

## REFERENCES

- Ref.: [http://www.nasa.gov/mission\\_pages/themis/auroras/sun\\_earth\\_connect.html](http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/themis/auroras/sun_earth_connect.html)
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). (1990) Toxicological Profile for Ethylene Oxide. U.S. Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA.
- Amin, N.A.S. (2006) Co-generation of synthesis gas and C<sub>2</sub>+ hydrocarbons from methane and carbon dioxide in a hybrid catalytic-plasma reactor: A review. Fuel, 85, 577-592.
- Bhasin, M.M. (1988). Catalyst composition for oxidation of ethylene to ethylene oxide. US Patent 4,908,343.
- Bröer, S. and Hammer, T. (2000). Selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides by combining a non-thermal plasma and a V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 28(2), 101-111.
- Campbell, C.T. (1986) Chlorine promoters in selective ethylene epoxidation over Ag(111): A comparison with Ag(110). Journal of Catalysis, 99(1), 28-38.
- Campbell, C.T., and Paffett, M.T. (1984) The role of chlorine promoters in catalytic ethylene epoxidation over the Ag(110) surface. Applied Surface Science, 19(1), 28-42.
- Campbell, C.T., and Koel, B.E. (1985). Chlorine promotion of selective ethylene oxidation over Ag(110): Kinetics and mechanism. Journal of Catalysis, 92(2), 272-283.
- Chang, J.S., Lawless, P.A., and Yamamoto, T. (1991). Corona discharge processes. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 19(6), 1152-1166.
- Chavadej S., Tansuwan A., and Sreethawong T., (2008). Ethylene Epoxidation over Alumina-Supported Silver Catalysts in Low-Temperature AC Corona Discharge. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 28(5), 643-662.
- Dellamorte, J.C., Lauterbach, J., and Barteau, M.A., (2007) Promoter-Induced Morphological Changes of Ag Catalysts for Ethylene Epoxidation. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 48(13), 5943-5953.

- Durme, J.V., Dewulf, J., Leys, C., and Langenhove, H.V. (2008) Combining non-thermal plasma with heterogeneous catalysis in waste gas treatment: A review. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 78(3-4), 324-333.
- Eliasson, B., Hirth, M., and Kogelschatz, U. (1987). Ozone synthesis from oxygen in dielectric barrier discharge. Journal of Applied Physics, 20, 1421-1437.
- Eliasson, B., and Kogelschatz, U. (1991). Nonequilibrium volume plasma chemical processing. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 19(6), 1063-1077.
- Epling, W.S., Hoflund, G.B., and Minahan, D.M. (1970). Study of Cs-promoted,  $\alpha$ -alumina-supported silver, ethylene-epoxidation catalysts. Journal of Catalysis, 171(2), 490-497.
- Fridman, A., Nester, S., Kennedy, L.A., Saveliev, A., and Mutaf-Yardimici, O. (1999). Gliding arc gas discharge. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 25, 211-231.
- Goncharova, S.N., Paukshtis, E.A., and Bal'zhinimaev, B.S. (1995). Size effects in ethylene oxidation on silver catalysts: influence of support and Cs promoter. Applied Catalysis A: General, 126, 67-84.
- Heintze, M., and Pietruszka, B. (2004) Plasma catalytic conversion of methane into syngas: the combined effect of discharge activation and catalysis. Catalysis Today, 89, 21-25.
- Holgado, M.J., Inigo, A.C., and Rives, V. (1998). Effect of preparation conditions on the properties of highly reduced Rh/TiO<sub>2</sub> (anatase and rutile) catalysts. Applied Catalysis A: General, 175(1-2), 33-41.
- Horvath, M. (1980), The Netherlands, Ozone, Elsevier Science.
- Iwakura, G. (1985). A novel silver catalyst prepared by using superheated-steam as a heating medium for ethylene oxide production. Japan Patent 63-126552.
- Jankowiak, J.T., Barteau, M.A. (2005). Ethylene epoxidation over silver and copper-silver bimetallic catalysts: I. Kinetics and selectivity. Journal of Catalysis, 236(1), 366-378.
- Jankowiak, J.T., and Barteau, M.A. (2005) Ethylene epoxidation over silver and copper-silver bimetallic catalysts: II. Cs and Cl promotion. Journal of Catalysis, 236(1), 379-386.

- Jeong, J.Y., Park, J., Henins, I., Babayan, S.E., V.J., Tu, Selwyn, G.S., Ding, G., and Hicks, R.F. (2000). Reaction chemistry in the afterglow of oxygen-helium, atmospheric-pressure plasma. Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 104(34), 8072-8032.
- Jun, Y., Jingfa, D., Xiaohong, Y., and Shi, Z. (1992) Rhenium as a promoter for ethylene epoxidation. Applied Catalysis A: General, 92(2), 73-80.
- Kapran, A.Y., and Orlik, S.N. (2005) Effect of alkali metal additives on the activity and selectivity of structured silver catalysts in epoxidation of ethylene by nitrogen(I) oxide. Theoretical and Experimental Chemistry, 41(6), 377-381.
- Kilty, P.A., Rol, N.C., and Sachtler, W.M.H. (1973). The activity and selectivity of oxygen atoms adsorbed on a Ag/ $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst in ethene epoxidation. Catalysis Letters, 99(1-2), 45-53.
- Kim, D.B., Rhee, J.K., Moon, S.Y., Choe, W. (2007) Feasibility study of material surface modification by millimeter size plasmas produced in a pin to plane electrode configuration. Thin Solid Films, 515(12), 4913-4917.
- Kondaries, D.I., and Verykios, X.E. (1996). Interaction of oxygen with supported Ag-Au alloy catalysts. Journal of Catalysis, 158, 363-377.
- Kraus, M., Ph.D. Thesis, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, 2001.
- Kruapong, A. (2000). Partial Oxidation of Methane to Synthesis Gas in Low Temperature Plasmas. M.S. Thesis, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok.
- Law, G.H., and Chitwood, H.C. (1942). Catalyst Composition for Oxidation of Ethylene to Ethylene Oxide. US Patent 2,279,470.
- Li, X., Bai, M., Tao, X., Shan, S., Yin, Y., and Dai, X. (2010) Carbon dioxide reforming of methane to synthesis gas by an atmospheric pressure plasma jet. Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology, 38(2), 195-200.
- Liu, Ch., Marafee, A., Mallinson, R.G., and Lobban, L. (1997). Methane conversion to higher hydrocarbons in a corona discharge over metal oxide catalysts with OH groups. Applied Catalysis, 164(1-2), 21-33.
- Macleod, N., Keel, J.M., and Lambert, R.M. (2003) The effects of catalyst aging under industrial conditions: ethylene oxide conversion over Ag-Cs/ $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. Catalysis Letters, 86(1-3), 51-56.

- Malik, M.A. and Malik, S.A. (1999). Catalyst enhanced oxidation of VOCs and methane in cold-plasma reactors. Platinum Metal Review, 43(3), 109-113.
- Mao, C.F., and Vannice, M.A. (1995). High surface area  $\alpha$ -aluminas: III. Oxidation of ethylene, ethylene oxide, and acetaldehyde over silver dispersed on high surface area  $\alpha$ -alumina. Applied Catalysis A: General, 122, 61-76.
- Matar, S., Mirbach, M. J., and Tayim, H. A. (1989). Catalysis in Petrochemical Processes, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht: The Netherlands, P. 85.
- Marta, C.N., Carvalho, A.de, Passos, F.B., and Schmal, M., (2007) Study of the active phase of silver catalysts for ethylene epoxidation. Journal of Catalysis, 248(1), 124-129.
- Nasser, E. (1971). Fundamentals of Gaseous Ionization and Plasma Electronics, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Patiño, P., Hernández, F.E., Rondón, S. (1995). Reactions of  $O(^3P)$  with secondary C-H bonds of saturated hydrocarbons in nonequilibrium plasmas. Plasmas Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 15(2), 159-171.
- Patiño, P., Sánchez, N., Suhr, H., Hernández, N. (1999). Reactions of nonequilibrium oxygen plasmas with liquid olefins. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 19(2), 241-254.
- Quoc Ana, H.T., Pham Huua, T., Le Vana, T., Cormierb, J.M., Khacefb, A. (2011) Application of atmospheric non thermal plasma-catalysis hybrid system for air pollution control: Toluene removal. Catalysis Today, 176(1), 474-477.
- Rafael, H., Arvind,V., and Enrico, M. (1990) Ethylene Oxidation Over  $\alpha$ -Alumina Supported Silver-Gold Catalysts. Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 55, 717-724.
- Rojluechai, S., chavadej, S., Schwank, J.W., and Meeyoo, V. (2006). Catalytic activity of ethylene oxidation over Au, Ag and Au-Ag catalysts: support effect. Catalysis Communication, 8, 57-64.
- Rosacha, L.A., Anderson, G.K., Bechtold. L.A., Coogan, J.J., Heck, H.G., Kang, M., McCulla, W.H., Tennant, R.A., and Wantuck, P.J. (1993). Treatment of hazardous organic wastes using silent discharge Plasmas. Non-Thermal

- Plasma Technique for Pollution Control, NATO ASI series, 34, part B, 128-139.
- Saktrakool, K. (2003). Oxidative Removal of Ethylene in a Multistage Plasma Reactor in the Presence of TiO<sub>2</sub>. M.Sc., Thesis, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok.
- Satterfield, C. N. (1991). Heterogeneous Catalysis in Industrial Practice. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Syedmonir, S.R., Plischke, J.K., Vannice, M.A., and Young, H.W. (1990) Ethylene oxidation over small silver crystallites. Journal of Catalysis, 123(2), 534-549.
- Sreethawong, T., Suwannabart, T., and Chavadej, S. (2008) Ethylene epoxidation in low-temperature AC dielectric barrier discharge: Effects of oxygen-to-ethylene feed molar ratio and operating parameters. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 28(5), 629-642.
- Sreethawong, T., Suwannabart, T., and Chavadej, S. (2009) Ethylene Epoxidation in Low-Temperature AC Corona Discharge over Ag Catalyst: Effect of Promoter. Chemical Engineering Journal, 115(1-2), 396-403.
- Sreethawong, T., Permsin, N., Suttikul, T., Chavadej, S. (2010) Ethylene Epoxidation in Low-Temperature AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge: Effect of Electrode Geometry. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 30(4), 503-524.
- Suga, Y., Sekiguchi, H. (2005). Epoxidation of carbon double bond using atmospheric non-equilibrium oxygen plasma. Thin Solid Films, 506-507, 427-431.
- Suhr, H. (1983). Application of nonequilibrium plasmas in organic chemistry. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 3(1), 1-61.
- Suhr, H., Schmid, H., Pfreundschuh, H., and Iacocca, D. (1984) Plasma Oxidation of Liquids. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 4(4), 285-295.
- Suhr, H. and Pfreundschuh, H. (1988). Reactions of nonequilibrium oxygen plasmas with liquid olefins. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 8(1), 67-74.

- Sutthiruangwong, S. (1999). Plasma Catalytic Production of Methanol. M.Sc., Thesis, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok.
- Tan, S.A., Grant R.B., and Lambert, R.M. (1987) Pressure dependence of ethylene oxidation kinetics and the effects of added CO<sub>2</sub> and Cs: A study on Ag(111) and Ag/ $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts. Applied Catalysis, 31(1), 159-177.
- Tan, S.A., Grant, R.B., and Lambert, R.M. (1987) Secondary chemistry in the selective oxidation of ethylene: Effect of Cl and Cs promoters on the adsorption, isomerisation, and combustion of ethylene oxide on Ag(111). Journal of Catalysis, 106(1), 54-64.
- Tezuka, M., and Yajima, T. (1996). Oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons with oxygen in a radiofrequency plasma. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 16(3), 329-340.
- Thevenet, F., Couble, J., Brandhorst, M., Dubois, J.L., Puzenat, E., Guillard, C., Bianchi, D. (2010) Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide Using Dielectric Barrier Discharge Associated with Fibrous Materials. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 30(4), 489-502.
- Tories, N., and Verikios, X.E. (1987). The oxidation of ethylene over silver-based alloy catalysts: 3. Silver-gold alloys. Journal of Catalysis, 108, 161-174.
- Torres, D., Illas, F., and Lambert, R.M. (2008) Towards an understanding of promoter action in heterogeneously catalyzed ethene epoxidation: Why chlorine is the best halogen. Journal of Catalysis, 260(2), 380-383.
- Viriyasiripongkul, S. (2000). Oxidative Coupling of Methane to Higher Hydrocarbons over Zeolite in AC Electric Discharges. M.Sc., Thesis, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok.
- Yan, K., Hui, H.; Cui, M., Miao, J., Wu, X., Bao, C., and Li, R. (1998). Corona induced non-thermal plasmas: fundamental study and industrial applications. Journal of Electrostatics, 44(1), 17-39.
- Yeung, K.L., Gavriilidis, A., Varma, A., and Bhasin, M.M. (1998). Effects of 1, 2 dichloroethane addition on the optimal silver catalyst distribution in pellets for epoxidation of ethylene. Journal of Catalysis, 174(1), 1-12.

- Yong, S.Y., Kennedy, E.M., and Cant, N.W. (1991). Oxide catalysed reactions of ethylene oxide under conditions relevant to ethylene epoxidation over supported silver. Applied Catalysis, 76(1), 31-48.
- Yu, S.J. and Chang, M.B. (2001) Oxidative conversion of PFC via plasma processing with dielectric barrier discharges. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 21(3), 311-327.
- Zhang, Y., Li, D., Wang, H. (2010) Removal of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) Mixture by Multi-Pin-Mesh Corona Discharge Combined with Pulsed High-Voltage. Plasma Science and Technology, 12(6), 702-707.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A Ethylene Epoxidation over Alumina- and Silica-Supported Silver Catalysts in Low-Temperature AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge

**Table A1** Effect of plasma volume-to-catalyst weight ratio on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Plasma volume-to-catalyst weight ratio (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Sole plasma	21.79	91.51	1.42	14.06
0.81	15.16	33.72	1.92	17.10
1.04	18.00	94.92	1.63	14.48
1.55	12.71	59.74	2.57	12.41
2.51	18.18	97.63	1.63	15.88
3.78	22.90	82.06	1.40	18.67

**Table A2** Effect of plasma volume-to-catalyst weight ratio on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

Plasma volume-to-catalyst weight ratio (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	EO yield (%)	Selectivity* (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Sole plasma	2.20	10.08	79.28	60.98	52.46
0.81	1.70	11.24	29.71	17.84	35.23
1.04	2.02	11.25	58.30	29.93	47.36
1.55	2.63	20.73	82.23	61.70	77.01
2.51	1.86	10.29	57.00	32.91	45.46
3.78	1.72	7.50	47.78	36.76	32.79

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion



**Table A3** Effect of Ag loading on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Ag loading (wt.%)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Sole plasma	21.79	91.51	1.42	14.06
0	25.49	97.68	1.03	17.33
5	12.71	59.74	2.57	12.41
10	9.28	57.69	3.50	14.07
15	10.07	46.69	2.96	15.62
20	9.96	58.03	3.25	15.54

**Table A4** Effect of Ag loading on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

Ag loading (wt.%)	EO yield (%)	Selectivity* (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Sole plasma	2.20	10.08	79.28	60.98	52.46
0	1.52	5.97	91.34	29.89	21.45
5	2.63	20.73	82.23	61.70	77.01
10	2.31	24.9	82.30	72.30	70.66
15	1.91	18.96	83.68	54.69	75.52
20	2.08	20.90	72.64	40.83	67.83

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table A5** Effect of Ag loading on the SiO<sub>2</sub> support on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Ag loading (wt.%)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Sole plasma	21.79	91.51	1.42	14.06
0	15.62	94.27	2.30	20.64
5	23.13	98.49	1.31	18.64
10	18.35	95.19	1.58	14.11
15	17.00	62.94	1.91	23.57
20	7.00	64.36	4.66	15.26
25	10.25	42.79	2.16	18.59
30	12.08	37.89	1.79	11.96

**Table A6** Effect of Ag loading on the SiO<sub>2</sub> support on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

Ag loading (wt.%)	EO yield (%)	Selectivity* (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Sole plasma	2.20	10.08	79.28	60.98	52.46
0	1.74	11.12	73.52	69.66	62.28
5	1.62	7.00	48.01	19.96	38.39
10	2.06	11.23	32.93	24.67	4.30
15	1.33	8.04	40.35	19.65	28.64
20	2.10	30.56	61.54	29.62	49.49
25	1.75	17.11	16.64	17.72	48.80
30	1.81	15.00	15.52	12.00	77.53

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table A7** Comparisons of the ethylene epoxidation performances in terms of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption under the sole DBD system, the DBD system with the unloaded supports and the DBD system with both supported Ag catalysts

DBD system	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Sole DBD	21.79	91.51	1.42	14.06
With Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support	25.42	97.68	1.03	17.33
With SiO <sub>2</sub> support	15.62	94.22	2.30	20.64
With 10 wt.% Ag/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support	9.28	57.69	3.50	14.07
With 20 wt.% Ag/SiO <sub>2</sub> support	7.00	64.36	4.66	15.26

**Table A8** Comparisons of the ethylene epoxidation performances in terms of EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products under the sole DBD system, the DBD system with the unloaded supports and the DBD system with both supported Ag catalysts

DBD system	EO yield (%)	Selectivity* (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Sole DBD	2.20	10.08	79.28	60.98	52.46
With Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support	1.52	5.97	91.34	29.89	21.45
With SiO <sub>2</sub> support	1.74	11.12	73.52	69.60	62.28
With 10 wt.% Ag/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> support	2.31	24.93	82.30	72.30	70.66
With 20 wt.% Ag/SiO <sub>2</sub> support	2.14	30.56	61.56	29.69	49.49

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Appendix B Ethylene Epoxidation in Cylindrical Dielectric Barrier Discharge:  
Effects of Separate Ethylene/Oxygen Feed**

**Table B1** Effect of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed position on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed position	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Mixed feed	10.62	62.62	1.53	6.26
0	10.17	62.93	1.50	6.82
0.25	2.89	60.15	6.32	8.04
0.50	6.82	36.63	2.37	12.40
0.75	4.77	28.83	3.34	-
1	2.11	26.05	7.50	-

**Table B2** Effect of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed position on EO yield, current, and selectivities for EO and other products

C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed position	EO yield (%)	Current (mA)	Selectivity ** (%)			
			EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Mixed feed	2.60	0.72	8.94	23.96	24.83	37.96
0	2.32	0.75	12.65	22.84	26.97	34.16
0.25	2.08	0.76	15.06	20.64	31.45	27.94
0.50	1.30	0.77	17.02	20.19	39.05	23.74
0.75	0	0.76	0	0	70.54	25.27
1	0	0.74	0	0	83.68	7.33

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table B3** Effect of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed position on concentration of outlet gas

C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed position	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)					
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Mixed feed	14.75	1.61	0.47	1.25	1.30	1.98
0	16.18	1.68	0.42	0.75	0.89	1.13
0.25	17.39	1.73	0.37	0.51	0.78	0.72
0.50	16.68	2.62	0.23	0.28	0.53	0.32
0.75	17.45	3.15	0	0	0.41	0.15
1	17.65	3.25	0	0	0.34	0.04

**Table B4** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
1/5	3.29	58.86	4.78	9.20
1/4	2.89	60.15	6.32	8.04
1/3.33	3.23	78.50	4.77	7.60
1/2.25	2.58	42.82	5.68	9.34
1/2	3.16	60.45	5.49	10.96
1/0.67	8.92	28.91	1.67	9.86

**Table B5** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio	EO yield (%)	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
1/5	1.71	16.11	17.95	29.70	33.68
1/4	2.08	15.06	20.64	31.45	27.94
1/3.33	1.92	11.92	18.32	29.42	36.65
1/2.25	1.57	12.39	18.72	33.37	31.33
1/2	1.55	11.81	17.31	32.68	35.01
1/0.67	1.51	11.86	22.48	38.42	24.16

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table B6** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio on concentration of outlet gas

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> Feed Molar Ratio	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)					
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
1/5	14.75	0.04	0.47	1.25	1.30	1.98
1/4	16.18	1.68	0.42	0.75	0.89	1.13
1/3.33	17.39	1.73	0.37	0.51	0.78	0.72
1/2.25	16.68	2.62	0.23	0.25	0.53	0.32
1/2	17.45	3.15	0	0	0.41	0.15
1/0.67	17.65	3.25	0	0	0.34	0.04

**Table B7** Effect of applied voltage on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Applied voltage (kV)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
12	5.12	99.28	2.36	5.60
13	4.60	99.83	3.12	5.03
14	3.34	99.47	3.64	5.36
15	2.89	60.14	6.32	8.04
17	4.81	55.96	3.15	6.68

**Table B8** Effect of applied voltage on EO yield, current, and selectivities for EO and other products

Applied voltage (kV)	EO yield (%)	Current (mA)	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)			
			EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
12	4.06	0.720	22.52	28.37	13.45	32.87
13	7.98	0.743	30.57	17.11	12.48	36.09
14	4.56	0.751	22.04	27.88	14.39	32.59
15	2.08	0.764	15.06	20.64	31.45	27.94
17	2.30	0.770	15.96	16.13	29.23	35.35

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table B9** Effect of applied voltage on concentration of outlet gas

Applied voltage (kV)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)					
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
12	21.89	0.03	.94	1.18	0.56	1.37
13	18.93	0.01	1.58	0.89	0.65	1.87
14	22.52	0.03	1.06	1.35	0.69	1.57
15	17.39	1.73	0.37	0.51	0.78	0.72
17	19.22	1.99	0.46	0.47	0.85	1.03

**Table B10** Effect of input frequency on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Input frequency (Hz)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
450	2.28	45.25	5.63	7.73
500	4.60	99.83	3.12	5.03
550	3.68	74.57	3.49	5.21
600	2.91	29.34	1.95	13.24

**Table B11** Effect of input frequency on EO yield, current, and selectivities for EO and other products

Input frequency (Hz)	EO yield (%)	Current (mA)	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)			
			EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
450	1.66	0.758	19.38	24.51	47.82	5.09
500	7.98	0.743	30.57	17.11	12.48	36.09
550	7.47	0.728	33.85	13.35	5.42	42.53
600	0.79	0.687	13.54	16.53	42.63	23.64

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product



**Table B12** Effect of input frequency on concentration of outlet gas

Input frequency (Hz)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)					
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
450	22.28	2.69	0.38	0.48	0.93	0.10
500	18.93	0.01	1.58	0.89	0.65	1.87
550	21.80	1.18	1.69	0.67	0.27	2.12
600	23.52	3.16	0.19	0.23	0.60	0.33

**Table B13** Effect of total feed flow rate on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
60	5.59	41.48	2.21	8.69
75	3.68	74.57	3.49	5.21
100	8.05	45.62	1.03	7.13
125	3.12	17.35	2.17	12.29

**Table B14** Effect of total feed flow rate on EO yield, current, and selectivities for EO and other products

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	EO yield (%)	Current (mA)	Selectivity ** (%)			
			EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
60	1.42	0.702	17.67	25.92	34.13	20.23
75	7.47	0.756	33.85	13.35	5.42	42.53
100	1.16	0.718	21.82	0.00	42.15	35.32
125	0.55	0.705	13.68	0.00	25.58	57.65

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table B15** Effect of total feed flow rate on concentration of outlet gas

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)					
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
60	24.84	1.30	0.37	0.55	0.72	0.43
75	21.80	1.18	1.69	0.67	0.27	2.12
100	22.16	1.74	0.28	0.00	0.54	0.45
125	23.01	2.91	0.13	0.00	0.24	0.55

**Table B16** Comparisons of the cylindrical DBD system performance using the separate C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed and the mixed C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed in terms of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

DBD system	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Separate feed	3.68	74.57	3.49	5.21
Mixed feed	10.62	62.93	1.50	6.30

**Table B17** Comparisons of the cylindrical DBD system performance using the separate C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed and the mixed C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed in terms of EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

DBD system	EO yield (%)	Selectivity ** (%)			
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Separate feed	7.47	33.85	13.35	5.42	42.52
Mixed feed	2.60	8.90	24.00	24.80	38.00

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

### Appendix C Ethylene Epoxidation in a Low-Temperature Corona Discharge System: Effect of Separate Ethylene/Oxygen Feed

**Table C1** Effect of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed position on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed position	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Mixed feed	14.19	39.57	0.63	1.82	40.94
0.1	4.21	29.62	0.46	2.78	25.20
0.2	2.35	25.98	0.68	4.88	16.84
0.3	4.50	26.05	0.64	2.61	18.22
0.4	6.21	31.85	0.46	1.87	25.47
0.5	6.59	27.95	0.48	1.76	23.94
0.7	3.90	26.54	0.40	3.05	29.45

**Table C2** Effect of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed position on selectivities for EO and other products

C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed position	Selectivity ** (%)					
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
Mixed feed	2.95	0	48.15	10.51	2.16	35.84
0.1	2.04	30.26	44.46	15.10	1.79	6.35
0.2	3.83	28.85	42.85	15.47	2.29	6.71
0.3	3.02	28.58	43.57	16.80	2.09	5.94
0.4	2.11	27.51	46.36	15.75	1.97	6.30
0.5	2.38	28.62	43.48	16.79	2.05	6.68
0.7	1.79	29.35	44.96	15.99	1.87	6.04

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C3** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
0.25:1	3.38	39.04	0.40	3.10	26.31
0.33:1	1.25	20.01	0.51	7.90	19.24
0.5:1	2.35	25.98	0.68	4.88	16.84
0.75:1	4.18	33.91	0.57	2.35	17.39
1:1	3.60	30.69	0.06	3.02	187.15

**Table C4** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio on selectivities for EO and other products

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)					
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
0.25:1	2.60	27.00	48.85	13.43	1.95	6.16
0.33:1	4.32	28.75	38.89	18.68	2.96	6.40
0.5:1	3.83	28.85	42.85	15.47	2.29	6.71
0.75:1	2.25	18.09	47.85	20.73	2.53	8.55
1:1	0.26	23.71	65.72	4.15	0.47	5.69

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C5** Effect of applied voltage on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

Applied voltage (kV)	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
15	2.35	25.98	0.68	4.88	16.84
16	2.43	36.92	1.12	4.34	9.42
17	2.24	39.82	1.34	4.34	7.26
18	2.25	44.33	1.76	4.74	6.07
19	2.00	47.82	0.90	5.10	11.27

**Table C6** Effect of applied voltage on current and selectivities for EO and other products

Applied voltage (kV)	Current (mA)	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)					
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
15	0.718	3.83	28.85	42.85	15.47	2.29	6.71
16	0.718	6.08	26.17	48.79	11.04	1.28	6.63
17	0.720	6.82	24.31	51.50	10.71	1.28	5.37
18	0.724	8.42	26.63	45.85	10.99	1.33	6.77
19	0.729	4.61	33.28	35.47	16.78	2.62	7.24

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C7** Effect of input frequency on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

Input frequency (Hz)	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
400	3.95	60.26	0.83	2.62	12.49
500	2.25	44.33	1.76	4.74	6.07
600	2.03	32.55	1.19	4.69	7.98
700	1.77	22.56	1.06	5.00	8.37

**Table C8** Effect of input frequency on current and selectivities for EO and other products

Input frequency (Hz)	Current (mA)	Selectivity ** (%)					
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
400	0.768	3.03	27.11	43.11	14.70	2.26	9.80
500	0.724	8.42	26.63	45.85	10.99	1.33	6.77
600	0.711	7.29	23.25	53.35	9.36	1.04	5.70
700	0.679	6.65	27.31	39.94	18.19	1.80	6.11

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C9** Effect of total feed flow rate on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions and power consumption

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Residence time (s)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
100	0.077	2.25	44.33	4.74	6.07
125	0.062	1.41	34.04	7.03	18.53
150	0.051	1.70	31.78	5.56	30.74

**Table C10** Effect of total feed flow rate on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	EO yield (%)	Selectivity ** (%)					
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
100	1.76	8.42	26.63	45.85	10.99	1.33	6.77
125	0.54	3.20	31.18	47.02	10.30	1.13	7.17
150	0.31	2.00	28.91	47.89	11.78	2.25	7.17

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C11** Effect of electrode gap distance on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions and power consumption

Electrode gap distance (cm)	Residence time (s)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
0.8	0.062	1.83	42.30	4.92	6.88
0.9	0.069	1.85	48.20	4.85	6.44
1.0	0.077	2.25	44.33	4.74	6.07
1.1	0.085	1.91	41.19	5.18	10.03
1.2	0.092	4.34	54.34	2.14	13.12

**Table C12** Effect of electrode gap distance on EO yield, current, and selectivities for EO and other products

Electrode gap distance (cm)	EO yield (%)	Current (mA)	Selectivity ** (%)					
			EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>
0.8	1.31	0.728	9.19	30.16	37.23	13.26	3.03	7.13
0.9	1.39	0.726	8.41	27.57	47.88	7.38	1.26	7.50
1.0	1.76	0.724	8.42	26.63	45.85	10.99	1.33	6.77
1.1	0.99	0.723	4.90	28.09	56.63	1.40	1.08	7.90
1.2	0.71	0.721	3.36	26.97	54.34	8.18	0.90	6.26

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product

**Table C13** Comparisons of the corona discharge performance using the separate and the mixed C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed in terms of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, and power consumption

DBD system	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>16</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
Mixed feed	14.19	39.54	1.82	40.94
Separate feed	2.25	44.33	4.74	6.07

**Table C14** Comparisons of the corona discharge performance using the separate and the mixed C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> feed in terms of EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

DBD system	EO yield (%)	Selectivity <sup>**</sup> (%)				
		EO	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>
Mixed feed	0.63	2.95	48.15	10.51	35.84	2.16
Separate feed	1.76	8.42	45.85	10.99	6.77	1.33

\*\* Selectivity based on total mole of product



## Appendix D Ethylene Epoxidation in an AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge Jet System

**Table D1** Effect of total feed flow rate on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions and power consumption

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Residence time (s x 100)	Conversion (%)		Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>21</sup> )	
		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
1,625	2.29	41.04	75.14	3.77	11.36
1,896	1.96	33.30	70.15	4.52	16.08
2,167	1.72	35.00	56.69	4.75	15.07
2,438	1.53	37.56	55.33	4.55	16.73
2,708	1.37	38.09	48.83	5.43	20.97
3,250	1.14	38.91	48.14	5.94	25.73

**Table D2** Effect of total feed flow rate on EO yield and selectivities for EO and other products

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	EO yield (%)	Selectivity* (%)				
		EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
1,625	13.63	33.22	34.81	3.87	0.52	0.02
1,896	11.10	33.32	29.29	3.74	2.47	0.08
2,167	11.03	31.50	27.33	4.52	2.79	0.14
2,438	10.21	27.19	22.99	3.64	0.20	0.09
2,708	9.86	25.90	18.21	5.13	2.06	0.10
3,250	8.98	23.08	13.93	10.66	0.26	0.11

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table D3** Effect of total feed flow rate on current and coke and water formations

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Current (mA)	Formation (%)	
		Coke	Water
1,625	0.523	25.57	12.66
1,896	0.532	20.10	10.75
2,167	0.521	21.37	12.38
2,438	0.521	25.87	18.51
2,708	0.520	25.45	19.66
3,250	0.511	25.63	24.24

**Table D4** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>21</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
0.20:1	29.39	67.32	7.62	8.80	33.96
0.25:1	41.04	75.14	13.63	6.07	18.29
0.30:1	47.30	73.54	7.85	6.51	39.24
0.40:1	42.18	81.42	5.39	5.35	41.85
0.50:1	44.23	87.66	6.77	4.36	28.45

**Table D5** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio on selectivities for EO and other products

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio	Selectivity *				
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
0.20:1	25.91	44.22	2.08	0.96	0.05
0.25:1	33.22	34.81	3.87	0.52	0.02
0.30:1	16.60	27.09	5.32	0.28	0.02
0.40:1	12.79	29.59	9.53	0.09	0.03
0.50:1	15.32	51.90	34.13	0.00	0.02

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table D6** Effect of O<sub>2</sub>/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio on current and coke and water formations

O <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> feed molar ratio	Current (mA)	Formation (%)	
		Coke	Water
0.20:1	0.520	20.86	8.18
0.25:1	0.523	25.57	12.66
0.30:1	0.530	36.79	26.35
0.40:1	0.511	32.71	24.20
0.50:1	0.518	22.35	14.49

**Table D7** Effect of applied voltage on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

Applied voltage (kV)	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>21</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
7	41.04	75.14	13.63	6.18	18.61
8	45.99	73.93	17.71	5.13	13.31
9	44.83	75.41	18.41	5.15	12.55
10	43.98	76.04	14.87	6.37	18.85
11	47.76	80.48	11.91	5.93	23.76
13	46.56	82.52	11.52	6.50	26.26
15	44.53	85.13	7.94	7.22	40.51

**Table D8** Effect of applied voltage on selectivities for EO and other products

Applied voltage (kV)	Selectivity <sup>*</sup> (%)				
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
7	33.22	34.81	3.87	0.52	0.02
8	38.51	34.85	4.67	0.51	0.01
9	41.06	39.09	5.48	0.47	0.02
10	33.81	44.72	6.67	0.52	0.02
11	24.95	44.10	7.03	0.50	0.01
13	24.74	48.14	7.95	0.51	0.03
15	17.83	59.08	9.04	0.42	0.02

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table D9** Effect of applied voltage on power, current, and coke and water formations

Applied voltage (kV)	Power (W)	Current (mA)	Formation (%)	
			Coke	Water
7	0.074	0.523	25.57	12.66
8	0.082	0.643	25.88	11.76
9	0.081	0.676	23.73	8.45
10	0.091	0.750	25.94	8.97
11	0.101	0.825	32.23	14.29
13	0.111	0.978	31.09	12.13
15	0.117	1.100	32.36	9.89

**Table D10** Effect of input frequency on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

Input frequency (Hz)	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>21</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
300	42.01	93.12	19.20	0.817	1.788
400	42.01	78.92	17.23	2.544	6.204
500	44.83	75.41	18.41	5.153	12.550
600	41.58	62.86	12.44	17.093	57.138
700	43.85	66.90	11.29	26.892	104.446
800	42.36	64.30	9.54	30.695	136.320
900	46.06	56.76	7.64	36.978	222.783

**Table D11** Effect of input frequency on selectivities for EO and other products

Input frequency (Hz)	Selectivity* (%)				
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
300	45.71	8.75	1.66	0.14	0.04
400	41.00	16.89	2.55	0.19	0.02
500	41.06	39.09	5.48	0.47	0.02
600	29.92	47.04	6.40	0.51	0.03
700	25.75	51.19	10.29	0.49	0.02
800	22.52	52.08	10.31	0.47	0.01
900	16.60	57.36	8.16	0.52	0.01

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table D12** Effect of input frequency on power, current, and coke and water formations

Input frequency (Hz)	Power (W)	Current (mA)	Formation (%)	
			Coke	Water
300	0.012	0.447	22.03	18.99
400	0.034	0.566	23.63	17.52
500	0.081	0.676	23.73	8.45
600	0.263	0.798	26.25	9.14
700	0.304	0.938	27.82	9.66
800	0.383	1.070	28.25	10.36
900	0.593	1.170	34.41	11.51

**Table D13** Effect of Spacing of inner pin electrode and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed point on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> conversions, EO yield, and power consumption

Spacing (mm)	Conversion (%)		EO yield (%)	Power consumption (Ws x 10 <sup>21</sup> )	
	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>		per molecule of C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> converted	per molecule of EO produced
0	42.01	93.12	19.20	2.96	6.47
3	49.95	91.23	27.57	3.33	6.03
6	21.99	93.49	7.30	10.47	31.53

**Table D14** Effect of Spacing of inner pin electrode and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed point on selectivities for EO and other products

Spacing (mm)	Selectivity* (%)				
	EO	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>
0	45.71	8.75	1.66	0.14	0.04
3	55.19	4.04	0.66	0.07	0.01
6	33.20	2.77	0.43	0.07	0.06

\* Selectivity based on C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> conversion

**Table D15** Effect of Spacing of inner pin electrode and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> feed point on power, current, and coke and water formations

Spacing (mm)	Power (W)	Current (mA)	Formation (%)	
			Coke	Water
0	0.0424	0.447	22.03	18.99
3	0.0438	0.441	22.01	20.28
6	0.0471	0.441	14.56	14.03

## CURRICULUM VITAE

**Name:** Ms. Thitiporn Suttikul

**Date of Birth:** March 3, 1985

**Nationality:** Thai

### University Education:

2003-2007 Bachelor Degree of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

### Publications:

1. Sreethawong T., Permsin N., Suttikul T. and Chavadej S. (2010) Ethylene Epoxidation in Low-Temperature AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge: Effect of Electrode Geometry. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 30(4), 503-524.
2. Suttikul, T., Sreethawong, T., Sekiguchi, H. and Chavadej, S. (2011) Ethylene Epoxidation over Alumina- and Silica-Supported Silver Catalysts in Low-Temperature AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 31(2), 273-290.
3. Suttikul, T., Sreethawong, T., Sekiguchi, H. and Chavadej, S. (2012) Ethylene Epoxidation in Cylindrical Dielectric Barrier Discharge: Effect of Separative Ethylene/Oxygen Feed. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing.
4. Suttikul, T., Yaowapong-aree, S., Sreethawong, T., Sekiguchi, H. and Chavadej, S. Ethylene Epoxidation in a Low-Temperature Corona Discharge System: Effect of Separate Ethylene/Oxygen Feed. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*. (in preparation).
5. Suttikul, T., Sekiguchi, H. and Chavadej, S. Ethylene Epoxidation in an AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge Jet System. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. (in preparation).



**Proceedings and Presentations:**

1. Jittiang, W., Suttikul, T., Sreethawong, T. and Chavadej, S. (2008) Multistage AC Gliding Arc Discharge System for Reforming and Partial Oxidation of Natural Gas. Proceedings of Thai-Japan Joint Symposium on Materials Science and Environmental Technology, August 19–20, Bangkok, Thailand.
2. Suttikul, T., Sreethawong, T., Ouraipryvan, P., Chumnunmanoonthum, J. and Chavadej, S. (2009) Ethylene Epoxidation over Supported Silver Catalysts in Low-Temperature AC Dielectric Barrier Discharge. Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Plasma Chemistry, July 26–31, Bochum, Germany.
3. Chavadej, S., Suttikul, T. and Sreethawong, T. (2010) Epoxidation of ethylene over silver catalysts in low-temperature AC dielectric barrier discharge: effect of support. Proceedings of the 19th International Congress of Chemical and Process Engineering CHISA 2010, and the 7th European Congress of Chemical Engineering ECCE-7, August 28-September 1, Prague, Czech Republic.
4. Suttikul, T., Sreethawong, T., Sekiguchi, H. and Chavadej, S. (2011) Effects of Operational Parameters on Ethylene Epoxidation Using a Cylindrical Dielectric Barrier Discharge System. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Plasma-Nanotechnology & Science, March 10-12, Takayama, Japan.
5. Suttikul, T., Chavadej, S., Sekiguchi, H. and Sreethawong, T. (2011) Ethylene Epoxidation in a Cylindrical Dielectric Barrier Discharge System: Influence of Feed Position. Proceeding of the 20th International Symposium on Plasma Chemistry, July 24 - 29, Philadelphia, USA.