การพัฒนาระบบฐานข้อมูลสำหรับการค้นหาและประมาณค่าสมบัติของแก๊สและของเหลว

นาย ธนิต สวัสดิ์เสวี



วิทยานิพนธ์เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมสาสตรมหาบัณฑิต

ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี

บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

พ.ศ. 2539

ISBN 974 - 633 - 921 - 4

ลิขสิทธิ์ของบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

DEVELOPMENT OF A DATABASE SYSTEM FOR SEARCHING AND ESTIMATING PROPERTIES OF GASES AND LIQUIDS

Mr. Thanit Swasdisevi

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Chemical Engineering

Graduate School

Chulalongkorn University

1996

ISBN 974 - 633 - 921 - 4

Thesis Title	Development of a database system for searching and estimating
	properties of gases and liquids
Ву	Mr. Thanit Swasdisevi
Department	Chemical Engineering
Thesis Advisor	Dr. Tawatchai Charinpanitkul
Accepted by t	he Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Re	quirements for the Master's Degree.
	7 1
O	and Thorngsuran Dean of Graduate School
	Professor Santi Thoongsuwan, Ph.D)
Thesis Committee	
).	Chairman
1:4	Chairman
(Professor	Piyasan Praserthdam, Dr. Ing.)
9	Champennthal
	I nests Advisor
(Tawatcha	i Charinpanitkul, Dr. Eng.)
Deele	Cluthal
(Deacha (Chatsiriwech, Ph. D)
Samp	ranong Sr. Cha Member
(Sompraso	ong Srichai, Ph. D)

พิมพ์ต้นฉบับบทคัดย่อวิทยานิพนธ์ภายในกรอบสีเขียวนี้เพียงแผ่นเดียว



ชนิต สวัสดิ์เสวี : การพัฒนาระบบฐานข้อมูลสำหรับการค้นหาและประมาณค่าสมบัติของแก๊ส และของเหลว (DEVELOPMENT OF A DATABASE SYSTEM FOR SEARCHING AND ESTIMATING PROPERTIES OF GASES AND LIQUIDS) อ.ที่ปรึกษา : คร. ชวัชชัย ชรินพาณิชกุล, 182 หน้า, ISBN 974 - 633 - 921 - 4

จุดประสงค์ของงานวิจัยนี้คือการพัฒนาระบบฐานข้อมูลสำหรับการค้นหนและประมาณค่าสมบัติของแก๊ส และของเหลว โปรแกรมนี้สามารถทำงานได้บนวินโควส์ที่ใช้กับเครื่องคอมพิวเคอร์ส่วนบุคคล โปรแกรมบ่ระกอบด้วย สารจำนวน 150 สารในฐานข้อมูล แบบจำลองฐานข้อมูลแบบรีเลชันนอล(relational database model) ถูกนำมาใช้เพื่อเก็บ ข้อมูลเพราะโครงสร้างของแบบจำลองไม่ซับซ้อนและเข้าถึงฐานข้อมูลได้ง่าย โปรแกรมออกแบบมาสำหรับเชื่อมโยงกับ โปรแกรมอื่นที่พัฒนาขึ้นมาด้วยภาษาซีพลัสพลัส(C++ language) หลักการโปรแกรมแบบเน้นวัตถุถูกนำมาใช้กับ โปรแกรมดังนั้นโปรแกรมจึงสามารถเชื่อมโยงกับโปรแกรมอื่นได้ง่าย สมการของซอฟว์-เรคลิก-ควอง(Soave-Redlich-Kwong equation) และ สมการของเพ็ง-โรบินสัน(Peng-Robinson equation) นำมาใช้เพื่อทำนายสมบัติของแก๊สและ ของเหลวเพราะสมการเหล่านี้สามารถใช้ได้ในสภาวะที่กว้าง

ผลการทำนายเมื่อนำไปเปรียบเทียบกับผลจาก ไฮซิม(HYSIM) และ ข้อมูลอ้างอิง(reference data) แสคงให้เห็น ว่า โปรแกรมสามารถทำนายสมบัติของสารได้อย่างถูกต้อง เปอร์เซ็นค์ส่วนเบี่ยงเบนเป็นคังนี้ ความคันไอมีส่วนเบี่ยงเบน น้อยกว่า 2 เปอร์เซ็นต์ ความร้อนของการระเหยมีส่วนเบี่ยงเบนน้อยกว่า 7 เปอร์เซ็นต์ คอมเพรสซิบิลิตีแฟกเตอร์ (compressibility factor) มีส่วนเบี่ยงเบนน้อยกว่า 10 เปอร์เซ็นต์ ปริมาตรต่อโมลมีส่วนเบี่ยงเบนน้อยกว่า 10 เปอร์เซ็นต์ การเปลี่ยนแปลงของเอนโทรปี(entropy changes) มีส่วนเบี่ยงเบน น้อยกว่า 5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ สัมประสิทธิ์ฟูกาซิตี(fugacity coefficient) มีส่วนเบี่ยงเบนน้อยกว่า 4.5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ สมคุลระหว่าง ไอและของเหลว มีส่วนเบี่ยงเบนน้อยกว่า 8 เปอร์เซ็นต์

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิตไล้ก ก็จักสาร
สาขาวิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา
ปีการศึกษา	2538	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

ាយពេលប័ន្ធដែលដោយបានប្រជាធានរដ្ឋមានទាំងសម្តេចការប្រការ

C617029 : MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

ISBN 974 - 633 - 921 - 4

PROPERTIES OF GASES AND LIQUIDS/ DATABASE SYSTEM
THANIT SWASDISEVI: DEVELOPMENT OF A DATABASE SYSTEM FOR
SEARCHING AND ESTIMATING PROPERTIES OF GASES AND LIQUIDS
THESIS ADVISOR: TAWATCHAI CHARINPANITKUL, Dr. Eng. 182 pp.

The objective of this research is to develop a database system for searching and estimating properties of gases and liquids. A program can be run on Windows plateform used with a personal computer. The program contains 150 compounds in its database. Relational database model is used to store data because the structure of this model is not complicated but easy to access. The program is designed for linking to other programs which are developed in the C++ language. The concept of object-oriented programming is used in the program; therefore it can be linked with other programs easily. Soave-Redlich-Kwong and Peng-Robinson equations are used to predict the properties of gases and liquids because these equations can be used within a wide range of condition.

The results of prediction compared with those from HYSIM and reference data show that the program is able to predict the properties of gases and liquids accurately. Percent deviation is as follows: the deviation of vapor pressure is less than $\pm 2\%$, the deviation of heat of vaporization less than $\pm 7\%$, the deviation of compressibility factor less than $\pm 10\%$, the deviation of molar volume less than $\pm 10\%$, the deviation of enthalpy changes and entropy changes less than $\pm 5\%$, the deviation of fugacity coefficient less than $\pm 4.5\%$. the deviation of vapor-liquid equilibria less than $\pm 5\%$, the deviation of liquid viscosity less than $\pm 8\%$.

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต Swadisen
		ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรีกษา Flagom Pel
ปีการศึกษา	2538	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to express his gratitude to Dr. Tawatchai Charinpanitkul, his advisor, for his greatest guidance, valuable help, suggestions and supervision during this study. He is also grateful to Professor Piyasan Praserthdam, Dr. Deacha Chatsiriwech, Dr. Somprasong Srichai for serving as chairman and members of the thesis evaluating committee, respectively.

Finally, the author would like to give his greatest thanks to his colleagues for their continuous support and encouragement throughout this study.

CONTENTS

	PAG	E
ABSTRACT	IN THAI iv	
ABSTRACT	IN ENGLISH v	
ACKNOWL	EDGEMENT vi	
LIST OF FIG	GURES viii	
LIST OF TA	BLESxvi	
NOMENCL	ATURExx	
CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION1	
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
III	DATABASE CONCEPTS 8	
IV	RELATIONAL MODEL	
V	EQUATIONS OF STATE 18	
VI	ESTIMATION OF THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES	
	AND TRANSPORT PROPERTY 24	
VII	VAPOR-LIQUID EQUILIBRIA	
VIII	STRUCTURE AND USING THE PROGRAM 67	
IX	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 81	
X	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
REFERENC	ES	
ADDENIDIY	Λ 175	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIG	URE	PAGE
2 1	Basic structure of a database	8
	Database management system(DBMS) architecture	
	A sample relational database	10
3.4	A sample net work database	11
3.5	A sample hierarchical database	12
4.1	Mathematical view of a relation	14
4.2	Data processing view of relation.	15
4.3	The Supplier_Parts database	. 17
6.1	Isotherms and isobars for changes in enthalpy	34
7.1	An algolithm for solving bubble-point pressure	. 47
7.2	An algolithm for solving bubble-point temperature	49
7.3	An algolithm for solving dew-point pressure	50
7.4	An algolithm for solving dew-point temperature	52
7.5	An algolithm for solving isothermal flash calculation	55
7.6	An algolithm for solving the bubble-point pressure using	
	equation of state	59
7.7	An algolithm for solving the dew-point pressure using	
	equation of state	60
7.8	An algolithm for solving the bubble-point temperature using	
	equation of state	62
7.9	An algolithm for solving the dew-point temperature using	
	equation of state	63
7.10	An algolithm for solving isothermal flash using	
	equation of state	65

8.1	Structure of a database system for searching and estimating properties	
	of gases and liquids	71
8.2	Menu of a database program for searching and estimating properties	
	of gases and liquids	72
8.3	Menu of File command.	72
8.4	Menu of Select command	73
8.5	Windows of Single component command	74
8.6	Windows of Multicomponent command	75
8.7	Windows of Viscosity command	76
8.8	Menu of Estimate command	77
8.9	Windows of bubble-point pressure calculation	78
8.10	Menu of run command	79
8.11	Menu of Help command	80
9.1	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of ethane	
	and reference data	83
9.2	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of n-butane	
	and reference data	84
9.3	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of 2-methyl pentane	
	and reference data	85
9.4	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of 2-methyl heptane	
	and reference data	86
9.5	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of cyclopentane	
	and reference data	87
9.6	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of cyclohexane	
	and reference data	88
9.7	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of ethylene	
	and reference data	89

9.8	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of 1-hexene	
	and reference data	90
9.9	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of benzene	
	and reference data	91
9.10	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of ethylbenzene	
	and reference data	92
9.11	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of argon	
	and reference data	93
9.12	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of chlorine	
	and reference data	94
9.13	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of methanol	
	and reference data	95
9.14	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of ethanol	
	and reference data	96
9.15	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of carbon	
	tetrachloride and reference data	97
9.16	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of chloroform	
	and reference data	98
9.17	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of methyl amine	
	and reference data	99
9.18	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of acetone	
	and reference data	100
9.19	Comparison between calculated vapor pressure of formaldehyde	
	and reference data	101
9.20	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor	
	of pure ethane in gas phase using SRK equation and those from	
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)	109

9.21	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
,. . .	of pure n-butane in gas phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9 22	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
). 	of pure nitrogen in gas phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9 23	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
7.23	of pure ethane in gas phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.24	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure n-butane in gas phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.25	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure nitrogen in gas phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.26	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure ethane in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.27	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure n-butane in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.28	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure nitrogen in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.29	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure ethane in liquid phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)115

9.30	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure n-butane in liquid phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.31	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of pure nitrogen in liquid phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)116
9.32	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of ethane and propylene in gas phase using SRK equation and those
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.33	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of methane, ethane, and propane in gas phase using SRK equation
	and those from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)119
9.34	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of ethane and propylene in gas phase using PR equation and those
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.35	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of methane, ethane, and propane in gas phase using PR equation
	and those from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure) 120
9.36	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of ethane and propylene in liquid phase using SRK equation and
	those from HYSIM(at bubble-point pressure)
9.37	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of methane, ethane, and propane in liquid phase using SRK equation
	and those from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure) 123
9.38	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of ethane and propylene in liquid phase using PR equation and
	those from HYSIM(at bubble-point pressure)

9.39	Comparison between the calculated values of compressibility factor
	of methane, ethane, and propane in liquid phase using PR equation
	and those from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure) 124
9.40	Comparison between calculated values of molar volume and reference
	data for pure nitrogen in gas phase (at bubble-point pressure) 127
9.41	Comparison between calculated values of molar volume and reference
	data for pure benzene in gas phase (at bubble-point pressure)
9.42	Comparison between calculated values of molar volume and reference
	data for pure benzene in liquid phase (at bubble-point pressure) 129
9.43	Comparison between calculated molar volume and reference dta for
	pure benzene in liquid phase (at bubble-point pressure) 129
9.44	Comparison between the calculated values of molar volume of ethane
	and propylene in gas phase using SRK equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.45	Comparison between the calculated values of molar volume of ethane
	and propylene in gas phase using PR equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.46	Comparison between the calculated values of molar volume of ethane
	and propylene in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.47	Comparison between the calculated values of molar volume of ethane
	and propylene in liquid phase using PR equation and those from
	HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.48	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	n-butane in gas phase using SRK equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)

9.49	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	nitrogen in gas phase using SRK equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.50	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	n-butane in gas phase using PR equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.51	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	nitrogen in gas phase using PR equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.52	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	n-butane in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.53	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	nitrogen in liquid phase using SRK equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.54	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	n-butane in liquid phase using PR equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.55	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of pure
	nitrogen in liquid phase using PR equation and those from HYSIM
	(at bubble-point pressure)
9.56	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of
	ethane and propylene in gas phase using SRK equation and those
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)
9.57	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of
	ethane and propylene in gas phase using PR equation and those
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)

9.58	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of	
	ethane and propylene in liquid phase using SRK equation and those	
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)	149
9.59	Comparison between the calculated values of enthalpy changes of	
	ethane and propylene in liquid phase using PR equation and those	
	from HYSIM (at bubble-point pressure)	150
9.60	Enthalpy changes when it is compared with reference state	153

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	
9.1	Names of compound in each group for testing vapor pressure
9.2	Percent deviation for vapor pressure of hydrocarbons
9.3	Percent deviation for vapor pressure of inorganic compounds 102
9.4	Percent deviation f vapor pressure of alcohol
9.5	Percent deviation for vapor pressure of halogenated hydrocarbon103
9.6	Percent deviation for vapor pressure of miscellaneous compounds 103
9.7	Conclusion of percent deviation of vapor pressure
9.8	Percent deviation of heat of vaporization of hydrocarbon105
9.9	Percent deviation of heat of vaporization of inorganic compounds 106
9.10	Percent deviation of heat of vaporization of alcohol
9.11	Percent deviation of heat of vaporization of
	halogenated hydrocarbon. 107
9.12	Percent deviation of heat of vaporization of
	miscellaneous compounds
9.13	Conclusion of percent deviation of heat of vaporization
9.14	Percent deviation of pure gas compressibility factor calculated
	by SRK and PR equations in comparison with results of
	HYSIM using SRK and PR equations
9.15	Percent deviation of pure gas compressibility factor calculated
11	by RK equation in comparison with results of HYSIM
	using SRK and PR equations
9.16	Percent deviation of compressibility of pure component in liquid phase
	calculated by SRK and PR equations in comparison with results of HYSIM
	using SRK and PR equations

TABLE PAGE

9.17	Percent deviation of compressibility of multicomponent in gas phase	
	calculated by SRK and PR equations in comparison with results of	
	HYSIM using SRK and PR equations	121
9.18	Percent deviation of compressibility of multicomponent in gas phase	
	calculated by RK equation in comparison with results of HYSIM	
	using SRK and PR equations	122
9.19	Percent deviation of compressibility for multicomponent in liquid	
	phase calculated by SRK and PR equations in comparison with	
	results of HYSIM using SRK and PR equations	125
9.20	Percent deviation of molar volume for pure component in gas phase	
	calculated by RK, SRK and PR equations compare with reference	
	data	130
9.21	Percent deviation of molar volume for pure component in liquid phase	
	calculated by SRK and PR equations compare with reference data	130
9.22	Percent deviation of molar volume for multicomponent in gas phase	
	calculated by SRK and PR equations in comparison with results of	
	HYSIM using SRK and PR equations	33
9.23	Percent deviation of molar volume for multicomponent in gas phase	
	calculated by RK equation in comparison with results of HYSIM	
	using SRK and PR equations	133
9.24	Percent deviation of molar volume of multicomponent in liquid phase	
	calculated by SRK and PR in comparison with results of HYSIM	
	using SRK and PR equations	135
9.25	Percent deviation of molar enthalpy changes for pure component in	
	gas phase	141
9.26	Percent deviation of molar entropy changes for pure component in	
	gas phase calculated	141

TABLE PAGE

9.27	Percent deviation of molar enthalpy changes for pure component in
	liquid phase
9.28	Percent deviation of molar entropy changes for pure component in
	liquid phase
9.29	Percent deviation of molar enthalpy changes for multicomponent in
	gas phase
9.30	Percent deviation of molar enthalpy changes for multicomponent in
	liquid phase
9.31	Percent deviation of fugacity coefficient in gas phase for pure
	component
9.32	Percent deviation of fugacity coefficient in gas phase for
	multicomponent
9.33	Percent deviation of bubble-point pressure calculated by this work
	and HYSIM compared with reference data for ethane and propylene 156
9.34	Percent deviation of bubble-point pressure calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for ethane and propylene
9.35	Percent deviation of bubble point pressure calculated by this work
	and HYSIM compared with reference data for n-pentane, propane,
	and methane
9.36	Percent deviation of bubble-point pressure calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for n-pentane, propane, and
	methane
9.37	Percent deviation of bubble-point pressure calculated by this work
	and HYSIM compared with reference data for propane, ethane,
	and methane

TABLE PAGE

9.38	Percent deviation of bubble-point pressure calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for propane, ethane, and
	methane
9.39	Percent deviation of dew-point pressure calculated by this work
	and HYSIM compared with reference data for ethane and propylene 162
9.40	Percent deviation of dew point pressure calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for ethane and propylene
9.41	Percent deviation of bubble-point temperature calculated by this
	work compared with those from HYSIM for ethane and propylene 163
9.42	Percent deviation of dew point temperature calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for ethane and propylene
9.43	Percent deviation of isothermal flash calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for ethane and heptane 165
9.44	Percent deviation of isothermal flash calculated by this work
	compared with those from HYSIM for methane, ethane and propane 166
9.45	Summary of the percent deviation for liquid viscosity

NOMENCLATURE

```
A = Helmholtz energy (j/mol)
   Cp = heat capacity (j/(mol K))
DBMS = database management system (-)
    G = Gibbs free energy (j/mol)
     H = \text{enthapy (j/mol)}
 H^{o}-H = enthalpy departure ( j/mol)
     K= equilibrium constant (-)
     k = interaction parameter
     L = moles of liquid (mole)
     P = pressure (bar)
  Pant = vapor pressure estimated by Antoine equation
Pwag = vapor pressure estimated by Wagner equation
 Pexp = reference values of vapor pressure
   Pd = dew point pressure (bar)
   Pb = bubble point pressure (bar)
   Pc = critical pressure (K)
  Pvp = vapor pressure (bar)
    R = universal gas constant (bar cm<sup>3</sup>/(mol K))
    S = \text{entropy } (j/\text{mol } K)
 S^{\circ}-S = entropy departure (j/mol)
    T = temperature(K)
   Tc = critical temperature (K)
   Tr = reduced temperature (K)
Tmin = minimum temperature (K)
Tmax = maximum temperature (K)
    u = constant of cubic equation (-)
    V = \text{molar volume (cm}^3/\text{mol})
   Vc = critical volume (cm<sup>3</sup>/mol)
```

```
w = constant of cubic equation (-)
       x = mole fractions of liquid (-)
       y = mole fractions of vapor (-)
       Z = compressibility factor (-)
       z = mole fractions of feed (-)
Symbols
         \hat{j} = fugacity (bar)
      \Deltawag = Percent deviation of vapor pressure estimated by Wagner equation
              between Tmin and Tmax (%)
       \Deltaant = Percent deviation of vapor pressure estimated by Antoine equation
              between Tmin and Tmax (%)
     \Deltaowag = Percent deviation of vapor pressure all through range of
              temperature estimated by Wagner equation (%)
     \Deltaoant = Percent deviation of vapor pressure all through range of
              temperature estimated by Antoine equation (%)
    \DeltaHexp.= heat of vaporization of reference data (j/mol)
       HvR = heat of vaporization calculated by Riedel method (j/mol)
       HvC = heat of vaporization calculated by Chen method (j/mol)
       HvV = heat of vaporization calculated by Vetere method (j/mol)
       HvP = heat of vaporization calculated by Pitzer method (j/mol)
         \phi = fugacity coefficient (-)
         \omega = acentric factor (-)
         \gamma = activity coefficient (-)
% Riedel = Percent deviation of heat of vaporization calculated by Riedel
            method compared with reference data (%)
% Vetere = Percent deviation of heat of vaporization calculated by Vetere method
            compared with reference data (%)
 % Chen = Percent deviation of heat of vaporization calculated by Chen method
```

compared with reference data (%)

% Pitzer = Percent deviation of heat of vaporization calculated by Pitzer method compared with reference data (%)

Subscript

i = component

ij = binary component

k = number of component

P = pressure

T = temperature

Superscript

V = vapor phase

L = liquid phase

id = ideal state

- = partial property

o = reference state

sat = saturated condition