

REFERENCES

- Ahmetović, E. and Kravanja, Z. (2013). Simultaneous synthesis of process water and heat exchanger networks. Energy, 57(0), 236-250.
- Bagajewicz, M. (2000). A review of recent design procedures for water networks in refineries and process plants. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 24(9–10), 2093-2113.
- Bagajewicz, M., Rodera, H. and Savelski, M. (2002). Energy efficient water utilization systems in process plants. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 26(1), 59-79.
- Bagajewicz, M. and Savelski, M. (2001). On the Use of Linear Models for the Design of Water Utilization Systems in Process Plants with a Single Contaminant. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 79(5), 600-61.
- Bagajewicz, M.J., Pham, R. and Manousiouthakis, V. (1998). On the state space approach to mass/heat exchanger network design. Chemical Engineering Science, 53(14), 2595-2621.
- Bogataj, M. and Bagajewicz, M.J. (2008). Synthesis of non-isothermal heat integrated water networks in chemical processes. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 32(12), 3130-3142.
- Dhole, V.R., Ramchandani, N., Tainsh, R.A. and Wasilewski, M. (1996). Make your process water pay for itself. Chemical Engineering, 103(1), 100-103.
- Dong, H.-G., Lin, C.-Y. and Chang, C.-T. (2008). Simultaneous optimization approach for integrated water-allocation and heat-exchange networks. Chemical Engineering Science, 63(14), 3664-3678.
- Dunn, R. and Wenzel, H. (2001). Process integration design methods for water conservation and wastewater reduction in industry. Clean Products and Processes, 3(3), 307-318.

- Dunn, R., Wenzel, H. and Overcash, M. (2001). Process integration design methods for water conservation and wastewater reduction in industry. Clean Products and Processes, 3(3), 319-329.
- El-Halwagi, M.M., Gabriel, F. and Harell, D. (2003). Rigorous Graphical Targeting for Resource Conservation via Material Recycle/Reuse Networks. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 42(19), 4319-4328.
- El-Halwagi, M.M., Hamad, A.A. and Garrison, G.W. (1996). Synthesis of waste interception and allocation networks. AIChE Journal, 42(11), 3087-3101.
- Foo, D.C.Y. (2008). Flowrate targeting for threshold problems and plant-wide integration for water network synthesis. Journal of Environmental Management, 88(2), 253-274.
- Grossmann, I.E., Caballero, J.A. and Yeomans, H. (2000). Advances in mathematical programming for the synthesis of process systems. Latin American Applied Research, 30(4), 263-284.
- Hallale, N. (2002). A new graphical targeting method for water minimisation. Advances in Environmental Research, 6(3), 377-390.
- Huang, C.-H., Chang, C.-T., Ling, H.-C. and Chang (1999). A Mathematical Programming Model for Water Usage and Treatment Network Design. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 38(7), 2666-2679.
- Kuo, W.-C.J. and Smith, R. (1997). Effluent treatment system design. Chemical Engineering Science, 52(23), 4273-4290.
- Leewongtanawit, B. and Kim, J.-K. (2009). Improving energy recovery for water minimisation. Energy, 34(7), 880-893.
- Liao, Z., Rong, G., Wang, J. and Yang, Y. (2011). Systematic Optimization of Heat-Integrated Water Allocation Networks. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 50(11), 6713-6727.
- Linnhoff, B. and Hindmarsh, E. (1983). The pinch design method for heat exchanger networks. Chemical Engineering Science, 38(5), 745-763.
- Manan, Z.A., Tan, Y.L. and Foo, D.C.Y. (2004). Targeting the minimum water flow rate using water cascade analysis technique. AIChE Journal, 50(12), 3169-3183.

- Martínez-Patiño, J., Picón-Núñez, M., Serra, L.M. and Verda, V. (2012). Systematic approach for the synthesis of water and energy networks. Applied Thermal Engineering, 48(0), 458-464.
- Olesen, S.G. and Polley, G.T. (1997). A Simple Methodology for the Design of Water Networks Handling Single Contaminants. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 75(4), 420-426.
- Polley, G.T., Picón-Núñez, M. and López-Macié, J.d.J. (2010). Design of water and heat recovery networks for the simultaneous minimisation of water and energy consumption. Applied Thermal Engineering, 30(16), 2290-2299.
- Prakash, R. and Shenoy, U.V. (2005a). Targeting and design of water networks for fixed flowrate and fixed contaminant load operations. Chemical Engineering Science, 60(1), 255-268.
- Prakash, R. and Shenoy, U.V. (2005b). Design and evolution of water networks by source shifts. Chemical Engineering Science, 60(7), 2089-2093.
- Relvas, S., Matos, H.A., Fernández-Medina, M.C., Castro, P. and Nunes, C.P. (2008). AquoMin: A software tool for Mass-Exchange Networks targeting and design. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 32(6), 1085-1105.
- Savelski, M.J. and Bagajewicz, M.J. (2000). On the optimality conditions of water utilization systems in process plants with single contaminants. Chemical Engineering Science, 55(21), 5035-5048.
- Savulescu, L., Kim, J.-K. and Smith, R. (2005a). Studies on simultaneous energy and water minimisation—Part I: Systems with no water re-use. Chemical Engineering Science, 60(12), 3279-3290.
- Savulescu, L., Kim, J.-K. and Smith, R. (2005b). Studies on simultaneous energy and water minimisation—Part II: Systems with maximum re-use of water. Chemical Engineering Science, 60(12), 3291-3308.
- Savulescu, L.E., Sorin, M. and Smith, R. (2002). Direct and indirect heat transfer in water network systems. Applied Thermal Engineering, 22(8), 981-988.
- Siemanond, K. and Kosol, S. (2012). Heat exchanger network retrofit by pinch design method using stage-model mathematical programming. CHEMICAL ENGINEERING, 29.

- Sieniutycz, S. and Jeżowski, J. (2009). Approaches to water network design. Energy Optimization in Process Systems (pp 613-657). Elsevier Science.
- Smith, R. (2005). Chemical process design and integration. New York : Wiley.
- Sotelo-Pichardo, C., Ponce-Ortega, J.M., El-Halwagi, M.M. and Frausto-Hernández, S. (2011). Optimal retrofit of water conservation networks. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(14), 1560-1581.
- Takama, N., Kuriyama, T., Shiroko, K. and Umeda, T. (1980). Optimal water allocation in a petroleum refinery. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 4(4), 251-258.
- Tan, Y.L., Manan, Z.A. and Foo, D.C.Y. (2007). Retrofit of Water Network with Regeneration Using Water Pinch Analysis. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 85(4), 305-317.
- Tjoe, T.N. and Linnhoff, B. (1986). Using pinch technology for process retrofit. CHEMICAL ENGINEERING, 93(8), 47-60.
- Wang, Y.P. and Smith, R. (1994). Wastewater minimisation. Chemical Engineering Science, 49(7), 981-1006.
- Yee, T.F. and Grossmann, I.E. (1990). Simultaneous optimization models for heat integration—II. Heat exchanger network synthesis. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 14(10), 1165-1184.

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A-1 : Case Study 1.1 GAMS Code

```
Set    i Source stream /i1*i4/
      j Sink stream /j1*j4/
;
Parameter
  CS   Source concentration
    /i1  50
    i2  100
    i3  150
    i4  250 /
  FS   Source flowrate
    /i1  50
    i2  100
    i3  70
    i4  60 /
  CKL  Sink concentration
    /j1  20
    j2  50
    j3  100
    j4  200 /
  FK   Sink flowrate
    /j1  50
    j2  100
    j3  80
    j4  70 /
;
Variable   OBJ   Objective function
;
Positive variable x(i,j)  Source split fraction i to j
  FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
  WW(i) Waste of each source
  F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
  CK(j) Sink stream concentration
  OFW  Overall freshwater
  OWW  Overall waste
  OFWC Overall freshwater cost
  OWWC Overall waste cost
  OPC
;
Binary variable y(i,j)
  z(j)
  w(i)
;
Scalar    OMEGA /10000/
  CF Cost Freshwater /1/
  CW Cost wastewater /1/
  CP Cost piping /1/
```

```

;
Equation
  MB1(j)      Mass balance (flowrate)
  MB2(j)      Mass balance (contaminant)
  Cons1(i)    Constraint for x
  Cons2(j)    Concentration constraint
  Flow(i,j)   Flowrate source i to sink j
  Waste(i)    Waste of each source
  OFresh      Overall freshwater
  OWaste     Overall waste
  Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint1
  Logical2(j) Logical constraint2
  Logical3(i) Logical constraint3
  FreshCost   Overall freshwater cost
  WasteCost   Overall waste cost
  PipingCost  Overall Piping cost
  Object      Objective

;
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j) ;
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;
FreshCōst .. OFWC =e= OFW*CF;
WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;
PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum(j,z(j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);
Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;

```

```

Model CASE11 /ALL/;
Solve CASE11 Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,OFWC,I,OWWC,I,OPC,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

```

APPENDIX A-2 : Case Study 1.1a GAMS Code

```

Set    i Source stream /i1*i4/
      j Sink stream /j1*j4/
;
Parameter
  CS    Source concentration
        /i1  50
        i2  100
        i3  150
        i4  250 /

```

```

FS   Source flowrate
/i1  50
i2  100
i3  70
i4  60 /
CKL  Sink concentration
/j1  20
j2  50
j3  100
j4  200 /
FK   Sink flowrate
/j1  50
- j2  100
j3  80
j4  70 /
;
Variable      OBJ  Objective function
;
Positive variable x(i,j) Source split fraction i to j
FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
WW(i) Waste of each source
F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
CK(j) Sink stream concentration
OFW  Overall freshwater
OWW  Overall waste
OFWC Overall freshwater cost
OWWC Overall waste cost
OPC
;
Binary variable y(i,j)
z(j)
w(i)
;
Scalar      OMEGA /10000/
CF Cost Freshwater /10/
CW Cost wastewater /100/
CP Cost piping /10/
;
Equation
MB1(j)    Mass balance (flowrate)
MB2(j)    Mass balance (contaminant)
Cons1(i)   Constraint for x
Cons2(j)   Concentration constraint
Flow(i,j)  Flowrate source i to sink j
Waste(i)   Waste of each source
OFresh    Overall freshwater
OWaste    Overall waste
Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint1
Logical2(j) Logical constraint2
Logical3(i) Logical constraint3
FreshCost  Overall freshwater cost

```

```

WasteCost      Overall waste cost
PipingCost     Overall Piping cost
Object        Objective
;
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j);
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j);
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j);
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j);
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;
FreshCost .. OFWC =e= OFW*CF;
WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;
PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum(j,z(j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);
Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;

Model CASE11a /ALL/;
Solve CASE11a Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,OFWC,I,OWWC,I,OPC,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

```

APPENDIX A-3 : Case Study 1.1b GAMS Code

```

Set    i Source stream /i1*i4/
      j Sink stream /j1*j4/
;
Parameter
  CS   Source concentration
    /i1  50
    i2  100
    i3  150
    i4  250 /
  FS   Source flowrate
    /i1  50
    i2  100
    i3  70
    i4  60 /
  CKL  Sink concentration
    /j1  20
    j2  50
    j3  100
    j4  200 /
  FK   Sink flowrate
    /j1  50
    j2  100
    j3  80

```

```

j4    70  /

;
Variable      OBJ   Objective function
;
Positive variable x(i,j) Source split fraction i to j
  FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
  WW(i) Waste of each source
  F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
  CK(j) Sink stream concentration
  OFW  Overall freshwater
  OWW  Overall waste
  OFWC Overall freshwater cost
  OWWC Overall waste cost
  OPC
;
Binary variable  y(i,j)
  z(j)
  w(i)
;
Scalar      OMEGA /10000/
  CF Cost Freshwater /1/
  CW Cost wastewater /10/
  CP Cost piping /500/
;
Equation
  MB1(j)      Mass balance (flowrate)
  MB2(j)      Mass balance (contaminant)
  Cons1(i)    Constraint for x
  Cons2(j)    Concentration constraint
  Flow(i,j)   Flowrate source i to sink j
  Waste(i)    Waste of each source
  OFresh     Overall freshwater
  OWaste    Overall waste
  Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint1
  Logical2(j) Logical constraint2
  Logical3(i) Logical constraint3
  FreshCost   Overall freshwater cost
  WasteCost   Overall waste cost
  PipingCost  Overall Piping cost
  Object      Objective
;
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j) ;
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;

```

```

Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;
FreshCost .. OFWC =e= OFW*CF;
WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;
PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum(j,z(j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);
Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;

Model CASE11b /ALL/;
Solve CASE11b Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,OFWC.l,OWWC.l,OPC.l,FW.l,WW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,z.l,CK.l;

```

APPENDIX A-4 : Case Study 1.1c GAMS Code

```

Set    i Source stream /i1*i4/
       j Sink stream /j1*j4/
       r Freshwater /r1*r3/
;
Parameter
  CS    Source concentration
        /i1  50
        i2  100
        i3  150
        i4  250 /
  FS    Source flowrate
        /i1  50
        i2  100
        i3  70
        i4  60 /
  CKL   Sink concentration
        /j1  20
        j2  50
        j3  100
        j4  200 /
  FK    Sink flowrate
        /j1  50
        j2  100
        j3  80
        j4  70 /
  CF    Freshwater cost
        /r1  100
        r2  50
        r3  0 /
  ConF  Freshwater concentration
        /r1  0
        r2  20
        r3  50 /
;
Variable      OBJ   Objective function
;
```

Positive variable $x(i,j)$ Source split fraction i to j
 $FW(r,j)$ Freshwater flowrate
 $WW(i)$ Waste of each source
 $F(i,j)$ Splitting Flowrate i to j
 $CK(j)$ Sink stream concentration
 $OFW(r)$ Overall freshwater
 OWW Overall waste
 $OFWC$ Overall freshwater cost
 $OWWC$ Overall waste cost
 OPC

;

Binary variable $y(i,j)$
 $z(r,j)$
 $w(i)$

;

Scalar $\text{OMEGA} /10000/$
 $CW \text{ Cost wastewater } /100/$
 $CP \text{ Cost piping } /10/$

;

Equation

$MB1(j)$ Mass balance (flowrate)
 $MB2(j)$ Mass balance (contaminant)
 $Cons1(i)$ Constraint for x
 $Cons2(j)$ Concentration constraint
 $Flow(i,j)$ Flowrate source i to sink j
 $Waste(i)$ Waste of each source
 $OFresh(r)$ Overall freshwater
 $OWaste$ Overall waste
 $Logical1(i,j)$ Logical constraint1
 $Logical2(r,j)$ Logical constraint2
 $Logical3(i)$ Logical constraint3
 $FreshCost$ Overall freshwater cost
 $WasteCost$ Overall waste cost
 $PipingCost$ Overall Piping cost
 $Object$ Objective

;

$MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j))+ sum(r,FW(r,j)*ConF(r)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j);$
 $MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + sum(r,FW(r,j)) =e= FK(j);$
 $Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;$
 $Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j);$
 $Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j);$
 $Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);$
 $OFresh(r) .. OFW(r) =e= sum(j,FW(r,j));$
 $OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));$
 $Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;$
 $Logical2(r,j) .. FW(r,j)- z(r,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;$
 $Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;$
 $FreshCost .. OFWC =e= sum(r,OFW(r)*CF(r));$
 $WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;$
 $PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum((r,j),z(r,j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);$
 $Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;$

```

Model CASE11c /ALL/;
Solve CASE11c Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,OFWC,I,OWWC,I,OPC,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

```

APPENDIX A-5 : Case Study 1.2 GAMS Code

```

Set      i Source stream /i1*i5/
          j Sink stream /j1*j5/
;

Parameter
  CS    Source concentration (ppm)
    /i1  130
    i2  108
    i3  70
    i4  44
    i5  22 /
  FS    Source flowrate (ton per h)
    /i1  9
    i2  9
    i3  9
    i4  9
    i5  4.5 /
  CKL   Sink concentration (ppm)
    /j1  127.8
    j2  108
    j3  63.0016667
    j4  62.975
    j5  45.72 /
  FK    Sink flowrate (ton per h)
    /j1  10
    j2  4
    j3  12
    j4  8
    j5  6.5 /
;
Variable    OBJ    Objective function
;

Positive variable x(i,j) Source split fraction i to j
  FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
  WW(i) Waste of each source
  F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
  CK(j) Sink stream concentration
  OFW  Overall freshwater
  OWW  Overall waste
  OFWC Overall freshwater cost
  OWWC Overall waste cost
  OPC
;

```

```

Binary variable   y(i,j)
                  z(j)
                  w(i)
;
Scalar      OMEGA /1000/
                  CF Cost Freshwater /1/
                  CW Cost wastewater /1/
                  CP Cost piping /1/
;
Equation
      MB1(j)      Mass balance (flowrate)
      MB2(j)      Mass balance (contaminant)
      Cons1(i)    Constraint for x
      Cons2(j)    Concentration constraint
      Flow(i,j)   Flowrate source i to sink j
      Waste(i)    Waste of each source
      OFresh     Overall freshwater
      OWaste     Overall waste
      Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint1
      Logical2(j) Logical constraint2
      Logical3(i) Logical constraint3
      FreshCost  Overall freshwater cost
      WasteCost  Overall waste cost
      PipingCost Overall Piping cost
      Object     Objective
;
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CLK(j) :
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;
FreshCost .. OFWC =e= OFW*CF;
WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;
PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum(j,z(j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);
Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;
Model CASE12 /ALL/;
Solve CASE12 Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,OFWC,I,Owwc,I,OPC,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

```

APPENDIX A-6 : Case Study 1.3 GAMS Code

```

Set    i Source stream /i1*i5/
      j Sink stream /j1*j5/
;

Parameter
  CS   Source concentration (ppm)
    /i1  130
    i2  108
    i3  70
    i4  44
    i5  22 /
  FS   Source flowrate (ton per h)
    /i1  9
    i2  9
    i3  9
    i4  9
    i5  4.5 /
  CKL  Sink concentration (ppm)
    /j1  20
    j2  20
    j3  20
    j4  20
    j5  20 /
  FK   Sink flowrate (ton per h)
    /j1  10
    j2  4
    j3  12
    j4  8
    j5  6.5 /
;

Variable      OBJ  Objective function
;

Positive variable x(i,j) Source split fraction i to j
  FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
  WW(i) Waste of each source
  F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
  CK(j) Sink stream concentration
  OFW  Overall freshwater
  OWW  Overall waste
  OFWC Overall freshwater cost
  OWWC Overall waste cost
  OPC

;

Binary variable y(i,j)
  z(j)
  w(i)
;

Scalar      OMEGA /10000/
  CF Cost Freshwater /1/
;
```

CW Cost wastewater /1/

CP Cost piping /1/

Equation

MB1(j)	Mass balance (flowrate)
MB2(j)	Mass balance (contaminant)
Cons1(i)	Constraint for x
Cons2(j)	Concentration constraint
Flow(i,j)	Flowrate source i to sink j
Waste(i)	Waste of each source
OFresh	Overall freshwater
OWaste	Overall waste
Logical1(i,j)	Logical constraint1
Logical2(j)	Logical constraint2
Logical3(i)	Logical constraint3
FreshCost	Overall freshwater cost
WasteCost	Overall waste cost
PipingCost	Overall Piping cost
Object	Objective

```

MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j);
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j);
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j);
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j);
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)- w(i)*OMEGA =l= 0;
FreshCost .. OFWC =e= OFW*CF;
WasteCost .. OWWC =e= OWW*CW;
PipingCost .. OPC =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*CP)+sum(j,z(j)*CP)+sum(i,w(i)*CP);
Object .. OBJ =e= OFWC+OWWC+OPC;

```

Model CASE13 /ALL/;

Solve CASE13 Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;

Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,OFWC,I,OWWC,I,OPC,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

APPENDIX A-7 : Case Study 2 before regeneration GAMS Code

Set i Source stream /i1*i4/
j Sink stream /j1*j6/

;

Parameter

CS	Source concentration (ppm)
/i1	100

```

i2 230
i3 170
i4 250 /
FS  Source flowrate (ton per h)
/i1 155.4
i2 1305.78
i3 201.84
i4 469.8 /
- CKL Sink concentration (ppm)
/j1 20
j2 80
j3 100
j4 200
j5 20
j6 200 /
FK  Sink flowrate (ton per h)
/j1 155.4
j2 831.12
j3 201.84
j4 1149.84
j5 34.68
j6 68.7 /
;

Variable      OBJ  Objective function
;
Positive variable x(i,j)  Source split fraction i to j
FW(j) Freshwater flowrate
WW(i) Waste of each source
F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate i to j
CK(j) Sink stream concentration
OFW  Overall freshwater
OWW  Overall waste
;
Binary variable y(i,j)
z(j)
;
Scalar      OMEGA /10000/
GAMMA /100/
;
F.fx('i4','j6')=54;F.lo('i1','j4')=155.4;
F.lo('i2','j4')=41.28;F.lo('i3','j4')=201.84;
Equation
MB1(j)  Mass balance (flowrate)
MB2(j)  Mass balance (contaminant)
Cons1(i) Constraint for x
Cons2(j) Concentration constraint
Flow(i,j) Flowrate source i to sink j
Waste(i) Waste of each source
OFresh  Overall freshwater
OWaste  Overall waste
;
```

```

Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint
Logical2(j) Logical constraint
Object      Objective
;
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =e= CKL(j) ;
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OFresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
OWaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-y(i,j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FW(j)- z(j)*OMEGA =l= 0;
Object .. OBJ =e= OFW+OWW+sum((i,j),y(i,j)*GAMMA)+sum(j,z(j)*GAMMA);

Model CASE2 /ALL/;
Solve CASE2 Using MIP Minimizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,OFW,I,OWW,I,FW,I,WW,I,x,I,F,I,y,I,z,I,CK,I;

```

APPENDIX A-8 : Case Study 2 with regeneration GAMS Code

```

Set    i Source stream /i1*i5/
       j Sink stream /j1*j6/
;

Parameter
  CS      Source concentration
  /i1 100
  i2 230
  i3 170
  i4 250 /
  FS      Source flowrate
  /i1 155.4
  i2 1305.78
  i3 201.84
  i4 469.8 /
  CKL    Sink concentration limit
  /j1 20
  j2 80
  j3 100
  j4 200
  j5 20
  j6 200 /
  FK      Sink flowrate
  /j1 155.4
  j2 831.12
  j3 201.84
  j4 1149.84
  j5 34.68

```

j6 68.7 /

Scalar

CR	Regeneration Concentration (ppm) /30/
HL	Hydraulic loading rate (ton per m3hr) /1.807/
FC	Freshwater Cost (\$ per m3) /0.043/
TC	River Treatment Cost (\$ per m3) /0.297/
RC	Operation Cost (\$ per ton) /0.15/
RFC	Regeneration fix cost (\$) /780876/
- RVC	Regeneration variable cost (\$ per m3) /2310.6/
HY	Operation time (Hour) /7080/

Variables

	OBJ	Objective
Positive variables	x(i,j)	Spliting fraction
	y(j)	Spliting fraction after Regeneration
	FW(j)	Freshwater flowrate (ton per h)
	Fx(i,j)	Splitting Flowrate (ton per h)
	Fy(j)	Regeneration Splitting Flowrate (ton per h)
	FR	Regeneration Flowrate (ton per h)
	FK1(j)	Sink flowrate before Regeneration (ton per h)
	CK1(j)	Sink concentration before Regeneration (ppm)
	CK2(j)	Sink stream concentration after Regeneration (ppm)
	WW(i)	Waste flowrate of each source (ton per h)
	OWW	Overall Wastewater (ton per h)
	OFW	Overall Freshwater (ton per h)
	TW	Waste to treat flowrate (ton per h)
	Rarea	Area of Regeneration unit (m3)
	RINV	Regeneration investment Cost (\$)
	PINV	Piping investment cost (\$)
	INV	Total investment cost (\$)
	INC	Initial operating Cost (\$ per year)
	OptCost	New operating Cost (\$ per year)
	Save	Saving Cost (\$ per year)
	Pay	Payback period (year)

*Existing flowrate*****

Fx.lo('i1','j4') = 155.4; Fx.lo('i3','j4') = 201.84; Fx.lo('i2','j4') = 41.28;

Equation

MBR1(j)	Overall material balance before Regeneration (Contaminant)
MBR2(j)	Overall material balance before Regeneration (Mass flowrate)
Cons1(i)	Constraint for x value
Cons2(j)	Constraint for CK1 and CK2
MBR3(j)	Overall material balance after Regeneration (Contaminant)
MBR4(j)	Overall material balance after Regeneration (Mass flowrate)
Cons3(j)	Constraint for CK2 and CKL
Fresh	Overall Freshwater usage of
Flow(i,j)	Flowrate each sink
Waste(i)	Waste of each source
OWaste	Overall waste

RegenF	Regeneration flowrate
Treat	Treatment flowrate
ConR	Constraint for y value
Area	Regeneration area calculation
INCost	Initial operation cost
NewCost	New operation cost
RCost	Regeneration investment cost
PCost	Piping investment cost
Invest	Total investment cost
Saving	Saving calculation
Objective	Objective function

;

```

MBR1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK1(j)*FK1(j);
MBR2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FW(j) =e= FK1(j);
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;
Cons2(j) .. CK1(j) =g= CK2(j);
MBR3(j) .. CK1(j)*FK1(j) + Fy(j)*CR =e= CK2(j)*FK(j);
MBR4(j) .. FK1(j) + Fy(j) =e= FK(j);
Cons3(j) .. CK2(j) =l= CKL(j);
Fresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FW(j));
Flow(i,j) .. Fx(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j);
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
OWaste .. FR =l= sum(i,WW(i));
RegenF(j) .. Fy(j) =e= FR*y(j);
Treat .. TW =e= sum(i,WW(i))-FR;
ConR .. sum(j,y(j)) =l= 1;
Area .. Rarea =e= FR*sum(j,y(j))*HL;
INCost .. INC =e= FC*HY*1989.1 + TC*HY*1680.3;
NewCost .. OptCost =e= FC*HY*OFW + TC*TW*HY + RC*FR*HY;
RCost .. RINV =e= RFC+RVC*Rarea;
PCost .. PINV =e= RINV*0.16;
Invest .. INV =e= RINV + PINV;
Saving .. Save =e= INC - OptCost;
Objective .. OBJ =e= Save;
Model CASE2 /ALL/;
Solve CASE2 Using NLP Maximizing OBJ;
Display OBJ,I,INV,I,INC,I,Optcost,I,Save,I,FR,I,OFW,I,FW,I,WW,I,TW,I,Rarea,I,x,I,Fx,I,Fy,I,CK2,I;

```

APPENDIX A-9 : Case Study 3.1 GAMS Code

```

SETS      i Source streams /i1*i2/
          j Sink streams /j1*j2/
          u Treatment unit /u1*u2/
          w Treat streams /w1*w2/
          r Freshwater source /r1*r2/
          n Treatment stage /n1*n3/
;
PARAMETERS
  CS      Source composition

```

/i1 0.035
 i2 0.024 /
 FS Source flowrate (kg per h)
 /i1 2500
 i2 2870 /
 CKL Sink composition limit
 /j1 0.014
 j2 0.012 /
 FK Sink flowrate (kg per h)
 /j1 2800
 j2 2300 /
 CostFW Freshwater cost (\$ per kg)
 /r1 0.0019
 r2 0.0014 /
 CFW Freshwater composition
 /r1 0
 r2 0.005 /
 TFCI Treatment fix cost extra (\$)
 /u1 800
 u2 900 /
 TVCI Treatment variable cost extra (\$ per kg)
 /u1 1.1367
 u2 0.9548 /
 OC Treatment operation cost (\$ per kg)
 /u1 0.79e-3
 u2 0.63e-3 /
 Alpha Treatment efficiency
 /u1 0.91
 u2 0.72 /
 CPF6 Piping fix cost source to waste (\$ per y)
 /i1 0.6
 i2 1.3 /
 CP6 Piping variable cost source to waste (\$ per kg)
 /i1 0.7e-4
 i2 1.4e-4 /
 CPF7 Piping fix cost treat to waste (\$ per y)
 /w1 1.1
 w2 0.9 /
 CP7 Piping variable cost treat to waste (\$ per kg)
 /w1 0.2e-4
 w2 0.2e-4 /

InYT(u,n)	YT parameter
InYTI(u)	YTI parameter
FTP(w)	FT parameter
FTIP(u)	FTI parameter
CTIP(u)	CTI parameter
CTP(w)	CT parameter
CKP(j)	CK parameter
CWP	CW parameter
xFP(i,j)	xF parameter

yFP(i,u)	yF parameter
zFP(w,j)	zF parameter
tFP(w,u)	tF parameter
FRP(r,j)	FW parameter
WW1P(i)	WW1 parameter
WW2P(w)	WW2 parameter

;

TABLE TFC(u,n) Treatment fix cost (\$ per y)

	n1	n2	n3
u1	9875.43	13852.9	16125.94
u2	7822.52	11133.56	13025.75

;

TABLE TVC(u,n) Treatment variable cost (\$ per kg)

	n1	n2	n3
u1	8.58269	6.36064	5.72571
u2	7.14466	5.29491	4.76637

;

TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
i1	1.1	1.3
i2	0.8	1.4

;

TABLE CP1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
i1	1.1e-4	1.2e-4
i2	0.8e-4	1.3e-4

;

TABLE CPF2(i,u) Piping fix cost source to treat (\$ per y)

	u1	u2
i1	1.2	1.4
i2	1.1	1.5

;

TABLE CP2(i,u) Piping variable cost source to treat (\$ per kg)

	u1	u2
i1	1.2e-4	1.1e-4
i2	0.9e-4	1.0e-4

;

TABLE CPF3(w,u) Piping fix cost treat1 to treat2 (\$ per y)

	u1	u2
w1	0.6	0.4
w2	0.6	0.4

;

TABLE GP3(w,u) Piping variable cost treat1 to treat2 (\$ per kg)

	u1	u2
w1	0.4e-4	0.5e-4
w2	0.4e-4	0.5e-4

;

TABLE CPF4(w,j) Piping fix cost treat to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
w1	1.4	1.3
w2	1.2	1.0

;

TABLE CP4(w,j) Piping variable cost treat to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
w1	1.3e-4	1.1e-4
w2	1.1e-4	0.8e-4

;

TABLE CPF5(r,j) Piping fix cost fresh to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
r1	1.4	1.7
r2	1.3	1.9

TABLE CP5(r,j) Piping variable cost fresh to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
r1	1.6e-4	1.7e-4
r2	1.4e-4	1.5e-4

SCALAR CWL Waste concentration limit (ppm) /0.015/
 HY Operation time (h per y) /8000/
 KY Operation year (l per y) /0.333/
 _OMEGA /1000000/

VARIABLE OBJ1, OBJ2, OBJ3, OBJ4, TAC

POSITIVE VARIABLE

x(i,j)	Split fraction source to sink
y(i,u)	Split fraction source to treat
y1(i)	Split fraction source to treat for model 1
t(w,u)	Split fraction treat to treat
z(w,j)	Split fraction treat to sink
FR(r,j)	Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
WW1(i)	Waste i flowrate (kg per h)
WW2(w)	Waste w flowrate (kg per h)
FT(w)	Treatment flowrate out (kg per h)
FT1(u)	Treatment flowrate in (kg per h)
CT(w)	Treatment composition out
CT1(u)	Treatment composition in
OWW	Overall waste flowrate (kg per h)
OFW	Overall freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
CW	Waste discharge composition
CK(j)	Sink composition
FACost	Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)
TFCost	Treatment investment cost (\$ per y)
TFCost1	Increase capacity treatment investment cost (\$ per y)
TTCost	Total treatment investment annual cost (\$ per y)
TOCost	Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)
PA1	Piping cost source to sink (\$ per y)
PA2	Piping cost source to treat (\$ per y)
PA3	Piping cost treat to treat (\$ per y)
PA4	Piping cost treat to sink (\$ per y)
PA5	Piping cost fresh to sink (\$ per y)
PA6	Piping cost source to waste (\$ per y)
PA7	Piping cost treat to waste (\$ per y)
PACost	Piping annual cost (\$ per y)
xF(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)
yF(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)
zF(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)
tF(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat (kg per h)
Am(i)	
Bm(i)	
Ac(i)	
Bc(i)	
Alt(u)	

```

B1t(u)
A2t(u)
B2t(u)
A1k(j)
B1k(j)
C1k(j)
A2k(j)
B2k(j)
C2k(j)

*****Bounding*****
x.lo(i,j)=0;x.up(i,j)=1;
y.lo(i,u)=0;y.up(i,u)=1;
z.lo(w,j)=0;z.up(w,j)=1;

BINARY VARIABLES
    YT(u,n)      Existing Treatment unit
    YTl(u)       Existing Increase capacity treatment unit
    zx(i,j)      Existing Split Flowrate source to sink
    zy(i,u)      Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
    zz(w,j)      Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
    zfr(r,j)     Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
    zt(w,u)      Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
    zw1(i)       Existing Split Flowrate source to waste
    zw2(w)       Existing Split Flowrate treat to waste

;
EQUATIONS
*Model 1 ***** Linear *****
M1_mass(j)      LP mass balance
M1_cont(j)      LP contaminant balance
M1_cons(i)      x constraint
M1_cons2(j)     Sink composition constraint
M1_waste(i)    y value
*****SourceMass Balance*****
SMB_1(i)        Source Mass Balance eq1
SMB_2(i)        Source Mass Balance eq2
SMB_3(i)        Source Mass Balance
SCB_1(i)        Source Contaminant Balance eq1
SCB_2(i)        Source Contaminant Balance eq2
SCB_3(i)        Source Contaminant Balance
Consx(i)        x variable constraint
*****Treatment Mass Balance*****
TMB1_1(u)       Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq1
TMB1_2(u)       Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq2
TMB1_3(u)       Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq3
TCB1_1(u)       Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq1
TCB1_2(u)       Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq2
TCB1_3(u)       Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq3
TMB2(w)         Treatment Mass Balance 2
TCB2(w)         Treatment Contaminant Balance 3
TR(u,w)         Treat unit Contamiant balance
ConsTl(u,w)     Treat Constraint1

```

ConsT2(u,w)	Treat Constraint2
ConsT3(w)	Treat Constraint3
*Fix parameters*****	
TMB1_1P(u)	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq1
TMB1_2P(u)	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq2
TMB1_3P(u)	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq3
TCB1_1P(u)	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq1
TCB1_2P(u)	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq2
TCB1_3P(u)	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq3
TMB2P(w)	Treatment Mass Balance 2
TCB2P(w)	Treatment Contaminant Balance 3
TRP(u,w)	Treat unit Contamiant balance
ConsT1P(u,w)	Treat Constraint1
ConsT2P(u,w)	Treat Constraint2
ConsT3P(w)	Treat Constraint3
*****Waste Mass Balance*****	
WASTE	Overall Waste Flowrate
CWASTE	Overall Waste Concentraion
ConsW	Waste constraint
FRESH	Overall freshwater
*Fix parameter*****	
WASTEP	Overall Waste Flowrate
CWASTEP	Overall Waste Concentraion
FRESHP	Overall freshwater
*****Sink Mass Balance*****	
SKMB_1(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq1
SKMB_2(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq2
SKMB_3(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq3
SKMB_4(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq4
SKCB_1(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq1
SKCB_2(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq2
SKCB_3(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq3
SKCB_4(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq4
ConsSK(j)	Sink Contaminant Constraint
*Fix parameter*****	
SKMB_1P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq1
SKMB_2P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq2
SKMB_3P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq3
SKMB_4P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq4
SKCB_1P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq1
SKCB_2P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq2
SKCB_3P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq3
SKCB_4P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq4
ConsSKP(j)	Sink Contaminant Constraint
*****Flowrate existing and constraint*****	
Dis1(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink
Dis2(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat
Dis3(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink

Dis4(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat
xexist(i,j)	Existing Split Flowrate source to sink
yexist(i,u)	Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
zexist(w,j)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
texist(w,u)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
frexist(r,j)	Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
ww1exist(i)	Existing Flowrate source to waste
ww2exist(w)	Existing Flowrate treat to waste
xcons(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink constraint
ycons(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat constraint
zcons(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink constraint
frcons(r,j)	Flowrate fresh to sink constraint
tcons(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat constraint
w1cons(i)	Flowrate source to waste constraint
w2cons(w)	Flowrate treat to waste constraint
*Fix parameters*****	
Dis1P(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink
Dis2P(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat
Dis3P(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink
Dis4P(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat
xexistP(i,j)	Existing Split Flowrate source to sink
yexistP(i,u)	Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
zexistP(w,j)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
texistP(w,u)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
frexistP(r,j)	Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
ww1existP(i)	Existing Flowrate source to waste
ww2existP(w)	Existing Flowrate treat to waste
xconsP(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink constraint
yconsP(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat constraint
zconsP(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink constraint
frconsP(r,j)	Flowrate fresh to sink constraint
tconsP(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat constraint
w1consP(i)	Flowrate source to waste constraint
w2consP(w)	Flowrate treat to waste constraint
*****Cost Calculation*****	
TUC1(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 0-3187
TUC2(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 3188-6374
TUC3(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 6375-INF
ConsB1	Binary constraint
ConsB2	Flowrate constraint
FreshCost	Freshwater cost1
FreshCost2	Freshwater cost2
TreatCost	Treatment unit cost
TreatOCost	Treatment Operation Cost
PIPCost1	Piping Cost source to sink
PIPCost2	Piping Cost source to treat
PIPCost3	Piping Cost treat to treat
PIPCost4	Piping Cost treat to sink
PIPCost5	Piping Cost fresh to sink

PIPCost6 Piping Cost source to waste
 PIPCost7 Piping Cost treat to waste
 PIPCost Total Piping Cost
 Total Total annual cost

*Fix parameter*****

TUC1P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 0-1700
TUC2P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 1701-3580
TUC3P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 1701-3580
ConsBP1	Binary constraint
ConsBP2	Flowrate constraint
FreshCostP	Freshwater cost fix parameter
TreatCostP	Treatment unit cost fix parameter
TreatOCostP	Treatment Operation Cost fix parameter
PIPCost1P	Piping Cost source to sink
PIPCost2P	Piping Cost source to treat
PIPCost3P	Piping Cost treat to treat
PIPCost4P	Piping Cost treat to sink
PIPCost5P	Piping Cost fresh to sink
PIPCost6P	Piping Cost source to waste
PIPCost7P	Piping Cost treat to waste
PIPCostP	Total Piping Cost

*****Objective function*****

Object1	Objective Function1
Object2	Objective Function2
Object3	Objective Function3
Object4	Objective Function4

*Model 1 ***** Linear *****

```

M1_mass(j) .. FK(j) =e= SUM(i,FS(i)*x(i,j))+SUM(r,FR(r,j));
M1_cont(j) .. FK(j)*CK(j) =e= SUM(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i))+SUM(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
M1_cons(i) .. SUM(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;
M1_cons2(j).. CK(j) =l= CKL(j);
M1_waste(i).. y1(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)));

```

*****Source Mass Balance*****

```

SMB_1(i).. Am(i) =e= sum(j,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SMB_2(i).. Bm(i) =e= sum(u,FS(i)*y(i,u));
SMB_3(i).. FS(i) =e= Am(i)+Bm(i)+WW1(i);
SCB_1(i).. Ac(i) =e= sum(j,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SCB_2(i).. Bc(i) =e= sum(u,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
SCB_3(i).. FS(i)*CS(i) =e= Ac(i) + Bc(i) + WW1(i)*CS(i);
Conxi(i).. sum(j,x(i,j))+sum(u,y(i,u)) =l= 1;

```

*****Treatment Mass Balance*****

```

TMB1_1(u).. A1t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u));
TMB1_2(u).. B1t(u) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*t(w,u));
TMB1_3(u).. A1t(u)+B1t(u) =e= FTI(u);
TCB1_1(u).. A2t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
TCB1_2(u).. B2t(u) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*t(w,u)*CT(w));
TCB1_3(u).. A2t(u)+B2t(u) =e= FTI(u)*CTI(u);
TMB2(w).. FT(w) =e= sum(u,FT(w)*t(w,u))+sum(j,FT(w)*z(w,j))+WW2(w);
TCB2(w).. FT(w)*CT(w) =e= sum(u,FT(w)*t(w,u)*CT(w))+sum(j,FT(w)*z(w,j)*CT(w))+WW2(w)*CT(w);

```

```

TR(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CT(w) =e= (1-Alpha(u))*CTI(u);
ConsT1(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. FTI(u) =e= FT(w);
ConsT2(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTI(u) =g= CT(w);
ConsT3(w) .. sum(u,t(w,u))+sum(j,z(w,j)) =l= 1;
*Fixparameters*****Waste Mass Balance*****
TMB1_1P(u) .. A1t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u));
TMB1_2P(u) .. B1t(u) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*t(w,u));
TMB1_3P(u) .. A1t(u)+B1t(u) =e= FTIP(u);
TCB1_1P(u) .. A2t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
TCB1_2P(u) .. B2t(u) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*t(w,u)*CTP(w));
TCB1_3P(u) .. A2t(u)+B2t(u) =e= FTIP(u)*CTIP(u);
TMB2P(w) .. FTP(w) =e= sum(u,FTP(w)*t(w,u))+sum(j,FTP(w)*z(w,j))+WW2(w);
TCB2P(w) .. FTP(w)*CTP(w) =e=
sum(u,FTP(w)*t(w,u)*CTP(w))+sum(j,FTP(w)*z(w,j)*CTP(w))+WW2(w)*CTP(w);
TRP(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTP(w) =e= (1-Alpha(u))*CTIP(u);
ConsT1P(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. FTIP(u) =e= FTP(w);
ConsT2P(u,w)$ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTIP(u) =g= CTP(w);
ConsT3P(w) .. sum(u,t(w,u))+sum(j,z(w,j)) =l= 1;
*****Sink Mass Balance*****
WASTE .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW1(i))+sum(w,WW2(w));
CWASTE .. OWW*CW =e= sum(i,WW1(i)*CS(i))+sum(w,WW2(w)*CT(w));
ConsW .. CW =l= CWL;
FRESH .. OFW =e= sum((r,j),FR(r,j));
*Fix parameter*****
WASTEP .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW1P(i))+sum(w,WW2P(w));
CWASTEP .. OWW*CWP =e= sum(i,WW1P(i)*CS(i))+sum(w,WW2P(w)*CTP(w));
FRESHP .. OFW =e= sum((r,j),FRP(r,j));
*****Flowrate existing and constraint*****
SKMB_1(j) .. A1k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SKMB_2(j) .. B1k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j));
SKMB_3(j) .. C1k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j));
SKMB_4(j) .. FK(j) =e= A1k(j) + B1k(j) + C1k(j);
SKCB_1(j) .. A2k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SKCB_2(j) .. B2k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j)*CT(w));
SKCB_3(j) .. C2k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
SKCB_4(j) .. FK(j)*CK(j) =e= A2k(j) + B2k(j) + C2k(j);
ConsSK(j) .. CK(j) =l= CKL(j);
*Fix parameter*****
SKMB_1P(j) .. A1k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SKMB_2P(j) .. B1k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j));
SKMB_3P(j) .. C1k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j));
SKMB_4P(j) .. FK(j) =e= A1k(j) + B1k(j) + C1k(j);
SKCB_1P(j) .. A2k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SKCB_2P(j) .. B2k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j)*CTP(w));
SKCB_3P(j) .. C2k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
SKCB_4P(j) .. FK(j)*CKP(j) =e= A2k(j) + B2k(j) + C2k(j);
Dis1(i,j) .. xF(i,j) =e= x(i,j)*FS(i);
Dis2(i,u) .. yF(i,u) =e= y(i,u)*FS(i);
Dis3(w,j) .. zF(w,j) =e= z(w,j)*FT(w);
Dis4(w,u) .. tF(w,u) =e= t(w,u)*FT(w);

```

```

*Fix parameter*****
Dis1P(i,j) .. xFP(i,j) =e= x(i,j)*FS(i);
Dis2P(i,u) .. yFP(i,u) =e= y(i,u)*FS(i);
Dis3P(w,j) .. zFP(w,j) =e= z(w,j)*FTP(w);
Dis4P(w,u) .. tFP(w,u) =e= t(w,u)*FTP(w);
xexist(i,j) .. xF(i,j)-OMEGA*zx(i,j) =l= 0 ;
yexist(i,u) .. yF(i,u)-OMEGA*zy(i,u) =l= 0 ;
zexist(w,j) .. zF(w,j)-OMEGA*zz(w,j) =l= 0 ;
texist(w,u) .. tF(w,u)-QMEGA*zt(w,u) =l= 0 ;
frexist(r,j) .. FR(r,j)-OMEGA*zfr(r,j) =l= 0 ;
ww1exist(i) .. WW1(i)-OMEGA*zw1(i) =l= 0 ;
ww2exist(w) .. WW2(w)-OMEGA*zw2(w) =l= 0 ;
xcons(i,j) .. xF(i,j) =g= 300*zx(i,j) ;
ycons(i,u) .. yF(i,u) =g= 300*zy(i,u) ;
zcons(w,j) .. zF(w,j) =g= 300*zz(w,j) ;
frcons(r,j) .. FR(r,j) =g= 300*zfr(r,j) ;
tcons(w,u) .. tF(w,u) =g= 300*zt(w,u) ;
w1cons(i) .. WW1(i) =g= 300*zw1(i) ;
w2cons(w) .. WW2(w) =g= 300*zw2(w) ;
**Fix parameters*****
xexistP(i,j) .. xFP(i,j)-OMEGA*zx(i,j) =l= 0 ;
yexistP(i,u) .. yFP(i,u)-OMEGA*zy(i,u) =l= 0 ;
zexistP(w,j) .. zFP(w,j)-OMEGA*zz(w,j) =l= 0 ;
texistP(w,u) .. tFP(w,u)-OMEGA*zt(w,u) =l= 0 ;
frexistP(r,j) .. FRP(r,j)-OMEGA*zfr(r,j) =l= 0 ;
ww1existP(i) .. WW1P(i)-OMEGA*zw1(i) =l= 0 ;
ww2existP(w) .. WW2P(w)-OMEGA*zw2(w) =l= 0 ;
xconsP(i,j) .. xFP(i,j) =g= 300*zx(i,j) ;
yconsP(i,u) .. yFP(i,u) =g= 300*zy(i,u) ;
zconsP(w,j) .. zFP(w,j) =g= 300*zz(w,j) ;
frconsP(r,j) .. FRP(r,j) =g= 300*zfr(r,j) ;
tconsP(w,u) .. tFP(w,u) =g= 300*zt(w,u) ;
w1consP(i) .. WW1P(i) =g= 300*zw1(i) ;
w2consP(w) .. WW2P(w) =g= 300*zw2(w) ;
*****Cost Calculation*****
TUC1(u).. FTI(u) =l= 1790*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC2(u).. FTI(u) =l= 3580*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC3(u).. FTI(u) =l= 5370*YT(u,'n3')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1');
ConsB1(u)..sum(n,YT(u,n))=l=1;
ConsB2 .. sum(u,FTI(u)) =l= sum(i.FS(i));
*Fix parameter*****
TUC1P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= 1790*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC2P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= 3580*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC3P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= 5370*YT(u,'n3')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1');
ConsBP1(u)..sum(n,YT(u,n))=l=1;
ConsBP2 .. sum(u,FTIP(u)) =l= sum(i.FS(i));
FreshCost .. FACost =e= sum((r,j),FR(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY);
FreshCost2 .. FACost =e= sum((r,j),FR(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY*zfr(r,j));
TreatCost .. TCCost =e= sum((u,n),(TFC(u,n)+FTI(u)*TVC(u,n))*KY*YT(u,n));
TreatOCost .. TOCost =e= sum(u,OC(u)*FTI(u)*HY);
PIPCost1 .. PA1 =e= sum((i,j),(CPF1(i,j)+CP1(i,j)*xF(i,j)*HY)*zx(i,j));

```

```

PIPCost2 .. PA2 =e= sum((i,u),(CPF2(i,u)+CP2(i,u)*yF(i,u)*HY)*zy(i,u));
PIPCost3 .. PA3 =e= sum((w,u),(CPF3(w,u)+CP3(w,u)*tF(w,u)*HY)*zt(w,u));
PIPCost4 .. PA4 =e= sum((w,j),(CPF4(w,j)+CP4(w,j)*zF(w,j)*HY)*zz(w,j));
PIPCost5 .. PA5 =e= sum((r,j),(CPF5(r,j)+CP5(r,j)*FR(r,j)*HY)*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost6 .. PA6 =e= sum(i,(CPF6(i)+CP6(i)*WW1(i)*HY)*zw1(i));
PIPCost7 .. PA7 =e= sum(w,(CPF7(w)+CP7(w)*WW2(w)*HY)*zw2(w));
PIPCost .. PACost =e= PA1+PA2+PA3+PA4+PA5+PA6+PA7;
Total .. TAC =e= FACost + TTCost + TOCost + PACost;
*Fix Parameter*****
TreatOCostP .. TOCost =e= sum(u,OC(u)*FTIP(u)*HY);
TreatCostP .. TTCost =e= sum((u,n),(TFC(u,n)+FTIP(u)*TVC(u,n))*KY*YT(u,n));
FreshCostP .. FACost =e= sum((r,j),FRP(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost1P .. PA1 =e= sum((i,j),(CPF1(i,j)+CP1(i,j)*xFP(i,j)*HY)*zx(i,j));
PIPCost2P .. PA2 =e= sum((i,u),(CPF2(i,u)+CP2(i,u)*yFP(i,u)*HY)*zy(i,u));
PIPCost3P .. PA3 =e= sum((w,u),(CPF3(w,u)+CP3(w,u)*tFP(w,u)*HY)*zt(w,u));
PIPCost4P .. PA4 =e= sum((w,j),(CPF4(w,j)+CP4(w,j)*zFP(w,j)*HY)*zz(w,j));
PIPCost5P .. PA5 =e= sum((r,j),(CPF5(r,j)+CP5(r,j)*FRP(r,j)*HY)*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost6P .. PA6 =e= sum(i,(CPF6(i)+CP6(i)*WW1P(i)*HY)*zw1(i));
PIPCost7P .. PA7 =e= sum(w,(CPF7(w)+CP7(w)*WW2P(w)*HY)*zw2(w));
PIPCostP .. PACost =e= PA1+PA2+PA3+PA4+PA5+PA6+PA7;
*****Objective function*****
Object1 .. OBJ1 =e= FACost;
Object2 .. OBJ2 =e= FACost+TTCost+TOCost;
Object3 .. OBJ3 =e= TAC;
Object4 .. OBJ4 =e= TAC;
*****SOLVE 1*****
MODEL CASE31CAL1
/M1_mass,M1_cont,M1_cons,M1_cons2,M1_waste,FRESH.Object1,FreshCost,Dis1 /
SOLVE CASE31CAL1 USING LP MINIMIZING OBJ1;
DISPLAY OBJ1.l,x.l,FR.l,y.l,zfr.l;
*****SOLVE 2*****
Parameter
Inx(i,j) intermediate parameter x
InFR(r,j) intermediate parameter FR
Iny(i)
;
Inx(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
InFR(r,j) = FR.l(r,j);
Iny(i) = y.l(i);
x.l(i,j) = Inx(i,j);
FR.l(r,j) = InFR(r,j);
y.l(i,u) = Iny(i);
*Initialization*****
WW1.lo('i2')=300;
WW2.lo('w1')=300;
yF.fx('i2','u1')=0;
FR.fx('r2','j1')=0;
FR.fx('r2','j2')=0;
*****;
MODEL CASE31CAL2

```

```

/   SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx
,TMB1_1,TMB1_2,TMB1_3,TCB1_1,TCB1_2,TCB1_3,TMB2,TCB2,TR,ConsT1,
ConsT2,ConsT3,WASTE,CWASTE,ConsW,FRESH,SKMB_1,SKMB_2,SKMB_3,
SKMB_4,SKCB_1,SKCB_2,SKCB_3,SKCB_4,ConsSK.Dis1,Dis2,Dis3,Dis4,TUC1,
TUC2,TUC3,ConsB1,ConsB2,FreshCost,TreatCost,TreatOCost,Object2 / ;

SOLVE CASE31CAL2 USING MINLP MINIMIZING OBJ2;
DISPLAY OBJ1.l,OBJ2.l,xF.l,yF.l,zF.l,FR.l,WW1.l,WW2.l,CW.l,FTI.l,YT.l;

*****SOLVE 3*****
xFP(i,j) = xF.l(i,j);
yFP(i,u) = yF.l(i,u);
zFP(w,j) = zF.l(w,j);
tFP(w,u) = tF.l(w,u);
FRP(rj) = FR.l(r,j);
WW1P(i) = WW1.l(i);
WW2P(w) = WW2.l(w);
FTIP(u) = FTI.l(u);
CTIP(u) = CTI.l(u);
CTP(w) = CT.l(w);
CWP = CW.l;
FTP(w) = FT.l(w);
CKP(j) = CK.l(j);

MODEL CASE31CAL3
/   SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx
,TMB1_1P,TMB1_2P,TMB1_3P,TCB1_1P,TCB1_2P,TCB1_3P,TMB2P,TCB2P,TRP,
ConsT1P,ConsT2P,ConsT3P,WASTEP,FRESHP,SKMB_1P,SKMB_2P,SKMB_3P,SKMB_4P,SKCB_1P,
SKCB_2P,SKCB_3P,SKCB_4P,Dis1P,Dis3P,Dis4P,TUC1P,TUC2P,TUC3P,ConsBP1,
xexistP,yexistP,zexistP,txexistP,frexistP,ww1existP,ww2existP,xconsP,yconsP,
zconsP,tconsP,frconsP,w1consP,w2consP,PIPCost1P,PIPCost2P,PIPCost3P,PIPCost4P,
PIPCost5P,PIPCost6P,PIPCost7P,PIPCostP,FreshCostP,TreatCostP,TreatOCostP,
Total,Object3 / ;

SOLVE CASE31CAL3 USING MIP MINIMIZING OBJ3;
DISPLAY OBJ2.l,OBJ3.l,FACost.l,TOCost.l,TTCost.l,PACost.l,CT.l,CTI.l,TAC.l,
xFP,yFP,zFP,FRP,WW1P,WW2P,YT.l,zx.l,zy.l,zz.l,zfr.l,zw1.l,zw2.l;

*****SOLVE 4*****
parameter
Inzx(i,j)
Inzy(i,u)
Inzt(w,u)
Inzz(w,j)
Inzfr(r,j)
Inzw1(i)
Inzw2(w)
InYT(u,n)
Inx1(i,j) intermediate parameter x
Iny1(i,u) intermediate parameter y
Inz1(w,j) intermediate parameter z
InFR1(r,j) intermediate parameter FR

```

```

;
Inzx(i,j)=zx.l(i,j);
Inzy(i,u)=zy.l(i,u);
Inzt(w,u)=zt.l(w,u);
Inzz(w,j)=zz.l(w,j);
Inzfr(r,j)=zfr.l(r,j);
Inzw1(i)=zw1.l(i);
Inzw2(w)=zw2.l(w);
InYT(u,n)=YT.l(u,n);
Inx.l(i,j)=x.l(i,j);
Iny.l(i,u)=y.l(i,u);
Inz.l(w,j)=z.l(w,j);
InFR1(r,j)=FRP(r,j);
*Initial variable*****
zx.l(i,j)=Inzx(i,j);
zy.l(i,u)=Inzy(i,u);
zt.l(w,u)=Inzt(w,u);
zz.l(w,j)=Inzz(w,j);
zfr.l(r,j)=Inzfr(r,j);
zw1.l(i)=Inzw1(i);
zw2.l(w)=Inzw2(w);
YT.l(u,n)=InYT(u,n);
x.l(i,j)=Inx.l(i,j);
y.l(i,u)=Iny.l(i,u);
z.l(w,j)=Inz.l(w,j);
FR.l(r,j)=InFR1(r,j);

MODEL CASE3|CAL4
/   SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx
,TMB1_1,TMB1_2,TMB1_3,TCB1_1,TCB1_2,TCB1_3,TMB2,TCB2,TR,ConsT1,ConsT2,ConsT3,
WASTE,CWASTE,ConsW,FRESH,SKMB_1,SKMB_2,SKMB_3,SKMB_4,SKCB_1,SKCB_2,SKCB_3,SKCB_4,
ConsSK,Dis1,Dis2,Dis3,Dis4,TUC1,TUC2,TUC3,ConsB1,ConsB2,xexist,yexist,zexist,
freexist,txexist,ww1exist,ww2exist,xcons,ycons,zcons,frcns,tcons,w1cons,w2cons,
PIPCost1,PIPCost2,PIPCost3,PIPCost4,PIPCost5,PIPCost6,PIPCost7,PIPCost,FreshCost,
TreatCost,TreatOCost,Total,Object4 /
SOLVE CASE3|CAL4 USING MINLP MINIMIZING OBJ4;
DISPLAY OBJ3.l,OBJ4.l,PACost,Lx.F.l,yF.l,zF.l,FR.l,OFW.l,WW1.l,WW2.l,OWW.l,
YT.l,CT.l,CTI.l,CW.l,zx.l,zy.l,zfr.l;

```

APPENDIX A-10 : Case Study 3.2 GAMS Code

```

SETS      i Source streams /i1*i4/
          j Sink streams /j1*j2/
          u Treatment unit /u1*u3/
          w Treat streams /w1*w3/
          r Freshwater source /r1*r2/
          n Treatment stage /n1*n3/
;
PARAMETERS
  CS      Source concentration (ppm)

```

/i1 0
 i2 14
 i3 25
 i4 34 /
FS Source flowrate (kg per h)
 /i1 2880
 i2 18000
 i3 21240
 i4 5040 /
CKL Sink concentration limit (ppm)
 /j1 0
 j2 10 /
FK Sink flowrate (kg per h)
 /j1 4320
 j2 20880 /
CostFW Freshwater cost (\$ per kg)
 /r1 0.00145
 r2 0.00094 /
CFW Freshwater concentration (ppm)
 /r1 0
 r2 5 /
OC Treatment operation cost (\$ per kg)
 /u1 0.9e-3
 u2 0.6e-3
 u3 0.5e-3 /
Alpha Treatment efficiency
 /u1 0.93
 u2 0.84
 u3 0.76 /
CPF6 Piping fix cost source to waste (\$ per y)
 /i1 0.82
 i2 0.82
 i3 0.82
 i4 0.82 /
CP6 Piping variable cost source to waste (\$ per kg)
 /i1 1.4e-3
 i2 1.4e-3
 i3 1.4e-3
 i4 1.4e-3 /
CPF7 Piping fix cost treat to waste (\$ per y)
 /w1 0.89
 w2 0.89
 w3 0.89 /
CP7 Piping variable cost treat to waste (\$ per kg)
 /w1 1.11e-3
 w2 1.11e-3
 w3 1.11e-3 /
InYT(u,n) YT parameter
InYTI(u) YTI parameter
FTP(w) FT parameter
FTIP(u) FTI parameter

CTIP(u)	CTI parameter
CTP(w)	CT parameter
CKP(j)	CK parameter
CWP	CW parameter
xFP(i,j)	xF parameter
yFP(i,u)	yF parameter
zFP(w,j)	zF parameter
tFP(w,u)	tF parameter
FRP(r,j)	FW parameter
WW1P(i)	WW1 parameter
WW2P(w)	WW2 parameter

TABLE TFC(u,n) Treatment fix cost (\$ per y)

	n1	n2	n3
u1	12470.71	19438.22	23420.05
u2	9134.52	14506.78	17576.92
u3	7873.48	12908.94	15786.6

TABLE TVC(u,n) Treatment variable cost (\$ per kg)

	n1	n2	n3
u1	8.4443	6.2581	5.6334
u2	6.5109	4.8252	4.3436
u3	6.1027	5.5227	4.0713

TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
i1	0.82	0.82
i2	0.82	0.82
i3	0.82	0.82
i4	0.82	0.82

TABLE CP1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
i1	1.4e-3	1.4e-3
i2	1.4e-3	1.4e-3
i3	1.4e-3	1.4e-3
i4	1.4e-3	1.4e-3

TABLE CPF2(i,u) Piping fix cost source to treat (\$ per y)

	u1	u2	u3
i1	0.96	0.96	0.96
i2	0.96	0.96	0.96
i3	0.96	0.96	0.96
i4	0.96	0.96	0.96

TABLE CP2(i,u) Piping variable cost source to treat (\$ per kg)

	u1	u2	u3
i1	1.2e-3	1.2e-3	1.2e-3
i2	1.2e-3	1.2e-3	1.2e-3
i3	1.2e-3	1.2e-3	1.2e-3
i4	1.2e-3	1.2e-3	1.2e-3

TABLE CPF3(w,u) Piping fix cost treat1 to treat2 (\$ per y)

	u1	u2	u3
w1	0.7	0.7	0.7
w2	0.7	0.7	0.7
w3	0.7	0.7	0.7

;

TABLE CP3(w,u) Piping variable cost treat1 to treat2 (\$ per kg)

	u1	u2	u3
w1	0.63e-3	0.63e-3	0.63e-3
w2	0.63e-3	0.63e-3	0.63e-3
w3	0.63e-3	0.63e-3	0.63e-3

;

TABLE CPF4(w,j) Piping fix cost treat to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
w1	0.89	0.89
w2	0.89	0.89
w3	0.89	0.89

;

TABLE CP4(w,j) Piping variable cost treat to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
w1	1.11e-3	1.11e-3
w2	1.11e-3	1.11e-3
w3	1.11e-3	1.11e-3

;

TABLE CPF5(r,j) Piping fix cost fresh to sink (\$ per y)

	j1	j2
r1	1.635	1.635
r2	1.635	1.635

;

TABLE CP5(r,j) Piping variable cost fresh to sink (\$ per kg)

	j1	j2
r1	0.67e-3	0.67e-3
r2	0.67e-3	0.67e-3

;

SCALAR CWL Waste concentration limit (ppm) /5/

HY Operation time (h per y) /8000/

KY Operation year (1 per y) /0.333/

OMEGA /1000000/

;

VARIABLE OBJ1,OBJ2,OBJ3,OBJ32,OBJ4,OBJ5,TAC

;

POSITIVE VARIABLE

x(i,j)	Split fraction source to sink
y(i,u)	Split fraction source to treat
y1(i)	Split fraction source to treat for model 1
t(w,u)	Split fraction treat to treat
z(w,j)	Split fraction treat to sink
FR(r,j)	Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
WW1(i)	Waste i flowrate (kg per h)
WW2(w)	Waste w flowrate (kg per h)
FT(w)	Treatment flowrate out (kg per h)

FTI(u)	Treatment flowrate in (kg per h)
CT(w)	Treatment composition out
CTI(u)	Treatment composition in
OWW	Overall waste flowrate (kg per h)
OFW	Overall freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
CW	Waste discharge composition
CK(j)	Sink composition
FACost	Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)
TFCost	Treatment investment cost (\$ per y)
TFCostI	Increase capacity treatment investment cost (\$ per y)
TTCost	Total treatment investment annual cost (\$ per y)
TOCost	Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)
PA1	Piping cost source to sink (\$ per y)
PA2	Piping cost source to treat (\$ per y)
PA3	Piping cost treat to treat (\$ per y)
PA4	Piping cost treat to sink (\$ per y)
PA5	Piping cost fresh to sink (\$ per y)
PA6	Piping cost source to waste (\$ per y)
PA7	Piping cost treat to waste (\$ per y)
PACost	Piping annual cost (\$ per y)
xF(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)
yF(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)
zF(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)
tF(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat (kg per h)
Am(i)	
Bm(i)	
Ac(i)	
Bc(i)	
A1t(u)	
B1t(u)	
A2t(u)	
B2t(u)	
A1k(j)	
B1k(j)	
C1k(j)	
A2k(j)	
B2k(j)	
C2k(j)	

*****Bounding*****

x.lo(i,j)=0;x.up(i,j)=1;
y.lo(i,u)=0;y.up(i,u)=1;
z.lo(w,j)=0;z.up(w,j)=1;

BINARY VARIABLES

YT(u,n)	Existing Treatment unit
YTI(u)	Existing Increase capacity treatment unit
zx(i,j)	Existing Split Flowrate source to sink
zy(i,u)	Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
zz(w,j)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink

$zfr(r,j)$	Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
$zt(w,u)$	Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
$zw1(i)$	Existing Split Flowrate source to waste
$zw2(w)$	Existing Split Flowrate treat to waste

EQUATIONS

*Model 1 ***** Linear *****

$M1_mass(j)$	LP mass balance
$M1_cont(j)$	LP contaminant balance
$M1_cons(i)$	x constraint
$M1_cons2(j)$	Sink composition constraint
$M1_waste(i)$	y value

*****SourceMass Balance*****

$SMB_1(i)$	Source Mass Balance eq1
$SMB_2(i)$	Source Mass Balance eq2
$SMB_3(i)$	Source Mass Balance
$SCB_1(i)$	Source Contaminant Balance eq1
$SCB_2(i)$	Source Contaminant Balance eq2
$SCB_3(i)$	Source Contaminant Balance
$Consx(i)$	x variable constraint

*****Treatment Mass Balance*****

$TMB1_1(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq1
$TMB1_2(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq2
$TMB1_3(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq3
$TCB1_1(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq1
$TCB1_2(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq2
$TCB1_3(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq3
$TMB2(w)$	Treatment Mass Balance 2
$TCB2(w)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 3
$TR(u,w)$	Treat unit Contamiant balance
$ConsT1(u,w)$	Treat Constraint1
$ConsT2(u,w)$	Treat Constraint2
$ConsT3(w)$	Treat Constraint3

-*Fix parameters*****

$TMB1_1P(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq1
$TMB1_2P(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq2
$TMB1_3P(u)$	Treatment Mass Balance 1 eq3
$TCB1_1P(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq1
$TCB1_2P(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq2
$TCB1_3P(u)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 1 eq3
$TMB2P(w)$	Treatment Mass Balance 2
$TCB2P(w)$	Treatment Contaminant Balance 3
$TRP(u,w)$	Treat unit Contamiant balance
$ConsT1P(u,w)$	Treat Constraint1
$ConsT2P(u,w)$	Treat Constraint2
$ConsT3P(w)$	Treat Constraint3

*****Waste Mass Balance*****

$WASTE$	Overall Waste Flowrate
$CWASTE$	Overall Waste Concentraion
$ConsW$	Waste constraint
$FRESH$	Overall freshwater

*Fix parameter*****

WASTEP	Overall Waste Flowrate
CWASTEP	Overall Waste Concentraion
FRESHP	Overall freshwater

*****Sink Mass Balance*****

SKMB_1(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq1
SKMB_2(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq2
SKMB_3(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq3
SKMB_4(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq4
SKCB_1(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq1
SKCB_2(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq2
SKCB_3(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq3
SKCB_4(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq4
ConsSK(j)	Sink Contaminant Constraint

*Fix parameter*****

SKMB_1P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq1
SKMB_2P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq2
SKMB_3P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq3
SKMB_4P(j)	Sink Mass Balance eq4
SKCB_1P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq1
SKCB_2P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq2
SKCB_3P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq3
SKCB_4P(j)	Sink Contaminant Balance eq4
ConsSKP(j)	Sink Contaminant Constraint

*****Flowrate existing and constraint*****

Dis1(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink
Dis2(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat
Dis3(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink
Dis4(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat
xexist(i,j)	Existing Split Flowrate source to sink
yexist(i,u)	Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
zexist(w,j)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
texist(w,u)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
frexist(r,j)	Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
ww1exist(i)	Existing Flowrate source to waste
ww2exist(w)	Existing Flowrate treat to waste
xcons(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink constraint
ycons(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat constraint
zcons(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink constraint
frcons(r,j)	Flowrate fresh to sink constraint
tcons(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat constraint
w1cons(i)	Flowrate source to waste constraint
w2cons(w)	Flowrate treat to waste constraint

*Fix parameters*****

Dis1P(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink
Dis2P(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat
Dis3P(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink
Dis4P(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat
xexistP(i,j)	Existing Split Flowrate source to sink

yexistP(i,u)	Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
zexistP(w,j)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
texistP(w,u)	Existing Split Flowrate treat to treat
frexistP(r,j)	Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
ww1existP(i)	Existing Flowrate source to waste
ww2existP(w)	Existing Flowrate treat to waste
xconsP(i,j)	Split Flowrate source to sink constraint
yconsP(i,u)	Split Flowrate source to treat constraint
zconsP(w,j)	Split Flowrate treat to sink constraint
frconsP(r,j)	Flowrate fresh to sink constraint
tconsP(w,u)	Split Flowrate treat to treat constraint
w1consP(i)	Flowrate source to waste constraint
w2consP(w)	Flowrate treat to waste constraint
<hr/>	
*****Cost Calculation*****	
TUC1(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 0-3187
TUC2(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 3188-6374
TUC3(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 6375-INF
ConsB1	Binary constraint
ConsB2	Flowrate constraint
FreshCost	Freshwater cost1
FreshCost2	Freshwater cost2
TreatCost	Treatment unit cost
TreatOCost	Treatment Operation Cost
PIPCost1	Piping Cost source to sink
PIPCost2	Piping Cost source to treat
PIPCost3	Piping Cost treat to treat
PIPCost4	Piping Cost treat to sink
PIPCost5	Piping Cost fresh to sink
PIPCost6	Piping Cost source to waste
PIPCost7	Piping Cost treat to waste
PIPCost	Total Piping Cost
Total	Total annual cost
<hr/>	
*Fix parameter*****	
TUC1P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 0-1700
TUC2P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 1701-3580
TUC3P(u)	Treatment Unit Choosing 1701-3580
ConsBP1	Binary constraint
ConsBP2	Flowrate constraint
FreshCostP	Freshwater cost fix parameter
TreatCostP	Treatment unit cost fix parameter
TreatOCostP	Treatment Operation Cost fix parameter
PIPCost1P	Piping Cost source to sink
PIPCost2P	Piping Cost source to treat
PIPCost3P	Piping Cost treat to treat
PIPCost4P	Piping Cost treat to sink
PIPCost5P	Piping Cost fresh to sink
PIPCost6P	Piping Cost source to waste
PIPCost7P	Piping Cost treat to waste
PIPCostP	Total Piping Cost
<hr/>	

```

*****Objective function*****
Object1      Objective Function1
Object2      Objective Function2
Object3      Objective Function3
Object4      Objective Function4
;

*****Model 1 ***** Linear *****
M1_mass(j) .. FK(j) =e= SUM(i,FS(i)*x(i,j))+SUM(r,FR(r,j));
M1_cont(j) .. FK(j)*CK(j) =e= SUM(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i))+SUM(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
M1_cons(i) .. SUM(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1;
M1_cons2(j).. CK(j) =l= CKL(j);
M1_waste(i) .. y1(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)));
*****Source Mass Balance*****
SMB_1(i) .. Am(i) =e= sum(j,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SMB_2(i) .. Bm(i) =e= sum(u,FS(i)*y(i,u));
SMB_3(i) .. FS(i) =e= Am(i)+Bm(i)+WW1(i);
SCB_1(i) .. Ac(i) =e= sum(j,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SCB_2(i) .. Bc(i) =e= sum(u,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
SCB_3(i) .. FS(i)*CS(i) =e= Ac(i) + Bc(i) + WW1(i)*CS(i);
Consx(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j))+sum(u,y(i,u)) =l= 1;
*****Treatment Mass Balance*****
TMB1_1(u) .. A1t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u));
TMB1_2(u) .. B1t(u) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*t(w,u));
TMB1_3(u) .. A1t(u)+B1t(u) =e= FTI(u);
TCB1_1(u) .. A2t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
TCB1_2(u) .. B2t(u) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*(w,u)*CT(w));
TCB1_3(u) .. A2t(u)+B2t(u) =e= FTI(u)*CTI(u);
TMB2(w) .. FT(w) =e= sum(u,FT(w)*t(w,u))+sum(j,FT(w)*z(w,j))+WW2(w);
TCB2(w) .. FT(w)*CT(w) =e= sum(u,FT(w)*t(w,u)*CT(w))+sum(j,FT(w)*z(w,j)*CT(w))+WW2(w)*CT(w);
TR(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CT(w) =e= (1-Alpha(u))*CTI(u);
ConsT1(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. FTI(u) =e= FT(w);
ConsT2(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTI(u) =g= CT(w);
ConsT3(w) .. sum(u,t(w,u))+sum(j,z(w,j)) =l= 1;
*Fixparameters*****
TMB1_1P(u) .. A1t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u));
TMB1_2P(u) .. B1t(u) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*t(w,u));
TMB1_3P(u) .. A1t(u)+B1t(u) =e= FTIP(u);
TCB1_1P(u) .. A2t(u) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*y(i,u)*CS(i));
TCB1_2P(u) .. B2t(u) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*(w,u)*CTP(w));
TCB1_3P(u) .. A2t(u)+B2t(u) =e= FTIP(u)*CTIP(u);
TMB2P(w) .. FTP(w) =e= sum(u,FTP(w)*t(w,u))+sum(j,FTP(w)*z(w,j))+WW2(w);
TCB2P(w) .. FTP(w)*CTP(w) =e=
sum(u,FTP(w)*t(w,u)*CTP(w))+sum(j,FTP(w)*z(w,j)*CTP(w))+WW2(w)*CTP(w);
TRP(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTP(w) =e= (1-Alpha(u))*CTIP(u);
ConsT1P(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. FTIP(u) =e= FTP(w);
ConsT2P(u,w)$(ord(w) eq ord(u)) .. CTIP(u) =g= CTP(w);
ConsT3P(w) .. sum(u,t(w,u))+sum(j,z(w,j)) =l= 1;
*****Waste Mass Balance*****
WASTE .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW1(i))+sum(w,WW2(w));
CWASTE .. OWW*CW =e= sum(i,WW1(i)*CS(i))+sum(w,WW2(w)*CT(w));
ConsW .. CW =l= CWL;

```

```

FRESH .. OFW =e= sum((r,j),FR(r,j));
*Fix parameter*****
WASTEP .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW1P(i))+sum(w,WW2P(w));
CWASTEP .. OWW*CWP =e= sum(i,WW1P(i)*CS(i))+sum(w,WW2P(w)*CTP(w));
FRESHP .. OFW =e= sum((r,j),FRP(r,j));
*****Sink Mass Balance*****
SKMB_1(j) .. A1k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SKMB_2(j) .. B1k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j));
SKMB_3(j) .. C1k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j));-
SKMB_4(j) .. FK(j) =e= A1k(j) + B1k(j) + C1k(j);
SKCB_1(j) .. A2k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SKCB_2(j) .. B2k(j) =e= sum(w,FT(w)*z(w,j)*CT(w));
SKCB_3(j) .. C2k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
SKCB_4(j) .. FK(j)*CK(j) =e= A2k(j) + B2k(j) + C2k(j);
ConsSK(j) .. CK(j) =l= CKL(j);
*Fix parameter*****
SKMB_1P(j) .. A1k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j));
SKMB_2P(j) .. B1k(j) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*z(w,j));
SKMB_3P(j) .. C1k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j));
SKMB_4P(j) .. FK(j) =e= A1k(j) + B1k(j) + C1k(j);
SKCB_1P(j) .. A2k(j) =e= sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)*CS(i));
SKCB_2P(j) .. B2k(j) =e= sum(w,FTP(w)*z(w,j)*CTP(w));
SKCB_3P(j) .. C2k(j) =e= sum(r,FR(r,j)*CFW(r));
SKCB_4P(j) .. FK(j)*CKP(j) =e= A2k(j) + B2k(j) + C2k(j);
*****Flowrate existing and constraint*****
Dis1(i,j) .. xF(i,j) =e= x(i,j)*FS(i);
Dis2(i,u) .. yF(i,u) =e= y(i,u)*FS(i);
Dis3(w,j) .. zF(w,j) =e= z(w,j)*FT(w);
Dis4(w,u) .. tF(w,u) =e= t(w,u)*FT(w);
*Fix parameter*****
Dis1P(i,j) .. xFP(i,j) =e= x(i,j)*FS(i);
Dis2P(i,u) .. yFP(i,u) =e= y(i,u)*FS(i);
Dis3P(w,j) .. zFP(w,j) =e= z(w,j)*FTP(w);
Dis4P(w,u) .. tFP(w,u) =e= t(w,u)*FTP(w);
xexist(i,j) .. xF(i,j)-OMEGA*zx(i,j) =l= 0 ;
yexist(i,u) .. yF(i,u)-OMEGA*zy(i,u) =l= 0 ;
zexist(w,j) .. zF(w,j)-OMEGA*zz(w,j) =l= 0 ;
texist(w,u) .. tF(w,u)-OMEGA*zt(w,u) =l= 0 ;
freexist(r,j) .. FR(r,j)-OMEGA*zfr(r,j) =l= 0 ;
ww1exist(i) .. WW1(i)-OMEGA*zw1(i) =l= 0 ;
ww2exist(w) .. WW2(w)-OMEGA*zw2(w) =l= 0 ;
xcons(i,j) .. xF(i,j) =g= 300*zx(i,j) ;
ycons(i,u) .. yF(i,u) =g= 300*zy(i,u) ;
zcons(w,j) .. zF(w,j) =g= 300*zz(w,j) ;
frcons(r,j) .. FR(r,j) =g= 300*zfr(r,j) ;
tcons(w,u) .. tF(w,u) =g= 300*zt(w,u) ;
w1cons(i) .. WW1(i) =g= 300*zw1(i) ;
w2cons(w) .. WW2(w) =g= 300*zw2(w) ;
*Fix parameters*****
xexistP(i,j) .. xFP(i,j)-OMEGA*zx(i,j) =l= 0 ;
yexistP(i,u) .. yFP(i,u)-OMEGA*zy(i,u) =l= 0 ;

```

```

zexistP(w,j) .. zFP(w,j)-OMEGA*zz(w,j) =l= 0 ;
texistP(w,u) .. tFP(w,u)-OMEGA*ztl(w,u) =l= 0 ;
frexistP(r,j) .. FRP(r,j)-OMEGA*zfr(r,j) =l= 0 ;
ww1existP(i) .. WW1P(i)-OMEGA*zw1(i) =l= 0 ;
ww2existP(w) .. WW2P(w)-OMEGA*zw2(w) =l= 0 :
xconsP(i,j) .. xFP(i,j) =g= 300*zx(i,j) ;
yconsP(i,u) .. yFP(i,u) =g= 300*zy(i,u) ;
zconsP(w,j) .. zFP(w,j) =g= 300*zz(w,j) ;
frconsP(r,j) .. FRP(r,j) =g= 300*zfr(r,j) ;
tconsP(w,u) .. tFP(w,u) =g= 300*ztl(w,u) ;
wlconsP(i) .. WW1P(i) =g= 300*zw1(i) ;
w2consP(w) .. WW2P(w) =g= 300*zw2(w) ;
*****Cost Calculation*****
TUC1(u).. FTI(u) =l= 3187*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC2(u).. FTI(u) =l= 6374*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC3(u).. FTI(u) =l= OMEGA*YT(u,'n3')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1');
ConsB1(u)..sum(n,YT(u,n))=l=1;
ConsB2 .. sum(u,FTI(u)) =l= sum(i.FS(i));
*Fix parameter*****
TUC1P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= 3187*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC2P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= 6374*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n3');
TUC3P(u).. FTIP(u) =l= OMEGA*YT(u,'n3')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n2')+OMEGA*YT(u,'n1');
ConsBP1(u)..sum(n,YT(u,n))=l=1;
ConsBP2 .. sum(u,FTIP(u)) =l= sum(i.FS(i));
FreshCost .. FACost =e= sum((r,j).FR(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY);
FreshCost2 .. FACost =e= sum((r,j).FR(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY*zfr(r,j));
TreatCost .. TTCost =e= sum((u,n),(TFC(u,n)+FTI(u)*TVC(u,n))*KY*YT(u,n));
TreatOCost .. TOCost =e= sum(u,OC(u)*FTI(u)*HY);
PIPCost1 .. PA1 =e= sum((i,j),(CPF1(i,j)+CP1(i,j)*xF(i,j)*HY)*zx(i,j));
PIPCost2 .. PA2 =e= sum((i,u),(CPF2(i,u)+CP2(i,u)*yF(i,u)*HY)*zy(i,u));
PIPCost3 .. PA3 =e= sum((w,u),(CPF3(w,u)+CP3(w,u)*tF(w,u)*HY)*zt(w,u));
PIPCost4 .. PA4 =e= sum((w,j),(CPF4(w,j)+CP4(w,j)*zF(w,j)*HY)*zz(w,j));
PIPCost5 .. PA5 =e= sum((r,j),(CPF5(r,j)+CP5(r,j)*FR(r,j)*HY)*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost6 .. PA6 =e= sum(i,(CPF6(i)+CP6(i)*WW1P(i)*HY)*zw1(i));
PIPCost7 .. PA7 =e= sum(w,(CPF7(w)+CP7(w)*WW2(w)*HY)*zw2(w));
PIPCost .. PACost =e= PA1+PA2+PA3+PA4+PA5+PA6+PA7;
Total .. TAC =e= FACost + TTCost + TOCost + PACost;
*Fix Parameter*****
TreatOCostP .. TOCost =e= sum(u,OC(u)*FTIP(u)*HY);
TreatCostP .. TTCost =e= sum((u,n),(TFC(u,n)+FTIP(u)*TVC(u,n))*KY*YT(u,n));
FreshCostP .. FACost =e= sum((r,j).FR(r,j)*CostFW(r)*HY*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost1P .. PA1 =e= sum((i,j),(CPF1(i,j)+CP1(i,j)*xFP(i,j)*HY)*zx(i,j));
PIPCost2P .. PA2 =e= sum((i,u),(CPF2(i,u)+CP2(i,u)*yFP(i,u)*HY)*zy(i,u));
PIPCost3P .. PA3 =e= sum((w,u),(CPF3(w,u)+CP3(w,u)*tFP(w,u)*HY)*zt(w,u));
PIPCost4P .. PA4 =e= sum((w,j),(CPF4(w,j)+CP4(w,j)*zFP(w,j)*HY)*zz(w,j));
PIPCost5P .. PA5 =e= sum((r,j),(CPF5(r,j)+CP5(r,j)*FRP(r,j)*HY)*zfr(r,j));
PIPCost6P .. PA6 =e= sum(i,(CPF6(i)+CP6(i)*WW1P(i)*HY)*zw1(i));
PIPCost7P .. PA7 =e= sum(w,(CPF7(w)+CP7(w)*WW2P(w)*HY)*zw2(w));
PIPCostP .. PACost =e= PA1+PA2+PA3+PA4+PA5+PA6+PA7;

*****Objective function*****

```

```

Object1 .. OBJ1 =e= FACost;
Object2 .. OBJ2 =e= FACost+TTCost+TOCost;
Object3 .. OBJ3 =e= TAC;
Object4 .. OBJ4 =e= TAC;
*****SOLVE 1*****
MODEL CASE32CAL1
/ M1_mass,M1_cont.M1_cons,M1_cons2,M1_waste,FRESH.Object1,FreshCost,Dis1 /
SOLVE CASE32CAL1 USING LP MINIMIZING OBJ1;
DISPLAY OBJ1.l,x.l,FR.l,y1.l,xF.l;
*****SOLVE 2*****
Parameter
Inx(i,j) intermediate parameter x
InFR(r,j) intermediate parameter FR
Iny(i)
;
Inx(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
InFR(r,j) = FR.l(r,j);
Iny(i) = y1.l(i);
x.l(i,j) = Inx(i,j);
FR.l(r,j) = InFR(r,j);
y.l(i,u) = Iny(i);
YT.fx('u1','n1')=0;YT.fx('u1','n3')=0;
MODEL CASE32CAL2
/ SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx
,TMB1_1,TMB1_2,TMB1_3,TCB1_1,TCB1_2,TCB1_3,TMB2,TCB2,TR,ConsT1,ConsT2,ConsT3,
WASTE,CWASTE,ConsW,FRESH,SKMB_1,SKMB_2,SKMB_3,SKMB_4,SKCB_1,SKCB_2,SKCB_3,SKCB_4,
ConsSK,Dis1,Dis2,Dis3,Dis4,TUC1,TUC2,TUC3.ConsB1,ConsB2,FreshCost,TreatCost,
TreatOCost,Object2 /;
SOLVE CASE32CAL2 USING MINLP MINIMIZING OBJ2;
DISPLAY OBJ1.l,OBJ2.l,xF.l,yF.l,zF.l,FR.l,WW1.l,WW2.l,CW.l,FTI.l,YT.l,
FACost.l,TTCost.l,TOCost.l;
*****SOLVE 3*****
xFP(i,j)=xF.l(i,j);
yFP(i,u)=yF.l(i,u);
zFP(w,j)=zF.l(w,j);
tFP(w,u)=tF.l(w,u);
FRP(r,j)=FR.l(r,j);
WW1P(i)=WW1.l(i);
WW2P(w)=WW2.l(w);
FTIP(u)=FTI.l(u);
CTIP(u)=CTI.l(u);
CTP(w)=CT.l(w);
CWP=CW.l;
FTP(w)=FT.l(w);
CKP(j)=CK.l(j);

MODEL CASE32CAL3
/ SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx,
TMB1_1P,TMB1_2P,TMB1_3P,TCB1_1P,TCB1_2P,TCB1_3P,TMB2P,TCB2P,TRP,
ConsT1P,ConsT2P,ConsT3P,WASTEP,CWASTEP,FRESHP,SKMB_1P,SKMB_2P,

```

SKMB_3P,SKMB_4P,SKCB_1P,SKCB_2P,SKCB_3P,SKCB_4P,Dis1P,Dis2P,Dis3P,
 Dis4P,TUC1P,TUC2P,TUC3P,ConsBPI,xexistP,yexistP,zexistP,texistP,frexistP,
 wwlexistP,ww2existP,xconsP,yconsP,zconsP,tconsP,frconsP,wiconsP,w2consP,
 PIPCost1P,PIPCost2P,PIPCost3P,PIPCost4P,PIPCost5P,PIPCost6P,PIPCost7P,
 PIPCostP,FreshCostP,TreatCostP,TreatOCostP,Total,Object3 / :

SOLVE CASE32CAL3 USING MIP MINIMIZING OBJ3:
 DISPLAY OBJ2.l,OBJ3.l,FACost.l,TOCost.l,TTCost.l,PACost.l,CT.l,CTI.l,TAC.l,
 xFP,yFP,zFP,FRP,WW1P,WW2P,YT.l,zx.l,zy.l,zz.l,zfr.l,zw1.l,zw2.l;
 *****SOLVE 4*****

Parameter

Inzx(i,j)

Inzy(i,u)

Inzt(w,u)

Inzz(w,j)

Inzfr(r,j)

Inzw1(i)

Inzw2(w)

InYT(u,n)

Inx l(i,j)

Iny l(i,u)

Inz l(w,j)

InFR l(r,j)

;

Inzx(i,j)=zx.l(i,j);

Inzy(i,u)=zy.l(i,u);

Inzt(w,u)=zt.l(w,u);

Inzz(w,j)=zz.l(w,j);

Inzfr(r,j)=zfr.l(r,j);

Inzw1(i)=zw1.l(i);

Inzw2(w)=zw2.l(w);

InYT(u,n)=YT.l(u,n);

Inx l(i,j) = x.l(i,j);

Iny l(i,u) = y.l(i,u);

Inz l(w,j) = z.l(w,j);

InFR l(r,j) = FRP(r,j);

zx.l(i,j)=Inzx(i,j);

zy.l(i,u)=Inzy(i,u);

zt.l(w,u)=Inzt(w,u);

zz.l(w,j)=Inzz(w,j);

zfr.l(r,j)=Inzfr(r,j);

zw1.l(i)=Inzw1(i);

zw2.l(w)=Inzw2(w);

YT.l(u,n)=InYT(u,n);

x.l(i,j)=Inx l(i,j);

y.l(i,u)=Iny l(i,u);

z.l(w,j)=Inz l(w,j);

FR.l(r,j)=InFR l(r,j);

*Bounding*****

FTl.lo('u2')=29560.408;

WW1.lo('i2')=300;

```

WW1.up('i2')=3000;
WW2.lo("w2")=300;
WW2.up('w2')=25000;
FR.lo('r1','j1')=1440;
FR.up('r1','j1')=2000;
MODEL CASE32CAL4
/   SMB_1,SMB_2,SMB_3,SCB_1,SCB_2,SCB_3,Consx
,TMB1_1,TMB1_2,TMB1_3,TCB1_1,TCB1_2,TCB1_3,TMB2,TCB2,TR,ConsT1,
ConsT2,ConsT3,WASTE,CWASTE,ConsW,FRESH,SKMB_1,SKMB_2,SKMB_3,
SKMB_4,SKCB_1,SKCB_2,SKCB_3,SKCB_4,ConsSK,Dis1,Dis2,Dis3,Dis4,TUC1,
TUC2,TUC3,ConsB1,ConsB2,xexist,yexist,zexist,frexist,txexist,ww1exist,ww2exist,
xcons,ycons,zcons,frcns,tcons,w1cons,w2cons,PIPCost1,PIPCost2,PIPCost3,PIPCost4,PIPCost5,PIPCost6,PIPCost7
,PIPCost,FreshCost,TreatCost,TreatOCost,Total,
Object4 /;
SOLVE CASE32CAL4 USING MINLP MINIMIZING OBJ4;
DISPLAY OBJ1.I,OBJ2.I,OBJ3.I,OBJ4.I,FACost.I,TOCost.I,TTCost.I,PACost.I,xF.I,
yF.I,zF.I,FR.I,OFW.I,WW1.I,WW2.I,OWW.I,YT.I,CT.I,CTI.I,CW.I,zx.I,zy.I,zfr.I,PA1.I,
PA2.I,PA3.I,PA4.I,PA5.I,PA6.I,PA7.I;

```

APPENDIX A-11 : Case Study 4 WHEN without HEN design GAMS Code

```

set   i Source stream /i1*i5/
      j Sink stream /j1*j5/
      K location / firstlocation,location2*location4,lastlocation/
;
Parameter
      CS   /i1  130
            i2  108
            i3  70
            i4  44
            i5  22 /
      FS   /i1  9
            i2  9
            i3  9
            i4  9
            i5  4.5 /
      TS   /i1  120
            i2  100
            i3  130
            i4  140
            i5  80 /
      CKL  /j1  20
            j2  20
            j3  20
            j4  20
            j5  20 /
      FK   /j1  10
            j2  4
            j3  12

```

j4 8
 j5 6.5 /
 TOUTH(I) outlet temperature of hot stream
 /i1 30
 i2 30
 i3 30
 i4 30
 i5 30 /

 TOUTC(J) outlet temperature of cold stream
 /j1 100
 j2 100
 j3 100
 j4 100
 j5 100 /
 CPF2 Piping fix cost fresh to sink (\$ per year)
 /j1 200
 j2 300
 j3 150
 j4 120
 j5 250 /
 CP2 Piping variable fresh to sink (\$ per ton)
 /j1 0.7e-3
 j2 1.4e-3
 j3 1.2e-3
 j4 1.1e-3
 j5 1.3e-3 /
 CPF3 Piping fix cost source to waste (\$ per year)
 /i1 100
 i2 200
 i3 250
 i4 150
 i5 200 /
 CP3 Piping variable cost source to waste (\$ per ton)
 /i1 0.7e-3
 i2 1.4e-3
 i3 1.1e-3
 i4 1.2e-3
 i5 1.3e-3 /

 TINC(j)
 TINH(i)
 FH(i)
 FC(j)

 ;
 TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per year)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	100	300	150	200	200
i2	200	250	100	220	150
i3	220	300	330	300	110

i4	110	400	200	200	250
i5	200	300	100	300	250

TABLE CP1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per ton)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i2	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	0.9e-3
i3	0.9e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i4	1.3e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i5	1.1e-3	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	0.9e-3

Scalar OMEGA1 /10000/

TFW /25/

OMEGA upper bound for heat exchange /1000000/

GAMMA upper bound for temperature difference /1000000/

EMAT exchanger minimum approach temperature /10/

CP heat capacity /4.2/

FWC Freshwater cost (\$ per ton) /0.375/

HF1 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /8000/

HF2 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /19965.89/

HV Heat Exchanger variable cost (\$ per m2) /55.74899/

HUOC Hot utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /377/

CUOC Cold utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /189/

U Overall heat transfer coefficient /0.5/

WH Working hour /8000/

WY Annaulize factor /0.333/

TINHU Hot utility inlet temperature /120/

TOUTHU Hot utility outlet temperature /120/

TINCU Cold utility inlet temperature /10/

TOUTCU Cold utility outlet temperature /20/

Variables

OFW	Overall freshwater
OWW	Overall wastewater
FCost	Freshwater cost
INV	Investment cost
TAC	Total annual cost
PIC1	Piping cost of source to sink
PIC2	Piping cost of fresh to sink
PIC3	Piping cost of source to waste
PICost	Piping cost
O11	Objective 1.1
O12	Objective 1.2
O2	Objective 2
O3	Objective 3

Positive variables x(i,j)

FFW(j) Freshwater flowrate

F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate

CK(j) Sink stream concentration

TK(j) Sink temperature

WW(i) Source Waste water

dt(I,J,K) Temperature approach for match ij at the left of stage k

dtcu(I) Temperature approach for match hot stream i and cold utility

dthu(J) Temperature approach for match cold stream j and hot utility

q(I,J,K) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

qcu(I) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

qhu(J) Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

A(i,j,K)

Ahu(j)

Acu(i)

Atot

LMTD(i,j,K)

LMTDcu(i)

LMTDhu(j)

Costh

Costhu

Costcu

OCosth

OCostcu

Oqcu Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

Oqhu Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

tH(I,K) Temperature of hot stream i at location k

tC(J,K) Temperature of cold stream j at location k

BINARY VARIABLE

y(i,j) Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

yFW(j) Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

yWW(i) Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

z(I,J,K) Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

zcu(I) Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

zhu(J) Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

Equation

*****WATER NETWORK*****

MB1(j) Mass balance (flowrate)

MB2(j) Mass balance (contaminant)

Cons1(i) Constraint for x

Cons2(j) Concentration constraint

Fresh Freshwater usage

Waste(i) Waste of source

Allwaste Waste discharge

Flow(i,j) Flowrate each sink

Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint

Logical2(j) Logical constraint

Logical3(i) Logical constraint

TempK(j) Temperature of sink

FRESHC Freshwater cost

PIP1 Piping cost of source to sink

PIP2 Piping cost of fresh to sink

PIP3 Piping cost of source to waste

PIPC Piping cost

OBJFN11

OBJFN12

Link1(j)

Link2(j)

Link3(i)

Link4(i)

*****HEATEX NETWORK*****

abc(I,J) @#@\$\$#

OHB_H(I) overall heat balance for each hot stream
 OHB_C(J) overall heat balance for each cold stream

SHB_H(I,K) heat balance at each stage for hot stream
 SHB_C(J,K) heat balance at each stage for cold stream

TINHASSGN(I) assignment of inlet temperature of hot stream i
 TINCASSGN(J) assignment of inlet temperature of cold stream j

FH1(I,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for hot stream
 FH2(I) feasibility of temperature at last stage for hot stream
 FC1(J,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for cold stream
 FC2(J) feasibility of temperature at first stage for cold stream

HULOAD(I) hot utility load
 CULOAD(J) cold utility load

HECOUNT1(I,J,K) count heat exchanger
 HECOUNT2(I) count hot utility
 HECOUNT3(J) count cold utility

APPTEMPI(I,J,K) approach temperature at the left of stage k
 APPTEMPR(I,J,K) approach temperature at the right of stage k
 APPTEMPCU(I) approach temperature at cold utility of hot stream i
 APPTEMPHU(J) approach temperature at hot utility of cold stream j

APPTEMLIMIT(I,J,K) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITCU(I) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITHU(J) limiting temperature approach

LOGMH(i,j,K) Log mean temperature different of HE
 LOGMHU(J) Log mean temperature different of HU

LOGMCU(I) Log mean temperature different of CU

HAREA(i,j,K) Heat exchanger area

HUAREA(J) Hot utility area

CUAREA(I)	Cold utility area
TOTAREA	Total area
HACOST	Heat exchanger area cost
HUACOST	Hot utility heat exchanger area cost
CUACOST	Cold utility heat exchanger area cost
HUOCOST	Hot utility operation cost
CUOCOST	Cold utility operation cost

OAQCU	Overall Cold utility
OAQHU	Overall hot utility
OBJFN2	utility Q
OBJFN3	utility AREA
Invest	Investment cost
Total	Total annual cost

```

MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FFW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j))-1 =l= 0 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j)=l= CKL(j) ;
Fresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FFW(j));
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
Allwaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-OMEGA1*y(i,j) =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FFW(j)-OMEGA1*yFW(j) =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)-OMEGA1*yWW(i) =l= 0;
TempK(j) .. sum(i,TS(i)*F(i,j))+TFW*FFW(j) =e= TK(j)*FK(j);
FRESHC .. FCost =e= OFW*FWC*WH;
PIP1 .. PIC1 =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));
PIP2 .. PIC2 =e= sum(j,yFW(j)*(CPF2(j)+FFW(j)*CP2(j)*WH));
PIP3 .. PIC3 =e= sum(i,yWW(i)*(CPF3(i)+WW(i)*CP3(i)*WH));
PIPC .. PICCost =e= PIC1+PIC2+PIC3;
OBJFN11 .. O11 =e= FCost;
OBJFN12 .. O12 =e= FCost+PICCost;

Link1(j) .. TK(j) =e= TINC(J);
Link2(j) .. FK(j) =e= FC(J);
Link3(i) .. TS(i) =e= TINH(I);
Link4(i) .. FH(I) =e= WW(i);

abc(i,j) .. q(i,j,'lastlocation') =e= 0;

OHB_H(i) .. (TINH(i)-TOUTH(i))*FH(i)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((J,K),q(I,J,K))+qcu(I);
OHB_C(j) .. (TOUTC(J)-TINC(J))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((I,K),q(I,J,K))+qhu(J);

SHB_H(I,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tH(I,K)-tH(I,K+1))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(J,q(I,J,K));
SHB_C(J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tC(J,K)-tC(J,K+1))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(I,q(I,J,K));

```

```

TINHASSGN(I) .. TINH(I) =e= tH(I,'firstlocation');
TINCASSGN(J) .. TINC(J) =e= tC(J,'lastlocation');

FH1(I,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. tH(I,K) =g= tH(I,K+1);
FH2(I) .. TOUTH(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation');
FC1(J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. tC(J,K) =g= tC(J,K+1);
FC2(J) .. TOUTC(J) =g= tC(J,'firstlocation');

HULOAD(I) .. (tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTH(I))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qcu(I);
CULOAD(J) .. (TOUTC(J)-tC(J,'firstlocation'))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qhu(J);

HECOUNT1(I,J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. q(I,J,K)-OMEGA*z(I,J,K) =l= 0;
HECOUNT2(I) .. qcu(I)-OMEGA*zcu(I) =l= 0;
HECOUNT3(J) .. qhu(J)-OMEGA*zhu(J) =l= 0;

APPTEML(I,J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. dt(I,J,K) =l= tH(I,K)-tC(J,K)+GAMMA*(1-z(I,J,K));
APPTEMLR(I,J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. dt(I,J,K+1) =l= tH(I,K+1)-tC(J,K+1)+GAMMA*(1-z(I,J,K));
APPTEMPCU(I) .. dtcu(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU +GAMMA*(1-zcu(I));
APPTEMPHU(J) .. dthu(J) =l= TOUTHU - tC(J,'firstlocation')+GAMMA*(1-zhu(J));

APPTEMLIMIT(I,J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. dt(I,J,K) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITCU(i) .. dtcu(i) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITHU(j) .. dthu(j) =g= EMAT;

LOGMH(i,j,K) .. LMTD(i,j,K) =e= (((dt(i,j,K)*dt(i,j,K+1))*(dt(i,j,K)+dt(i,j,K+1))/2))**((1/3));
LOGMHU(j) .. LMTDhu(j) =e= (((((TOUTHU-tC(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*(TOUTHU-tC(J,'firstlocation')))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))**((1/3));
LOGMCU(i) .. LMTDcu(i) =e= (((((tH(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(I)-TINC(I)))*(tH(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(I)-TINC(I))/2))**((1/3));
HAREA(i,j,K) .. A(i,j,K)*U*LMTD(i,j,K) =e= q(I,J,K);
HUAREA(j) .. Ahu(j)*U*LMTDhu(j) =e= qhu(j);
CUAREA(i) .. Acu(i)*U*LMTDcu(i) =e= qcu(i);
TOTAREA .. Atot =e= sum((i,j,k),A(i,j,K))+sum(j,Ahu(j))+sum(i,Acu(i));

HACOST .. Costh =e= sum((i,j,k),((HF1+HF2)*z(i,j,k)+HV*A(i,j,k))*WY);
HUACOST .. Costhu =e= sum(j,((HF1+HF2)*zhu(j)+HV*Ahu(j))*WY);
CUACOST .. Costcu =e= sum(i,((HF1+HF2)*zcu(i)+HV*Acu(i))*WY);
HUOCOST .. OCosthu =e= sum(j,HUOC*qhu(j));
CUOCOST .. OCostcu =e= sum(i,CUOC*qcu(i));

OAQCU .. OQCU =e= SUM(I,qcu(I));
OAQHU .. OQHU =e= SUM(J,qhu(J));
OBJFN2 .. O2 =e= OCosthu+OCostcu;
*+sum((i,j,k),z(i,j,k)*(HF1+HF2));
OBJFN3 .. O3 =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu+OCosthu+OCostcu;
Invest .. INV =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu;
Total .. TAC =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu+OCosthu+OCostcu+FCost.l+PICost.l;

```

Model MIXING1 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,OBJFN11/;
Solve MIXING1 using MIP minimizing O11;
Display FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l.y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

Parameter

xin(i,j)
FFWin(j)
WWin(i)
yin(i,j)
yFWin(j)
yWWin(i)
;
xin(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
FFWin(j) = FFW.l(j);
WWin(i) = WW.l(i);
yin(i,j) = y.l(i,j);
yFWin(j) = yFW.l(j);
yWWin(i) = yWW.l(i);

x.l(i,j) = xin(i,j);
FFW.l(j) = FFWin(j);
WW.l(i) = WWin(i);
y.l(i,j) = yin(i,j);
yFW.l(j) = yFWin(j);
yWW.l(i) = yWWin(i);

Model MIXING2 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,PIP1,PIP2,PIP3,PIPC,OBJFN12/;
Solve MIXING2 using MINLP minimizing O12;
Display PIC1.l,PIC2.l,PIC3.l,PICost.l,FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,
yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

TINC(j) = TK.l(j);
TINH(i) = TS(i);
FH(i) = WW.l(i);
FC(j) = FK(j);

q.fx(i,j,k)=0;

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 /abc,OHB_H,OHB_C,SHB_H,SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1,FH2,
FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTEMPCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,OAQCU,OAQHU,
HUOCOST,CUOCOST,Invest,OBJFN2/
;
SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 USING MIP MINIMIZING O2;
DISPLAY z.l,zcu.l,zhu.l,tH.l,tC.l,q.l,qcu.l,qhu.l,OQHU.l,OQCU.l,INV.l,O2.l;

Parameter

qin(i,j,k)
qcuin(i)

```

qhuin(j)
THin(i,k)
TCin(j,k)
zin(i,j,k)
zcuin(i)
zhuin(j)

qin(i,j,k) = q.l(i,j,k);
qcuin(i) = qcu.l(i);
qhuin(j) = qhu.l(j);
THin(i,k) = TH.l(i,k);
TCin(j,k) = TC.l(j,k);
zin(i,j,k) = z.l(i,j,k);
zcuin(i) = zcu.l(i);
zhuin(j) = zhu.l(j);

q.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k);
qcu.l(i) = qcuin(i) ;
qhu.l(j) = qhuin(j);
TH.l(i,k) = THin(i,k);
TC.l(j,k) = TCin(j,k);

z.fx(i,j,k) = zin(i,j,k);
zcu.fx(i) = zcuin(i);
zhu.fx(j) = zhuin(j);

LMTD.l(i,j,k) = (((dt.l(i,j,K)*dt.l(i,j,K+1))*(dt.l(i,j,K)+dt.l(i,j,K+1))/2))***(1/3);
LMTDhu.l(j) = (((((TOUTHU-tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*((TOUTHU-tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))***(1/3));
LMTDcu.l(i) = (((((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(l)-TINCUL))*((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(l)-TINCUL))/2))***(1/3));
A.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k)/(U*LMTD.l(i,j,k)+0.001);
Ahu.l(j) = qhuin(j)/(U*LMTDhu.l(j)+0.001);
Acu.l(i) = qcuin(i)/(U*LMTDcu.l(i)+0.001);

MODEL STAGEMODEL2 /abc,OHB_H,OHB_C,SHB_H,SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1,FH2,
FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTEMCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,LOGMH,LOGMHU,LOGMCU,
HAREA,HUAREA,CUAREA,TOTAREA,HACOST,HUACOST,CUACOST,HUOCOST,CUOCOST,OAQCU,OAQ
HU,OBJFN3,
Invest,Total/;

SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 USING MINLP MINIMIZING O3;
DISPLAY x.l,TK.l,z.l,zcu.l,zhu.l,tH.l,tC.l,q.l.qcu.l,qhu.l,OQHU.l,
OQCU.l,OCosthu.l,OCostcu.l,A.l,Ahu.l,Acu.l,Atot.l,O3.l,INV.l,Fcost.l,TAC.l;

```

APPENDIX A-12 : Case Study 4 WHEN without WN design GAMS Code

```

set   i Source stream /i1*i5/
      j Sink stream /j1*j5/
      K location / firstlocation,location2*location4,lastlocation/
      ;
Parameter
      CS   /i1  130
            i2  108
            i3  70
            i4  44
            i5  22 /
      FS   /i1  9
            i2  9
            i3  9
            i4  9
            i5  4.5 /
      TS   /i1  120
            i2  100
            i3  130
            i4  140
            i5  80 /
      CKL  /j1  20
            j2  20
            j3  20
            j4  20
            j5  20 /
      FK   /j1  10
            j2  4
            j3  12
            j4  8
            j5  6.5 /
      TOUTH(I) outlet temperature of hot stream
            /i1  30
            i2  30
            i3  30
            i4  30
            i5  30 /
      TOUTC(J) outlet temperature of cold stream
            /j1  100
            j2  100
            j3  100
            j4  100
            j5  100 /
      CPF2 Piping fix cost fresh to sink ($ per year)
            /j1  200
            j2  300
            j3  150
            j4  120

```

j5 250 /
 CP2 Piping variable fresh to sink (\$ per ton)
 /j1 0.7e-3
 j2 1.4e-3
 j3 1.2e-3
 j4 1.1e-3
 j5 1.3e-3 /

CPF3 Piping fix cost source to waste (\$ per year)
 /i1 100
 i2 200
 i3 250
 i4 150
 i5 200 /

CP3 Piping variable cost source to waste (\$ per ton)
 /i1 0.7e-3
 i2 1.4e-3
 i3 1.1e-3
 i4 1.2e-3
 i5 1.3e-3 /

TINC(j)

TINH(i)

FH(i)

FC(j)

TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per year)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	100	300	150	200	200
i2	200	250	100	220	150
i3	220	300	330	300	110
i4	110	400	200	200	250
i5	200	300	100	300	250

TABLE CP1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per ton)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i2	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	0.9e-3
i3	0.9e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i4	1.3e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i5	1.1e-3	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	0.9e-3

Scalar OMEGA1 /10000/

TFW /25/

OMEGA upper bound for heat exchange /1000000/

GAMMA upper bound for temperature difference /1000000/

EMAT exchanger minimum approach temperature /10/

CP heat capacity /4.2/

FWC Freshwater cost (\$ per ton) /0.375/

HF1 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /8000/

HF2 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /19965.89/

HV Heat Exchanger variable cost (\$ per m²) /55.74899/
 HUOC Hot utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /377/
 CUOC Cold utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /189/
 U Overall heat transfer coefficient /0.5/
 WH Working hour /8000/
 WY Annaulize factor /0.333/
 TINHU Hot utility inlet temperature /120/
 TOUTHU Hot utility outlet temperature /120/
 TINCU Cold utility inlet temperature /10/
 TOUTCU Cold utility outlet temperature /20/

Variables

OFW	Overall freshwater
OWW	Overall wastewater
FCost	Freshwater cost
INV	Investment cost
TAC	Total annual cost
PIC1	Piping cost of source to sink
PIC2	Piping cost of fresh to sink
PIC3	Piping cost of source to waste
PICost	Piping cost
O11	Objective 1.1
O12	Objective 1.2
O2	Objective 2
O3	Objective 3

Positive variables x(i,j)

FFW(j) Freshwater flowrate
 F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate
 CK(j) Sink stream concentration
 TK(j) Sink temperature
 WW(i) Source Waste water

dt(I,J,K) Temperature approach for mateh ij at the left of stage k
 dtcu(I) Temperature approach for match hot stream i and cold utility
 dthu(J) Temperature approach for match cold stream j and hot utility

q(I,J,K) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k
 qcu(I) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 qhu(J) Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
 A(i,j,K)
 Ahu(j)
 Acu(i)
 Atot
 LMTD(i,j,K)
 LMTDcu(i)
 LMTDhu(j)
 Costh
 Costhu
 Costcu
 OCosthu

OCostcu

Oqcu Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

Oqhu Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

tH(I,K) Temperature of hot stream i at location k

tC(J,K) Temperature of cold stream j at location k

BINARY VARIABLE

y(i,j) Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

yFW(j) Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

yWW(i) Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

z(I,J,K) Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

zcu(I) Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

zhu(J) Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

Equation

*****WATER NETWORK*****

MB1(j) Mass balance (flowrate)

MB2(j) Mass balance (contaminant)

Cons1(i) Constraint for x

Cons2(j) Concentration constraint

Fresh Freshwater usage

Waste(i) Waste of source

Allwaste Waste discharge

Flow(i,j) Flowrate each sink

Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint

Logical2(j) Logical constraint

Logical3(i) Logical constraint

TempK(j) Temperature of sink

FRESHC Freshwater cost

PIP1 Piping cost of source to sink

PIP2 Piping cost of fresh to sink

PIP3 Piping cost of source to waste

PIPC Piping cost

OBJFN11

OBJFN12

Link1(j)

Link2(j)

Link3(i)

Link4(i)

*****HEATEX NETWORK*****

abc(I,J) @#@\$\$#

OHB_H(I) overall heat balance for each hot stream

OHB_C(J) overall heat balance for each cold stream

SHB_H(I,K) heat balance at each stage for hot stream

SHB_C(J,K) heat balance at each stage for cold stream

TINHASSGN(I) assignment of inlet temperature of hot stream i

TINCASSGN(J) assignment of inlet temperature of cold stream j

FH1(I,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for hot stream
 FH2(I) feasibility of temperature at last stage for hot stream
 FC1(J,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for cold stream
 FC2(J) feasibility of temperature at first stage for cold stream

HULOAD(I) hot utility load
 CULOAD(J) cold utility load

HECOUNT1(I,J,K) count heat exchanger
 HECOUNT2(I) count hot utility
 HECOUNT3(J) count cold utility

APPTEMPL(I,J,K) approach temperature at the left of stage k
 APPTEMPR(I,J,K) approach temperature at the right of stage k
 APPTEMPCU(I) approach temperature at cold utility of hot stream i
 APPTEMPHU(J) approach temperature at hot utility of cold stream j

APPTEMLIMIT(I,J,K) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITCU(I) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITHU(J) limiting temperature approach

LOGMH(i,j,K) Log mean temperature different of HE
 LOGMHU(J) Log mean temperature different of HU
 LOGMCU(I) Log mean temperature different of CU
 HAREA(i,j,K) Heat exchanger area
 HUAREA(J) Hot utility area
 CUAREA(I) Cold utility area
 TOTAREA Total area

HACOST Heat exchanger area cost
 HUACOST Hot utility heat exchanger area cost
 CUACOST Cold utility heat exchanger area cost
 HUOCOST Hot utility operation cost
 CUOCOST Cold utility operation cost

OAQCU Overall Cold utility
 OAQHU Overall hot utility
 OBJFN2 utility Q
 OBJFN3 utility AREA
 Invest Investment cost
 Total Total annual cost

```
MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) ;
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FFW(j) =e= FK(j) ;
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j))-1 =l= 0 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =l= CKL(j) ;
Fresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FFW(j));
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
Allwaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
```

```

Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-OMEGA1*y(i,j) =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FFW(j)-OMEGA1*yFW(j) =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)-OMEGA1*yWW(i) =l= 0;
TempK(j) .. sum(i,TS(i)*F(i,j))+TFW*FFW(j) =e= TK(j)*FK(j);
FRESHC .. FCost =e= OFW*FWC*WH;
PIP1 .. PIC1 =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));
PIP2 .. PIC2 =e= sum(j,yFW(j)*(CPF2(j)+FFW(j)*CP2(j)*WH));
PIP3 .. PIC3 =e= sum(i,yWW(i)*(CPF3(i)+WW(i)*CP3(i)*WH));
PIPC .. PICost =e= PIC1+PIC2+PIC3;
OBJFN11 .. O11 =e= FCost;
OBJFN12 .. O12 =e= FCost+PICost;

Link1(j) .. TK(j) =e= TINC(J);
Link2(j) .. FK(j) =e= FC(J);
Link3(i) .. TS(i) =e= TINH(I);
Link4(i) .. FH(I) =e= WW(i);

abc(i,j) .. q(i,j,'lastlocation') =e= 0;

OHB_H(i) .. (TINH(i)-TOUTH(i))*FH(i)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((J,K),q(I,J,K))+qcu(I);
OHB_C(j) .. (TOUTC(J)-TINC(J))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((I,K),q(I,J,K))+qhu(J);

SHB_H(I,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tH(I,K)-tH(I,K+1))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(J,q(I,J,K));
SHB_C(J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tC(J,K)-tC(J,K+1))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(I,q(I,J,K));

TINHASSGN(I) .. TINH(I) =e= tH(I,'firstlocation');
TINCASSGN(J) .. TINC(J) =e= tC(J,'lastlocation');

FH1(I,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tH(I,K) =g= tH(I,K+1);
FH2(I) .. TOUTH(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation');
FC1(J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tC(J,K) =g= tC(J,K+1);
FC2(J) .. TOUTC(J) =g= tC(J,'firstlocation');

HULOAD(I) .. (tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTH(I))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qcu(I);
CULOAD(J) .. (TOUTC(J)-tC(J,'firstlocation'))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qhu(J);

HECOUNT1(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. q(I,J,K)-OMEGA*z(I,J,K) =l= 0;
HECOUNT2(I) .. qcu(I)-OMEGA*zcu(I) =l= 0;
HECOUNT3(J) .. qhu(J)-OMEGA*zhu(J) =l= 0;

APPTEML(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K) =l= tH(I,K)-tC(J,K)+GAMMA*(1-z(I,J,K));
APPTEMPR(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K+1) =l= tH(I,K+1)-tC(J,K+1)+GAMMA*(1-z(I,J,K));
APPTEMPCU(I) .. dtcu(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU +GAMMA*(1-zcu(I));
APPTEMPLHU(J) .. dthu(J) =l= TOUTHU - tC(J,'firstlocation')+GAMMA*(1-zhu(J));

APPTEMLIMIT(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITCU(i) .. dtcu(I) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITHU(j) .. dthu(J) =g= EMAT;

```

```

LOGMH(i,j,K) .. LMTD(i,j,K) =e= (((dt(i,j,K)*dt(i,j,K+1))*(dt(i,j,K)+dt(i,j,K+1))/2))**(1/3);
LOGMHU(j) .. LMTDhu(j) =e= (((TOUTHU-tC(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*((TOUTHU-
tC(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))**(1/3);
LOGMCU(i) .. LMTDcu(i) =e= (((tH(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(I)-TINCU))*((tH(i,'lastlocation')-
TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(I)-TINCU))/2))**(1/3);
HAREA(i,j,K) .. A(i,j,K)*U*LMTD(i,j,K) =e= q(I,J,K);
HUAREA(j) .. Ahu(j)*U*LMTDhu(j) =e= qhu(j);
CUAREA(i) .. Acu(i)*U*LMTDcu(i) =e= qcu(i);
TOTAREA .. Atot =e= sum((i,j,k),A(i,j,K))+sum(j,Ahu(j))+sum(i,Acu(i));

HACOST .. Costh =e= sum((i,j,k),((HF1+HF2)*z(i,j,k)+HV*A(i,j,k))*WY);
HUACOST .. Costhu =e= sum(j,((HF1+HF2)*zhu(j)+HV*Ahu(j))*WY);
CUACOST .. Costcu =e= sum(i,((HF1+HF2)*zcu(i)+HV*Acu(i))*WY);
HUOCOST .. OCosthu =e= sum(j,HUOC*qhu(j));
CUOCOST .. OCostcu =e= sum(i,CUOC*qcu(i));

OAQCU .. OQCU =e= SUM(I,qcu(I));
OAQHU .. OQHU =e= SUM(J,qhu(J));
OBJFN2 .. O2 =e= OCosthu+OCostcu
+sum((i,j,k),z(i,j,k)*(HF1+HF2));
OBJFN3 .. O3 =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu+OCosthu+OCostcu;
Invest .. INV =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu;
Total .. TAC =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu+OCosthu+OCostcu+FCost.l+PICost.l;

```

x.fx(i,j)=0:

```

Model MIXING1 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC.OBJFN11/;
Solve MIXING1 using MIP minimizing O11;
Display FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,yFW.l,WW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

```

Parameter

```

xin(i,j)
FFWin(j)
WWin(i)
yin(i,j)
yFWin(j)
yWWin(i)
;
xin(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
FFWin(j) = FFW.l(j);
WWin(i) = WW.l(i);
yin(i,j) = y.l(i,j);
yFWin(j) = yFW.l(j);
yWWin(i) = yWW.l(i);

```

```

x.l(i,j) = xin(i,j);
FFW.l(j) = FFWin(j);
WW.l(i) = WWin(i);

```

```

y.l(i,j) = yin(i,j);
yFW.l(j) = yFWin(j);
yWW.l(i) = yWWin(i);

Model MIXING2 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC.PIP1,PIP2,PIP3,PIPC,OBJFN12/;
Solve MIXING2 using MINLP minimizing O12;
Display PIC1.l,PIC2.l,PIC3.l,PICost.l,FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,
yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

TINC(j) = TK.l(j);
TINH(i) = TS(i);
FH(i) = WW.l(i);
FC(j) = FK(j);

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 /abc,OHB_H,OHB_C,SHB_H,SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1,FH2,
FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTEMPCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,OAQCU,OAQHU,
HUOCOST,CUOCOST,Invest,OBJFN2/;

SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 USING MIP MINIMIZING O2;
DISPLAY z.l,zcu.l,zhu.l,tH.l,tC.l,q.l,qcu.l,qhu.l,OQHU.l,OQCU.l,INV.l,O2.l;

Parameter
qin(i,j,k)
qcuin(i)
qhuin(j)
THin(i,k)
TCin(j,k)
zin(i,j,k)
zcuin(i)
zhuin(j)

qin(i,j,k) = q.l(i,j,k);
qcuin(i) = qcu.l(i);
qhuin(j) = qhu.l(j);
THin(i,k) = TH.l(i,k);
TCin(j,k) = TC.l(j,k);
zin(i,j,k) = z.l(i,j,k);
zcuin(i) = zcu.l(i);
zhuin(j) = zhu.l(j);

q.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k);
qcu.l(i) = qcuin(i) ;
qhu.l(j) = qhuin(j);
TH.l(i,k) = THin(i,k);
TC.l(j,k) = TCin(j,k);

z.fx(i,j,k) = zin(i,j,k);
zcu.fx(i) = zcuin(i);

```

$zhu.fx(j) = zhuin(j);$

```

LMTD.l(i,j,k) = (((dt.l(i,j,K)*dt.l(i,j,K+1))*(dt.l(i,j,K)+dt.l(i,j,K+1))/2))**(1/3);
LMTDhu.l(j) = (((((TOUTHU-tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*((TOUTHU-
tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))***(1/3));
LMTDcu.l(i) = (((((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(l)-TINCU))*((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-
TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(l)-TINCU))/2))***(1/3);
A.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k)/(U*LMTD.l(i,j,k)+0.001);
Ahu.l(j) = qhuin(j)/(U*LMTDhu.l(j)+0.001);
Acu.l(i) = qcuin(i)/(U*LMTDcu.l(i)+0.001);

MODEL STAGEMODEL2 /abc,OHB_H.OHB_C,SHB_H,SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1,FH2,
FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTEMPCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,LOGMH,LOGMHU,LOGMCU,
HAREA,HUAREA,CUAREA,TOTAREA,HACOST,HUACOST.CUACOST,HUOCOST,CUOCOST,OAQCU,OAQ
HU,OBJFN3,
Invest,Total/
;
SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 USING MINLP MINIMIZING O3;
DISPLAY x.l,TK.l,z.l,zcu.l,zhu.l,tH.l,tC.l,q.l,qcu.l,qhu.l,OQHU.l,
OQCU.l,A.l,Ahu.l,Acu.l,Atot.l,O3.l,INV.l,Fcost.l,Costh.l,Costhu.l,Costcu.l,
OCosthu.l,OCostcu.l,TAC.l;

```

APPENDIX A-13 : Case Study 4. WHEN by two-step design Code

```

set    i Source stream /i1*i5/
       j Sink stream /j1*j5/
       K location / firstlocation,location2*location4,lastlocation/
;
Parameter
  CS  Source concentration (ppm)
    /i1  130
    i2  108
    i3  70
    i4  44
    i5  22 /
  FS  Source flowrate (ton per h)
    /i1  9
    i2  9
    i3  9
    i4  9
    i5  4.5 /
  TS  Source temperature (degree celcius)
    /i1  120
    i2  100
    i3  130
    i4  140
    i5  80 /

```

CKL1 Sink concentration limit (ppm)

j1 70
j2 70
j3 70
j4 70
j5 70 /

CKL2 Sink concentration limit (ppm)

j1 20
j2 20
j3 20
j4 20
j5 20 /

FK Sink flowrate (ton per h)

j1 10
j2 4
j3 12
j4 8
j5 6.5 /

TOUTH(I) outlet temperature of hot stream (degree celcius)

i1 30
i2 30
i3 30
i4 30
i5 30 /

TOUTC(J) outlet temperature of cold stream (degree celcius)

j1 100
j2 100
j3 100
j4 100
j5 100 /

CPF2 Piping fix cost fresh to sink (\$ per year)

j1 200
j2 300
j3 150
j4 120
j5 250 /

CP2 Piping variable fresh to sink (\$ per ton)

j1 0.7e-3
j2 1.4e-3
j3 1.2e-3
j4 1.1e-3
j5 1.3e-3 /

CPF3 Piping fix cost source to waste (\$ per year)

i1 100
i2 200
i3 250
i4 150
i5 200 /

CP3 Piping variable cost source to waste (\$ per ton)

i1 0.7e-3

i2 1.4e-3
 i3 1.1e-3
 i4 1.2e-3
 i5 1.3e-3 /

TINC(j)

TINH(i)

FH(i)

FC(j)

; TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per year)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	100	300	150	200	200
i2	200	250	100	220	150
i3	220	300	330	300	110
i4	110	400	200	200	250
i5	200	300	100	300	250

; TABLE CP1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per ton)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i2	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	0.9e-3
i3	0.9e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i4	1.3e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i5	1.1e-3	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	0.9e-3

Scalar OMEGA1 /10000/

TFW /25/

OMEGA upper bound for heat exchange /1000000/

GAMMA upper bound for temperature difference /1000000/

EMAT exchanger minimum approach temperature /10/

CP heat capacity /4.2/

FWC Freshwater cost (\$ per ton) /0.375/

HF1 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /8000/

HF2 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /19965.89/

HV Heat Exchanger variable cost (\$ per m²) /55.74899/

HUOC Hot utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /377/

CUOC Cold utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /189/

U Overall heat transfer coefficient /0.5/

WII Working hour /8000/

WY Annaulize factor /0.333/

TINHU Hot utility inlet temperature /120/

TOUTHU Hot utility outlet temperature /120/

TINCU Cold utility inlet temperature /10/

TOUTCU Cold utility outlet temperature /20/

; Variables

OFW Overall freshwater

OWW Overall wastewater

FCost	Freshwater cost
INV	Investment cost
TAC	Total annual cost
PIC1	Piping cost of source to sink
PIC2	Piping cost of fresh to sink
PIC3	Piping cost of source to waste
PICost	Piping cost
O11	Objective 1.1
O12	Objective 1.2
O2	Objective 2
O3	Objective 3

Positive variables $x(i,j)$

FFW(j)	Freshwater flowrate
F(i,j)	Splitting Flowrate
CK(j)	Sink stream concentration
TK(j)	Sink temperature
WW(i)	Source Waste water
dt(I,J,K)	Temperature approach for match ij at the left of stage k
dtcu(I)	Temperature approach for match hot stream i and cold utility
dthu(J)	Temperature approach for match cold stream j and hot utility

q(I,J,K)	Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k
qcu(I)	Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
qhu(J)	Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
A(i,j,K)	
Ahu(j)	
Acu(i)	
Atot	
LMTD(i,j,K)	
LMTDcu(i)	
LMTDhu(j)	
Costh	
Costhu	
Costcu	
OCosthu	
OCostcu	
Oqcu	Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
Oqhu	Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
tH(I,K)	Temperature of hot stream i at location k
tC(J,K)	Temperature of cold stream j at location k

BINARY VARIABLE

y(i,j)	Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN
yFW(j)	Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
yWW(i)	Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN
z(I,J,K)	Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k
zcu(I)	Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i
zhu(J)	Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

Equation

*****WATER NETWORK*****

MB1(j) Mass balance (flowrate)
 MB2(j) Mass balance (contaminant)
 Cons1(i) Constraint for x
 Cons2(j) Concentration constraint
 Fresh Freshwater usage
 Waste(i) Waste of source
 Allwaste Waste discharge
 - Flow(i,j) Flowrate each sink
 Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint
 Logical2(j) Logical constraint
 Logical3(i) Logical constraint
 TempK(j) Temperature of sink
 FRESHC Freshwater cost
 PIP1 Piping cost of source to sink
 PIR2 Piping cost of fresh to sink
 PIP3 Piping cost of source to waste
 PIPC Piping cost
 OBJFN11
 OBJFN12

Link1(j)
 Link2(j)
 Link3(i)
 Link4(i)

*****HEATEX NETWORK*****

abc(I,J) @#@\$\$#

OHB_H(I) overall heat balance for each hot stream
 OHB_C(J) overall heat balance for each cold stream

SHB_H(I,K) heat balance at each stage for hot stream
 SHB_C(J,K) heat balance at each stage for cold stream

TINHASSGN(I) assignment of inlet temperature of hot stream i
 TINCASSGN(J) assignment of inlet temperature of cold stream j

FH1(I,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for hot stream
 FH2(I) feasibility of temperature at last stage for hot stream
 FC1(J,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for cold stream
 FC2(J) feasibility of temperature at first stage for cold stream

HULOAD(I) hot utility load
 CULOAD(J) cold utility load

HECOUNT1(I,J,K) count heat exchanger
 HECOUNT2(I) count hot utility
 HECOUNT3(J) count cold utility

APPTEMPL(I,J,K) approach temperature at the left of stage k

APPTEMPR(I,J,K) approach temperature at the right of stage k
 APPTEMPCU(I) approach temperature at cold utility of hot stream i
 APPTEMPHU(J) approach temperature at hot utility of cold stream j

APPTEMPLIMIT(I,J,K) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMPLIMITCU(I) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMPLIMITHU(J) limiting temperature approach

LOGMH(i,j,K)	Log mean temperature different of HE
LOGMHU(J)	Log mean temperature different of HU
LOGMCU(I)	Log mean temperature different of CU
HAREA(i,j,K)	Heat exchanger area
HUAREA(J)	Hot utility area
QUAREA(I)	Cold utility area
TOTAREA	Total area

HACOST	Heat exchanger area cost
HUACOST	Hot utility heat exchanger area cost
CUACOST	Cold utility heat exchanger area cost
HUOCOST	Hot utility operation cost
CUOCOST	Cold utility operation cost

OAQCU	Overall Cold utility
OAQHU	Overall hot utility
OBJFN2	utility Q
OBJFN3	utility AREA
Invest	Investment cost
Total	Total annual cost

```

MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FK(j) :  

MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FFW(j) =e= FK(j) :  

Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j))-1 =l= 0 :  

Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =l= CKL2(j) ;  

Fresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FFW(j));  

Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);  

Allwaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));  

Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) :  

Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-OMEGA1*y(i,j) =l= 0;  

Logical2(j) .. FFW(j)-OMEGA1*yFW(j) =l= 0;  

Logical3(i) .. WW(i)-OMEGA1*yWW(i) =l= 0;  

TempK(j) .. sum(i,TS(i)*F(i,j))+TFW*FFW(j) =e= TK(j)*FK(j);  

FRESHC .. FCost =e= OFW*FWC*WH;  

PIP1 .. PIC1 =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));  

PIP2 .. PIC2 =e= sum(j,yFW(j)*(CPF2(j)+FFW(j)*CP2(j)*WH));  

PIP3 .. PIC3 =e= sum(i,yWW(i)*(CPF3(i)+WW(i)*CP3(i)*WH));  

PIPC .. PICost =e= PIC1+PIC2+PIC3;  

OBJFN11 .. O11 =e= FCost;  

OBJFN12 .. O12 =e= FCost+PICost;
  
```

Link1(j) .. TK(j) =e= TINC(j);

Link2(j) .. FK(j) =e= FC(J);
 Link3(i) .. TS(i) =e= TINH(I);
 Link4(i) .. FH(I) =e= WW(i);

 abc(i,j) .. q(i,j,'lastlocation') =e= 0;

 OHB_H(i) .. (TINH(i)-TOUTH(i))*FH(i)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((J,K),q(I,J,K))+qcu(I);
 OHB_C(j) .. (TOUTC(J)-TINC(J))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((I,K),q(I,J,K))+qhu(J);

 SHB_H(I,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tH(I,K)-tH(I,K+1))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM(J,q(I,J,K));
 SHB_C(J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tC(J,K)-tC(J,K+1))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM(I,q(I,J,K));

 TINHASSGN(I) .. TINH(I) =e= tH(I,'firstlocation');
 TINCASSGN(J) .. TINC(J) =e= tC(J,'lastlocation');

 FH1(I,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tH(I,K) =g= tH(I,K+1);
 FH2(I) .. TOUTH(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation');
 FC1(J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tC(J,K) =g= tC(J,K+1);
 FC2(J) .. TOUTC(J) =g= tC(J,'firstlocation');

 HULOAD(I) .. (tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTH(I))*FH(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qcu(I);
 CULOAD(J) .. (TOUTC(J)-tC(J,'firstlocation'))*FC(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qhu(J);

 HECOUNT1(I,J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. q(I,J,K)-OMEGA*z(I,J,K) =l= 0;
 HECOUNT2(I) .. qcu(I)-OMEGA*zcu(I) =l= 0;
 HECOUNT3(J) .. qhu(J)-OMEGA*zhu(J) =l= 0;

 APPTEAMPL(I,J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K) =l= tH(I,K)-tC(J,K)+GAMMA*(I-z(I,J,K));
 APPTEMPLR(I,J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K+1) =l= tH(I,K+1)-tC(J,K+1)+GAMMA*(I-z(I,J,K));
 APPTEMPCU(I) .. dtcu(I) =l= tH(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU+GAMMA*(I-zcu(I));
 APPTEMPLHU(J) .. dthu(J) =l= TOUTHU - tC(J,'firstlocation')+GAMMA*(I-zhu(J));

 APPTEAMPLIMIT(I,J,K)\$(\$ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt(I,J,K) =g= EMAT;
 APPTEAMPLIMITCU(i) .. dtcu(I) =g= EMAT;
 APPTEAMPLIMITHU(j) .. dthu(J) =g= EMAT;

 LOGMH(i,j,K) .. LMTD(i,j,K) =e= (((dt(i,j,K)*dt(i,j,K+1))*(dt(i,j,K)+dt(i,j,K+1))/2))**((1/3));
 LOGMHU(j) .. LMTDhu(j) =e= (((((TOUTHU-tC(J,'firstlocation')))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*((TOUTHU-tC(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))**((1/3));
 LOGMCU(i) .. LMTDcu(i) =e= (((((tH(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(I)-TINC))*((tH(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(I)-TINC))/2))**((1/3));
 HAREA(i,j,K) .. A(i,j,K)*U*LMTD(i,j,K) =e= q(I,J,K);
 HUAREA(j) .. Ahu(j)*U*LMTDhu(j) =e= qhu(j);
 CUAREA(i) .. Acu(i)*U*LMTDcu(i) =e= qcu(i);
 TOTAREA .. Atot =e= sum((i,j,k),A(i,j,K))+sum(j,Ahu(j))+sum(i,Acu(i));

 HACOST .. Costh =e= sum((i,j,k),((HF1+HF2)*z(i,j,k)+HV*A(i,j,k))*WY);
 HUACOST .. Costhu =e= sum(j,((HF1+HF2)*zhu(j)+HV*Ahu(j))*WY);

```

CUACOST .. Costcu =e= sum(i,((HF1+HF2)*zcu(i)+HV*Acu(i))*WY);
HUOCOST .. OCosthu =e= sum(j,HUOC*qhu(j));
CUOCOST .. OCostcu =e= sum(i,CUOC*qcu(i));

OAQCU .. OQCU =e= SUM(I,qcu(I));
OAQHU .. OQHU =e= SUM(J,qhu(J));
OBJFN2 .. O2 =e= OCosthu+OCostcu+sum((i,j,k),z(i,j,k)*(HF1+HF2));
OBJFN3 .. O3 =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu+OCosthu+OCostcu;
Invest .. INV =e= Costh+Costhu+Costcu;
Total .. TAC =e= (Costh+Costhu+Costcu)+OCosthu+OCostcu+FCost.l+PICost.l;

Model MIXING1 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,OBJFN11/;
Solve MIXING1 using MIP minimizing O11;
Display FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,yFW.l,WW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

Parameter
xin(i,j)
FFWin(j)
WWin(i)
yin(i,j)
yFWin(j)
yWWin(i)
;
xin(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
FFWin(j) = FFW.l(j);
WWin(i) = WW.l(i);
yin(i,j) = y.l(i,j);
yFWin(j) = yFW.l(j);
yWWin(i) = yWW.l(i);

x.l(i,j) = xin(i,j);
FFW.l(j) = FFWin(j);
WW.l(i) = WWin(i);
y.l(i,j) = yin(i,j);
yFW.l(j) = yFWin(j);
yWW.l(i) = yWWin(i);

Model MIXING2 /MB1,MB2,Cons1,Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,PIP1,PIP2,PIP3,PIPC,OBJFN12/;
Solve MIXING2 using MINLP minimizing O12;
Display PIC1.l,PIC2.l,PIC3.l,PICost.l,FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,
yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

TINC(j) = TK.l(j);
TINH(i) = TS(i);
FH(i) = WW.l(i);
FC(j) = FK(j);

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 /abc.OHB_H.OHB_C.SHB_H.SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1.FH2,

```

```

FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTE
MPCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,OAQCU,OAQHU,
HUOCOST,CUOCOST,Invest,OBJFN2/
;
SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 USING MIP MINIMIZING O2;
DISPLAY z.l,zcu.l,zhu.l,tH.l,tC.l,q.l,qcu.l,qhu.l,OQHU.l,OQCU.l,INV.l,O2.l;

Parameter
qin(i,j,k)
qcuin(i)
qhuin(j)
THin(i,k)
TCin(j,k)
zin(i,j,k)
zcuin(i)
zhuin(j)
;
qin(i,j,k) = q.l(i,j,k);
qcuin(i) = qcu.l(i);
qhuin(j) = qhu.l(j);
THin(i,k) = TH.l(i,k);
TCin(j,k) = TC.l(j,k);
zin(i,j,k) = z.l(i,j,k);
zcuin(i) = zcu.l(i);
zhuin(j) = zhu.l(j);

q.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k);
qcu.l(i) = qcuin(i) ;
qhu.l(j) = qhuin(j);
TH.l(i,k) = THin(i,k);
TC.l(j,k) = TCin(j,k);

z.fx(i,j,k) = zin(i,j,k);
zcu.fx(i) = zcuin(i);
zhu.fx(j) = zhuin(j);

LMTD.l(i,j,k) = (((dt.l(i,j,K)*dt.l(j,j,K+1))*(dt.l(i,j,K)+dt.l(j,j,K+1))/2))**((l/3);
LMTDhu.l(j) = (((((TOUTHU-tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))*((TOUTHU-
tC.l(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))**((l/3));
LMTDcu.l(i) = (((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(l)-TINCu))*((tH.l(i,'lastlocation')-
TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(l)-TINCu))/2))**((l/3));
A.l(i,j,k) = qin(i,j,k)/(U*LMTD.l(i,j,k)+0.001);
Ahu.l(j) = qhuin(j)/(U*LMTDhu.l(j)+0.001);
Acu.l(i) = qcuin(i)/(U*LMTDcu.l(i)+0.001);

MODEL STAGEMODEL2 /abc.OHB_H,OHB_C,SHB_H,SHB_C,TINHASSGN,TINCASSGN,FH1,FH2,
FC1,FC2,HULOAD,CULOAD,HECOUNT1,HECOUNT2,HECOUNT3,APPTEML,APPTEMPR,APPTE
MPCU,
APPTEMPHU,APPTEMLIMIT,APPTEMLIMITCU,APPTEMLIMITHU,LOGMH,LOGMHU,LOGM
CU,

```

```

HAREA,HUAREA,CUAREA,TOTAREA,HACOST,HUACOST,CUACOST,HUOCOST,CUOCOST,OA
QCU,OAQHU,OBJFN3,Invest,Total/
;
SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 USING MINLP MINIMIZING O3;
DISPLAY F,I,FFW,I,WW,I,TK,I,z,I,zcu,I,zhu,I,tH,I,tC,I,q,I,qcu,I,qhu,I,OQHU,I,
OQCUI,A,I,Ahu,I,Acu,I,Atot,I,Fcost,I,O12,I,O2,I,OCosthu,I,OCostcu,I,INV,I,TAC,I
;
```

APPENDIX A-14 : Case Study 4 WHEN by four-step design Code

```

set   i Source stream /i1*i5/
      j Sink stream /j1*j5/
      K  location  /firstlocation,location2*location4,lastlocation/
;
Parameter
  CS  Source concentration (ppm)
    /i1  130
    i2  108
    i3  70
    i4  44
    i5  22 /
  FS  Source flowrate (ton per h)
    /i1  9
    i2  9
    i3  9
    i4  9
    i5  4.5 /
  TS  Source temperature (degree celcius)
    /i1  120
    i2  100
    i3  130
    i4  140
    i5  80 /
  CKL1 Sink concentration limit (ppm)
    /j1  70
    j2  70
    j3  70
    j4  70
    j5  70 /
  CKL  Sink concentration limit (ppm)
    /j1  20
    j2  20
    j3  20
    j4  20
    j5  20 /
  FK  Sink flowrate (ton per h)
    /j1  10
    j2  4
    j3  12
    j4  0
;
```

```

j5 0 /
FKL Sink flowrate (ton per h)
/j1 10
j2 4
j3 12
j4 8
j5 6.5 /
TOUTH(I) outlet temperature of hot stream (degree celcius)
/i1 30
i2 30
i3 30
i4 30
i5 30 /
TOUTHIP(I) outlet temperature of hot stream (degree celcius)
/i1 100
i2 80
i3 100
i4 100
i5 80 /
TOUTC(J) outlet temperature of cold stream (degree celcius)
/j1 100
j2 100
j3 100
j4 100
j5 100 /
CPF2 Piping fix cost fresh to sink ($ per year)
/j1 200
j2 300
j3 150
j4 120
j5 250 /
CP2 Piping variable fresh to sink ($ per ton)
/j1 0.7e-3
j2 1.4e-3
j3 1.2e-3
j4 1.1e-3
j5 1.3e-3 /
CPF3 Piping fix cost source to waste ($ per year)
/i1 100
i2 200
i3 250
i4 150
i5 200 /
CP3 Piping variable cost source to waste ($ per ton)
/i1 0.7e-3
i2 1.4e-3
i3 1.1e-3
i4 1.2e-3
i5 1.3e-3 /

```

TABLE CPF1(i,j) Piping fix cost source to sink (\$ per year)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	100	300	150	200	200
i2	200	250	100	220	150
i3	220	300	330	300	110
i4	110	400	200	200	250
i5	200	300	100	300	250

TABLE CPI1(i,j) Piping variable cost source to sink (\$ per ton)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i2	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.2e-3	0.9e-3
i3	0.9e-3	1.3e-3	1.1e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i4	1.3e-3	1.2e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	1.1e-3
i5	1.1e-3	0.8e-3	1.3e-3	1.4e-3	0.9e-3

Scalar OMEGA1 /100/

TFW /25/

OMEGA upper bound for heat exchange /1000000/

GAMMA upper bound for temperature difference /1000000/

EMAT exchanger minimum approach temperature /10/

CP heat capacity /4.2/

FWC Freshwater cost (\$ per ton) /0.375/

HF1 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /8000/

HF2 Heat Exchanger fixed cost (\$) /19965.89/

HV Heat Exchanger variable cost (\$ per m²) /55.74899/

HUOC Hot utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /377/

CUOC Cold utility operation cost (\$ per kW y) /189/

U Overall heat transfer coefficient /0.5/

WH Working hour /8000/

WY Annaulize factor /0.333/

TNHU Hot utility inlet temperature /120/

TOUTHU Hot utility outlet temperature /120/

TINCU Cold utility inlet temperature /10/

TOUTCU Cold utility outlet temperature /20/

Variables TAC1 Total annual cost 1

TAC2 Total annual cost 2

AREA Total Area

OFW Overall freshwater

OWW Overall wastewater

FCost

PIC1 Piping cost of source to sink

PIC2 Piping cost of fresh to sink

PIC3 Piping cost of source to waste

PICost Piping cost

O11 Objective 1.1

O12 Objective 1.2

O2 Objective 2

O22 Objective 2.2
 O3 Objective 3
 O32 Objective 3.2
 O4 Objective 3
 OFW1 Overall freshwater
 OWW1 Overall wastewater
 FCost1
 PIC11 Piping cost of source to sink
 PIC21 Piping cost of fresh to sink
 PIC31 Piping cost of source to waste
 PICost1 Piping cost
 OBJWN1
 OFW2 Overall freshwater
 OWW2 Overall wastewater
 FCost2
 PIC12 Piping cost of source to sink
 PIC22 Piping cost of fresh to sink
 - PIC32 Piping cost of source to waste
 PICost2 Piping cost
 OBJWN2

Positive variables $x(i,j)$

FFW(j) Freshwater flowrate
 F(i,j) Splitting Flowrate
 CK(j) Sink stream concentration
 TK(j) Sink temperature
 WW(i) Source Waste water

$x1(i,j)$
 FFW1(j) Freshwater flowrate
 F1(i,j) Splitting Flowrate
 CK1(j) Sink stream concentration
 TK1(j) Sink temperature
 WW1(i) Source Waste water
 FK1(j)
 TINH1(i)
 TOUTH1(i)
 TINC1(j)
 TOUTC1(j)
 FH1(i)
 FC1(j)
 dt1(I,J,K) Temperature approach for match ij at the left of stage k
 dtcu1(I) Temperature approach for match hot stream i and cold utility
 dthu1(J) Temperature approach for match cold stream j and hot utility

q1(I,J,K) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k
 qc1(I) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 qhu1(J) Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
 A1(i,j,K)

Ahu1(j)
 Acu1(i)
 Atot1
 LMTD1(i,j,K)
 LMTDcu1(i)
 LMTDhu1(j)
 Costh1
 Costhu1
 Costcu1
 OCosthu1
 OCostcu1
 tH1(I,K) Temperature of hot stream i at location k
 tC1(J,K) Temperature of cold stream j at location k

 x2(i,j)
 FFW2(j) Freshwater flowrate
 F2(i,j) Splitting Flowrate
 CK2(j) Sink stream concentration
 TK2(j) dddd
 WW2(i) Source Waste water
 FK2(j)
 TS2(i)
 FS2(i)
 TINH2(i)
 TOUTH2(i)
 TINC2(j)
 TOUTC2(j)
 FH2(i)
 FC2(j)
 dt2(I,J,K) Temperature approach for match ij at the left of stage k
 dtcu2(I) Temperature approach for match hot stream i and cold utility
 dthu2(J) Temperature approach for match cold stream j and hot utility
 q2(I,J,K) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k
 qcu2(I) Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 qhu2(J) Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
 - A2(i,j,K)
 - Ahu2(j)
 - Acu2(i)
 - Atot2
 LMTD2(i,j,K)
 LMTDcu2(i)
 LMTDhu2(j)
 Costh2
 Costhu2
 Costcu2
 OCosthu2
 OCostcu2
 tH2(I,K) Temperature of hot stream i at location k
 tC2(J,K) Temperature of cold stream j at location k

Oqcu1 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 Oqhu1 Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
 Oqcu2 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 Oqhu2 Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

;

BINARY VARIABLE

y(i,j) Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN
 yFW(j) Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
 yWW(i) Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

y1(i,j) Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN
 yFW1(j) Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
 yWW1(i) Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN
 z1(I,J,K) Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k
 zcu1(I) Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i
 zhu1(J) Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

y2(i,j) Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN
 yFW2(j) Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
 yWW2(i) Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN
 z2(I,J,K) Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k
 zcu2(I) Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i
 zhu2(J) Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

;

Equation

*****WATER initial*****

MB1(j) Mass balance (flowrate)
 MB2(j) Mass balance (contaminant)
 Cons1(i) Constraint for x
 Cons2(j) Concentration constraint
 Cons3(j)
 ConsF
 Fresh Freshwater usage
 Waste(i) Waste of source
 Allwaste Waste discharge
 Flow(i,j) Flowrate each sink
 Logical1(i,j) Logical constraint
 Logical2(j) Logical constraint
 Logical3(i) Logical constraint
 TempK(j) Temperature of sink
 FRESHC Freshwater cost
 PIP1 Piping cost of source to sink
 PIP2 Piping cost of fresh to sink
 PIP3 Piping cost of source to waste
 PIPC Piping cost

OBJFN11

OBJFN12

***** WN1 *****

MB1_1(j) Mass balance (flowrate)
 MB2_1(j) Mass balance (contaminant)
 Cons1_1(i) Constraint for x
 Cons2_1(j) Concentration constraint
 Cons3_1(j)
 ConsF_1

Waste_1(i) Waste of source
 Allwaste_1 Waste discharge
 Flow_1(i,j) Flowrate each sink
 Logical1_1(i,j) Logical constraint
 Logical2_1(j) Logical constraint
 Logical3_1(i) Logical constraint
 TempK_1(j) Temperature of sink

PIP1_1 Piping cost of source to sink
 OBJECTWN1

Link1_1(i)
 Link2_1(i)
 Link3_1(j)
 Link4_1(j)

***** HEN *****
 abc_1(i,j) @#@\$\$#

OHB_H_1(l) overall heat balance for each hot stream
 OHB_C_1(J) overall heat balance for each cold stream

SHB_H_1(I,K) heat balance at each stage for hot stream
 SHB_C_1(J,K) heat balance at each stage for cold stream

TINHASSGN_1(I) assignment of inlet temperature of hot stream i
 TINCASSGN_1(J) assignment of inlet temperature of cold stream j

FH1_1(I,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for hot stream
 FH2_1(I) feasibility of temperature at last stage for hot stream
 FC1_1(J,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for cold stream
 FC2_1(J) feasibility of temperature at first stage for cold stream

HULOAD_1(I) hot utility load
 CULOAD_1(J) cold utility load

HECOUNT1_1(I,J,K) count heat exchanger
 HECOUNT2_1(I) count hot utility
 HECOUNT3_1(J) count cold utility

APPTEMPL_1(I,J,K) approach temperature at the left of stage k
 APPTEMPR_1(I,J,K) approach temperature at the right of stage k
 APPTEMPCU_1(I) approach temperature at cold utility of hot stream i
 APPTEMPHU_1(J) approach temperature at hot utility of cold stream j

APPTEMLIMIT_1(I,J,K) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITCU_1(I) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITHU_1(J) limiting temperature approach

LOGMH_1(i,j,K) Log mean temperature different of HE
 LOGMHU_1(J) Log mean temperature different of HU
 LOGMCU_1(I) Log mean temperature different of CU
 HAREA_1(i,j,K) Heat exchanger area
 HUAREA_1(J) Hot utility area
 CUAREA_1(I) Cold utility area
 TOTAREA_1 Total area

HACOST_1 Heat exchanger area cost
 HUACOST_1 Hot utility heat exchanger area cost
 CUACOST_1 Cold utility heat exchanger area cost
 HUOCOST_1 Hot utility operation cost
 CUOCOST_1 Cold utility operation cost

Link12_1(i)
 Link12_2(i)
 Link12_3(j)

***** WN2 *****

MB1_2(j) Mass balance (flowrate)
 MB2_2(j) Mass balance (contaminant)
 Cons1_2(i) Constraint for x
 Cons2_2(j) Concentration constraint
 Cons3_2(j)
 ConsF_2
 Fresh_2 Freshwater usage
 Waste_2(i) Waste of source
 Allwaste_2 Waste discharge
 Flow_2(i,j) Flowrate each sink
 Logical1_2(i,j) Logical constraint
 Logical2_2(j) Logical constraint
 Logical3_2(i) Logical constraint
 TempK_2(j) Temperature of sink
 FRESHC_2 Freshwater cost
 PIP1_2 Piping cost of source to sink
 PIP2_2 Piping cost of fresh to sink
 PIP3_2 Piping cost of source to waste
 PIPC_2 Piping cost

OBJECTWN2

Link1_2(i)
 Link2_2(i)
 Link3_2(j)
 Link4_2(j)

***** HEN2 *****

abc_2(I,J) @#@\$\$#

OHB_H_2(I) overall heat balance for each hot stream

OHB_C_2(J) overall heat balance for each cold stream

SHB_H_2(I,K) heat balance at each stage for hot stream
 SHB_C_2(J,K) heat balance at each stage for cold stream

TINHASSGN_2(I) assignment of inlet temperature of hot stream i
 TINCASSGN_2(J) assignment of inlet temperature of cold stream j

FH1_2(I,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for hot stream
 FH2_2(I) feasibility of temperature at last stage for hot stream
 FC1_2(J,K) feasibility of temperature at each stage for cold stream
 FC2_2(J) feasibility of temperature at first stage for cold stream

HULOAD_2(I) hot utility load
 CULOAD_2(J) cold utility load

HECOUNT1_2(I,J,K) count heat exchanger
 HECOUNT2_2(I) count hot utility
 HECOUNT3_2(J) count cold utility

APPTEMPL_2(I,J,K) approach temperature at the left of stage k
 APPTEMPLR_2(I,J,K) approach temperature at the right of stage k
 APPTEMPCU_2(I) approach temperature at cold utility of hot stream i
 APPTEMPHU_2(J) approach temperature at hot utility of cold stream j

APPTEMLIMIT_2(I,J,K) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITCU_2(I) limiting temperature approach
 APPTEMLIMITHU_2(J) limiting temperature approach

LOGMH_2(i,j,K) Log mean temperature different of HE
 LOGHU_2(J) Log mean temperature different of HU
 LOGMCU_2(I) Log mean temperature different of CU
 HAREA_2(i,j,K) Heat exchanger area
 HUAREA_2(J) Hot utility area
 CUAREA_2(I) Cold utility area
 TOTAREA_2 Total area

HACOST_2 Heat exchanger area cost
 HUACOST_2 Hot utility heat exchanger area cost
 CUACOST_2 Cold utility heat exchanger area cost
 HUOCOST_2 Hot utility operation cost
 CUOCOST_2 Cold utility operation cost

Link_WN(i)

OAQCUI Overall Cold utility
 OAQHUI Overall hot utility

OAQCU2	Overall Cold utility
OAQHU2	Overall hot utility
OBJFN2	utility Q
OBJFN22	utility Q
OBJFN3	utility AREA
OBJFN32	
Invest	Investment cost
Total1	Total annual cost
Total2	Total annual cost
TotalA	
UTILITY	

*****WN INITIAL*****

```

MB1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x(i,j)) =e= CK(j)*FKL(j);
MB2(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x(i,j)) + FFW(j) =e= FKL(j);
Cons1(i) .. sum(j,x(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2(j) .. CK(j) =l= CKL(j);
Fresh .. OFW =e= sum(j,FFW(j));
Waste(i) .. WW(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x(i,j)))*FS(i);
Allwaste .. OWW =e= sum(i,WW(i));
Flow(i,j) .. F(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x(i,j) ;
Logical1(i,j) .. F(i,j)-OMEGA1*y(i,j) =l= 0;
Logical2(j) .. FFW(j)-OMEGA1*yFW(j) =l= 0;
Logical3(i) .. WW(i)-OMEGA1*yWW(i) =l= 0;
TempK(j) .. sum(i,TS(i)*F(i,j))+TFW*FFW(j) =e= TK(j)*FKL(j);
FRESHC .. FCost =e= OFW*FWC*WH;
PIP1 .. PIC1 =e= sum((i,j),y(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));
PIP2 .. PIC2 =e= sum(j,yFW(j)*(CPF2(j)+FFW(j)*CP2(j)*WH));
PIP3 .. PIC3 =e= sum(i,yWW(i)*(CPF3(i)+WW(i)*CP3(i)*WH));
PIPC .. PICCost =e= PIC1+PIC2+PIC3;
OBJFN11 .. O11 =e= FCost;
OBJFN12 .. O12 =e= FCost+PICCost;
*****WN I*****
```

MB1_1(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS(i)*x1(i,j))+FK2(j)*CK2(j) =e= CK1(j)*FKL(j) ;

MB2_1(j) .. sum(i,FS(i)*x1(i,j)) + FK2(j) =e= FKL(j) ;

Cons1_1(i) .. sum(j,x1(i,j)) =l= 1 ;

Cons2_1(j) .. CK1(j) =l= CKL(j) :

Waste_1(i) .. WW1(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x1(i,j)))*FS(i);

Allwaste_1 .. OWW1 =e= sum(i,WW1(i));

Flow_1(i,j) .. F1(i,j) =e= FS(i)*x1(i,j) ;

Logical1_1(i,j) .. F1(i,j)-OMEGA1*y1(i,j) =l= 0;

*Logical2_1(j) .. FFW1(j)-OMEGA1*yFW1(j) =l= 0;

*Logical3_1(i) .. WW1(i)-OMEGA1*yWW1(i) =l= 0;

TempK_1(j) .. sum(i,TS(i)*F1(i,j))+FK2(j)*TOUTC2(j) =e= TK1(j)*FKL(j);

PIP1_1 .. PIC11 =e= sum((i,j),y1(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F1(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));

OBJECTWN1 .. OBJWN1 =e= sum((i,j),F1(i,j));

Link1_1(i) .. TINH1(i) =e= TS(i);

```

Link2_I(i) .. FH1(i) =e= WW1(i);
Link3_I(j) .. TINC1(j) =e= TK1(j);
Link4_I(j) .. FC1(j) =e= FKL(j);

*****HENI*****
abc_I(i,j) .. q1(i,j,'lastlocation') =e= 0;

OHB_H_I(i) .. (TS(i)-TOUTH1(i))*WW1(i)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((J,K),q1(I,J,K))+qcu1(I);
OHB_C_I(j) .. (TOUTC(J)-TK1(J))*FKL(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((I,K),q1(I,J,K))+qhu1(J);

SHB_H_I(I,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tH1(I,K)-tH1(I,K+1))*WW1(I)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(J,q1(I,J,K));
SHB_C_I(J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. (tC1(J,K)-tC1(J,K+1))*FC1(J)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(I,q1(I,J,K));
TINHASSGN_I(I) .. TS(I) =e= tH1(I,'firstlocation');
TINCASSGN_I(J) .. TK1(J) =e= tC1(J,'lastlocation');

FH1_I(I,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tH1(I,K) =g= tH1(I,K+1);
FH2_I(I) .. TOUTH1(I) =l= tH1(I,'lastlocation');
FC1_I(J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. tC1(J,K) =g= tC1(J,K+1);
FC2_I(J) .. TOUTC(J) =g= tC1(J,'firstlocation');

HULOAD_I(I) .. (tH1(I,'lastlocation')-tOUTH1(I))*WW1(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qcu1(I);
CULOAD_I(J) .. (TOUTC(J)-tC1(J,'firstlocation'))*FKL(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qhu1(J);

HECOUNT1_I(I,J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. q1(I,J,K)-OMEGA*z1(I,J,K) =l= 0;
HECOUNT2_I(I) .. qcu1(I)-OMEGA*zcu1(I) =l= 0;
HECOUNT3_I(J) .. qhu1(J)-OMEGA*zhu1(J) =l= 0;

APPTEMPL_I(I,J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt1(I,J,K) =l= tH1(I,K)-tC1(J,K)+GAMMA*(I-z1(I,J,K));
APPTEMPR_I(I,J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt1(I,J,K+1) =l= tH1(I,K+1)-tC1(J,K+1)+GAMMA*(I-
z1(I,J,K));
APPTEMPCU_I(I) .. dtcu1(I) =l= tH1(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU+GAMMA*(I-zcu1(I));
APPTEMPHU_I(J) .. dthu1(J) =l= TOUTHU - tC1(J,'firstlocation')+GAMMA*(I-zhu1(J));

- APPTEMPLIMIT_I(I,J,K)$((ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt1(I,J,K) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMPLIMITCU_I(i) .. dtcu1(I) =g= EMAT;
- APPTEMPLIMITHU_I(j) .. dthu1(J) =g= EMAT;

LOGMH_I(i,j,K) .. LMTD1(i,j,K) =e= (((dt1(i,j,K)*dt1(i,j,K+1))*(dt1(i,j,K)+dt1(i,j,K+1))/2))***(1/3);
LOGMHU_I(j) .. LMTDhu1(j) =e= (((((TOUTHU-tC1(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC1(J)))*(TOUTHU-
tC1(J,'firstlocation')))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J))/2))***(1/3);
LOGMCU_I(i) .. LMTDcu1(i) =e= (((((tH1(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH1(I)-TINC1(I)))*((tH1(i,'lastlocation')-
TOUTCU)+(TOUTH1(I)-TINC1(I))/2))***(1/3);
HAREA_I(i,j,K) .. A1(i,j,K)*U*LMTD1(i,j,K) =e= q1(I,J,K);
HUAREA_I(j) .. Ahu1(j)*U*LMTDhu1(j) =e= qhu1(j);
CUAREA_I(i) .. Acu1(i)*U*LMTDcu1(i) =e= qcu1(i);
TOTAREA_I .. Atot1 =e= sum((i,j,k),A1(i,j,K))+sum(j,Ahu1(j))+sum(i,Acu1(i));

HACOST_I .. Costh1 =e= sum((i,j,k),((HF1+HF2)*z1(i,j,k)+HV*A1(i,j,k))*WY);
HUACOST_I .. Costhu1 =e= sum(j,((HF1+HF2)*zhu1(j)+HV*Ahu1(j))*WY);

```

```

CUACOST_1 .. Costcu1 =e= sum(i,((HF1+HF2)*zcu1(i)+HV*Acu1(i))*WY);
HUOCOST_1 .. OCosthu1 =e= sum(j,HUOC*qhu1(j));
CUOCOST_1 .. OCostcu1 =e= sum(i,CUOC*qcu1(i));

Link12_1(i) .. TOUTH1(i) =e= TS2(i);
Link12_2(i) .. WW1(i) =e= FS2(i);

*****WN2*****
MB1_2(j) .. sum(i,CS(i)*FS2(i)*x2(i,j)) =e= CK2(j)*FK2(j) ;
MB2_2(j) .. sum(i,FS2(i)*x2(i,j)) + FFW2(j) =e= FK2(j) ;
Cons1_2(i) .. sum(j,x2(i,j)) =l= 1 ;
Cons2_2(j) .. CK2(j) =l= CKL(j) ;
Fresh_2 .. OFW2 =e= sum(j,FFW2(j));
Waste_2(i) .. WW2(i) =e= (1-sum(j,x2(i,j)))*FS2(i);
Allwaste_2 .. OWW2 =e= sum(i,WW2(i));
Flow_2(i,j) .. F2(i,j) =e= FS2(i)*x2(i,j) ;
Logical1_2(i,j) .. F2(i,j)-OMEGA1*y2(i,j) =l= 0;
Logical2_2(j) .. FFW2(j)-OMEGA1*yFW2(j) =l= 0;
Logical3_2(i) .. WW2(i)-OMEGA1*yWW2(i) =l= 0;
TempK_2(j) .. sum(i,TS2(i)*F2(i,j))+TFW*FFW2(j) =e= TK2(j)*FK2(j);
FRESHC_2 .. FCost2 =e= OFW2*FWC*WH;
PIP1_2 .. PIC12 =e= sum((i,j),y2(i,j)*(CPF1(i,j)+F2(i,j)*CP1(i,j)*WH));
PIP2_2 .. PIC22 =e= sum(j,yFW2(j)*(CPF2(j)+FFW2(j)*CP2(j)*WH));
PIP3_2 .. PIC32 =e= sum(i,yWW2(i)*(CPF3(i)+WW2(i)*CP3(i)*WH));
PIPC_2 .. PICost2 =e= PIC12+PIC22+PIC32;

OBJECTWN2 .. OBJWN2 =e= sum((i,j),F2(i,j));

Link1_2(i) .. TINH2(i) =e= TS2(i);
Link2_2(i) .. FH2(i) =e= WW2(i);
Link3_2(j) .. TINC2(j) =e= TK2(j);
Link4_2(j) .. FC2(j) =e= FK2(j);

*****HEN2*****
abc_2(i,j) .. q2(i,j,'lastlocation') =e= 0;

OHB_H_2(i) .. (TINH2(i)-TOUTH(i))*FH2(i)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((J,K),q2(I,J,K))+qcu2(I);
OHB_C_2(j) .. (TOUTC2(J)-TINC2(J))*FC2(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= SUM((I,K),q2(I,J,K))+qhu2(J);

SHB_H_2(I,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. (tH2(I,K)-tH2(I,K+1))*FH2(I)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(J,q2(I,J,K));
SHB_C_2(J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. (tC2(J,K)-tC2(J,K+1))*FC2(J)*CP*(1000/3600)
=e= SUM(I,q2(I,J,K));

TINHASSGN_2(I) .. TINH2(I) =e= tH2(I,'firstlocation');
TINCASSGN_2(J) .. TINC2(J) =e= tC2(J,'lastlocation');

FH1_2(I,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. tH2(I,K) =g= tH2(I,K+1);
FH2_2(I) .. TOUTH(I) =l= tH1(I,'lastlocation');
FC1_2(J,K)$ORD(K) NE CARD(K) .. tC2(J,K) =g= tC2(J,K+1);
FC2_2(J) .. TOUTC2(J) =g= tC2(J,'firstlocation');

```

```

HULOAD_2(I .. (tH2(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTH(I))*FH2(I)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qcu2(I);
CULOAD_2(J .. (TOUTC2(J)-tC2(J,'firstlocation'))*FC2(J)*CP*(1000/3600) =e= qhu2(J);

HECOUNT1_2(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. q2(I,J,K)-OMEGA*z2(I,J,K) =l= 0;
HECOUNT2_2(I) .. qcu2(I)-OMEGA*zcu2(I) =l= 0;
HECOUNT3_2(J) .. qhu2(J)-OMEGA*zhu2(J) =l= 0;

APPTEMPL_2(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt2(I,J,K) =l= tH2(I,K)-tC2(J,K)+GAMMA*(I-z2(I,J,K));
APPTEMPR_2(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt2(I,J,K+1) =l= tH2(I,K+1)-tC2(J,K+1)+GAMMA*(I-
z2(I,J,K));
APPTEMPCU_2(I) .. dtcu2(I) =l= tH2(I,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU +GAMMA*(I-zcu2(I));
APPTEMPHU_2(J) .. dthu2(J) =l= TOUTHU - tC2(J,'firstlocation')+GAMMA*(I-zhu2(J));

APPTEMLIMIT_2(I,J,K)$(ORD(K) NE CARD(K)) .. dt2(I,J,K) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITCU_2(i) .. dtcu2(I) =g= EMAT;
APPTEMLIMITHU_2(j) .. dthu2(J) =g= EMAT;

LOGMH_2(i,j,K) .. LMTD2(i,j,K) =e= (((dt2(i,j,K)*dt2(i,j,K+1))*(dt2(i,j,K)+dt2(i,j,K+1))/2))***(1/3);
LOGMHU_2(j) .. LMTDhu2(j) =e= (((((TOUTHU-tC2(J,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC2(J)))*((TOUTHU-
tC2(J,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(J)))/2))***(1/3));
LOGMCU_2(i) .. LMTDcu2(i) =e= (((((tH2(i,'lastlocation')-TOUTCU)*(TOUTH(I)-TINC))*((tH2(i,'lastlocation')-
TOUTCU)+(TOUTH(I)-TINC))/2))***(1/3));
HAREA_2(i,j,K) .. A2(i,j,K)*U*LMTD2(i,j,K) =e= q2(I,J,K);
HUAREA_2(j) .. Ahu2(j)*U*LMTDhu2(j) =e= qhu2(j);
CUAREA_2(i) .. Acu2(i)*U*LMTDcu2(i) =e= qcu2(i);
TOTAREA_2 .. Atot2 =e= sum((i,j,k).A2(i,j,K))+sum(j,Ahu2(j))+sum(i,Acu2(i));

HACOST_2 .. Costh2 =e= sum((i,j,k).((HF1+HF2)*z2(i,j,k)+HV*A2(i,j,k))*WY);
HUACOST_2 .. Costhu2 =e= sum(j,((HF1+HF2)*zhu2(j)+HV*Ahu2(j))*WY);
CUACOST_2 .. Costcu2 =e= sum(i,((HF1+HF2)*zcu2(i)+HV*Acu2(i))*WY);
HUOCOST_2 .. OCosthu2 =e= sum(j,HUOC*qhu2(j));
CUOCOST_2 .. OCostcu2 =e= sum(i,CUOC*qcu2(i));
*****
```

OAQCU1 .. OQCU1 =e= SUM(I,qcu1(I));
OAQHU1 .. OQHU1 =e= SUM(J,qhu1(J));
OAQCU2 .. OQCU2 =e= SUM(I,qcu2(I));
OAQHU2 .. OQHU2 =e= SUM(J,qhu2(J));

OBJFN2 .. O2 =e= OCosth1+OCostcu1
+sum((i,j,k).z1(i,j,k)*(HF1+HF2)*WY);
+sum(i,zcu1(i)(HF1+HF2))+sum(j,zhu1(j)*(HF1+HF2));
OBJFN22 .. O22 =e= Costh1+Costhu1+OCosth1+Costcu1+OCostcu1;
OBJFN3 .. O3 =e= OCosthu2+OCostcu2
+sum((i,j,k),z2(i,j,k)*(HF1+HF2)*WY);
+sum(i,zcu2(i)(HF1+HF2))+sum(j,zhu2(j)*(HF1+HF2));
OBJFN32 .. O32 =e= Costh2+Costhu2+OCosth2+Costcu2+OCostcu2;
Total1 .. TAC1 =e= FCost2+PICost2+PIC11;
Total2 .. TAC2 =e= FCost2+PICost2+PIC11+O22+O32;

```

TotalA .. AREA =e= Atot1+Atot2;

UTILITY .. O4 =e= O2+O3;
*****WN initial*****
Model INITIAL1 /MB1.MB2,Cons1.Cons2,Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,OBJFN11/;
Solve INITIAL1 using MIP minimizing O11;
Display FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;

Parameter -
xin(i,j)
FFWin(j)
WWin(i)
yin(i,j)
yFWin(j)
yWWin(i)
;
xin(i,j) = x.l(i,j);
FFWin(j) = FFW.l(j);
WWin(i) = WW.l(i);
yin(i,j) = y.l(i,j);
yFWin(j) = yFW.l(j);
yWWin(i) = yWW.l(i);

x.l(i,j) = xin(i,j);
FFW.l(j) = FFWin(j);
WW.l(i) = WWin(i);
y.l(i,j) = yin(i,j);
yFW.l(j) = yFWin(j);
yWW.l(i) = yWWin(i);

Model INITIAL2 /MB1.MB2,Cons1.Cons2.Fresh,Logical1,Logical2,Logical3,
Waste,Allwaste,Flow,TempK,FRESHC,PIP1,PIP2,PIP3,PIPC,OBJFN12/;
Solve INITIAL2 using MINLP minimizing O12;
Display PIC1.l,PIC2.l,PIC3.l,PICCost.l,FCost.l,OFW.l,OWW.l,FFW.l,
yFW.l,WW.l,yWW.l,x.l,F.l,y.l,CK.l,TK.l;
*****



F1.fx(i,j)=0;F2.fx(i,j)=0;
F1.fx('i3','j1')=F.l('i3','j1');
F1.fx('i3','j5')=F.l('i3','j5');
F1.fx('i4','j1')=F.l('i4','j1');
F2.fx('i4','j3')=F.l('i4','j3');
F2.fx('i4','j4')=F.l('i4','j4');

F1.fx('i5','j3')=F.l('i5','j3');
F1.fx('i5','j2')=F.l('i5','j2');

WW2.fx(i) = WW.l(i);
FFW2.fx(j) = FFW.l(j);

```

```

*OBJWN1.lo = 4;
*OBJWN2.lo = 4;
*CK2.l(j) = CKL1(j);
*TS2.fx(i) = TS(i);
TOUTC2.fx('j1') = 70;TOUTC2.fx('j3') = 70;TOUTC2.fx('j5') = 70;
TOUTC2.fx('j2') = 70;TOUTC2.fx('j4') = 70;
*TOUTC2.lo(j) = 60;
*TK1.up(j) = 100;

Model MIXING1 /MB1_1,MB2_1,Cons1_1,Cons2_1,Waste_1,Allwaste_1,Flow_1,
TempK_1,PIP1_1,Logical1_1,
Link12_2,
MB1_2,MB2_2,Cons1_2,Cons2_2,
Fresh_2,Waste_2,Allwaste_2,Flow_2,
Logical1_2,Logical2_2,Logical3_2,
TempK_2,FRESHC_2,PIP1_2,PIP2_2,PIP3_2,PIPC_2,
Total1/;

```

Solve MIXING1 using MINLP minimizing TAC1:
Display x1.l,F1.l,WW1.l,OWW1.l,FS2.l,x2.l,F2.l,FFW2.l,WW2.l,OWW2.l,CK1.l,TK1.l,FK2.l,
TK2.l,CK2.l,Fcost2.l,TAC1.l;

```

parameter
x1in(i,j)
WW1in(i)
x2in(i,j)
TK1in(j);
;
x1in(i,j) = x1.l(i,j);-
WW1in(i) = WW1.l(i);
x2in(i,j) = x2.l(i,j);
TK1in(j) = TK1.l(j);

*x1.fx(i,j) = x1in(i,j);
WW1.fx(i) = WW1in(i);
*x2.fx(i,j) = x2in(i,j);
TK1.fx(j) = TK1in(j);
*TOUTH1.l(i) = TOUTH1P(i);
*q1.fx('i4','j1','location4')=16.188;

Model WHEN1
/
abc_1,OHB_H_1,OHB_C_1,SHB_H_1,SHB_C_1,TINHASSGN_1,TINCASSGN_1,FHI_1,FH2_1,FC1_1,FC2_1,
HULOAD_1,CUI LOAD_1.HECOUNT1_1,HECOUNT2_1,HECOUNT3_1,
APPTEML_1,APPTEMPR_1,APPTEMPCU_1,APPTEMPHU_1,
APPTEMLIMIT_1,APPTEMLIMITCU_1,APPTEMLIMITHU_1,

```

HUOCOST_1,CUOCOST_1,
OAQCU1,OAQHUI,OBJFN2 /

Solve WHEN1 using MINLP minimizing O2;
display F1.l,FFW2.l,q1.l,z1.l,qhul.l,zhul.l,qcul.l,zcul.l,OCosthul.l,
OCostcul.l,
TS2.l,TK1.l,TOUTC.tH1.l,tC1.l,TOUTH1.l,FK2.l,WW2.l,x2.l,FFW2.l;

Parameter

q1in(i,j,k)

qculin(i)

qhulin(j)

TH1in(i,k)

TC1in(j,k)

z1in(i,j,k)

zculin(i)

zhulin(j)

q1.l=q1.l(i,j,k);

qcul.l=qcul.l(i);

qhul.l=qhul.l(j);

TH1.l=TH1.l(i,k);

TC1.l=TC1.l(j,k);

z1.l=z1.l(i,j,k);

zcul.l=zcul.l(i);

zhul.l=zhul.l(j);

q1.fx(i,j,k)=q1in(i,j,k);

qcul.fx(i)=qculin(i);

qhul.fx(j)=qhulin(j);

TH1.fx(i,k)=TH1in(i,k);

TC1.fx(j,k)=TC1in(j,k);

z1.fx(i,j,k)=z1in(i,j,k);

zcul.fx(i)=zculin(i);

*z1.fx('i3','j1','location3')=0;

*z1.fx('i3','j5','location3')=0;

*z1.fx('i3','j5','location2')=0;

zhul.fx(j)=zhulin(j);

zhul.fx('j1')=0;zhul.fx('j3')=0;zhul.fx('j4')=0;zhul.fx('j5')=0;

zcul.fx(i)=0;

LMTD1.l(i,j,k)=(((dt1.l(i,j,K)*dt1.l(i,j,K+1))*(dt1.l(i,j,K)+dt1.l(i,j,K+1))/2))**(1/3);

LMTDhul.l(j)=((((TOUTHU-tC1.l(j,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC(j)))*(TOUTHU-tC1.l(j,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC(j))/2))**(1/3);

A1.l(i,j,k)=q1in(i,j,k)/(U*LMTD1.l(i,j,k)+0.001);

Ahul.l(j)=qhulin(j)/(U*LMTDhul.l(j)+0.001);

Model WHEN1A

/Link1_1,Link2_1,Link3_1,Link4_1,

abc_1,OHB_H_1,OHB_C_1,SHB_H_1,SHB_C_1,TINIASGN_1,TINCASSGN_1,FH1_1,FH2_1,FC1_1,FC2_1,

```
HULOAD_1,CULOAD_1,HECOUNT1_1,HECOUNT2_1,HECOUNT3_1,
APPTEML_1,APPTEMPR_1,APPTEMPCU_1,APPTEMPHU_1,
APPTEMLIMIT_1,APPTEMLIMITCU_1,APPTEMLIMITHU_1,
HUOCOST_1,LOGMH_1,LOGMHU_1,HAREA_1,HUAREA_1,TOTAREA_1,
HACOST_1,HUACOST_1,
OAQCU1,OAQHUI,OBJFN2,OBJFN22 /
```

```
Solve WHEN1A using MINLP minimizing O22;
display q1.l,z1.l,qhul.l,zhul.l,qcu1.l,zcu1.l,OQHUI.l,OQCU1.l,A1.l,AHUI.l,
Costh1.l,Costhu1.l,OCosthu1.l,TOUTH1.l,
O22.l,O2.l,
tH1.l,tC1.l,TK1.l,TOUTC2.l,TS,F1.l,FK2.l,TK1.l,FKL;
```

```
Parameter
TS2in2(i)
x2in2(i,j)
FFW2in2(j)
WW1in2(i)
FK2in2(j)
CK2in2(j)
;
TS2in2(i) = TOUTH1.l(i);
x2in2(i,j) = x2.l(i,j);
FFW2in2(j) = FFW2.l(j);
WW1in2(i) = WW1.l(i);
FK2in2(j) = FK2.l(j);
CK2in2(j) = CK2.l(j);

TS2.fx(i) = TS2in2(i);
x2.fx(i,j) = x2in2(i,j);
FFW2.fx(j) = FFW2in2(j);
WW1.fx(i) = WW1in2(i);
FK2.fx(j) = FK2in2(j);
*CK2.l(j)= CK2in2(j);
```

```
Model MX2
/
Link12_1,Link12_2,
MB1_2,MB2_2,Cons1_2,Fresh_2,Waste_2,Allwaste_2,Flow_2,
*Logical1_2,Logical2_2,Logical3_2,
TempK_2,FRESHC_2,OBJECTWN2 /
Solve MIX2 using NLP minimize Fcost2;
display TS2.l,F2.l,FFW2.l,TS2.l,TK1.l,TK2.l;
```

```
Parameter
TK2in(j)
WW2in(i)
TOUTC2in(j);
```

```

TK2in(j) = TK2.l(j);
WW2in(i) = WW2.l(i);
TOUTC2in(j) = TOUTC2.l(j);

TK2.fx(j) = TK2in(j);
WW2.fx(i) = WW2in(i);

TOUTC2.fx(j) = TOUTC2in(j);

Model WHEN2
/
Link1_2,Link2_2,Link3_2,Link4_2,
abc_2,OHB_H_2,OHB_C_2,SHB_H_2,SHB_C_2,TINHASSGN_2,TINCASSGN_2,
FH1_2,FH2_2,FC1_2,FC2_2,
HULOAD_2,CULOAD_2,HECOUNT1_2,HECOUNT2_2,HECOUNT3_2,
APPTEMPL_2,APPTEMPR_2,APPTEMPCU_2,APPTEMPHU_2,
APPTEMLIMIT_2,APPTEMLIMITCU_2,APPTEMLIMITHU_2,
HUOCOST_2,CUOCOST_2,OACCU2.OAQHU2,OBJFN3,OBJFN2,UTILITY / 

Solve WHEN2 using MINLP minimize O3;
display q2.l,z2.l,qhu2.l.zhu2.l,qcu2.l,zcu2.l,
OQHU2.l,OQCU2.l,tH2.l,tC2.l,TS2.l,FFW2.l,TK2.l,TS2.l,TOUTC2.l,
O3.l,OCosthu2.l,OCostcu2.l;
Parameter
q2in(i,j,k)
qcu2in(i)
qhu2in(j)
TH2in(i,k)
TC2in(j,k)
z2in(i,j,k)
zcu2in(i)
zhu2in(j)
;
q2in(i,j,k) = q2.l(i,j,k);
qcu2in(i) = qcu2.l(i);
qhu2in(j) = qhu2.l(j);
TH2in(i,k) = TH2.l(i,k);
TC2in(j,k) = TC2.l(j,k);
z2in(i,j,k) = z2.l(i,j,k);
zcu2in(i) = zcu2.l(i);
zhu2in(j) = zhu2.l(j);

q2.fx(i,j,k) = q2in(i,j,k);
qcu2.fx(i) = qcu2in(i) ;
qhu2.fx(j) = qhu2in(j);
TH2.fx(i,k) = TH2in(i,k);
TC2.fx(j,k) = TC2in(j,k);
z2.fx(i,j,k) = z2in(i,j,k);
zcu2.fx(i) = zcu2in(i);
;
zhu2.fx(j)=0;

```

```

LMTD2.l(i,j,k) = (((dt2.l(i,j,K)*dt2.l(i,j,K+1))*(dt2.l(i,j,K)+dt2.l(i,j,K+1))/2))***(1/3);
LMTDhu2.l(j) = (((((TOUTHU-tC2.l(j,'firstlocation'))*(TINHU-TOUTC2.l(j))))*((TOUTHU-
tC2.l(j,'firstlocation'))+(TINHU-TOUTC2.l(j))/2))***(1/3);
A2.l(i,j,k) = q2in(i,j,k)/(U*LMTD2.l(i,j,k)+0.001);
Ahu2.l(j) = qhu2in(j)/(U*LMTDhu2.l(j)+0.001);

```

Model WHEN2A

```

/
abc_2,OHB_H_2,OHB_C_2,SHB_H_2,SHB_C_2,TINHASSGN_2,TINCASSGN_2,FH1_2,FH2_2,FC1_2,FC2_2,
HULOAD_2,CULOAD_2,HECOUNT1_2,HECOUNT2_2,HECOUNT3_2,HUOCOST_1
APPTEML_2,APPTEMPR_2,APPTEMPCU_2,APPTEMPHU_2,
APPTEMLIMIT_2,APPTEMLIMITCU_2,APPTEMLIMITHU_2,
HUOCOST_2,CUOCOST_2,LOGMH_2,LOGMHU_2,LOGMCU_2,HAREA_2,HUAREA_2,CUAREA_2,
HACOST_2,HUACOST_2,CUACOST_2,
OAQCU2,OAQHU2,OBJFN32,Total2.TOTAREA_1,TOTAREA_2,TotalA /

```

Solve WHEN2A using MINLP minimizing O32;

```

display q1.l,z1.l,qhu1.l,zhu1.l,qcu1.l,zcu1.l,OQHU1.l,OQCU1.l,A1.l,AHU1.l,
tH1.l,tC1.l,WW1.l,TK1.l,TOUTC,
q2.l,z2.l,qhu2.l,zhu2.l,qcu2.l,zcu2.l,OQHU2.l,OQCU2.l,A2.l,ACU2.l,AHU2.l,
Costh1.l,Costh1.l,OCosth1.l,O32.l,Costh2.l,Costh2.l,OCosth2.l,Costc2.l,OCostc2.l,O22.l,O32.l,Fcost2.l,PIC11
.l,
PICost2.l,tH2.l,tC2.l,ww2.l,FFW2.l,F2.l,TK2.l,TOUTC2.l,O3.l,O32.l,Atot1.l,Atot2.l,AREA.l,TAC2.l,
TS,FS2.l,F1.l,TK2.l,FK2.l,TK1.l,FKL;

```

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX B-1 : Case Study 1.1 GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	11	SINGLE EQUATIONS	59
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	10	SINGLE VARIABLES	67
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	185	DISCRETE VARIABLES	20
GENERATION TIME	=	0.062 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.062 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 01/23/14 11:18:48 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE11 Using MIP From line 71

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE11	OBJECTIVE	OBJ
TYPE MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER CPLEX	FROM LINE	71
**** SOLVER STATUS	1	Normal Completion
**** MODEL STATUS	8	Integer Solution
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE	210.0000	
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	0.516	1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	13	2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.31sec (det. 0.46 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.05 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 210.000000 (13 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 210.000000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 193.000000

Absolute gap: 17 000000

Relative gap: 0.080952

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 01/23/14 11:18:48 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 72 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 210.000 Objective function

VARIABLE OFW.L = 70.000 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 50.000 Overall wast

---- 72 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 30.000, j2 40.000

---- 72 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

i3 25.000, i4 25.000

---- 72 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4
i1	0.400	0.600		
i2		0.200	0.800	
i3		0.143	0.500	
i4			0.583	

---- 72 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4
i1	20.000	30.000		
i2		20.000	80.000	
i3		10.000	35.000	
i4			35.00	

---- 72 VARIABLE y.L

```

          j1      j2      j3      j4
i1    1.000   1.000
i2            1.000   1.000
i3            1.000       1.000
i4                  1.000
---- 72 VARIABLE z.L
j1 1.000, j2 1.000
---- 72 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration
j1 20.000, j2 50.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000
EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219:2228AS-WIN
Chulalongkorn University DC4365
License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions
**** FILE SUMMARY
Input C:\Users\Sarut\Desktop\Thesis water network\GAMS 24.2\case1withLogic.gms
Output C:\Users\Sarut\Documents\gamsdir\projdir\case1withLogic.lst

```

APPENDIX B-2 : Case Study 1.1a GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	15	SINGLE EQUATIONS	66
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	14	SINGLE VARIABLES	74
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	203	DISCRETE VARIABLES	24
GENERATION TIME	=	0.032 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.032 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:15:23 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE11 Using MIP From line 83

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE11	OBJECTIVE OBJ
TYPE MIP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 83

***** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

***** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

***** OBJECTIVE VALUE 5810.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.219 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 15 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX_x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0 0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.14sec (det. 0.53 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.06 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances

MIP Solution: 5810.000000 (15 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 5810.000000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 5786.571389

Absolute gap: 23.428611

Relative gap: 0.004032

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

- - - 0 INFEASIBLE

- - - 0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:15:23 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---	84 VARIABLE OBJ.L	=	5810.000 Objective function
	VARIABLE OFW.L	=	70.000 Overall freshwater
	VARIABLE OWW.L	=	50.000 Overall waste
	VARIABLE OFWC.L	=	700.000 Overall freshwater cost

VARIABLE OWWC.L = 5000.000 Overall waste cost

VARIABLE OPC.L = 110.000

---- 84 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 30.000, j2 40.000

---- 84 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

i3 25.000, i4 25.000

---- 84 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4
i1	0.400	0.600		
i2		0.200	0.800	
i3		0.143	0.500	
i4			0.583	

---- 84 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4
i1	20.000	30.000		
i2		20.000	80.000	
i3		10.000	35.000	
i4			35.000	

---- 84 VARIABLE y.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4
i1	1.000	1.000		
i2		1.000	1.000	
i3		1.000	1.000	
i4			1.000	

---- 84 VARIABLE z.L

j1 1.000, j2 1.000

---- 84 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 50.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000

APPENDIX B-3 : Case Study 1.1b GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	15	SINGLE EQUATIONS	66
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	14	SINGLE VARIABLES	74
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	203	DISCRETE VARIABLES	24
GENERATION TIME	=	0.015 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.015 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:18:24 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE11b Using MIP From line 83

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	CASE11b	OBJECTIVE	OBJ
TYPE	MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	CPLEX	FROM LINE	83

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 5753.3333

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.141 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 57 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.08sec (det. 1.39 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.06sec (det. 0.06 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 5753.333333 (57 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 5753.333333 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 5259.357630

Absolute gap: 493.975703

Relative gap: 0.085859

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2 | r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:18:24 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 84 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 5753.333 Objective function

VARIABLE OFW.L = 86.667 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 66.667 Overall waste

VARIABLE OFWC.L = 86.667 Overall freshwater cost

VARIABLE OWWC.L = 666.667 Overall waste cost

VARIABLE OPC.L = 5000.000

---- 84 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 46.000, j2 26.667, j4 14.000

---- 84 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

i3 66.667

---- 84 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

j1	j2	j3	j4
----	----	----	----

i1 1.000

i2 0.200 0.800

i3 0.048

i4 0.067 0.933

```

---- 84 VARIABLE f.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

      j1     j2     j3     j4

i1       50.000
i2       20.000   80.000
i3       3.333
i4    4.000           56.000

---- 84 VARIABLE y.L

      j1     j2     j3     j4

i1       1.000
i2       1.000   1.000
i3       1.000
i4    1.000           1.000

---- 84 VARIABLE z.L

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j4 1.000

---- 84 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 50.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000

```

APPENDIX B-4 : Case Study 1.1c GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	15	SINGLE EQUATIONS	76
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	14	SINGLE VARIABLES	92
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	254	DISCRETE VARIABLES	32
GENERATION TIME	=	0.046 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.046 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:21:30 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE11c Using MIP From line 91

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL CASE11c	OBJECTIVE OBJ
TYPE MIP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 91

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 10287.5000
 RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.063 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 14 2000000000
 IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.05sec (det. 0.69 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.07 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 10287.500000 (14 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 10287.500000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 10248.616071

Absolute gap: 38.883929

Relative gap: 0.003780

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:21:30 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 92 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 10287.500 Objective function

---- 92 VARIABLE OFW.L Overall freshwater

r2 81.250

---- 92 VARIABLE OWW.L = 61.250 Overall waste

VARIABLE OFWC.L = 4062.500 Overall freshwater cost
 VARIABLE OWWC.L = 6125.000 Overall waste cost
 VARIABLE OPC.L = 100.000
 ---- 92 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate
 j1 j2
 r2 50.000 31.250
 ---- 92 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source
 i3 36.875, i4 24.375
 ---- 92 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j
 j2 j3 j4
 i1 1.000
 i2 0.187 0.800 0.013
 i3 0.473
 i4 0.594
 ---- 92 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j
 j2 j3 j4
 i1 50.000
 i2 18.750 80.000 1.250
 i3 33.125
 i4 35.625
 ---- 92 VARIABLE y.L
 j2 j3 j4
 i1 1.000
 i2 1.000 1.000 1.000
 i3 1.000
 i4 1.000
 ---- 92 VARIABLE z.L
 j1 j2
 r2 1.000 1.000

---- 92 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 50.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000

APPENDIX B-5 : Case Study 1.2 GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	15	SINGLE EQUATIONS	91
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	14	SINGLE VARIABLES	106
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	296	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.031 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.031 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:24:02 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE12 Using MIP From line 86

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE12	OBJECTIVE	OBJ
TYPE MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER CPLEX	FROM LINE	86

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 11.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.390 1000 000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 82 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.36sec (det. 2.57 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.09 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 11.000000 (81 iterations, 4 nodes)

Final Solve: 11.000000 (1 iterations)

Best possible: 10.000000

Absolute gap: 1.000000

Relative gap: 0.090909

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:24:02 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 87 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 11.000 Objective function

VARIABLE OFW.L = 0.000 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 0.000 Overall waste

VARIABLE OFWC.L = 0.000 Overall freshwater cost

- VARIABLE OWWC.L = 0.000 Overall waste cost

VARIABLE OPC.L = 11.000

---- 87 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

(ALL 0.000)

---- 87 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

(ALL 0.000)

---- 87 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
--	----	----	----	----	----

i1 1.000

i2 0.111 0.444 0.409 0.035

i3 0.974 0.026

i4 0.359 0.641

i5 0.908 0.092

---- 87 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	9.000				
i2	1.000	4.000		3.683	0.317
i3		8.770	0.230		
i4		3.230		5.770	
i5			4.087	0.413	

---- 87 VARIABLE y L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.000				
i2	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000
i3		1.000	1.000		
i4		1.000		1.000	
i5			1.000	1.000	

---- 87 VARIABLE z.L

(ALL 0.000)

---- 87 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 127.800, j2 108.000, j3 63.002, j4 62.975, j5 45.720

APPENDIX B-6 : Case Study 1.3 GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	15	SINGLE EQUATIONS	91
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	14	SINGLE VARIABLES	106
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	296	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME =	0.016 SECONDS	4 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME =	0.016 SECONDS	4 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASEII Using MIP From line 86

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL CASEII OBJECTIVE OBJ

TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 86

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 60.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.078 1000 000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 21 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.06sec (det. 0.55 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.08 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 60.000000 (21 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 60.000000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 57.545765

Absolute gap: 2.454235

Relative gap: 0.040904

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:26 29 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

--- 87 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 60.000 Objective function

VARIABLE OFW.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 22.500 Overall waste

VARIABLE OFWC.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater cost

VARIABLE OWWC.L = 22.500 Overall waste cost

VARIABLE OPC.L = 15.000

---- 87 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 5.826, j2 0.364, j3 6.545, j4 5.714, j5 4.051

---- 87 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 87 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.070		0.254	0.176	
i4	0.394		0.606		
i5		0.808		0.192	

---- 87 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.629		2.286	1.586	
i4	3.545		5.455		
i5		3.636		0.864	

---- 87 VARIABLE y.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	1.000		1.000	1.000	
i4	1.000		1.000		
i5		1.000		1.000	

---- 87 VARIABLE z.L

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 87 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

APPENDIX B-7 : Case Study 2 before regenerationGAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	11	SINGLE EQUATIONS	83
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	10	SINGLE VARIABLES	97 3 projected
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	271	DISCRETE VARIABLES	30
GENERATION TIME	=	0.015 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.015 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 16:36:40 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE2 Using MIP From line 80

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	CASE2	OBJECTIVE	OBJ
TYPE	MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	CPLEX	FROM LINE	80
***** SOLVER STATUS	I	Normal Completion	
***** MODEL STATUS	I	Optimal	
***** OBJECTIVE VALUE		2896.8730	
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	0.031	1000.000	
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	I	2000000000	

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.01sec (det. 0 20 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.02sec (det. 0.07 ticks)

Proven optimal solution

MIP Solution: 2896.873043 (1 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 2896.873043 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 2896.873043

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 16:36:40 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 81 VARIABLE OBJ.L = 2896.873 Objective function

VARIABLE OFW.L = 852.817 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 544.057 Overall waste

---- 81 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 141.887, j2 542.035, j3 114.083, j4 9.490, j5 31.664 j6 13.657

---- 81 VARIABLE WW.L Waste of each source

i2 128.257, i4 415.800

---- 81 VARIABLE x.L Source split fraction i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5	j6
i1				1.000		
i2	0.010	0.221	0.067	0.600	0.002	7.991226E-4
i3				1.000		
i4					0.115	

---- 81 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate i to j

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5	j6
i1				155.400		
i2	13.513	289.085	87.757	783.110	3.016	1.043
i3				201.840		
i4					54.000	

---- 81 VARIABLE y.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5	j6
i1				1.000		
i2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i3				1.000		
i4					1.000	

---- 81 VARIABLE z.L

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000, j6 1.000

---- 81 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 80.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000, j5 20.000 j6 200.000

APPENDIX B-8 : Case Study 2 with regeneration GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	22	SINGLE EQUATIONS	94
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	20	SINGLE VARIABLES	112 3 projected
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	308	NON LINEAR N-Z	43
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	17
CODE LENGTH	96		

GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 16:33:23 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE2 Using NLP From line 116

```

S O L V E   S U M M A R Y

MODEL CASE2      OBJECTIVE OBJ

TYPE NLP          DIRECTION MAXIMIZE

SOLVER CONOPT     FROM LINE 116

**** SOLVER STATUS  1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS   2 Locally Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE    3386108.9076

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT    0.016  1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT   28  2000000000

EVALUATION ERRORS       0      0

CONOPT 3    24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

```

CO N O P T 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 112 variables and 94 constraints

with 308 Jacobian elements, 43 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

12 elements below the diagonal, and 19 nonlinear variables.

** Warning ** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 4.8). A better initial

point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the

variables will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. There are no superbasic variables

**** REPORT SUMMARY . . . 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 16:33:23 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

```
---- 117 VARIABLE OBJ.L      = 3386108.908 Objective
      VARIABLE INV.L      = 3909942.454 Total investment cost ($)
      VARIABLE INC.L      = 4138829.232 Initial operating Cost ($ per year)
      VARIABLE OptCost.L   = 752720.324 New operating Cost ($ per year)
      VARIABLE Save.L      = 3386108.908 Saving Cost ($ per year)
      VARIABLE FR.L       = 620.265 Regeneration Flowrate (ton per h)
      VARIABLE OFW.L      = 308.760 Overall Freshwater (ton per h)
```

---- 117 VARIABLE FW.L Freshwater flowrate (ton per h)

j1 141.887, j2 126.248, j5 31.664, j6 8.961

---- 117 VARIABLE WW.L Waste flowrate of each source (ton per h)

i2 150.465, i4 469.800

```
---- 117 VARIABLE TW.L      = 0.000 Waste to treat flowrate (ton per h)
      VARIABLE Rarea.L     = 1120.819 Area of Regeneration unit (m3)
```

---- 117 VARIABLE x.L Splitting fraction

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5	j6
i1					1.000	
i2	0.010	0.174	0.054	0.599	0.002	0.046
i3					1.000	

---- 117 VARIABLE Fx.L Splitting Flowrate (ton per h)

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5	j6
i1					155.400	
i2	13.513	226.717	70.644	781.686	3.016	59.739
i3					201.840	

---- 117 VARIABLE Fy.L Regeneration Splitting Flowrate (ton per h)

j2 478 155, j3 131.196, j4 10.914

---- 117 VARIABLE CK2.L Sink stream concentration after Regeneration (ppm)

j1 20.000, j2 80.000, j3 100.000, j4 200.000, j5 20.000 j6 200.000

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219:2228AS-WIN

Chulalongkorn University DC4365

License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

APPENDIX B-9 : Case Study 3.1 GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 9 SINGLE EQUATIONS 17

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 8 SINGLE VARIABLES 19

NON ZERO ELEMENTS 48

GENERATION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 20:10:13 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE31CAL1 Using LP From line 588

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE31CAL1 OBJECTIVE OBJ1

TYPE LP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CONOPT FROM LINE 588

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 32774.7368

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.000 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 4 2000000000

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

** Optimal solution. There are no superbasic variables.

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/03/14 20:10:13 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 589 VARIABLE OBJ1.L = 32774.737

---- 589 VARIABLE x.L Split fraction source to sink

j1 j2

i2 0.462 0.295

---- 589 VARIABLE FR.L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)

j1 j2

r2 1473.684 1452.632

---- 589 VARIABLE y1.L Split fraction source to treat for model 1

i1 1.000, i2 0.243

---- 589 VARIABLE xF.L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)

j1 j2

i2 1326.316 847.368

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	45	SINGLE EQUATIONS	89
---------------------	----	------------------	----

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	38	SINGLE VARIABLES	91 2 projected
---------------------	----	------------------	----------------

NON ZERO ELEMENTS	314	NON LINEAR N-Z	98
-------------------	-----	----------------	----

DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	28
-----------------	----	---------------	----

CODE LENGTH	240	DISCRETE VARIABLES	6
-------------	-----	--------------------	---

GENERATION TIME	=	0.047 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
-----------------	---	---------------	----------------------------

EXECUTION TIME = 0.094 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE3ICAL2 Using MINLP From line 588

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL CASE3ICAL2 OBJECTIVE OBJ2
 TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
 SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 588

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 31870.5818

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.062 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 100 2000000000

EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System Execution

--- 589 VARIABLE OBJ1.L = 32774.737

VARIABLE OBJ2.L = 31870.582

--- 589 VARIABLE xF.L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)

j1 j2

i2 1457.074 1037.509

--- 589 VARIABLE yF.L Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)

u1

i1 2500.000

--- 589 VARIABLE zF.L Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)

j1 j2
w1 1342.926 857.074
---- 589 VARIABLE FR.L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
j2
r1 405.417
---- 589 VARIABLE WW1.L Waste i flowrate (kg per h)
i2 375.417
---- 589 VARIABLE WW2.L Waste w flowrate (kg per h)
w1 300.000
---- 589 VARIABLE CW.L = 0.015 Waste discharge composition
---- 589 VARIABLE FTI.L Treatment flowrate in (kg per h)
u1 2500.000
---- 589 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit
n2
u1 1.000
MODEL STATISTICS
BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 64 SINGLE EQUATIONS 130
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 44 SINGLE VARIABLES 97
NON ZERO ELEMENTS 275 DISCRETE VARIABLES 30
GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 15
General Algebraic Modeling System
Solution Report SOLVE CASE3ICAL3 Using MIP From line 616
SOLVE SUMMARY
MODEL CASE3ICAL3 OBJECTIVE OBJ3
TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 616
**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 39463.0202

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.032 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 0 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.03sec (det. 0.09 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.08 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 39463.020203 (0 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 39463.020203 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 39463.020203

Absolute gap: 0 000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 617 VARIABLE OBJ2 L = 31870.582

VARIABLE OBJ3 L = 39463.020

VARIABLE FACost L = 6162.333 Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)

VARIABLE TOCost L = 15800.000 Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)

VARIABLE TTCost L = 9908.248 Total treatment investment annual cost (\$per y)

VARIABLE PACost.L = 7592.438 Piping annual cost (\$per y)

---- 617 VARIABLE CT.L Treatment composition out

w1 0.003

---- 617 VARIABLE CTI.L Treatment composition in

u1 0.035

---- 617 VARIABLE TAC.L = 39463.020

---- 617 PARAMETER xFP xF parameter

j1 j2

i2 1457.074 1037.509

---- 617 PARAMETER yFP yF parameter

u1

i1 2500.000

---- 617 PARAMETER zFP zF parameter

j1 j2

w1 1342.926 857.074

---- 617 PARAMETER FRP FW parameter

j2

r1 405.417

---- 617 PARAMETER WW1P WW1 parameter

i2 375.417

---- 617 PARAMETER WW2P WW2 parameter

w1 300.000

---- 617 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit

n2

u1 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zx.L Existing Split Flowrate source to sink

j1 j2

i2 1.000 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zy.L Existing Split Flowrate source to treat

u1

i1 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zz.L Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink

j1 j2

w1 1.000 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zfr.L Existing Flowrate fresh to sink

j2

r1 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zw1.L Existing Split Flowrate source to waste

i2 1.000

---- 617 VARIABLE zw2.L Existing Split Flowrate treat to waste

w1 1.000

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	68	SINGLE EQUATIONS	146
---------------------	----	------------------	-----

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	54	SINGLE VARIABLES	124
---------------------	----	------------------	-----

NON ZERO ELEMENTS	476	NON LINEAR N-Z	146
-------------------	-----	----------------	-----

DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	50
-----------------	----	---------------	----

CODE LENGTH	374	DISCRETE VARIABLES	30
-------------	-----	--------------------	----

GENERATION TIME = 0.015 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.015 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 20

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE3ICAL4 Using MINLP From line 674

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE3ICAL4 OBJECTIVE OBJ4

TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 674

```

***** SOLVER STATUS  I Normal Completion
***** MODEL STATUS   8 Integer Solution
***** OBJECTIVE VALUE      39331.1202
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT      0.391    1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT    656    2000000000
EVALUATION ERRORS        0       0
***** REPORT SUMMARY :   0  NONOPT
                         0 INFEASIBLE
                         0 UNBOUNDED
                         1 ERRORS ( **** )

```

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:25:10 Page 21

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

```

---- 675 VARIABLE OBJ3.L      =  39463.020
      VARIABLE OBJ4.L      =  39331.120
      VARIABLE PACost.L     =  7460.538 Piping annual cost ($per y)
---- 675 VARIABLE xF.L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)
      j1      j2
      i2  1518.324  976.259
---- 675 VARIABLE yF.L Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)
      u1
      i1  2500.000
---- 675 VARIABLE zF.L Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)
      j1      j2
      w1  876.259  1323.741
---- 675 VARIABLE FR.L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
      j1
      r1  405.417
---- 675 VARIABLE OFW_L      =  405.417 Overall freshwater flowrate (kg per h)
---- 675 VARIABLE WW1_L Waste i flowrate (kg per h)

```

i2 375.417

---- 675 VARIABLE WW2.L Waste w flowrate (kg per h)

w1 300.000

---- 675 VARIABLE OWW.L = 675.417 Overall waste flowrate (kg per h)

---- 675 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit

n2

u1 1.000

---- 675 VARIABLE CT.L Treatment composition out

w1 0.003

---- 675 VARIABLE CTI.L Treatment composition in

u1 0.035

---- 675 VARIABLE CW.L = 0.015 Waste discharge composition

---- 675 VARIABLE zx.L Existing Split Flowrate source to sink

j1 j2

i2 1 000 1.000

---- 675 VARIABLE zy.L Existing Split Flowrate source to treat

u1

i1 1.000

---- 675 VARIABLE zfr.L Existing Flowrate fresh to sink

j1

r1 1.000

APPENDIX B-10 : Case Study 3.2 GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 9 SINGLE EQUATIONS 25

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 8 SINGLE VARIABLES 29

NON ZERO ELEMENTS 72

GENERATION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 5

G e n e r a l A l g e b r a i c M o d e l i n g S y s t e m

Solution Report SOLVE CASE32CAL1 Using LP From line 57

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL CASE32CAL1 OBJECTIVE OBJ1

TYPE LP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CONOPT FROM LINE 575

***** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

***** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

***** OBJECTIVE VALUE 85906.2857

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.000 1000 000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 5 2000000000

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

C O N O P T 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

** Optimál solution. There are no superbasic variables.

CONOPT time Total 0.001 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

***** REPORT SUMMARY 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 6

G e n e r a l A l g e b r a i c M o d e l i n g S y s t e m

E x e c u t i o n

--- 576 VARIABLE OBJ1.L = 85906.286

--- 576 VARIABLE x.L Split fraction source to sink

j2

i1 1.000

```

i2 0.829
--- 576 VARIABLE FR L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)

j1 j2

r1 4320.000 3085.714

--- 576 VARIABLE y1 L Split fraction source to treat for model 1

i2 0.171, i3 1.000, i4 1.000

--- 576 VARIABLE xF,L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)

j2

i1 2880.000

i2 14914.286

```

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	45	SINGLE EQUATIONS	138
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	38	SINGLE VARIABLES	151
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	533	NON LINEAR N-Z	173
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	34
CODE LENGTH	410	DISCRETE VARIABLES	7
GENERATION TIME	=	0.031 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.047 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE32CAL2 Using MINLP From line 604

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE32CAL2	OBJECTIVE OBJ2
TYPE MINLP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER DICOPT	FROM LINE 604
**** SOLVER STATUS	I Normal Completion
**** MODEL STATUS	8 Integer Solution
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE	207203.8036
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	0 173 1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	121 2000000000
EVALUATION ERRORS	0 0
**** REPORT SUMMARY	: 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System Execution

--- 605 VARIABLE OBJ1.L = 85906.286

VARIABLE OBJ2.L = 207203.804

--- 605 VARIABLE xF.L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)

j1 j2

i1 2880.000

i2 12485.857

--- 605 VARIABLE yF.L Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)

u2

i2 3280.408

i3 21240.000

i4 5040.000

--- 605 VARIABLE zF.L Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)

j2

w2 8394.143

--- 605 VARIABLE FR.L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)

j1

r1 1440.000

--- 605 VARIABLE WW1.L Waste i flowrate (kg per h)

i2 2233.735

--- 605 VARIABLE WW2.L Waste w flowrate (kg per h)

w2 21166.265

--- 605 VARIABLE CW.L = 5.000 Waste discharge composition

--- 605 VARIABLE FT1.L Treatment flowrate in (kg per h)

u2 29560.408

--- 605 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit

n3

u2 1.000

---- 605 VARIABLE FACost.L = 16704.000 Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)
 VARIABLE TTCost.L = 48609.844 Total treatment investment annual cost (\$per y)
 VARIABLE TOCost.L = 141889.959 Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	65	SINGLE EQUATIONS	214
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	44	SINGLE VARIABLES	156
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	459	DISCRETE VARIABLES	53
GENERATION TIME	= 0.000 SECONDS	3 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	= 0.016 SECONDS	3 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 15

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE32CAL3 Using MIP From line 637

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL	CASE32CAL3	OBJECTIVE	OBJ3
TYPE	MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	CPLEX	FROM LINE	637
**** SOLVER STATUS	I	Normal Completion	
**** MODEL STATUS	I	Optimal	
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE	958322.7301		
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	0.063	1000.000	
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	0	2000000000	
**** REPORT SUMMARY :	0	NONOPT	

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

E x e c u t i o n

---- 638 VARIABLE OBJ2.L	= 207203.804
VARIABLE OBJ3.L	= 958322.730
VARIABLE FACost L	= 16704.000 Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)
VARIABLE TOCost L	= 141889.959 Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)
VARIABLE TTCost L	= 48609.844 Total treatment investment annual cost (\$per y)

VARIABLE PACost.L = 751118.926 Piping annual cost (\$per y)

---- 638 VARIABLE CT.L Treatment composition out

w2 4.050

---- 638 VARIABLE CTI.L Treatment composition in

u2 25.314

---- 638 VARIABLE TAC.L = 958322.730

---- 638 PARAMETER xFP xF parameter

j1 j2

i1 2880.000

i2 12485.857

---- 638 PARAMETER yFP yF parameter

u2

i2 3280.408

i3 21240.000

i4 5040.000

---- 638 PARAMETER zFP zF parameter

j2

w2 8394.143

---- 638 PARAMETER FRP FW parameter

j1

r1 1440 000

---- 638 PARAMETER WWIP WWI parameter

i2 2233.735

---- 638 PARAMETER WW2P WW2 parameter

w2 21166.265

---- 638 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit

n3

u2 1.000

---- 638 VARIABLE zx.L Existing Split Flowrate source to sink

j1 j2

i1 1.000

```

i2      1.000
--- 638 VARIABLE zy.L Existing Split Flowrate source to treat
      u2
i2    1.000
i3    1.000
i4    1.000      -
--- 638 VARIABLE zz.L Existing Split Flowrate treat to sink
      j2
w2    1.000
--- 638 VARIABLE zfr.L Existing Flowrate fresh to sink
      j1
r1    1.000
--- 638 VARIABLE zw1.L Existing Split Flowrate source to waste
i2 1.000
--- 638 VARIABLE zw2.L Existing Split Flowrate treat to waste
w2 1.000

```

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	68	SINGLE EQUATIONS	239
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	54	SINGLE VARIABLES	206
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	827	NON LINEAR N-Z	265
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	44
CODE LENGTH	654	DISCRETE VARIABLES	53

GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 20

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE CASE32CAL4 Using MINLP From line 699

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL CASE32CAL4 OBJECTIVE OBJ4

TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 699

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 958322.7301

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.671 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 869 2000000000

EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/04/14 01:39:36 Page 21

- General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

--- 700 VARIABLE OBJ1.L = 85906.286

VARIABLE OBJ2.L = 207203.804

VARIABLE OBJ3.L = 958322.730

VARIABLE OBJ4.L = 958322.730

VARIABLE FACost.L = 16704.000 Freshwater annual cost (\$ per y)

VARIABLE TOCost.L = 141889.959 Treatment operation annual cost (\$ per y)

VARIABLE TTCost.L = 48609.844 Total treatment investment annual cost (\$per y)

VARIABLE PACost.L = 751118.926 Piping annual cost (\$per y)

--- 700 VARIABLE xF.L Split Flowrate source to sink (kg per h)

j1 j2

i1 2880.000

i2 12485.857

--- 700 VARIABLE yF.L Split Flowrate source to treat (kg per h)

u2

i2 3280.408

i3 21240.000

i4 5040.000

--- 700 VARIABLE zF.L Split Flowrate treat to sink (kg per h)

j2

w2 8394.143

---- 700 VARIABLE FR.L Freshwater flowrate (kg per h)

j1

r1 1440 000

---- 700 VARIABLE OFW.L = 1440.000 Overall freshwater flowrate (kg per h)

---- 700 VARIABLE WW1.L Waste i flowrate (kg per h)

i2 2233.735

---- 700 VARIABLE WW2.L Waste w flowrate (kg per h)

w2 21166.265

---- 700 VARIABLE OWW.L = 23400 000 Overall waste flowrate (kg per h)

---- 700 VARIABLE YT.L Existing Treatment unit

n3

u2 1.000

---- 700 VARIABLE CT.L Treatment composition out

w2 4 050

---- 700 VARIABLE CTI.L Treatment composition in

u2 25.314

---- 700 VARIABLE CW.L = 5.000 Waste discharge composition

---- 700 VARIABLE zx.L Existing Split Flowrate source to sink

j1 j2

i1 1 000

i2 1.000

---- 700 VARIABLE zy.L Existing Split Flowrate source to treat

u2

i2 1.000

i3 1.000

i4 1.000

---- 700 VARIABLE zfr.L Existing Flowrate fresh to sink

j1

r1 1.000

---- 700 VARIABLE PA1.L = 172099.240 Piping cost source to sink (\$ per y)

VARIABLE PA2.L = 283782.798 Piping cost source to treat (\$ per y)

VARIABLE PA3.L	=	0.000 Piping cost treat to treat (\$ per y)
VARIABLE PA4.L	=	74540.878 Piping cost treat to (\$ per y)
VARIABLE PA5.L	=	7720.035 Piping cost fresh to sink (\$ per y)
VARIABLE PA6.L	=	25018.648 Piping cost source to waste (\$ per y)
VARIABLE PA7.L	=	187957.326 Piping cost treat to waste (\$ per y)

APPENDIX B-11 : Case Study 4 WN design GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	14	SINGLE EQUATIONS	94
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	13	SINGLE VARIABLES	109
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	291	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.016 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.016 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING1 Using MIP From line 335

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL MIXING1 OBJECTIVE O11

TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 335

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 67500.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.031 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 41 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

```

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.20 ticks)
Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...
Fixed MIP status(1): optimal
Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.13 ticks)
Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 67500.000000 (22 iterations, 0 nodes)
Final Solve: - 67500.000000 (19 iterations)
Best possible: 67500.000000
Absolute gap: 0 000000
Relative gap: 0.000000
**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT
    0 INFEASIBLE
    0 UNBOUNDED

```

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

```

---- 336 VARIABLE FCost.L      = 67500.000 Freshwater cost
      VARIABLE OFW.L       = 22.500 Overall freshwater
      VARIABLE OWW.L       = 22.500 Overall wastewater
---- 336 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate
j1 3.205, j2 2.182, j3 8.107, j4 4.364, j5 4.643
---- 336 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary vriable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000
---- 336 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water
i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500
---- 336 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary vriable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN
i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000
---- 336 VARIABLE x.L
      j1      j2      j3      j4      j5
      i3          0.294        0.206
      i4      0.255     0.202     0.139     0.404

```

i5 1.000

---- 336 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3		2.643		1.857	
i4	2.295	1.818	1.250	3.636	
i5	4.500				

---- 336 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i5	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

---- 336 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

---- 336 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 76.148, j2 77.273, j3 60.104, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 18 SINGLE EQUATIONS 98

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 17 SINGLE VARIABLES 113

NON ZERO ELEMENTS 369 NON LINEAR N-Z 70

DERIVATIVE POOL 10 CONSTANT POOL 33

CODE LENGTH 216 DISCRETE VARIABLES 35

GENERATION TIME = ~ 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING2 Using MINLP From line 362

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL MIXING2 OBJECTIVE O12

TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 362

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 70853.6753
 RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.234 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 564 2000000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS -0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 113 variables and 98 constraints

with 369 Jacobian elements, 70 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

35 elements below the diagonal, and 70 nonlinear variables.

** Warning ** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 4.1). A better initial

point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the

variables will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CONOPT time Total 0.064 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.001 = 1.6%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.11sec (det. 6.74 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP..

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0 11 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 70858.350859 (238 iterations, 40 nodes)

- Final Solve: 70858.350859 (7 iterations)

Best possible: 70858.350859

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 363 VARIABLE PIC1.L = 1404.135 Piping cost of source to sink

VARIABLE PIC2.L = 1208.740 Piping cost of fresh to sink

VARIABLE PIC3.L = 740.800 Piping cost of source to waste

VARIABLE PICost.L = 3353.675 Piping cost

VARIABLE FCost.L = 67500.000 Freshwater cost

VARIABLE OFW.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 22.500 Overall wastewater

--- 363 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

--- 363 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 363 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 363 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 363 VARIABLE x.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.294				0.206
i4	0.038		0.558	0.404	
i5		0.808	0.192		

---- 363 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	2.643				1.857
i4	0.341		5.023	3.636	
i5		3.636	0.864		

---- 363 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	1.000				1.000
i4	1.000		1.000	1.000	
i5		1.000	1.000		

---- 363 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

---- 363 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 56.670, j2 75.000, j3 77.093, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 29 SINGLE EQUATIONS 581

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 20 SINGLE VARIABLES 439

NON ZERO ELEMENTS 1,858 DISCRETE VARIABLES 110

GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 15

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 Using MIP From line 378

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 OBJECTIVE O2

TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 378

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 980831.2500

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.032 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 0 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

--- GAMS/Cplex licensed for continuous and discrete problems.

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.03 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.02sec (det. 0.37 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.44 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 980831.250000 (0 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 980831.250000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 980831.250000

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 379 VARIABLE z.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in sta k

(ALL 0.000)

---- 379 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i
 i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 379 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream
 j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 379 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

	firstloca~	location2	location3	location4	lastlocat~
i1	120.000	120.000	120.000	120.000	120.000
i2	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000
i3	130.000	130.000	130.000	130.000	130.000
i4	140.000	140.000	140.000	140.000	140.000
i5	80.000	80.000	80.000	80.000	80.000

---- 379 VARIABLE tC.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

	firstloca~	location2	location3	location4	lastlocat~
j1	56.670	56.670	56.670	56.670	56.670
j2	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000	75.000
j3	77.093	77.093	77.093	77.093	77.093
j4	77.273	77.273	77.273	77.273	77.273
j5	55.000	55.000	55.000	55.000	55.000

---- 379 VARIABLE q.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

(ALL 0.000)

---- 379 VARIABLE qcu.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 945.000, i2 735.000, i3 525.000

---- 379 VARIABLE qhu.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

j1 505.511, j2 116.667, j3 320.701, j4 212.121, j5 341.250

---- 379 VARIABLE Oqhu.L = 1496.250 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

VARIABLE Oqcu.L = 2205.000 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

VARIABLE INV.L = 0.000 Investment cost

VARIABLE O2.L = 980831.250 Objective 2

Equation Listing SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 428

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	40	SINGLE EQUATIONS	856
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	28	SINGLE VARIABLES	736
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	3,026	NON LINEAR N-Z	480
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	22
CODE LENGTH	1,885		

GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 20

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 428

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL STAGEMODEL2 OBJECTIVE O3

TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 428

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 2 Locally Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 1058683.5867

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.000 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 4 2000000000

EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE -

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 05:51:46 Page 21

General Algebraic Modeling System

E x e c u t i o n

---- 429 VARIABLE x.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.294				0.206

i4 0.038 0.558 0.404

i5 0.808 0.192

---- 429 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 56.670, j2 75.000, j3 77.093, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

---- 429 VARIABLE z L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

(ALL 0.000) =

---- 429 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 429 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 429 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1 120.000 120.000 120.000 120.000 120.000

i2 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000

i3 130.000 130.000 130.000 130.000 130.000

i4 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000

i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000

---- 429 VARIABLE tC.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 56.670 56.670 56.670 56.670 56.670

j2 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000

j3 77.093 77.093 77.093 77.093 77.093

j4 77.273 77.273 77.273 77.273 77.273

j5 55.000 55.000 55.000 55.000 55.000

---- 429 VARIABLE q.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j stage k

(ALL 0.000)

---- 429 VARIABLE qcu.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 945.000, i2 735.000, i3 525.000

---- 429 VARIABLE qhu.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

j1 505.511, j2 116.667, j3 320.701, j4 212.121, j5 341.250

---- 429 VARIABLE Oqhu.L = 1496.250 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

```

VARIABLE Oqcu L      =  2205.000 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
VARIABLE OCosthu L   =  564086.250
VARIABLE OCostcu L   =  416745.000

---- 429 VARIABLE A L
( ALL    0.000 )

---- 429 VARIABLE Ahu L
j1 26.954, j2 7.573, j3 21.382, j4 14.176, j5 17.919

---- 429 VARIABLE Acu L
i1 38.318, i2 34.116, i3 20.079

---- ~ 429 VARIABLE Atot L      =  180.518
VARIABLE O3 L      = 1058683.587 Objective 3
VARIABLE INV L     =  77852.337 Investment cost
VARIABLE FCost.L   =  67500.000 Freshwater cost
VARIABLE TAC.L    = 1129537.262 Total annual cost

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219.2228AS-WTN
Chulalongkorn University DC4365
License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

**** FILE SUMMARY

Input C:\Users\Sarut\Desktop\WORK\Thesis water network\GAMS 24.2\WHEN_yWN_x
HEN.gms

Output C:\Users\Sarut\Documents\gamsdir\projdir\WHEN_yWN_xHEN.lst

```

APPENDIX B-12 : Case Study 4 WHEN without WN design GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	14	SINGLE EQUATIONS	94
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	13	SINGLE VARIABLES	109
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	291	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.016 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING1 Using MIP From line 335

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL MIXING1 OBJECTIVE O1!
 TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
 SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 335
 **** SOLVER STATUS I Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS I Optimal
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 121500.0000
 RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.063 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 0 200000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.06 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(I): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.07 ticks)

Proven optimal solution

MIP Solution: 121500.000000 (0 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 121500 000000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 121500 000000

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 336 VARIABLE FCost.L = 121500.000 Freshwater cost

 VARIABLE OFW.L = 40.500 Overall freshwater

 VARIABLE OWW.L = 40.500 Overall wastewater

---- 336 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate

 j1 10.000, j2 4.000, j3 12.000, j4 8.000, j5 6.500

---- 336 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

 j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 336 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

 i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 9.000, i4 9.000, i5 4.500

---- 336 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

 i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 336 VARIABLE x.L

 (ALL 0.000)

-- 336 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

 (ALL 0.000)

---- 336 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i5	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

---- 336 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

 (ALL 0.000)

---- 336 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

 j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 25.000, j4 25.000, j5 25.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 7

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE MIXING2 Using MINLP From line 362

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	18	SINGLE EQUATIONS	98
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	17	SINGLE VARIABLES	113
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	369	NON LINEAR N-Z	70
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	33
CODE LENGTH	216	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.094 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.094 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING2 Using MINLP From line 362

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	MIXING2	OBJECTIVE	O12
TYPE	MINLP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	DICOPT	FROM LINE 362	
**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion			
**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution			
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 124137.6000			
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.203 1000.000			
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 14 2000000000			
EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0			

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann

Engineering Design Research Center

Carnegie Mellon University

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 113 variables and 98 constraints

with 369 Jacobian elements, 70 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

- 35 elements below the diagonal, and 70 nonlinear variables

**** Warning **** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 4.1). A better initial

point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the

variables will probably help the optimization.

**** Optimal solution.** There are no superbasic variables.

CONOPT time Total 0.082 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.08 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP ..

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.08 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 124137.600000 (0 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 124137.600000 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 124137.600000

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 363 VARIABLE PIC1.L = 0.000 Piping cost of source to sink

VARIABLE PIC2.L = 1374.000 Piping cost of fresh to sink

VARIABLE PIC3.L = 1263.600 Piping cost of source to waste

VARIABLE PICost.L = 2637.600 Piping cost

VARIABLE FCost.L = 121500.000 Freshwater cost

VARIABLE OFW.L = 40.500 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 40.500 Overall wastewater

---- 363 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 10.000, j2 4.000, j3 12.000, j4 8.000, j5 6.500

---- 363 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 363 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 9.000, i4 9.000, i5 4.500

---- 363 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 363 VARIABLE x.L

(ALL 0.000)

---- 363 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

(ALL 0.000)

---- 363 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to in WN

(ALL 0.000)

---- 363 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

(ALL 0.000)

---- 363 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 25.000, j4 25.000, j5 25.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 12

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 Using MIP From line 378

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	29	SINGLE EQUATIONS	581
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	20	SINGLE VARIABLES	464
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	2,001	DISCRETE VARIABLES	135
GENERATION TIME	=	0.046 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.046 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 15

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 Using MIP From line 378

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 OBJECTIVE O2

TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 378

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 281904.0900

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.531 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 8298 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

--- GAMS/Cplex licensed for continuous and discrete problems.

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.03 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 0.47sec (det. 241.67 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.05sec (det. 0.50 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 281904.090000 (8297 iterations, 490 nodes)

Final Solve: 281904.090000 (1 iterations)

Best possible: 263791.942913

Absolute gap: 18112.147087

Relative gap: 0.064249

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

--- 379 VARIABLE z.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j1 1.000

i2.j4 1.000

i3.j2 1.000

i3.j5 1.000

i4.j3 1.000

i4.j4 1.000

--- 379 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

--- 379 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility w ith cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

--- 379 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1 120.000 120.000 120.000 120.000 36.667

i2 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 42.222

i3 130.000 130.000 130.000 42.500 42.500

i4 140.000 131.111 131.111 131.111 31.111

i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000

--- 379 VARIABLE tC.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 25.000

j2 100.000 100.000 100.000 25.000 25.000

j3 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 25.000
j4 100.000 90.000 90.000 90.000 25.000
j5 100.000 100.000 100.000 25.000 25.000

---- 379 VARIABLE q.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j1	875.000
i2.j4	606.667
i3.j2	350.000
i3.j5	568.750
i4.j3	1050.000
i4.j4	93.333

---- 379 VARIABLE qcu.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 70.000, i2 128.333, i3 131.250, i4 11.667, i5 262.500

---- 379 VARIABLE qhu.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 379 VARIABLE Oqhu.L = 0.000 Overall heat exchange between cold streamj and hot utility
VARIABLE Oqcu.L = 603.750 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream and cold utility
VARIABLE INV.L = 0.000 Investment cost
VARIABLE O2.L = 281904.090 Objective 2

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 17

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 429

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 40 SINGLE EQUATIONS 856

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 28 SINGLE VARIABLES 736

NON ZERO ELEMENTS 3,044 NON LINEAR N-Z 480

DERIVATIVE POOL 10 CONSTANT POOL 22

CODE LENGTH 1,885

GENERATION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 20

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 429

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL STAGEMODEL2 OBJECTIVE O3

TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 429

***** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

***** MODEL STATUS 2 Locally Optimal

***** OBJECTIVE VALUE 271917.5773

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.219 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 103 2000000000

EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/28/14 21:29:51 Page 21

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 430 VARIABLE x.L

(ALL 0.000)

---- 430 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 25.000, j4 25.000, j5 25.000

---- 430 VARIABLE z.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstlocat~ location3 location4

i1.j1 1.000

i2.j4 1.000

i3.j2 1.000

i3.j5 1.000

i4.j3 1.000

i4.j4 1.000

---- 430 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i
 i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 430 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream
 j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 430 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

	firstlocat~	location2	location3	location4	lastlocat~
i1	120.000	120.000	120.000	120.000	36.667
i2	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	42.222
i3	130.000	130.000	130.000	42.500	42.500
i4	140.000	131.111	131.111	131.111	31.111
i5	80.000	80.000	80.000	80.000	80.000

---- 430 VARIABLE tC.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

	firstlocat~	location2	location3	location4	lastlocat~
j1	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	25.000
j2	100.000	100.000	100.000	25.000	25.000
j3	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	25.000
j4	100.000	90.000	90.000	90.000	25.000
j5	100.000	100.000	100.000	25.000	25.000

---- 430 VARIABLE q.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

	firstlocat~	location3	location4
i1.j1	875.000		
i2.j4	606.667		
i3.j2	350.000		
i3.j5	568.750		
i4.j3	1050.000		
i4.j4	93.333		

---- 430 VARIABLE qcL Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 70.000, i2 128.333, i3 131.250, i4 11.667, i5 262.500

---- 430 VARIABLE qhu.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 430 VARIABLE Oqhu.L	=	0.000 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility
VARIABLE Oqcu.L	=	603.750 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 430 VARIABLE A.L

firstloca~	location3	location4
i1.j1	113.202	
i2.j4	91.338	
i3.j2	30.187	
i3.j5	49.054	-
i4.j3	137.811	
i4.j4	4.603	

---- 430 VARIABLE Ahu.L

(ALL	0.000)
-------	---------

---- 430 VARIABLE Acu.L

i1	7.658,	i2	12.169,	i3	12.367,	i4	1.543,	i5	14.446
----	--------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------	----	--------

---- 430 VARIABLE Atot L = 474.379

VARIABLE O3.L	= 271917.577 Objective 3
VARIABLE INV.L	= 157808.827 Investment cost
VARIABLE FCost L	= 121500.000 Freshwater cost
VARIABLE Costh L	= 63787.924
VARIABLE Costhu L	= 46563.207
VARIABLE Costcu L	= 47457.696
VARIABLE OCosthu.L	= 0.000
VARIABLE OCostcu.L	= 114108.750
VARIABLE TAC.L	= 396055.177 Total annual cost

EXECUTION TIME = 0.016 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219:2228AS-WIN

Chulalongkorn University DC4365

License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

**** FILE SUMMARY

Input C:\Users\Sarut\Desktop\WORK\Thesis water network\GAMS 24.2\WHEN_xWN_y

HEN.gms

Output C:\Users\Sarut\Documents\gamsdir\projdir\WHEN_xWN_yHEN.lst

APPENDIX B-13 : Case Study 4 WHEN by two-step design GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	14	SINGLE EQUATIONS	94
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	13	SINGLE VARIABLES	109
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	291	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.140 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.140 SECONDS	4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING1 Using MIP From line 340

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	MIXING1	OBJECTIVE	O11
TYPE	MIP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	CPLEX	FROM LINE	340

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 67500.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 1.593 1000.000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 41 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.75sec (det. 0.20 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.03sec (det. 0.13 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 67500.000000 (22 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 67500.000000 (19 iterations)

Best possible: 67500.000000

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 6

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 341 VARIABLE FCost L = 67500.000 Freshwater cost

VARIABLE OFW L = 22.500 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW L = 22.500 Overall wastewater

---- 341 VARIABLE FFW L Freshwater flowrate

j1 3.205, j2 2.182, j3 8.107, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 341 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 341 VARIABLE WW L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 341 VARIABLE yWW L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 341 VARIABLE x L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3		0.294		0.206	
i4	0.255	0.202	0.139	0.404	
i5	1.000				

---- 341 VARIABLE F L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3		2.643		1.857	
i4	2.295	1.818	1.250	3.636	
i5	4.500				

--- 341 VARIABLE y.L Binary vriable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i5	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

--- 341 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

--- 341 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 76.148, j2 77.273, j3 60.104, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 7

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE MIXING2 Using MINLP From line 367

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	18	SINGLE EQUATIONS	98
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	17	SINGLE VARIABLES	113
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	369	NON LINEAR N-Z	70
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	33
CODE LENGTH	216	DISCRETE VARIABLES	35
GENERATION TIME	=	0.031 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.094 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING2 Using MINLP From line 367

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	MIXING2	OBJECTIVE	O12
TYPE	MINLP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	DICOPT	FROM LINE	367
**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion			
**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution			
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 70853.6753			

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 1.937 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 564 200000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

Dicot 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 113 variables and 98 constraints

with 369 Jacobian elements, 70 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

35 elements below the diagonal, and 70 nonlinear variables.

** Warning ** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 4.1). A better initial

point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the

-variables will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CONOPT time Total 1.087 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.034 = 3.1%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.25sec (det. 6.74 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0 11 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution= 70858.350859 (238 iterations, 40 nodes)

Final Solve: 70858.350859 (7 iterations)

Best possible: 70858.350859

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 368 VARIABLE PIC1.L = 1404.135 Piping cost of source to sink

VARIABLE PIC2.L = 1208.740 Piping cost of fresh to sink

VARIABLE PIC3.L = 740.800 Piping cost of source to waste

VARIABLE PICost.L = 3353.675 Piping cost

VARIABLE FCost.L = 67500.000 Freshwater cost

VARIABLE OFW.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater

VARIABLE OWW.L = 22.500 Overall wastewater

---- 368 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 368 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 368 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 368 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

--- 368 VARIABLE x L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.294				0.206
i4	0.038		0.558	0.404	
i5		0.808	0.192		

--- 368 VARIABLE f L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	2.643				1.857
i4	0.341		5.023	3.636	
i5		3.636	0.864		

--- 368 VARIABLE y L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	1.000				1.000
i4	1.000		1.000	1.000	
i5		1.000	1.000		

--- 368 VARIABLE CK L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

--- 368 VARIABLE TK L Sink temperature

j1 56.670, j2 75.000, j3 77.093, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 12

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 Using MIP From line 382

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	29	SINGLE EQUATIONS	581
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	20	SINGLE VARIABLES	464
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	1,983	DISCRETE VARIABLES	135
GENERATION TIME	=	0.016 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.063 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 15

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL1 Using MIP From line 382

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL STAGEMODEL1 OBJECTIVE O2

TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE

SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 382

**** SOLVER STATUS I Normal Completion

**** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution

**** OBJECTIVE VALUE 423783.9197

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT ~ 25.203 1000 000

ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 812424 2000000000

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows

--- GAMS/Cplex licensed for continuous and discrete problems.

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Space for names approximately 0.03 Mb

Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off

MIP status(102): integer optimal, tolerance

Cplex Time: 25.19sec (det. 17458.70 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.51 ticks)

Solution satisfies tolerances.

MIP Solution: 423783.919697 (812423 iterations, 69517 nodes)

Final Solve: 423783.919697 (1 iterations)

Best possible: 381406.362183

Absolute gap: 42377.557514

Relative gap: 0.099998

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 383 VARIABLE z.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstloca~ location4

i1.j3 1.000

i1.j4 1.000

i2.j1 1.000

i3.j1 1.000

i3.j5 1.000

---- 383 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 383 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 383 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1 120.000 120.000 120.000 120.000 77.273

i2 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 62.967

i3 130.000 120.000 120.000 120.000 55.000

i4 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000

i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000

---- 383 VARIABLE tC.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 94.500 90.000 90.000 90.000 56.670

j2 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000

j3 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 77.093

j4 90.980 90.980 90.980 90.980 77.273

j5 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 55.000

---- 383 VARIABLE q.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location4

i1.j3 320.701

i1.j4 127.936

i2.j1 388.845
 i3.j1 52.500
 i3.j5 341.250
 ---- 383 VARIABLE qcu.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 i1 496.364, i2 346.155, i3 131.250
 ---- 383 VARIABLE qhu.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
 j1 64.167, j2 116.667, j4 84.186
 - ---- 383 VARIABLE Oqhu.L = 265.019 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility
 VARIABLE Oqcu.L = 973.769 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
 VARIABLE INV.L = 0.000 Investment cost
 VARIABLE O2.L = 423783.920 Objective 2

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 17

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 426

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	40	SINGLE EQUATIONS	856
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	28	SINGLE VARIABLES	736
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	3,026	NON LINEAR N-Z	480
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	22
CODE LENGTH	1,885		
GENERATION TIME	= 0.094 SECONDS	3 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	= 0.110 SECONDS	3 MB	24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 20

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE STAGEMODEL2 Using MINLP From line 426

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL	STAGEMODEL2	OBJECTIVE O3
TYPE	MINLP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER	DICOPT	FROM LINE 426
**** SOLVER STATUS	1	Normal Completion
**** MODEL STATUS	2	Locally Optimal
**** OBJECTIVE VALUE	417306.9485	

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.437 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 99 2000000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

C O N O P T 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 736 variables and 856 constraints

with 3026 Jacobian elements, 480 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 135 elements on the diagonal,

235 elements below the diagonal, and 405 nonlinear variables.

**** Warning **** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 5.7). A better initial

- point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the variables will probably help the optimization.

**** Optimal solution.** Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CONOPT time Total 0.445 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.139 = 31.2%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.008 = 1.8%

2nd Derivative evaluations 0.008 = 1.8%

Directional 2nd Derivative 0.013 = 2.9%

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:33:41 Page 21

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution -

---- 427 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	2.643				1.857
i4	0.341		5.023	3.636	
i5		3.636	0.864		

---- 427 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 427 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 427 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 56.670, j2 75.000, j3 77.093, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

---- 427 VARIABLE z.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in sta k

firstloca~ location4

i1,j3	1.000
i1,j4	1.000
i2,j1	1.000
i3,j1	1.000
i3,j5	1.000

---- 427 VARIABLE zcu.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 427 VARIABLE zhu.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 427 VARIABLE tH.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1	120.000	120.000	120.000	120.000	77.426
i2	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	62.967

i3 130.000 107.778 107.778 107.778 55.338

i4 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000 140.000

i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000

---- 427 VARIABLE tCL Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 100.000 90.000 90.000 90.000 56.670

j2 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000 75.000

j3 98.198 98.198 98.198 98.198 77.093

j4 93.510 93.510 93.510 93.510 77.273

j5 91.304 91.304 91.304 91.304 55.000

---- 427 VARIABLE qL Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location4

i1.j3 295.473

i1.j4 151.550

i2.j1 388.845

i3.j1 116.667

i3.j5 275.307

---- 427 VARIABLE qcUL Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

* i1 497.978, i2 346.155, i3 133.026

---- 427 VARIABLE qhuL Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

j2 116.667, j3 25.228, j4 60.571, j5 65.943

---- 427 VARIABLE OqhuL = 268.409 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

VARIABLE OqcUL = 977.159 Overall heat exchange between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 427 VARIABLE AhuL

firstloca~ location4

i1.j3 136.857

i1.j4 80.069

i2.j1 97.143

i3.j1 9.990

i3.j5 152.739

---- 427 VARIABLE AhuL

j2 7.573, j3 2.416, j4 5.246, j5 5.476

```

---- 427 VARIABLE Acu.L
i1 28.113, i2 23.061, i3 9.875

---- 427 VARIABLE Atot.L      = 558.558
      VARIABLE FCost.L      = 67500.000 Freshwater cost
      VARIABLE OI2.L        = 70853.675 Objective I 2
      VARIABLE O2.L         = 423783.920 Objective 2
      VARIABLE OCosthu.L    = 101190.239
      VARIABLE OCostcu.L    = 184683.074
      VARIABLE INV.L        = 131433.636 Investment cost
      VARIABLE TAC.L        = 488160.624 Total annual cost

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219.2228AS-WIN
Chulalongkorn University DC4365
License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

```

APPENDIX B-14 : Case Study 4 WHEN by four-step design GAMS Solve summary

MODEL STATISTICS

```

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS   14 SINGLE EQUATIONS     94
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES   13 SINGLE VARIABLES    109
NON ZERO ELEMENTS    291 DISCRETE VARIABLES   35
GENERATION TIME = 0.047 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME = 0.047 SECONDS 4 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

```

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 5

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE INITIAL1 Using MIP From line 653

SOLVE SUMMARY

```

MODEL INITIAL1 OBJECTIVE O11
TYPE MIP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER CPLEX FROM LINE 653

```

**** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 1 Optimal
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 67500.0000
 RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.046 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 41 2000000000
 IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows
 Cplex 12.6.0.0
 Space for names approximately 0.00 Mb
 Use option 'names no' to turn use of names off
 MIP status(101): integer optimal solution
 Cplex Time: 0.05sec (det. 0.20 ticks)
 Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...
 Fixed MIP status(1): optimal
 Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.13 ticks)
 Proven optimal solution.
 MIP Solution: 67500.000000 (22 iterations, 0 nodes)
 Final Solve: 67500.000000 (19 iterations)
 Best possible: 67500.000000
 Absolute gap: 0.000000
 Relative gap: 0.000000
 **** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT
 0 INFEASIBLE
 0 UNBOUNDED
 GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 6
 General Algebraic Modeling System
 Execution
 ---- 654 VARIABLE FCost.L = 67500.000
 VARIABLE OFW.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater
 VARIABLE OWW.L = 22.500 Overall wastewater
 ---- 654 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate
 j1 3.205, j2 2.182, j3 8.107, j4 4.364, j5 4.643
 ---- 654 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 654 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 654 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 654 VARIABLE x.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3 -		0.294		0.206	

i4 0.255 0.202 0.139 0.404

i5 1.000

---- 654 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3		2.643		1.857	
i4	2.295	1.818	1.250	3.636	
i5	4.500				

---- 654 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i2	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
i5	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

---- 654 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration

j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

---- 654 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 76.148, j2 77.273, j3 60.104, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 7

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE INITIAL2 Using MINLP From line 680

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS 18 SINGLE EQUATIONS 98

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES 17 SINGLE VARIABLES 113
 NON ZERO ELEMENTS 369 NON LINEAR N-Z 70
 DERIVATIVE POOL 10 CONSTANT POOL 33
 CODE LENGTH 216 DISCRETE VARIABLES 35
 GENERATION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 EXECUTION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 10

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE INITIAL2 Using MINLP From line 680

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL INITIAL2 OBJECTIVE O12
 TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
 SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 680
 **** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 70853.6753

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.609 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 627 2000000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CO N O P T 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 113 variables and 98 constraints

with 369 Jacobian elements, 70 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

35 elements below the diagonal, and 70 nonlinear variables.

** Optimal solution. Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CONOPT time Total 0.099 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

Directional 2nd Derivative 0.000 = 0.0%

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.06sec (det. 6.45 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.03sec (det. 0.11 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 70855.792819 (255 iterations, 43 nodes)

Final Solve: 70855.792819 (7 iterations)

Best possible: 70855.792819

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 11

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 681 VARIABLE PIC1.L = 1404.135 Piping cost of source to sink

VARIABLE PIC2.L = 1208.740 Piping cost of fresh to sink
VARIABLE PIC3.L = 740.800 Piping cost of source to waste
VARIABLE PICost.L = 3353.675 Piping cost
VARIABLE FCost.L = 67500.000
VARIABLE OFW.L = 22.500 Overall freshwater
VARIABLE OWW.L = 22.500 Overall wastewater
---- 681 VARIABLE FFW.L Freshwater flowrate
j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643
---- 681 VARIABLE yFW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match FW to sink in WN
j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000
---- 681 VARIABLE WW.L Source Waste water
i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500
---- 681 VARIABLE yWW.L Binary variable to denote existence of match WW from source in WN
i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000
---- 681 VARIABLE x.L

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	0.294				0.206
i4	0.038		0.558	0.404	
i5		0.808	0.192		

---- 681 VARIABLE F.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	2.643				1.857
i4	0.341		5.023	3.636	
i5		3.636	0.864		

---- 681 VARIABLE y.L Binary variable to denote existence of match source to sink in WN

	j1	j2	j3	j4	j5
i3	1.000				1.000
i4	1.000		1.000	1.000	
i5		1.000	1.000		

---- 681 VARIABLE CK.L Sink stream concentration
j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000
---- 681 VARIABLE TK.L Sink temperature

j1 56.670, j2 75.000, j3 77.093, j4 77.273, j5 55.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 12

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE MIXING1 Using MINLP From line 717

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	29	SINGLE EQUATIONS	185
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	29	SINGLE VARIABLES	225
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	827	NON LINEAR N-Z	390
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	38
CODE LENGTH	878	DISCRETE VARIABLES	60

GENERATION TIME = 0.110 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.110 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 15

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIXING1 Using MINLP From line 717

SOLVE SUMMARY

MODEL MIXING1	OBJECTIVE TAC1
TYPE MINLP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER DICOPT	FROM LINE 717
***** SOLVER STATUS	1 Normal Completion
***** MODEL STATUS	8 Integer Solution
***** OBJECTIVE VALUE	70853.6753
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	0.093 1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	11 2000000000
EVALUATION ERRORS	0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann

Engineering Design Research Center

Carnegie Mellon University

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 225 variables and 185 constraints

with 827 Jacobian elements, 390 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,

125 elements below the diagonal, and 175 nonlinear variables

** Warning ** The number of nonlinear derivatives equal to zero

in the initial point is large (= 38 percent).

A better initial point will probably help the

optimization

** Optimal solution. There are no superbasic variables.

CONOPT time Total 0.042 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

--- GAMS/Cplex licensed for continuous and discrete problems

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0 19 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.18 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 70853.675325 (0 iterations, 0 nodes)

Final Solve: 70853.675325 (0 iterations)

Best possible: 70853.675325

Absolute gap: 0.000000
 Relative gap: 0.000000
 **** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 16

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

--- 718 VARIABLE x1.L

	j1	j2	j3	j5
i3	0.294			0.206
i4	0.038			
i5		0.808	0.192	

--- 718 VARIABLE F1.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j5
i3	2.643			1.857
i4	0.341			
i5		3.636	0.864	

--- 718 VARIABLE WW1.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500, i4 8.659

--- 718 VARIABLE OWW1.L = 31.159 Overall wastewater

--- 718 VARIABLE FS2.L

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500, i4 8.659

--- 718 VARIABLE x2.L

	j3	j4
i4	0.580	0.420

--- 718 VARIABLE F2.L Splitting Flowrate

	j3	j4
i4	5.023	3.636

--- 718 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 718 VARIABLE WW2.L Source Waste water
 i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 718 VARIABLE OWW2.L = 22.500 Overall wastewater

---- 718 VARIABLE CK1.L Sink stream concentration
 j1 20.000, j2 20.000, j3 20.000, j4 20.000, j5 20.000

---- 718 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature
 j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143

---- 718 VARIABLE FK2.L
 j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 11.136, j4 8.000, j5 4.643

---- 718 VARIABLE TK2.L dddd
 j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 13.724, j4 13.636, j5 25.000

---- 718 VARIABLE CK2.L Sink stream concentration
 j3 19.845, j4 20.000

---- 718 VARIABLE FCošt2.L = 67500.000

VARIABLE TAC1.L = 70853.675 Total annual cost 1

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 21

General Algebraic Modeling System
 Execution

---- 753 VARIABLE F1.L Splitting Flowrate

	j1	j2	j3	j5
i3	2.643			1.857
i4	0.341			
i5		3.636	0.864	

---- 753 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 753 VARIABLE q1.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 280.000

i1.j5 97.500

i4.j1 137.159

i4.j3 409.924

---- 753 VARIABLE z1.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 1.000

i1.j5 1.000

i4.j1 1.000

i4.j3 1.000

---- 753 VARIABLE qh1.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

j2 97.576

---- 753 VARIABLE zh1.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 753 VARIABLE qc1.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 753 VARIABLE zcu1.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i2 1.000, i4 1.000, i5 1.000

---- 753 VARIABLE OCosth1.L = 36786.061

VARIABLE OCostc1.L = 0.000

---- 753 VARIABLE TS2.L

(ALL 0.000)

---- 753 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature

j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143

---- 753 PARAMETER TOUTC outlet temperature of cold stream (degree celcius)

j1 100.000, j2 100.000, j3 100.000, j4 100.000, j5 100.000

---- 753 VARIABLE tH1.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~
 i1 120.000 110.714 110.714 84.048 84.048
 i2 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000
 i3 130.000 130.000 130.000 130.000 130.000
 i4 140.000 126.423 126.423 126.423 85.846
 i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000

--- 753 VARIABLE tC1 L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~
 j1 100.000 88.244 88.244 88.244 88.244
 j2 79.091 79.091 79.091 79.091 79.091
 - j3 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 70.720
 j4 100.000 100.000 100.000 70.000 70.000
 j5 100.000 87.143 87.143 87.143 87.143

--- 753 VARIABLE TOUTH1 L

i1 84.048, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 85.846

--- 753 VARIABLE FK2.L

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 11.136, j4 8.000, j5 4.643

--- 753 VARIABLE WW2.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

--- 753 VARIABLE x2.L

j3 j4
 i4 0.580 0.420

--- 753 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 22

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE WHEN1A Using MINLP From line 807

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	39	SINGLE EQUATIONS	863
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	34	SINGLE VARIABLES	770
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	3,230	NON LINEAR N-Z	615

DERIVATIVE POOL 10 CONSTANT POOL 24
 CODE LENGTH 2,170
 GENERATION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 EXECUTION TIME = 0.031 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 25

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE WHENIA Using MINLP From line 807

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL WHENIA OBJECTIVE O22
 TYPE MINLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
 SOLVER DICOPT FROM LINE 807
 **** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 2 Locally Optimal
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 85310.8197
 RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.172 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 34 2000000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 770 variables and 863 constraints

with 3230 Jacobian elements, 615 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 135 elements on the diagonal,
 290 elements below the diagonal, and 455 nonlinear variables.

**** Warning **** The variance of the derivatives in the initial
 point is large (= 5.9). A better initial
 point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the
 variables will probably help the optimization.

**** Optimal solution. There are no superbasic variables.**

CNOPT time Total	0.173 seconds
of which: Function evaluations	0.071 = 41.0%
1st Derivative evaluations	0.009 = 5.2%

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 17

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE WHEN1 Using MINLP From line 752

***** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 26

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

--- 808 VARIABLE q1 L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 280.000

i1.j5 97.500

i4.j1 137.159

i4.j3 409.924

--- 808 VARIABLE z1.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in st k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 1.000

i1.j5 1.000

i4.j1 1.000

i4.j3 1.000

---- 808 VARIABLE qhu1.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
j2 97.576

---- 808 VARIABLE zhu1.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream
j2 1.000

---- 808 VARIABLE qcui.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility
(ALL 0.000)

---- 808 VARIABLE zcui.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utilitywith hot stream i
(ALL - 0.000)

---- 808 VARIABLE Oqhu1.L = 97.576 Overall heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility
VARIABLE Oqcui.L = 0.000 Overall heat exchange between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 808 VARIABLE A1.L

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1,j4	45.507
i1,j5	8.971
i4,j1	7.019
i4,j3	40.488

---- 808 VARIABLE Ahu1.L
j2 3.677

---- 808 VARIABLE Costh1.L = 39143.857
VARIABLE Costhu1.L = 9380.902
VARIABLE OCosth1.L = 36786.061

---- 808 VARIABLE TOUTH1.L

i1	84.048,	i2	100.000,	i3	130.000,	i4	85.846
----	---------	----	----------	----	----------	----	--------

---- 808 VARIABLE O22.L = 85310.820 Objective 2.2
VARIABLE O2.L = 74036.626 Objective 2

---- 808 VARIABLE tH1.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1	120.000	110.714	110.714	84.048	84.048
i2	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000
i3	130.000	130.000	130.000	130.000	130.000
i4	140.000	126.423	126.423	126.423	85.846

```

i5 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000 80.000
---- 808 VARIABLE tC1.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k
firstlocat~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~
j1 100.000 88.244 88.244 88.244 88.244
j2 79.091 79.091 79.091 79.091 79.091
j3 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 70.720
j4 100.000 100.000 100.000 70.000 70.000
j5 100.000 87.143 87.143 87.143 87.143
---- 808 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature
j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143
---- 808 VARIABLE TOUTC2.L
j1 70.000, j2 70.000, j3 70.000, j4 70.000, j5 70.000
---- 808 PARAMETER TS Source temperature (degree celcius)
i1 120.000, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 140.000, i5 80.000
---- 808 VARIABLE F1.L Splitting Flowrate
      j1     j2     j3     j5
i3 2.643             1.857
i4 0.341
i5       3.636   0.864
---- 808 VARIABLE FK2.L
j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 11.136, j4 8.000, j5 4.643
---- 808 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature
j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143
---- 808 PARAMETER FKL Sink flowrate (ton per h)
j1 10.000, j2 4.000, j3 12.000, j4 8.000, j5 6.500

```

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 27

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE MIX2 Using NLP From line 843

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	12	SINGLE EQUATIONS	64
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	15	SINGLE VARIABLES	99
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	380	NON LINEAR N-Z	250

DERIVATIVE POOL 10 CONSTANT POOL 21
 CODE LENGTH 470
 GENERATION TIME = 0.079 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 EXECUTION TIME = 0.079 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
 GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 30

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE MIX2 Using NLP From line 843

SOLVE SUMMARY
 MODEL MIX2 OBJECTIVE FCost2
 TYPE NLP DIRECTION MINIMIZE
 SOLVER CONOPT FROM LINE 843
 *** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion
 **** MODEL STATUS 2 Locally Optimal
 **** OBJECTIVE VALUE 67500.0000

RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.015 1000.000
 ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 4 2000000000
 EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0
 CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A
DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

** Warning ** The number of nonlinear derivatives equal to zero

in the initial point is large (= 31 percent).

A better initial point will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. There are no superbasic variables

CONOPT time Total 0.001 seconds
 of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%
 1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%
 **** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 31

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 844 VARIABLE TS2.L

i1 84.048, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 85.846

---- 844 VARIABLE F2.L Splitting Flowrate

j3 j4

i4 5.023 3.636

---- 844 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate

j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 844 VARIABLE TS2.L

i1 84.048, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 85.846

---- 844 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature

j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143

---- 844 VARIABLE TK2.L dddd

j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 52.443, j4 52.657, j5 25.000

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 32

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE WHEN2 Using MINLP From line 872

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	34	SINGLE EQUATIONS	602
---------------------	----	------------------	-----

BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	31	SINGLE VARIABLES	639
---------------------	----	------------------	-----

NON ZERO ELEMENTS	2,263	NON LINEAR N-Z	170
-------------------	-------	----------------	-----

DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	19
-----------------	----	---------------	----

CODE LENGTH	420	DISCRETE VARIABLES	135
-------------	-----	--------------------	-----

GENERATION TIME = 0.015 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

EXECUTION TIME = 0.015 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 35

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE WHEN2 Using MINLP From line 872

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL WHEN2	OBJECTIVE O3
TYPE MINLP	DIRECTION MINIMIZE
SOLVER DICOPT	FROM LINE 872
***** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion	
***** MODEL STATUS 8 Integer Solution	
***** OBJECTIVE VALUE 198958.7750	
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT	1 094 1000.000
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT	8929 2000000000
EVALUATION ERRORS	0 0

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

CONOPT 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A
 DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 639 variables and 602 constraints

with 2263 Jacobian elements, 170 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 0 elements on the diagonal,
 65 elements below the diagonal, and 75 nonlinear variables.

** Warning ** The variance of the derivatives in the initial
 point is large (= 6.3). A better initial
 point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the

variables will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CONOPT time Total 0.026 seconds

of which: Function evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

1st Derivative evaluations 0.000 = 0.0%

-- GMO Resort Time 0ms

IBM ILOG CPLEX 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

--- GAMS/Cplex licensed for continuous and discrete problems.

Cplex 12.6.0.0

Unable to load names.

MIP status(101): integer optimal solution

Cplex Time: 0.45sec (det. 148.95 ticks)

Fixing integer variables, and solving final LP...

Fixed MIP status(1): optimal

Cplex Time: 0.00sec (det. 0.61 ticks)

Proven optimal solution.

MIP Solution: 193254.315229 (7722 iterations, 1364 nodes)

Final Solve: 193254.315229 (11 iterations)

Best possible: 193254.315229

Absolute gap: 0.000000

Relative gap: 0.000000

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 36

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 873 VARIABLE q2.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location2 location4

i1.j2 19.091

i2.j1 368.352

i2.j5 243.750

i3.j3 228.114

i3.j4 161.867

---- 873 VARIABLE z2.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in st k

firstloca~ location2 location4

i1.j2 1.000

i2.j1 1.000

i2.j5 1.000

i3.j3 1.000

i3.j4 1.000

---- 873 VARIABLE qhu2.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 873 VARIABLE zhu2.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j1 1.000, j2 1.000, j3 1.000, j4 1.000, j5 1.000

---- 873 VARIABLE qcu2.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 548.409, i2 122.898, i3 135.019

---- 873 VARIABLE zcu2.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 873 VARIABLE Oqhu2.L = 0.000 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

VARIABLE Oqcu2.L = 806.326 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 873 VARIABLE th2.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

- i1 84.048 82.229 82.229 82.229 82.229

i2 100.000 100.000 41.705 41.705 41.705

i3 130.000 86.550 86.550 86.550 55.718

i4 85.846 85.846 85.846 85.846 85.846

---- 873 VARIABLE tC2.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 70.000 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000

j2 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000

j3 70.000 52.443 52.443 52.443 52.443

```

j4 70.000 70.000 70.000 70.000 52.657
j5 70.000 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000
---- 873 VARIABLE TS2.L
i1 84.048, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 85.846
---- 873 VARIABLE F2.L Splitting Flowrate
    - j3      j4
i4 5.023   3.636
---- 873 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate
j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643
---- 873 VARIABLE TK2.L dddd
j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 52.443, j4 52.657, j5 25.000
---- 873 VARIABLE TS2.L
i1 84.048, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 85.846
---- 873 VARIABLE TOUTC2.L
j1 70.000, j2 70.000, j3 70.000, j4 70.000, j5 70.000

```

```

---- 873 VARIABLE O3.L          = 198958.775 Objective 3
VARIABLE OCosthu2.L          = 0.000
VARIABLE OCostcu2.L          = 152395.568

```

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 37

General Algebraic Modeling System

Equation Listing SOLVE WHEN2A Using MINLP From line 927

MODEL STATISTICS

BLOCKS OF EQUATIONS	42	SINGLE EQUATIONS	858
BLOCKS OF VARIABLES	44	SINGLE VARIABLES	912
NON ZERO ELEMENTS	3,285	NON LINEAR N-Z	655
DERIVATIVE POOL	10	CONSTANT POOL	24
CODE LENGTH	2,315		
GENERATION TIME	=	0.016 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI
EXECUTION TIME	=	0.032 SECONDS	3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 40

General Algebraic Modeling System

Solution Report SOLVE WHEN2A Using MINLP From line 927

S O L V E S U M M A R Y

MODEL	WHEN2A	OBJECTIVE	O32
TYPE	MINLP	DIRECTION	MINIMIZE
SOLVER	DICOPT	FROM LINE 927	
***** SOLVER STATUS 1 Normal Completion			
***** MODEL STATUS 2 Locally Optimal			
***** OBJECTIVE VALUE 229816.9353			
RESOURCE USAGE, LIMIT 0.141 1000.000			
ITERATION COUNT, LIMIT 33 200000000			
EVALUATION ERRORS 0 0			

Dicopt 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

Aldo Vecchietti and Ignacio E. Grossmann
 Engineering Design Research Center
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

CONOPT 3 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEI x86_64/MS Windows

C O N O P T 3 version 3.15M

Copyright (C) ARKI Consulting and Development A/S

Bagsvaerdvej 246 A

DK-2880 Bagsvaerd, Denmark

The model has 912 variables and 858 constraints

with 3285 Jacobian elements, 655 of which are nonlinear.

The Hessian of the Lagrangian has 140 elements on the diagonal,

305 elements below the diagonal, and 470 nonlinear variables.

** Warning ** The variance of the derivatives in the initial

point is large (= 5.6). A better initial

- point, a better scaling, or better bounds on the
 variables will probably help the optimization.

** Optimal solution. Reduced gradient less than tolerance.

CNOPT time Total 0.141 seconds
 of which: Function evaluations 0.080 = 56.7%
 1st Derivative evaluations 0.015 = 10.6%

**** REPORT SUMMARY : 0 NONOPT

0 INFEASIBLE

0 UNBOUNDED

0 ERRORS

GAMS 24.2.1 r43572 Released Dec 9, 2013 WEX-WEI x86_64/MS Windows 03/31/14 14:54:02 Page 41

General Algebraic Modeling System

Execution

---- 928 VARIABLE q1.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 280.000

i1.j5 97.500

i4.j1 137.159

i4.j3 409.924

---- 928 VARIABLE z1.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4 1.000

i1.j5 1.000

i4.j1 1.000

i4.j3 1.000

---- 928 VARIABLE qh1.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

j2 97.576

---- 928 VARIABLE zh1.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

j2 1.000

---- 928 VARIABLE qc1.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 928 VARIABLE zcu1.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

(ALL 0.000)

---- 928 VARIABLE Oqh1.L = 97.576 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

VARIABLE Oqcu1 L = 0.000 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 928 VARIABLE A1.L

firstloca~ location3 location4

i1.j4	45.507
i1.j5	8.971
i4.j1	7.019
i4.j3	40.488

---- 928 VARIABLE Ahu1.L

j2	3.677
----	-------

---- 928 VARIABLE tH1 L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1	120 000	110.714	110 714	84.048	84.048
i2	100 000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100 000
i3	130 000	130.000	130.000	130.000	130.000
i4	140.000	126.423	126.423	126.423	85.846
i5	80.000	80 000	80.000	80.000	80.000

---- 928 VARIABLE tC1 L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstloca~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1	100.000	88.244	88.244	88.244	88.244
j2	79.091	79.091	79.091	79.091	79.091
j3	100 000	100.000	100.000	100.000	70.720
j4	100 000	100.000	100 000	70.000	70.000
j5	100 000	87.143	87.143	87.143	87.143

---- 928 VARIABLE WW1.L Source Waste water

i1	9.000,	i2	9.000,	i3	4 500,	i4	8.659
----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	-------

---- 928 VARIABLE TK1 L Sink temperature

j1	88.244,	j2	79.091,	j3	70.720,	j4	70.000,	j5	87.143
----	---------	----	---------	----	---------	----	---------	----	--------

---- 928 PARAMETER TOUTC outlet temperature of cold stream (degree celcius)

j1	100.000,	j2	100.000,	j3	100.000,	j4	100.000,	j5	100.000
----	----------	----	----------	----	----------	----	----------	----	---------

---- 928 VARIABLE q2.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold stream j at stage k

firstloca~ location2 location4

i1.j2 19.091

i2.j1 368.352

i2.j5 243.750

i3.j3 228.114

i3.j4 161.867

---- 928 VARIABLE z2.L Binary variable to denote existence of match ij in stage k

firstloca~ location2 location4

i1.j2 1.000

i2.j1 1.000

i2.j5 1.000

i3.j3 1.000

i3.j4 1.000

---- 928 VARIABLE qhu2.L Heat exchanged between cold stream j and hot utility

(ALL 0.000)

---- 928 VARIABLE zhu2.L Binary variable to denote existence of hot utility with cold stream

(ALL 0.000)

---- 928 VARIABLE qcu2.L Heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

i1 548.409, i2 122.898, i3 135.019

---- 928 VARIABLE zcu2.L Binary variable to denote existence of cold utility with hot stream i

i1 1.000, i2 1.000, i3 1.000

---- 928 VARIABLE Oqhu2.L = 0.000 Overall heat exchanged between cold streamj and hot utility

VARIABLE Oqcu2.L = 806.326 Overall heat exchanged between hot stream i and cold utility

---- 928 VARIABLE A2.L

firstloca~ location2 location4

i1.j2 1.248

i2.j1 32.449

i2.j5 21.472

i3.j3 9.954

i3.j4 40.878

---- 928 VARIABLE Acu2.L

i1 29.543, i2 11.794, i3 9.965

---- 928 VARIABLE Ahu2.L

(ALL 0.000)

---- 928 VARIABLE Costh1.L = 39143.857

VARIABLE Costh1.L = 9380.902

VARIABLE OCosth1.L = 36786.061

VARIABLE O32.L = 229816.935 Objective 3.2

VARIABLE Costh2.L = 48531.049

VARIABLE Costh2.L = 0.000

VARIABLE OCosth2.L = 0.000

VARIABLE Costc2.L = 28890.318

VARIABLE OCostc2.L = 152395.568

VARIABLE O22.L = 85310.820 Objective 2.2

VARIABLE O32.L = 229816.935 Objective 3.2

VARIABLE FCost2.L = 67500.000

VARIABLE PIC11.L = 911.171 Piping cost of source to sink

VARIABLE PICost2.L = 2442.504 Piping cost

---- 928 VARIABLE tH2.L Temperature of hot stream i at location k

firstlocat~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

i1 84.048 82.229 82.229 82.229 82.229

i2 100.000 100.000 41.705 41.705 41.705

i3 130.000 86.550 86.550 86.550 55.718

i4 85.846 85.846 85.846 85.846 85.846

-- 928 VARIABLE tC2.L Temperature of cold stream j at location k

firstlocat~ location2 location3 location4 lastlocat~

j1 70.000 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000

j2 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000

j3 70.000 52.443 52.443 52.443 52.443

j4 70.000 70.000 70.000 70.000 52.657

j5 70.000 70.000 25.000 25.000 25.000

---- 928 VARIABLE WW2.L Source Waste water

i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500

---- 928 VARIABLE FFW2.L Freshwater flowrate
j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 6.114, j4 4.364, j5 4.643

---- 928 VARIABLE F2.L Splitting Flowrate
j3 j4
i4 5.023 3.636

---- 928 VARIABLE TK2.L dddd
j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 52.443, j4 52.657, j5 25.000

---- 928 VARIABLE TOUTC2.L
j1 70.000, j2 70.000, j3 70.000, j4 70.000, j5 70.000

---- 928 VARIABLE O3.L = 198958.775 Objective 3
VARIABLE O32.L = 229816.935 Objective 3.2
VARIABLE Atot1.L = 105.662
VARIABLE Atot2.L = 157.303
VARIABLE AREA L = 262.965 Total Area
VARIABLE TAC2.L = 385981.430 Total annual cost 2

---- 928 PARAMETER TS Source temperature (degree celcius)
i1 120.000, i2 100.000, i3 130.000, i4 140.000, i5 80.000

---- 928 VARIABLE FS2.L
i1 9.000, i2 9.000, i3 4.500, i4 8.659

---- 928 VARIABLE F1.L Splitting Flowrate
j1 j2 j3 j5
i3 2.643 1.857
i4 0.341
i5 3.636 0.864

---- 928 VARIABLE TK2.L dddd
j1 25.000, j2 25.000, j3 52.443, j4 52.657, j5 25.000

---- 928 VARIABLE FK2.L
j1 7.016, j2 0.364, j3 11.136, j4 8.000, j5 4.643

---- 928 VARIABLE TK1.L Sink temperature
j1 88.244, j2 79.091, j3 70.720, j4 70.000, j5 87.143

---- 928 PARAMETER FKL Sink flowrate (ton per h)

j1 10.000, j2 4.000, j3 12.000, j4 8.000, j5 6.500

EXECUTION TIME = 0.000 SECONDS 3 MB 24.2.1 r43572 WEX-WEI

USER: The Petroleum and Petrochemical College G131219:2228AS-WIN

Chulalongkorn University DC4365

License for teaching and research at degree granting institutions

*** FILE SUMMARY

Input C:\Users\Sarut\Desktop\WORK\Thesis water network\GAMS 24.2\WHEN case

4.2.gms

Output C:\Users\Sarut\Documents\gamsdir\projdir\WHEN case 4.2.lst

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name: Mr. Sarut Thongpreecha

Date of Birth: October 25, 1989

Nationality: Thai

University Education:

2008-2012 Bachelor Degree of Science, Major of Chemical Technology,
Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

Work Experience:

Mar – June 2011	Position: Student Internship
	Company name: Siam Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.

Papers presented at conferences

Proceedings:

1. Thongpreecha, S., Siemanond, K. (2014, April) Sequential Approach for Water-and-Heat-Exchanger Network Design. Proceedings of the 5th Research Symposium on Petrochemical and Materials Technology and the 20th PPC Symposium on Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Polymers, Ballroom, Queen Sirikit National Convention Center, Bangkok, Thailand.
2. Thongpreecha, S., Siemanond, K. (2014, June) Water Network Design with Treating Units by Four-Step Calculation Procedure. Proceedings of the 24th European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering (ESCAPE 24), Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.
3. Thongpreecha, S., Siemanond, K. (2014, August) Water and Heat Exchanger Network Design for Fixed-flowrate System. Proceedings of the 17th Conference on Process Integration, Modelling and Optimisation for Energy Saving and Pollution Reduction (PRESS 2014), Clarion Congress Hotel, Prague, Czech Republic.

Presentations:

1. Thongpreecha, S., Siemanond, K. (2014, April 22) Sequential Approach for Water-and-Heat-Exchanger Network Design. Paper poster presented at the 5th Research Symposium on Petrochemical and Materials Technology and the 20th PPC Symposium on Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Polymers, Ballroom, Queen Sirikit National Convention Center, Bangkok, Thailand.
2. Thongpreecha, S., Siemanond, K. (2014, June 15-18) Water Network Design with Treating Units by Four-Step Calculation Procedure. Oral presentation at the 24th European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering (ESCAPE 24), Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.