

## REFERENCES

- Akihiro, K., Chizuru, S., Yuya, Y., and Yoshitoshi, N. (2009) Utilization of various fruit juices as carbon source for production of bacterial cellulose by *Acetobacter xylinum* NBRC 13693. Carbohydrate Polymers, 76, 333-335.
- Alvarez, O.M., Patel, M., Booker, J., and Markowitz, L. (2004) Effectiveness of a biocellulose wound dressing for the treatment of chronic venous leg ulcers : Results of a single center randomized study involving 24 patients. Wounds, 16, 224-233.
- Barbara, S.S., Sebastian, P., and Darousz, D. (2008) Characteristics of bacterial cellulose obtained from *Acetobacter xylinum* culture for application in papermaking. Fibres and Textiles, 16, 108-111.
- Basavaraj, S., Hungund, S., and Gupta, G. (2010) Production of bacterial cellulose from *Enterobacter amnigenus* GH-1 isolated from rotten apple. World Journal of Microbial Biotechnol, 26, 1823-1828.
- Bhuvanesh, G. (2010) Textile-based smart wound dressing. Indian Journal of Fibre and Textile Research, 35, 174-187.
- Bin, W., Guang, Y., and Feng, H (2011). Preparation and evaluation of a kind of bacterial cellulose dry films with antibacterial properties. Carbohydrate Polymers, 84, 533-538.
- Boateng, J.S., Matthews, K.H., Stewens , H.N.E., and Eccleston G.M. (2008) Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems : A Review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 97, 2892-2923.
- Borcai, G., Dimitrascu, N., and Popa, G. (2005) Influence of helium-dielectric barrier discharge treatments on the adhesion properties of polyamide-6 surfaces. Surface and Coatings Technology, 197, 316-321.
- Brett, D.W. (2006) A review of moisture-control dressing in wound care. Journal Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs, 33, S3-S8.
- Brown, A. J. (1886). An acetic ferment which forms cellulose. Journal of Chemical Society, 49, 432-439.

- Byrom, D. (1991) Microbial Cellulose Biomaterials: Novel Materials from Biological Sources. New York: Stockton press.
- Cai, Z. and Yang, G. (2011) Bacterial cellulose/collagen composite : Characterization and first evaluation of cytocompatibility. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 120, 2938-2944.
- Cai, Z. and Jaehwan, K. (2010) Bacterial cellulose/poly(ethylene glycol) composite : characterization and first evaluation of biocompatibility. Cellulose, 17, 83-91.
- Chaiyapruk, K., Ratana, R., and Hiroshi, T. (2013) Formation of nanocrystalline ZnO particles into bacterial cellulose pellicle by ultrasonic-assisted in situ synthesis. Cellulose, 20(3), 1275-1292.
- Chen, W.Y.J., Rogers, A.A., and Lydon, M.J. (1992). Characterization of biologic properties of wound fluid collected during early stages of wound healing. Journal of Invest Dermatol, 99, 559-564.
- Cristina, C., Robin, Z., Catalina, A., Jean, L.P., Gloria, C., Orlando, J.R., Inaki, M., and Piedad, G. (2012) Bacteria cellulose produced by a new acid resistant strain of *Gluconacetobacter* genus. Carbohydrate Polymers, 89, 1033-1037.
- Czaja, W., Alina, K., Stanislaw, B., and Malcolm, R.J. (2006) Microbial cellulose-the natural power to heal wound. Biomaterials, 27, 145-151.
- Czaja, W., David, J.Y., Marek, K., and Malcolm, R.J. (2007) The future prospects of microbial cellulose in biomedical applications. Biomacromolecules, 8(1), 1-12.
- Czaja, W., Krystynowicz, A., Kawecki, M., Wysota, K., Sakiel, S., Wroblewski, P., Glik, J., Nowak, M., and Bielecki, S. (2007) Biomedical applications of microbial cellulose in burn wound recovery. Cellulose: Molecular and Structure Biology, Springer, 307-32
- Dieter, K., Dieter, S., Ulrike, U., and Silvia, M. (2001) Bacterial synthesized cellulose-artificial blood vessels for microsurgery. Progress in Polymer Science, 26, 1561-1603.
- Dumitrascu, N. and Borcia, C. (2006) Adhesion properties of polyamide- 6 fibres treated by dielectric barrier discharge. Surface and Coatings Technology, 201, 1117-1123.

- Edison, P., Danilo, M., Younes, M., and Sidney, J.L. (2008) Monomers, Polymers and Composites from Renewable Resources. UK: Elsevier.
- Eming, S.A., Smola, H., and Krieg, T. (2002). Treatment of chronic wounds : state of the art and future concepts. Cells Tissues Organs, 172, 105–117.
- Fontana, J.D., de Sousa, A.M., Fontana, C.K., Torriani, I.L., Moreschi, J.C, Gallotti, B.J., de Souza, S.J., Narcisco, G.P., Bichara, J.A., and Farah, L.F.X. (1990) Acetobacter cellulose pellicle as a temporary skin substitute. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 24-25, 253–64.
- Geyter, N.D., Morent, R., and Leys, C. (2006) Surface modification of a polyester non woven with a dielectric barrier discharge on air at medium pressure. Surface and Coating Technology, 201, 2460-2466.
- Heasley, D. and Reeves C., (2003) Evaluation of XCell<sup>®</sup> cellulose wound dressing on wound healing of diabetic ulcers, Diabetic ulcer experience, 1-3.
- Hestrin, S. and Schramm, M. (1954) Synthesis of cellulose by Acetobacter xylinum: preparation of freeze dried cells capable of polymerizing glucose to cellulose. Biochemical Journal, 58, 345-352.
- Hyun, U.L., Ye, S.J., Kwang, N.K., Se, Y.J., Hyun, G.K., Jong, S.B., and Chae, R.C. (2009) Contribution of power on cell adhesion using atmospheric dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma system. Current Applied Physics, 9, 219-223.
- Iguchi, M., Yamanaka, S., and Budhiono, A., (2000) Review Bacterial Cellulose—a masterpiece of nature's arts. Journal of Materials Science, 35, 261-270.
- Joanne, Y., Kwong, C., Kwan, M.S., and Kai, S.L. (2002) Low temperature plasma - treated nylon fabrics. Journal of Materials Processing Technology, 123, 5-12.
- Jonas, R. and Farah, L.F. (1998) Production and application of microbial cellulose. Polymer Degradation and Stability. 59, 101-106.
- Jonkman, M.F., Hoeksma, E.A., and Nieuwenhuis, P. (1990) Accelerated epithelialization under a highly vapor-permeable wound dressing is associated with increased precipitation of fibrin(ogen) and fibronectin. Journal of Invest Dermatol, 94, 477-484.

- Joong, K.P., Jae, Y.J., and Youn, H.P. (2003) Cellulose production by *Gluconacetobacter hansenii* in a medium containing ethanol. Biotechnology Letters, 25, 2055-2059.
- Kan, C.W. and Yuen, C.W.M. (2006) Textile modification with plasma treatment. RJTA, 10, 49-64.
- Klemm, D., Schumann, D., Udhardt, U., and Marsch, S. (2001). Bacterial synthesized cellulose - artificial blood vessels for microsurgery. Progress in Polymer Science, 26, 1561-1603.
- Klenko, Y, Pichal, J., and Aubrecht, L. (2006) Application of dielectric barrier discharge for treatment of polyester fabric. Journal of Physics, 56, B836-B842.
- Lagana, G. and Anderson, E.H. (2010) Moisture Dressing : The new standard in wound care. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners, 6, 366-370.
- Lima da Silva, R.C., Alves, C.J., Nascimento, J.H., Neves, J.R.O., and Teixeira, V. (2012) Surface modification of polyester fabric by non- thermal plasma treatment. Journal of Physics, 406, 1-10.
- Lina, F., Yue, Z., Chao, L., Zhihong, W., Qi, Z., Xia, H., Guixing, Q., Ping, Z., and guang, Y. (2012) Skin tissue repair materials from bacterial cellulose by a multilayer fermentation method. Journal of Material Chemistry, 22, 12349-12357.
- Kramar, A., Prsyazhnyi, V., Dojcinovic, B., Mihajlocski, K., Obradovic, B.M., Kuraica, M.M., and Kostic, M. (2013) Antimicrobial viscose fabric prepared by treatment in DBD and subsequent deposition of silver and copper ions- Investigation of plasma aging effect. Surface and Coating Technology, 224, 92-99.
- Makarand, V.R. and Ramesh, R.B. (2000). Polyamide 6 composite membranes: Properties and in vitro biocompatibility evaluation. Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition, 12(1), 125-136.
- Masahiro, M., Yousuke, K., Tomoka, K., Naohisa, O., Kouichi, N., and Yoshihiko, A. (2011) Creation of bacterial cellulose-fabric complexed material. Seni Gakkaishi, 68, 42-47.

- Mazhar, U.I., Taous, K., and Joong, K.P. (2012) Nanoreinforced bacterial cellulose-montmorillonite composites for biomedical applications. Carbohydrate Polymers, 89, 1189-1197.
- Meftahi, A., Khajavi, R., Rashidi, A., Sattari, M., Yazdanshenas, M.E., and Torabi, M. (2010) The effects of cotton gauze coating with microbial cellulose. Cellulose, 17, 199-204.
- Mikkelsen, D., Flanagan, B.M., Dykes, G.A., and Gidley, M.J. (2009) Influence of different carbon sources on bacterial cellulose production by *Gluconacetobacter xylinus* strain ATCC 53524. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 107, 576-583.
- Morent, R., Geyter, N.D., Veschuren, J., Clerck, K.D., Kiekens, P., and Leys, C. (2008) Non thermal plasma treatment of textiles. Surface and Coating Technology, 202, 3427-3449.
- Nadda, C., Neeracha, S., Keskanya, S., Prasit, P., and Muenduen, P. (2011) Characterization and biocompatibility of bacterial cellulose/alginate composite sponges with human keratinocytes and gingival fibroblasts. Carbohydrate Polymers, 85, 548-553.
- Nehra, V., Kumar, A., and Dwivedi, H.K. Atmospheric non thermal plasma sources. International Journal of Engineering, 2, 53-68.
- Ongard, S. and Muenduen, P. (2010) Novo aloe vera-bacterial cellulose composite film from biosynthesis. Carbohydrate Polymers, 79, 455-460.
- Peter, M., Jackie, P., and Jacobus, N.E. (2010) The use of a rat model to evaluate the in vivo toxicity and wound healing activity of selected *Combretum* and *Terminalia* (Combretaceae) species extracts. Original Research, 77, 1-7.
- Queen, D., Gaylor, J.D.S., Evans, J.H., and Courtney, J.M. (1987) The preclinical evaluation of the water vapor transmission rate through burn wound dressings. Biomaterials, 8, 367-371.
- Sasithorn, K. (2008) Bacterial cellulose production by *Acetobacter xylinum* strains from agricultural waste products. Apply Biochem Biotechnol, 148, 245-256.

- Seyed, A.M., Mirohmmadi, T.K., Hamid, M., and Shiva, I. (2012) Investigation of plasma treatment on poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) film surface : Charactrization and in vitro assay. Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering, 51, 1319-1326.
- Stojadinonovic, A., Carlson, J.W., Schuitz, G.S., Davis, T.A., and Elster, E.A. (2008). Topical advances in wound care. Gynecologic Oncology, 111, 70-80.
- Somporn, T., Korntip, A., and Nathakan, J. (2013) A new cellulose producing bacterium, *Rhodococcus* sp. MI 2 : screening and optimization of culture conditions. Carbohydrate Polymers, 92, 412-428.
- Thawatchai, M., Seiichi, T., and Ratana, R. (2008) Impregnation of silver nanoparticles into bacterial cellulose for antimicrobial wound dressing. Carbohydrate Polymers, 72, 43-51.
- Vandamme, E.J., Beats, S.D., Vanbalen, A., Joris, K., and Wulf, P.D. (1998). Improved production of bacterial cellulose and its application potential. Polymer Degradation and Stability, 59, 93-99.
- Velnar, T., Bailey, T., and Smrkolj, V. (2009) The wound healing process : An overview of the cellular and molecular Machanisms. Journal of International Medical Research, 37, 1528-1542.
- Wang, C.X., Liu, Y., Xu, H.L., Ren, Y., and Qui, Y.P. (2008) Influence of atmospheric pressure plasma treatment time on penetration depth of surface modification into fabric. Applied Surface Science, 254, 2499-2505.
- Wild, T., Rahbarnia, A., Kellner, M., Sobotka, L., and Thomas, E.M.D. (2010) Basics in nutrition and wound healing. Nutrition, 26, 862-866.
- Winter, G.D. (1962) Formation of the scab and the rate epithelization of superficial wounds in the skin of the young domestic pig. Nature, 193, 293-294.
- Weili, H., Shiyan, C., Xin, L., Shuaike, S., Wei, S., Xiang, Z., and Huaping, W. (2009) In situ synthesis of silver chloride nanoparticles into bacterial cellulose membranes. Materials Science and Engineering, 29, 1216-1219.
- Wu, P., Fisher, A.C., Foo, P.P., and Gaylor, J.D.S. (1995) In vitro assessment of water vapor transmission rate of synthetic wound dressing. Biomaterials, 16, 171-175.

- Wu, P., Nelson, E.A., Reid, W.H., Ruckey, C.V. and Gaylor, J.D.S (1996) Water vapor transmission rates in burns and chronic leg ulcers : influence of wound dressing and comparison with in vitro evaluation. Biomaterials, 17, 1373-1377.
- Yamanaka, S., Watanabe, K., Kitamura, N., Iguchi, M., Mitsuhashi, S., Nishi, Y. and Uryu, M. (1989) The structure and mechanical properties of sheets prepared from bacterial cellulose. Journal of Materials Science, 24, 3141-3145.
- Yoshinaga, F., Tonouchi, N., and Watanabe, K. (1997) Research progress in production of bacterial cellulose by aeration and agitation culture and its application as a new industrial material. Biosci. Biotech. Biochem., 61, 219-224.
- Zhijiang, C. and Guang, Y. (2011) Bacterial cellulose/collagen composite : Characterization and first evaluation of cytocompatibility. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 120, 2938–2944.
- Zhiqiang, G. (2011) Modification of surface properties of polyamide 6 films with atmospheric pressure plasma. Applied Surface Science, 257, 6068-6072.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A preparation of pure bacterial cellulose

**Table A1** The Production of Pure Bacterial Cellulose 1-9 Days in Wet State

| Days | weight (gram) |        |        | Average (gram) | SD     |
|------|---------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
|      | 1             | 2      | 3      |                |        |
| 2    | 0.2593        | 0.4614 | 0.1382 | 0.2863         | 0.1632 |
| 3    | 0.7029        | 0.5975 | 0.9347 | 0.7450         | 0.1725 |
| 4    | 1.1457        | 0.9433 | 1.1486 | 1.0792         | 0.1177 |
| 5    | 1.5851        | 1.6679 | 1.4245 | 1.5591         | 0.1237 |
| 6    | 2.6757        | 2.2851 | 2.3465 | 2.4357         | 0.2100 |
| 7    | 2.3988        | 2.7894 | 2.3832 | 2.5238         | 0.2301 |
| 8    | 3.1675        | 2.304  | 2.9042 | 2.7913         | 0.4418 |
| 9    | 3.21          | 3.0313 | 3.0054 | 3.0822         | 0.1114 |



**Table A2** The Production of Pure Bacterial Cellulose 1-9 Days in Dry State

| Days | weight (gram) |        |        | Average (gram) | SD     |
|------|---------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|
|      | 1             | 2      | 3      |                |        |
| 2    | 0.0057        | 0.0058 | 0.0059 | 0.0058         | 0.0001 |
| 3    | 0.0113        | 0.0117 | 0.0118 | 0.0116         | 0.0002 |
| 4    | 0.0134        | 0.0134 | 0.0151 | 0.0139         | 0.0009 |
| 5    | 0.0172        | 0.0158 | 0.0183 | 0.0171         | 0.0012 |
| 6    | 0.0174        | 0.0178 | 0.0168 | 0.0173         | 0.0055 |
| 7    | 0.0206        | 0.0223 | 0.022  | 0.0216         | 0.0009 |
| 8    | 0.02          | 0.0249 | 0.0216 | 0.0221         | 0.0024 |
| 9    | 0.0257        | 0.0236 | 0.0245 | 0.0246         | 0.0010 |

## Appendix B Preparation of BC/Cotton Composite

**Table B1** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days in Wet State

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Fabric containing high density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing medium density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing low density of cotton fiber</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.6433  | 0.4785  | 0.226  |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.4702  | 0.5162  | 0.202  |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.4814  | 0.4343  | 0.1942   |
| <b>4</b>              | 0.6991  | 0.4844  | 0.2391   |
| <b>5</b>              | 0.5544  | 0.4285  | 0.2409   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.5696  | 0.4683  | 0.2204   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.1001  | 0.0367  | 0.0213   |

**Table B2** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days in Dry State

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Fabric containing high density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing medium density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing low density of cotton fiber</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0103  | 0.0076  | 0.0051   |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.0086  | 0.0072  | 0.0053   |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.0082  | 0.0079  | 0.0061   |
| <b>4</b>              | 0.0096  | 0.0069  | 0.0058   |
| <b>5</b>              | 0.01  | 0.0075  | 0.0055   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0093  | 0.0074  | 0.0055   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.009   | 0.0003  | 0.0003   |

**Table B3** The Thickness of BC/Cotton 2 Days in Wet State

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Fabric containing high density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing medium density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing low density of cotton fiber</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>1</b>              | 1.31  | 1.25  | 1.05   |
| <b>2</b>              | 1.26  | 1.19  | 0.97   |
| <b>3</b>              | 1.23  | 1.2   | 0.94   |
| <b>4</b>              | 1.31  | 1.15  | 0.96   |
| <b>5</b>              | 1.27  | 1.17  | 0.97   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 1.276   | 1.192   | 0.978  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0343  | 0.0376  | 0.0420   |

**Table B4** The Thickness of BC/Cotton 2 Days in Dry State

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Fabric containing high density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing medium density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing low density of cotton fiber</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>1</b>              | 1.27  | 1.09  | 0.85   |
| <b>2</b>              | 1.21  | 1.13  | 0.96   |
| <b>3</b>              | 1.26  | 1.1   | 0.98   |
| <b>4</b>              | 1.36  | 1.05  | 0.95   |
| <b>5</b>              | 1.26  | 1.15  | 0.99   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 1.272   | 1.104   | 0.946  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0544  | 0.0384  | 0.0559   |

**Appendix C preparation of BC/Cotton Composite Treated Surface by DBD  
Plasma Non-Immobilization**

**Table C1** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by DBD Plasma in Wet State

| <b>Treatment times (seconds)</b> | <b>1</b> | <b>2</b> | <b>3</b> | <b>Average (gram)</b> | <b>SD</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| <b>0</b>                         | 0.4702   | 0.4814   | 0.5544   | 0.502                 | 0.0457    |
| <b>10</b>                        | 0.5139   | 0.5207   | 0.5281   | 0.5209                | 0.0071    |
| <b>20</b>                        | 0.6167   | 0.4628   | 0.5511   | 0.5435                | 0.0772    |
| <b>30</b>                        | 0.5012   | 0.5475   | 0.7219   | 0.5902                | 0.1163    |
| <b>60</b>                        | 0.5304   | 0.5695   | 0.5991   | 0.5663                | 0.0344    |
| <b>120</b>                       | 0.6614   | 0.6607   | 0.6542   | 0.6587                | 0.0039    |
| <b>180</b>                       | 0.7675   | 0.7404   | 0.7206   | 0.74283               | 0.02354   |
| <b>240</b>                       | 0.7509   | 0.7816   | 0.7957   | 0.77606               | 0.02290   |
| <b>300</b>                       | 0.6985   | 0.7123   | 0.6994   | 0.7034                | 0.0077    |

**Table C2** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 days Treated Surface by DBD Plasma in Dry State

| <b>Treatment times (seconds)</b> | <b>1</b> | <b>2</b> | <b>3</b> | <b>Average (gram)</b> | <b>SD</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|
| <b>0</b>                         | 0.0066   | 0.0062   | 0.0056   | 0.0061                | 0.0005    |
| <b>10</b>                        | 0.0078   | 0.0075   | 0.0073   | 0.0075                | 0.0002    |
| <b>20</b>                        | 0.0071   | 0.0071   | 0.007    | 0.0070                | 0.00005   |
| <b>30</b>                        | 0.0088   | 0.0085   | 0.008    | 0.0084                | 0.0004    |
| <b>60</b>                        | 0.0087   | 0.0088   | 0.008    | 0.0085                | 0.0004    |
| <b>120</b>                       | 0.009    | 0.0089   | 0.0085   | 0.0088                | 0.0002    |
| <b>180</b>                       | 0.0089   | 0.0096   | 0.009    | 0.0091                | 0.0003    |
| <b>240</b>                       | 0.0089   | 0.0087   | 0.0079   | 0.0085                | 0.0005    |
| <b>300</b>                       | 0.0082   | 0.0079   | 0.0081   | 0.0080                | 0.0001    |

**Appendix D Preparation of BC/Cotton Composite by Immobilization  
Technique**

**Table D1** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by DBD Plasma in varies Different of Cotton Fabric Wet State

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Fabric containing high density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing medium density of cotton fiber</b> | <b>Fabric containing low density of cotton fiber</b> |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.6741  | 0.7058  | 0.7675   |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.6607  | 0.6989  | 0.7404   |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.6583  | 0.7156  | 0.7406   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.6643  | 0.7067  | 0.7495   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0085  | 0.0083  | 0.0155   |



**Table D2** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by Acetic Acid, varies Different Concentration of Acetic Acid in Wet State

| <b>Concentration</b>  | <b>0%</b><br>v/v | <b>1%</b><br>v/v | <b>2%</b><br>v/v | <b>3%</b><br>v/v | <b>4%</b><br>v/v | <b>5%</b><br>v/v |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.5576           | 0.6489           | 0.708            | 0.6067           | 0.6537           | 0.6572           |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.5666           | 0.6929           | 0.6644           | 0.623            | 0.6767           | 0.6671           |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.5758           | 0.6155           | 0.6318           | 0.6905           | 0.7667           | 0.5751           |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.5666           | 0.6524           | 0.6680           | 0.6400           | 0.6990           | 0.6331           |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0091           | 0.0388           | 0.0382           | 0.0440           | 0.0597           | 0.0505           |

**Table D3** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by Acetic Acid, varies Different Concentration of Acetic Acid in Dry State

| <b>Concentration</b>  | <b>0%</b><br>v/v | <b>1%</b><br>v/v | <b>2%</b><br>v/v | <b>3%</b><br>v/v | <b>4%</b><br>v/v | <b>5%</b><br>v/v |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0065           | 0.0076           | 0.0089           | 0.0089           | 0.0099           | 0.0075           |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.0066           | 0.0079           | 0.0079           | 0.0079           | 0.0087           | 0.0074           |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.0064           | 0.0078           | 0.0086           | 0.0086           | 0.0088           | 0.0076           |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0065           | 0.0077           | 0.0084           | 0.0084           | 0.0091           | 0.0075           |
| <b>SD</b>             | 1E-04            | 0.0001           | 0.0005           | 0.0005           | 0.0006           | 1E-04            |

**Table D4** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by Citric acid, varies Different Concentration of Citric Acid in Wet State

| <b>Concentration</b>  | <b>0%</b><br><b>v/v</b> | <b>1%</b><br><b>v/v</b> | <b>2%</b><br><b>v/v</b> | <b>3%</b><br><b>v/v</b> | <b>4%</b><br><b>v/v</b> | <b>5%</b><br><b>v/v</b> |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0072                  | 0.0089                  | 0.009                   | 0.0086                  | 0.0091                  | 0.0107                  |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.007                   | 0.0083                  | 0.0085                  | 0.0085                  | 0.0091                  | 0.011                   |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.0076                  | 0.0081                  | 0.009                   | 0.0086                  | 0.0096                  | 0.0096                  |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0072                  | 0.0084                  | 0.0088                  | 0.0085                  | 0.0092                  | 0.0104                  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0003                  | 0.0004                  | 0.0002                  | 0.0005                  | 0.0002                  | 0.0007                  |

**Table D5** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days Treated Surface by Citric Acid, varies Different Concentration of Citric Acid in Dry State

| <b>Concentration</b>  | <b>0%</b><br>v/v | <b>1%</b><br>v/v | <b>2%</b><br>v/v | <b>3%</b><br>v/v | <b>4%</b><br>v/v | <b>5%</b><br>v/v |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.5976           | 0.6489           | 0.6908           | 0.6867           | 0.7027           | 0.7587           |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.5666           | 0.6529           | 0.6644           | 0.693            | 0.7154           | 0.7428           |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.5758           | 0.6255           | 0.6718           | 0.6605           | 0.7287           | 0.7773           |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.58             | 0.6424           | 0.6756           | 0.6800           | 0.7156           | 0.7596           |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0159           | 0.0148           | 0.013618         | 0.0172           | 0.0130           | 0.0172           |

**Table D6** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Wet State, treated by DBD Plasma

| Sample No.            | Non-immobilization | Absorption immobilization | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1                     | 0.6975             | 0.6931                    | 0.6237  | 0.74   |
| 2                     | 0.7004             | 0.6948                    | 0.6321  | 0.7679   |
| 3                     | 0.7406             | 0.7408                    | 0.7966  | 0.8854   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.7128             | 0.7095                    | 0.6841  | 0.7977   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0240             | 0.0270                    | 0.0974  | 0.0771   |

**Table D7** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Dry State, Treated by DBD Plasma

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Non-immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0089                    | 0.0092                           | 0.01   | 0.0101  |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.0096                    | 0.0088                           | 0.0099   | 0.0098  |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.009                     | 0.0096                           | 0.0097   | 0.0099  |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0091                    | 0.0092                           | 0.0098   | 0.0099  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0003                    | 0.0004                           | 0.0001   | 0.0001  |

**Table D8** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Wet State, Without Surface Treatment

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Non-immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.4702                    | 0.5567                           | 0.5545   | 0.587   |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.4814                    | 0.5666                           | 0.588  | 0.6353  |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.5544                    | 0.5758                           | 0.596  | 0.5886  |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.502                     | 0.5663                           | 0.5795   | 0.6036  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0457                    | 0.0092                           | 0.0220   | 0.0274  |

**Table D9** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Dry State, Without Surface Treatment

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Non-immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0086                    | 0.0089                           | 0.009  | 0.0099  |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.0082                    | 0.0085                           | 0.0089   | 0.0095  |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.0096                    | 0.0092                           | 0.0093   | 0.0096  |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0088                    | 0.0088                           | 0.0090   | 0.0096  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0007                    | 0.0003                           | 0.0002   | 0.0002  |



**Table D10** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Wet State, Treated Surface by Acetic Acid

| Sample No.            | Non-immobilization | Absorption immobilization | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1                     | 0.7428             | 0.6572                    | 0.7692  | 0.8503   |
| 2                     | 0.7069             | 0.6671                    | 0.8264  | 0.8599   |
| 3                     | 0.7017             | 0.6751                    | 0.6855  | 0.7344   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.7171             | 0.6664                    | 0.7603  | 0.8148   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0223             | 0.0089                    | 0.0708  | 0.0698   |

**Table D11** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Dry State, Treated Surface by Acetic Acid

| Sample No.     | Non-immobilization | Absorption immobilization | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1              | 0.0075             | 0.0065                    | 0.0089  | 0.0091   |
| 2              | 0.0077             | 0.0066                    | 0.0070  | 0.0094   |
| 3              | 0.0077             | 0.0064                    | 0.0075  | 0.0096   |
| Average (gram) | 0.0076             | 0.0065                    | 0.0078  | 0.0093   |
| SD             | 0.0001             | 1E-04                     | 0.0009  | 0.0002   |

**Table D12** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Wet State, Treated Surface by Citric Acid

| Sample No.            | Non-immobilization | Absorption immobilization | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor | Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 1                     | 0.712              | 0.7587                    | 0.8027  | 1.0255   |
| 2                     | 0.6989             | 0.7428                    | 0.7291  | 0.8748   |
| 3                     | 0.7438             | 0.7773                    | 0.8055  | 1.0014   |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.7182             | 0.7596                    | 0.7791  | 0.9672   |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0230             | 0.0172                    | 0.0433  | 0.0809   |

**Table D13** The Production of BC/Cotton 2 Days by Using Absorption and Crosslink Immobilization Technique in Wet State, Treated Surface by Citric Acid

| <b>Sample No.</b>     | <b>Non-immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde vapor</b> | <b>Absorption immobilization and crosslink by glutaraldehyde solution</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>1</b>              | 0.0074                    | 0.0089                           | 0.0085   | 0.0097  |
| <b>2</b>              | 0.007                     | 0.0067                           | 0.009  | 0.0099  |
| <b>3</b>              | 0.0072                    | 0.0096                           | 0.0089   | 0.0103  |
| <b>Average (gram)</b> | 0.0072                    | 0.0084                           | 0.0088   | 0.0099  |
| <b>SD</b>             | 0.0002                    | 0.0015                           | 0.0002   | 0.0003  |

**Table D14** The Cytotoxicity of Bacterial Cellulose

| Sample condition    | Average   |            |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|
|                     | OD 570 nm | percentage |
| Ideal sample        | 1.470     | 100        |
| Non-toxic sample    | 1.440     | 98         |
| toxic sample        | 0.008     | 0          |
| Pure BC             | 1.145     | 78         |
| BC/Cotton composite | 1.368     | 93         |
| BC/Nylon composite  | 1.374     | 93         |

## CURRICULUM VITAE

**Name :** Mr. Mongkol Tipplook

**Date of Birth :** March 23, 1990

**Nationality :** Thai

**University Education :**

2009-2012 Bachelor Degree of Engineering in Polymer, College of industrial technology, King's Mongkut University of Technology North Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand

**Proceeding :**

1. Tipplook, M.; and Rujiravanit, R. (2015, April 21<sup>nd</sup>) Development of bacterial cellulose-based wound dressing material: Effect of cell immobilization. Proceeding of The 6<sup>th</sup> Research Symposium on Petrochemical and materials Technology and 21<sup>th</sup> PPC Symposium on Petroleum, Petrochemical, and Polymers. Bangkok, Thailand.

**Presentation :**

1. Tipplook, M.; Saito, N.; and Rujiravanit, R. (2015, June 21<sup>st</sup>-26<sup>th</sup>) Synthesis and characterization of titanium nanoparticles deposited on bacterial cellulose/chitin composite via solution plasma sputtering technique. Paper presented at The 15<sup>th</sup> European Polymer Federation , Dresden, Germany.
2. Tipplook, M.; and Rujiravanit, R. (2015, May 6<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup>) Combination techniques between surface pretreatment and cell immobilization for development bacterial cellulose composite production for wound dressing application. Oral presented at The 3<sup>th</sup> International Mini-Workshop on Solution Plasma and Molecular Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand.
3. Tipplook, M.; and Rujiravanit, R. (2015, April 21<sup>nd</sup>) Development of bacterial cellulose-based wound dressing material: Effect of cell immobilization. Paper presented at The 6<sup>th</sup> Research Symposium on Petrochemical and materials Technology and 21<sup>th</sup> PPC Symposium on Petroleum, Petrochemical, and Polymers. Bangkok, Thailand.
4. Tipplook, M.; and Rujiravanit, R. (2015, January 23<sup>rd</sup>-26<sup>th</sup>) Preparation of Organic-inorganic Hybrid Nanocomposite Materials via Solution Plasma Sputtering

Process for Biomedical Applications. Paper presented at The 15<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Biomimetic Materials processing, Nagoya, Japan.

5. Tipplook, M., (2014, August 5<sup>th</sup>) Synthesis nitrogen doped carbon via solution plasma process. Oral presented at The seminar on “Future vision of green mobility and advanced technologies for realizing the vision” New science and technology for material, polymer and energy, Nagoya, Japan