 | NS | 0.013 |
| Peroxiredoxin 2 (Thioredoxin peroxidase 1) (Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase 1) (Thiol-specific antioxidant protein) (TSA) (PRP) (Natural killer cell enhancing factor B) (NKEF-B) isoform 1 |  | gil 73986497 | 918 | $0.3579 \pm 0.0243$ | $0.2140 \pm 0.0242$ | $0.4055 \pm 0.046$ | 0.0035 | 0.0247 | NS | 0.0035 |
| rCG47063 |  | gi\|149028757 | 158 | $0.0375 \pm 0.0045$ | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.0332 \pm 0.0095$ | 0.001 | 0.0015 | NS | 0.0042 |
| Septin-8 |  | gil73971156 | 460 | $0.0907 \pm 0.0047$ | $0.0126 \pm 0.0106$ | $0.0516 \pm 0.0047$ | 0 | 0 | 0.0118 | 0.0118 |
| Serotransferrin precursor (Transferrin) (Siderophilin) (Beta-1-metal binding globulin) isoform 1 |  | gil73990142 | 1220 | $0.0647 \pm 0.0102$ | $0.1730 \pm 0.0231$ | $0.0849 \pm 0.0173$ | 0.0033 | 0.0039 | NS | 0.0166 |
| Silent information regulator 2 |  | gil73697550 | 661 | $0.1419 \pm 0.0139$ | $0.1653 \pm 0.0132$ | $0.0792 \pm 0.0254$ | 0.0167 | NS | NS | 0.0158 |
| Thymopoietin II |  | gi\|229542 | 1283 | $0.0000 \pm 0.0000$ | $0.1248 \pm 0.0057$ | $0.1722 \pm 0.0153$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0091 |
| Ubiquitin carboxy-terminal hydrolase L1 |  | gi\|73951868 | 872 | $0.5050 \pm 0.0347$ | $0.3185 \pm 0.0398$ | $0.5122 \pm 0.0482$ | 0.0105 | 0.0226 | NS | 0.018 |
| Unidentified |  | NA | 436 | $0.0494 \pm 0.0051$ | $0.0468 \pm 0.0050$ | $0.0092 \pm 0.0060$ | 0.0002 | NS | 0.0005 | 0.0009 |
| Werner helicase interacting protein 1 , isoform CRA_b |  | gi\|148700412 | 304 | $0.0527 \pm 0.0031$ | $0.0363 \pm 0.0072$ | $0.1077 \pm 0.0110$ | 0.0001 | NS | 0.0008 | 0.0001 |
| Zinc finger protein 615 |  | gi\|197102729 | 693 | $0.1327 \pm 0.0094$ | $0.1273 \pm 0.0073$ | $0.1698 \pm 0.0141$ | 0.0316 | NS | NS | 0.0394 |

NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information NA = Not applicable
NS $=$ Not statistically significant

### 2.3 Characterization of the differentially expressed protein spots

For proteomics identifications through the MASCOT search engine NCBI database, these identified proteins were classified into 11 main categories, namely anti-oxidants, apoptosis-related proteins, cytoskeletal proteins, heat shock proteins/chaperones, immune regulatory proteins, metabolic enzymes, neuron-specific proteins, transcription/translation regulators, ubiquitination/proteasome-related proteins, vesicular transport proteins, and hypothetical proteins.


Figure 8. Summary of all differentially expressed proteins in hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord of dogs naturally infected with rabies. These significantly differed proteins were classified based on their molecular functions. More details of individual proteins, including mass spectrometric data (identities, identification scores, sequence coverage, number of matched peptides, isoelectric point or pI, molecular weight or MW, etc.), quantitative intensity data, and $p$ values obtained from ANOVA as well as Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparisons are summarized in Tables 1-Table 3.

Functional classification of altered proteins in hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord region compare to non- infected (N), furious (F) and paralytic (D) groups. Altered proteins were identified by mass spectrometric analysis (see Supplementary Table 1-3) and categorized according to their functions based on the NCBI protein database. Note that the spots with the same identities (i.e., post-translationally modified proteins) were counted as only one, and the total number represented the number of unique proteins identified.

Of these 11 main classes, 6 were found of interest in terms of their abundance or locations and/or of clinical types (furious or paralytic) and whether they may play role in pathogenesis (Table 1- Table 3). They are (1). Anti-oxidants (2). Apoptosis-related proteins (3). Cytoskeletal proteins (4). Heat shock proteins/chaperones (5). Immune regulatory proteins (6). Neuronspecific proteins (Table 5).

Table 5：Some interesting changes in furious and paralytic dogs compared to non－infected controls．

| Protein name | Spot no． | NCBI ID | Region | Alterations（vs．Control） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Paralytic（P） | Furious（F） |
| Anti－oxidants |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 kDa oxygen－regulated protein precursor（Orp150） （Hypoxia up－regulated 1） | 73 | gi｜73955046 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | NS |
| Glutathione S－transferase Mu 3 （GSTM3－3）（GST class－ mu 3）（hGSTM3－3）isoform 1 | 807 | gi｜57088159 | Brainstem | ヶ＊ | NS |
| Oxygen－regulated protein 1；AltName：Full＝Retinitis pigmentosa RP1 protein homolog | 157 | gi｜62900882 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Peroxiredoxin 1 | 932 | gi｜4505591 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Apoptosis－related proteins |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annexin A2 | 1215 | gi｜18645167 | Spinal cord | NS | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Annexin A2 | 1408 | gi｜50950177 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | NS |
| Annexin A6（Annexin VI）（Lipocortin VI）（P68）（P70） （Protein III）（Chromobindin 20）（ 67 kDa calelectrin） （Calphobindin－II）（CPB－II）isoform 2 | 246 | gi｜73953627 | Brainstem | NS | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Annexin A6（Annexin VI）（Lipocortin VI）（P68）（P70） （Protein III）（Chromobindin 20）（67 kDa calelectrin） （Calphobindin－II）（CPB－II）isoform 2 | 258 | gi｜73953627 | Hippocampus | NS | $\uparrow *$ |
| Cytochrome P450 2B12（CYPIIB12） | 192 | gi｜62639273 | Hippocampus | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Cytoskeletal proteins |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dynamin | 164 | gi｜181849 | Hippocampus | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Fascin 1 | 366 | gi｜4507115 | Brainstem | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Glial fibrillary acidic protein，astrocyte（GFAP）isoform 1 | 459 | gi｜73965500 | Spinal cord | NS | †＊ |
| Glial fibrillary acidic protein，astrocyte（GFAP）isoform 1 | 602 | gi｜73965500 | Spinal cord | NS | †＊ |
| Myosin，heavy chain 2，skeletal muscle，adult | 208 | gi｜115947178 | Brainstem | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Nebulin－related anchoring protein isoform 2 | 132 | gi｜114632883 | Brainstem | $\downarrow$＊ | $\downarrow$＊ |
| NEFM protein | 1185 | gi｜148342538 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | †＊ |
| Neurofilament，heavy polypeptide 200kDa | 37 | gi｜50979202 | Brainstem | $\downarrow$＊ | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Septin－8 | 460 | gi｜73971156 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | $\downarrow$＊ |
| TUBB2B protein | 1000 | gi｜133778299 | Hippocampus | NS | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Tubulin，alpha－1 isoform 9 | 579 | gi｜73996547 | Brainstem | ¢＊ | NS |
| Tubulin，alpha－2 chain（Alpha－tubulin 2）isoform 7 | 623 | gil73996522 | Brainstem | $\downarrow$＊ | NS |
| Vinculin（Metavinculin） | 1259 | gi｜73953587 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | †＊ |
| Xin actin－binding repeat containing 2 isoform 1 | 1260 | gi｜66841385 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | NS |
| Heat shock proteins／chaperones |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha－crystallin B chain（Alpha（B）－crystallin） | 960 | gi｜149716488 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | ヶ＊ |
| Alpha crystallin B chain（Alpha（B）－crystallin）（Rosenthal fiber component）（Heat－shock protein beta－5）（HspB5） isoform 1 | 895 | gi｜57085977 | Brainstem | NS | ヶ＊ |
| Alpha crystallin B chain（Alpha（B）－crystallin）（Rosenthal fiber component）（Heat－shock protein beta－5）（HspB5） isoform 1 | 1385 | gi｜57085977 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | †＊ |
| DnaJ（Hsp40）homolog，subfamily C，member 15 （predicted），isoform CRA＿b | 1055 | gi｜149050007 | Spinal cord | $\downarrow$＊ | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein（Heat shock 70 kDa protein 8） | 327 | gi｜123647 | Spinal cord | ヶ＊ | NS |
| Heat shock protein 90kDa beta，member 1 | 96 | gi｜50979166 | Brainstem | $\uparrow *$ | NS |
| Heat shock protein 90kDa beta，member 1 | 182 | gi｜50979166 | Spinal cord | NS | $\downarrow$＊ |
| Heat shock protein beta－1 | 874 | gi｜50979116 | Spinal cord | NS | $\uparrow *$ |
| Heat shock protein beta－1 | 1512 | gi｜50979116 | Spinal cord | †＊ | NS |
| Immune regulatory proteins |  |  |  |  |  |
| Immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region | 1023 | gi｜112700066 | Brainstem | †＊ | NS |
| Interferon alpha 4 | 107 | gi｜18767673 | Hippocampus | NS | $\uparrow *$ |
| SARM1 protein | 1359 | gi｜114325428 | Hippocampus | NS | $\uparrow *$ |
| Neuron－specific proteins |  |  |  |  |  |

Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (CRMP-2) isoform 6 (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2)

Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP-2) isoform 6
Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP-2) isoform 4
Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP-2) isoform 6
Dihydropyrimidinase related protein-2 (DRP-2) (Turned on after division, 64 kDa protein) (TOAD-64) (Collapsin response mediator protein 2) (CRMP-2) isoform 6

| 364 | gi\|73993705 | Spinal cord |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 314 | gi\|73993705 | Brainstem |
| 373 | gi\|73993699 | Spinal cord |
| 375 | gi\|73993705 | Spinal cord |
| 382 | gi\|739933705 | Hippocampus |

$\downarrow$ *

NS
$\downarrow^{*}$
$\downarrow$ *
$\uparrow^{*}$

## NCBI = National Center for Biotechnology Information

$\uparrow=$ Increased levels as compared to the control (non-infected)
$\downarrow=$ Decreased levels as compared to the control (non-infected)

* $p<0.05$ vs. control


## 3. Confirmation of the result of proteomics analysis at the mRNA level

Some particular genes were chosen to assure the proteomic result using SYBR green real-time PCR. The primer set for the selected target genes are given in Appendix B. These selected genes corresponded to 5 differently expressed proteins; Aconitase 2 (ACO2), Collapsin response mediator protein 2 (CRMP-2), Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (HSP70) and Hypoxia up-regulated 1 (HYOU1). Glyceraldehyde 3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) served as an internal control gene. The results were in accord with those of proteomics data.


Figure 9. Summary of the cycle number of mRNA levels of 6 genes (GAPDH, ACO2, CRMP-2, GFAP, HSP70 and HYOU1) at which the fluorescence becomes detectable above the background fluorescence.


Figure 10. A level of gene expression was analyzed by Q-RT-PCR. Data analysis results are Means $\pm$ SEM from 5 genes (aconitase 2, CRMP-2, glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), heat shock 70 and hypoxia up-regulated 1) compare to housekeeping gene (GAPDH)

## CHAPTER V DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Natural infection of rabies virus in dog is an ideal animal model for studying the pathogenesis of rabies (Laothamatas et al., 2008). Our results suggest that furious and paralytic rabies infected dogs had differential expression of proteins in their CNS, including hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord, as compared to the non-infected controls. These proteins were involved in biological processes in response to stress and to the process of rabies viral infection (Figure 8).

Oxidative stress has been reported in rabies (Koprowski, et al., 1993; Hooper et al., 1995; Shin et al., 2004). Axonal swellings with 4-hydroxy-2 nonenal (4-HNE)-labeled puncta, a marker of oxidative stress-dependent lipid peroxidation, were associated with aggregations of activity respiring mitochondria. Jackson and his colleagues have demonstrated that rabies virus infection in cultured DRG neurons derived from adult mice caused axonal injury through oxidative stress (Jackson et al., 2010). Protective proteins (i.e. anti-oxidants) have been shown to be up-regulated to counteract the oxidative stress induced by rabies infection (Zandi et al., 2009). Two isoforms of mitochondrial superoxide dismutase (SOD) were detected on CVS infected BHK cells. In vivo, oxidative stress may explain previous observations of the neuronal degeneration processes in the study of transgenic mice that express the yellow fluorescent protein in a subpopulartion of neurons (Scott et al., 2008). In our present study, we found both up- and down-regulations of antioxidants in brainstem and spinal cord of paralytic dogs, and down-regulation of one antioxidant protein in the spinal cord of furious dogs (Table 1-3). Thus, emphasizing the importance of oxidative stress injury and may play important role in disrupting tract integrity and cytoskeletal networks as mentioned earlier. In addition, this may suggest autophagy as death process in rabies. Recent study showed numerous autophagic compartments in dorsal root ganglia
neurons infected with CVS in adult mice (Rossiter et al., 2009). These data implicate that the disease process might be at the later stage than anti-oxidants could handle to protect the CNS from oxidative stress (i.e. irreversible deterioration stage). Analysis of the brains at an earlier stage will be helpful to address this hypothesis.

Even with severe clinical entities of both paralytic and furious dogs, there were only 1-2 apoptosis-related proteins that were significantly altered in each region of the CNS, including two forms of annexin A2, two forms of annexin A6, and cytochrome P450 2B12 (CYPIIB12) (Table 1). These data were consistent with previous findings, demonstrating that apoptosis was almost undetectable in wild-type rabies virus infection (Yan et al., 2001; Sarmento et al., 2005; Jackson et al., 2008; Suja et al., 2009; Schnell et al., 2010). Both in vitro and in vivo observations demonstrate that apoptosis may be a protective rather than a pathogenic mechanism in RV infections because less pathogenic viruses induced more apoptosis than more pathogenic viruses in both in vitro and in vivo using peripheral routes of inoculation (Morimoto et al.,1999; Yan et al., 2001). Especially, results in this study can be concluded that apoptotic changes in rabies infection depend on many factors such as strain of virus (street, fixed or attenuated strain) and method to detection.

In this study, annexin A2 and A6 were significantly altered in all region of the CNS. From the previous study, annexin XI, V genes were upregulated on day 4 , 6 post inoculation with wild type canine rabies virus in suckling mice (Ubol et al., 2006). Annexins are calcium dependent phospholipid-binding proteins and are proposed to act as scaffolding proteins to help direct membrane-membrane and membrane cytoskeleton interactions. In particular, annexin 2 has been shown to bind to actin and be involved in the assembly of actin at cellular membranes (Hayes et al., 2004). Cellular annexin A2 was found to be endogenously associated with HIV, influenza virus particles and VSV virions (Chertova et al., 2006; Shaw et al., 2008; Moerdyk-Schauwecker
et al., 2009). Annexin 2 has been proposed to facilitate HIV-1 assembly at cellular membranes (Chertova et al., 2006). Annexin 2 tightly binds to a member of the S100 family of calcium-binding proteins that promoting fusion events (Lewit-Bentley et al., 2000), and also helps in exocytosis (Gerke et al., 2005). Our study showed that S100 protein, beta chain was successfully identified in natural infection of rabies virus. Other less studied annexin A6 were also identified by our analysis, but their function in the cell is still not clear (Gerke et al., 2005).

The cytoskeleton protein system is closely related to maintaining cell morphology, regulating the progress of protein synthesis, enabling cellular motion, and playing important roles in both intracellular transport and cell division. The obtained data have strongly indicated the important role of the cytoskeleton system in the progress of rabies infection in CNS tissue. Most of cytoskeletal proteins were down-regulated in the CNS of paralytic and furious dogs (Table 1). Decreased amount of cytoskeletal proteins is likely the result of damage by rabies virus infection. These data were consistent with those reported in our previous studies on magnetic resonance imaging of the brains of furious and paralytic dogs during an early stage, which showed tract integrity and macro-structural damage in brainstem of paralytic rabies and in cerebral cortex of furious rabies (Laothamatas et al, in press). This process undoubtedly progresses to further widespread extent once coma ensues. In contrast, two forms of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), tubulin alpha-1 isoform 9, vinculin and xin actin-binding repeat containing 2 isoform 1 were up-regulated. These increases might be due to reorganization of cytoskeletal assembly in the CNS as a part of host response to the CNS infection. However, as there were much fewer up-regulated proteins, this compensatory mechanism failed to cope with the deterioration of CNS damage by rabies virus.

There are two major mechanisms for protein degradation in eukaryotes: one is the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and the other is autophagy-lysosome
pathway (Todde et al., 2009). For the ubiquitin system is responsible for protein that targeted to the proteosome for degradation or sent to different locations in the cell (Hochstrasser, 2009). The PPXY motif interacts with ww domain-containing HECT E3 ubiquitin ligase of Nedd4 protein. PPXY motif in Gag of M-PMV, VP40 of Ebola and M protein of rhabdovirus (VSV and RV) provides the structural components. This pathway also participates in virus budding and release (Harty et al., 2001; Ingham et al., 2004).

Autophagy has been proposed as a virus-specific roles relating to viral replication, host innate and adaptive immune responses, virus-induced cell death programs, and viral pathogenesis (Dreux and Chisari, 2010; Levine and Kroemer, 2008). As different virus families and cell types have been shown to display different autophagy responses, it is difficult to make direct comparisons between different virus-cell systems. With respect to anti-viral roles, the autophagy combats infections with viruses by promoting the survival of virally infected cells, and may function by degrading viral components, and/or by activating innate and adaptive immunity. In contrast, some viruses have developed ways to subvert the autophagic machinery for their own benefit in order to avoid the immune response or to increase their viral replication. (Orvedahl and Levine, 2008; Sir and Ou, 2010).

In neurons, highly specialized and post-mitotic long-lived cell types, basal levels of neuronal autophagy may be especially important in neuroprotective. A more recent study in viral encephalitis models, increased autophagy levels in both the herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) and Sindbis virus has directly correlated with decreased viral titers in infected brains (Liang et al., 1998; Orvedahl et al., 2007). For negative-sense ssRNA virus such as Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), deletion of autophagy genes increases viral replication in Drosophila cell lines and decreases Drosophila survival (Shelly et al., 2009).

Although, our results limited change in the autophagy-related protein, more extensive degenerative neuronal changes without the typical features of necrosis or apoptosis in DRG in vivo model of experimental rabies virus infection); likely, this neuronal death is due to autophagy (Rossiter et al., 2009). Precise molecular mechanisms governing the cross-talk between autophagy and rabies infected cell remains to be elucidated.

Heat shock proteins or chaperones play important roles in cellular stress responses, protein folding (to ensure the proper protein conformation), and presentation of antigens for the immune system (Latchman, 2004; Pockley, 2003). In rabies, heat shock proteins, especially heat shock protein 70 kDa (Hsp70), are known as the functional molecules for replication found in Negri body, working in concert with Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) and ubiquitylated proteins (Sagara and Kawai, 1992; Lahaye et al., 2009; Menager et al., 2009). Our data showed up-regulation of Hsp70 in spinal cord of paralytic dogs. In addition to Hsp70, there were many heat shock proteins or chaperones that were significantly altered in the CNS tissues of both paralytic and furious dogs. However, their levels were either increased or decreased (Table 1-Table 3). These disparate results might be due to the balance between deteriorated effects of virus infection and their counter-balances as the compensatory mechanisms of host to cope with diseases/disorders.

Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein has previously been shown to be incorporated into rabies, influenza, vesicular stomatitis and Newcastle disease viruses (Sagara and Kawai, 1992) and then proteomics study has been confirmed the presence of Hsp70 within the VSV virions (MoerdykSchauwecker et al., 2009). Under noninfectious conditions, HSP70 is known to correct folding of nascent and stress-accumulated misfolded proteins and preventing their aggregation (Hartl et al., 2002), control nuclear import of transcription factors, and directly interact with various components of the tightly regulated programmed cell death machinery, upstream, and downstream
of the mitochondrial events (Ravagnan et al., 2001; Saleh et al., 2000). Finally, HSPs could play a role in the proteasome-mediated degradation of specific cytosolic proteins via chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) pathway (Dice, 2007). Heat shock proteins may be potentially involved in all phases of the viral life cycle including cell entry, virion disassembly, viral genome transcription, replication and morphogenesis (Mayer, 2005). For example, the induction of the stress response promoted cytopathic effects of canine distemper virus (CDV) infection and an association of Hsp70 complexes with viral nucleocapsid suggested a possible contribution of HSP70 proteins to viral replication (Oglesbee and Krakowka 1993; Oglesbee et al. 1990).

Collapsin response mediator proteins (CRMPs) form a family of cytosolic phosphoproteins. They are strongly expressed through out the developing nervous system (Charrier et al., 2003). CRMP-2 has been shown to bind to tubulin heterodimers and promotes microtubule assembly, thereby enhancing axonal growth and branching (Fukata et al., 2002). CRMP2 can induce neuronal differentiation in hippocampal cultures (Inagaki et al., 2001). On the other hand, CRMP-2 is also expressed in immune cells and plays a crucial role in T lymphocyte polarization and migration (Vincent et al., 2005). In viral encephalitis in mice, the presence of high CRMP-2 expression in peripheral T lymphocytes associated with high migratory rates for T lymphocytes. This suggests that the activation process may be required for lymphocyte recruitment into the infected brain. CRMP2 expression may serve as indicator of neuroinflamation (Vuaillat et al., 2008). Interestingly, we found CRMP-2 protein at was down-regulated in spinal cord of both paralytic and furious forms of rabies, but was up-regulated in brainstem of paralytic dogs (Table 1).This may be an evidence of in-gressing activated T cells in the brainstem. The fact that inflammatory T cells could be demonstrated only at the brainstem of paralytic rabies (shuangshoti, data unpublished), the infiltrating cells at sites other than brainstem might undergo apoptosis.

There were concordant changes in immune regulatory proteins in CNS tissues of both paralytic and furious dogs. These included up-regulation of immunoglobulin heavy chain in brainstem of paralytic dogs and up-regulations of interferon alpha-4 and SARM1 protein in hippocampus of furious dogs (Table 1). Our data were consistent with the previous findings indicating the involvement of innate immune response in the brain of rabies-infected dogs (Laothamatas et al., 2008).

In addition, we also focused our attention to significant differences between the two forms of rabies in individual CNS tissues, as these data may lead to further identification of tissue biomarkers for differentiation of these two distinct clinical entities of rabies and may also facilitate understanding of factors determining clinical manifestations of rabies. All these significant differences are summarized in Table 4. A total of 13,17 and 41 proteins in hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord, respectively, significantly differed between paralytic and furious forms, and thus may potentially be biomarkers to differentiate these two distinct forms of rabies.

It should be noted that there was a previous proteomics study on CVS rabies virus infection in kidney, not neuronal, cells (Zandi et al., 2009). The baby hamster kidney cell line (BHK-21) was infected with CVS rabies virus and alterations in cellular proteome were identified by 2-DE followed by liquid chromatography (LC) coupled to MS/MS. Limited but significant changes were found in expression of viral and host cellular proteins with different functions, including those involved in cytoskeletal assembly, oxidative stress and protein synthesis. Another study was done in rabies-infected mice using 2-DE followed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) MS (Dhingra et al., 2007). In the latter study, ICR mice were intracerebrally inoculated with attenuated CVS-B2C or wild-type silver-haired bat rabies virus (SHBRV). Animals were sacrificed when they developed severe paralysis and the brains were removed. The expression of host brain proteins, particularly
those involved in ion homeostasis and docking and fusion of synaptic vesicles to presynaptic membranes in the CNS, were altered in the animals infected with SHBRV. On the other hand, attenuated rabies virus CVS-B2C upregulated the expression of proteins involved in the induction of apoptosis. Comparing the data reported in these two aforementioned studies to ours, not much identical changes was observed. This was not surprising as there were many differences in the study design and models of rabies infection, as well as the affected tissues/cells for proteome analysis. Integrative analysis of several models of rabies virus infection at different stages and in different affected organs/tissues or their locales would be very helpful to obtain the larger and clearer picture of pathophysiology or pathogenic mechanisms of rabies in humans.

## Conclusion

In summary, we report herein for the first time a large dataset of changes in proteomes of hippocampus, brainstem and spinal cord in dogs naturally infected with rabies. These data will be useful for better understanding of molecular mechanisms of rabies and for differentiation of its paralytic and furious forms.
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## APPENDIX A

## Coated Slides

Protocol

1. Rinse slides 2 changes in acetone.
2. Rinse slides in 2\% 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane 10 sec.
3. Quick rinse in acetone.
4. Rinse in deionized water.
5. Air-dried or in oven.

## Immunohistochemistry by Envision ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ system (Dako, USA)

## Protocol

## Slide preparation

1. Cut paraffin embedded tissue thick $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ by rotary microtome and put on coated slide.
2. Incubate tissue sections on coated slides $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{hr}$.

## Deparaffinize tissue section (in a coplin jar)

3. Wash the specimen in 3 changes of xylene, dipping the slide 20 times each in first and second washes, followed by 10 min . in the third wash.
4. Wash the specimen in 3 changes of absolute ethanol, dipping the slide 10 times each in first and second washes, followed by 3 min. in the third wash.
5. Wash the specimen in 3 changes $95 \%$ ethanol, dipping the slide 10 times each in first and second washes, followed by 3 min . in the third wash.
6. Wash the specimen one change of distilled water for 1 min .

## Antigen retrieval

7. Antigen retrieved by pressure cooker with citrate buffer pH 6.01 min.
8. Put the specimen in coplin jar containing PBS for 5 min . at room temp.

## Block endogenous peroxidase activity

9. Carefully blot around the section and circle with dako pen (Dako, USA).
10. Apply $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in distilled water to completely cover the specimen and incubate in a humidified chamber at room temperature for 10 min.
11. Gently tap excess liquid and wash the specimen with running tap water in a coplin jar for 5 min .
12. Put the specimen in a coplin jar containing PBS 3 min.

## Block nonspecific background

13. Apply 3\% normal horse serum (NHS) to completely cover the specimen and incubate in a humidified chamber at room temperature for 20 min .

## Antibody application

14. Gently tap excess 3\% NHS.
15. Apply room temperature primary antibody to the slide to completely cover the specimen and incubated 60 min . in a humidified chamber.
16. Gently tap excess liquid and wash the specimen in 2 changes of PBS in a coplin jar for 3 min. each wash.
17. Apply 1 drop or $200 \mu$ l. of visualization reagent (Envision ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ system (Dako, USA)) and incubated for 30 min . in a humidified chamber at room temperature.
18. Gently tap off excess liquid and wash the specimen in 2 changes of PBS for 3 min. each wash.

## Develop color in peroxidase substrate

19. Apply peroxidase substrate (freshly working DAB) to complete cover the specimen and stain for 10 min . at room temp.
20. Wash the specimen with running tap water in a coplin jar for 3 min.
21. Counterstain with hematoxylin.
22. Mount the specimen under a glass coverslip in a mounting medium (permount).

## APPENDIX B

The primer set for the selected target genes are given in Appendix B.
These selected genes corresponded to 5 differently expressed proteins; Aconitase 2 (ACO2), Collapsin response mediator protein 2 (CRMP-2), Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (HSP70) and Hypoxia up-regulated 1(HYOU1). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) served as an internal control gene.

| gene | forward primer | reverse primer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAPDH | 5'-GGA GAA AGC TGC CAA ATA TG-3' | 5'-AGT GGG TGT CAC TGT TGA AGT C-3' |
| ACO2 | 5'-AAG TTC CGT GGG CAT CTG-3' | 5'-GTG TCA GGG ACA GGA CCA AA-3' |
| CRMP-2 | 5'-GGA TGA AGA AGT ССС TGC CT-3' | 5'-AGA CAG CGA GTC AAA GTC GAT G-3' |
| GFAP | 5'-ATG GTA CCG GTC CAA GTT CG-3' | 5'-TCT CCA GGG ACT CGT TTG TG-3' |
| HSP70 | 5'-CGC AAC GTG CTC ATC TTT GA-3' | 5'-TTC ACC AGC CTG TTG TCG AA-3' |
| HYOU1 | 5'-GGA CCG TGA GGT GCA GTA TCT-3' | 5'-TGC TTG GTC ACT GGC ATT G-3' |

## APPENDIX C



Clustering analysis of the differentially expressed proteins in (A) control, (B) paralysis and furious dog brains, respectively. The rows represent individual proteins. The up- and down-regulated proteins are indicated in red and green, respectively. The intensity of the colors increases as the expression differences increase, as shown in the bar at the top.

## APPENDIX D



Hierarchical clustering analysis of the differentially expressed proteins in (A) control, (B) paralysis and furious dog brains, respectively. The rows represent individual proteins. The up- and down-regulated proteins are indicated in red and green, respectively. The intensity of the colors increases as the expression differences increase, as shown in the bar at the top.

## APPENDIX E



Protein network visualization on the STRING website (http://stringdb.org/newstring_cgi/show_input_page.pl?UserId=9VV_DbfDcGEm\&sessionI $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{OuP8CsXmeZ84})$. The figure shows a composite of two screenshots, illustrating a typical user interaction with STRING.

ASNS Asparagine synthetase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] (EC 6.3.5.4) (Glutamine- dependent asparagine synthetase) (Cell cycle control protein TS11) (561 aa)

HSPA8 Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (Heat shock 70 kDa protein 8); Chaperone. Isoform 2 may function as an endogenous inhibitory regulator of HSC70 by competing the co-chaperones (646 aa)

MYH2 Myosin-2 (Myosin heavy chain 2) (Myosin heavy chain 2a) (MyHC-2a) (Myosin heavy chain, skeletal muscle, adult 2) (Myosin heavy chain IIa) (MyHC-IIa); Muscle contraction. Required for cytoskeleton organization (By similarity) (1941 aa)

KRT5 Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 5 (Cytokeratin-5) (CK-5) (Keratin-5) (K5) (58 kDa cytokeratin) (590 aa)
DNM1L Dynamin-1-like protein (EC 3.6.5.5) (Dynamin-like protein) (Dnm1p/Vps1p-like protein) (DVLP) (Dynamin family member proline-rich carboxyl-terminal domain less) (Dymple) (Dynaminrelated protein 1) (Dynamin-like protein 4) (Dynamin-like protein IV) (HdynIV; Functions in mitochondrial and peroxisomal division probably by regulating membrane fission. Enzyme hydrolyzing GTP that oligomerizes to form ring-like structures and is able to remodel membranes. May also play a role on organelles of the secretory pathway (736 aa)

CWC15 Adrenal gland protein AD-002 (Hypothetical protein HSPC148) (Hypothetical protein ORF5); Involved in pre-mRNA splicing (By similarity) (228 aa)

HBB Hemoglobin subunit beta (Hemoglobin beta chain) (Beta-globin); Involved in oxygen transport from the lung to the various peripheral tissues (177 aa)

YWHAG 14-3-3 protein gamma (Protein kinase C inhibitor protein 1) (KCIP-1); Adapter protein implicated in the regulation of a large spectrum of both general and specialized signaling pathway.

Binds to a large number of partners, usually by recognition of a phosphoserine or phosphothreonine motif. Binding generally results in the modulation of the activity of the binding partner (247 aa)

TXNRD1 Thioredoxin reductase 1, cytoplasmic precursor (EC 1.8.1.9) (TR) (TR1); Isoform 1 may possess glutaredoxin activity as well as thioredoxin reductase activity and induces actin and tubulin polymerization, leading to formation of cell membrane protrusions. Isoform 4 enhances the transcriptional activity of estrogen receptors alpha and beta while isoform 5 enhances the transcriptional activity of the beta receptor only. Isoform 5 also mediates cell death induced by a combination of interferon-beta and retinoic acid (651 aa)

ATP5S ATP synthase subunit s, mitochondrial precursor (ATP synthase coupling factor B) (Mitochondrial ATP synthase regulatory component factor B); Involved in regulation of mitochondrial membrane ATP synthase. Necessary for $\mathrm{H}(+)$ conduction of ATP synthase (266 aa)

ATP6V1H Vacuolar ATP synthase subunit H (EC 3.6.3.14) (V-ATPase H subunit) (Vacuolar proton pump subunit H) (V-ATPase 50/57 kDa subunits) (Vacuolar proton pump subunit SFD) (VMA13) (Nef-binding protein 1) (NBP1); Subunit of the peripheral V1 complex of vacuolar ATPase. Subunit H activates the ATPase activity of the enzyme and couples ATPase activity to proton flow. Vacuolar ATPase is responsible for acidifying a variety of intracellular compartments in eukaryotic cells, thus providing most of the energy required for transport processes in the vacuolar system (By similarity). Involved in the en [...] (483 aa)

KRTAP5-9 Keratin-associated protein 5-9 (Keratin-associated protein 5.9) (Ultrahigh sulfur keratin-associated protein 5.9) (Keratin, cuticle, ultrahigh sulfur 1) (Keratin, ultra high-sulfur matrix protein A)
(UHS keratin A) (UHS KerA); In the hair cortex, hair keratin intermediate filaments are embedded in an interfilamentous matrix, consisting of hair keratin-associated protein (KRTAP), which are essential for the formation of a rigid and resistant hair shaft through their extensive disulfide bond cross-linking with abundant cysteine residues of hair keratins. The matrix proteins include the h [...] (201 aa)

RYR2 Ryanodine receptor 2 (Cardiac muscle-type ryanodine receptor) (RyR2) (RYR-2) (Cardiac muscle ryanodine receptor-calcium release channel) (hRYR-2); Communication between transversetubules and sarcoplasmic reticulum. Contraction of cardiac muscle is triggered by release of calcium ions from SR following depolarization of T- tubules (By similarity) (4965 aa)
GPATCH2 G patch domain-containing protein 2 (528 aa)
UFM1 Ubiquitin-fold modifier 1 precursor; Ubiquitin-like modifier protein which binds to a number of as yet unidentified target proteins (103 aa)

GNAI1 Guanine nucleotide-binding protein $G(i)$, alpha-1 subunit (Adenylate cyclase-inhibiting $G$ alpha protein); Guanine nucleotide-binding proteins ( G proteins) are involved as modulators or transducers in various transmembrane signaling systems. The G(i) proteins are involved in hormonal regulation of adenylate cyclase- they inhibit the cyclase in response to betaadrenergic stimuli (356 aa)

PFKP 6-phosphofructokinase type C (EC 2.7.1.11) (Phosphofructokinase 1) (Phosphohexokinase) (Phosphofructo-1kinase isozyme C) (PFK-C) (6- phosphofructokinase, platelet type) (784 aa)

FSCN1 Fascin (Singed-like protein) (55 kDa actin-bundling protein) (p55); Organizes filamentous actin into bundles with a minimum of 4.1-1 actin/fascin ratio. Probably involved in the assembly of
actin filament bundles present in microspikes, membrane ruffles, and stress fibers (493 aa)
(Homo sapiens)
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