



REFERENCES

- Adams, M.E., Day G.S., and Dougherty D. (1998). Enhancing New Product Development Performance: An Organizational Learning Perspective. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15, 15: 403-422.
- Adler, P. S. (1992). Managing DFM: Learning to coordinate product and process design. In G. I. Susman (ed.). Integrating Design and Manufacturing for Competitive Advantage. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Adler, P. S., Mandelbaum A., Nguyen, V., and Schwerer E. (1996). Getting the most out of your product development process. Harvard Business Review. 74 (March-April): 134-152.
- Adler, P. S., Riggs, H. E., and Wheelwright, S. C. (1989). Product development know-how: Trading tactics for strategy. Sloan Management Review. 31 (Fall): 7-17.
- Akao, Y. (1990). An Introduction to Quality Function Deployment. Quality Function Deployment (QFD): Integrating Customer Requirements into Product Design. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Productivity Press.
- Anderson, D. M. (1997). Agile product development. Agility & Global Competition. 1, 4: 21-31.
- Andrew, L. Harrison, Ertugrul Dalkiran, and Ena Elsey (2000). Global Competition from a European Perspective. International Business. University of Teesside: Oxford University Press.
- Anon (1995). The straining of quality. The Economist. 14 (January): 65-66.
- Anon (2000). Beyond ERP – Collaboration and value networks. Benchmarking Partners and IBM whitepaper.
- Baker, M. J., Brown, A. J., Brownlie, D., Crosier, K., Drayton, J. L., Kennedy. A., Kinsey, J., and Parkinson, S. T. (1983). New-product development, in M. J. Baker, A. J., Brown, et al., Marketing: Theory and Practice, 2nd ed. Macmillan: Basingstoke.
- Ballard, G., and Howell, G. (1998). Shielding Production: An Essential Step in Production Control. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management. ASCE.
- Bandurek G. (1992). Tools for Quality. George Bandurek, Worthing, UK.

- Barclay, I. (1992a). The new product development process: past evidence and future practical application, Part 1. R&D Management. 22, 3: 255-263.
- Barclay, I. (1992b). The new product development process: part 2. Improving the process of new product development. R&D Management. 22, 4: 307-317.
- Bartezzaghi, E., Corso, M., and Verganti, R. (1997). Continuous improvement and inter-project learning in new product development. International Journal of Technology Management. 14, 1: 116-138.
- Baxter, A. (1995). Polaroid focuses on speed. Financial Times. 5 May, London.
- Berman, E. M., & Khalil, T (1992). Technological Competitiveness in the Global Economy: A survey. International Journal of Technology Management. 7, 445: 347-358.
- Bostrom, R. P. (1989). Successful Application of Communication Techniques to Improve the Systems Development Process. Information and Management. 16: 279-295.
- Bowen, H. K., Clark, K. B., Holloway, C. A., and Wheelwright, S. C. (1994a), Development projects: The engine of renewal, Harvard Business Review. 72 (September-October): 110-120.
- Bowen, H. K., Clark, K. B., Holloway, C. A., and Wheelwright, S. C. (1994b). Make projects the school for leaders. Harvard Business Review. 72 (September-October): 131-140.
- Bozdogan, K., Deyst, J., Hoult, D., and Lucas, M. (1998). Architectural innovation in product development through early supplier integration. R&D Management. 28, 3: 163-173.
- Buckler, B. (1996). A learning process to achieve continuous improvement and innovation. The Learning Organization. 3, 3: 31-39.
- Caffyn, S. (1995). Continuous improvement beyond the factory floor: Report of the 12th CIRCA workshop. UK: University of Brighton.
- Caffyn, S. (1997). Extending continuous improvement to the new product development process. R&D Management. 27, 3: 253-267.
- Cahn David M., Swanton Bill (2001). Collaborative manufacturing execution (CME) powers outsourced high-tech manufacturing. The report on manufacturing e-business: AMR Research publication.

- Calantone, R. J., Schmidt, J., and Song, X. M. (1996). Controllable Factors Of New Product Success: A Cross National Comparison. Marketing Science. 15: 341-358.
- Calantone, R. J., Vickery, S. K., and Droge, C. (1995). Business Performance and Strategic New Product Development Activities: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 12: 214-223.
- Christian T., Roger, E. B. (2000). Learning and Process Improvement during Production Ramp-Up. California, San Diego: The Wharton School and University of San Diego.
- Christian T., Kuong S. C., Roger, E. B. (2000). An Exploratory Study of International Product Transfer and Production Ramp-up in the Data Storage Industry. California, San Diego: The Wharton School, Sun Microsystems, and University of San Diego.
- Ciborra, C. U., and Patriotta, G. (1998). Groupware and teamwork in R&D: Limits to learning and innovation. R&D Management. 28, 1: 43-52.
- Clark, E., (1994). ICUs transport policy for continuous improvement. CIRCA Continuous Improvement Conference. 20 January, London, UK.
- Clark, K. and Fujimoto, T. (1989). *Reducing the time to market: the case of the world auto industry*. Design Management Journal. 1, 1: 49-57.
- Clark, K., Fujimoto, T. (1991). Product Development Performance. Strategy, Organisation and Management in the World Auto Industry. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Clark, K., Wheelwright, S. (1993). Managing New Product and Process Development: Text and Cases. New York: The Free Press.
- Cooper, R. G. (1988). The new product process: A decision guide for management. Journal of Marketing Management. 3, 3: 238-255.
- Cooper, R. G. (1992). The New Prod system: The industry experience. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 9: 113-127.
- Cooper, R. G. (1994). Third-generation new product processes. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 11: 3-14.
- Cooper, Robert G., Elko, J. K. (1995). Benchmarking the Firm's Critical Success Factors in New Product Development, Journal of Product Innovation Management. 12: 374-391.
- Cooper, R. G., Kleinschmidt E. J. (1991). New product processes at leading industrial firms. Industrial Marketing Management. 20: 137-147.

- Cooper, R. G., Kleinschmidt, E. J. (1993). Screening new products for potential winners. Long Range Planning. 26 (December): 74-81.
- Costanzo, L. (1993). Speeding to market. Engineering. September: 12-13.
- Cusumano, M. A. (1994). The limits of "lean". Sloan Management Review. 35 (Summer): 27-32.
- Dahlman, C. J. (1989). Technological Change in Industry in Developing Countries. Finance and Development. 26, 2: 13-16.
- Davenport, T. H. (1993). Process Engineering: Reengineering Work Through Information Technology. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Davenport, T., Jarvenpaa, S., and Beers, M. (1996). Improving Knowledge Work processes. Sloan Management Review. 37: 53-66.
- David, C. T., Jeffrey, M. H., and John, W. W. (1995). Winning with Quality: Applying Quality Principles in Product Development. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Day, G.S. (1994). Continuous learning about Markets. California Management Review. 36: 98-31.
- Debackere, K., Van , L. B., and Vliegen, J. (1997). A process view on managing quality during the creation of technical innovations: lessons from field research. R&D Management. 27, 3: 197-211.
- Dennis Buss (1992). Mutual Learning: Industry/ Academic Collaboration for Improved Product Development. Quality Management Journal, 1, 1.
- Deshpande, R., and F. E. Webster Jr. (1993). Corporate Culture, Customer Orientation, and Innovativeness in Japanese Firms: A Quadrad Analysis. Journal of Marketing. 57: 23-27.
- Drucker, P. F. (1991). The New Productivity Challenge. Harvard Business Review. 69: 69-76.
- Dyer, J. H., and Nobeoka, K. (2000). Creating and managing a high-performance knowledge-sharing network: The Toyota case. Strategic Management Journal. 23: 345-367.
- Engardio, P., and Gross, N (1992). Asia's High-Tech Quest: Can the Tigers Compete World-wide?. Business Week. December 7.
- Ettlie, J. E. (1992). Checking out the foundations of the "house of quality". Production. 104, 8: 18.

- Ettlie, J. E. (1995). Product-Process Development Integration in Manufacturing. Management Science. 41.
- Ettlie, J. E., and Reza, E. M. (1992). Organizational Integration and Process Innovation. Academy of Management Journal. 35: 795-827.
- Eureka, W. E. (1988). The Customer-Driven Company: Managerial Perspectives on QFD. ASI Press.
- European Foundation of Quality Management (1996). Self-assessment 1996. Brussels: European Foundation of Quality Management.
- Evans, J. R. (1996). Something old, something new: a process view of the Baldrige criteria, International. Journal of Quality Science. 1, 1: 62-68.
- Evans, J.R., and Lindsay, W.L. (1993). The Management and Control of Quality. New York: West Publishing Company.
- Fisher, J., Kirk C., and Taylor, D. (1995). The implications of TQM for R&D strategy in New Zealand firms'. Technovation. 15, 1: 1-9.
- Funk, J. L.. (1993). Japanese product-development strategies: A summary and propositions about their implementation. IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management. 40, 3: 224-236.
- Gatignon, H., and Robertson, T. S. (1991). Innovative Decision Process: Handbook of Consumer Behavior. Prentice-Hall.
- Garvin, D.A. (1993). Building a learning organization. Harvard Business Review. 71: 78-91.
- Greer, D. F. (1981). Control of Terms and Conditions for International Transfer of Technology to Developing Countries: Competition in International Business. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Griffin, A. (1997). PDMA research on new product development practices: Updating trends and benchmarking best practices. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14: 429-458.
- Griffin, A., and Hauser, J. R. (1991). The Voice of the Customer. Working Paper, Sloan School of Management.
- Griffin, A. and Hauser, J. R. (1996). Integrating R&D and marketing: A review and analysis of the literature. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 13, 3: 191-215.
- Gupta, A. K., and Wilemon, D. L. (1990). Accelerating The Development Of Technology-Based New Products. California Management Review. 22: 24-44.

- Hahn, C. K., Watts, C. A., and Kim, K. Y. (1990). The Supplier Development Program: A Conceptual Model. Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management. 26: 2-7.
- Harryson, S. J. (1997). How Canon and Sony drive product innovation through networking and application-focused R&D. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 4: 288-295.
- Hart, S. (1995). Where we've been and where we're going in new product development research. In M. Bruce and W. G. Biemans (eds.). Meeting the Challenge of the Design-Marketing Interface. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Hart, H., and Berger, A. (1993). Using time to generate corporate renewal: Experience from the T50-programme in Asea Brown Boveri, Sweden. Management Conference. UK: European Institute for Advanced Studies.
- Hayes, Robert H., and Abernathy, William J. (1980). Managing Our Way to Economic Decline. Harvard Business Review. July-August: 67-77.
- Hoopes, D. G., and Postrel, S. (1999). Shared Knowledge, "Glitches", and Product Development Performance. Strategic Management Journal. 20: 837-865.
- Imai, Nonaka I., and Takeuchi, H. (1985). Managing the new product development process: How Japanese companies learn and unlearn. In K. B. Clark, R. H. Hayes and C. Lorenz (eds.). The Uneasy Alliance: Managing the Productivity-Technology Dilemma. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Ingham, M., and Mothe, C. (1998). How to learn in R&D partnerships?. R&D Management. 28, 4: 249-261.
- Inkpen, A. (1998). Learning, knowledge acquisition, and strategic alliances. European Management Journal. 16, 2: 223-229.
- Institute of Software Engineering (1992). Practical Quality Improvement through Software Process Maturity, The MARI Group.
- Jahn, S. (1996). Reengineering the product generation process. R&D Management.
- Jain, R. K., & Triandis, H. C. (1990). Management of R&D Organizations, New York Wiley Interscience.
- Johne, A., and Snelson, P. (1988a). Auditing product innovation activities in manufacturing firms. R&D Management. 18, 3: 227-233.
- Johne, F. A., and Snelson, P. A. (1988b). Success factors in product innovation: A selective review of the literature. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 5: 114-128.

- Kahn, K. B. (1996). Interdepartmental integration: A definition with implications for product development performance. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 13, 2: 137-151.
- Kahn, K. B., and McDonough, E. F. (1997). An empirical study of the relationships among co-location, integration, performance, and satisfaction. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 3: 161-178.
- Kamath, R. R., and Liker, J. K.. (1994). A second look at Japanese product development. Harvard Business Review. 72 (November-December): 154-170.
- Karlsson, C., and Ahlstrom, P. (1996). The difficult path to lean product development. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 13: 283-295.
- Karlsson, C., and Ahlstrom, P. (1997). Changing product development strategy: A managerial challenge. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 6: 473-484.
- Karlsson, C., and Ahlstrom, P. (1999). Technological Level and Product Development Time. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 16: 352-362.
- Kenneth Crow (2003). The principle of Integrated Product Development, DRM Associates.
- Kenneth Hagås (2000). Global Study on Product Development. Tokyo.
- Khalil, Tarek, M. (2000). The Key to Competitiveness and Wealth Creation, Management of Technology. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.
- Khurana, A., and Rosenthal, S.R. (1997). Integrating the Fuzzy End of New Product Development. Sloan Management Review. Winter: 103-120.
- Khurana, A., and Rosenthal, S. R. (1998). Towards Holistic “Front Ends” in New Product Development. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15: 57-74.
- Kim, D. (1993). The Link Between Individual and Organizational Learning. Sloan Management Review. Fall: 37-50.
- Kim, E. Y. (1990). Multinationals: Preparation for International Technology Transfer, Technology Transfer: A Communication Perspective.
- King, B. (1989). Better Designs in Half the Time: Implementing QFD. USA: GOAL/QPC.
- Kiyoshi Uchimaruru (1992). Total Quality Management for Technical Groups. Productivity Press.

- Koskela, L., Ballard, G., and Tanhuanpaa, V. (1997). Towards Lean Design Management. Proceedings 5th Annual Conference International Group for Lean Construction, Gold Coast, Australia. 16-17 July.
- Kogut, B., Zander, U. (1992). Knowledge of the firm, combinative capabilities, and the replication of technology. Organization Science. 3: 383-397.
- Krehbiel, J. (1993). Finding a better way to develop products. Machine Design. 65, 5: 90.
- Lambe, C. J., and Spekman, R. E. (1997). Alliances, external technology acquisition, and discontinuous technological change. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 2: 102-116.
- Lambert, D. M., and Cooper, M. C. (2000). Issues in Supply Chain Management. Industrial Marketing Management. 29: 65-83.
- Lawrence, P. R., and Lorsch, J. W. (1967). Organization and Environment: Managing Differentiation and Integration. Boston: Harvard University Press.
- Leonard, B. D. (1995). Wellsprings of Knowledge: Building and Sustaining the Sources of Innovation. Boston: Harvard University Press.
- Li, T., and Calantone, R. J. (1998). The Impact of Market Knowledge Competence on New Product Advantage: Conceptualization and Empirical Examination. Journal of Marketing. 62: 13-29.
- Maass, R.A. (1988). Supplier certification: A positive response to just-In-time. Quality Progress. 21: 75-80.
- Madhavan, R., and Grover, R. (1998). From Embedded Knowledge to Embodied Knowledge: New Product Development as Knowledge Management. Journal of Marketing. 62 (October): 1-12.
- Maffin, D., Thwaites, A., Alderman, N., Braiden, P., and Hills, B. (1997). Managing the product development process: Combining best practice with company and project contexts. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management. 9, 1: 53-74.
- Markham, S. K., and Griffin, A. (1998). The breakfast of champions: Associations between champions and product development environments, practices and performance. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15, 5: 436-454.
- May, C., and Pearson, A. W. (1993). Total Quality in R&D. Journal of General Management. 18, 3: 1-22.
- McKone, K. E., and Tumolo, Paul (2002). Redefining contract manufacturing. Supply Chain Management Review.

- Miles, B. L., and Swift, K. (1998). Design for manufacture and assembly. Manufacturing Engineer. October: 221-224.
- Miller, R. (1995). Applying quality practices to R&D. Research and Technology Management. 38, 2: 47-54.
- Moenaert, R. K., and Caeldries, F. (1996). Architectural redesign, interpersonal communication, and learning in R&D. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 13, 4: 296-310.
- Moffat, L. K. (1998). Tools and Teams: Competing Models of Integrated Product Development Project Performance. Journal of Engineering Technology Management. 15: 55-85.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (1995). "Technology Transfer and You". NASA Center for Aerospace Information, Linthicum Heights, MD.
- Narver, J. C., and Slater, S. F. (1990). The Effect of a Market Orientation on Business Profitability. Journal of Marketing. 54: 20-35.
- Nelson, K.M., and Coopridge, J. G. (1996). The Contribution of Shared Knowledge to IS Group Performance. MIS Quarterly Review.
- Nevens, T. M., Summe G. L., and Uttal, B. (1990). Commercializing technology: What the best companies do. Harvard Business Review. 68 (May-June): 154-163.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A Dynamic Theory Of Organizational Knowledge Creation. Organizational Science. 5: 14-37.
- Nonaka, I., and Takeuchi, H. (1995). The Knowledge-Creating Company. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Olthuis, G. (1996). Product creation process at Philips Electronics. R&D Management.
- Paashuis, V. (1998). The Organization of Integrated Product Development. Springer-Verlag London Limited.
- Page, A. L. (1993). Assessing new product development practices and performances: Establishing crucial norms. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 10: 273-290.
- Pavitt, K. (1991). Key characteristics of the large innovating firm. British Journal of Management. 2: 41-50.
- Pawar, K., and Riedel, J. (1993). Achieving integration through managing concurrent engineering. International Conference on Managing Integrated Manufacturing Organisation Strategy and Technology. UK.

- Pearson, A. W., and Ball, D. F. (1993). A framework for managing communication at the R&D / Marketing interface. Technovation. 13, 7: 439-447.
- Poter, M. E. (2001). Strategy and the internet. Harvard Business Review. March.
- Prahalad, C. K., and Hamel, G. (1990). The Core Competence of the Corporation. Harvard Business Review. 68: 79-91.
- Ragatz, G. L., Handfield R. B., and Scannell, T. V. (1997). Success factors for integrating suppliers into new product development. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 3: 190-202.
- Robert de Graaf. (1996). Assessing Product Development: Visualizing Process and Technology Performance with RACE. The Netherlands: Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Rommel, G., Bruck F., Diederichs, R., Kempis, R. D., Kaas, H. W., Fuhry, G., and Kurfess, V. (1996). Quality Pays. Macmillan Press Limited.
- Rosenthal, S. R., and Tatikonda, M. V. (1992). Competitive advantage through design tools and practices: Integrating Design and Manufacturing for Competitive Advantage. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rother, M., and Shook, J. (1998). Learning to See: Value Stream Mapping to Add Value and Eliminate Muda. Brookline: The Lean Enterprise Institute.
- Rothwell, R. (1992). Successful industrial innovation: critical success factors for the 1990s. R&D Management. 22, 3: 221-239.
- Saren, M. A. (1984). A classification and review of models of the intra-firm innovation process. R&D Management. 14, 1: 11-24.
- Sasaki, T. (1991). How the Japanese accelerated new car development. Long Range Planning. 24, 1: 15-25.
- Sergio, R., and Lopes, Jr. (1994). Technology Transfer in the Americas. National Technology Transfer Center. Wheeling, West Virginia.
- Slade, B. N. (1993). Compressing the Product Development Cycle: From Research to Marketplace. American Management Association.
- Slater, S. F., and Narver, J.C. (1994). Does Competitive Environment Moderate the Market-Oriented-Performance Relationship?. Journal of Marketing. 58: 46-57.
- Sobek, D. K. II, Liker, J. K., and Ward, A. C. (1998). Another Look at How Toyota Integrates Product Development. Harvard Business Review. 36-49.

Sobek, D. K. II, Ward, A. C., and Liker, J. K. (1999). Toyota's Principles of Set Based Concurrent Engineering. Sloan Management Review. 67-83.

Song, X. M., Montoya-Weiss, M. M., and Schmidt, J. B. (1997). Antecedents and consequences of cross-functional cooperation: A comparison of R&D, manufacturing, and marketing perspectives. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 1: 35-47.

Song, X. M., Thieme, R. J., and Xie, J. (1998). The impact of cross-functional joint involvement across product development stages: An exploratory study. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15, 4: 289-303.

Souder, W. E., Sherman J. D., and Davies-Cooper, R. (1998). Environmental uncertainty, organizational integration, and new product development effectiveness: A test of contingency theory. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15, 6: 520-533.

Starkey, M., Aughton, J. and Brewin, R. (1997). Extending process thinking: design of experiments in sales and marketing. The TOM Magazine. 9, 6: 434-439.

Swink M. L., Sandvig J. C. and Mabert V. A. (1996), Customizing concurrent engineering processes: Five case studies, Journal of Product Innovation Management, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp.229-244.

Szakoonyi, Robert (1992). Ten Blind Spots in Most American Companies' Management of Technology. CA, Menlo Park: SRI International.

Takeuchi, H., and Nonaka, I. (1986). The new product development game. Harvard Business Review. 64 (January-February): 137-146.

Tanaka, M. (1996). Target cost management (TCM) at the product design stage in Japanese companies.

Taylor, R., and Pearson, A. (1994). Total quality management in research and development. The TOM Magazine. 6, 1: 26-34.

Thomas, R. J. (1993). The ongoing process of new product development, in R.J. Thomas New Product Development: Managing and Forecasting for Strategic Success. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Turner, C.E., and Parry, G.C. (2003). Lean Thinking: Lean New Product Introduction. UK Lean Aerospace Initiative, University of Warwick.

Turner, J.R. (1993). The Handbook of Project-Based Management. London: McGraw-Hill.

Verganti, R. (1997). Leveraging on systemic learning to manage the early phases of product innovation projects. R&D Management. 27, 4: 377-392.

- Veryzer, R. W. (1998). Discontinuous innovation and the new product development process. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 15, 4: 304-321.
- Ward, A., Liker, J. K., Cristiano, J. J., and Sobeck, D. K. (1995). The second Toyota paradox: How delaying decisions can make better cars faster. Sloan Management Review. 36 (Spring): 43-61.
- Wasti, S. N., and Liker, J. K. (1997). Risky business or competitive power? Supplier involvement in Japanese product design. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 5: 337-355.
- Westbrook, R., and Barwise, P. (1994). Continuous Improvement in Leading FMCG Companies. London: London Business School.
- Wheelwright, S. C., and Clark, K. B. (1992). Revolutionizing Product Development. New York: The Free Press.
- Wiggenhorn, W. (1993). Motorola U: When training becomes an education. In R. Howard (ed.). The Learning Imperative: Managing People for Continuous Innovation. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Wood, A. R., and Coughlan, P. D. (1990). Northern Telecom: The Gate Procedure. In J. E. Ettl and H. W. Stoll (eds.). Managing the Design-Manufacturing Process. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Applied Social Research Methods Series. 5. CA, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Zander, U., and Kogut, B. (1995). Knowledge and the Speed of the Transfer and Imitation of Organizational Capabilities: An Empirical Test. Organizational Science. 6: 76-91.
- Zien, K. A., and Buckler, S. A. (1997). Dreams to market: Crafting a culture of innovation. Journal of Product Innovation Management. 14, 4: 274-287.

APPENDIX

New Product Introduction Success Factors Survey Questionnaire[☆]

This survey asks about your satisfaction on new product introduction performance of the team and factors that may influence on succession of the project.

Please note that all answers will be treated in confidence.

This survey divided into 4 sections:

Section1: New Product Introduction Personnel Background

Section 2: New Product Introduction Project Background

Section 3: New Product Introduction Performance Evaluation

Section 4: New Product Introduction Success Factor Evaluation

This questionnaire should be completed by the person with responsibility for operations, or the person with an overview of the New Product Introduction activities.

The answers should reflect the situation of the New Product Introduction project, regardless of whether the project is an independent or incorporate with the other project.

When completed, please return the questionnaire in the envelope provided.

******* Thank you very much for your coordination *******

* This survey is being conducted by Sujitra Luangvangpho, it is a part of Master's Degree Programme in Engineering Business Management between Chulalongkorn University and The university of Warwick, UK.



THE UNIVERSITY OF
WARWICK

Section 1: New Product Introduction Personnel Background

1. What is your sex?

<input type="checkbox"/> Female	<input type="checkbox"/> Male
---------------------------------	-------------------------------

2. What is your age?

<input type="checkbox"/> 20 – 24 years old	<input type="checkbox"/> 25 – 29 years old	<input type="checkbox"/> 30 – 34 years old
<input type="checkbox"/> 35 – 39 years old	<input type="checkbox"/> 40 and over	

3. What is your education?

<input type="checkbox"/> Bachelor's Degree	<input type="checkbox"/> Master's Degree	<input type="checkbox"/> Doctoral Degree
--	--	--

4. For how long have you been working at Fabrinet?

<input type="checkbox"/> Less than 1 year	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 – 3 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 – 6 years
<input type="checkbox"/> 7 – 9 years	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 years and over	

5. What is your department?

<input type="checkbox"/> Manufacturing	<input type="checkbox"/> Engineering	<input type="checkbox"/> Quality Assurance
<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Engineering	<input type="checkbox"/> Production Planning	<input type="checkbox"/> Financial Control
<input type="checkbox"/> Purchasing	<input type="checkbox"/> Tooling Design	<input type="checkbox"/> Supplier Quality Assurance

Section 2: New Product Introduction Project Background

1. What does your new product introduction project do?

<input type="checkbox"/> Optical Passive Component	<input type="checkbox"/> Optical Active Component
<input type="checkbox"/> Optical Amplifier	<input type="checkbox"/> Data Communication
<input type="checkbox"/> Automotive	<input type="checkbox"/> Mass Storage
<input type="checkbox"/> Imaging	

2. How many different new product introduction projects have you been working within?

<input type="checkbox"/> 0 project	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - 2 projects	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 - 4 projects
<input type="checkbox"/> 5 - 6 projects	<input type="checkbox"/> 7 projects and more	

3. How many people getting involve in your new product introduction projects, except operators?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - 3 people	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 - 6 people	<input type="checkbox"/> 7 - 9 people
<input type="checkbox"/> 10-12 people	<input type="checkbox"/> 13 people and more	

4. How long did your project take since first start until luanching to mass production?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - 3 months	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 - 6 months	<input type="checkbox"/> 7 - 9 months
<input type="checkbox"/> 10-12 months	<input type="checkbox"/> more than 1 year	

5. What do you see as the largest problem within your NPI project for *team ability in knowledge sharing and leaning*?

<input type="checkbox"/> Low knowledge background of the project
<input type="checkbox"/> Less information/ knowledge sharing with customer
<input type="checkbox"/> Less information/ knowledge sharing with customer
<input type="checkbox"/> Less information/ knowledge sharing within team
<input type="checkbox"/> Low ability in team learning
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify

6. What do you see as the largest problem within your NPI project for *team ability in solving problem solving and reduce any uncertainty?*

- Low knowledge background in using problem solving and improvement tools
- Less budget to support problem solving and improvement in the project
- Less time to perform any problem solving and improvement
- Not enough people to perform any problem solving and improvement
- No data/ information available to use for problem solving and improvement
- No tools to support any problem solving and improvement
- No one ever encourage to engage in problem solving and improvement
- No risk management is applied
- Other, please specify

7. What do you see as the largest problem within your NPI project for *team ability in managing tasks and coordination with external team and internal team ?*

- Low performance in task management
- Less communication and cooperation between team and customer
- Less communication and cooperation between team and supplier
- Less communication and cooperation within team
- Low support from management or project champion
- Less multi-discipline team or not enough people to perform any specific tasks
- Other, please specify

8. What do you see as the largest problem within your NPI project for *team ability to reduce complexity in product, process, system, documentation, and organization?*

<input type="checkbox"/>	Low flexibility and low response to change in design and development
<input type="checkbox"/>	Organization does not support in increasing decision-making authority and lower level in team
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low support from management or project champion
<input type="checkbox"/>	No support tools available such as computer based- tools, prototype, quality tools, etc.
<input type="checkbox"/>	No standard procedures use for carrying out the NPI project
<input type="checkbox"/>	No generic method use to guide project planning
<input type="checkbox"/>	No procedure or method use to evaluate the project performance and status against customer requirements
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other, please specify

Section 3: New Product Introduction Performance Evaluation

Please ranking your satisfaction on new product introduction performance of the team. Ranking score will be started from low performance (ranking 1) to high performance (ranking 5). According to the questions presented in table 1, table 2, table 3, and table 4, ranking 1 to ranking 5 responses to the question as:

- 1: Never
- 2: Rarely
- 3: Casually
- 4: Nearly Always
- 5: All the Time

Table 1: Knowledge Integration

Item	Dimension	Performance level				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Are you encouraged to come up with ideas for improving the NPI process?					
2	Are you responsible for implementing new idea and changes to the NPI process?					
3	Have you ever had an idea for improving the NPI process?					
4	If you learn something about NPI process (e.g. how to do something more efficiently), or if you have implemented an improvement individually, do you tell anyone else about it?					
5	Is the learning captured in writing and keeping in formalized processes for knowledge sharing?					
6	Do you hear about changes/ improvements that other people have made to the NPI process?					
7	Are you sharing your information on new product introduction process with customer and supplier?					
8	Is the organization providing training in product development improvement techniques to you?					
9	Are you working on new product introduction project together with other people as a team with a common goal?					
10	Is your the product development process being improved based on past experiences?					
11	Did you know the requirements of your customers?					
12	Do you receive training to upgrade your skills for a certain project?					
13	Can member of your new product introduction process team easily communicate and share information with each other?					

Table 2: Problem solving and Uncertainty reduction

	Dimension	Performance level				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Do you see any problems existing in your project today?					
2	Is useful information on failure analysis used during development?					
3	Are computer aided design and simulation tools or check lists used to ensure the product functionality?					
4	Is the product's quality status monitored continuously during development?					
5	Is data collected to measure the effectiveness of the product development process?					
6	Is the data collected to measure the effectiveness of the development process used to manage this process?					
7	Are the key influences on the product development process identified and understood?					
8	Are the methods used for evaluation of customers' requirements reviewed in order to improve them?					
9	Do you ever consciously engage in resolving problem within the new product introduction process?					
10	Is there any barrier in solving problem in your project such as budget, technology, time, people, etc.?					
11	Is risk analysis approaches such as FMEA, Cause & Effect analysis, etc. is made and utilized to reduce the risk during each prospective.					
12	Are your front-line peoples consciously engaged in solving problem within the new product introduction process?					
13	Are you and your team have been trained in problem solving and improvement tools (e.g. SPC, Brainstorming, 5S, QFD, Pareto, , etc.)?					

Table 3: Continuous Concurrent

	Dimension	Performance level				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Do crises that occur during the project strengthen the team's spirit?					
2	Do multiple disciplines concurrently make trade-off decisions involving the product design and technology or manufacturing process design and development?					
3	Does cooperation with others, inside and outside the company, comply with a strategically planned framework?					
4	Does each team member clearly understand his project responsibilities?					
5	During which stages of the product development is the customer involved? (1: Not at all, 2: Later Stages, 3: Early Stages, 4: Early & Later Stages, 5: Continuous)					
6	During which stages of the NPI process are the customers' requirements evaluated? (1: Not at all, 2: Later Stages, 3: Early Stages, 4: Early & Later Stages, 5: Continuous)					
7	Are organizational policies implemented that support working in teams?					
8	Is it possible for team members to work jointly in optimizing and reviewing their work?					
9	Is the present project integrated with the organization, its suppliers, customers, etc.?					
10	Is the focus of the team members fully project oriented during the whole development cycle?					
11	Do individual team members tend to put the team's interests before their own?					
12	Is management or team leader actively participating in the NPI process.					
13	Does your NPI project receive adequate resources?					

Table 4: Simplicity

	Dimension	Performance level				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Is a team empowered to make organizational changes in order to reduce any complexity?					
2	Are the NPI process and organization documented?					
3	Are the reasons for design changes/ process changes or improvements reviewed and documented?					
4	Are procedures used to monitor and motivate teams?					
5	Are methods or tools used to assist in gathering requirements from customers?					
6	Are methods or tools used for evaluating whether customers' requirements are met?					
7	Are customized tools used to flow down requirements from the customer to the teams?					
8	Is a standardized procedure used for carrying out the project?					
9	Is a generic method used to guide project overlapping and planning?					
10	Are quality tools used to collaborative work and reduce any complexity throughout the project?					
11	Is there any procedure and tools used to monitor and evaluate a project performance?					
12	Is the project data available to all the team members?					
13	Are you ever engage in reducing the unnecessary task or complexity in the project?					

Section 4: New Product Introduction Success Factor Evaluation

Please ranking your level of agreement on new product introduction success factors. Ranking score will be started from strongly disagree (ranking 1) to strongly agree (ranking 5). According to the questions presented in table 5, ranking 1 to ranking 5 responses to the question as:

- 1: Strongly Disagree
- 2: Disagree
- 3: Undecided
- 4: Agree
- 5: Strongly Agree

Table 5: New Product Introduction Success Factor

	Dimension	Agreement level				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	A team's ability to integrate and embed in shared knowledge and understanding of current <i>customers'</i> needs and future value to customer among product development members is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
2	A team's ability to integrate and embed in shared understanding of <i>suppliers'</i> design, process, and manufacturing capabilities among product development team members is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
3	A team's ability to integrate and embed in shared understanding of the firm's internal design, process and manufacturing capabilities among product development members is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
4	A team's ability to integrate and embed in sustain significant improvements in development over long periods of time rests on the capability to learn from experience is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
5	A team's ability to has effectively use of communication and information flow between the team is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
6	A team's ability to identify and solve problems in the early phases is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
7	A team's ability to avoid and reduce uncertainty already in the early phases is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
8	Applying quality management practices such as lean, TQM, and countinuous improvement principles will lead to succession of the NPI project.					
9	A team's ability to overlap tasks in the early phases is essential to successof the NPI project.					
10	Keeping relevant people and functions continuously involved from the early to the late phases by the use of cross-functional or multidiscipline team is essential to succession of the NPI project.					

Table 5: New Product Introduction Success Factor

	Dimension	Agreement level				
		1	2	3	4	5
11	Supportive from top management or team champion/ leader will help the project to maintain momentum when it runs into difficulties.					
12	A team's ability to <i>reduce complexity</i> in products, processes, systems, documentation, and organization, and by this reducing the overall development task and making the individual tasks simpler is essential to succession of the NPI project.					
13	Applying the standard tools and practices such as Design for Manufacturability, Design of Experiments, Computer-based tools, Prototype, etc. will make the project more efficient and effective.					

******* Thank you very much for your coordination *******

BIOGRAPHY

Miss Sujitra Luangvangpho was born at Lop Buri, Thailand, on January 12th, 1972. She graduated from the department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Rangsit University in April, 1995. She started her study for Master's degree in Engineering Management at the Regional Centre for Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Chulalongkorn University in November, 2002, as a full-time student.

She is now working with Fabrinet Co., Ltd., Thailand, as a senior engineer in department of Quality Assurance.

