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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## Rational and background

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune disease that has a high incidence and prevalence in Asia around 2.5-8.6 per 100,000 [1] [2] [3]. Since the severity of SLE is heterogeneous, a number of observations have suggested that it was possibly due to the nationality background and environmental exposures [1]. Previous studies have shown that African, Hispanic, and Asian have a higher severity when compared to Caucasian nationality [1]. Correspondingly, the vital organs affected, such as neurological and renal systems, are often found in Thai SLE patients [4]. These indicated that the Thai population's genetic background might contain specific SLE susceptible variants leading to higher disease severity.
SLE has $66 \%$ of heritability, mainly in young adolescent females [5]. There has been hypothesized that estrogens and double X-chromosomes in females are predisposing factors contributing to SLE [1]. Defective epigenetic silencing (methylation or histone modification) or X-Chromosome Inactivation (XCI) has been suggested to involve in SLE development [6]. Moreover, evidence in Klinefelter syndrome patients, an extra X chromosome (47, XXY) abnormality, showed an increased risk of developing SLE by 14 -fold [7, 8]. In the same manner, a previous study found that $23 \%[9]$. Thus, a genetic variation on X-chromosome might be associated with SLE development, especially in females.
Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) is genetic polymorphisms expressed greater than $1 \%$ in the populations [10]. It can localize on exons or splice sites, thereby interfere gene expression [11]. The SNPs have a huge influence on human phenotype, drug response and disease development [11]. Genome-wide association study (GWAS), a high throughput technique to identify SNPs, becomes a popular approach to characterize the susceptible loci-associated with disease [12]. In SLE, a number of GWAS studies have been reported susceptible SNPs on X-chromosome in many
different population [13]. For examples, in Chinese background has been reported rs4830478 ( $p$-value $=1.9 \times 10^{-9} ; \mathrm{OR}=1.33$ ) on TLR7(Toll-like receptor 7), rs17422 $\left(p\right.$-value $\left.=1.4 \times 10^{-14} ; \mathrm{OR}=1.26\right)$ on TMEM187 $($ Transmembrane protein 187), rs1059702 $\left(p\right.$-value $\left.=1.8 \times 10^{-16} ; \mathrm{OR}=0.76\right)$ on IRAKI (Interleukin-1 receptorassociated kinases) and rs887369 ( $p$-value $=9.2 \times 10^{-7} ; \mathrm{OR}=1.16$ ) on CXorf2 1 (Chromosome X open reading frame 21) [13, 14] [15].

Interestingly, functional annotation of some reported SLE susceptible alleles is to drive X -chromosome silencing failure and promotes immune cell activation, leading to autoimmune susceptibility [13, 16]. For example, the rs2 734647 in methyl-CpG binding protein $2(M E C P)$ allele, the highest and most consistent SLE susceptible alleles on the X chromosome has been demonstrated to affect epigenetic regulation, especially on the X chromosome [17] [7]. The rs 1059702 on IRAK1 is identified as a risk allele in Chinese, Japanese and Korean [18]. This SNP influences the coding sequence at position 196 in IRAK1gene, resulting in a missense mutation from serine to phenylalanine. This non-synonymous variation increases NF-кB transcription activity, thereby enhance inflammatory response in SLE patients [19]. Moreover, the rs5914778 within LINC01420 (Long intergenic noncoding RNA 1420); a specific expression in females [17], this SNPs disrupt DNase I hypersensitive site that controls X inactivation [7]. The rs 13440883 in GPR173 (G protein-coupled receptors 173 are risk loci in Chinese, European, and Thai SLE patients [13]. The GPR1 73 gene encodes the G protein-coupled receptor 1 family in the T-cell surface as extracellular ligands affect T-cell activation and morphology [20].

Although there is a specific allele study of X-chromosome polymorphisms in Thais, the whole genome analysis of SNPs on X-chromosome in the Thai population is still absent. Our study is the first study that characterizes the SNPs on X-chromosome in the Thai populations. This could open the windows for novel targeted therapy, which is one of Thailand's future expected treatment policies.

## Objective

To identify entire SNPs on X-chromosome associated with Thai SLE patients.

Conceptual framework


## CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE REVIEW

## Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)

SLE is a complex systemic inflammation resulting from auto antibody complexes with self-antigen [21]. SLE is one of the global health problems because of its chronic and relapsing-remitting disease course. In addition, standard treatment currently inhibits the immune systems, but it cannot cure the disease. SLE patients with more than 10-years of immune-suppressive drug treatment are usually ended up with mortality from severe infection or vital organ failure [22].
Females have a higher disease frequency more than males, in the ratio 9:1. This disease typically developed from teenage until late adulthood, approximately $15-44$ years old [1,23]. Because of the complication of disease pathogenesis, it is difficult to identify the virtual cause of disease. However, the risk factors associated with SLE development has been proposed including 1) environmental triggers such as UV, tobacco, infections, silica, and solvent [24], 2) Drug-induced lupus (DIL) such as hydralazine and procainamide [25], 3) hormonal factors such as progesterone, estrogen [1], and 4) genetic background. These factors induce gene alteration and start autoimmune disorders. Their immune system loses self-tolerance and produces autoantibody to stimulating inflammatory systemic (Figure 1).

It is well established that disease severity can be varied according to their nationality background [22]. Previous studies highlighted that Black, Asians, and Hispanics have a high incidence rate and more severity when compare with white people [26]. In Thailand, the prevalence of vital organs affected is high, especially renal disorders [27]. We hypothesize that specific genetic variants associated with SLE in the Thai population may be observed regarding this finding.


Figure 1 Pathogenesis of SLE (10)

## Genetic variation

Firstly, we would like to review basic genetics and important terminology using in this study. Unit for storage genetic code is call genes. We call the location of genes on a chromosome is locus. Each locus contains a sequence for protein-coding and noncoding regions the sequence includes 4 base types, or we know as allele A, T, C, and G. This allele always has pairs at the same loci because we received alleles from parents. There are two types of allele homozygous allele and heterozygous allele. Though the term allele is used initially to describe variation among genes and noncoding regions. Characteristic of allele influence on genotypes in an individual that affects phenotype expression. Therefore, allele frequency is necessary to analyze phenotype in populations [28].


Figure 3 Genotype and Phenotype [29]

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) is used to measure alleles the non-random association of alleles at two or more positions that can be coinherited. LD measure by $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ calculation [28].

Example calculation LD ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ )
SNP locus A: A1=T, A2=C SNP
locus B : $\mathrm{A} 1=1, \mathrm{~A} 2=\mathrm{G}$

## Haplotype Symbol Frequency

| Haplotype | Symbol | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A1B1 | x 11 | 0.6 |
| A1B2 | x 12 | 0.1 |
| A2B1 | x 21 | 0.2 |
| A2B2 | x 22 | 0.1 |

Calculated allelic frequency

| Allele | Symbol | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A1 | p1 | 0.7 |
| A2 | p1 | 0.3 |
| B1 | q1 | 0.8 |
| B2 | q2 | 0.2 |

$D=x 11-p 1 q 1: D=0.6-(0.7)(0.8)=0.04$
or
$D=\left(x_{11}\right)\left(x_{22}\right)-\left(x_{12}\right)\left(x_{21}\right): D=(0.6)(0.1)-(0.1)(0.2)=0.04$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}$ Calculating

$$
r^{2}=\frac{D^{2}}{p 1 q 1 p 2 q 2}
$$

Cut off $r^{2} \geq 0.2$ are interpreted to SNPs have LD, if $r^{2}=1$ is SNPs in complete LD.

## Genotyping

The method for determining differences in a person's genetic (genotype) by determining their DNA sequence and comparing to another person sequence or a reference sequence. It explains the alleles an individual has inherited from their parents. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a commonly used genotyping technique that must prepare a primer-pair and target-specific fluorescent probe. It takes a long time for a sensitive and specific way to detect SNPs [28]. The Genotyping method for GWAS must be appropriate with high-throughput sample processing to deliver highquality, genome-wide information, high-density oligonucleotide SNP arrays. Amounts of thousands of probes are arrayed on a small chip, recognizing many SNPs to be interrogated together because SNP alleles are only unlike in a single base and is a complication to optimal hybridization conditions for all probes on the array. Infinium

Asian Screening Array-24 v1.0 Bead Chip performs a genome-wide assay that can genotype over 500,000 human SNPs [30]. The Infinium Global Screening Array-24 v1.0 (GSA) Bead Chip is an advanced genotyping array that supports high-throughput processing of thousands of samples per week for population-scale studies medicine research. Robust, High-Quality Assay maintains the same data quality of Illumina genotyping arrays with call rates $>99 \%$ and reproducibility $>99.9 \%$ [30].

| $1-10$ | $\bullet$ TaqMan |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | • LightTyper |
|  | - Pyrosequencing |
| $1-500$ | • SNaPshot |
|  | - SNPlex |
|  | - Sequenom MassARRAY |
|  | - Illumina Golden Gate with BeadXpress readout |
| $384-3,072$ | - Illumina Golden Gate with iScan readout |
| $6,000-70,000$ | - Illumina Infinium iSelec Custom Beadchip |
| $500,000-4,800,000$ | - Illumina Omni Whole-Genome Array |
|  | • Affymetrix 6.0 Array |

Figure 4 The suitability of detection of SNPs by different genotypes [30]


Figure 5 The Infinium HTS Workflow-The Infinium HTS format provides a rapid 3day workflow with minimal hands-on time [30].

## Genotyping format files output

The PED file is a white-space (space or tab) delimited file: the first six columns are mandatory:

Family ID
Individual ID

Paternal ID
Maternal ID
Sex (1=male; 2=female; other=unknown)
Phenotype ( -9 missing, 0 missing, 1 unaffected, 2 affected)
MAP file describes a single marker and must contain exactly 4 columns (23):
chromosome (1-22, X, Y or 0 if unplaced)
rs\# or SNP identifier
Genetic distance (Morgans)
Base-pair position (bp units)
Binary PED files including 3 file FAM fila, BED file, BIM file. These files are often to analysis in the plink tool [31].
*.fam

| FID | IID | PID | MID | Sex | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |


*.bim

| Chr | SNP | GD | BPP | Allele 1 | Allele 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | rs1 | 0 | 870000 | C | T |
| 1 | rs2 | 0 | 880000 | A | G |
| 1 | rs3 | 0 | 890000 | A | C |


| Legend |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FID | Family ID | rs $\{x\}$ | Alleles per subject per SNP |
| IID | Individual ID | Chr | Chromosome |
| PID | Paternal ID | SNP | SNP name |
| MID | Maternal ID | GD | Genetic distance (morgans) |
| Sex | Sex of subject | BPP | Base-pair position (bp units) |
| P | Phenotype | C $\{x\}$ | Covariates (e.g., Multidimensional <br> Scaling (MDS) components) |

Figure 6 Overview of various commonly used PLINK files [31].

Researchers can currently use database SNPs to identify rs number as an accession number to refer to specific SNPs. It stands for Reference SNP cluster ID. Many SNPs database including the International HapMap Project aims to develop a haplotype map or HapMap of the human genome to explain the patterns of human genetic variation. To find variants affecting traits, and drugs response, and other factors. The project is freely available for the researcher [32]. However, the researcher is available to access many databases, including the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI), SNPedia [31]. 1000

Genome Project (1KGP): The project started in 2008 and finished in 2015 become the largest resource of human genetic variation or called single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) with frequencies of at least $1 \%$ in the populations. They identify around 40 million SNPs of the population from every region and assemble for one project.

| Database | Host organization | Gateway URL for initiating SNP data searches |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dbSNP | NCBI | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=snp |
| HapMap | The HapMap <br> Consortium | http://www.hapmap.org/cgi-perl/gbrowse/ |
| Ensembl | EMBL-EBI/Sanger <br> Center | http://www.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/index.html |
| Santa Cruz | University of <br> California, Santa <br> Cruz | http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway |
| Perlegen | Perlegen Sciences | http://genome.perlegen.com/browser/index_v2.html |
| Assays-on- <br> Demand | Applera (Applied <br> Biosystems) | https://products.appliedbiosystems.com/ab/en/US/adirect/ab? <br> cmd=ABGTKeywordSearch\&catID=600769 |
| SeattleSNPs | US NHLBI (PGA) | http://gvs.gs.washington.edu/GVS/ |

NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information, NHLBI, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, $P G A$ Program for Genomic Applications

Figure 7 The online single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) databases [33].

## Genetic Susceptibility in SLE on X-chromosome




Figure 8 Odds ratios for SLE risk loci based on the immunological pathways they affect [16].

Genetic is one acritical key factor contributing to SLE [16]. A previous study reported various genetic susceptibility of SLE which are involved mainly in the immune cell. The X chromosome are playing a crucial role in SLE, especially in females. Typically, one copy of the X chromosome in females are being silent through epigenetic mechanisms [34]. This process is called X-chromosome inactivation. The silencing is essential to keep specific gene expression in control and allow specific genes to express, specialize to immune genes coding on the X chromosome. Despite $23 \%$ of genes on the X chromosome are escaped from the silencing mechanism, resulting in females bias found in SLE [9]. In addition, many genes are escaping from the silencing process too. For example, TLR7, TMEM187, IRAK1, and CXorf21 are reported to escape from the silencing process [34-36]. The CXorf21 is functioned to induce interferon IFN- $\gamma$ and IFN- $\alpha$ in monocytes and B-cell [37], as well as TLR7, is an innate pattern recognition receptor that targeted single-stranded RNA, resulting in stimulating IFN-type I response.

Several studies identified SNPs on the X chromosome are SLE susceptibility specific with ethnicity. Example SNPs in Chinese SLE from GWAS are shown in Table 1. Taken together, this confirmed that the X chromosome may add a significant gender bias in female SLE patients.

Table 1 SNPs on X chromosome associated with SLE in Anhui China [17].

| SNP | Gene | Minor Allele | OR | SE | L95 | U95 | P -value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs1059702 | IRAK1 | G | 0.72 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.85 | $1.34 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs2239464 | MECP2 | G | 0.72 | 0.09 | 0.6 | 0.85 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs2734647 | MECP2 | G | 0.73 | 0.09 | 0.62 | 0.86 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs6631753 | DMD | A | 0.78 | 0.07 | 0.69 | 0.9 | $3.85 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs5956251 | - | A | 0.76 | 0.08 | 0.65 | 0.89 | 4.06E-04 |
| rs5972178 | - | C | 0.8 | 0.07 | 0.7 | 0.91 | $5.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs10218247 | - | A | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.66 | 0.89 | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs2536576 | - | G | 1.36 | 0.09 | 1.14 | 1.61 | $6.41 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs1860995 | ATP1B4 | G | 1.26 | 0.07 | 1.1 | 1.44 | $6.53 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs1860814 | - |  | 1.35 | 0.09 | 1.13 | 1.61 | 7.45E-04 |
| rs5914638 | - |  | 1.28 | 0.07 | 1.11 | 1.49 | 7.95E-04 |
| rs2516036 | FAM120C | G | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.85 | 8.90E-04 |
| rs2266888 | TMEM187 | G | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.66 | 0.9 | 9.57E-04 |
| rs2495794 | FAM120C | A | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.86 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2806010 | MIR548AE1 | G | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 1.4 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs17422 | HCFC1 | A | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.66 | 0.9 | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs3761622 | TLR8-AS1 | C | 0.74 | 0.09 | 0.61 | 0.89 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs942273 | MIR548AE1 | C | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 1.4 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1408095 | MIR548AE1 | A | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.4 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2495782 | FAM120C | A | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.87 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs17326228 | MORC4 | G | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.41 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960060 | - | A | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.4 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960395 | PHF8 | A | 0.68 | 0.12 | 0.54 | 0.87 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs17329976 | - | G | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.65 | 0.91 | $1.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs12556165 | - | C | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.93 | 1.81E-03 |
| rs12688561 | FAM120C | A | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.55 | 0.87 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5909765 | - | A | 0.74 | 0.1 | 0.61 | 0.89 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7062536 | PRPS2 | A | 0.8 | 0.07 | 0.7 | 0.92 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4288493 | - | G | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.43 | $1.93 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6612662 | - | G | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.43 | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4907832 | - | A | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.9 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4535870 | - | C | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.43 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914778 | LINC01420 | A | 1.25 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 1.44 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914860 | - | C | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.43 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5936901 | - | G | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.41 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| rs17267184 | RPS6KA6 | A | 0.74 | 0.1 | 0.62 | 0.9 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs1343096 | - | A | 0.74 | 0.1 | 0.62 | 0.9 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1560514 | FAAH2 | A | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.42 | $2.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1323751 | MIR548AE1 | A | 1.22 | 0.07 | 1.07 | 1.38 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs17281143 | - | G | 1.26 | 0.08 | 1.09 | 1.47 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6418619 | - | A | 0.8179 | 0.06579 | 0.7189 | 0.9305 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6612720 | - | A | 1.24 | 0.07048 | 1.08 | 1.424 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2026622 | LINC01420 | A | 1.24 | 0.07049 | 1.08 | 1.424 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914806 | - | G | 1.24 | 0.07049 | 1.08 | 1.424 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6616617 | MORC4 | A | 1.225 | 0.06666 | 1.075 | 1.396 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2532869 | - | C | 0.819 | 0.0658 | 0.7199 | 0.9318 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960810 | - | A | 1.239 | 0.07051 | 1.079 | 1.422 | $2.41 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5970959 | PTCHD1-AS | G | 1.26 | 0.08 | 1.09 | 1.47 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6638625 | - | A | 1.244 | 0.07201 | 1.08 | 1.433 | $2.43 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6521788 | - | G | 0.6944 | 0.1205 | 0.5483 | 0.8793 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960612 | PHF8 | A | 0.6924 | 0.1216 | 0.5456 | 0.8788 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5961058 | - | A | 0.8215 | 0.06511 | 0.7231 | 0.9333 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4379572 | - | G | 1.236 | 0.07041 | 1.077 | 1.419 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914776 | - | A | 1.244 | 0.07272 | 1.079 | 1.435 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5922916 | RPS6KA6 | A | 0.7489 | 0.09626 | 0.6201 | 0.9044 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2411864 | - | G | 0.77 | 0.09 | 0.64 | 0.91 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs3764880 | TLR8 | A | 0.7549 | 0.09364 | 0.6283 | 0.9069 | $2.67 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6611574 | - | A | 1.235 | 0.07046 | 1.076 | 1.418 | $2.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1527803 | - | A | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.93 | $2.84 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6529663 | - | G | 1.24 | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.43 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4826508 | LINC01420 | G | 1.233 | 0.0708 | 1.073 | 1.417 | $3.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2335517 | - | A | 1.301 | 0.08897 | 1.093 | 1.549 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914037 | - | A | 1.231 | 0.07035 | 1.073 | 1.413 | $3.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4843993 | - | G | 1.295 | 0.08755 | 1.091 | 1.538 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5915082 | - | A | 1.23 | 0.07 | 1.07 | 1.42 | $3.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5936343 | - | A | 1.294 | 0.08755 | 1.09 | 1.536 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5944365 | - | A | 1.286 | 0.0856 | 1.087 | 1.521 | $3.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs3788935 | TLR8 | A | 0.7606 | 0.09322 | 0.6336 | 0.913 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs11094927 | - | A | 1.214 | 0.066 | 1.066 | 1.381 | $3.34 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs995154 | - | A | 1.21 | 0.07 | 1.07 | 1.38 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960307 | - | G | 0.8266 | 0.06505 | 0.7277 | 0.939 | $3.43 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914785 | LINC01420 | A | 1.229 | 0.07064 | 1.071 | 1.412 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960235 | SPIN3 | G | 1.228 | 0.07034 | 1.07 | 1.41 | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs12835268 | - | A | 0.8238 | 0.06637 | 0.7233 | 0.9382 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914795 | LINC01420 | A | 1.229 | 0.07079 | 1.07 | 1.412 | $3.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5913993 | LINC01420 | A | 1.227 | 0.07063 | 1.069 | 1.41 | $3.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs726441 | - | A | 0.8299 | 0.06438 | 0.7315 | 0.9415 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5933907 | - | A | 1.268 | 0.08218 | 1.08 | 1.49 | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6641214 | - | A | 1.282 | 0.08586 | 1.083 | 1.517 | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| rs11094877 | - | A | 0.8262 | 0.06599 | 0.726 | 0.9403 | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs11091412 | - | A | 1.22 | 0.06888 | 1.066 | 1.396 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6612746 | SPIN3 | A | 1.225 | 0.07033 | 1.067 | 1.406 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5978593 | TLR8_AS1 | G | 0.7525 | 0.0986 | 0.6203 | 0.913 | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2269368 | ARHGAP4 | G | 0.817 | 0.07042 | 0.7117 | 0.938 | $4.11 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs3810757 | - | A | 1.269 | 0.08315 | 1.078 | 1.494 | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs10854983 | - | G | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 4.16E-03 |
| rs6612721 | - | A | 1.225 | 0.07073 | 1.066 | 1.407 | $4.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5925798 | - | A | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.71 | 0.94 | $4.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7884579 | - | G | 1.213 | 0.06736 | 1.063 | 1.384 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6571303 | TMEM187 | G | 0.8068 | 0.07537 | 0.696 | 0.9352 | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2056918 | - | G | 0.7275 | 0.1118 | 0.5844 | 0.9058 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5966868 | - | A | 0.7437 | 0.1041 | 0.6064 | 0.912 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7883778 | - | G | 0.6869 | 0.132 | 0.5303 | 0.8897 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5925786 | - | A | 0.8207 | 0.0696 | 0.7161 | 0.9407 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs9306569 | - | G | 0.83 | 0.06572 | 0.7297 | 0.9441 | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7065919 | DMD | G | 0.8288 | 0.06625 | 0.7279 | 0.9438 | $4.61 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914036 | SPIN3 | A | 1.22 | 0.07032 | 1.063 | 1.4 | $4.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960936 | - | A | 0.8294 | 0.06617 | 0.7285 | 0.9442 | $4.70 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5963635 | LOC286442 | A | 1.25 | 0.08 | 1.07 | 1.45 | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6617836 | - | A | 0.7956 | 0.081 | 0.6788 | 0.9325 | $4.76 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1342219 | - | A | 0.7278 | 0.1128 | 0.5835 | 0.9079 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6622208 | - | G | 1.206 | 0.0665 | 1.059 | 1.374 | $4.85 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5918209 | CASK | G | 0.7832 | 0.08709 | 0.6603 | 0.9289 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6523960 | - | G | 1.208 | 0.06739 | 1.058 | 1.378 | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5924847 | - | C | 1.251 | 0.08007 | 1.07 | 1.464 | $5.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6617830 | - | A | 0.7973 | 0.08095 | 0.6803 | 0.9344 | $5.13 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1323757 | - | A | 0.8303 | 0.0665 | 0.7288 | 0.9458 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914893 | - | G | 1.22 | 0.07 | 1.06 | 1.4 | $5.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs3859913 | - | G | 1.204 | 0.06631 | 1.057 | 1.371 | $5.20 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2890089 | - | C | 1.218 | 0.07064 | 1.061 | 1.399 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5936206 | - | C | 1.279 | 0.08811 | 1.076 | 1.52 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5913850 | - | A | 0.8319 | 0.06613 | 0.7308 | 0.947 | $5.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1937249 | - | A | 1.207 | 0.06752 | 1.057 | 1.377 | $5.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5916449 | - | G | 1.215 | 0.07017 | 1.059 | 1.395 | $5.43 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs12013552 | - | C | 1.215 | 0.07019 | 1.059 | 1.395 | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5921138 | - | A | 0.7492 | 0.1042 | 0.6109 | 0.9189 | $5.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2982249 | - | A | 1.217 | 0.07074 | 1.059 | 1.398 | $5.58 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs11795541 | - | G | 1.237 | 0.07672 | 1.064 | 1.438 | $5.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5925802 | - | A | 0.8248 | 0.06955 | 0.7197 | 0.9452 | $5.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5977894 | - | A | 1.207 | 0.06809 | 1.057 | 1.38 | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2188615 | - | A | 1.196 | 0.06468 | 1.053 | 1.358 | $5.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2188616 | - | A | 1.196 | 0.06468 | 1.053 | 1.358 | $5.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1925926 | GDPD2 | C | 0.8097 | 0.07638 | 0.6971 | 0.9405 | $5.72 \mathrm{E}-03$ |


| rs7055735 | DACH2 | A | 0.8101 | 0.07623 | 0.6977 | 0.9407 | 5.75E-03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs5936524 | EDA | C | 1.216 | 0.07088 | 1.058 | 1.397 | $5.78 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2214563 | - | G | 1.234 | 0.07617 | 1.063 | 1.432 | $5.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7877755 | - | A | 0.7225 | 0.1179 | 0.5734 | 0.9103 | $5.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5975417 | - | A | 1.206 | 0.06801 | 1.055 | 1.378 | $5.89 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4403537 | - | A | 0.7515 | 0.1039 | 0.6131 | 0.9212 | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs9699111 | - | A | 0.8354 | 0.06549 | 0.7348 | 0.9498 | $6.02 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs17344059 | - | G | 0.8153 | 0.07438 | 0.7047 | 0.9432 | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5926470 | - | A | 1.202 | 0.06693 | 1.054 | 1.37 | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6654792 | - | G | 1.259 | 0.08376 | 1.068 | 1.483 | $6.04 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2285563 | ARX | C | 1.195 | 0.06493 | 1.052 | 1.357 | $6.06 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914994 | FAAH2 | A | 1.212 | 0.06996 | 1.056 | 1.39 | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914700 | - | G | 1.217 | 0.07176 | 1.058 | 1.401 | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5986613 | - | G | 1.262 | 0.08477 | 1.069 | 1.49 | $6.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs697664 | - | G | 1.212 | 0.07009 | 1.056 | 1.39 | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1467342 | - | G | 1.212 | 0.07022 | 1.056 | 1.391 | $6.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5959353 | - | G | 0.7367 | 0.1117 | 0.5919 | 0.9169 | $6.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5953534 | - | A | 1.327 | 0.1035 | 1.083 | 1.625 | $6.28 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs765076 | - | G | 0.8179 | 0.07362 | 0.708 | 0.9449 | $6.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs707346 | SPIN2B | A | 1.211 | 0.07017 | 1.055 | 1.39 | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5928345 | IL1RAPL1 | C | 1.272 | 0.08823 | 1.07 | 1.512 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5961051 | - | A | 0.8357 | 0.06593 | 0.7344 | 0.951 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2808725 | - | A | 1.192 | 0.06468 | 1.05 | 1.353 | $6.53 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914902 | - | A | 1.21 | 0.07 | 1.05 | 1.39 | $6.61 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5978005 | - | G | 1.201 | 0.06746 | 1.052 | 1.371 | $6.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4826580 | - | A | 1.211 | 0.07053 | 1.054 | 1.39 | $6.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5908660 | - | G | 1.317 | 0.1024 | 1.078 | 1.61 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5977810 | - | G | 1.201 | 0.06814 | 1.051 | 1.373 | $7.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs859603 | SASH3 | G | 1.259 | 0.08565 | 1.064 | 1.489 | $7.20 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5960434 | - | A | 1.196 | 0.06686 | 1.049 | 1.364 | $7.32 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs209764 | NDP | A | 0.8377 | 0.0661 | 0.7359 | 0.9535 | $7.36 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs7059234 | - | G | 1.19 | 0.06489 | 1.048 | 1.351 | $7.37 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6610903 | EFHC2 | A | 1.322 | 0.1045 | 1.077 | 1.623 | $7.51 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1266322 | - | A | 1.21 | 0.0714 | 1.052 | 1.392 | $7.57 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5986629 | - | G | 1.243 | 0.08142 | 1.059 | 1.458 | $7.61 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5911059 | - | G | 0.8408 | 0.06498 | 0.7403 | 0.955 | $7.62 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs512119 | - | G | 1.203 | 0.06939 | 1.05 | 1.379 | $7.67 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6628425 | IL1RAPL1 | G | 1.226 | 0.07657 | 1.055 | 1.424 | $7.83 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6627929 | - | A | 0.8312 | 0.06956 | 0.7253 | 0.9526 | $7.85 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2280964 | CXCR3 | A | 1.198 | 0.06807 | 1.048 | 1.369 | $7.93 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6527265 | DMD | A | 0.838 | 0.0666 | 0.7355 | 0.9549 | $7.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5923562 | DACH2 | A | 1.204 | 0.06999 | 1.05 | 1.381 | $8.03 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5914734 | - | A | 1.209 | 0.07152 | 1.05 | 1.39 | $8.08 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs723556 | ARAF | G | 0.84 | 0.06586 | 0.7383 | 0.9557 | 8.10E-03 |


| rs6617168 | - | G | 1.192 | 0.06661 | 1.046 | 1.358 | $8.39 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs5928201 | DMD | A | 0.7415 | 0.1136 | 0.5935 | 0.9265 | $8.49 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs2071251 | ZNF185 | A | 0.8348 | 0.06871 | 0.7296 | 0.9552 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs6521411 | - | C | 1.206 | 0.0713 | 1.049 | 1.387 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5876155 | FRMPD4 | A | 0.7902 | 0.08973 | 0.6628 | 0.9422 | $8.69 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5955456 | - | G | 1.237 | 0.08124 | 1.055 | 1.451 | $8.75 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5942373 | - | C | 0.8139 | 0.07877 | 0.6975 | 0.9498 | $8.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs12009868 | - | A | 1.193 | 0.06744 | 1.045 | 1.361 | $8.97 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1489965 | - | G | 1.205 | 0.07143 | 1.048 | 1.386 | $9.05 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5975460 | - | A | 1.192 | 0.06753 | 1.045 | 1.361 | $9.14 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs4830593 | KAL1 | A | 1.207 | 0.0723 | 1.048 | 1.391 | $9.16 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5924783 | - | G | 0.8361 | 0.06867 | 0.7308 | 0.9566 | $9.17 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5924779 | ZNF185 | G | 0.8361 | 0.06871 | 0.7308 | 0.9567 | $9.20 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5911011 | - | A | 0.7329 | 0.1196 | 0.5798 | 0.9266 | $9.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs1860012 | - | G | 0.8413 | 0.0666 | 0.7383 | 0.9586 | $9.46 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5975439 | - | 1.192 | 0.06755 | 1.044 | 1.36 | $9.49 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| rs5930628 | - | 1.186 | 0.06585 | 1.043 | 1.35 | $9.54 \mathrm{E}-03$ |  |
| rs2813808 | - | A | 1.186 | 0.06598 | 1.042 | 1.35 | $9.66 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5935409 | - | G | 1.239 | 0.08297 | 1.053 | 1.458 | $9.73 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5923542 | DACH2 | A | 1.2 | 0.07063 | 1.045 | 1.378 | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5962817 | - | A | 1.189 | 0.06727 | 1.042 | 1.357 | $9.98 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| rs5933555 | KDM5C | A | 0.8124 | 0.08067 | 0.6936 | 0.9515 | $9.99 \mathrm{E}-03$ |

## Genome-wide associated study (GWAS)

The X chromosome has become popular to find SNPs susceptibility with the autoimmune disorder by Genome-wide association study (GWAS). GWAS is a high throughput technology for genotyping single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) from an interesting group applying statistical use. Single nucleotide polymorphisms are single base variation patterns which components of adenine (A), thymine (T), cytosine (C), or guanine (G). Stereotypes vary from person to person, ethnicity, and genetic background. That is why humans exhibit different phenotypes. The singlepoint base change of the SNP differs from the point of the mutation because the frequency of SNPs is greater than $1 \%$ in the population [38]. SNPs impact on the gene has reported $50 \%$ on non-coding regions, $25 \%$ are missense mutations, and the $25 \%$ left are silent mutations. The synonymous or nonsynonymous SNPs influence individuals' diseases exposed, drug response, and genome evolution [39].

The aim of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) is to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of which the allele frequencies vary systematically as a function of phenotypic trait values. Identification of trait-associated SNPs may subsequently reveal new insights into the biological mechanisms underlying these phenotypes. Technological advancements allow investigation of the impact of large numbers of SNPs distributed throughout the genome [40-42].


Figure 9 Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) [43]


Figure 10 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) pipeline [40].

# CHAPTER III MATERIALS AND METHODS 

## Data collection

We use previous publish data from Pattarin Tangtanatakul, Chisanu Thumarat et al., 2020


| Criterion | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Malar rash | Fixed erythema, flat or raised, over the malar eminences, tending to spare the nasolabial folds |
| 2. Discoid rash | Erythematous raised patches with adherent keratotic scaling and follicular plugging; atrophic scarring may occur in older lesions |
| 3. Photosensitivity | Skin rash as a result of unusual reaction to sunlight, by patient history or physician observation |
| 4. Oral ulcers | Oral or nasopharyngeal ulceration, usually painless, observed by physician |
| 5. Non-erosive arthritis | Involving two or more peripheral joints, characterised by tenderness, swelling or effusion |
| 6. Pleuritis or pericarditis | a. Pleuritis-convincing history of pleuritic pain or rubbing heard by a physician or evidence of pleural effusion <br> OR <br> b. Pericarditis-documented by electrocardiogram or rub or evidence of pericardial effusion |
| 7. Renal disorder | a. Persistent proteinuria $>0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{d}$ or $>$ than $3+$ if quantisation not performed OR <br> b. Cellular casts-may be red cell, haemoglobin, granular, tubular or mixed |
| 8. Neurological disorder | a. Seizures-in the absence of offending drugs or known metabolic derangements; e.g. uraemia, ketoacidosis or electrolyte imbalance <br> OR <br> b. Psychosis-in the absence of offending drugs or known metabolic derangements; e.g. uraemia, ketoacidosis or electrolyte imbalance |
| 9. Haematological disorder | a. Haemolytic anaemia-with reticulocytosis <br> OR <br> b. Leucopaenia-<4000/mm ${ }^{3}$ on $\geq 2$ occasions <br> OR <br> c. Lymphopenia-<1500/mm ${ }^{3}$ on $\geq 2$ occasions <br> OR <br> d. Thrombocytopaenia- $<100,000 / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in the absence of offending drugs |
| 10. Immunological disorder | a. Anti-DNA: antibody to native DNA in abnormal titre <br> OR <br> b. Anti-Sm: presence of antibody to Sm nuclear antigen OR <br> c. Positive finding of antiphospholipid antibodies on <br> 1. An abnormal serum level of $\operatorname{lgG}$ or IgM anticardiolipin antibodies <br> 2. A positive test result for lupus anticoagulant using a standard method, or <br> 3. A false-positive test result for at least 6 months confirmed by Treponema pallidum immobilisation or fluorescent treponemal antibody absorption test |
| 11. Positive anti-nuclear antibody | An abnormal titre of anti nuclear antibody by immunofluorescence or an equivalent assay at any point in time and in the absence of drugs |

Figure 12 1982-Revised American College of Rheumatology (ACR) Criteria for
Diagnosis of SLE. The patient fulfils 4 of 11 criterion will classify to SLE [44, 45].

Table 2 SLE patients' characteristics from Pattarin Tangtanatakul, Chisanu Thumarat et al., 2020

| Patients' <br> characteristics | Clinical cases |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Observatory cohort n = 455 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sample number after quality control processes
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The percentages of unknown clinical data ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ) in the observatory dataset are listed here. $\operatorname{Sex}=0.88 \%$, hematologic disorder $=1.76 \%$, neurological disorder $=2.20 \%$, ulcer $=4.18 \%$, discoid rash $=3.96 \%$, malar rash $=5.71 \%$, arthritis $=4.18 \%$, renal disorders $=1.76 \%$, and ANA $=9.89 \%$
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The percentages of unknown clinical data ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ ) in the replication dataset are listed here. $\operatorname{Sex}=0.00 \%$, hematologic disorder $=36.93 \%$, neurological disorder $=37.2 \%$, ulcer $=37.4 \%$, discoid rash $=37.2 \%$, malar rash $=37.47 \%$, arthritis $=37.2 \%$, renal disorders $=37.74 \%$, and ANA $=36.93 \%$

## Quality Control data filtration

This process is important. We must exclude poor data before the association test. We divide two-part of QC consist of individual filter and SNP filter.
Individual QC
In the individual part, we removed the gender discordant sample. This rule base on the heterozygosity rate on the X chromosome. Normally, females have a higher heterozygosity rate on the X chromosome than males that P -value can identify. Therefore, the P -value of the male is more than 0.8 ; the female is less than 0.2 . If samples have a P-value discordant to those criteria, the program will remove those samples automatically [12]. Thus, the sample with a low heterozygosity rate of less than $95 \%$ was removed after checking the allele on any locus of the individual sample. The sample that has high homologous and low heterozygosity must be deleted to reduce false positives. Heterozygosity rate identical by inbreeding coefficient is the level of genetically related mating between ancestry [12, 31]. Therefore, the sample with a high inbreeding coefficient means a low heterozygosity rate. The next step is identity by descent (IBD) or Pi-hat. This step helps to measure the pair of the individual sample who has genetic or allele from the same family identified by IBD calculation, called Pi-hat (PI). The Pi-hat are interpreting with 4 degrees including IBD $=1$ for duplicates or monozygotic twins, $\operatorname{IBD}=0.5$ for firstdegree relatives, $\mathrm{IBD}=0.25$ for second-degree relatives, $\mathrm{IBD}=0.125$ for third-degree relatives [12, 41]. After calculated the sample with Pi-hat, samples that are more than 0.125 must be removed to prevent false-positive error. The last step of individual QC is the genotype rate per individual check. We removed the sample with common SNPs expression less than $95 \%$ [12, 31].

## SNPs QC

In part of SNPs, we filter inferior quality SNPs from the study. Starting with test missing, we identify SNPs missing between case and control group. SNPs that are missing a difference p-value less than $1 \times 10^{-4}$ must be removed. Next, we continue with genotyping call rate per SNPs check. In this step, we excluded SNPs with a low expression of less than $95 \%$ of total SNPs [12, 31].

## SNP Call Rate/Proportion

|  | SNP1 | SNP2 | SNP3 | SNP4 | SNP5 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample1 | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | AG | GG | GA | $\mathbf{0 0}$ |
| Sample2 | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | GG | GG | AA | CC |
| Sample3 | AC | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | GG | AA | CC |
| Sample4 | AA | AG | GC | AA | CC |
| Sample5 | AC | AA | $\mathbf{0 0}$ | AA | CA |
| SNP Call Rate | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $80 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

Figure 13 Genotyping call rate per SNPs. (40)

Minor allele frequency (MAF) is the second or minor allele frequency beside the major allele and can be inherited together. MAF is used to estimate major allele distribution if MAF is less than $95 \%$, meaning that SNPs have no significance with the disease and must be excluded [12, 31]. Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) is the role for life heredity, including 1. no mutation 2 . random mating 3 . no gene flow 4. infinite population size, and 5. no selection [12, 31, 46]. It is the principle for maintaining race. In GWAS, the HWE is used to find SNPs with over-genotype error (Statistical methods for GWAS), and we exclude SNPs genotype error at p-value < 1 x $10^{-4}$.

## Pre-phasing and imputation data

Data pass filtered overrun to pre-phasing to estimate of haplotype from genotype data by SHAPEIT software tool. The process compares study data with database resource 1000 genome project ( 1 KP ) or hg 19 to evaluate the possible missing allele by the statistic applying. The pre-phasing step is to prepare data for impute step [47, 48].

Imputation genotyping data from the phasing step stage allow imputing data for boots variant SNPs from original data. The IMUPE2 software compares sample data with database references such as the 1000 Genomes project and HapMap Project, then
calculates statistics and replaces the possible variants within the sample sequence [49].


Figure 14 Pre-phasing and Imputing [50].

## Association analysis

Testing for any SNP shows that it is significant to the trail of interest by statistical proof. [51]. In other words, this is a method for case and control test in which each SNP was tested for association with disease or whether trails of interest. The statistical for GWAS is dependent on sample conditional such as single locus, control of population stratification, generalized linear models for covariate control sample then select a model for proof hypotheses such as odd ratio (OR), Relative risk (RR), and Logistic regression. PLINK is a program for the analysis of single SNP associations in genome-wide studies. The tests implemented include [41]. We chose logistic regression for this GWAS because it is a task like linear regression. This model is appropriate for a binary test (case-control) with multiple variable analysis (age, gender, genetic variants) and predictable risk allele outputs. Logistic regression is standard apply in GWAS $[13,52]$.

After association analysis, data were obtained to quantile-quantile plot (Q-Q plot) for performing graphical study p -value ( y -axis) against expected values ( x -axis) under the
null hypothesis of no association from a theoretical $\chi^{2}$-distribution [53]. To look up the SNPs' significant-high p-value from the average population.

## Function annotation

The SNPs with significant association levels are investigated their function by using Haploreg v.4.1 algorithms. This program is used to identified susceptibility loci and integrates expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) variants and their tissue-specific target genes from The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project [54].


Figure 15 Flow process chart

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Quality control and Impute result

The low-quality samples were filtered out according to the criteria mentioned in the materials and methods session, such as heterozygosity rate, gender discordant, and missing genotyping rate. After the QC processing, primary dataset has females $(\mathrm{n}=1,232)$, males $(\mathrm{n}=809)$ (Table 1). Simultaneously, females ( $\mathrm{n}=1,149$ ) and males $(\mathrm{n}=783)$ are remaining in secondary dataset. The inflation factors of primary and secondary datasets are 0.988 and 1.09799 , and the two-dataset merge is 1.09749 (Figure 14). These suggested that our population stratification has normal distribution when compared with the expected $p$-value. These confirmed that false-positive results could be devoid of the analysis.
Inflation factor value ( $\lambda$ ) calculated from a median p -value of study divided by median p -value of theoretical distribution (normal distribution), which is 0.4549 , the $\lambda$ value not over 1.1 that is meaning the study p-value are normal distribution [55]. Add inflation factor calculation from our data and mention figure 14A, figure 14B, figure 14 C .

Table 3 Sample number in primary data and secondary data after quality controls cutoff and imputation.
Primary dataset

| Gender | Raw data |  | QC Pass filter |  | After <br> Imputation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sample (n) | Variants | Sample <br> $(\mathrm{n})$ | Variants | Variants |
| Females | 1,319 | 21,510 | 1,232 | 15,163 | 132,144 |
| Males | 851 | 20,667 | 809 | 14,938 | 150,611 |
| Total | 2,170 | 42,177 | 2,041 | 30,101 | 282,755 |

Secondary dataset
Gender
Raw data
QC Pass filter

After Imputation

|  | Sample (n) | Variants | Sample <br> $(\mathrm{n})$ | Variants | Variants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Females | 1,226 | 21,380 | 1,149 | 15,096 | 132,180 |
| Males | 890 | 19,694 | 783 | 14,307 | 147,110 |
| Total | 2,116 | 41,074 | 1,932 | 29,403 | 279,290 |



Q-Q plot of GWAS p-values Meta-analysis of Chr X in SLE (Imputed)

C. Meta-two dataset $\lambda=1.09749$

Figure 16 Quantile- Quantile plot (Q-Q plot) of P values from the X chromosomewide association study (blue line). $-\log 10 \mathrm{P}$ values were plotted against the expected null distribution (red line) with inflation factor value $(\lambda)$ in two datasets and meta of two datasets.
1)

## Association result

Meta-analysis of females
SNPs on X chromosome specific in females at significant $p$-value $<1 \times 10^{-5}$ was shown in Table 4. Unfortunately, we found only one locus which is rs1059702 (pvalue $=4.54 \times 10^{-7}$, $\mathrm{OR}=0.68$ ) in IRAK1. However, linkage disequilibrium (LD) of that SNPs showed rs1734791 ( p -value $=1.04 \times 10^{-6}$, $\mathrm{OR}=0.69$ ) in MECP2 and rs6643656 ( p -value $=4.74 \mathrm{E} \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{OR}=0.68$ ) in TMEM187 which are also significant associated with Thai female SLE patients. The Manhattan plot showing significant SNPs are presented in Figure 15.

Table 4 List of SNPs on X chromosome association significant at $\mathrm{p}<1 \times 10^{-5}$ from Meta-analysis of the X chromosome in females.

| dbSNP | BP | A1 | A2 | Locus |  | Annotation | MAF | OR | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs1059702 | 153284192 | A | G | IRAK1 | missense | 0.2 | 0.69 | $4.54 \mathrm{E}-07$ |  |
| rs1734791 | 153330920 | A | T | MECP2 | intronic | 0.81 | 0.69 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |
| rs2734647 | 153292180 | T | C | MECP2 | 3'-UTR | 0.2 | 0.71 | $3.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |
| rs2075596 | 153297392 | A | G | MECP2 | intronic | 0.2 | 0.71 | $4.10 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |
| rs6643656 | 153254605 | C | G | TMEM187 | synonymous | 0.82 | 0.69 | $4.74 \mathrm{E}-06$ |  |



Figure 17 Manhattan plot of meta-analysis of the X chromosome in females from the primary dataset and secondary dataset. The cut-off p-value is $1 \times 10^{-5}$ (red line).
According to statistical power analysis. The significant locus in the SLE is labeled in orange.

Meta-analysis of males
Interesting, SNPs on X chromosome specific in males at significant p-value $<$ $1 \times 10^{-5}$ was show 4 loci repeated significantly in GPR101 region including rs6528443 (p-value $\left.=8.71 \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{OR}=3.55\right)$, rs $1413644\left(\mathrm{p}\right.$-value $=8.85 \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{OR}=$ 3.54), rs4829611 $\left(\mathrm{p}\right.$-value $\left.=9 . \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{OR}=3.53\right)$ and rs5929811 $\left(\mathrm{p}\right.$-value $=9.76 \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{6}$, $\mathrm{OR}=3.52$ ) (Table 5). According to literature review, these SNPs has not been identified in other population. The Manhattan plot showing significant SNPs associated with Thai male SLE patients was show in Figure 16.

Table 5 List of SNPs on X chromosome association significant at $\mathrm{p}<1 \times 10^{-5}$ from Meta-analysis of the X chromosome in males.

| dbSNP | BP | A1 | A2 | Locus | Annotation | MAF | OR | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs6528443 | 136353993 | T | C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.55 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rs1413644 | 136355984 | G | A | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.55 | $8.85 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rs4829611 | 136355922 | T | C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.53 | $9.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rs5929811 | 136356727 | T | G | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.53 | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ |

Meta-analysis
The meta-analysis using primary dataset and secondary dataset all susceptibility SNPs were are reported in Table 6. The loci significantly at p-value 1 x 10-3 was show 214 loci seeing in Manhattan plot (Figure 17).

The highest known SLE susceptibility loci is IRAK1- MECP2- TMEM187 loci, and we found in Thai SLE population including rs1059702 (p-value $=1.82 \times 10-7 ;$ OR $=$ 0.68 ). Next, we identified on IRAK1- MECP2- TMEM187 and the risk loci of TLR region express rs3853839 (p-value $=2.03 \times 10-4 ; \mathrm{OR}=0.74$ ) on TLR7and X:9165034 (p-value $=1.14 \times 10-5 ;$ OR=1.3) on FAM9B (Family With Sequence Similarity 9 Member B) and rs12398129 ( p -value $=1.72 \times 10-4 ; \mathrm{OR}=1.39$ ) on CXorf61. The results are consistent with the previous reports [12, 13, 54] (Table 7). Strikingly, meta-analysis of two datasets also identifies several novel susceptible loci significantly associated with Thai SLE patients. First, rs5961374 (p-value $=2.50 \mathrm{x}$ $10-4 ;$ OR $=0.61$ ) on NLGN4X (Neuroligin 4 X-Linked) , rs11282724 ( $p$-value $=4.31$
x 10-4; OR $=0.79$ ) on EFHC2 (EF-Hand Domain Containing 2), rs138858396 ( pvalue $=2.42 \times 10-4 ; \mathrm{OR}=3.09$ ) on WAS (Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome), rs75079700 (p-value $=8.21 \times 10-4 ;$ OR $=0.77$ ) on SNX12 (Sorting Nexin 12) , rs11094246 (pvalue $=1.87 \times 10-4 ; \mathrm{OR}=0.60)$ on NHSL2 $($ NHS like 2$)$, rs3861732 $(\mathrm{p}$-value $=2.68$ x 10-4; OR $=1.33$ ) on TCEAL5 (Transcription Elongation Factor A Like 5), rs6528443 ( p -value $=8.71 \times 10-6$; OR $=3.55$ ) GPR101 (G protein-coupled receptor 101 or GPCR101) and rs7052503 ( p -value $=2.46 \times 10-4$; $\mathrm{OR}=0.77$ ) on MIR891A (MicroRNA 891a) (Table 8).

Table 6 List of know SNPs on X chromosome association significant at $\mathrm{p}<1 \times 10^{-3}$ from Meta-analysis of X chromosome in primary dataset and secondary dataset.

| dbSNP | BP | A1 | A2 | Locus | Annotation | MAF | OR | P |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs1059702 | 153284192 | A | G |  | IRAK1 | missense | 0.2 | 0.68 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ |
| X:9165034 | 9165034 | A | AAAAAT | FAM9B | none | 0.35 | 1.3 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |
| rs12398129 | 115778625 | C | A |  | CXorf61 | none | 0.14 | 1.39 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs3853839 | 12907658 | C | G | TLR7 | 3'-UTR | 0.76 | 0.74 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-04$ |  |

Table 7 List of novel SNPs on X chromosome association significant at $\mathrm{p}<1 \times 10^{-3}$ from Meta-analysis of X chromosome in primary dataset and secondary dataset.

| dbSNP | BP | A1 | A2 | Locus | Annotation | MAF | OR | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs6528443 | 136353993 | T | C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.55 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| rs11094246 | 71361418 | C | T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.6 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs138858396 | 48518415 | G | A | WAS | none | 0.07 | 3.09 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs7052503 | 145297247 | C | T | MIR891A | none | 0.33 | 0.77 | $2.46 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs5961374 | 5751679 | C | T | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.78 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs3861732 | 102519802 | G | A | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.33 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs11282724 | 44016996 | C | CCCGCCA | EFHC2 | none | 0 | 0.79 | $4.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| rs75079700 | 70271108 | C | CA | SNX12 | none | 0.16 | 0.77 | $8.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ |



Figure 18 Manhattan plot of meta-analysis of the X chromosome from the primary dataset and secondary dataset. The cut-off p-value is $1 \times 10^{-3}$ (red line). According to statistical power analysis. The novel significant locus in SLE is labeled in green. The known significant locus is labeled in yellow.

LD $\left(r^{2}\right)$ score of SNPs around novel loci was shown in Locus zoom plot (Figure 18 AH). SNPs around of novel location express $r^{2}>0.2$ that confirm novel SNPs are heritable with non-random association.

| LD Ref Var |
| :--- |
| $1.0>r^{2} \geq 0.8$ |
| $0.8>r^{2} \geq 0.6$ |
| $0.6>r^{2} \geq 0.4$ |
| $0.4>r^{2} \geq 0.2$ |
| $0.2>r^{2} \geq 0.0$ |
| no $r^{2}$ data |






Figure 19 The Locus zoom plots of 8 novel SNPs (A-H) show the location and SNPs surrounding LD (r2).

Next, we tested the gene expression correlation with significant associated SNPs from our data. Interestingly, our noyel identified SNPs including rs11094246 on NHSL2, rs 138858396 on WAS, rs5961374 on NLGN4X, and rs75079700 on SNX12. are significantly correlated with gene expression in specific tissues. This result confirms that SNPs might be associated with the pathogenesis of SLE patients. However, experimental validation is needed to confirm this finding.

## Function annotation GTEx result

Table 8 Results of eQTL analyses for novel loci in multiple human tissues.

| Gene | SNP | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | NES | Tissue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-48$ | 0.53 | Esophagus - Mucosa |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $6.50 \mathrm{E}-34$ | 0.36 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 0.36 | Skin - Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-23$ | 0.23 | Cells - Cultured fibroblasts |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-22$ | 0.38 | Colon - Transverse |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-19$ | 0.48 | Liver |


| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.20 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.29 | Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 5.50E-18 | 0.23 | Lung |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 0.18 | Muscle - Skeletal |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 8.10E-17 | 0.33 | Artery - Aorta |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.31 | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $5.20 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.23 | Thyroid |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.22 | Whole Blood |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-13$ | $0.27$ | Heart - Atrial Appendage |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.2 | Whole Blood |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-12$ | -0.18 | Thyroid |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $5.20 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.32 | Stomach |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.3$ | Colon - Sigmoid |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $-0.19$ | Nerve - Tibial |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $9.70 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $0.18$ | Artery - Tibial |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.22 | Heart - Left Ventricle |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-0.3$ | Spleen |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.60 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.31 | Spleen |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.15 | Testis |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.3 | Pituitary |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.15 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $5.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.41 | Cells - EBV-transformed lymphocytes |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.28 | Small Intestine - Terminal Ileum |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $2.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.26 | Pancreas |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 7.90E-07 | 0.29 | Artery - Coronary |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000012 | 0.26 | Adrenal Gland |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000012 | -0.23 | Nerve - Tibial |


| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000026 | 0.18 | Breast - Mammary Tissue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000032 | -0.19 | Thyroid |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.000004 | -0.31 | Prostate |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000049 | 0.23 | Prostate |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.0000063 | 0.23 | Esophagus - Gastroesophageal Junction |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.000013 | 0.2 | Brain - Hippocampus |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.000018 | -0.36 | Cells - EBV-transformed lymphocytes |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.000033 | $-0.18$ | Pituitary |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.000069$ | $0.05$ | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.000078$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 0.09 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | Muscle - Skeletal |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.0001$ | $-0.12$ | Esophagus - Gastroesophageal Junction |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.00011$ | $0.13$ | Thyroid |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.00016 | $-0.26$ | Esophagus - Gastroesophageal Junction |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.00017$ | $0.11$ | Nerve - Tibial |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.00021 | -0.21 | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | $0.00024$ | $0.08$ $1$ | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| NHSL2 | rs11094246 | 0.00044 | -0.16 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-21$ | 0.4 | Skin - Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $7.60 \mathrm{E}-18$ | 0.36 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}-17$ | 0.38 | Lung |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.39 | Cells - Cultured fibroblasts |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-16$ | 0.38 | Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $5.60 \mathrm{E}-15$ | 0.32 | Thyroid |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 1.10E-14 | 0.32 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 0.39 | Breast - Mammary Tissue |


| WAS | rs138858396 | 6.60E-14 | 0.34 | Nerve - Tibial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.34 | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.32 | Esophagus - Mucosa |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.41 | Stomach |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-11$ | 0.29 | Artery - Tibial |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.12 | Muscle - Skeletal |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.25 | Muscle - Skeletal |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $3.10 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $0.39$ | Spleen |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $4.40 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 0.34 | Colon - Transverse |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $3.90 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 0.23 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-09$ | -0.34 | Brain - Cerebellum |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $4.20 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $0.35$ | Colon - Sigmoid |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.39 | Pancreas |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.21 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.19 | Artery - Tibial |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $8.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.15 | Esophagus - Mucosa |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $1.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.41 | Small Intestine - Terminal Ileum |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.19 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.13 | Esophagus - Mucosa |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.60 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.2 | Cells - Cultured fibroblasts |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $2.70 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Whole Blood |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $6.20 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.25 | Brain - Cerebellar Hemisphere |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000012 | 0.16 | Whole Blood |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000014 | 0.57 | Vagina |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000015 | 0.26 | Artery - Aorta |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000016 | 0.36 | Artery - Coronary |


| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000021 | -0.11 | Heart - Left Ventricle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000025 | 0.28 | Esophagus - Gastroesophageal Junction |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000027 | -0.12 | Heart - Atrial Appendage |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000036 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Artery - Tibial |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000037 | 0.27 | Pituitary |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000064 | 0.16 | Breast - Mammary Tissue |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000072 | 0.1 | Esophagus - Muscularis |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0000087 | $0.19$ | Breast - Mammary Tissue |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000011$ | $0.45$ | Cells - EBV-transformed lymphocytes |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000015$ | $0.15$ | Artery - Tibial |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.000016 | 0.16 | Skin - Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000022$ | $0.23$ | Heart - Atrial Appendage |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0,000026$ | $-0.15$ | Brain - Cerebellum |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0,00003 | 0.16 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.000036 | 0.36 | Brain - Cerebellar Hemisphere |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000045$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.07 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | Whole Blood |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000052$ | $0.1$ | Colon - Transverse |
| WAS | rs138858396 | $0.000055$ | $-0.18$ | Brain - Putamen (basal ganglia) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.000074 | 0.16 | Nerve - Tibial |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.000079 | 0.18 | Breast - Mammary Tissue |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.00017 | 0.17 | Testis |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.00018 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | Lung |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.00019 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | Testis |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.00021 | -0.17 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.0003 | 0.14 | Skin - Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) |
| WAS | rs138858396 | 0.00038 | 0.1 | Nerve - Tibial |


| NLGN4X | rs5961374 | 0.0000075 | -0.14 | Testis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NLGN4X | rs5961374 | 0.000014 | -0.15 | Heart - Atrial Appendage |
| NLGN4X | rs5961374 | 0.000019 | -0.18 | Heart - Left Ventricle |
| TCEAL5 | rs3861732 | 0.00002 | 0.3 | Nerve - Tibial |
| TCEAL5 | rs3861732 | 0.000081 | -0.32 | Esophagus - Gastroesophageal |
| TCEAL5 | rs3861732 | 0.00036 | -0.15 | Artery - Tibial |
| TCEAL5 | rs3861732 | 0.00056 | -0.15 | Artery - Tibial |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-13$ | -0.32 | Skin - Sun Exposed (Lower leg) |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 0.21 | Testis |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | $5.60 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.09 | Lung |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.25 | Brain - Nucleus accumbent (basal |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -0.23 | Skin - Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.0000057 | -0.29 | Brain - Hippocampus |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.000016 | 0.07 | Thyroid |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.000022 | -0.23 | Brain - Putamen (basal ganglia) |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.000055 | -0.28 | Brain - Cerebellar Hemisphere |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.0001 | -0.19 | Esophagus - Mucosa |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.00012 | -0.18 | Whole Blood |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.00018 | -0.18 | Adipose - Subcutaneous |
| SNX12 | rs75079700 | 0.00024 | - | Nerve - Tibial |
|  |  |  | 5 |  |
|  |  | 0.07 |  |  |

NES: normalized effect size. The threshold of $P$ values is $0.05 / 47 \approx 0.001$.

## Discussion

Our study focuses on the SNPs on X-chromosomes in Thai SLE patients. The significant p -value is the first critical to determining susceptibility loci. The p-value can classify true loci affected to trials by random chance and testing alternative hypotheses [56]. After which the OR value can represent risk allele who carry will have a chance to disease ( $\mathrm{OR}>1$ ) and the protective allele to less a chance to developing to disease $(\mathrm{OR}<1)$ [57].

According to our results, we identified several known SLE susceptible loci in Thai SLE patients such as IRAK1-MECP2-TMEM187 region, $\operatorname{TLR}$-7, $\operatorname{TLR}-8$ [58, 59]. These showed the reliability of our analysis processing. The IRAK1 is a signaling protein affecting the innate and adaptive immune system, especially the interleukin-1 receptor, transcription factor NF-кB [60]. While MECP2 (rs1734791, p $=4.63 \times 10-7$, $\mathrm{OR}=0.68$ ) are key role for supporting suppression genes on X chromosome [7], the decrease of MECP2 can stimulate risk to lupus [60]. The IRAK1-MECP2-TMEM187 region is neighbor genes located on Xq28. The SNPs rs 1059702 on IRAK1 is the highest significance of SLE in the East Asian population, and the SNPs on ARHGAP4, NAA10, RENBP, HCFC1, TMEM187, IRAK1, and MECP2 showed strong $\mathrm{LD}(\mathrm{r} 2>0.2)$ inheritable with rs1059702 [60]. Consistent with the previous study, the SNPs on ARHGAP4, NAA10, RENBP, HCFC1, TMEM187, IRAK1, and MECP2 were associated in Thai SLE patients.
Another important significant locus is rs3853839 C $>\mathrm{G}$ on TLR7. These SNPs have been found to increases TLR7 expression and IFN (interferon type I) release. The IFN I can induce a new-form transition (TR) B-cell. This type of B-cells produces autoantibody to self-antigen, progressing to SLE pathogenesis [61].
In additional SNPs on FAM9B were report in the Asian SLE such as rs1876415 (pvalue $=4.6 \times 10^{-4}, \mathrm{OR}=1.18$ ) and SNPs nearly FAM9B (rs5934505, p $p$-value $=$ $5.6 \times 10^{-16}$ ) have been reported an affected to decrease testosterone level in males [62, 63]. Low testosterone concentration increases the risk of autoimmune disease in males. Testosterone's role in the immune system was identified that could suppression BAFF (B-cell activating factor) cytokine or TNFSF13B (Tumor necrosis factors Superfamily Member 13b) [64]. The BAFF can increase splenic B cell survival and differentia [65]. Therefore, overexpress of BAFF can induce massive B cells and lead
to autoantibody-producing [66]. This finding related to our result shows loci at X:9165034 on FAM9B in SLE patients. The CXorf61 is highly expressed in tumor or cancer cells but it is still unknown in SLE [67, 68]. This mechanism can explain why men have a protective from the autoimmune condition more than women.
Novel discovered SLE susceptible alleles are also identified on GPR101 at Xq26.3. These alleles are highly repeated loci and are shown specifically associated with the male X chromosome in Thai SLE patients. Previously, GWAS study reported a novel SNP, rs $13440883(\mathrm{p}$-value $=7.53 \times 10-9$, OR $=1.16$ ) within GPR173 ( G proteincoupled receptor 173) and upstream of GPR19 are susceptibility to SLE [13, 69]. Correlated with our finding, the GPR101 encodes G protein-coupled receptor 101 and receptors of leukocytes, including neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages. A recent study covered GPR101 as an immunoregulator, when combined with N-3 docosapentaenoic acid-derived resolvin D5 (RvD5n-3 DPA). Their ability can inhibit leukocyte transmigration to the inflammatory site, promote macrophage eliminate cell death and antigen. Lack of Gpr101 led to neutrophil migration to increase inflammation in arthritis mice [70]. Leukocytes play an essential role in SLE. They were stimulating tissue inflammation by releasing proinflammatory cytokines such as Type I interferons (IFNs), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and $\mathrm{IL}-1 \beta$ there is influence on the severity in SLE [71, 72]. Leukocyte apoptosis is reported high level in SLE and without adequate clearance [73]. This abnormality inducible nuclear autoantigen exposure led to auto-antibody production, which is associated with severity level in SLE [74]. The RvD5n-3 DPA amino acid interaction with the GPR101 receptor can promote macrophage clearance apoptotic cell; this mechanism might be elevated auto-antibody production [70, 75]. Moreover, the GPR101 co-action with RvD5n-3 DPA inhibits the invasion of neutrophils to the inflammatory site, which reduces inflammation levels [70]. These covered functions of GPR101 are the essential key to understanding the SLE in males. The GPR101 is immunoregulation and specific in male patients. We might assume the GPR101 is crucial for males to developing SLE. However, there is no reported mutation of GPR101 in SLE patients, and our SNPs rs6528443 closets GPR101 not provided in GTEx. Despite this, these findings are necessary for future investigations. According
to the above, these results represent informative data that could be useful for further Genomics Thailand Project.

The novel susceptible loci identified in our study express NHSL2 or NHS like 2 is an association with Nance-Horan syndrome cause by Cataract 40, X-linked disorder. NHS protein is necessary for cell morphology and inhibits actin cytoskeletal that can cause cell mobilization and adhesion disorder. Moreover, loss of NHS protein decreased the WAVE complex in immune cells led to disturbing immune synapse (IS) formation due to immune dysfunction [76]. Consistent with Riccardo Papa, et al., 2021 reported actin formation problem is the cause of immune dysfunction and autoinflammation.

The $W A S$ genes had been reported to affect T-cell structure impairment and promote T-cell migration. Our study identified two SNPs associated with actin formation, including rs1 1094246 at NHSL2 and rs138858396 at WAS. These findings may have influenced SLE development.

NLGN4X is a cause of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and was identified escape from XCI [9, 77, 78]. TCEAL7 and TCEAL6 are high expressions in ovarian cancer cells motivated by genes escape from X chromosome inactivation (XCI) [79]. This event may include association with SLE. These genes need to identify in the immune system in the future. In black SLE patients are rich in anti-nuclear antibodies, antiSm , and anti-RNP antibodies [80]. Those complex with proteins ( $70 \mathrm{Kd}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}$ ) translation from the U1 gene coincided with our study, which found SNPs rs77418624 at U1 or 3' SNX12 locus in the Thai SLE population[81].

Interestingly, the miR-891A which has been reported in exosome extracted from nasopharyngeal carcinoma [82]. It inhibits Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation while promoting Treg cell differentiation by decreasing the activity of extracellular signalregulated kinases (ERK), signal transducer, and activator of transcription (STAT) 1 and STAT3 and increasing the activity of STAT5 in exosome-treated T cells [83]. The polymorphisms on miR-891A might be associated with low-level T-reg cells found among SLE patients [84].

Furthermore, XCI has determined significant with immune action in females according to introduction part, the SNPs on X chromosome reported escaped from XCI are significant with SLE. Previous studies determined SNPs escaped XCI such as
rs887369 on CXorf21 [35] Epigenetic features control the XCI, including the transcription of the long noncoding RNAs (lncRNA), X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) encoded by an X-linked gene [85, 86]. The XIST RNA spreads covered the X chromosome and recruiting the polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) and 2 (PRC2) to action for monoubiquitylation of lysin 119 on the histone H2A (H2AK119ub1) and trimethylation of the lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27me3) on the Xi [85, 86]. The extinction of histone acetylation, H3K27ac, is the beginning of the XCI event [85]. To confirm the XCI is a factor of SLE we can compare the XCI status of healthy and SLE by epigenetic features or DNA methylation analysis. The X active (Xa) and X inactive (Xi) can be detected by CpG islands methylation to observe increase methylation on the Xi and to mapping, location genes escape from XCI [87]. Next, determine SNPs escape XCI by probes [78]. This experiment is needed to be future performed.

Sex bias immune responses have been researched for several years. The sex hormone is the earliest evidence cause of this bias [88, 89]. Oestrogen is the main character autoimmune induce in females, and testosterone is a protective immune overaction in males. These two sex hormones are reported as factors of bias sex in autoimmune disease [90]. Currently, the sex hormone has competition; the X chromosome becomes a potential role immune function [91]. There is an independent contribution immune response in autoimmune disease [91]. However, the X chromosome factor has a piece of support evidence which is Klinefelter syndrome. The patients who carry extra X chromosomes XX, Y risk developing lupus and Sjogren's syndrome equal to females [92]. This evidence could increase the credibility of X chromosome induce autoimmune disease.

Although these results are promising, replications in large cohorts are still required. In addition, it is also a challenge to link the associated SNPs to target genes. More detailed studies are needed to perform to better understand the underlying genetic regulation in those disease-associated loci.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION

## Conclusions

In the present, there are many reports of SLE susceptibility loci that can increase understanding about SLE and other autoimmune diseases. Nevertheless, the genetic variation in ethnicity influences the incident rate and mortality rate differently from each region. Our study explores specific 12 loci in the Thai SLE population, distinct and duplicate in the Asian SLE population reported. The risk loci are known as IRAK1-MECP2-TMEM187 region also susceptibility in Thai SLE patients. Moreover, we identified novel susceptibility genes in Thai SLE patients confirm from the GTEx project, including NLGN4X, WAS, SNX12, and NHSL2

Besides, other loci are control cells - EBV-transformed lymphocytes that involved Bcell proliferation and T-cell activity, including rs138858396 and rs11094246, which is specific in Thai SLE.

We also identified novel SNPs rs6528443, located on GPR101 gene are susceptible to males SLE. GPR101 was recently found significant with leukocytes regulator. We expect this finding will help improve SLE therapy in Thai populations.

## Future Perspective

In the future, this finding should be replicated in the Genomic Thailand database to confirms the SNPs specific in the Thai population and compare GWAS results with other populations to identify specific SNPs in populations that could be explained the difference severity pathogenesis. In conjunction with SNPs, function tests such as Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) determine candidate variants that act on genes and explain how is affect traits [93, 94].

Nonetheless, there is another enjoyable method to confirm the effect of rs6528443 loci nearby GPR101, which is CRISPR/cas9 gene-editing method [93]. To test SNPs, affect endogenous gene expression, we can knock in SNPs to cell lines and delete target SNPs by Cas9-sgRNAs. Then compare gene expression in a cell line with and without deletion SNPs target [95].

Since SLE has varied phenotypes and severity levels, we can determine the SNPs associated with different phenotypes by P-value and OR analysis. We might classify sub phenotype of SLE patients according to ACR 2019 criteria into six classes of SLE, including constitutional, hematologic, neuropsychiatric, serosal, musculoskeletal, and renal [96]. Next, select SNPs with a significant p-value in each sub phenotype and determine risk allele with OR value less than one and protective allele with OR more than 1 to predict and prevent severity in SLE patients.
In addition, future investigations should increase more sample sizes. This is allowing the discovery of more significant numbers of SNPs and empowers the study.
APPENDIX
Table 9 List of SNPs association significant at $\mathrm{p}<1 \times 10^{-3}$ from Meta-analysis of the X chromosome from primary data and secondary data.

| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 8 5 7 0}$ | 5771479 | $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{A}$ | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0005468 | 0.736 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 9 5 1 8}$ | 5771535 | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0005984 | 0.788 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 6 1 3 7 5}$ | 5771896 | C/T | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0004511 | 0.765 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 6 1 8 7 4}$ | 5772030 | A/C | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0005754 | 0.764 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 4 4 8 0 5 9 8 7}$ | 5772108 | A/AG | NLGN4X | none | 0.3379 | 0.79 | 0.0006458 | 0.778 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | 5772110 | C/TTATTTA | NLGN4X | none | 0 | 0.79 | 0.0006458 | 0.778 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 1 9 6 7 0 2 1}$ | 5776196 | T/C | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0006529 | 0.765 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 1 3 8 4 5 1 9}$ | 5783856 | A/T | NLGN4X | none | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.0009174 | 0.742 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 9 5 2 6}$ | 5787915 | C/T | NLGN4X | none | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.0009169 | 0.668 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 8 5 7 3}$ | 5788258 | C/A | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0005798 | 0.719 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 9 5 2 7}$ | 5788335 | A/G | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0005798 | 0.719 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 9 5 2 8}$ | 5788371 | C/T | NLGN4X | none | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.0006566 | 0.779 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 6 1 8 7 5}$ | 5788576 | T/C | NLGN4X | none | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.000831 | 0.655 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 1 1 0 9 4 8 4 0}$ | 5789556 | T/C | NLGN4X | none | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.0008766 | 0.663 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 8 5 7 4}$ | 5790104 | G/T | NLGN4X | none | 0.62 | 0.80 | 0.0009755 | 0.619 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 6 6 3 8 9 0 1}$ | 9164601 | G/A | FAM9B | none | 0.75 | 1.25 | 0.000399 | 0.463 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 3 9 0 4 0 8 6 2}$ | 9165034 | A/AAAAAT | none | none | 0.353 | 1.33 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.494 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 4 8 3 0 4 0 5}$ | 9165158 | C/T | FAM9B | none | 0.23 | 1.28 | $9.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.502 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 7 9 0 4 4}$ | 9165588 | A/G | FAM9B | none | 0.23 | 1.25 | 0.0003522 | 0.536 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 3 3 7 1 3}$ | 9165712 | G/A | FAM9B | none | 0.21 | 1.30 | $5.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.414 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 3 8 5 3 8 3 9}$ | 12907658 | C/G | TLR7 | none | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.0002031 | 0.933 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 3 5 4 4 2}$ | 12923109 | C/T | TLR8-AS1 | none | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.000909 | 0.622 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 5 9 3 5 4 4 3}$ | 12923197 | G/T | TLR8-AS1 | none | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.0008967 | 0.610 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 3 7 6 4 8 7 9}$ | 12924697 | C/G | TLR8-AS1 | none | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.0007644 | 0.644 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{r s 3 7 6 4 8 8 0}$ | 12924826 | A/G | TLR8 | none | 0.79 | 0.77 | 0.0008428 | 0.595 | 0 |


| rs371216363 | 22492192 | TA/T | none | none | 0 | 3.64 | 0.0001114 | 0.391 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs35069992 | 22494052 | AT/ATT | ZNF645 | none | 0 | 2.94 | 0.0004309 | 0.638 | 0 |
| rs5963154 | 39918362 | A/C | BCOR | none | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.000612 | 0.410 | 0 |
| rs11282724 | 44016996 | C/CCCGCCA | EFHC2 | none | 0 | 0.80 | 0.0004312 | 0.877 | 0 |
| rs6417888 | 44028922 | A/G | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.80 | 0.0004937 | 0.883 | 0 |
| rs2050399 | 44033173 | T/C | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.0007564 | 0.858 | 0 |
| rs6609283 | 44039681 | T/C | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.0008071 | 0.852 | 0 |
| rs5952559 | 44069078 | A/G | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.80 | 0.0005709 | 0.865 | 0 |
| rs61419118 | 44072240 | T/C | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.0007007 | 0.871 | 0 |
| rs1335101 | 44079894 | C/A | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.81 | 0.0007082 | 0.843 | 0 |
| rs4824814 | 44080389 | G/C | EFHC2 | none | 0.46 | 0.80 | 0.0005126 | 0.857 | 0 |
| rs138858396 | 48518415 | G/A | WAS | none | 0.07 | 3.10 | 0.0002422 | 0.441 | 0 |
| rs77418624 | 70245729 | A/G | U1 | none | 0.16 | 0.78 | 0.0009871 | 0.854 | 0 |
| rs75079700 | 70271108 | $\square \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CA}$ | SNX12 | none | 0.16 | 0.78 | 0.000821 | 0.833 | 0 |
| rs11094246 | 71361418 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.60 | 0.0001871 | 0.345 | 0 |
| rs7472405 | 71362904 | G/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.0003965 | 0.322 | 0 |
| rs7471188 | 71365595 | T/C | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.62 | 0.0002299 | 0.705 | 0 |
| rs138487857 | 71372268 | T/TTTAGG | BX119917.1 | none | 0 | 0.64 | 0.0003941 | 0.492 | 0 |
| rs6525581 | 71373407 | G/A | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.64 | 0.0003554 | 0.469 | 0 |
| rs34444248 | 71374155 | TG/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0005319 | 0.548 | 0 |
| rs7877671 | 71374461 | T/C | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0005319 | 0.548 | 0 |
| rs67861709 | 71376567 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.000593 | 0.535 | 0 |
| rs7472697 | 71377253 | A/G | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.65 | 0.0005494 | 0.628 | 0 |
| rs7886775 | 71377489 | G/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.65 | 0.0004878 | 0.576 | 0 |
| rs7062862 | 71378104 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0007081 | 0.593 | 0 |


| rs7884806 | 71379702 | / | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0005319 | 0.548 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs7880917 | 71379853 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0005319 | 0.548 | 0 |
| rs7881332 | 71380152 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0005319 | 0.548 | 0 |
| rs78869251 | 71382485 | C/T | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.0007081 | 0.593 | 0 |
| rs35776454 | 71383577 | T/TG | NHSL2 | none | 0.04 | 0.65 | 0.0007112 | 0.641 | 0 |
| rs7884010 | 71384147 | A/G | NHSL2 | none | 0.95 | 0.67 | 0.0007545 | 0.607 | 0 |
| rs112461116 | 71384522 | AAG/A | FLJ44635 | none | 0 | 0.66 | 0.0009581 | 0.657 | 0 |
| rs6621212 | 101076547 | C/T | NXF5 | none | 0.03 | 1.87 | 0.0006937 | 0.516 | 0 |
| rs182367921 | 101322284 | G/A | TCEAL2 | none | 0.04 | 1.79 | 0.0007568 | 0.463 | 0 |
| rs6616350 | 101370038 | G/T | TCEAL2 | none | 0.04 | 1.82 | 0.0006441 | 0.471 | 0 |
| rs6621358 | 101399659 | G/A | TCEAL6 | none | 0.04 | 1.86 | 0.0004028 | 0.413 | 0 |
| rs6621359 | 101401138 | T/C | TCEAL6 | none | 0.04 | 1.86 | 0.0004028 | 0.413 | 0 |
| rs184359519 | 101402214 | CTT/C | TCEAL6 | none | 0 | 1.85 | 0.0004118 | 0.415 | 0 |
| rs6621364 | 101414340 | T/C | BEX5 | none | 0.03 | 1.86 | 0.0003939 | 0.419 | 0 |
| rs6621368 | 101434691 | G/A | BEX5 | none | 0.04 | 1.86 | 0.0003884 | 0.430 | 0 |
| rs145342903 | 101445481 | G/A | NXF2B | none | 0.03 | 1.86 | 0.0003884 | 0.430 | 0 |
| rs201958906 | 101541243 | C/T | NXF2B | none | 0 | 1.82 | 0.000626 | 0.435 | 0 |
| X | 101572655 | T/C | NXF2 | none | 0 | 1.85 | 0.0004116 | 0.419 | 0 |
| rs5987713 | 102511915 | G/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0003983 | 0.425 | 0 |
| rs6621636 | 102512040 | T/C | TCEAL8 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0004959 | 0.412 | 0 |
| rs6621637 | 102512398 | A/C | TCEAL8 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0004948 | 0.411 | 0 |
| rs3861732 | 102519802 | G/A | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.0002678 | 0.427 | 0 |
| rs7886956 | 102520782 | G/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.0002678 | 0.427 | 0 |
| rs6621638 | 102523960 | T/G | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0003921 | 0.398 | 0 |
| rs6616454 | 102524043 | G/A | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0003921 | 0.398 | 0 |


| rs5987715 | 102524207 | C/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.0002678 | 0.427 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs6621639 | 102526480 | G/C | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.0002678 | 0.427 | 0 |
| rs6621642 | 102534681 | T/C | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0003471 | 0.422 | 0 |
| rs6621643 | 102537217 | C/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.32 | 0.0003471 | 0.422 | 0 |
| rs12556222 | 102537946 | C/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.30 | 0.0007 | 0.437 | 0 |
| rs374500312 | 102540678 | T/C | none | none | 0 | 1.30 | 0.0007818 | 0.444 | 0 |
| rs201589816 | 102540682 | T/TAAA | TCEAL5 | none | 0 | 1.30 | 0.0008615 | 0.437 | 0 |
| rs150873941 | 102542290 | C/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.30 | 0.0007818 | 0.444 | 0 |
| rs5987719 | 102547309 | C/T | TCEAL5 | none | 0.11 | 1.29 | 0.0009867 | 0.411 | 0 |
| rs139840812 | 115661589 | C/G | CXorf61 | none | 0.81 | 1.38 | 0.0002095 | 0.569 | 0 |
| rs5905339 | 115663664 | G/A | CXorf61 | none | 0.85 | 1.36 | 0.0003627 | 0.562 | 0 |
| rs6608697 | 115668252 | A/C | CXorf61 | none | 0.85 | 1.36 | 0.0003627 | 0.562 | 0 |
| rs1015041 | 115670998 | C/T | CXorf61 | none | 0.85 | 1.36 | 0.0003627 | 0.562 | 0 |
| rs12399468 | 115681326 | G/A | CXorf61 | none | 0.15 | 1.38 | 0.0002705 | 0.559 | 0 |
| rs12384658 | 115740289 | T/G | CXorf61 | none | 0.75 | 1.29 | 0.0004364 | 0.473 | 0 |
| rs9724300 | 115740847 | G/C | CXorf61 | none | 0.75 | 1.27 | 0.0009 | 0.432 | 0 |
| rs12398129 | 115778625 | C/A | CXorf61 | none | 0.14 | 1.39 | 0.0001723 | 0.392 | 0 |
| rs11260309 | 115780226 | C/T | CXorf61 | none | 0.14 | 1.39 | 0.0001741 | 0.386 | 1.3689 |
| rs6648751 | 121447671 | T/C | GRIA3 | none | 0.11 | 1.45 | 0.0001435 | 0.460 | 0 |
| rs1383661 | 121455087 | C/G | GRIA3 | none | 0.11 | 1.37 | 0.0007469 | 0.436 | 0 |
| rs1413645 | 136348411 | T/A | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.50 | $1.13 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.566 | 0 |
| rs5975891 | 136351546 | G/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.33 | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.479 | 0 |
| rs5975892 | 136351600 | G/T | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.44 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.566 | 0 |
| rs5974666 | 136351855 | G/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.44 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.566 | 0 |
| rs1334504 | 136353300 | C/T | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.46 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.561 | 0 |


| rs6528443 | 136353993 | T/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.55 | 8.71E-06 | 0.590 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs6528444 | 136354195 | A/G | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.47 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.551 | 0 |
| rs4829610 | 136355613 | C/T | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.44 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.564 | 0 |
| rs4829611 | 136355922 | T/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.53 | $9.60 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.541 | 0 |
| rs1413644 | 136355984 | G/A | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.55 | 8.85E-06 | 0.614 | 0 |
| rs5929811 | 136356727 | T/G | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.53 | $9.76 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.599 | 0 |
| rs1334503 | 136359531 | C/G | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.32 | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.823 | 0 |
| rs4829612 | 136361353 | G/A | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.51 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.597 | 0 |
| rs1334502 | 136362917 | A/G | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.38 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.762 | 0 |
| rs1334501 | 136363022 | T/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.33 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.725 | 0 |
| rs5929812 | 136365198 | G/T | GPR101 | none | 0.66 | 3.29 | $3.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.765 | 0 |
| rs6635415 | 136365743 | G/A | GPR101 | none | 0.66 | 3.18 | $7.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.869 | 0 |
| rs6528445 | 136365978 | T/C | GPR101 | none | 0.65 | 3.35 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.896 | 0 |
| rs5931114 | 136367940 | A/G | GPR101 | none | 0.66 | 3.23 | $6.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.851 | 0 |
| rs5975897 | 136368384 | A/G | GPR101 | none | 0.66 | 3.21 | $8.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.773 | 0 |
| rs144196112 | 136470484 | G/A | ZIC3 | none | 0.17 | 1.29 | 0.000667 | 0.696 | 0 |
| rs5975924 | 136475200 | C/A | ZIC3 | none | 0.17 | 1.28 | 0.0009843 | 0.829 | 0 |
| rs12388481 | 145287904 | $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T}$ | MIR891A | none | 0.3 | 0.79 | 0.0008615 | 0.693 | 0 |
| rs72608998 | 145288065 | G/T | MIR891A | none | 0.3 | 0.79 | 0.0008615 | 0.693 | 0 |
| rs5965701 | 145295410 | A/G | MIR891A | none | 0.34 | 0.79 | 0.0005232 | 0.745 | 0 |
| rs10562670 | 145297000 | TAC/T | MIR891A | none | 0.31 | 0.79 | 0.0009213 | 0.725 | 0 |
| rs7052503 | 145297247 | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ | MIR891A | none | 0.33 | 0.78 | 0.0002456 | 0.739 | 0 |
| rs2285037 | 152816206 | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ | ATP2B3 | none | 0.24 | 2.65 | 0.0008811 | 0.973 | 0 |
| rs5987186 | 153194459 | A/G | ARHGAP4 | none | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.0005277 | 0.610 | 0 |
| rs2071128 | 153195393 | G/A | NAA10 | none | 0.67 | 0.77 | 0.0004045 | 0.395 | 0 |


| rs2071129 | 153195921 | T/G | NAA10 | none | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.0008206 | 0.459 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs2071131 | 153196345 | G/A | NAA10 | none | 0.67 | 0.77 | 0.0004064 | 0.395 | 0 |
| rs2269370 | 153196429 | C/A | NAA10 | none | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.0003547 | 0.453 | 0 |
| rs17422 | 153227426 | A/G | HCFC1 | none | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.0007227 | 0.548 | 0 |
| rs2266890 | 153247722 | C/T | TMEM187 | missense | 0.73 | 0.70 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.565 | 0 |
| rs7350355 | 153247745 | A/G | TMEM187 | missense | 0.73 | 0.71 | 5.02E-06 | 0.611 | 0 |
| rs6571303 | 153247954 | C/T | TMEM187 | synonymous | 0.73 | 0.71 | $3.96 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.583 | 0 |
| rs13397 | 153248248 | G/A | TMEM187 | synonymous | 0.69 | 0.71 | $2.27 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.416 | 0 |
| rs5945173 | 153250172 | G/A | TMEM187 | none | 0.72 | 0.71 | $3.75 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.543 | 0 |
| rs6643808 | 153252147 | T/C | TMEM187 | none | 0.74 | 0.71 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.420 | 0 |
| rs6643809 | 153252908 | T/C | TMEM187 | none | 0.74 | 0.71 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.423 | 0 |
| rs6643656 | 153254605 | C/G | TMEM187 | none | 0.82 | 0.68 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.659 | 0 |
| rs6655269 | 153256435 | G/A | TMEM187 | none | 0.71 | 0.71 | $4.26 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.442 | 0 |
| rs5986947 | 153256505 | G/C | TMEM187 | none | 0.71 | 0.73 | $4.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.629 | 0 |
| rs12353692 | 153260032 | G/T | TMEM187 | none | 0.23 | 0.73 | $9.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.544 | 0 |
| rs35059571 | 153264624 | A/AT | IRAK1 | none | 0 | 0.73 | $9.34 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.906 | 0 |
| rs11795678 | 153265728 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.2 | 0.73 | $9.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.883 | 0 |
| rs5986948 | 153266172 | T/C | IRAK1 | none | 0.2 | 0.72 | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.683 | 0 |
| rs5945386 | 153269755 | G/T | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.73 | 0.0001151 | 0.843 | 0 |
| rs4898375 | 153273226 | A/G | IRAK1 | none | 0.2 | 0.71 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.879 | 0 |
| rs633 | 153274228 | C/T | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.72 | $4.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.925 | 0 |
| rs12400188 | 153275075 | G/A | GPR101 | none | 0.66 | 0.72 | 5.88E-05 | 0.934 | 0 |
| rs3027898 | 153275890 | C/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.72 | 5.88E-05 | 0.934 | 0 |
| rs731642 | 153277507 | A/G | IRAK1 | none | 0.19 | 0.72 | $2.53 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.663 | 0 |
| rs2239673 | 153277889 | C/T | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.73 | $6.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.937 | 0 |


| rs763737 | 153278307 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.72 | 5.81E-05 | 0.912 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs1059703 | 153278829 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.2 | 0.71 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.926 | 0 |
| rs146868205 | 153279822 | TAAAA/T | IRAK1 | none | 0 | 0.70 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.947 | 0 |
| rs5945174 | 153279858 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.70 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.963 | 0 |
| rs7061789 | 153280475 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.71 | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.972 | 0 |
| rs1059702 | 153284192 | A/G | IRAK1 | missense | 0.2 | 0.68 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.580 | 0 |
| rs1059701 | 153284483 | G/A | IRAK1 | none | 0.18 | 0.72 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.652 | 0 |
| rs2734647 | 153292180 | T/C | MECP2 | 3'-UTR | 0.2 | 0.70 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.559 | 0 |
| rs1624766 | 153317154 | C/T | MECP2 | none | 0.18 | 0.76 | 0.0006222 | 0.920 | 0 |
| rs1734787 | 153325446 | A/C | MECP2 | none | 0.81 | 0.73 | $6.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.910 | 0 |
| rs1734791 | 153330920 | A/T | MECP2 | intronic | 0.81 | 0.69 | $4.63 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.669 | 0 |
| rs4898376 | 153343006 | C/T | MECP2 | none | 0.18 | 0.76 | 0.0005202 | 0.917 | 0 |
| rs3831674 | 153348218 | CT/C | MECP2 | none | 0 | 0.74 | 8.36E-05 | 0.641 | 0 |
| rs2239464 | 153348431 | A/G | MECP2 | none | 0.18 | 0.72 | $1.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.734 | 0 |
| rs5945393 | 153349428 | G/A | MECP2 | none | 0.18 | 0.76 | 0.0003976 | 0.592 | 0 |
| rs12841797 | 153370114 | T/G | MECP2 | none | 0 | 0.76 | 0.0003194 | 0.563 | 0 |
| rs5945233 | 153939325 | T/A | GAB3 | none | 0.19 | 0.78 | 0.0002153 | 0.563 | 0 |
| rs2664169 | 153939663 | T/C | GAB3 | none | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.0006657 | 0.479 | 0 |
| rs2664170 | 153945602 | G/A | GAB3 | none | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.000328 | 0.524 | 0 |
| rs5987015 | 153947981 | 1 | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.0001852 | 0.546 | 0 |
| rs5987016 | 153948160 | C/T | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.0001852 | 0.546 | 0 |
| rs2728723 | 153948687 | G/A | GAB3 | none | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.0001852 | 0.546 | 0 |
| rs2728526 | 153949217 | C/T | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.0001852 | 0.546 | 0 |
| rs2664172 | 153949614 | C/G | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.000208 | 0.531 | 0 |
| rs1605895 | 153949793 | G/T | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.000208 | 0.531 | 0 |


| rs1848763 | 153950635 | G/T | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.000208 | 0.531 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rs2728528 | 153952147 | C/T | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.000208 | 0.531 | 0 |
| rs35659282 | 153963293 | CTAAT/C | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.000208 | 0.531 | 0 |
| rs2728725 | 153963756 | T/C | GAB3 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.0001852 | 0.546 | 0 |
| rs142295494 | 153972357 | C/T | GAB3 | none | 0.08 | 0.74 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.500 | 0 |
| rs2664160 | 153998497 | A/G | DKC1 | none | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.0007592 | 0.710 | 0 |
| rs1800533 | 154005148 | G/A | DKC1 | none | 0.08 | 0.77 | 0.0003221 | 0.560 | 0 |
| rs145403890 | 154009154 | GC/G | MPP1 | none | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.0001724 | 0.378 | 8.7616 |
| rs2221730 | 154019083 | T/C | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.0002664 | 0.893 | 0 |
| rs1126762 | 154020114 | C/A | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.0003173 | 0.888 | 0 |
| rs2728536 | 154020918 | T/C | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.0002635 | 0.897 | 0 |
| rs2048294 | 154022646 | A/T | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.0001286 | 0.912 | 0 |
| rs4898396 | 154022818 | C/A | MPP1 | none | 0.21 | 0.78 | 0.0001286 | 0.912 | 0 |
| rs73641113 | 154022877 | T/C | MPP1 | none | 0.13 | 0.79 | 0.0002443 | 0.664 | 0 |
| rs1848762 | 154022952 | G/T | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.0001335 | 0.912 | 0 |
| rs5945115 | 154023890 | A/T | MPP1 | none | 0.78 | 0.78 | $9.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.914 | 0 |
| rs2664167 | 154024359 | A/G | MPP1 | none | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.0001554 | 0.916 | 0 |
| rs2664168 | 154024573 | T/G | MPP1 | none | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.0001206 | 0.914 | 0 |
| rs2728538 | 154025165 | A/T | MPP1 | none | 0.8 | 0.78 | 0.0001916 | 0.757 | 0 |
| rs6643707 | 154042428 | C/T | MPP1 | none | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.0007241 | 0.539 | 0 |
| rs5945247 | 154048269 | C/G | MPP1 | none | 0.13 | 0.80 | 0.000969 | 0.564 | 0 |
| rs5987037 | 154048289 | T/C | MPP1 | none | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.0008479 | 0.670 | 0 |

$=$ heterogeneity index ( $0-100$ )
$\mathrm{I}^{2}=$ hetero
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