นาย กฤษณ์ วันอื่นทร์



วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาธรณีวิทยา ภาควิชาธรณีวิทยา คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2542 ISBN 974-333-130-1 ลิขสิทธิ์ของ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

NEOTECTONIC EVIDENCES ALONG THE THREE PAGODA FAULT ZONE, CHANGWAT KANCHANBURI

Mr. Krit Won-in

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Science in Geology

Department of Geology

Faculty of Science

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 1999

ISBN 974-333-130-1

Thesis Title

Neotectonic Evidence Along The Three Pagoda Fault Zone,

Changwat Kanchanaburi

By

Mr. Krit Won-In

Department

Geology

Thesis Advisor

Assistant Professor Punya Charusiri, Ph.D.

Thesis Co-advisor

Associate Professor Thiva Supajanya, M.Sc.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree.

Wand Party Dean of the Faculty of Science

(Associate Professor Wanchai Phothiphichitr, Ph.D.)

Thesis Committee

S. Nokapadenymi Chairman

(Assistant Professor Somehai Nakapadungrat, Ph.D.)

Thesis Advisor

(Assistant Professor Punya Charusiri, Ph.D.)

hive Supejung Thesis Co-advisor

(Associate Professor Thiva Supajanya, M.Sc.)

Member

(Associate Professor Narong Thiramongkol, Ph.D.)

Apelula luga

(Apichart Lumjuan, M.Sc.)

กฤษณ์ วันอินทร์: หลักฐานทางนีโอเทคโทนิคตามแนวรอยเลื่อนเจดีย์สามองศ์ในจังหวัดกาญจนบุรี (NEOTECTONIC EVIDENCES ALONG THE THREE PAGODA FAULT ZONE, CHANGWAT KANCHANABURI) อ. ที่ปรึกษา ผศ. ดร. ปัญญา จารุศิริ ผศ. ทิวา ศุภจรรยา, 188 หน้า. ISBN 974-333-130-1

รอยเลื่อนเจดีย์สาของค์ (TPF) อยู่ในเขตจังหวัดกาญจนบุรีตะวันตกของประเทศไทย หลักฐานจากการสำรวจ ด้วยโทรสัมผัสและภาคสนามชี้ให้เห็นว่า รอยเลื่อนนี้เป็นรอยเลื่อนตามแนวเฉียง ซึ่งมีความยาวประมาณ 222 กม และเป็น รอยเลื่อนที่ต่อเนื่องจากทางตะวันออกเฉียงใต้ของประเทศพม่า เข้ามาในประเทศไทยทางทิศตะวันตกไปสู่ภาคกลางของ ประเทศ ในการศึกษานี้ได้ใช้วิชีการหลายรูปแบบเพื่อต้นหาหลักฐานของนีโอเทคโทนิคในจังหวัดกาญจนบุรี วิชีการที่ สำคัญสำหรับการศึกษาในครั้งนี้ได้แก่ การแปลความหมายจากข้อมูลภาพดาวเทียมแลนท์แซททีเอ็ม 5 ดาวเทียมเจอือาร์ เอส และรูปถ่ายทางอากาศ โดยผนวกกับข้อมูลการคำนวณอายุโดยวิชีที่แอลและอีเอสอาร์

หลักฐานจากธรณีวิทยา รูปลักษณะทางเรขาคณิด และธรณีสัณฐานวิทยาชี้ชัคว่ารอยเลื่อนเจคีย์สามองค์ประกอบ ค้วย แนว (รอยเลื่อน) ย่อย 5 แนวค้วยกันซึ่งได้แก่ แนวย่อยสังขละบุรี (ยาว 8 กม), กาญจนบุรี (ยาว 197.5 กม), ทองผาภูมิ (ยาว 65 กม), แม่น้ำน้อย (ยาว 31.5 กม) และแควใหญ่ (ยาว 125 กม) ได้พบหลักฐานทางการแปรสัณฐานภูมิลักษณ์มาก มาย เช่น ลำธารเลื่อนหักมุม, ผาสามเหลี่ยม, ผารอยเลื่อน, สันปิดกั้น, สันแนวยาว และลำธารแข่งน้ำ ลักษณะเหล่านี้แสดง ถึงการเลื่อนตัวของรอยเลื่อนที่มีอายุอ่อน จากการศึกษาพบว่ารอยเลื่อนและแนวเส้นโครงสร้างปรากฏอยู่ในทิศหลักๆ 4 ทิศคือ แนวเหนือ, คะวันดกเฉียงเหนือ, คะวันออกเฉียงเหนือ และคะวันออก แต่ที่เด่นที่สุดและสูเหมือนจะอ่อนกว่าแนว อื่นๆได้แก่ แนวในทิศตะวันตกเฉียงเหนือ สำหรับแนวย่อยแม่น้ำน้อยจัดว่าเป็นแนวที่มีอายุอ่อนกว่าแนวย่อยอื่นๆ ในทิศ ทางเดียวกัน

คัวอย่างที่เลือกสรรแล้วจำนวน 9 ตัวอย่าง จากผงรอยเลื่อนและคะกอนพัคพาที่เกี่ยวพันกับรอยเลื่อนในบริเวณ แนวย่อยสังขละบุรีและแนวย่อยกาญจนบุรีได้ถูกนำมาหาอายุ ผลการคำนวณ หาอายุพบว่ามีการเลื่อนตัวทั้งหมดอย่างน้อย 5 ครั้งได้แก่ช่วงเวลาประมาณ 1) 1,575,000-920,000 ปี 2) 465,000-580,000 ปี 3) 180,000 ปี 4) 140,000-145,000 ปี และ 5) 20,000-22,000 ปี ผลจากการหาอายุและหลักฐานทางโทรสัมผัสสนับสนุนการเลื่อนตัวของรอยเลื่อนเจดีย์สามองค์ในช่วง ยุคควอเทอร์นารี

ลักษณะการเลื่อนแบบขวาเข้าและการวางตัวของรอยเลื่อนในแนวตะวันออกเฉียงเหนือ ช่วยให้การสร้างภาพอี ถิปชอยแรงเค้น และอธิบายลักษณะแรงเครียดว่าน่าจะเข้ามากระทำในทิศเหนือ-ใต้ หลังจากการชนกันของแผ่นทวีป อินเดียกับเอเชียเมื่อ 40-45 ล้านปีมาแล้ว นอกจากนี้หลักฐานสนับสนุนอื่นๆเช่น การกระจายตัวของจุดเกิดแผ่นดินไหว, ตำแหน่งน้ำพุร้อนและข้อมูลกระแสไหลความร้อนยังแสดงให้เห็นว่ารอยเลื่อนเจดีย์สามองค์ยังคงมีการเลื่อนอยู่ในปัจจุปีน อย่างไรก็ตามเพื่อให้รู้ตำแหน่งแน่ชัดของแนวรอยเลื่อนมีพลัง จำเป็นต้องอาศัยการสำรวจทางธรณีวิทยาอย่างละเอียด โดย เฉพาะจากข้อมูลการหาอาย

ภาควิชาธรณีวิทยา	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
สาขาวิชาธรณีวิทยา	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต
ปีการศึกษา2542	ลายมืออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม . ไม่เกา ริเครารณนา

3970040223

: MAJOR GEOLOGY

KEYWORD: NEOTECTONICS / THREE PAGODA FAULT ZONE / KANCHANABURI

KRIT WON-IN: NEOTECTONIC EVIDENCES ALONG THE THREE PAGODA FAULT ZONE.

CHANGWAT KANCHANABURI. THESIS ADVISORS: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR PUNYA

CHARUSIRI, Ph.D. AND ASSISTANT PROFESSOR THIVA SUPAJANYA, M.Sc. 188 pp.

ISBN 974-333-130-1

Three - Pagoda Fault (TPF) is located in Kanchanaburi province, western Thailand. Evidences from

remote-sensing and field investigations indicate that the TPF is the NW-trending oblique-slip fault with the total length

of about 222 km. It can be traced from southeastern Myanmar to western and central Thailand. Several approaches

have been applied to figure out neotectonic evidences in the Kanchanaburi area. LANDSAT TM5, JERS -SAR, and serial photographic interpretation as well as TL and ESR dating synthesis form the major task for this study.

Evidences from geology, geometry, and geomorphology indicate that the TPF is delineated into 5

segments, that is Sangkhia Buri (8 km), Kanchanaburi (197.5 km), Thong Phaphum (69 km), Mae Nam Noi (31.5 km),

and Khwae Yai (125 km) Segments. Offset streams, triangular facets, fault scarps, shutter ridges, linear ridges, and

behasded streams, regarded as essential morphotectonic evidences, are frequently observed along the TPF. All these

features point to the youthfulness of the TPF activity. Four directions of faults and lineaments can be detected, i.e., NE.

NW, N and E trends, Among these, the roughly NW-trending fault traces are observed to be the most prominent, and

seem to post - date the other directions. The WNW-trending Mae Nam Noi segment is inferred to represent the

youngest fault trace for this trend.

Nine representative samples from fault gouges and fault - related sediments along the Sangkhla Buri and

Kanchanaburi segments have been selected for dating. The geochonological results indicate 5 faulting events along

these two fault segments, namely 1)1,575-920 Ka , 2) 465-580 Ka , 3)180 Ka , 4)140-145 Ka , and 5) 20-22 Ka . These

results and remote-sensing data advocate the activeness of the TPF within Quaternary period.

The main right - lateral sense of movement and NW-trending of the TPF lead to the delineation of strain

ellipsoid orientation, strongly suggesting the major stress axis in the N-S direction after Indian - Asian continental

collision (40-45 Ma). It is also confirmed from other supporting evidences that the TPF may be active till present, as

recognized from epicentral distribution, hot-spring location, and heat-flow data. However, more detailed geological

investigations, particularly dating information, are required to specify the precise active - fault location.

ภาควิชา ธรณีวิทยา ถายมือชื่อนิสิต สาขาวิชา ธรณีวิทยา ถายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS



The author would like to express his deep sincere gratitude and appreciation to his thesis advisors, Assistant Professor Dr. Punya Charusiri and Assistant Professor Thiva Supajanya for their valuable supervision, encouragement and critical review of the manuscript. The author is highly indebted to the Research Institute of Materials and Resources, Akita University, Professor Dr. Isao Takashima for his invariable generosities and allowing to use all the facilities such as thermoluminescence and electron spin resonance equipments, processed data on JERS SAR images, and his hospitality during visit in Japan, Dr. Kazuto Saiki for JERS SAR images and digital enhancements, Ms. Keiko Toyama for her nontechnical assistance. Special recognition and thanks are Assistant Professor Dr. Veerote Daorerk for his consistent helpfulness both technically and non-technically.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to the Geological Survey Division, Department of Mineral Resources (DMR), Mr. Suwith Kosuwan, Mr. Apichard Lumjuan, Mr. Chaiyan Hinthong (Chief of Geological Survey Division), Mr. Naramase Teerarungsigul and Ms. Suree Pokaew for encouraging the author and providing all the necessary research facilities. Associate Professor Dr. Narong Thiramongkol, Assistant Professor Dr. Nopadon Maungnoicharoen and Assistant Professor Dr. Somchai Nakapadungrat are acknowledged for valuable discussion.

Grateful acknowledgment is made to the Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand (EGAT). Special thanks are due to Ms. Supawan Klaipongpan for allowing to join her reconnaissance survey in Kanchanaburi, Mr. Pattana Asokanan for some discussion in this area. Dr. Clark H. Fenton (Woodward Clyde Company) is thanked for training some morphotectonic techniques and application to the author.

Special acknowledgements extend to Mr. Krisanapol Vichapan for his aerial photo comments; Mr. Wattana Tuteechin, Ms. Viyada Lamul, Ms. Kanchna Nigaesree for drafting and drawing; Ms. Wilasinee Kongsumrit, Mr. Suphawit Tanudamrong, Mr. Thana Bupparomanee, Mr. Rottana Ladachart, Ms. Wiriya Kaewsanga and Ms. Sanharat Suphawaraksa for valuable field assistants. Ms. Somjit Hoihem and Ms. Nattayaporn Chatviriracharoen for their pain-staking typing.

This thesis could not have been accomplished without the help and encouragement of the author's parents, his advisors, his friends, and others who put up so much efforts to the completion of this work.

CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT IN THAI	iv
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH	V
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Methodology	3
1.4 Previous Works	11
CHAPTER II REGIONAL GEOLOGIC SETTING	13
2.1 Geological Setting	13
2.2 Structural Setting	19
2.3 Regional Tectonic Setting	19
CHAPTER III THREE PAGODA FAULT	24
3.1 Background	24
3.2 Theoretical Approaches	31
CHAPTER IV NEOTECTONIC EVIDENCES	
4.1 Results from Satellite Image Interpretation	41
4.1.1 Sangkhla Buri Segment	43
4.1.2 Kanchanaburi Segment	45
4.1.3 Thong Pha Phum Segment	55
4.1.4 Mae Nam Noi Segment	58
4.1.5 Khwae Noi Segment	60
4.2 Results from Aerial Photo Evidences	62 .
4.2.1 Sangkhla Buri Segment	63
4.2.2 Kanchanaburi Segment	64
4.2.3 Thong Pha Phum Segment	76
4.2.4 Mae Nam Noi Segment	85
4.2.5 Khwae Noi Segment	86
4.3 Field Evidences	91
4.3.1 Sangkhla Buri Segment	91
4.3.2 Kanchanaburi Segment	91
4.3.3 Thong Pha Phum Segment	97
4.3.4 Khwae Noi Segment	101
4.4 Compilation of the Results on Tectonic	
Geomorphology Analysis	106
4.5 Dating Results	123
4.5.1 Date of Quaternary Deposits	123
4.5.2 Fault Gouge Dates	123

	Page
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION	133
5.1 Nature and Characteritics of the TPFZ	133
5.2 Relative Age Relations TPFZ Based on	
Geochronological Result	134
5.3 Fault Assemblages and Strain Ellipsoid	137
5.4 Neotectonic Scenario	141
5.5 Rank of Active Faults	145
CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION	146
REFERENCES	148
APPENDICES	161
BIOGRAPHY	167



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	A summary of widely-accepted approaches for acquiring localand regional neotectonic data (modified after	22
3.2	Stewart and Hancock, 1994)	32
	Hancock, 1994)	40
4.1	Types of fault segments and the characteristics used to define them	42
4.2	The results of TL- and ESR-dating	125
4.3	Summary of the description of samples collected for TL-and ESR-dating	126
5.1	Age grouping based on TL& ESR-data for the samples collected along the TPFZ	144

สถาบันวิทยบริการ

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1a	Major fault zones in mainland SE Asia from satellite	
	imaginary interpretation (Charusiri et al., 1997b)	4
1.1b	Major active faults in Thailand showing preliminary TL	
	dates and hotspring and epicentral distribution (Charusiri	
	et al., 1999c)	5
1.2	Index map of Changwat Kanchanaburi showing the	
	study area (in box)	6
1.3	Distribution of earthquakes in mainland SE Asia	
	showing epicentral location with earthquakes magnitude	_
	larger than 3 in Richter scale (Charusiri et al., 1997b)	7
1.4	Heat flow map of the northern, western, and northeastern	
	parts of Thailand (Thienprasert and Raksaskulwong,	_
	1983)	8
1.5	Simplified flow chart illustrating investigation procedure	
	for the research study	10
2.1	Geological map of western Thailand (Raksaskulwong,	
	1997)	15
2.2	Geologic map of Thailand showing major tectonic	20
	blocks (Charusiri et al., 1999 a)	20
2.3	Tectonic evolution of Thailand dating archaeotectonic,	
	paleotectonic, mesotectonic and neuotectonic states	22
	(Charusiri et al., 1997 a)	22
3.1	Structural map of the Gulf of Thailand and the dextral	
	transtensional shear model (modified by PolaChan,	26
	1988)	26
3.2	General tectonic map of Thailand showing the main fault	
2.2	patterns (modified after Polachan, 1988)	27
3.3	Suggested structural map of Central Thailand showing	
	relationship between conjugate strike-slip faults and the development of N-s trending pull-apart basins, which	
	can be related to the transfersional dextral shear model	
	(inset). Basins in the area are 1=Phitssnulok;	
	2=Petchabun; 3=Lad Yao; 4=Sing Buri; 5=Ayuthaya;	
	6=Suphan Buri; 7=Kamphaeng Sean; 8=Thon Buri;	
	9=Sakhon; and 10=Paknam; S=Sinistral; D=Dextral	
	(Tulyatid, 1997)	28
3.4	Combined magnetic-radiometric-gravity data	
۲-۱	interpretation map of the Central Plain with magnetic	
	lineaments underlain. Sedimentary basins:	
	1=Phitssnulok; 2=Nong Bua; 3=Nakhon Sawan; 4=Lop	

Figure		Page
	Buri; 5=Suphan Buri; 6=Kamphaeng Sean; 7=Thon Buri; 8=Ayuthaya (Tulyatid, 1997)	29
4.1	Map of the Three Pagoda area showing the location of	
	samples TL (X), ESR (datings, and districts	44
4.2a	Satellite image data showing orientation of Sangkla Buri Segment. (a) Landsat TM5 (band 3, 4, and 5, composite colours, blue green and red, respectively).(b) lineament interpretation of Sangkla Buri Segment	46
4.2b	Satellite image data showing orientation of Sangkla Buri Segment. (a) Landsat TM5 (band 2, 3, and 4, composite colours, blue green and red, respectively).(b) lineament interpretation of Sangkla Buri Segment	47
4.2c	Satellite image data showing orientation of Sangkla Buri Segment. (a) JERS radar image. (b) lineament	48
4.3	Satellite image data showing location of Kanchanaburi Segment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament	49
4.4	interpretation of Kanchanaburi Segment	51
4.5	Satellite image data showing location of Lin Thin subsegment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament interpretation of Lin Thin subsegment	52
4.6	Satellite image data showing location of Khwae Yai subsegment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament interpretation of Khwae Yai subsegment	54
4.7a 4.7b	Satellite image data showing location of Thong Pha Phum Segment. (a) JERS radar image. (b) lineament interpretation of Thong Pha Phum Segment	56
4.76	Satellite image data showing location of Thong Pha Phum Segment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament interpretation of Thong Pha Phum Segment Satellite image data showing location of Mae Nam Noi	57
	Segment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament interpretation of Mae Nam Noi Segment	59

Figure		Page
4.9	Satellite image data showing location of Khwae Noi Segment. (a) Lansat TM5 image (bands 7-5-4, colour	
	composite red-green-blue, respectively). (b) lineament	
	interpretation of Khwae Noi Segment	61
4.10	An area in Sangkhla Buri Segment selected for detailed	
	morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S1-1	
	(Area 6 sheet 20 strip 73 no. 15658). b) morphotectonic	
	interpretation of area S1-1 of map sheet 4639II.	45
4,11	c) location of area S1-1 in Kanchanaburi	65
4,11	detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of	
	area S2-1 (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 75 no. 15729).	
	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S2-1 of map	
	sheet 4639III. c) location of area S2-1 in Kanchanaburi	67
4.12	An area in Thi Mong Tha subsegment selected for	
	detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of	
	area S2-2 (Area 6 sheet 22 strip 78 no. 16493).	
	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S2-2 of map	60
4.12	Sheet 4738IV. c) location of area S2-2 in Kanchanaburi	09
4.13	An area in Thi Mong Tha subsegment selected for detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of	
	area S2-3 (Area 6 sheet 22 strip 80 no. 16562).	
	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S2-3 of map	
	sheet 4738III. c) location of area S2-3 in Kanchanaburi	71
4.14	An area in Lin Thin subsegment selected for detailed	
	morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S2-4	
	(Area 6 sheet 22 strip 82 no. 16684). b) morphotectonic	
	interpretation of area S2-4 of map sheet 4738II.	73
4.15	c) location of area S2-4 in Kanchanaburi	15
4.13	morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S2-5	
	(Area 1 sheet 3 strip 16 no. 1868). B) morphotectonic	
	interpretation of area S2-5 of map sheet 4837III.	
	c) location of area S2-5 in Kanchanaburi	75
4.16	An area in Thong Pha Phum Segment selected for	
	detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of	
	area S3-1 (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 73 no. 15657).	
	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S3-1 of map	77
4.17	sheet 4639II. c) location of area S3-1 in Kanchanaburi An area in Thong Pha Phum Segment selected for	11
7.1/	detailed morphologic analysis a) aerial photographs of	
	area S3-2 (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 75 no.15726).	

Figure		Page
_	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S3-2 of map sheet 4639 II c) location of area S3-2 in Kanchanaburi	79
4.18	An area in Thong Pha Phum Segment selected for detailed morphologic analysis a) aerial photographs of area S3-3 (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 75 no.15726).	
	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S3-3 of map sheet 4639 II c) location of area S3-3 in Kanchanaburi	81
4.19	An area in Thong Pha Phum Segment selected for detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S3-4 (Area 6 sheet 22 strip 81 no. 16577).	
4.00	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S3-4 of map sheet 4738III. c) location of area S3-4 in Kanchanaburi	82
4.20	An area in Thong Pha Phum Segment selected for detailed morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S3-5 (Area 6 sheet 22 strip 81 no. 16579).	
4,21	b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S3-5 of map sheet 4738III. c) location of area S3-5 in Kanchanaburi An area in Mae Nam Noi Segment selected for detailed	84
	morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S4-1 (Area 6 sheet 22 strip 83 no. 16639). b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S4-1 of map sheet 4737I.	
4.22	c) location of area S4-1 in Kanchanaburi	86
	morphologic analysis. a) aerial photographs of area S5-1 (Area 1 sheet 3 strip 17 no. 1683). b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S5-1 of map sheet 4837III.	
4.23	c) location of area S5-1 in Kanchanaburi	88
	(Area 1 sheet 3 strip 15 no. 1819). b) morphotectonic interpretation of area S5-2 of map sheet 4737III.	
4.24	c) location of area S5-2 in Kanchanaburi	
	Sam Ong, Amphoe Sangkhla Buri. Photo taken to NW (Sangkhla Buri Segment)	92
4.25	Panoramic view of the mountain range (background) with fault-scarp (arrowed, 1) and triangular facet (arrowed, 2) developed in the granite terrain. Photo	:
	taken to NE at the Tor Chodoor school (in the foreground). Thi Mong Tha Segment (Kanchanaburi	;
	Segment)	

Figure	;	Page
4.26	A close-up view of semi-consolidated alluvial deposit at a man made quarry, clasts 0.5-2.0 cm. And silt and clay	
	matrix. One-bath coil to scale. (Area S2-1 Grid ref. 546-821, Thi Mong Tha subsegment)	95
4.27	A panorama of the NWW-trending Khao Ung Thi mountain range between Ban Yot Ung Thi and Ban Kung Ka villages, showing the SW-dipping Triangular	
	facet covered mostly by dense vegetation. Photo taken to SW (Thi Mong Tha subsegment of Kanchanaburi	
4.28	Segment)	96
	suggesting the NW-fault (arrowhead) parallel to the mountain Khwae Yai subsegment (Kanchanaburi	00
4.29	Segment)	98
4.30	Pha Phum Segment	99
	gradually developed to triangular facets, close to the road to Sang Kha Buri and Three Pagoda Pass. The foreground is mostly gravel beds. (Thong Pha Phum	
4.31	Segment, photo to SE)	100
	gullies (arrowhead) are developed (close-up of Figure 4.30). Photo to SE	100
4.32	The straight NW-trending fault scarp developed in the limestone terrain of Khao Phu Thong (background), eastern side of Khao Laem (grid ref. 575-164, Thong	
	Phaphum Segment). Fault running immediately at foot of the mountain connecting the alluvial plain	102
4.33	(foreground)	102
	front) is mostly covered by dense vegetation (Khao Noi Segment).	103

Figure		Page
4.34	Outcrop of sandstone showing well-preserved slicken- side surface developed on the fault plane whose attitude is 320°/35° and pitch is 15°N40W (Photo taken to west,	, ago
4.35	grid ref. 076-630)	104
4.33	View looking to the showing a set of SEE-trending subdued and densely-vegetation triangular facets (arrowhead) developed in the clastic rock (red sandstone) of Khao Mae Chae (background), Amphoe Sai Yok (Sai Yok Noi Segment). The foreground with the banana plantation is the area dominated by alluvial	
4.36	deposits	105
4.37	062-665), Ban Bong Ti, Amphoe Sai Yok	107
	(grid reference 543-284), Amphoe Thong Pha Phum	109
4.38	The density-vegetated subdued triangular facet (arrowhead) developed in the clastic rock (sandstone) of Khao Ung Thi (background), Ban Yot Ung Thi, Amphoe Thong Pha Phum (Thi Mong Tha Subsegment in Kanchanaburi Segment). The foreground with the	100
4.39	crop is the area dominate by alluvial deposits	109
4.40	Fragment of aerial photograph of S3-1 area, (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 73 no. 15657) Amphoe Sangkhla Buri showing location of a set of Triangular facets aligned in the NNW directions. a) aerial photograph b) triangular facet interpretation	112
4.41	Fragment of aerial photograph of S1-1 area, (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 73 no.15658) Amphoe Sangkhla Buri showing location of a set of offset streams aligned in the NW directions a) aerial photograph b) offset streams	
4.42	Fragment of aerial photograph of S3-1 area, (Area 6 sheet 20 strip 73 no.15657) Amphoe Sangkhla Buri showing location of shutter ridges aligned in the NNW directions a) aerial photograph b) shutter ridges	113

Figure		Page
4.43	interpretationFragment of aerial photograph of S2-5 area, (Area 1	115
	sheet 3 strip 16 no.1868) Amphoe Sai Yok showing location of beheaded stream aligned in the NW	
	directions a) aerial photograph b) beheaded streams interpretation	116
4.44	Landsat TM5 image of northern Sangkhla Buri segment near Pra Ched Di Sam Ong showing location of pressure ridge. a) Landsat TM5 image b) pressure ridge	
	interpretation	118
4.45	Fragment of aerial photograph (Area 1 sheet 3 st.rip 15 no. 1822), Ban Phu Thong, Amphoe Sai Yok showing	110
	location of terrace. a) aerial photograph b) terrace	110
4.46	interpretation Landsat TM5 image in the central area of Kanchanaburi	119
	showing the large basin in Thong Pha Phum and Khao	
	Laem at Amphoe Thong Pha Phum. a) Landsat TM5	
4.47	image b) basin interpretation	120
4.4/	River gravel deposit, immediately at the road in Sangkhla Buri to the Three-Pagoda Pass, is about 60 m	
	above the Song Khalia River. Note that most clasts are	
	quite different from the bedrocks	122
4.48	Road-cut exposure of siltstone (left) and black shale	
	(right) to Pra Chedi Sam Ong in Sangkhla Buri district	
	sample no. TP1 (fault-gouge) is collected from the siltstone	128
4.49	Road-cut exposure of weathered sheared and strongly	120
	deformed calcareous black shale on a road side from	
	Thong Pha Phum to Sangkhla Buri, Ban Tha Madua,	
	north Kanchanaburi. Sample no. TP2 is collected right at	
4.50	the arrowed mark	128
4.30	Road-cut exposure at the foot of Khao Wong linestone showing poorly sorted angular fragments of travertine	
	with clay and silt matrix. Note that sample TP3 is	
	collected for ESR dating.	129
4.51	Road-cut exposure of banded travertine near Khao Wong	
	mountain when TP4-1 and TP4-2 samples are located	129
4.52	Sketched cross-section map along the SW-NE direction	120
4.53	showing location of TP3, TP4-1, and TP4-2	130
1.00	siltstone interbedded with sandstone. Fault-gouge	
	material (TP6) was collected from as semi-consolidated	

Figure		Page
4.54	pale greenish yellow silt to clayey silt material	131
4.55	Song Ka Lia River. Samples TP8-1 and TP8-2 were collected from the river gravel bed.	131
4.55	Road-cut exposure of weathered yellowish brown to brown, thinly bedded siltstone interbedded with sandstone. Sample no. TP9 was collected from the fault	
4.56	gouge	132
7.50	Location when sample no. TP10 was collected, also shown is the river-gravel beds with layer clasts in the	
. .	bottom layers and smaller ones in the upper	132
5.1	Map of the Three Pagoda area showing the fault	125
5.2	segments and folds ((\(\gamma\))	135
J. <u>Z</u>	epicenters (o)	136
5.3	Map of the Three Pagoda segments showing the	150
	hotspring ()	138
5.4a	Models of basin formation in and adjacent to a strike-slip	
	fault zone (Woodcock and Schubert, 1994)	139
5.4b	Proposed development (Mann et al. 1983) of pull apart	
£ 1-	basin in stepovers with small and large separation	140
5.4c	Scheme for the inversion of a pull-apart basin by its translation from a releasing bend to a restraining	
	bed(Woodcock and Schubert, 1994.)	140
5.5	Major fault segments of the TPF2 showing Two	140
	contrasting strain ellipsoids.)	142
5.6	Strain ellipsoid with the E-W maximum stress axis,	
	occurring in the earlier stage.)	143
5.7	Strain ellipsoid with the N-S maximum stress axis,	
	occurring in the later stage till present.)	143
	ยยเกิดเกิดเกิดเกิด	

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย