

## REFERENCES

- Chavadej, S., Kiatubolpaiboon, W., Rangsunvigit, P., and Sreethawong, T. (2007) A combined multistage corona discharge and catalytic system for gaseous benzene removal. Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical, 263, 128-136.
- Chavadej, S., Saktrakoel, K., Rangsunvigit, P., Lobban, L.L., and Sreethawong, T. (2007) Oxidation of ethylene by a multistage corona discharge system in the absence and presence of Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Chemical Engineering Journal, 132, 345-353.
- Chavadej, S., Supat, K., Lobban, L.L., and Mallinson, R.G. (2005) Partial oxidation of methane and carbon dioxide reforming with methane in corona discharge with/without Pt/KL catalyst. Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan, 38(3), 163-170.
- Dawe, R.A. (2000) Modern Petroleum Technology: Volume 1 Upstream. 6<sup>ed</sup>, Chichester: John Wiley.
- Eliasson, B., Hirth, M., and Kogejtschitz, U. (1987) Ozone synthesis from oxygen in dielectric barrier discharge. Journal of Applied Physics, 20, 1421-1437.
- Eliasson, B. and Kogejtschitz, U. (1991) Nonequilibrium volume plasma chemical processing. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 19(6), 1063-1077.
- Fridman, A., Naster, S., Kennedy, L.A., Savaliev, A., and Mutaf-Yardimci, O. (1999) Gliding arc discharge. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 25, 211-231.
- Indarto, A., Yang, D.R., Choi, J.W., Lee, H., and Song, H.K. (2007) Gliding arc plasma processing of CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 146, 309-315.
- Jiang, T., Li, Y., Liu, C.J., Xu, G.H., Eliasson, B., and Xue, B. (2002) Plasma methane conversion using dielectric-barrier discharges with zeolite A. Catalysis Today, 72, 229-235.

- Jindanin, A. (2011) Combined Steam Reforming and Partial Oxidation of CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Natural Gas in an AC Gliding Arc Discharge System. M.S. Thesis, Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Kado, S., Urasaki, K., Sekine, Y., and Fujimoto, K. (2003) Direct conversion of methane to acetylene or syngas at room temperature using non-equilibrium pulsed discharge. Fuel, 82, 1377-1385.
- Kim, S.S., Lee, H., Choi, J.W., Na, B.K., and Song, H.K. (2007) Methane conversion to higher hydrocarbons in a dielectric-barrier discharge reactor with Pt/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Catalysis Communications, 8, 1438-1442.
- Krawczyk, K., and Młotek, M. (2001) Combined plasma-catalytic processing of nitrous oxide. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 30, 233-245.
- Kruapong, A. (2000) Partial Oxidation of Methane to Synthesis Gas in Low Temperature Plasma. M.S. Thesis, The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Nair, S.A., Nozaki, T., and Okazaki, K. (2007) Methane oxidative conversion pathways in a dielectric barrier discharge reactor—Investigation of gas phase mechanism. Chemical Engineering Journal, 132, 85-95.
- Nasser, E. (1971). Fundamentals of Gaseous Ionization and Plasma Electronics. New York: John Wiley.
- Ouni, F., Khacef, A., and Cormier, M. (2009) Syngas production from propane using atmospheric non-thermal plasma. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 29, 119-130.
- Perry R.H., Green D.W., and Maloney J.O., (1997) Perry's Chemical Engineering Handbook. 7<sup>ed</sup>, New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Poonphanapricha, P. (1997) Methane Conversion in an AC Electric Discharge. M.S. Thesis, The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

- Rafiq, M.H., and Hustad, J.E. (2011) Biosyngas production by autothermal reforming of waste cooking oil with propane using a plasma-assisted gliding arc reactor. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36, 8221-8233.
- Rosacha, L.A., Anderson, G.K., bechtold, L.A., Coogan, J.J., Heck, H.G., Kang, M., McCulla, W.H., Tennant, R.A., and Wantuck, P.J. (1993) Treatment of hazardous organic wastes using silent discharge plasma. Non-thermal Plasma Techniques for Pollution Control, 34, 281-308.
- Rueangjitt, N., Akarawitoo, C., Sreethawong, T., and Chavadej, S. (2007) Reforming of CO<sub>2</sub>-containing natural gas using an AC gliding arc system: Effect of gas component in natural gas. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 27, 559-576.
- Rueangjitt, N., Jittiang, W., Pormmai, K., Chamnanmanoontham, C., Sreethawong, T., and Chavadej, S. (2009) Combined reforming and partial oxidation of CO<sub>2</sub>-containing natural gas using an AC multistage gliding arc discharge system: Effect of stage number of plasma reactor. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 29, 433-453.
- Rueangjitt, N., Sreethawong, T., and Chavadej, S. (2008) Reforming of CO<sub>2</sub>-containing natural gas using an AC gliding arc system: Effects of operational parameters and oxygen addition in feed. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 28, 40-67.

- Suetsuna, T. Seiichi, S., and Takayuki, F. (2004) Monolithic Cu–Ni-based catalyst for reforming hydrocarbon fuel sources. Applied Catalysis A, 276, 275-279.
- Thanyachotpaiboon, K., Chavadej, S., Caldwell, L., Lobban, L.L., and Mallinson, R.G. (1998) Conversion of methane to higher hydrocarbons in AC nonequilibrium plasmas. AIChE Journal, 44(10), 2252-2257.
- Wei-Hsin, C., Mu-Rong, L., Jau-Jang, L., Yu, C., and Tzong-Shyng, L. (2010) Thermodynamic analysis of hydrogen production from methane via autothermal reforming and partial oxidation followed by water gas shift reaction. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 35, 11787-117979.
- Zhang, K., Kogelschatz, U., and Eliasson, B. (2001) Conversion of greenhouse gases synthesis gas and higher hydrocarbons. Energy & Fuels, 15, 395-402.
- Zhang, X., Zhu, A., Li, X., and Gong, W. (2004) Oxidative dehydrogenation of ethane with CO<sub>2</sub> over catalyst under pulse corona plasma. Catalysis Today, 89, 97-102.
- Zhou, Z., Zhang, J., Ye, J., Zhao, P., and Xia, W. (2011) Hydrogen production by reforming methane in a corona inducing dielectric barrier discharge and catalyst hybrid reactor. Chinese Science Bulletin, 56, 2162-2166.
- Zou, J.J., Zhang, Y.P., and Liu, C.J. (2007) Hydrogen production from partial oxidation of dimethyl ether using corona discharge plasma. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 32, 958-964.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A Experimental data

**Table A1** Effect of steam content on reactant conversions and product yields for the reforming of natural gas with steam (total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Steam content (mol%)	Reactant conversion (%)				Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
0	11.79	22.69	29.81	7.47	29.07	25.71	2.14
10	15.39	25.86	31.57	9.46	39.04	23.10	2.66
15	12.07	24.85	33.15	8.38	34.62	23.66	2.91
20	10.16	22.41	34.92	7.19	34.60	23.85	2.94
30	7.66	20.70	27.86	4.41	27.35	20.57	2.38

**Table A2** Effect of steam content on concentrations of outlet gas for the reforming of natural gas with steam (total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Steam content (mol%)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
0	61.69	3.95	3.48	19.46	13.38	0.55	0.61	2.67	0.38
10	61.34	3.79	3.39	19.04	17.45	0.66	0.69	2.18	0.60
15	64.68	3.84	3.31	12.27	13.10	0.64	0.46	2.15	0.37
20	65.14	3.97	3.22	19.52	12.87	0.63	0.44	2.18	0.38
30	66.95	4.06	3.57	20.10	9.63	0.49	0.31	1.85	0.28

**Table A3** Effect of steam content on product selectivities for the reforming of natural gas with steam (total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Steam content (mol%)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
0	43.12	2.86	6.40	27.93	8.01
10	53.60	3.23	6.77	21.30	11.71
15	50.32	3.77	5.45	25.21	8.70
20	49.97	3.93	5.34	26.60	9.07
30	48.65	2.86	4.95	28.98	8.67

**Table A4** Effect of steam content on product molar ratios for the reforming of natural gas with steam (total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Steam content (mol%)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
0	19.37	30.54	5.22	5.85
10	24.41	21.83	5.00	4.36
15	26.34	25.15	7.99	3.14
20	20.36	28.18	6.09	4.62
30	20.01	29.45	5.91	4.98

**Table A5** Effect of steam content on power consumptions for the reforming of natural gas with steam (total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm) ( $E_C$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Steam content (mol%)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
0	3.42	3.27
10	2.12	1.94
15	2.43	2.32
20	2.58	2.36
30	3.40	3.15

**Table A6** Effect of total feed flow rate on reactant conversions and product yields, for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Reactant conversion (%)				Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
75	16.27	26.32	29.76	9.17	29.65	23.77	1.59
100	15.40	25.86	31.57	9.46	39.04	23.10	2.66
125	13.09	23.35	30.29	4.74	29.91	23.85	1.47
150	9.92	20.88	28.50	2.73	24.55	24.16	1.24

**Table A7** Effect of total feed flow rate on concentrations of outlet gas for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
75	59.91	3.72	3.43	18.85	13.56	0.40	0.37	2.63	0.41
100	61.34	3.79	3.89	19.04	17.45	0.66	0.69	2.18	0.60
125	62.18	3.87	3.41	19.77	12.64	0.35	0.35	2.51	0.43
150	64.46	3.99	3.49	20.19	9.49	0.28	0.26	2.45	0.34

**Table A8** Effect of total feed flow rate on product selectivities, and for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
75	40.99	1.95	3.62	25.55	8.04
100	53.60	3.23	6.77	21.30	11.71
125	44.82	2.05	4.10	29.27	10.10
150	41.41	2.00	3.76	35.19	9.68



**Table A9** Effect of total feed flow rate on product molar ratios for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
75	33.88	36.48	5.16	7.07
100	26.34	25.15	7.99	3.15
125	35.93	35.95	5.03	7.14
150	34.05	36.19	3.88	9.36

**Table A10** Effect of total feed flow rate on power consumptions for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; input voltage, 17.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm) ( $E_C$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Total feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Power consumption (×10 <sup>18</sup> Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
75	2.36	2.84
100	2.12	1.95
125	2.72	2.80
150	3.49	3.72

**Table A11** Effect of input voltage on reactant conversions and product yields for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input voltage (kV)	Reactant conversion (%)				Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
12.5	10.66	16.64	29.77	1.44	37.21	17.33	2.36
13.5	12.11	19.08	30.02	4.67	40.25	18.05	3.13
14.5	13.53	19.45	25.90	6.28	37.49	17.45	2.44
15.5	12.74	20.45	30.48	5.20	39.69	20.05	2.82
16.5	16.34	27.16	39.30	8.37	42.60	23.46	3.43
17.5	15.40	25.86	31.57	9.46	39.04	23.10	2.66
18.5	15.55	28.27	38.79	8.57	42.97	24.77	2.38

**Table A12** Effect of input voltage on concentrations of outlet gas for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input voltage (kV)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
12.5	64.25	4.26	3.62	19.27	15.66	0.56	0.46	1.65	0.33
13.5	61.90	4.03	3.52	18.97	17.07	0.76	0.61	1.57	0.28
14.5	61.23	4.02	3.66	19.03	17.31	0.62	0.66	1.56	0.25
15.5	62.00	3.96	3.40	19.07	16.92	0.68	0.60	1.82	0.30
16.5	60.18	3.72	3.06	18.56	18.32	0.83	0.71	2.14	0.36
17.5	61.34	3.79	3.39	19.04	17.44	0.66	0.69	2.18	0.60
18.5	61.25	3.67	3.06	18.74	18.01	0.57	0.68	2.27	0.36

**Table A13** Effect of input voltage on product selectivities for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input voltage (kV)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
12.5	65.20	4.04	6.48	23.15	9.22
13.5	65.75	7.55	7.68	19.71	6.98
14.5	63.68	3.75	7.95	18.82	6.00
15.5	62.34	4.10	7.17	21.93	7.23
16.5	51.46	3.76	6.39	19.33	6.43
17.5	53.60	3.23	6.77	21.30	11.7
18.5	52.01	2.61	6.31	20.85	6.69

**Table A14** Effect of input voltage on product molar ratios for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input voltage (kV)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
12.5	27.18	33.93	9.46	3.57
13.5	22.58	27.95	10.89	2.56
14.5	27.78	26.17	11.05	2.37
15.5	24.84	28.36	9.28	3.06
16.5	21.96	25.83	8.55	3.02
17.5	26.34	21.15	7.99	3.14
18.5	31.68	26.27	7.94	3.30

**Table A15** Effect of input voltage on power consumptions for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm) ( $E_C$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input voltage (kV)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
12.5	2.29	1.51
13.5	2.26	1.58
14.5	2.31	1.75
15.5	2.46	1.83
16.5	2.38	1.86
17.5	2.12	1.95
18.5	1.94	1.77

**Table A16** Effect of input frequency on reactant conversions and product yields for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage 13.5 kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input frequency (Hz)	Reactant conversion (%)				Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
300	12.12	19.08	30.02	4.67	40.25	18.05	3.13
400	11.67	15.36	25.05	6.20	35.29	15.33	3.07
500	8.30	9.83	17.87	5.20	32.11	10.61	2.98
600	6.30	4.90	8.04	3.29	16.11	6.94	1.93

**Table A17** Effect of input frequency concentrations of outlet gas for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage 13.5 kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input frequency (Hz)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
300	61.90	4.03	3.52	18.97	17.07	0.76	0.61	1.57	0.28
400	63.46	4.27	3.68	19.04	16.27	0.78	0.52	1.44	0.29
500	65.58	4.57	4.14	19.79	15.14	0.77	0.39	0.99	0.22
600	67.04	4.80	4.49	20.07	14.19	0.59	0.26	0.79	0.24

**Table A18** Effect of input frequency on product selectivities for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage 13.5 kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input frequency (Hz)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
300	65.75	4.76	7.68	19.72	6.99
400	67.75	5.27	6.93	19.37	7.74
500	69.18	7.23	6.82	18.53	8.42
600	71.63	5.67	7.63	23.15	13.95

**Table A19** Effect of input frequency on product molar ratios for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage 13.5 kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Input frequency (Hz)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
300	22.57	27.95	10.89	2.57
400	20.72	31.50	11.27	2.79
500	19.53	39.10	15.24	2.57
600	16.13	36.19	11.94	3.03

**Table A20** Effect of input frequency on power consumptions for the reforming of natural gas with steam (steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage 13.5 kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm) ( $E_C$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input frequency (Hz)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
300	2.26	1.58
400	2.86	2.05
500	3.61	2.01
600	6.05	3.72

## Appendix B Experimental data

**Table B1** Effects of HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio on reactant conversions and product yields under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 13.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

HCs-to-O <sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
2/1	19.14	27.12	35.65	-0.66	29.41	59.29	21.10	46.43
3/1	16.32	25.63	35.92	2.47	28.52	57.37	23.29	35.98
4/1	15.09	21.50	30.26	4.69	23.98	46.04	18.48	19.42
6/1	9.38	16.06	28.16	4.46	19.66	44.85	20.59	11.22
9/1	9.37	14.43	23.73	4.16	18.27	41.35	16.82	8.49
without O <sub>2</sub>	11.76	18.00	31.90	4.41	22.30	28.97	14.80	5.62

**Table B2** Effects of HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio on concentrations of outlet gas under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 13.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

HCs-to-O <sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)									
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
2/1	37.14	2.53	2.22	14.40	22.66	18.33	8.15	0.41	1.45	0.18
3/1	41.67	2.75	2.35	14.96	18.70	17.96	6.43	0.43	1.65	0.22
4/1	47.16	3.20	2.85	15.99	14.90	16.77	3.97	0.38	1.51	0.22
6/1	55.83	3.73	3.19	17.65	9.80	15.65	2.27	0.31	1.78	0.31
9/1	57.49	3.88	3.43	21.78	8.08	15.76	1.87	0.34	1.51	0.25
without O <sub>2</sub>	59.01	3.88	4.49	18.27	4.76	16.36	1.62	0.38	1.74	3.26

**Table B3** Effects of HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio on product selectivities under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 13.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

HCs-to-O <sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
2/1	72.38	57.14	5.71	20.26	5.04
3/1	73.68	44.73	6.00	22.99	6.20
4/1	68.88	27.15	5.25	20.58	6.08
6/1	83.67	19.33	5.30	30.16	10.41
9/1	86.99	16.42	5.99	26.56	8.67
without O <sub>2</sub>	86.08	14.77	7.02	31.87	8.89



**Table B4** Effects of HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio on product molar ratios under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 13.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

HCs-to-O <sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
2/1	2.25	45.03	12.68	3.55
3/1	2.79	41.67	10.88	3.83
4/1	4.22	43.62	11.13	3.91
6/1	6.87	50.10	8.81	5.69
9/1	8.42	46.11	10.41	4.43
without O <sub>2</sub>	10.11	42.54	9.37	4.54

**Table B5** Effects of HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio on power consumptions and coke formation under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 13.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

HCs-to-O <sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio	Power consumption (×10 <sup>18</sup> Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
2/1	2.65	1.57
3/1	3.28	1.97
4/1	3.13	2.11
6/1	4.12	2.26
9/1	4.10	2.24
without O <sub>2</sub>	3.63	2.60

**Table B6** Effects of input voltage on reactant conversions and product yields under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Voltage (kV)	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
13.5	19.14	27.11	35.65	-0.65	29.41	59.29	21.10	46.43
14.5	22.28	31.22	39.42	-2.81	34.62	63.39	21.75	53.99
15.5	24.11	33.78	41.66	-3.84	38.43	66.44	22.46	60.71
16.5	26.92	37.90	46.41	-1.41	42.18	67.67	23.08	63.93
17.5	30.34	43.59	52.56	-2.84	47.35	73.93	24.88	75.74
18.5	31.33	43.50	51.45	-0.75	47.24	74.34	24.11	76.71
19.5	32.03	44.56	52.53	-1.57	48.05	74.78	24.32	78.71
20.5	33.47	46.92	55.02	0.38	51.45	77.67	25.00	83.71

**Table B7** Effects of input voltage on concentrations of outlet gas under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Voltage (kV)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)									
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
13.5	37.14	2.53	2.22	14.41	22.66	18.33	8.15	0.41	1.45	0.18
14.5	35.41	2.34	2.03	14.17	21.10	19.63	9.50	0.48	1.44	0.17
15.5	34.27	2.23	1.92	14.40	20.14	20.48	10.62	0.52	1.44	0.17
16.5	33.53	2.14	1.83	14.16	19.06	21.26	11.36	0.57	1.49	0.17
17.5	31.80	1.93	1.61	14.26	17.03	22.97	13.34	0.66	1.53	0.17
18.5	31.22	1.92	1.62	14.19	17.18	23.42	13.59	0.72	1.41	0.16
19.5	30.86	1.88	1.59	14.31	17.06	23.54	13.95	0.74	1.41	0.16
20.5	30.11	1.79	1.49	14.11	15.95	24.30	14.71	0.79	1.41	0.16

**Table B8** Effects of input voltage on product selectivities under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Voltage (kV)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
13.5	72.38	57.14	5.71	20.26	5.04
14.5	68.22	59.91	6.02	18.12	4.43
15.5	66.74	63.43	6.27	17.20	4.11
16.5	60.83	58.21	5.79	15.22	3.57
17.5	58.45	61.25	6.05	14.07	3.21
18.5	58.86	61.10	6.51	12.69	2.93
19.5	57.91	61.71	6.59	12.48	2.92
20.5	57.35	61.65	6.62	11.79	2.74

**TableB9** Effects of input voltage on product molar ratios under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Voltage (kV)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
13.5	2.25	45.03	12.68	3.55
14.5	2.07	41.14	13.67	3.01
15.5	1.93	39.02	14.21	2.75
16.5	1.87	37.60	14.31	2.63
17.5	1.72	34.85	14.99	2.32
18.5	1.71	32.32	16.60	1.95
19.5	1.69	31.61	16.68	1.89
20.5	1.65	3.77	17.27	1.78

**Table B10** Effects of input voltage on power consumptions and coke formation under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Voltage (kV)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
13.5	2.65	1.57
14.5	1.99	1.22
15.5	2.05	1.29
16.5	2.08	1.47
17.5	2.01	1.46
18.5	2.08	1.54
19.5	2.05	1.53
20.5	2.07	1.58

**Table B11** Effects of input frequency on reactant conversions and product yields, under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Frequency (Hz)	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
290	26.24	37.80	47.15	-2.35	41.72	69.42	24.76	66.80
300	22.28	31.22	39.42	-2.81	34.62	63.39	21.75	53.99
350	25.69	35.42	44.62	-0.82	38.23	65.56	23.09	60.70
400	21.72	29.65	39.45	-1.02	31.65	62.76	21.71	50.76
500	16.55	22.95	31.93	-0.48	24.74	59.53	18.71	41.52

**Table B12** Effects of input frequency on concentrations of outlet gas under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Frequency (Hz)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)									
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
290	33.58	2.11	1.77	14.31	18.78	21.26	11.61	0.57	1.58	0.19
300	35.41	2.34	2.03	14.17	21.10	19.63	9.50	0.48	1.44	0.18
350	34.01	2.20	1.85	13.96	20.01	20.53	10.73	0.57	1.47	0.18
400	35.65	2.39	2.02	13.95	22.13	19.40	8.89	0.49	1.42	0.19
500	37.96	2.61	2.26	13.84	24.34	18.03	7.12	0.43	1.18	0.18

**Table B13** Effects of input frequency on product selectivities under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Frequency (Hz)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
290	62.43	61.38	6.07	16.68	3.98
300	68.22	59.92	6.02	18.12	4.43
350	62.01	57.86	6.20	15.81	3.95
400	69.11	56.53	6.17	18.00	4.86
500	83.29	57.71	6.89	19.11	5.72

**TableB14** Effects of input frequency on product molar ratios under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Frequency (Hz)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
290	1.83	37.04	13.47	2.75
300	2.07	41.14	13.67	3.01
350	1.91	35.74	14.01	2.55
400	2.18	40.00	13.71	2.92
500	2.53	42.39	15.29	2.77



**Table B15** Effects of input frequency on power consumptions and coke formation under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5kV; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Input Frequency (Hz)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
290	2.16	1.47
300	1.98	1.22
350	2.01	1.40
400	2.19	1.36
500	3.06	1.60

**Table B16** Effects of electrode gap distance on reactant conversions and product yields under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5 kV; and input frequency, 300 Hz (E<sub>c</sub>: power per reactant molecule converted; E<sub>H<sub>2</sub></sub>: power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Electrode Gap Distance (mm)	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
4	15.71	18.27	21.57	0.46	20.73	49.75	11.66	32.08
6	22.28	31.22	39.42	-2.81	34.61	63.39	21.75	53.99
7	28.11	39.36	48.32	-1.72	42.93	70.96	24.47	69.49
8	27.67	39.70	49.98	-1.16	43.29	69.83	25.54	67.67

**Table B17** Effects of electrode gap distance on concentrations of outlet gas, (c) generated current under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5 kV; and input frequency, 300 Hz ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Electrode Gap Distance (mm)	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)									
	CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
4	38.46	2.78	2.62	13.85	25.70	17.09	6.09	0.37	0.73	0.09
6	35.41	2.34	2.03	14.17	21.10	19.63	9.50	0.48	1.44	0.18
7	32.37	2.04	1.70	14.26	18.51	21.85	12.08	0.60	1.53	0.18
8	33.23	2.07	1.69	14.06	18.83	21.58	11.84	0.61	1.62	0.20

**Table B18** Effects of electrode gap distance on product selectivities under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5 kV; and input frequency, 300 Hz ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Electrode Gap Distance (mm)	Product selectivity (%)				
	H <sub>2</sub>	CO	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
4	89.56	57.27	7.05	13.77	3.31
6	68.22	59.92	6.02	18.12	4.43
7	61.28	60.92	6.07	15.38	3.67
8	59.51	58.24	6.00	15.98	3.90

**Table B19** Effects of electrode gap distance on product molar ratios under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5 kV; and input frequency, 300 Hz ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Electrode Gap Distance (mm)	Molar ratio			
	H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
4	2.81	45.61	23.34	1.95
6	2.07	41.14	13.67	3.01
7	1.81	36.29	14.32	2.53
8	1.82	35.35	13.28	2.66

**Table B20** Effects of electrode gap distance on power consumptions and coke formation under studied conditions: steam content, 10 mol%; HCs/O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1; total feed flow rate, 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min; input voltage, 14.5 kV; and input frequency, 300 Hz ( $E_c$ : power per reactant molecule converted;  $E_{H_2}$ : power per H<sub>2</sub> molecule produced).

Electrode Gap Distance (mm)	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{18}$ Ws/molecule)	
	per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
4	3.36	1.69
6	1.98	1.22
7	2.04	1.43
8	2.00	1.45

### Appendix C Experimental data

**Table C1** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on reactant conversions and product yields for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at a constant feed flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Residence time (s)	Number of stage	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
		CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
1.37	1	22.28	31.22	39.42	-2.81	34.62	63.38	21.75	53.99
2.74	2	37.55	38.76	55.26	-5.45	26.12	106.57	30.99	106.71
4.11	3	39.58	45.60	68.95	7.78	36.79	120.75	41.35	134.84
5.48	4	52.22	52.94	76.10	4.70	43.30	121.51	43.37	158.39

**Table C2** Effect of stage number of plasma reactor on concentrations of outlet gas for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at a constant feed flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Residence time (s)	Number of stage	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
		H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
1.37	1	19.63	9.49	35.41	14.16	0.477	1.437	2.343	2.030	0.176
2.74	2	35.28	19.84	27.54	12.21	1.057	1.825	1.943	1.366	0.179
4.11	3	39.74	24.51	28.17	11.83	1.570	2.189	1.822	1.039	0.262
5.48	4	41.62	29.65	21.69	10.83	1.939	2.122	1.529	0.746	0.203

**Table C3** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on product selectivities for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at a constant feed flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Residence time (s)	Number of stage	Product selectivity (%)				
		H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	CO	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
1.37	1	68.216	6.020	18.122	59.915	4.434
2.74	2	81.002	9.009	15.565	84.618	3.061
4.11	3	78.345	10.665	14.875	83.285	3.554
5.48	4	67.038	11.135	12.191	85.175	2.332

**Table C4** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on product molar ratio for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at a constant feed flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min and (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Residence time (s)	Number of stage	Molar ratio			
		H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
1.37	1	2.067	41.136	13.666	3.010
2.74	2	1.778	33.401	19.334	1.728
4.11	3	1.621	25.323	18.156	1.395
5.48	4	1.404	21.473	19.614	1.095

**Table C5** Effect of stage number of plasma reactor on power consumptions and coke formation for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at a constant feed flow rate of 100 cm<sup>3</sup>/min and (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Residence time (s)	Number of stage	Power consumption ( $\times 10^{-17}$ Ws/molecule)	
		per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
1.37	1	19.784	12.244
2.74	2	18.735	10.007
4.11	3	3.485	2.043
5.48	4	8.106	5.520

**Table C6** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on reactant conversions and product yields for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at constant residence time of 4.11 s (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Number of stage	Reactant conversion (%)					Product yield (%)		
		CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	CO
33.3	1	35.10	40.52	56.50	-1.58	35.96	118.70	34.40	122.56
66.6	2	39.82	45.17	68.31	6.11	30.83	124.36	43.95	139.49
100.0	3	39.58	45.60	68.95	7.78	36.79	120.76	41.35	134.84
133.3	4	39.29	47.35	70.49	-2.04	45.02	119.35	46.48	121.46

**Table C7** Effect of stage number of plasma reactor on concentrations of outlet gas for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at constant residence time of 4.11 s (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Number of stage	Concentration of outlet gas (mol%)								
		H <sub>2</sub>	CO	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
33.3	1	38.07	21.99	28.99	11.92	1.491	1.594	1.929	1.359	0.169
66.6	2	39.57	24.52	27.07	11.63	1.800	2.062	1.761	0.998	0.236
100.0	3	39.75	24.51	28.17	11.83	1.570	2.189	1.822	1.039	0.262
133.3	4	38.80	22.26	28.52	12.53	1.899	2.362	1.784	0.979	0.276

**Table C8** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on product selectivities for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at constant residence time of 4.11 s (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Number of stage	Product selectivity (%)				
		H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	CO	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>10</sub>
33.3	1	89.839	12.735	13.615	93.88	2.885
66.6	2	81.124	12.851	14.718	87.50	3.368
100.0	3	78.345	10.665	14.875	83.28	3.554
133.3	4	75.956	13.358	16.613	78.31	3.882

**Table C9** Effect of stage number of plasma reactors on product molar ratio for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at constant residence time of 4.11 s (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Number of stage	Molar ratio			
		H <sub>2</sub> /CO	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> /C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
33.3	1	1.731	25.523	23.874	1.069
66.6	2	1.614	21.976	19.189	1.145
100.0	3	1.621	25.323	18.156	1.395
133.3	4	1.743	20.433	16.429	1.244

**Table C10** Effect of stage number of plasma reactor on power consumptions and coke formation for the combined steam reforming and partial oxidation of natural gas at constant residence time of 4.11 s (steam content, 10 mol%; HCs-to-O<sub>2</sub> feed molar ratio, 2/1 (Oxygen content of 33.33 mol%); input voltage, 14.5 kV; input frequency, 300 Hz; and electrode gap distance, 6 mm).

Feed flow rate (cm <sup>3</sup> /min)	Number of stage	Power consumption (× 10 <sup>-17</sup> Ws/molecule)	
		per reactant converted	per H <sub>2</sub> produced
33.3	1	52.483	25.483
66.6	2	14.881	8.375
100.0	3	3.485	2.043
133.3	4	8.960	5.115



## CURRICULUM VITAE

**Name:** Ms. Krittiya Pormmai

**Date of Birth:** March 23, 1984

**Nationality:** Thai

**University Education:**

2002–2006 Bachelor Degree of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Thammasat University, Bangkok, Thailand.

**Publications:**

1. Pormmai, K.; Jindanin, A.; Sekiguchi, H.; Chavadej, S. Synthesis Gas production from CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Natural Gas by Combined Steam Reforming and Partial Oxidation in an AC Gliding Arc Discharge. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing. (accepted)

**Proceedings and Presentations:**

1. Pormmai, K.; Sreethawong, T.; and Chavadej, S. (2009) Steam Reforming of CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Natural Gas Using an AC Gliding Arc Discharge System. Paper presented at The 19<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Plasma Chemistry, July 26–31, Bochum, Germany.
2. Pormmai, K.; Sreethawong, T.; and Chavadej, S. (2010) Steam Reforming of CO<sub>2</sub>-Containing Natural Gas Using an AC Gliding Arc Discharge System: Effects of Operational Parameters. Paper presented at The 13<sup>th</sup> Asia Pacific Confederation of Chemical Engineering Congress, October 5-8, Taipei.