ไฮโครแครกกิงของนอร์มัลเฮกเซนค้วยตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาซีโอไลต์

นางสาวลคาวัล สิริแสงทักษิณ

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้ เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี

บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

พ.ศ. 2532

ISBN 974-569-817-2 ลิขสิทธิ์บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

N-HEXANE HYDROCRACKING USING ZEOLITE CATALYSTS

Miss Ladawan Sirisaengtaksin

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Engineering

Graduate School

Chulalongkorn University

1989

ISBN 974-569-817-2

Copyright of the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title $n-Hexane\ Hydrocracking\ Using\ Zeolite$ Catalysts.

By Miss Ladawan Sirisaengtaksin

Department Chemical Engineering

Thesis Advisor Associate Professor Wiwut Tanthapanichakoon

Thesis Co-Advisor Dr.Dhongchai Medhanavyn

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree.

(Professor Thavorn Vajarabhaya, Ph.D.)

Thesis Committee

Chairt Satay prosest Chairman
Associate Professor Chairit Satayaprasert) Miunt VanthapanichakoonThesis Advisor
Associate Professor Wiwut Tanthapanichakoon) MeMaghe Thesis Co-Advisor
Dr. Dhongchai Medhanavyn)
Sax How Book-Long. Member

(Assistant Professor Sasithorn Boon-Long)

ลคาวัล สิริแสงทักษิณ : ไฮโครแครกกิงของนอร์มัลเฮกเชนคัวยตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาซีโอไลต์ (N-HEXANE HYDROCRACKING USING ZEOLITE CATALYSTS) อ.ที่ปรึกษา : รศ.คร. วิวัฒน์ ตัณฑะพานิชกุล. อ.ที่ปรึกษาร่วม : คร.ธงชัย เมธาวิน, 196 หน้า.

วัตถุประสงค์หลักของงานนี้คือ ศึกษาผลกระทบที่เงื่อนไขการทำปฏิกิริยามีต่อการไฮโคร แครกกิงของนอร์มัลเฮกเซนโตยมีชีโอไลต์ 2 ชนิค เป็นตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาคือ Mordenite และ Na-Y เครื่องปฏิกรณ์เคมีที่ออกแบบและสร้างขึ้นใช้ในการศึกษาเป็นแบบท่อบรรจุเบคนึ่งชนิคไหลผ่านลงที่สามารถทน ความคันสูง

ค่าของอุณหภูมิ ความค้นและความเร็วเชิงสเปสที่แปรเปลี่ยนในการศึกษานี้จะอยู่ในช่วง 260c-340c , ช่วง 30-95 atg และช่วง 2,000-8,000 hr ⁻¹ ตามลำคับ จากการทคลองพบว่า การ เพิ่มอุณหภูมิมีผลต่อการเปลี่ยนแปลงของนอร์มัลเฮกเชนไปเป็นผลิตภัณฑ์ (hexane conversion) และ ต่อผลิตภัณฑ์ LPG ที่เกิดขึ้นในทำนองเคียวกับการลคความคันหรือความเร็วเชิงสเปสของระบบลง นั่นคือ มีผลให้การเปลี่ยนแปลงของนอร์มัลเฮกเชนไปเป็นผลิตภัณฑ์ และผลิตภัณฑ์ LPG เพิ่มสูงขึ้น อย่างไรก็ตาม ผลกระทบที่ความเร็วเชิงสเปสมีต่อการเพิ่มขึ้นของผลิตภัณฑ์ LPG จะมีน้อยกว่าผลของอุณหภูมิ และผลของความคัน อนึ่ง ในขณะที่ได้ผลิตภัณฑ์ LPG เพิ่มมากขึ้น ผลิตภัณฑ์แกสโซลีน (Gasoline) จะลคลง

ความแตกต่างระหว่างตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยา 2 ตัว ที่ใช้คือ ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาชนิค Mordenite ให้ การเปลี่ยนแปลงไปเป็นผลิตภัณฑ์สูงกว่าตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาชนิค Na-Y อนึ่ง ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยา Mordenite ให้ผลิตภัณฑ์ LPG มากกว่าแกสโซลีน แต่ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยา Na-Y กลับให้ผลิตภัณฑ์แกสโซลีนมากกว่า LPG อย่างไรก็ตาม ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาทั้งสองชนิคนี้ ให้ผลิตภัณฑ์แกสโซลีนที่มีค่าออกเทนนัมเบอร์สูงขึ้น

ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
338133913	
ปีการศึกษา <u>2531</u>	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา

LADAWAN SIRISAENGTAKSIN: N-HEXANE HYDROCRACKING USING ZEOLITE CATALYSTS. THESIS ADVISOR: ASSO. PROF.WIWUT TANTHAPANICHAKOON. THESIS CO-ADVISOR: DR.DHONGCHAI MEDHANAVYN, Ph.D. 196 PP.

The main objective of this work is to study the effects of reaction conditions on the hydrocracking of n-hexane on the mordenite and Na-Y catalysts. A fixed bed, down-flow and high pressure tubular reactor set was designed and constructed to carry out the experiments.

In the present investigation the temperature was varied within the range of 260°C-340°C while the pressure and the space velocity was varied within the range of 30-95 atg and 2,000-8,000 hr⁻¹, respectively. By either increasing the reaction temperature, lowering the pressure, or decreasing the space velocity, the total conversion of n-hexane as well as the yield of the LPG products was found to increase. The increase in yield of the LPG products by decreasing the space velocity was, however, less than that obtained by increasing the reaction temperature or lowering the pressure. In any case, the gasoline product was less as the LPG products rose.

Regarding to the types of Catalysts, the mordenite catalyst gave a higher yield of the LPG products than the gasoline products. On the other hand, the Na-Y catalyst gave a higher yield of gasoline than LPG products. The research octane number of the gasoline portion products was improved after hydrocracking in both cases of catalysts.

ภาควิชา <u>Chemical Engineering</u> สาขาวิชา <u>Chemical Engineering</u> ปีการศึกษา ²⁵³¹

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to extend my sincere thanks to my advisor, Associate Professor Wiwut Tanthapanichakoon. He has given me encouragement to continue during hard times, and was a strong support when the things looked impossible to attain. Through his guidance, I have gained not only valuable knowledge from this work but also widened perspective inits practical applications.

The valuable comments and suggestions of Dr. Dhongchai Medhanavyn are highly appreciated. He made it easier for me to smoothen the rough edges that I have gone through.

I respectfully thank Associate Professor Chairit Satayaprasert and Assistant Professor Sasithorn Boon-Long for their stimulating comments and participation in the thesis committee.

Asahi Glass Foundation has provided valuable financial support to this work. Thai Oil Company and Petroleum Authority of Thailand also contributed to the success of the work. The excellent facilities and financial support of the Graduate School and the Alumni Association, Chulalongkorn University, are greatly appreciated.

Last but not least, I shall always thank my parents. In memory of my father, that in his 'vision, I shall always be able to attain my goals.

CONTENTS

ABSTRAC	T IN	THAI.	• • • • • •	• • • • • •			• • • • •	i	V
ABSTRAC	T IN	ENGLIS	SH	• • • • • •			• • • • •	v	
ACKNOWL	EDGEN	MENT					• • • • • •	v	Ĺ
CONTENT	s			• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • • • •	vi	ii
LIST OF	TABL	LES					• • • • • •	x	
LIST OF	FIGU	JRES	• • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • •	• • • • • •	xi	v
CHAPTER								Pa	age
1 11	NTROD	UCTION	1				• • • • • •		. 1
1.	.1 I	ntrodu	ction.			• • • • •			.1
1.	2 0	bjecti	ve		• • • • • •	• • • • •			. 2
1.	3 S	cope o	f Work.	• • • • • •	• • • • • •			• • • • • •	. 3
2 LI	TERA	TURE	SURVEY.	••••				• • • • • •	.5
2.	1 H	ydrocr	acking	Process	5		• • • • •	• • • • • •	.5
	2	.1.1	Early H	lydrocra	acking		• • • • •	• • • • • • •	.6
	2	.1.2	Modern	Hydrocr	acking.		• • • • •		. 8
2.	2 H	ydrocr	acking	Mechani	.sm		• • • • •		10
	2	.2.1	Paraffi	n Hydro	crackin	ıg			11
	2	.2.2	Hydrocr	acking	Reactio	n Usin	g		
		;	Zeolite	s				• • • • • •	17
2.	3 Z	eolite	Cataly	sts	• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • •	19
	2	3.1 (General						19

			Page
	2.3.2	Classification of Zeolites	20
	2.3.3	Zeolite as Hydrocracking Catalyst	30
2.	4 Hydro	cracking Reaction in the Present	
	Study		32
	2.4.1	Reactants Used	32
	2.4.2	Catalysts Studied	32
		2.4.2.1 Type of Catalysts Studied	32
		2.4.2.2 Composition	35
3 EXI	PERIMENTA	AL EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE	38
3.1	l Constr	ruction of Equipment	38
	3.1.1	Flow Diagram	38
	3.1.2	Feed Section	.40
	3.1.3	Reactor Section	.40
	3.1.4	Product Recovery Section	.42
3.2	Electr	ic Furnace	.43
	3.2.1	Construction of Electric Furnace	.43
	3.2.2	Test of Axial Temperature	
		Distribution	.43
3.3	Analyt	ical Instrument	.45
3.4	Prepar	ation of Catalysts Used	.45
	3.4.1	Na-Mordenite Preparation	.47
	3.4.2	Na-Y Preparation	.48

		Page
	3.5	Experimental Procedure48
		3.5.1 Leak Test of Experimental
		Equipment48
		3.5.2 Catalyst Loading49
		3.5.3 Experimental Procedure50
		3.5.4 Data Recording51
4	EXP	PERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS53
	4.1	Experimental Results and Discussions for
		Mordenite53
	4.2	Experimental Results and Discussions for H-Y
		Catalyst88
	4.3	Comparison between H-Mordenite and H-Y
		Catalysts114
5	CON	CLUSIONS AND FUTURE SUGGESTION122
	5.1	Conclusions122
	5.2	Future Suggestion123
LIST	OF	REFERENCES124
APPEN	DICES	S.,.,
	Α.	Details of Experimental Results
	В.	Analytical Method
	с.	Sample of Calculation190

LIST OF TABLES

Pag	jε
Table 2-1 List of Hydrocracking Processes Available	
for License	9
Table 2-2 Mineral Zeolite2	2
Table 2-3 Some Synthetic Zeolites2	3
Table 2-4 Classification of Zeolites2	6
Table 2-5 Zeolite Compositions3	1
Table 2-6 Composition of Zeolite Studied3	7
Table 4 Effect of Reaction Temperature on n-Hexane	
Conversion and Product Selectivities5	4
Table 4-1 A Summary of the Effect of Temperature on	
the Total Conversion of n-Hexane5	8
Table 4-2 Effect of Temperature on LPG Selectivity6	1
Table 4-3 Effect of Temperature on Gasoline Selectivity63	2
Table 4-4 Effect of Temperature on the STY of LPG69	9
Table 4-5 Effect of Temperature on the STY of Gasoline7	1
Table 4-6 Effect of Space Velocity on Total conversion	
of n-Hexane	5
Table 4-7 Effect of Space Velocity on LPG Selectivity78	8
Table 4-8 Effect of Space Velocity on Gasoline	
Selectivity79)
Table 4-9 Effect of Space Velocity on the STY of LPG87	

	Page
Table 4-10	Effect of Space Velocity on the STY of
	Gasoline83
Table 4-11	Effect of Reaction Temperature on n-Hexane
	Conversion, Product Selectivity and STY
	of Fresh and Used H-Mordenite Catalysts85
Table 4-12	Total Conversion of n-Hexane versus
- 2	Temperature for the H-Y Catalyst.
	$SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1} \dots 89$
Table 4-13	LPG Selectivity versus Temperature
	$(SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}, P = 50 \text{ and } 70 \text{ atg.})92$
Table 4-14	Gasoline Selectivity versus Temperature
	$(SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}, P = 50 \text{ and } 70 \text{ atg.})93$
Table 4-15	Effect of Temperature on the STY of LPG
	(Space Velocity = 4000 hr^{-1} , P = 50 and
	70 atg.)99
Table 4-16	Effect of Temperature on the STY of Gasoline
	$(SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}, P = 50 \text{ and } 70 \text{ atg.})100$
Table 4-17	Effect of Temperature on Conversion for
	the H-Y Catalyst (Pressure = 50 atg.)104
Table 4-18	Effect of Space Velocity on LPG Selectivity
	for the H-Y Catalyst (Pressure = 50 atg.)107
Table 4-19	Effect of Space Velocity on Gasoline
	Selectivity for the H-Y Catalyst
	(Pressure - 50 ata)109

	Pac	ge
Table 4-20	Comparison between Fresh and Used H-Y	
	Catalyst	12
Table 4-21	Comparison of Temperature Effect between	
	H-Mordenite and H-Y Catalyst	
	(Pressure = 50 atg.)11	18
Table 4-22	Comparison on Selectivity for LPG between	
	H-Mordenite and H-Y Catalyst	20
Table 4-23	Comparison of Gasoline Product between	
	H-Mordenite and H-Y Type	21

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig.2-l	The Tetrahedron Formed of a Basic Building
	Unit of Zeolite21
Fig.2-2	Chainlike or Fibrous Crystal Structure of
	Mordenite34
Fig.2-3	A Truncated Octahedra Structure of Na-Y36
Fig.3-l	A Complete Flow Diagram of the Experimental •
	Equipment39
Fig.3-2	Reactor Section41
Fig.3-3	The Constructed Electric Furnace44
Fig.3-4	The Observed Axial Temperature Distribution46
Fig.4a	n-Hexane Conversion VS. memperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 60$ atg; $Molar$
	Ratio of H_2 : $n-C_6$ in Feed = 38.04, 57.94;
	$sv. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$
Fig.4b	LPG Selectivity VS. Temperature of H-Mordenite
	Catalyst for $P = 60$ atg ; Molar Ratio of
	H_2 : $n-C_6$ in Feed = 38.04, 57.94;
	$sv. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$
Fig.4c	Gasoline Selectivity VS. Temperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 60$ atg; $Molar$
	Ratio H_2 : $n-C_6$ in Feed = 38.04, 57.94;
	$SV_{-} = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$

	Page
Fig.4-l n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperat	ure of
H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 30$,	50, 95 atg ;
$sv. = 4000 hr^{-1} \dots$	59
Fig.4-2 LPG Selectivity VS. Temperature	of H-Mordenite
Catalyst for $P = 30, 50, 95$ atg	;
$sv. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1} \dots$	63
Fig.4-3 Gasoline Selectivity VS. Temperat	ure of
H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 30$,	50, 95 atg ;
$sv. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$	64
Fig.4-4 Product Distribution VS. Temperat	ure of
H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 30$ a	tg ;
$SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$	66
Fig.4-5 Product Distribution VS. Temperat	ure of
H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 50$ a	tg ;
$SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$	67
Fig.4-6 Product Distribution VS. Temperat	ure of
H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 95$ a	tg ;
$sv. = 4000 hr^{-1}$	68
Fig.4-7 STY of LPG VS. Temperature of H-M	ordenite
Catalyst for $P=30$, 50 , 95 atg;	
$SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$	70
Fig. 4-8 STY of Gasoline VS. Temperature of	H-Mordenite
Catalyst for $P = 30, 50, 95$ atg;	
-1	7.2

	Page
Fig.4-9	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg;
	$sv. = 2000, 4000, 8000 hr^{-1}$
Fig.4-10	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg;
¥	$SV. = 2000 \text{ hr}^{-1}80$
Fig.4-11	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg;
	$SV. = 8000 \text{ hr}^{-1}81$
Fig.4-12	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature of
	H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 30$ atg;
	SV. = 4000 hr^{-1} (Comparison between
	Fresh and Used)86
Fig.4-13	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of
	Used-H-Mordenite Catalyst for $P = 30$ atg;
	$SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$
Fig.4-14	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$, 70 atg; $SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}90$
Fig.4-15	LPG Selectivity VS. Temperature of H-Y Catalyst
	for $P = 50$, 70 atg; $SV = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1} \dots 94$
Fig.4-16	Gasoline Selectivity VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$, 70 atg; $SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1} \dots 95$
Fig.4-17	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg; $SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}96$

D	2	$\overline{}$	_

Fig.4-18	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 70$ atg; SV. = 4000 hr ⁻¹ 97
Fig.4-19	STY of LPG VS. Temperature of H-Y Catalyst
3	for $P = 50$, 70 atg; $SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$
Fig.4-20	STY of Gasoline VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$, 70 atg; $SV. = 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}102$
Fig.4-21	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg;
	$SV. = 2000, 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}105$
Fig.4-22	LPG Slectivity VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg ;
	$SV. = 2000, 4000 \text{ hr}^{-1}108$
Fig.4-23	Gasoline Selectivity VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for P = 50 atg ;
	$SV. = 2000, 4000 hr^{-1}110$
Fig.4-24	Product Distribution VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg ; SV. = 2000 hr ⁻¹ 111
Fig.4-25	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature of H-Y
	Catalyst for $P = 50$ atg; SV. = 4000 hr^{-1}
	(Comparison between Fresh and Used)113
Fig.4-26	Product Distribution VS. temperature of Used
	$y = 0.000 \text{ m}^{-1}$

	×	Page
Fig.4-27	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature for	
	$P = 50$ atg; SV. = 4000 hr^{-1} (Comparison	
	between Two Catalysts)	.116
Fig.4-28	n-Hexane Conversion VS. Temperature for	
	$P = 50$ atg; $SV. = 2000 \text{ hr}^{-1}$ (Comparison	
	hotugon tuo Catalugta)	117