SELECTIVITY OF RING-CONTRACTION PRODUCTS IN THE HYDROISOMERIZATION OF METHYLCYCLOHEXANE



Mr. Teerawit Prasomsri

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science
The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with
The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole

2007

Thesis Title:	Selectivity of Ring-Contraction Products in				
	the Hydroisomerization of Methylcyclohexane				
By:	Mr. Teerawit Prasomsri				
Program:	Petroleum Technology				
Thesis Advisors:	Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon				
	Prof. Daniel E. Resasco				
	Dr. Siriporn Jongpatiwut				
	Prof. Somchai Osuwan				
University, in partial Science.	University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.				
	Nantaya Januart				
(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)					
Thesis Committee:					
T Ru	intll-				

(Prof. Daniel E. Resasco)

(Prof. Somchai Osuwan)

(Dr. Thammanoon Sreethawong)

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

(Dr. Siriporn/Jongpatiyy)

(Assoc Prof. Vissanu Meeyoo)

ABSTRACT

4873018063: Petroleum Technology Program

Teerawit Frasomsri: Selectivity of Ring-Contraction Products in the

Hydroisomerization of Methylcyclohexane.

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon, Prof. Daniel E.

Resasco, Dr. Siriporn Jongpatiwut, and Prof. Somchai Osuwan.

69 pp.

Keywords: Methylcyclohexane/ Hydroisomerization/ Ring-contraction isomers/

Acid strength/ Shape selectivity/ Pt/ FAU/ MFI/ BEA/ Tungstated

zirconia

The hydroisomerization of methylcyclohexane (MCH) was conducted at the temperature 533 K in the presence of hydrogen at a total pressure of 2 MPa, and H₂/MCH molar ratio of 40. Zeolitic (FAU, MFI, and BEA) and non-zeolitic (tungstated zirconia) solid acids were used as the catalysts and the supports. Bare and Pt-supported (1 wt%) catalysts were used to study the effect of the hydride transfer rate to the product selectivity. Observed products under these conditions are mainly the MCH ring-contraction (RC) isomers consisting of 1,1-dimethylcyclopentane, *cis*-1,3-dimethylcyclopentanes, *trans*-1,2-dimethylcyclopentane, and ethylcyclopentane. Acid strength played an essential role to the different carbenium ion intermediate formations resulting in different RC isomers. Besides the acid strength, the shape selectivity of the catalysts was important to the RC product distribution. Interestingly, effect of hydride transfer rate influenced to the selectivity of RC isomers over all kinds of zeolites, but tungstated zirconia. Moreover, two different Si/Al ratios (2.5 and 30) of FAU zeolites with and without loaded platinum revealed the insignificant difference in selectivity.

บทคัดย่อ

ธีรวิทย์ ประสมศรี: การเลือกเกิดผลิตภัณฑ์ที่มีวงแหวนขนาดเล็กลงในปฏิกิริยาไฮโดร ใอโซเมอร์ไรเซชันของเมททิลไซโคลเฮกเซน (Selectivity of Ring-Contraction Products in the Hydroisomerization of Methylcyclohexane) อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา: รศ. ดร. ธีรศักดิ์ ฤกษ์ สมบูรณ์ ศ.ดร. แดเนียล อี รีซัสโก ดร. ศิริพร จงผาติวุฒิ และ ศ.ดร. สมชาย โอสุวรรณ 69 หน้า

ปฏิกิริยาไฮโดรไอโซเมอร์ไรเซชันของเมททิลไซโคลเฮกเซนถูกศึกษาภายใต้สภาวะการ ทดลองที่อุณหภูมิ 533 เคลวิน ในบรรยากาศไฮโดรเจน 2 เมกกะปาสคาล และอัตราส่วนโดย โมลของไฮโดรเจนต่อเมททิลไซโคลเฮกเซนเท่ากับ 40 ในงานวิจัยนี้ได้ทำการศึกษาค่าการเลือก เกิดผลิตภัณฑ์บนตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาซีโอไลท์ (FAU MFI และ BEA) และเซอโครเนียที่เดิมทั้งสะ เตน (tungstated zirconia) สำหรับผลของอัตราการส่งถ่ายไฮดรายที่มีต่อค่าการเลือกเกิด ผลิตภัณฑ์นั้น ได้ถูกศึกษาบนตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาที่เดิมและไม่เดิมแพลททินัม โดยผลิตภัณฑ์ที่พบ ได้แก่ 1,1-ไดเมททิลไซโคลเพนเทน ซิส-1,3-ไดเมททิลไซโคลเพนเทน ทราน-1,3-ไดเมททิลไซโคลเพนเทน ทราน-1,2-ไดเมททิลไซโคลเพนเทน และ เอททิลไซโคลเพนเทน ความเป็นกรดของ ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยามีบทบาทสำคัญต่อการเกิดผลิตภัณฑ์ตัวกลางซึ่งจะมีผลต่อผลิตภัณฑ์สุดท้ายแต่ละ ตัว นอกจากความเป็นกรด ลักษณะโครงสร้างของตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาที่มีความสำคัญอย่างมากต่อการ กระจายตัวของผลิตภัณฑ์ ส่วนผลของอัตราการส่งถ่ายไฮดรายนั้นมีต่อค่าการเลือกเกิดผลิตภัณฑ์ สำหรับตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาที่เป็นซีโอไลท์ แต่ยกเว้นเซอโครเนียที่เติมทั้งสะเดน นอกจากนี้ สอง อัตราส่วนซิลิกาต่ออะลูมินา (2.5 และ 30) ของซีโอไลท์ FAU ทั้งที่มีและไม่มีแพลททินัมแสดง ความแตกต่างต่อค่าการเลือกเกิดผลิตภัณฑ์น้อยมาก

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

While my name appears on the cover of this thesis, it was by no means an individual effort. During the past one year, I hold a deep gratitude to many people who have contributed to this research work and my success.

I would like to offer my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Daniel E. Resasco, George Lynn Cross Professor at the School of Chemical, Biological and Materials Engineering, The University of Oklahoma; and Dr. Walter E. Alvarez, a research engineer at ConocoPhillips Company for giving me the opportunity to do research work in fuel technology. I am grateful for their endless support, guidance and patience during my work. I am also deeply indebted to my advisors: Dr. Siriporn Jongpatiwut, who gave me a great opportunity to carry out the research work at OU and was always available to discuss the experimental results and problems; Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon and Prof. Somchai Osuwan for their time and suggestions. I have been very fortunate to have them as my advisors. In addition, I would like to acknowledge Assoc. Prof. Vissanu Meeyoo and Dr. Thammanoon Sreethawong for serving on my thesis committee.

I would like to express my thanks to all friends in both fuel and carbon nanotube groups at the University of Oklahoma (Steven, Phuong, Malee, Sergio, Giulio, Federico, etc.), and at PPC (Surapas, Siraprapha, Tanate, and Supak) for their friendship, valuable suggestions and made me feel like "I have never walked alone".

Of course, many other faculty and staff at the University of Oklahoma have greatly assisted in the development of this work. I want to thank Alan Miles for his expertise in equipment building. I want to thank Terri, Sherry and Donna for their helps during my stay at OU as well as other staff whose names are not shown here.

I wish to thank everyone in family: my parents, Phaitoon and Wimonrat; my brother, Nuttawut; and my grandparents for their much-needed love, support, friendship and kept me going through the tough time. I would like to dedicate this accomplishment to all of them, without whom I would not had made it this far.

Finally, I am grateful for scholarship and funding of the thesis work provided by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College; and the National Excellence Center for Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Advanced Materials, Thailand.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
	Title	Page	i
	Abst	ract (in English)	iii
	Abst	ract (in Thai)	iv
	Ackr	nowledgements	v
	Tabl	e of Contents	vi
	List	of Tables	ix
	List	of Figures	X
CU	IAPTE	n	
CI	IAPIE	K	
	I	INTRODUCTION	1
	П	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE SURVEY	2
		2.1 Octane Number	2
		2.2 Strategies for the Improvement of Octane Number	2
	2.3 Methylcyclohexane Ring-Contraction2.4 Ring-Opening Catalysis		4
			7
		2.4.1 Cracking on Solid Acid Catalysts	7
		2.4.2 Hydrocracking on Bifunctional Catalysts	8
		2.4.3 Catalytic Ring Opening of Methylcyclohexane	9
		2.4.4 Hydrogen Spillover	11
		2.5 Selective Ring Opening of Single-Ring Compounds	12
		2.5.1 Activity and Selectivity	12
		2.5.2 Proposed Mechanism	15
		2.5.3 Electronic Effect	17
		2.5.4 Geometric Effect	21
		2.5.5 Support Effect	22

CHAPTER		PAGE	
	2.6 Catalytic	Reactions for the Methylcyclohexane	24
III	EXPERIME	NTAL	27
	3.1 Materials	3	27
	3.2 Catalyst	Preparation	27
	3.2.1 Ic	on-exchange of Na-form Zeolite	27
	3.2.2 T	ungststed Zirconia (WZ) Synthesis	28
	3.2.3 Pt	t-containing Catalysts Preparation	29
	3.3 Catalyst	Characterization	29
	3.3.1 T	emperature Programmed Desorption of	
	A	dsorbed Ammonia	29
	3.3.2 R	aman Spectroscopy of Zirconia	29
	3.4 Catalytic	Activity Testing	30
IV	RESULTS A	ND DISCUSSION	31
	4.1 Catalyst	Characterization	31
	4.1.1 C	atalyst Acidity and Acid Strength	31
	4.1.2 St	tructure of Surface W Species	
	D	etermined by Raman Spectroscopy	33
	4.2 Catalytic	Activity Measurements	34
	4.3 Selectivit	ty of MCH Ring-Contraction Isomers	
	over Bare	e Acidic Catalysts	35
	4.4 Effect of	Metal (Pt) on the Selectivity to	
	RC Isom	ers over Acidic Catalysts	41
	4.5 Effect of	Acid Density on the Selectivity to	
	RC Isom	ers over CBV400 and CBV720 Zeolites	
	with and	without Pt Metal	49

CHAPTER		PAGE	
V	CONCLUSIO	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	52
	5.1 Conclusion	ons	52
	5.2 Recommo	endations for the Future Work	53
	REFERENC	ES	54
	APPENDICES		60
	Appendix A	MCH Conversion and Product	
		Distributions	60
	Appendix B	MCH Conversion plotted vs. Time	
		on Steam (TOS)	62
	Appendix C	Selectivity to RC products plotted	
		vs. W/F at 2 MPa and 533 K	63
	Appendix D	Catalyst Structures and Molecule Sizes	67
	CURRICUL	UM VITAE	69

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
4.1	Acidity of catalysts	31
A.1	The catalytic activity and selectivity of MCH over bare	
	acidic catalysts	60
A.2	The catalytic conversion of MCH over acidic and bifuctional	
	catalysts	61
D.1	Critical diameters	68

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Possible products from methylcyclohexane and their	
	research octane number (RON) and their motor octane	
	number (MON).	3
2.2	Hydrogenolysis on metal catalysts: product from ring	
	opening reactions of C ₇ ring contraction compounds and	
	their corresponding research octane number and motor	
	octane number.	3
2.3	Methylcyclohexane ring-contraction isomers.	4
2.4	Carbocation chemistry for the ring-contraction reactions.	5
2.5	Equilibrium distributions of MCH ring-contraction products.	
	Symbol codes: \blacksquare MCH, \Box ECP, \blacklozenge 1,1-DMCP, \Diamond <i>cis</i> -1,2-	
	DMCP, \triangle trans-1,2-DMCP, \triangle cis-1,3-DMCP,	
	and ● trans-1,3-DMCP.	6
2.6	β-scission of linear and cyclic hydrocarbons.	7
2.7	Mechanisms for ring opening of cycloalkanes: classical	
	(bifunctional or bimolecular).	10
2.8	Mechanisms for ring opening of cycloalkanes: nonclassical	
	cracking.	10
2.9	Non-selective and selective hydrogenolysis of	
	methylcyclopentane.	13
2.10	Hydrogenolysis of methylcyclopentane via the multiplet	
	mechanism.	16
2.11	Hydrogenolysis of methylcyclopentane via the dicarbene	
	mechanism.	17
2.12	Proposed reaction mechanism of n-C ₅ H ₁₂ on a metal	
	catalyst, involving an agostic precursor species.	18

FIGURE		PAGE	
2.13	Simplified reaction mechanisms for the catalytic reforming		
	of hydrocarbons on transition metal surfaces.	20	
2.14	Partly selective ring opening of methylcyclopentane.	24	
4.1	TPD of adsorbed ammonia on (a) MFI (b) BEA, and (c)		
	FAU zeolites.	32	
4.2	Raman spectra of bare (a) and Pt supported (b) WZ.	34	
4.3	RC products selectivity as a function of temperature. The		
	composition at thermodynamic equilibrium was simulated		
	by using SimSci PRO/II PROVISION. Reaction conditions:		
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; H_2 /feed molar ratio = 40.	35	
4.4	The DMCPs/ECP ratio over MFI, BEA, FAU zeolites and		
	WZ at the same conversion (~3%).	36	
4.5	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over MFI		
	zeolite at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:		
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed		
	molar ratio = 40.	37	
4.6	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over BEA		
	zeolite at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:		
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed		
	molar ratio = 40.	38	
4.7	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over FAU		
	zeolite at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:		
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed		
	molar ratio = 40.	39	
4.8	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over WZ		
	zeolite at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:		
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed		
	molar ratio = 40.	39	

FIGURE		PAGE
4.9	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over Pt/MFI	
	catalyst at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:	
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed	
	molar ratio = 40.	42
4.10	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over	
	Pt/BEA catalyst at different space velocities. Reaction	
	conditions: Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K;	
	H_2 /feed molar ratio = 40.	42
4.11	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over	
	Pt/FAU catalyst at different space velocities. Reaction	
	conditions: Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K;	
	H_2 /feed molar ratio = 40.	43
4.12	Selectivity to RC products vs. MCH conversion over Pt/WZ	
	catalyst at different space velocities. Reaction conditions:	
	Total pressure = 2 MPa; Temperature = 533 K; H ₂ /feed	
	molar ratio = 40.	43
4.13	Selectivity to 1,2- and 1,3-DMCP (%) plotted vs. MCH	
	conversion (%) over Pt supported catalysts at 2 MPa and	
	533 K.	45
4.14	Selectivity to ECP and 1,1-DMCP (%) plotted vs. MCH	
	conversion (%) over Pt supported catalysts at 2 MPa and	
	533 K.	46
4.15	The 1,3-DMCP/(1,2-DMCP+1,1-DMCP) ratio over MFI,	
	BEA, FAU zeolites and WZ at the same conversion (~3%).	47
4.16	The 1,3-DMCP/(1,1-DMCP+1,2-DMCP) ratio over Pt	
	supported catalysts at the same conversion (~13%).	47
4.17	The cis-1,3-DMCP/trans-1,3-DMCP ratio over MFI, BEA,	
	FAU zeolites and WZ at the same conversion (~3%).	48

FIGURE		PAGE	
4	.18	The cis-1,3-DMCP/trans-1,3-DMCP ratio over Pt supported	
		catalysts at the same conversion (~13%).	48
4	.19	Selectivity to RC products (%) plotted vs. MCH conversion	
		(%) over CBV400 (■ 1,3-DMCPs, • ECP) and CBV720	
		(□ 1,3-DMCPs, ○ ECP) at 2 MPa and 533 K.	50
4	.20	Selectivity to RC products (%) plotted vs. MCH conversion	
		(%) over CBV400 (▲ <i>trans</i> -1,2-DMCP, ◆ 1,1-DMCP) and	
		CBV720 (△trans-1,2-DMCP, ♦ 1,1-DMCP) at 2 MPa and	
		533 K.	50
4	.21	Selectivity to RC products (%) plotted vs. MCH conversion	
		(%) over Pt/CBV400 (■ 1,3-DMCPs, • ECP) and	
		Pt/CBV720 (□ 1,3-DMCPs, O ECP) at 2 MPa and 533 K.	51
4	.22	Selectivity to RC products (%) plotted vs. MCH conversion	
		(%) over Pt/CBV400 (▲ trans-1,2-DMCP, ◆ 1,1-DMCP)	
		and Pt/CBV720 (△trans-1,2-DMCP, ♦ 1,1-DMCP) at	
		2 MPa and 533 K.	51
E	3.1	MCH Conversion (%) plotted vs. Time on Steam (TOS) for	
		both bare and Pt-supported catalysts.	62
C	C.1	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over MFI.	63
C	C.2	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over Pt/MFI.	63
C	2.3	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over BEA.	64
C	C.4	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over Pt/BEA.	64
C	C.5	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over FAU.	65
C	2.6	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over Pt/FAU.	65
C	C. 7	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over WZ.	66
C	2.8	Selectivity to RC products plotted vs. W/F over Pt/WZ.	66