# การวิจัยเชิงนโยบายเพื่อการพัฒนานโยบายการใช้อินเทอร์เน็ตสำหรับสถาบันอุดมศึกษาไทย



นางกอบกุล สรรพกิจจำนง

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาครุศาสตรคุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาเทคโนโลยีและสื่อสารการศึกษา ภาควิชาโสตทัศนศึกษา บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2541 ISBN 974-332-149-7 ลิชสิทธิ์ของบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# A POLICY RESEARCH STUDY FOR THE FORMULATION OF INTERNET USE POLICY FOR THAI HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Mrs. Kobkul Sunphakitjumnong

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Educational Communications and Technology

Department of Audio-Visual Education

Graduate School
Chulalongkorn University
Academic year 1998
ISBN 974-332-149-7

A Policy Study for the Formulation of Internet Use Policy for Thai **Dissertation Title** Higher Education Institutions Mrs. Kobkul Sunphakitjumnong Ву Audio-Visual Education Department Chawalert Lertchalolarn, Ph.D. Thesis Advisor Professor Seth Spaulding, Ph.D. Thesis Co-Advisor Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctoral's Degree Dean of Graduate School (Professor Suphawat Chutivongse, M.D.) DISSERTATION COMMITTEE Chairman (Assistant Professor Sugree Rodphotong, Ph.D.) Thesis Advisor (Chawalert Lertchalolarn, Ph.D.) Thesis Co-Advisor (Professor Seth Spaulding, Ph.D.) Member

(Associated Professor Tong-In Wongsothorn, Ph.D.)

Member

(Vichuda Rattanapian, Ph.D.)

กอบกุล สรรพกิจจำนง : การวิจัยเชิงนโยบายเพื่อการพัฒนานโยบายการใช้อินเทอร์เน็ตสำหรับ สถาบันอุดมศึกษาไทย (A POLICY RESEARCH STUDY FOR THE FORMULATION OF INTERNET USE POLICY FOR THAI HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS). อ.ที่ปรึกษา : ดร. เชาวเลิศ เลิศชโลฬาร, อ.ที่ปรึกษาร่วม : PROF. SETH SPAULDING, Ph.D.; 253 หน้า. ISBN 974-332-149-7.

การวิจัยครั้งนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษานโยบายการใช้อินเทอร์เน็ตสำหรับสถาบันอุดมศึกษาไทยที่ มีความเป็นไปได้ในการนำไปใช้ วิธีการวิจัยที่ใช้คือ การวิจัยเชิงนโยบาย โดยประยุกต์ใช้แนวคิดในการทำ วิจัยเชิงนโยบายของ Ann Majchrzak วิธีการเก็บรวบรวมข้อมูลทำโดยการสัมภาษณ์ อธิการบดี ผู้แทน-อธิการบดี ผู้เชี่ยวชาญ การส่งแบบสอบถามให้แก่ คณบดี ผู้อำนวยการกอง/สถาบัน หัวหน้าภาควิชา อาจารย์ ช้าราชการ นิลิต/นักศึกษา และการทำ focused synthesis โดยการศึกษา วิเคราะห์ และ สังเคราะห์ เอกสารราชการ วรรณกรรมที่เกี่ยวข้อง ตลอดจนข้อมูลที่เก็บรวบรวมจากแหล่งข้อมูลใน อินเทอร์เน็ต

ผลการวิจัยพบว่า ประเด็นนโยบายที่ควรกำหนดไว้ในนโยบายการใช้อินเทอร์เน็ตสำหรับสถาบัน อุดมศึกษาไทย ประกอบด้วย 14 ประเด็น คือ ผู้มีสิทธิใช้บริการ วัตถุประสงค์ของการใช้ การใช้ที่ เหมาะสม ความรับผิดชอบในการใช้ ความเป็นส่วนตัวของผู้ใช้ ความเสมอภาคในการใช้ การใช้ทรัพย์สิน หางปัญญา ความปลอดภัยของข้อมูลและเครือข่าย เสรีภาพทางวิชาการ เสรีภาพในการแสดงความคิดเห็น จรรยาบรรณในการใช้ มารยาทเครือข่าย ข้อมูลข่าวสารที่ใช้ และการเก็บค่าบริการ

จากการศึกษาทางเลือกในการกำหนดนโยบายของแต่ละประเด็นนโยบาย พบว่ามีทางเลือกใน
การกำหนดนโยบายของแต่ละประเด็นหลายทางเลือกและมีความแตกต่างกันไปในแต่ละประเด็น นอกจาก
นั้นผลการศึกษาเกี่ยวกับนโยบายที่มีความเป็นไปได้ในการนำไปใช้กับสถาบันอุดมศึกษาไทยพบว่า บาง
นโยบายมีความสอดคล้องกับแนวคิดทางตะวันตก และบางนโยบายสะท้อนให้เห็นถึงแนวคิดที่ยึดมั่นใน
คุณค่าของวัฒนธรรมและบรรทัดฐานของชาติไทย สำหรับมาตรการที่ใช้เพื่อสนับสนุนนโยบายให้บรรลุตาม
วัตถุประสงค์นั้นกลุ่มตัวอย่างของการศึกษาครั้งนี้เลือกให้มีการใช้มาตรการทางด้านข้อมูลข่าวสาร เช่น การ
มีกอบรม การจัดท่าเอกสารประชาสัมพันธ์ มากกว่ามาตรการด้านการควบคุมบังคับ เช่น การออกกฎ
ระเบียบที่เคร่งครัดและซับซ้อน

ภาควิชา โสตทัศนศึกษา ชาขาวิชา เทคโนโลยีและสื่อสารการศึกษา ปีการศึกษา 2541

ลายมือชื่อหิสต <u>คอ</u> ปร ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา (Mth) ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม และ ผู้ผมใน

##C743061 : MAJOR EDUCATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS AND TECHNOLOGY

KEY WORD : INTERNET USE / HIGHER EDUCATION / POLICY / POLICY ISSUE /

POLICY MECHANISMS

SUNPHAKITJUMNONG: A POLICY RESEARCH STUDY FOR THE KO8KUL FORMULATION OF INTERNET USE POLICY FOR THAI HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS, THESIS ADVISOR: CHAWALERT LERTCHALOLARN, Ph.D. THESIS

CO-ADVISOR: PROF. SETH SPAULDING, Ph.D. 253 pp. ISBN 974-332-149-7.

The objective of the study was to examine possible alternatives to Internet use policy. To achieve this objective, the policy research was employed for the study. Ann Majchrzak' methods for policy research was adapted. Interview, questionnaire survey, and focused synthesis were used for data collection. The interviews were conducted with rectors of the universities/institutions and experts in the preparation process of the study, and the interviews were also conducted with rectors and the representatives in the technical analysis process of the study. Questionnaires were distributed to deans of faculties, directors of divisions, and heads of departments as the representatives of policymakers of the study. The questionnaires were also distributed to instructors, staff, and students as the representative of Internet users of the study. Moreover, the questionnaires were distributed to rectors, chief information officers, and directors of the university computing centers as the representative of key policy decisionmakers of the study. In addition, focused synthesis were made on literature, official documents, and data and information from the Internet Resources.

The findings can be concluded that key issues which should be included in the Internet use policy for Thai higher education institutions are the following fourteen issues, namely; user entitlement, statement of objective, proper use, responsibility, intellectual property, privacy, equity, security, ethics, network etiquette, academic freedom, freedom of expression, data and information, and service charge. The study found the alternatives to address each key issues. Some alternative are coincided with Western ideas, some alternatives reflected the value of Thai culture and norms. And the mechanisms that the respondents of the study preferred to use for supporting the Internet use policies involved mostly the dissemination of data and information measures such as training, circulation of documents rather than the operation and control measures such as rigid and complex rules and regulations.

ภาควิชา	โสตทัศนศึกษา
สาขาวิชา	เพลโมโลยีและชื่อสาสาสาสรีลงเล
ปีการสีกม	2541

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต <u>ไม่งา</u> ปร ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา 🗥 🗥 ฉายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรีเ

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The writing of this dissertation was accomplished with the support of my teachers, family, friends, and professional colleagues. They have provided morale support, I extend my heartfelt appreciation. Through their encouragement and advice, I was able to continue and not let the goal of completing the dissertation disappear.

My appreciation is extended to Dr. Chawalert Lertchalolarn, who is my advisor. He has provided tremendous support and encouragement during my career as a graduate student. During the many transitions that occurred in my life while pursuing my degree, he was very understanding and helpful. Without his support I would not have been able to finish the dissertation.

In particular, I would like to thank Professor. Seth Spaulding from the University of Pittsburgh for his willingness to be a co-advisor, for his fatherly advice on the dissertation, and for his kindness to travel a long way to participate in the oral defense.

My dissertation committee deserves a great appreciation for their advice and recommendations that helped in making the dissertation a more complete.

Without the kind cooperation from the rectors, experts, instructors, staffs and students whose participation in the study, this study would not have been possible. I would like to thank them for their willingness to respond to the survey and prompt return made the completion of the study manageable within a short time frame.

I would like to acknowledge those that were most help to me: Assoc.Prof. Suphachai Tangwongsan, Dr.Achara Thanamai, Dr.Porntip Chaiyaso, Assoc.Prof. Wanlee Phattaropat, Dr. Nattaya Kaewmukda, Assist.Prof. Saisamorn Srisukprasert, Khun Intira Sri-khun, Dr.Sukanya Nimanandha, Dr. Wachiraporn Achariyakosol, Dr.Vincent P. Costa, Assoc.Prof. Achara Prapaitrakul, Assoc.Prof. Panta Chaitiumwong, Assoc. Prof. Boonsong Kosa, Dr. Cappia and anyone else I may have neglected to name.

A part of the financial costs of the research were supported by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University.

Finally, to my family I express my deepest love. For my husband who has been supportive and very patient throughout these many months. My mother, who has provided morale support and the love that only a mother can provide. To my children, who are the love of my life and will be receiving more attention now that the dissertation is completed.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract in Thai	iv
Abstract in English	٧
Acknowledgement	vi
Table of contents	vii
List of tables	ix
Chapter	
1 Introduction	1
Rationale	1
Purpose of the study	5
Research questions	5
Scope and Limitation of the study	6
Conceptual Framework of the study	. 7
Operational Definitions	10
Expected benefits from the study	11
2 Review Literature and Documents	13
Higher Education in Thailand	13
Internet organization	22
Internet Use Policy	28
Ann Majchrzak methods	35
3 Research Procedure	46
Sources of data	46
Research Tools and development	48
Research Process	56
4 Data Analysis	64

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

		Page
5	Summary, Discussion, and Recommendations	192
	Summary of research process	192
	Research Findings	195
	Discussion	206
	Recommendation for the application of research findings	210
	Recommendation for further study	212
Reference	S	214
Appendix		
	Appendix A Interview guide for rectors and experts in the	
	preparation process	217
·	Appendix B Interview guide for rectos in the technical analysis	
	process	219
	Appendix C Questionnaire for directors of university Internet	
	services providers	222
	Appendix D Questionnaire for policymakers and Internet users	
	in the technical analysis process	224
	Appendix E Questionnaire for rectors in the technical analysis	
	process	237
Biography		253
্ব	พาลงกรณ่มหาวิทยาลัย	

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table		page
2.1	Josepht Coats concept of types of policy mechanism	45
3.1	Sample institutions and number of distributed and returned	
	questionnaires	61
4.1	The universities/institutions Internet connection and CIO	66
4.2	Numbers of university Internet user account	67
4.3	The existing of the Internet use policy and regulation	68
4.4	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue of the benefits of the Internet to higher	
	education	70
4.5	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue of needs of the Internet to higher	
	education institutions	72
4.6	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue the university tasks that the Internet can	
	be supported and enhanced	74
4.7	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue of needs of the Internet use policy for	
	higher education	76
4.8	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue of key policy issues of the Internet Use	
	policy	78
4.9	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents and	
	experts on the issue of policy making process of the Internet	
	use policy for Thai higher education	80
4.10	The policy issues collected from the official document related	
	to the Internet use	81

Table		page
4.11	List of policy names relative to the Internet use	82
4.12	Policy issues in the Internet use policy or related policies	
	collected from the Internet resources	83
4.13	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue the use the	
	university Internet is the right or the privilege	84
4.14	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the objective of the use of	
	the university Internet	86
4.15	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue proper use of the	
	university Internet	89
4.16	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue responsibility in	
	using the university Internet	91
4.17	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue privacy in using	
	the university Internet	94
4.18	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue security in using	
	the university Internet	96
4.19	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue to equity in using	
	the university Internet	98
4.20	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	universities and representatives on the issue the use of	
	intellectual property on the university Internet	100

Table		page
4.21	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	the universities/institutions and representatives on the issue	
	academic freedom	102
4.22	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	the universities/institutions and representatives on the issue	
	freedom of expression	104
4.23	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	the universities/institutions and representatives on the issue	,
	network etiquette	106
4.24	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	the universities/institutions and representatives on the issue	
	the use of data and information on the university Internet	109
4.25	Summary of the transcript of the interview with presidents of	
	the universities/institutions and representatives on the issue	
	the university internet services charge	111
4.26	List of key policy issues that should be included in the Internet	
	Use Policy	113
4.27	Frequency and percentage of policymakers' experience in	
٠	using the Internet	114
4.28	Frequency and percentage of respondents self-assessment on	
	level of knowledge and skills in utilizing the Internet	115
4.29	Frequency and percentage of underlying reason to utilize the	
	Internet	116
4.30	Frequency and percentage of respondents about the	
	forecasting of difficulty if the Internet services is unavailable	117
4.31	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	theassumption of the use of the university Internet	118

Table		page
4.32	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	user entitlement issue	119
4.33	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	objective of using the university Internet	121
4.34	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	activities that should allow users to conduct on the university	
	Internet	122
4.35	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	•
	activities that should allow the university own units to conduct	
	on the Internet	123
4.36	Rank of the needed services on the Internet	124
4.37	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	use of Internet in personal matter	125
4.38	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	descriptions of proper use of the university Internet	127
4.39	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	responsibility in using the university Internet	128
4.40	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on user	
	responsibility in their own account	129
4.41	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	university responsible in users' personal information	130
4.42	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on types	
	of the use that should be punished	131
4.43	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	university responsibility in the intellectual property providing	
	for user services	132

Table		page
4.44	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on user	
	responsibility in using the intellectual property on the Internet	133
4.45	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	university responsibility in the use of intellectual property of	
	users	134
4.46	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	university' right to record the user performance	135
4.47	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	right of the university in using user's personal data	136
4.48	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	user's personal data should be treated as confidential	137
4.49	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	university right to record user performance	138
4.50	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	university security procedure	139
4.51	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	activities that should allow the students to conduct	140
4.52	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	activities that should allow instructors to conduct	141
4.53	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	activities that should allow staff to conduct	142
4.54	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on ethics	
	issue	143
4.55	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	etiquette in using the university Internet	144

Table		page
4.56	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	adoption of national constitution principle on academic	
	freedom	145
4.57	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	censorship on the university Internet	146
4.58	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
•	blocking access of users to sites	147
4.59	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on types	
	of user account that should be blocked access to sites	148
4.60	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	university installation program for blocking access of users to	•
	sites	149
4.61	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	development of user personal homepage	150
4.62	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	adoption of national constitution principle on freedom of	
	expression	151
4.63	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on the	
	limit of topics to express on the university Internet	152
4.64	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on data	
	and information utilized on the Internet	153
4.65	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on user'	
	right to know the objective of user personal data collection	
	which conducted by the university	154
4.66	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on user	
	right to verify the personal data	156

Table		page
4.67	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	ownership of data and information stored in the central system	156
4.68	Frequency and percentage of respondents opinion's on the	
	utilize of data and information on the university Internet and	
	law and regulations	157
4.69	. Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on group	
	of user that should be charged for the Internet services	158
4.70	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on issues	
	that should be include in the Internet policy	159
4.71	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanisms	161
4.72	Frequency of key policy decisionmakers on policy issues that	
	should be included in the Internet use policy	164
4.73	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
•	proposed alternatives to address policy on user entitlement	165
4.74	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on user entitlement	166
4.75	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on objective of the use	
	of the university Internet	167
4.76	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on objective of the use of the	
	university Internet	168
4.77	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on proper use of the	
	university Internet	169

Table		page
4.78	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on proper use of the	
	university Internet	170
4.79	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy responsibility in using	
	the university Internet	171
4.80	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on responsibility in using the	
	university Internet	172
4.81	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on privacy in using the	
	university Internet	173
4.82	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on privacy in using the	
	university Internet	174
4.83	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on security in using	
	the university Internet	175
4.84	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on security in using the	
	university Internet	176
4.85	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on equity in using the	
	university Internet	177
4.86	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on equity in using the	
	university Internet	.178

Table		page
4.87	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on intellectual	
	property in using the university internet	179
4.88	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy.	
	mechanism to support the policy on the use of intellectual	
	property	180
4.89	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on academic freedom	
	in using the university Internet	181
4.90	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	,
	mechanism to support the policy on academic freedom in	
	using the university Internet	182
4.91	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on freedom of	
	expression on the university Internet	183
4.92	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on freedom of expression	184
4.93	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on network etiquette	185
4.94	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on network etiquette	186
4.95	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on ethics	187
4.96	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on ethics	188

Table		page
4.97	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on data and	
	information	189
4.98	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on data and information	190
4.99	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on	
	proposed alternatives to address policy on charge user for the	
	Internet services	191
4.100	Frequency and percentage of respondents' opinions on policy	
	mechanism to support the policy on data and information	192