

CHAPTER III

THE COMPLEX SEQUENTIAL WIENER INTEGRAL FOR ANALYTIC AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONALS

In this chapter, we establish an existence theorem for the complex sequential Wiener integral for a restricted class of analytic and harmonic functionals.

Definition 3.1 A subset E of $C[a,b]$ will be called a universal null set if ρE is a Wiener null set in $C[a,b]$ for each positive real number ρ . By ρE we mean the set of all functions ρx , where $x \in E$. A statement involving an element $x \in C[a,b]$ will be said to be true almost universally (a.u.) if it is true everywhere in $C[a,b]$ except on a universal null set. For example, for fixed x in $C[a,b]$, the set of polygonal functions x_n such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ is a universal null set.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$, where $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \theta \leq \pi/4$, and let Λ be the open sector of complex numbers λ such that $0 < \arg \lambda < \theta$. Let $F(y)$ be a Borel functional defined for all y of the form $\lambda x(\cdot)$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda^*$ and $x \in C[a,b]$, and Λ^* denotes the closure of Λ with $\lambda = 0$ omitted.

Suppose that F also satisfies the following four conditions:

1. $F(\lambda x)$ is analytic in λ on Λ for each x in $C[a,b]$.
2. $F(\lambda x)$ is a continuous function of λ on Λ^* for each x in $C[a,b]$.

3. $F(\sigma x)$ and $F(\sigma^* x)$ are continuous functions of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a,b]$, where $\sigma^* = \rho e^{i\theta^*}$ and $0 < \theta^* < \theta$.

4. There is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|F(e^{i\gamma} x)| \leq M$$

for all x in $C[a,b]$ and all γ on $(0,\theta)$.

Then the sequential Wiener integral (with parameter σ) exists on $C[a,b]$ and we have

$$(3.2.1) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_{\sigma}} F(x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\sigma x) dW(x).$$

Moreover the following integrals exist and are equal

$$(3.2.2) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_{\lambda}} F(x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x)$$

wherever λ is in the set \mathcal{S} defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ \lambda : \lambda \neq 0, 0 < \arg \lambda < \theta \text{ and } |\lambda| < \rho \}.$$

Finally, both members of (3.2.2) are analytic functions of λ on \mathcal{S} and they approach the members of (3.2.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} .

Proof: We note from condition 2 that condition 4 holds for $0 \leq \gamma \leq \theta$, and hence we have that for all λ in Λ^* and all x in $C[a,b]$,

$$(3.2.3) \quad |F(\lambda x)| = |F\left(\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} \cdot |\lambda| x\right)| = |F(e^{i\gamma} y)| \leq M.$$

Let \mathcal{S}^* be the closure of \mathcal{S} with the origin omitted.

Since the proof of this theorem is very long, it will be convenient to divide it into several steps.

STEP I. For each subdivision vector τ ,

$$(3.2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi$$

and

$$(3.2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\tau, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi$$

exist for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and are analytic functions of λ on \mathcal{S} .

Proof: It follows from lemma 2.5 and (2.1.2) that the integrand of (3.2.4) is measurable in ξ , and in view of (2.1.2), (3.2.3) satisfies for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$ the inequalities

$$(3.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\lambda|^{n\sqrt{(2\pi)^n(\tau_1 - \tau_0) \dots (\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})}} |K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi})| \\ & \leq M \exp \left[-\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{-2}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\xi_i - \xi_{i-1})^2}{2(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})} \right] \\ & \leq M \exp \left[-\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{-2}) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\xi_i - \xi_{i-1})^2}{2(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last member of (3.2.6) is integrable in ξ over \mathbb{R}^n , (3.2.4) exists for all λ in \mathcal{S}^* and all subdivision vectors τ . To show that (3.2.4) is analytic in λ on \mathcal{S} , let Δ be any closed triangle in \mathcal{S} .

Then we have

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\lambda = 0$$

since $K_\lambda(\tau, \xi)F(\psi_{\tau, \xi})$ is analytic in λ and $\partial\Delta$ denotes the boundary of Δ .

Since (3.2.4) exists,

$$\int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K_\lambda(\tau, \xi)F(\psi_{\tau, \xi})| d\xi \right) d\lambda < \infty$$

thus we can exchange the order of integration by Fubini theorem and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Delta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi)F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi \right) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\partial\Delta} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi)F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\lambda \right) d\xi \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Morera's theorem we have that (3.2.4) is an analytic function of λ in \mathcal{S} .

Next we show that for each τ , (3.2.5) exists for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and is an analytic function of λ in \mathcal{S} . The argument is very similar to the corresponding argument for (3.2.4). The inequality corresponding to (3.2.6) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{(2\pi)^n (\tau_1 - \tau_0) \dots (\tau_n - \tau_{n-1})} |K(\tau, \xi)F(\lambda\psi_{\tau, \xi})| \\ (3.2.7) & \leq M \exp \left[- \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\xi_i - \xi_{i-1})^2}{2(\tau_i - \tau_{i-1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for λ in \mathcal{S}^* . Thus both (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) are analytic on \mathcal{S} .

STEP II For each λ in \mathcal{S}^* , (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) are equal, i.e.,

$$(3.2.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\tau, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi.$$

Proof: By condition 2 and step I, the integrand of (3.2.4) is continuous in λ on \mathcal{S}^* and is integrable in ξ over \mathbb{R}^n . Thus (3.2.4) is continuous in λ on \mathcal{S}^* , and so does (3.2.5). Moreover if $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$ and λ is real, we may replace ξ by $\lambda^{-1}\xi$ in (3.2.5) and using (2.3.1) we find that the expression (3.2.4) is equal to the expression (3.2.5) on the real edge of \mathcal{S}^* . Let L denote the real edge of \mathcal{S}^* and

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi,$$

$$g(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\tau, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi.$$

Thus we have $h(\lambda) = (f-g)(\lambda)$ is analytic in \mathcal{S} and continuous on $\mathcal{S} \cup L$, hence by the Schwarz reflection principle, $h(\lambda)$ can be extended to a function which is analytic in $\mathcal{S} \cup L \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, where $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ denotes the reflection of \mathcal{S} . Since $h(\lambda) = 0$ for all λ in L and L has a limit point in $\mathcal{S} \cup L \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, it follows that $h(\lambda) = 0$ for all λ in $\mathcal{S} \cup L \cup \bar{\mathcal{S}}$. Thus we have $f(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$ for all λ in \mathcal{S} and hence by the continuity of $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$, (3.2.8) holds for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

STEP III Let A denote the slanting edge of \mathcal{S}^* , and A^* the set of all λ in \mathcal{S}^* in which $\arg \lambda = \theta^*$, i.e.,

$$A = \{ \lambda : \lambda \neq 0, \arg \lambda = \theta \text{ and } |\lambda| \leq \rho \}$$

and

$$A^* = \{\lambda : \lambda \neq 0, \arg \lambda = \theta^* \text{ and } |\lambda| \leq \rho\}.$$

Then the following integrals exist and are equal

$$(3.2.9) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_\lambda} F(x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x)$$

for $\lambda \in A \cup A^*$.

Proof: For each $\lambda \in A$,

$$\lambda = |\lambda| e^{i\theta} = \frac{|\lambda|}{\rho} (\rho e^{i\theta}) = \left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\rho}\right) \sigma.$$

Then by the continuity of F and of x and condition 3 we have that

$$F(\lambda x) = F\left(\frac{|\lambda|}{\rho} \sigma x\right)$$

is a continuous function of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a,b]$.

Similarly, this is true for λ in A^* . Thus for each λ in $A \cup A^*$,

the sequential Wiener integral and ordinary Wiener integral

$$(3.2.10) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw} F(\lambda x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x)$$

exist and are equal since the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 are satisfied.

Thus if $\{\tau_k\}$ is a sequence of subdivision vectors for which $\|\tau_k\| \rightarrow 0$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have the right member of (3.2.8) approaching the left

member of (3.2.10) as τ ranges over the sequence $\{\tau_k\}$. Hence, we have

by (3.2.8) and (3.2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_\lambda} F(x) dx &= \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\tau, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi \\
&= \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw} F(\lambda x) dx \\
&= \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that (3.2.9) holds for λ in $A \cup A^*$. In particular (3.2.9) holds for $\lambda = \sigma$ and (3.2.1) is established.

STEP IV For each λ in S , the following integrals

$$(3.2.11) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw} F(\lambda x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x)$$

exist and are equal. Moreover the right member of (3.2.11) is analytic in S and is continuous in λ on S^* .

Proof: Let $\{\tau_k\}$ be a sequence of subdivision vectors such that $\|\tau_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and define

$$(3.2.12) \quad f_k(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n(k)} K(\tau_k, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau_k, \xi}) d\xi.$$

By step I and II, the functions $f_k(\lambda)$ are defined and continuous for $\lambda \in S^*$ and are analytic in S . Moreover from (3.2.12), (2.6.1), (3.2.3) we have for $\lambda \in S^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_k(\lambda)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n(k)}} K(\tau_k, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau_k, \xi}) d\xi \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{C[a, b]} F(\lambda x_{\tau_k}) dW(x) \right| \\
&\leq \int_{C[a, b]} |F(\lambda x_{\tau_k})| dW(x) \\
&< \infty .
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the functions $f_k(\lambda)$ are uniformly bounded for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*$. Moreover from the existence of the right member of (3.2.10), it follows that for λ in $A \cup A^*$ we have the existence of the limit

$$(3.2.13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(\lambda) = \int_{C[a, b]} F(\lambda x) dx.$$

Since $\{f_k\}$ is a sequence of analytic functions in \mathcal{S} and uniformly bounded on \mathcal{S}^* , it follows that $\{f_k\}$ is a normal family, i.e., every subsequence of $\{f_k\}$ contains a subsequence which converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathcal{S} . Let K be any compact subset of \mathcal{S} , and let $\{f_{k_j}\}$ be a subsequence of $\{f_k\}$. Then there is a subsequence $\{f_{k_j}^*\}$ of $\{f_{k_j}\}$ such that $f_{k_j}^*$ converges uniformly, say to g , on K . Hence, g is analytic in \mathcal{S} and also bounded on \mathcal{S} .

Let

$$(3.2.14) \quad f(\lambda) = \int_{C[a, b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x) .$$

Then it follows from condition 1, 2 and 4 that $f(\lambda)$ is analytic in \mathcal{S} and continuous on \mathcal{S}^* . By (3.2.13), $f_{k_j}^*$ converges to f on A^* , and thus

$f = g$ on A^* . Since A^* has a limit point in \mathcal{S} , $f = g$ on \mathcal{S} and hence $f_{k_j}^*$ converges uniformly to f on K . Since K is arbitrary, we have shown that every subsequence of $\{f_k\}$ contains a subsequence which converges to f uniformly on every compact subsets of \mathcal{S} . This implies that $\{f_k\}$ converges to f on every compact subsets of \mathcal{S} , and hence on \mathcal{S} since for each λ in \mathcal{S} , $\{\lambda\}$ is compact in \mathcal{S} . Thus it follows from (3.2.14) that (3.2.13) holds for λ in \mathcal{S} as well as on A . But since the limit of $f_k(\lambda)$ is independent of the choice of $\{\tau_k\}$, it follows from (2.1.1) that the sequential Wiener integral exists and (3.2.11) holds for $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$.

STEP V. The sequential Wiener integral in (3.2.2) exists and is an analytic function of λ in \mathcal{S} and (3.2.2) holds. Moreover both of members of (3.2.2) approach the members of (3.2.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} .

Proof: It readily follows from (2.1.1), step II and step IV that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{sw}} F(x) dx &= \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\lambda(\tau, \xi) F(\psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi \\
 &= \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\tau, \xi) F(\lambda \psi_{\tau, \xi}) d\xi \\
 &= \int_{C[a,b]}^{\text{sw}} F(\lambda x) dx \\
 &= \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda x) dW(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.2.2) is established and by the continuity of the right member of (3.2.2), both of members of (3.2.2) approach the members of

(3.2.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} .

Therefore, by steps I, II, III, IV and V the theorem is proved.

#

Corollary 3.3. The conclusion of the existence and equality of the members of (3.2.2) for all λ in \mathcal{S} and their analyticity in \mathcal{S} and their approach to the right member of (3.2.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} all remains valid if $F(\sigma x)$ in condition 3 of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 is replaced by $F(x)$.

A reexamination of the proof of Theorem 3.2 on the basis of the hypothesis of the above corollary will show that the corresponding conclusions hold.

If we replace the analyticity of $F(\lambda x)$ in condition 1 of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 by the harmonicity, then we get the generalization of Theorem 3.2 since every analytic function is harmonic, but the converse is false. For example, let $f(z) = \bar{z}$ where $z = x+iy$ and \bar{z} is the conjugate of z . Then $f(z)$ is harmonic, but not analytic.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\sigma = \rho e^{i\theta}$, where $\rho > 0$ and $0 < \theta \leq \pi/4$ and let Λ be the open sector of complex numbers λ such that $0 < \arg \lambda < \theta$. Let $H(y)$ be a Borel functional defined for all y of the form $\lambda x(\cdot)$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda^*$ and $x \in C[a, b]$, and Λ^* denotes the closure of Λ with $\lambda = 0$ omitted. Suppose that H also satisfies the following four conditions:

1. $H(\lambda x)$ is harmonic in λ on Λ for each x in $C[a, b]$.
2. $H(\lambda x)$ is a continuous function of λ on Λ^* for each x in $C[a, b]$.

2524
 988
 14 П.О. 2533

3. $H(\sigma x)$ and $H(\sigma^* x)$ are continuous functions of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a,b]$, where $\sigma^* = \rho e^{i\theta^*}$, $0 < \theta^* < \theta$.

4. There is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|H(e^{i\gamma} x)| \leq M$$

for all x in $C[a,b]$ and all γ on $(0,\theta)$.

Then the sequential Wiener integral (with parameter σ) exists on $C[a,b]$ and we have

$$(3.4.1) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_\sigma} H(x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} H(\sigma x) dW(x).$$

Moreover the following integrals exist and are equal

$$(3.4.2) \quad \int_{C[a,b]}^{sw_\lambda} H(x) dx = \int_{C[a,b]} H(\lambda x) dW(x)$$

whenever λ is in the set \mathcal{S} defined by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ \lambda : \lambda \neq 0, 0 < \arg \lambda < \theta, |\lambda| < \rho \}.$$

Finally, both members of (3.4.2) are harmonic functions of λ on \mathcal{S} and they approach the members of (3.4.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} .

Since every complex function is harmonic if and only if its real part and its imaginary part are harmonic, we need only prove Theorem 3.4 for a real harmonic function $H(\lambda x)$.

Proof: We divide the proof into five steps:

STEP I For all λ in Λ^* and all x in $C[a,b]$, we let $H(\lambda, x) = H(\lambda x)$. Then for each x in $C[a,b]$ there exists an analytic function $F(\lambda, x)$ of λ on Λ such that $\operatorname{Re} [F(\lambda, x)] = H(\lambda, x)$.

Proof: Since Λ is simply connected, the unit disc U (i.e., $U = D(0,1)$) and Λ are conformally equivalent, and hence there is a one-one conformal mapping ψ from Λ onto U . For each x in $C[a,b]$, let

$$H^*(z, x) = H(\psi^{-1}(z), x) \quad (z \in U).$$

Then $H^*(z, x)$ is a real harmonic function of z on U and continuous in z on \bar{U} (\bar{U} denotes the closure of U). Thus (in U), $H^*(z, x)$ is the real part of the analytic function

$$(3.4.3) \quad F^*(z, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} H^*(e^{it}, x) dt \quad (z \in U).$$

For each x in $C[a,b]$, let

$$F(\lambda, x) = F^*(\psi(\lambda), x) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Then $F(\lambda, x)$ is analytic in λ on Λ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}[F(\lambda, x)] &= \operatorname{Re}[F^*(\psi(\lambda), x)] = H^*(\psi(\lambda), x) \\ &= H(\psi^{-1}(\psi(\lambda)), x) = H(\lambda, x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each x in $C[a,b]$ we have $H(\lambda, x)$ is the real part of $F(\lambda, x)$, the analytic function of λ on Λ .

STEP II, $F(\lambda, x)$ is a continuous function of λ on Λ^* for each x in $C[a,b]$.

Proof: For each z in U , $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq r < 1$, θ is real, we have

from (3.4.3) that

$$F^*(z, x) = H^*(z, x) + iG^*(z, x)$$

where

$$H^*(z, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos(t-\theta) + r^2} H^*(e^{it}, x) dt$$

and

$$G^*(z, x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{r \sin(t-\theta)}{1 - 2r \cos(t-\theta) + r^2} H^*(e^{it}, x) dt.$$

We shall show that the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} F^*(z, x)$$

exists and is continuous on T , the boundary of U . Since $H^*(z, x)$ is continuous on \bar{U} ,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} H^*(z, x) = H^*(e^{i\theta}, x)$$

exists and is continuous on T . Then we need only show that,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} G^*(z, x)$$

exists and is continuous on T . We define

$$f(t, x) = H^*(e^{it}, x) \quad -\pi \leq t \leq \pi.$$

Then $f(t, x)$ is continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Let

$$\psi(t, x) = f(\theta+t, x) - f(\theta-t, x).$$

Thus by conditions 2 and 4 of the hypothesis, we have for all z in \bar{U} and all x in $C[a, b]$ that there is an $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|H^*(z,x)| \leq M,$$

and hence

$$|\psi(t,x)| \leq K$$

for some $K > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(z,x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{r \sin(t-\theta)}{1-2r \cos(t-\theta)+r^2} f(t,x) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2} \psi(t,x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(t,x)$ is continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$, then $|f(t_1,x) - f(t_2,x)| < \varepsilon$. Then for $\varepsilon = (1-r)^3$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$, then $|f(t_1,x) - f(t_2,x)| < \varepsilon = (1-r)^3$, so that if $|t| < \delta/2$, then $|(\theta+t) - (\theta-t)| = 2|t| < \delta$, and hence $|\psi(t,x)| = |f(\theta+t,x) - f(\theta-t,x)| < (1-r)^3$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G^*(z,x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2} \psi(t,x) dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta/2} \frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2} \psi(t,x) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\delta/2}^{\pi} \frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2} \psi(t,x) dt \\ &= I + II, \end{aligned}$$

and obtain $|I| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta/2} \frac{r}{(1-r)^2} |\psi(t,x)| dt \leq r(1-r) \frac{\delta}{2\pi},$

hence $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} I = 0$. In II, since $1-2r \cos t+r^2 \geq 4r \sin^2(t/2)$

and $\sin t = 2\sin(t/2)\cos(t/2)$,

$$\left| \frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2} \psi(t,x) \right| \leq K \cot(t/2).$$

Since $\cot(t/2)$ is integrable on $[\delta/2, \pi]$ and $\frac{r \sin t}{1-2r \cos t+r^2}$ is continuous on $[\delta/2, \pi]$, it follows that II exists and is continuous on $[\delta/2, \pi]$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} G^*(z,x) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (I+II) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} II \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\delta/2}^{\pi} \frac{\sin t}{1-\cos t} \psi(t,x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the same proof as before, we have that the last member of the equalities above exists and is continuous on $[\delta/2, \pi]$, hence the limit of $G^*(z,x)$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ exists and is continuous for all $e^{i\theta}$ on T , and thus

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} F^*(z,x) = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} H(z,x) + i \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} G^*(z,x)$$

exists and is continuous on T . Then it can be extended to a continuous function on \bar{U} , and hence $F(\lambda,x) = F^*(\psi(\lambda),x)$ is a continuous function of λ on Λ^* .

STEP III. $F(\sigma,x)$ and $F(\sigma^*,x)$ are continuous functions of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a,b]$.

Proof: Let A and A^* be defined as in step III of Theorem 3.2. Then by the same proof as in step III of Theorem 3.2, $H(\lambda, x)$ is a continuous function of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a, b]$ for all λ in $A \cup A^*$. Thus for each z in $\psi(A) \cup \psi(A^*)$ we have $H^*(z, x) = H(\psi^{-1}(z), x)$ is a continuous function of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a, b]$, and hence $F^*(z, x)$ is also a continuous function of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a, b]$, so that for each λ in $A \cup A^*$, $F(\lambda, x) = F^*(\psi(\lambda), x)$ is a continuous function of x in the uniform topology a.u. in $C[a, b]$. In particular, this is true for $\lambda = \sigma$ and $\lambda = \sigma^*$.

STEP IV There is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|F(\lambda, x)| \leq M$$

for all λ in A^* and all x in $C[a, b]$.

Proof: It readily follows from (3.4.3) and step III that there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|F^*(z, x)| \leq M$$

for all z in \bar{U} and all x in $C[a, b]$. Hence,

$$|F(\lambda, x)| = |F^*(\psi(\lambda), x)| \leq M$$

for all λ in A^* and all x in $C[a, b]$.

STEP V The sequential Wiener integral in (3.4.1) exists and (3.4.1) holds. Moreover the integrals in (3.4.2) exist and (3.4.2) holds for λ in \mathcal{S} . Finally, both members of (3.4.2) are harmonic functions of λ on \mathcal{S} , and they approach the members of (3.4.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathcal{S} .

Proof: We first note that since $H(\lambda, x) = H(\lambda x)$, we have by virtue of a formal formula given by Ahlfors for determining a harmonic conjugate we can simply drop the comma sign from $F(\lambda, x)$.

By step I, II, III and IV, $F(\lambda x)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, and thus the conclusions of Theorem 3.2 hold for F . Since H is the real part of F , step V follows. #

A reexamination of the proof of step III in Theorem 3.4 and by Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.5 The conclusion of the existence and equality of the members of (3.4.2) for all λ in \mathfrak{S} and their analyticity in \mathfrak{S} and their approach to the right member of (3.4.1) as $\lambda \rightarrow \sigma$ from inside \mathfrak{S} all remains valid if $H(\sigma x)$ in condition 3 of the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4 is replaced by $H(x)$.