# MOTOR OIL REMOVAL FROM WASTEWATER BY CONTINUOUS FROTH FLOTATION

6

Mr. Weerawat Kongkowit

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole
2007

Thesis Title:

Motor Oil Removal from Wastewater by Continuous Froth

Flotation

By:

Weerawat Kongkowit

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadei

Prof. John F. Scamehorn

Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantyr Yanumt College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Sumaeth Alexaly Ramoch P.

**Thesis Committee:** 

(Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej) (Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

(Prof. John F. Scamehorn)

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

(Dr. Thammanoon Sreethawong)

7 Sweething

#### **ABSTRACT**

4871029063: Petrochemical Technology Program

Weerawat Kongkowit: Motor Oil Removal from Wastewater by

Continuous Froth Flotation.

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, Prof. John F.

Scamehorn, and Assoc. Prof. Promoch Rirksomboon 95 pp.

Keywords: Forth flotation/ Motor oil removal/ Microemulsion diagram/ Ultra-

low interfacial tension/ Foam stability/ Bubble size distribution

Froth flotation is a surfactant-based separation process which is suitable for treating a dilute oily wastewater. The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of certain operational parameters on the separation performance of continuous froth flotation to remove motor oil from water. A branched alcohol propoxylate sulphate, sodium salt (Alfoterra 145-5PO), was used in both the phase behavior and froth flotation studies. The effects of surfactant concentration and NaCl concentration, where the minimum surfactant concentration can form the middle phase in microemulsion systems, or critical microemulsion concentration, were studied. From the microemulsion diagram, the critical microemulsion concentration (CµC) was found to be 0.5 wt.% Alfoterra. After that, the continuous froth flotation experiments were performed to investigate the efficiency of motor oil removal from water using Alfoterra 145-5PO. The effects of surfactant concentration, hydraulic retention time and air bubble size distribution on foam characteristic and froth flotation performance were also investigated. The results showed that the system with 0.5 wt.% Alfoterra, 5 wt.% NaCl, and 30 min HRT gave the maximum oil removal (61.5 %).

## บทคัดย่อ

วีระวัฒน์ ก้องโกวิท : กระบวนการแยกน้ำมันเครื่องออกจากน้ำเสียโดยระบบทำให้ ลอยแบบต่อเนื่อง (Motor Oil Removal from Wastewater by Continuous Froth Flotation) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : รศ. คร. สุเมช ชวเคช ศ. จอห์น เอฟ สเคม์มีฮอร์น และ รศ. คร. ปราโมช รังสรรค์วิจิตร 95 หน้า

กระบวนการทำให้ลอย (froth flotation) เป็นหนึ่งในระบวนการแยกสารโดยสารลด แรงดึงผิวซึ่งเหมาะสำหรับบำบัดน้ำเสียที่มีการปนเปื้อนของน้ำมันที่เจือจาง งานวิจัยนี้มี วัตถุประสงค์เพื่อสึกษาตัวแปรที่มีผลต่อประสิทธิภาพของการกำจัดน้ำมันเครื่องออกจากน้ำโดยวิธี กระบวนการทำให้ลอยแบบต่อเนื่อง สารลดแรงดึงผิวแบบ บรานซ์ อัลกฮอล์ โพรพรอกซีเลต ซัลเฟต โซเดียม ซอลท์ (Alfoterra 145-5PO) ถูกนำมาใช้ในการศึกษาทดลองการเกิดไม โครอิมัลชั่นและกระบวนการทำให้ลอย ปัจจัยของความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงดึงผิว และความข้นของ เกลือใค้ถูกนำมาศึกษาเพื่อหาความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงดึงผิวน้อยที่สุดซึ่งสามารถเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั่น จากแผนภาพของไมโครอิมัลชั่น ความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงดึงผิวน้อยที่สุดถูกพบที่ความเข้มข้นของ บรานซ์ อัลกฮอล์ โพรพรอกซีเลต ซัลเฟต โซเดียม ซอลท์ 0.5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ ในกระบวนการทำให้ ลอยได้ทำการศึกษาปัจจัยของความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงดึงผิว เวลาเก็บกัก และการกระจายตัวของ ขนาดฟองอากาศต่อความเสถียรของฟอง และประสิทธิภาพของการกำจัดน้ำมัน จากผลการ ทคลองพบว่า ระบบที่ความเข้มข้นของ บรานซ์ อัลกฮอล์ โพรพรอกซีเลต ซัลเฟต โซเดียม ซอลท์ 0.5 เปอร์เซ็นต์, ความเข้มข้นของเกลือ 5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ และเวลากักเก็บ 30 นาที ให้ประสิทธิภาพการ กำจัดน้ำมันที่สูงที่สุดเท่ากับ 61.5 เปอร์เซ็นต์

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work has been a very memorable and valuable experience as well as a lot of knowledge to the author. It would not have been succeeded without the assistance of a number of individuals including organizations. The author would like to thank all of them for making this work a success.

First of all, my great appreciation is also extended to Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej who acted as my Thai advisor for providing many necessary things throughout this work. I would like to thank him for his constant valuable advice and support. This thesis would not have been succeeded without his professional aids.

I would like to express my highly gratefulness to Professor John F. Scamehorn for serving as my US advisor for his guidance, insightful discussion and professional advice throughout my work.

Thank is also offered to Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit, my co-advisor for his encouragement, vigorous assistance, and kindly useful suggestions.

The surfactant (branched alcohol propoxylate sulfate, sodium salt (Alfoterra 145-5PO Sulfate)) used in this research work was supplied by Sasol North America Inc., Texas, USA.

The Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology is also acknowledged for providing all research facilities and a scholarship for the author.

I would like to express my gratitude to Ms. Sunisa Watcharasing, a Ph. D. student for providing excellent suggestions, encouragements and lots of good ideas as well as making this research to be a fun filled activity. Besides, I would like to especially thank for her efforts to explain things clearly and simply.

This thesis work is funded by the National Excellence Center for Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Advanced Materials, Thailand.

Special thanks go to all PPC Ph.D. students and all PPC friends for their friendly assistance, cheerfulness, creative suggestions, and encouragement. The author had the most enjoyable time working with all of them.

Finally, the author would like to express deep appreciation to my parents and my sisters for their endless support and love throughout the two year study period.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
Title	Page	i
Abst	ract (in English)	iii
Abst	ract (in Thai)	iv
Ackı	nowledgements	v
Tabl	e of Contents	vi
List	of Tables	viii
List	of Figures	x
СНАРТЕ	R	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1 Surfactants	3
	2.2 Microemulsion	6
	2.3 Froth Flotation	10
Ш	EXPERIMENTAL	17
	3.1 Materials	17
	3.1.1 Surfactants	17
	3.1.2 Studied Oil Contaminant	17
	3.1.3 Water	17
	3.1.4 Electrolyte	18
	3.2 Experimental Procedures	18
	3.2.1 Phase Behavior Experiment	18
	3.2.2 Foamability and Foam Stability Experiments	19
	3.2.3 Froth Flotation Experiment	19
	3.2.4 Bubble Size Distribution	21
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	22
	4.1 Phase Behaviors	22

CHAPTER		PAGE
	4.1.1 Effect of Surfactant Concentration on IFT	23
	4.1.2 Effect of NaCl Concentration on IFT	24
	4.1.3 Microemulsion Diagram	25
	4.2 Interfacial Behaviors	28
	4.3 Froth Flotaion	30
	4.3.1 Effect of Surfactant Concentration	31
	4.3.2 Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)	34
	4.4 Bubble Size Distribution	37
	4.4.1 Effect of Surfactant Concentration	37
	4.4.2 Effect of Column Height	41
$\mathbf{v}$	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	45
	5.1 Conclusions	45
	5.2 Recommendations	45
	REFERENCES	46
	APPENDICES	49
	Appendix A Experiment data of microemulsion formation	49
	Appendix B Experiment data of froth flotaion experiment	88
	Appendix C Experiment data of foamability and foam stability experiment	92
	Appendix D Experiment data of bubble size distribution	94
	CURRICULUM VITAF	95

### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE	
3.1	General properties of studied surfactants	17	
Al	Interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion formation	50	
	at different Alfoterra concentration with 5 wt.% NaCl and an		
	initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
A2	Interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion formation	51	
	with 0.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl concentrations		
	and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
A3	Interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion formation	53	
	with 1 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl concentrations		
	and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
A4	Interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion formation	55	
	with 1.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl concentrations		
	and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
<b>A</b> 5	Dynamic interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion	57	
	formation with 0.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl		
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
<b>A</b> 6	Dynamic interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion	60	
	formation with 1 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl		
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
A7	Dynamic interfacial tension of each phase in microemulsion	63	
	formation with 1.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl		
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		
A8	Electrolytic conductivity of each phase in microemulsion	66	
	formation with 0.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl		
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1		

TABL	E	PAGE
<b>A</b> 9	Electrolytic conductivity of each phase in microemulsion	74
	formation with 1 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl	
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1	
A10	Electrolytic conductivity of each phase in microemulsion	80
	formation with 1.5 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl	
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1	
A11	Electrolytic conductivity of each phase in microemulsion	84
	formation with 3 wt.% of Alfoterra at different NaCl	
	concentrations and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1	
Bl	Summary results of froth flotation performance of all system	90
	in the surfactant concentration effect at NaCl concentration	
	= 5 wt.%, oil:water ratio = 1:1, air flow rate = 0.30 L/min,	
	HRT = 30 min, foam height = 26 cm	
B2	Summary results of froth flotation performance of all system	91
	in the HRT effect at Alfoterra concentration = 0.5 wt.%,	
	NaCl concentration = 5 wt.%, oil:water ratio = 1:1, air flow	
	rate = 0.30 L/min, foam height = 26 cm	
<b>C</b> 1	Summary results of foamability and foam stability for the	93
	non-agitated surfactant system at NaCl concentration = 5	
	wt.%, oil:water ratio = 1:1, air flow rate = 0.1 L/min	
D1	Average bubble diameter between the surfactant-free system	94
	and the surfactant systems at different positions	

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGU	FIGURE	
2.1	Schematic structure of surfactant molecule monomer	3
	(Withayapanyanon, 2003)	
2.2	Schematic diagram of monomer, micelles and micellization	4
2.3	Schematic illustration of surfactant association structures	5
	(Sharma et al., 1991)	
2.4	Schematic diagram of normal micelles and inverse micelles	5
2.5	Schematic diagram for oil-in-water (O/W) and water-in-oil	7
	(W/O) microemulsion structures (the smaller molecules	
	represent cosurfactant) (Rosen, 1989)	
2.6	Demonstration of microemulsion phase behavior for a model	8
	system (Winsor, 1968)	
2.7	Transition of microemulsion structure and interfacial tension	9
	as a function of a salinity scan	
2.8	Schematic diagram of a froth flotation column (Pal and	11
	Masliyah, 1989)	
2.9	Configurations for froth flotation operation (Carleson, 1989)	12
3.1	Schematic experiment of microemulsion formation	19
3.2	Schematic diagram of the froth flotation apparatus	20
3.3	Schematic diagram of photographic method	21
4.1	IFT as a function of Alfoterra concentration at 5 wt.% NaCl	23
	with oil to water ratio = 1:1, and 30 °C	
4.2	IFT as a function of salinity at 0.5 wt.% Alfoterra, and an	24
	initial oil to water ratio = 1:1	
4.3	Electrolytic conductivity of microemulsion system at	26
	different NaCl concentration	
4.4	Microemulsion diagram of Alfoterra with motor oil at	28
	different NaCl concentration	

FIGURE		PAGE	
4.5	Comparison between equilibrium IFT and dynamic IFT as a function of salinity at different Alfoterra concentration, and an initial oil to water ratio = 1:1	29	
4.6	Oil removal efficiency at different Alfoterra concentration	32	
4.7	Foam production flow rate at different Alfoterra concentration	32	
4.8	Enrichment ratio of motor oil at different Alfoterra concentration	33	
4.9	Surfactant removal at different feed Alfoterra concentration	33	
4.10	Enrichment ratio of surfactant at different feed Alfoterra concentration	34	
4.11	Oil removal efficiency at different HRTs	35	
4.12	production flow rate at different HRT	35	
4.13	Enrichment ratio of motor oil at different HRT	36	
4.14	Surfactant removal at different HRT	36	
4.15	Enrichment ratio of surfactant at different HRT	37	
4.16	Bubble size distribution between the free-surfactant system and the surfactant system	38	
4.17	Bubble size distribution at different conditions	39	
4.18	Photograph taken from different position of the column	41	
4.19	Bubble size distribution of surfactant-free system at different location	42	
4.20	Bubble size distribution of Alfoterra system at different location	43	