้ ค่าประมาณความผิดพลาดภายหลังสำหรับสมการเชิงอนุพันธ์ย่อยเชิงวงรีแบบกึ่งเชิงเส้น

<mark>นายสุทธิศักดิ์ จำปาหวาย</mark>

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์ ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2552 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES FOR SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS



สูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science Program in Mathematics Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2009

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES FOR
	SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL
	DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
By	Mr. Suttisak Jampawai
Field of Study	Mathematics
Thesis Advisor	Khamron Mekchay, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in

Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

S. Hamangberg Dean of the Faculty of Science

(Professor Supot Hannongbua, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

pomshai Satravaha Chairman

(Associate Professor Pornchai Satravaha, Ph.D.)

n jan Thesis Advisor

(Khamron Mekchay, Ph.D.)

and Dome Examiner

(Assistant Professor Anusorn Chonwerayuth, Ph.D.)

(Assistant Professor Settapat Chinviriyasit, Ph.D.)

สุทธิศักดิ์ จำปาหวาย : ก่าประมาณกวามผิดพลาดภายหลังสำหรับสมการเชิงอนุพันธ์ย่อยเชิงวงรี แบบกึ่งเชิงเส้น. (A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES FOR SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUALTIONS) อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: คร.คำรณ เมฆลาย, 32 หน้า.

ในวิทยานิพนธ์ฉบับนี้เราหาขอบเขตบนและขอบเขตล่างของค่าประมาณกวามผิดพลาคภายหลัง ้สำหรับวิธีการชิ้นประกอบของสมการเชิง<mark>อนุพันธ์ย่อยเชิงวงร</mark>ีแบบกึ่งเชิงเส้นบนโคเมนรูปหลายเหลี่ยม ในปริภูมิสองมิติ โดยที่เราพิจารณาปัญหาแบบ Dirichlet ที่มีเงื่อนไขค่าขอบเป็นศูนย์ การประมาณค่า ้อยู่บนพื้นฐานของ Lagrange element และอยู่บนสมมติฐานการอินทิเกรตได้อย่างแม่นตรง ซึ่งเราวัด ี้ค่าประมาณความผิดพลาดอยู่ในรูปของนร์อมแบบพลังงาน ภายใต้เงื่อนไขของฟังก์ชัน f(x,u) มี อนุพันธ์อันคับหนึ่งเทียบกับตัวแปรที่สอง

ภาควิชา	คณิตศาสตร์
สาขาวิชา	คณิตศาสตร์

ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก. 🦾 🦶

5072519723 : MAJOR MATHEMATICS KEYWORDS : A POSTRIORI ERROR ESTIMATES / SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC

SUTTISAK JAMPAWAI : A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES FOR SEMI-LINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS. THESIS ADVISOR : KHAMRON MEKCHAY, Ph.D. 32 pp.

We derive upper and lower bounds for a posteriori error estimates in finite element solutions of semi-linear elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) over polygonal domains in two space dimensions. We consider the Dirichlet problem for semi-linear PDEs with vanishing boundary. The estimate is based on Lagrange element, and the error estimates are computed in the energy norm with assumption of exact integration. The proof is based on the condition of function f(x, u)which have first derivative in second argument.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Student's Signature : Suffisch Jap Advisor's Signature : .f.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First I would like to thank my advisor, Khamron Mekchay, Ph.D., for giving me invaluable opportunity to work on challenging and extremely interesting the thesis over the past couple years. Next, I would like to thank Associate Professor Pornchai Satravaha, Ph.D., Assistant Professor Anusorn Chonwerayuth, Ph.D. and Assistant Professor Settapat Chinviriyasit, Ph.D., my thesis committee, for variable suggestions. Next, the Institute for the Promotion of Teaching Science and Technology (IPST), for the DPST scholarship which provided me the great opportunity to study through out M.Sc. Program. Finally, I would like to thank all of my teachers and lecturers who have taught me for my knowledge and skills. If I did the wrong thing, I do apologize. It does not happen by intention.



CONTENTS

page	
ABSTRACT IN THAIiv	ABSTR
ABSTRACT IN ENGLISHv	ABSTR
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ACKNO
CONTENTS	CONTE
CHAPTER	СНАРТ
I INTRODUCTION	Ι
II PRELIMINARIES	II
2.1 Sobolev Spaces	
2.2 Finite Element Spaces	
III THE MODEL PROBLEM	III
3.1 The model problem	
3.2 Coercivity and Continuity	
3.3 L^2 -Estimates	
IV A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES	IV
4.1 Upper Bounds	
4.2 Lower Bounds	
4.3 Conclusions	
NOTATIONS	NOTAT
REFERENCES	REFER
/ITA	VITA .

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

The finite element method is one of the main tools for the numerical treatment of partial differential equations (PDEs). It is based on the variational formulation of the differential equation, it is much more flexible than finite difference methods and can thus be applied to more complicated problems.

Adaptive finite element methods started in the late 70's and now are standard tools in science and engineering. AFEMs are effective tools to obtain good approximate solutions with low computational costs, especially in presence of singularities.

A key of AFEMs is an *a posteriori error estimation*. A posteriori error estimates are computable estimates for the error in suitable norms, typically in energy norm, in term of the approximate solution and data of the problem.

For elliptic PDEs, AFEMs are boil down to iterations of the form

SOLVE \rightarrow ESTIMATE \rightarrow REFINE

Given a current mesh and data, SOLVE find the approximate solution; ESTI-MATE computes error estimates in suitable norm based on *a posteriori error estimators*; REFINE refines the current mesh to obtain a finer mesh according to the error indicators. The ultimate purpose is to construct a sequence of meshes (approximate solutions) that will eventually reducing error in an efficient way in term of degree of freedom.

For elliptic PDEs, a posteriori error estimation techniques were developed for

computing quantities η_T to approximate the error in energy norm or other norms on each finite element T. These formed basis of adaptive mesh procedures designed to control and minimize the error. In the last 30 years, many results for elliptic error estimation techniques were obtained: we refer to Babuška and Rheinboldt as representative of the work. Here are list of recent results of AFEM for elliptic-type PDEs.

- W.Dorfler [4] designed steps of AFEM and proved the convergence of algorithm for Poisson equation in two dimensions.
- P. Morin, R.H. Nochetto and K.G. Siebert [7] extended the result of W.Dorfler
 [4] for linear elliptic PDEs,

$$-\nabla \cdot (A\nabla u) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d (d \ge 1)$, A is a piecewise constant function, and f is a function on Ω . Here, they introduced *oscillation* that is important in proving convergence of AFEM algorithm.

• K. Mekchay and R.H.Nochetto [6], extended the result of [7] and proved convergence of AFEM algorithm for the general linear elliptic PDEs,

$$-\nabla \cdot (A\nabla u) + b \cdot \nabla u + cu = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where A, f, b, c are functions on Ω .

- In the book by M. Ainsworth and J. T. Oden [1], they derived a posteriori error estimates for nonlinear problems in general elliptic PDEs in term of implicit forms.
- Recently in 2006, R.H. Nochetto, A. Schmidt, K.G. Siebert and A. Veeser [8], they computed upper bounds and lower bounds of a posteriori error

estimates in the maximum norm for semi-linear Poisson equation,

$$-\Delta u + f(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ and nondecreasing in the second argument.

In this thesis, we are interested in deriving an explicit a posteriori error estimation in energy norm for semi-linear elliptic PDE,

$$-\nabla \cdot (A\nabla u) = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where A is a function on Ω and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ has first derivative in second argument. We estimated upper and local lower bounds of a posteriori error estimates in the energy norm.

We organized this thesis into three parts. In Chapter II, we gave definitions and theorems that are important in deriving weak and discrete formulations of our model problem. Nearly last, we gave finite element space and the theorems for deriving upper bound. In Chapter III, we formulated the weak form of the model problem including also the discrete problem. In Chapter IV, we derived upper and local lower bounds. Finally, we gave conclusion and some idea for designing AFEM algorithm.

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARY

2.1 Sobolev Spaces

We first introduced weak derivatives and defined Sobolev spaces, refer to the book of S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott [3].

We reviewed Lebesgue integrations and restricted our attention for simplicity to a real-valued functions f on a given domain Ω , that are Lebesgue measurable. We denoted the Lebesgue integral of f by

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) dx.$$

For $1 \le p < \infty$, let

$$||f||_{L^p(\Omega)} := \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx\right)^{1/p},$$

and for $p = \infty$, set

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}\{|f(x)| : x \in \Omega\}.$$

In either cases, we define the *Lebesgue spaces*

$$L^{p}(\Omega) := \{ f : \|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} < \infty \}.$$

A multi-index α is an *n*-tuple of non-negative integers. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$. The length of α is given by $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. For $\phi \in C^{\infty}$, denoted by $D^{\alpha}\phi$ the usual partial derivatives $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} \phi$. Note that the *order* of this derivative is given by $|\alpha|$. **Definition 2.1.1.** Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \ge 1)$. Defined by $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the set of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ functions with compact support in Ω .

Note that a support of a continuous function f is the closure of the open set $\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$, denoted by $\operatorname{supp}(f)$.

Definition 2.1.2. We say that a given function $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ has a *weak derivative*, $D_w^{\alpha} f$, provided there is a function $v \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x)\varphi(x)dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} f(x)D^{\alpha}\varphi(x)dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega).$$

If such a v exists, we define $D_w^{\alpha} f = v$.

Example 2.1.3. Take n = 1, $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, and f(x) = |x|. We claim that $D_w^1 f$ exists and is given by

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } -1 < x < 0. \end{cases}$$

To see this, we break the interval (-1, 1) into the parts in which f is smooth, and we integrate by parts. Let $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)\varphi'(x)dx = \int_{-1}^{0} -x\varphi'(x)dx + \int_{0}^{1} x\varphi'(x)dx$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{0} \varphi(x)dx + \int_{0}^{1} -\varphi(x)dx$$
$$= -\int_{-1}^{1} v(x)\varphi(x)dx$$

One may check that $D_w^i f$ does not exist for i > 1.

Definition 2.1.4. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , k be a non-negative integer, and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. Suppose that the weak derivatives $D_w^{\alpha} f$ exist for all $|\alpha| \leq k$. Define the Sobolev norms

$$||f||_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \le k} ||D_w^{\alpha}f||_{L^p(\Omega)}^p\right)^{1/p}$$
(2.1)

and the *semi-norms*

$$|f|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D_w^{\alpha} f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p\right)^{1/p}$$
(2.2)

in the case $1 \le p < \infty$, and in the case $p = \infty$

$$||f||_{W^{k,\infty}(\Omega)} := \max\{||D_w^{\alpha}f||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} : |\alpha| \le k\}.$$

In either case, we define the Sobolev spaces via

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^1(\Omega) : \|f\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} < \infty \right\}.$$
 (2.3)

For k = 1 and p = 2, the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is often denoted by $H^1(\Omega)$.

Theorem 2.1.5. The Sobolev spaces $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces.

Proof. See Theorem 1.3.2 in Brenner and Scott [3].

Theorem 2.1.6. Let Ω be any open set. Then $C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. See Theorem 1.3.4 in Brenner and Scott [3].

Then, the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is denoted by $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, $H_0^1(\Omega) = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$

Here are list of bilinear forms (linear products) for spaces $L^2(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$, and $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

- 1. For $u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\langle u, v \rangle := \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$ and $||u||_0 := ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)} = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$.
- 2. For $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$, $(u, v)_1 := \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle$.
- 3. For $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $(u, v)_1 = \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle$ and $|u|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \sqrt{\langle \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle} = \|\nabla u\|_0$.
- 4. For $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $|u|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le ||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}$.

Note that the Sobolev space $H^1(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space (See Example 2.2.2 in Brenner and Scott [3]).

Theorem 2.1.7 (Poincaré inequality). Suppose Ω , subset of \mathbb{R}^n , is an open bounded domain. Then

$$\|u\|_0 \le C_P \|\nabla u\|_0 \qquad \forall u \in H^1_0(\Omega), \tag{2.4}$$

where C_P is a constant that depends only on the domain Ω .

Proof. See p.30 in Braess [2].

Corollary 2.1.8. The semi-norm $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is equivalent to the norm $||\cdot||_{H^1(\Omega)}$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $f \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{split} |f|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx \\ &= \|f\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx \\ &\leq C_{P}^{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx \\ &\leq (1 + C_{P}^{2}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^{2} dx \\ &= (1 + C_{P}^{2}) |f|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \end{split}$$
 (by Theorem 2.1.7)

Therefore, $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$.

2.2 Finite Element Spaces

In this section, we defined some continuous piecewise spaces of polynomial function that are subspaces of $H^1(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a polygonal domain. A triangulation \mathcal{T} of Ω is a collection $\{T\}$ of triangles such that:

- 1. $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \overline{T};$
- 2. for $T, T' \in \mathcal{T}$ and $T \neq T'$ the set $\overline{T} \cap \overline{T'}$ is empty or consists of a vertex or a common side.

Let $\omega \subseteq \Omega$. We define

- P_p(ω) := the set of all polynomials on ω in two variables of degree less than
 or equal to p;
- $H_T := \operatorname{diam} T = \operatorname{the diameter of triangle} T;$
- $\rho_T :=$ the diameter of the largest circle inscribed in *T*. Regularity constant is denoted by

$$\kappa_T := \frac{H_T}{\rho_T}.$$
(2.5)

Definition 2.2.2. A family of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_H\}$ of Ω is said to be *shape-regular* if there exists a constant K such that $\kappa_T \leq K$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ and for all triangulations \mathcal{T}_H .

Definition 2.2.3. Let \mathcal{T} be a conforming triangulation. Then finite element subspace of order $p \in \mathbb{N}$ associated with \mathcal{T} is defined by

$$\mathbb{V}^p := \{ v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}, v |_T \in \mathbb{P}_p(T) \}.$$
(2.6)

If there is no ambiguity, we will use \mathbb{V} for simplicity.

Refinement.

Let \mathcal{T}_0 be an initial triangulation of Ω . If we decompose a subset of triangles of \mathcal{T}_0 into subtriangles such that the resulting set of triangles is again a triangulation of Ω , we call this a *refinement* of \mathcal{T}_0 . We may denote this triangulation by \mathcal{T}_1 . In this way we can construct a sequence of triangulations $\{\mathcal{T}_k\}$ such that \mathcal{T}_{k+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{T}_k .

We used notations as follows:

- 1. \mathcal{T}_h is a refinement of \mathcal{T}_H ;
- 2. $\mathbb{V}_H := \{ v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_H, v |_T \in \mathbb{P}_p \};$
- 3. $\mathbb{V}_H^\circ := \{ v \in \mathbb{V}_H : v(x) = 0, x \in \partial \Omega \}.$

Remark 2.2.4. $\mathbb{V}_{H}^{\circ} \subset H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$



Figure 2.2.1: An example of refinement \mathcal{T}_h of \mathcal{T}_H .

Definition 2.2.5. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$, we define the *patch element* of T to be

$$\omega_T := \bigcup \{ T' \in \mathcal{T} : \overline{T} \cap \overline{T'} \neq \phi \}.$$



Figure 2.2.2: An example of a patch with respect to the element T.

Theorem 2.2.6 (The Clément Interpolation). There is a linear interpolation operator $\mathcal{I}_H : H^1_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{V}^{\circ}_H$ such that for $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ and $S \in \partial T$ we have

$$\|\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H \varphi\|_{L^2(T)} \le C H_T \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\omega_T)} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\|\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H \varphi\|_{L^2(S)} \le C H_S^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\omega_T)} \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \qquad (2.8)$$

where H_S is the diameter of the side S and C is a constant depending only on the shape regularity.

Proof. See p.84 in Braess [2].

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER III

THE MODEL PROBLEM

3.1 The Model Problem

First, we introduced the definition of strict monotonicity.

Definition 3.1.1. Let A be an operator. If A satisfies

$$(A(p) - A(q)) \cdot (p - q) \ge \theta |p - q|^2$$
(3.1)

for all $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some constant $\theta > 0$. Then A is strict monotonicity.

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ $(n \ge 2)$ be a convex polyhedral domain, $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$ and has first derivative in second argument and $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be strict monotonicity. As the model problem, we consider the semi-linear elliptic PDE with vanishing Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$-\nabla \cdot (A(x)\nabla u) = f(x, u), \qquad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad (3.2)$$

$$on \ \partial\Omega.$$
 (3.3)

The weak formulation of this problem reads as follows: find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

u=0,

$$\mathcal{B}(u,\varphi) = \mathcal{L}(u;\varphi), \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(u,\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx, \qquad (3.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(u;\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)\varphi dx.$$
(3.6)

The corresponding *discrete* problem then reads as follows: find $u_H \in \mathbb{V}_H^{\circ}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(u_H,\phi) = \mathcal{L}(u_H;\phi), \qquad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ(\Omega).$$
(3.7)

Remark 3.1.2.

- If u satisfies equation (3.4), then u is called a *weak solution* of (3.2) and (3.3) by [3].
- 2. If u_H satisfies equation (3.7), then u_H is called a *finite element solution* and is unique by [7].

Let $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the *energy norm* defined by $\| v \| = \sqrt{\mathcal{B}(v, v)}$ for $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. The error $\mathcal{E}_H := u - u_H$ belongs to the space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \mathcal{B}(u,\varphi) - \mathcal{B}(u_H,\varphi) = \mathcal{L}(u;\varphi) - \mathcal{B}(u_H,\varphi) \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$
(3.8)

For convenience, real values f(x, u) and $f(x, u_H)$ are denoted by f and f_H , respectively.

Lemma 3.1.3. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \phi) = \langle f - f_H, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathbb{V}_H^{\circ}(\Omega).$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{V}_{H}^{\circ}(\Omega)$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \phi) = \mathcal{L}(u; \phi) - \mathcal{B}(u_H, \phi), \qquad \text{by equation (3.8)}$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(u;\phi) - \mathcal{L}(u_H;\phi), \qquad \text{by equation (3.7)}$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)\phi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_H)\phi dx, \qquad \text{by equation (3.6)}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} [f(x, u) - f(x, u_H)]\phi dx,$$
$$= \langle f - f_H, \phi \rangle.$$

3.2 Coercivity and Continuity

Definition 3.2.1. A bilinear form $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ on a norm linear space H is said to be bounded (or continuous) if $\exists c_1 < \infty$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(v,w) \le c_1 \|v\|_H \|w\|_H, \qquad \forall v, w \in H,$$

and *coercive* on $V \subset H$ if $\exists c_2 < \infty$

$$\mathcal{B}(v,v) \ge c_2 \|v\|_H^2, \qquad \forall v \in V.$$

Lemma 3.2.2. The bilinear form $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in equation (3.5) is coercive on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and bounded on $H^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. We will first show that $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is coercive. Let $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Since A is strict monotonicity, we can choose $p = \nabla v$ and q = 0. We get $A \nabla v \cdot \nabla v \ge \theta |\nabla v|^2$. Take integral over Ω ,

$$\mathcal{B}(v,v) = \int_{\Omega} (A\nabla v \cdot \nabla v) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \theta |\nabla v|^2 dx = \theta \|\nabla v\|_0^2 = \theta |v|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

Since two norms are equivalent on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{B}(v,v) \ge \theta ||v||_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$.

Finally, we will show that $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bounded. Let $v, w \in H^1(\Omega)$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\mathcal{B}(v,w) = \int_{\Omega} (A\nabla v \cdot \nabla w) dx \le \|A\nabla v\|_0 \|\nabla w\|_0.$$

Since A is smooth, A is bounded on Ω . Let $C(A) = ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(v,w) \le ||A||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||\nabla v||_{0} ||\nabla w||_{0} = C(A) |v|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} |w|_{H^{1}(\Omega)},$$

$$\le C(A) ||v||_{H^{1}(\Omega)} ||w||_{H^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

Theorem 3.2.3. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ in $H^1_0(\Omega)$.

Proof. By the coercivity and continuous of the bilinear form \mathcal{B} (Lemma 3.2.2), we get Theorem 3.2.3.

3.3 L^2 -Estimates

Under the assumptions and notations of the model problem we can estimate the L^2 -error as follows.

Theorem 3.3.1 (Duality). Let $L^2(\Omega)$ be a space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_0$ and the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a subspace which is also a Hilbert space under another norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then the finite element solution u_H of equation (3.7) in $\mathbb{V}_H^\circ \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\|u - u_H\|_0 \le C \left(\|u - u_H\| \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega), \|g\|_0 \le 1} \inf_{v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ} \|\varphi_g - v\| + \|f - f_H\|_0 \right).$$
(3.9)

Here, for $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ we denote $\varphi_g \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ the corresponding unique solution of the (linear) dual equation

$$\mathcal{B}(w,\varphi_g) = \langle g, w \rangle, \qquad \text{for all } w \in H^1_0(\Omega). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. By considering w as a function on $L^2(\Omega)$, $w \in (L^2(\Omega))^*$, the dual space of $L^2(\Omega)$. We can compute the dual norm

$$\|w\|_{0} = \sup_{g \in L^{2}(\Omega), \|g\|_{0} \le 1} \langle g, w \rangle.$$
(3.11)

Here and in (3.9), the supremum is taken only over those g with $||g||_0 \leq 1$. We recall that u and u_H are given by

$$\mathcal{B}(u,v) = \langle f, v \rangle, \qquad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$
$$\mathcal{B}(u_H,v) = \langle f_H, v \rangle, \qquad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ.$$

By Lemma 3.1.3, $\mathcal{B}(u - u_H, v) = \langle f - f_H, v \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ$. Moreover, if we insert $w := u - u_H \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ in (3.10), for any $v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ$ and $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, by

continuity of the bilinear \mathcal{B} and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, u - u_H \rangle &= \mathcal{B}(u - u_H, \varphi_g), \\ &= \mathcal{B}(u - u_H, \varphi_g - v) + \mathcal{B}(u - u_H, v), \\ &\leq C \|u - u_H\| \cdot \|\varphi_g - v\| + \langle f - f_H, v \rangle, \\ &\leq C \|u - u_H\| \cdot \|\varphi_g - v\| + \|f - f_H\|_0 \|v\|_0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_{g,H} \in \mathbb{V}_{H}^{\circ}$ be a finite element solution of φ_{g} . By Céa's Lemma [p.55, 2]

$$\|\varphi_g - \varphi_{g,H}\| \le C \inf_{v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ} \|\varphi_g - v\|$$

By taking $v = \varphi_{g,H} \in \mathbb{V}_{H}^{\circ}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, u - u_H \rangle &\leq C \| u - u_H \| \cdot \| \varphi_g - \varphi_{g,H} \| + \| f - f_H \|_0 \| \varphi_{g,H} \|_0, \\ &\leq C \| u - u_H \| \inf_{v \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ} \| \varphi_g - v \| + \| f - f_H \|_0 \| \varphi_{g,H} \|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since Ω is the convex polyhedral domain, the solution of equation (3.10) has H^2 regular (Regularity theorem [p.89, 2]). Therefore, there is constant c depending only on Ω , \mathcal{B} and $H^2(\Omega)$ such that $\varphi_{g,H} \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying

 $\|\varphi_{g,H}\|_0 \le \|\varphi_{g,H}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le c \|g\|_0.$

Then φ_g is bounded on its domain. Thus φ_g is also bounded. Now the duality argument (3.11) leads to the conclusion,

$$||u - u_H||_0 = \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega), ||g||_0 \le 1} \langle g, u - u_H \rangle$$

$$\leq C \left(||u - u_H|| \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega), ||g||_0 \le 1} \inf_{v \in \mathbb{V}_H} ||\varphi_g - v|| + ||f - f_H||_0 \right).$$

$$||u - u_H||_0 \le C_f H |u - u_H|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

where H is the maximum of H_T for $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ and a constant C_f depends only on ρ , the shape regularity and the data.

Proof. By its assumption and theorem 7.3 in the book of Braess [p.90, 2], the right bracket of (3.9) of Theorem 3.3.1 becomes

$$\|u - u_H\|_0 \le CH \|u - u_H\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|f - f_H\|_0.$$
(3.12)

Apply the mean value theorem to f, we get $|f(\cdot, u) - f(\cdot, u_H)| = |f_u(\cdot, u^*)||u - u_H|$ for some u^* . Take L^2 -norm to both sides and estimate $||f_u(\cdot, u^*)||_0 \le ||f_u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \rho$, equation (3.12) lead to

$$||u - u_H||_0 \le CH|u - u_H|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \rho ||u - u_H||_0.$$

Since $\rho < 1$, we can absorb the second term on right-hand side with the term on the left-hand side:

$$||u - u_H||_0 \le \left(\frac{C}{1-\rho}\right) H|u - u_H|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

where a constant C depends on Ω , the shape regularity, and the data.

16

CHAPTER IV

A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES

In the first step, we decomposed the residual equation in Lemma 3.1.3 for the true error into local contributions from each element.

Let $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be chosen arbitrarily. Then, by writing the single integral over the whole domain as a sum of integrals over the individual elements gives

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} \left\{ \int_T f\varphi dx - \int_T A \nabla u_H \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \right\}$$

Applying Green's theorem to each of the terms in the second term and rearranging terms leads to

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_{H},\varphi) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{H}} \left\{ \int_{T} f\varphi dx + \int_{T} \nabla \cdot (A\nabla u_{H})\varphi dx - \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial (A\nabla u_{H})}{\partial n_{T}}\varphi ds \right\},$$

$$= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{H}} \left\{ \int_{T} (f - f_{H})\varphi dx + \int_{T} (f_{H} + \nabla \cdot (A\nabla u_{H}))\varphi dx - \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial (A\nabla u_{H})}{\partial n_{T}}\varphi ds \right\},$$

$$= \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{H}} \left\{ \int_{T} (f - f_{H})\varphi dx + \int_{T} \mathcal{R}_{T}(u_{H})\varphi dx - \int_{\partial T} \frac{\partial (A\nabla u_{H})}{\partial n_{T}}\varphi ds \right\}.$$

(4.1)

Here $\mathcal{R}_T(u_H)$ is the interior residual

$$\mathcal{R}_T(u_H) := f_H + \nabla \cdot (A \nabla u_H) \quad \text{in } T,$$

and n_T is the unit outward normal vector to ∂T . Each of these quantities is welldefined thanks to the smoothness of the data and regularity of the approximation u_H when restricted to a single element. The contribution from the final term in equation (4.1) can be rewritten by observing that the trace of the function φ matches along an edge shared by two elements, giving

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_H} \left\{ \int_T (f-f_H)\varphi dx + \int_T \mathcal{R}_T \varphi dx \right\} - \sum_{S\in\partial\mathcal{T}_H} \int_S \left[\frac{\partial(A\nabla u_H)}{\partial n} \right] \varphi ds,$$
(4.2)

where $\partial \mathcal{T}_H$ is the set of inter-element sides (edges and faces) of \mathcal{T}_H and the final summation is over the set $\partial \mathcal{T}_H$ consisting of the inter-element sides S on the interior of the mesh. The quantity

$$\left[\frac{\partial(A\nabla u_H)}{\partial n}\right] = (A\nabla u_H)_T \cdot n_T - (A\nabla u_H)_{T'} \cdot n_T$$

defined on the side S separating elements T and T' represents the *jump discontinuity* in the approximation to the normal flux on the interface. Then, they will be denoted by

$$J_S(u_H) := -\left[\frac{\partial(A \nabla u_H)}{\partial n}
ight].$$

Thus equation (4.2) then becomes

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_H} \left\{ \int_T (f-f_H)\varphi dx + \int_T \mathcal{R}_T \varphi dx \right\} + \sum_{S\in\partial\mathcal{T}_H} \int_S J_S \varphi ds.$$
(4.3)

The equation (4.3) is written again to be

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} (f - f_H)\varphi dx + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} \int_T \mathcal{R}_T \varphi dx + \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H} \int_S J_S \varphi ds.$$
(4.4)

Finally, we defined local indicators and error estimators for finding upper bounds and local lower bounds.

Definition 4.0.3. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$ and $S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H$ an inter-element side, we define the *local error indicator* $\eta_H(T)$ by

$$\eta_H^2(T) := H_T^2 \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \sum_{S \subset \partial T} H_S \|J_S\|_{L^2(S)}^2,$$

and the error estimator $\eta_H(\omega)$ for $\omega \subseteq \Omega$ by

$$\eta_H^2(\omega) := \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H, T \subseteq \omega} \eta_H^2(T).$$

4.1 Upper bounds

Let \mathcal{I}_H be the Clément interpolation operator. For a given $\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$, where $\mathcal{I}_H \varphi \in \mathbb{V}_H^\circ$, and by the Lemma 3.1.3 and the identity (4.4), we get

$$0 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} \int_T \mathcal{R}_T \mathcal{I}_H \varphi dx + \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H} \int_S J_S \mathcal{I}_H \varphi ds$$

and then subtracting from identity (4.4) gives

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} (f - f_H)\varphi dx + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} \int_T \mathcal{R}_T(\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H\varphi) dx + \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H} \int_S J_S(\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H\varphi) ds, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$
(4.5)

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) \leq \|f - f_H\|_0 \|\varphi\|_0 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} \|\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H\varphi\|_{L^2(T)}$$
$$+ \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H} \|J_S\|_{L^2(S)} \|\varphi - \mathcal{I}_H\varphi\|_{L^2(S)}.$$

By the $Cl\acute{e}$ ment interpolation (Theorem 2.2.6), we get

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) \leq \|f - f_H\|_0 \|\varphi\|_0 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_H} CH_T \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}$$
$$+ \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_H} CH_S^{1/2} \|J_S\|_{L^2(S)} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(\omega_T)}.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality leads to

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_{H},\varphi) \leq \|f - f_{H}\|_{0} \|\varphi\|_{0} + C \|\nabla\varphi\|_{0} \left\{ \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{H}} H_{T}^{2} \|\mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} + \sum_{S \in \partial \mathcal{T}_{H}} H_{S} \|J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} \right\}^{1/2}.$$
 (4.6)

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H,\varphi) \le \|f - f_H\|_0 \|\varphi\|_0 + C\eta_H(\Omega) \|\nabla\varphi\|_0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

So, substituting $u - u_H \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ in place of φ results in the estimate

$$|||u - u_H|||^2 \le ||f - f_H||_0 ||u - u_H||_0 + C\eta_H(\Omega) ||\nabla(u - u_H)||_0.$$
(4.7)

By Corollary 3.3.2 and its assumptions, we get

$$|||u - u_H|||^2 \le C_f H||f - f_H||_0 |u - u_H|_{H^1(\Omega)} + C\eta_H(\Omega)||\nabla(u - u_H)||_0.$$

By equivalence of $|\cdot|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ and $|||\cdot|||$ on $H^1_0(\Omega)$, we get

$$\| u - u_H \| \le C_1 H \| f - f_H \|_0 + C_2 \eta_H(\Omega).$$
(4.8)

Theorem 4.1.1 (Upper bound).

$$|||u - u_H||| \le C_1 \eta_H(\Omega) + C_2 H||f - f_H||_0$$

where the constants C_1 depends only on the shape regularity, a coercivity constant, the domain Ω , and the data of the problem and C_2 also depends on ρ in Corollary 3.3.2, the shape regularity, and the data of the problem.

Proof. Follows at once from previous arguments.

4.2 Lower bounds

A key role for estimating the local lower bounds will be played by certain locally supported, nonnegative functions that are commonly referred to as *bubble functions*. The two types of bubble functions are *interior* bubble functions, supported a single element, and *edge* bubble functions, supported on a pair of elements.

Let $\psi_T \in \mathbb{P}_3(T)$ be an interior bubble function with $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_T) = T$ and $0 \le \psi_T \le 1$ and $\max_{x \in T} \psi_T(x) = 1$.

Theorem 4.2.1. There is a positive constant C such that for all v in a finitedimensional space $\mathcal{P}(T)$

$$C^{-1} \|v\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} \leq \int_{T} \psi_{T} v^{2} dx \leq C \|v\|_{L^{2}(T)},$$

and

$$C^{-1} \|v\|_{L^2(T)} \le \|\psi_T v\|_{L^2(T)} + H_T |\psi_T v|_{H^1(T)} \le C \|v\|_{L^2(T)},$$

where the constant C is independent of v and H_T .

Proof. See Theorem 2.2 in Ainsworth and Oden [1].

Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{T}_H$ be the pair of elements sharing the interior side S. Denote $\omega_S := T_1 \cup T_2$ and let $\psi_S \in \mathbb{P}_2(\omega_S)$ be an edge bubble function with $\operatorname{supp}(\psi_S) = \omega_S$ and $0 \le \psi_S \le 1$ and $\max_{x \in \omega_S} \psi_S(x) = 1$.

Theorem 4.2.2. Let $S \in \partial T$ be an edge and let ψ_S be the corresponding edge bubble function. Let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ be the finite-dimensional space of functions defined on S. Then for $v \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, there exists a positive constant such that

$$C^{-1} \|v\|_{L^2(S)}^2 \le \int_S \psi_S v^2 ds \le C \|v\|_{L^2(S)}^2,$$

and

$$H_T^{-1/2} \|\psi_S v\|_{L^2(T)} + H_T^{1/2} |\psi_S v|_{H^1(T)} \le C \|v\|_{L^2(S)}^2$$

where the constant C is independent of v and H_T .

Proof. See Theorem 2.4 in Ainsworth and Oden [1]. \Box

Applying the first part of Theorem 4.2.1, we have

$$\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \le C \int_T \psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}^2 dx, \qquad (4.9)$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}$ be the L^2 -projection of \mathcal{R}_T onto the space of polynomials \mathbb{P}_p over the element $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$. The function $\varphi = \overline{\mathcal{R}_T} \psi_T$ vanishes on the boundary of element T, therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} \psi_T$ can be extended to the rest of the domain as a continuous function by defining its values outside the element to be zero. Thus, inserting $\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} \psi_T$ into the equation (4.3) yields

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T) = \int_T (f - f_H) \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T dx + \int_T \mathcal{R}_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T dx,$$

and therefore

$$\int_{T} \psi_{T} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}}^{2} dx = \int_{T} \psi_{T} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} (\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}) dx - \int_{T} (f - f_{H}) \overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} \psi_{T} dx + \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_{H}, \overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} \psi_{T}).$$
(4.10)

Applying the second part of properties of bubble functions, we obtain

$$\|\psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)} \le C \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)}.$$
(4.11)

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the first term of (4.10) leads to

$$\int_{T} \psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T} (\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T) dx \le \|\psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)}.$$

By equation (4.11),

$$\int_{T} \psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T} (\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T) dx \le C \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)}.$$
(4.12)

Similarly, for the second term of (4.10) we obtain

$$\int_{T} (f - f_H) \overline{\mathcal{R}_T} \psi_T dx \le C \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(T)} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)}.$$
(4.13)

Since the bilinear form \mathcal{B} is bounded and supp $(\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T) = T$,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T) \leq C \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(T)} \|\psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{H^1(T)},$$

and by Theorem 4.2.1,

$$\|\psi_T \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{H^1(T)} \le C H_T^{-1} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)},$$

the estimation of the last term becomes

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\psi_T) \le CH_T^{-1} \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(T)} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)}.$$
(4.14)

Inserting these estimates into equation (4.10) gives

$$\int_{T} \psi_{T} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}}^{2} dx \leq C \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}}\|_{L^{2}(T)} \left\{ \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(T)} + \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(T)} + H_{T}^{-1} \|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(T)} \right\},$$

and rescaling (4.9) leads to the bound

$$\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)} \le C\left\{\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} + \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(T)} + H_T^{-1}\|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(T)}\right\}.$$
 (4.15)

By triangle inequality,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} \le \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}\|_{L^2(T)} + \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)}.$$
(4.16)

Hence, the desired bound on the actual residual follows from (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} \le C\left\{\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} + \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(T)} + H_T^{-1}\|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(T)}\right\}.$$
 (4.17)

By applying the first part of Theorem 4.2.2,

$$\|\overline{J_S}\|_{L^2(S)}^2 \le C \int_S \psi_S \overline{J_S}^2 ds \tag{4.18}$$

where $\overline{J_S}$ is the best L^2 -projection of J_S onto $\mathbb{P}_p(S)$. We extend $\overline{J_S}$ constantly along the normal such that it is defined on ω_S . The function $\varphi = \overline{J_S}\psi_S$ vanishes on the boundary of the subdomain ω_S . Extending φ by zero outside ω_S to the whole of the domain Ω gives a function $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. The residual equation (4.3), with this choice of φ , yields

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, \overline{J_S}\psi_S) = \int_{\omega_S} (f - f_H)\overline{J_S}\psi_S dx + \int_{\omega_S} \mathcal{R}_T \overline{J_S}\psi_S dx + \int_S J_S \overline{J_S}\psi_S ds,$$

and thus

$$\int_{S} \psi_{S} \overline{J_{S}}^{2} ds = \int_{S} \psi_{S} \overline{J_{S}} (\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}) ds + \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_{H}, \overline{J_{S}} \psi_{S}) - \int_{\omega_{S}} \psi_{S} \mathcal{R}_{T} \overline{J_{S}} dx - \int_{\omega_{S}} (f - f_{H}) \overline{J_{S}} \psi_{S} dx.$$

$$(4.19)$$

Each of these terms can be estimated by using Theorem 4.2.2 and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The first term of (4.19) leads to

$$\int_{S} \psi_{S} \overline{J_{S}} (\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}) ds \leq \|\psi_{S} \overline{J_{S}}\|_{L^{2}(S)} \|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)},$$

$$\leq C \|\overline{J_{S}}\|_{L^{2}(S)} \|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)}. \tag{4.20}$$

The second term is estimated by the continuity of \mathcal{B} ,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}_H, J_S \psi_S) \le C \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(\omega_S)} \|\psi_S J_S\|_{H^1(\omega_S)},$$

$$\le C H_S^{-1/2} \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(\omega_S)} \|\overline{J_S}\|_{L^2(S)}.$$
 (4.21)

The third term is bounded by

$$\int_{\omega_S} \psi_S \mathcal{R}_T \overline{J_S} dx \le \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(\omega_S)} \|\psi_S \overline{J_S}\|_{L^2(\omega_S)},$$
$$\le C H_S^{1/2} \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(\omega_S)} \|\overline{J_S}\|_{L^2(S)}.$$
(4.22)

Finally the estimation of the last term is

$$\int_{\omega_{S}} (f - f_{H}) \overline{J_{S}} \psi_{S} dx \leq \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})} \|\overline{J_{S}} \psi_{S}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})},$$
$$\leq C H_{S}^{1/2} \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})} \|\overline{J_{S}}\|_{L^{2}(S)}.$$
(4.23)

As a consequence of these estimates and the bound (4.18), we conclude that

$$\|\overline{J_S}\|_{L^2(S)} \le C\{\|\overline{J_S} - J_S\|_{L^2(S)} + H_S^{1/2} \|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(\omega_S)} + H_S^{-1/2} \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(\omega_S)} + H_S^{1/2} \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(\omega_S)}\}.$$

By triangle inequality similar to (4.16), we obtain

$$\|J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)} \leq C\{\|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)} + H_{S}^{1/2}\|\mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})} + H_{S}^{-1/2}\|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(\omega_{S})} + H_{S}^{1/2}\|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})}\}.$$
(4.24)

Applying the estimate (4.17) for interior residual in terms of the true error, giving

$$\|J_S\|_{L^2(S)} \le C\{\|\overline{J_S} - J_S\|_{L^2(S)} + H_S^{1/2}\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(\omega_S)} + H_S^{-1/2}\|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(\omega_S)} + H_S^{1/2}\|f - f_H\|_{L^2(\omega_S)}\}.$$
(4.25)

Theorem 4.2.3. Let \mathcal{R}_T and J_S denote the interior and boundary residuals associated with the finite element approximation constructed from the subspace \mathbb{V}_H° . Suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{R}_T}$ and $\overline{J_S}$ are polynomial approximations to the interior and boundary residuals constructed from finite-dimensional subspace. Then,

$$\|\mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} \le C\left\{\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(T)} + \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(T)} + H_T^{-1}\|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(T)}\right\}$$
(4.26)

and

$$\|J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)} \leq C\{\|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)} + H_{S}^{1/2}\|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})} + H_{S}^{-1/2}\|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(\omega_{S})} + H_{S}^{1/2}\|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})}\},$$

$$(4.27)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on the shape regularity of elements and the selection of the finite-dimensional subspace used to approximate the interior and boundary residuals.

Proof. Follows at once from previous arguments.

Finally, by definition of the indicator and Theorem 4.2.3,

$$\eta_{H}(T)^{2} = H_{T}^{2} \|\mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} + \sum_{S \subset \partial T} H_{S} \|J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2}$$

$$\leq CH_{T}^{2} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} + CH_{T}^{2} \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(T)}^{2} + C \|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(T)}^{2} + C \sum_{S \subset \partial T} \{H_{S} \|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} + H_{S}^{2} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})}^{2} + \|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(\omega_{S})}^{2} + H_{S}^{2} \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\omega_{S})}^{2} \}.$$

For $\tilde{\omega}_T := \bigcup_{S \subseteq \partial T} \omega_S$, we have

$$\eta_{H}^{2}(T) \leq C_{1} \|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(\tilde{\omega}_{T})}^{2} + C_{2} \left\{ H_{T}^{2} \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_{T}} - \mathcal{R}_{T}\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{\omega}_{T})}^{2} + \sum_{S \subset \partial T} H_{S} \|\overline{J_{S}} - J_{S}\|_{L^{2}(S)}^{2} \right\} + C_{3} H_{T}^{2} \|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{\omega}_{T})}^{2},$$

$$(4.28)$$

where the constant C_1 , C_2 and C_3 depend only on the shape regularity, and the data of the problem. We define the *oscillation* on the element T by

$$osc_H^2(T) = H_T^2 \|\overline{\mathcal{R}_T} - \mathcal{R}_T\|_{L^2(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2 + \sum_{S \in \partial T} H_S \|\overline{J_S} - J_S\|_{L^2(S)}^2,$$

and for $\omega \subseteq \Omega$, we define

$$osc_{H}^{2}(\omega) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{H}, T \subseteq \omega} osc_{H}^{2}(T).$$

Te Therefore, the equation (4.28) becomes

$$\eta_{H}^{2}(T) \leq C_{1} \|\mathcal{E}_{H}\|_{H^{1}(\tilde{\omega}_{T})}^{2} + C_{2}osc_{H}^{2}(\tilde{\omega}_{T}) + C_{3}H_{T}^{2}\|f - f_{H}\|_{L^{2}(\tilde{\omega}_{T})}^{2}.$$
(4.29)

Theorem 4.2.4 (Local lower bound).

$$\eta_H^2(T) \le C_1 \|\mathcal{E}_H\|_{H^1(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2 + C_2 osc_H^2(\tilde{\omega}_T) + C_3 H_T^2 \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2$$

where the constant C_1 , C_2 and C_3 depend only on the shape regularity, and the data of the problem.

Proof. Follows at once from previous arguments.

4.3 Conclusions

In previous section, we derived the upper and local lower bounds for a posteriori error estimates. The Theorem 4.1.1 gives the upper bound,

$$|||u - u_H||| \le C_1 \eta_H(\Omega) + C_2 H||f - f_H||_0,$$

and the Theorem 4.2.4 gives the local lower bounds,

$$\eta_H^2(T) \le C_1 \|u - u_H\|_{H^1(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2 + C_2 osc_H^2(\tilde{\omega}_T) + C_3 H_T^2 \|f - f_H\|_{L^2(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2.$$

Note that the upper bound we have the term $||f - f_H||_0$ coming from the nonlinearity of the function f(x, u) which does not appear in the case of linear problems. Similarly, we also have the term $||f - f_H||_{L^2(\omega_T)}$ in the local lower bounds.

It is known from [4,6,7] for linear cases the convergence of AFEM relies on the control of error indicators $\eta_H(T)$ and oscillation $osc_H(T)$, based on the assumption that the error $|||u - u_H|||$ reduces if we can control $\eta_H(T)$ and $osc_H(T)$. For our result, in order to design a computable algorithm of AFEM we need to control the term $||f - f_H||_{L^2(\omega_T)}$ that appears on the error bounds. Since it is not computable in term of given data and known information like $\eta_H(T)$ or $osc_H(T)$, due to the knowledge of exact solution. We may control this term with the following two ideas. First, if f has first derivative in the second argument and $||f_u||_{\infty} < \rho < 1$ for some constant ρ as in Corollary 3.3.2, then we may absorb the terms $||f - f_H||_0$ in the error term $|||u - u_H|||$, namely

$$||f(\cdot, u) - f(\cdot, u_H)||_0 \le ||f_u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||u - u_H||_0 \le \rho |||u - u_H||.$$

With this we obtain the Corollary 4.3.1.

Corollary 4.3.1. Upper bounds: $|||u - u_H||| \le C\eta_H(\Omega)$. Local lower bounds: $C_1\eta_H^2(T) \le C_2 osc_H^2(\tilde{\omega}_T) + ||u - u_H||_{H^1(\tilde{\omega}_T)}^2$. In this case, we obtain the same error estimates as for linear cases. Thus the algorithm of AFEM can be designed similarly. Second, we may try approximate $||f - f_H||_{L^2(\omega_T)}$ by something that can be computed and use this also as an indicator similar to the role of $\eta_H(T)$ and $osc_H(T)$ in the AFEM algorithm. This may require a further analysis to obtain such the approximation. With the given a posteriori error estimates, one can design the AFEM algorithm as follows.

The Adaptive Finite Element Method (AFEM) consists of loops of the form

SOLVE
$$\rightarrow$$
 ESTIMATE \rightarrow MARK \rightarrow REFINE.

The procedure SOLVE solves (3.7) for the discrete solution u_H . Note that they requires methods for solving non-linear system like the Newton's method. The procedure ESTIMATE determines the element indicators $\eta_H(T)$, oscillation $osc_H(T)$ and approximation of $||f - f_H||_{L^2(T)}$ that are computable for each element. Depending on their relative sizes, these quantities are later used by the procedure MARK to mark element T, and thereby create a subset of \mathcal{T}_H of elements to be refine. Finally, procedure REFINE partitions those elements in the subset to maintain mesh conformity.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

NOTATIONS

- $\|\cdot\|_V$ The norm on the space V, p.5-6
- $|\cdot|_W$ The semi-norm on the space W, p.6
- $\|\cdot\|_0$ The norm on $L^2(\Omega)$, p.6
- $\|\cdot\|$ The energy norm, p.12
- $\mathcal{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ The bilinear form , p.11
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ The inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$, p.6
- $H^1(\Omega)$ The Sobolev spaces of functions in $L^2(\Omega)$ whose first derivatives are also in $L^2(\Omega)$, p.6
- $H_0^1(\Omega)$ The space $H^1(\Omega)$ with vanishing on boundary, p.6
- \mathbb{V}_H The finite element space, p.9
- \mathbb{V}_{H}° the space \mathbb{V}_{H} with vanishing on boundary, p.9
- $\mathbb{P}_p(\omega)$ The set of all polynomials on ω in two variables of degree less than or equal to p, p.8
- \mathcal{T}_H The triangulation of Ω , p.8
- ∂T The boundary of the element T, p.10
- $\partial \mathcal{T}_H$ The set inter-element sides, p.18
- H_T The diameter of on the element T, p.8
- H_S The diameter of on the side $S \subseteq T$, p.10
- H The maximum of H_T for $T \in \mathcal{T}_H$, p.15

- κ_T The regularity constant on the element T, p.8
- ω_S The union of the pair elements sharing the interior side S, p.21
- ω_T The patch element of the element T, p.9
- $\tilde{\omega}_T$ The union of ω_S for $S \subseteq \partial T$, p.26
- \mathcal{I}_H The Clément Interpolation operator, p.10
- \mathcal{E}_H The different between u and u_H , p.12
- $\mathcal{R}_T(u_H)$ The interior residual of u_H on the element T, p.17
- $J_S(u_H)$ The jump discontinuity of u_H on the element T, p.18
- $\eta_H(T)$ The local indicator on the element T, p.18
- $osc_H(T)$ The oscillation on the element T, p.26
- ψ_T The interior bubble on the element T, p.21
- ψ_S The edge bubble function on the interior side S, p.21

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

REFERENCES

- M. Ainsworth and J. T. Oden, A posteriori error estimation in finite element analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [2] D. Braess, Finite elements, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.
- [3] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott, The mathematical theory of finite element models, Springer-Verlag, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [4] W. Dorfler, A convergence adaptive algorithm for Poisson's equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **33**, 1106 1124 (1996).
- [5] L. C. Evans, Partial differential equations, Graduate studies in mathematics 19, AMS (1998).
- [6] K. Mekchay and R.H. Nochetto, Convergence of adaptive finite element methods for general second order linear elliptic PDEs, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 43, 1803-1827 (2005).
- [7] P. Morin, R. H. Nochetto and K. G. Siebert: Convergence of adaptive finite element methods, *SIAM J. Numer. Applied Math.* 44, 631 658 (2002).
- [8] R. H. Nochetto, A. Schmidt, K. G. Siebert and A. Veeser, Pointwise a posteriori error estimates for monotone semi-linear equations, Numerische Mathematik, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc. 104, 515 - 538 (2006).



VITA

Name	Mr. Suttisak Jampawai	
Date of Birth	26 August 1984	
Place of Birth	Loei, Thailand	
Education	B.Sc.(Mathematics)(Second Class Honors),	
	Chulalongkorn University, 2007	
Scholarship	The Development and Promotion of Science and	
	Technology Talents Project (DPST)	
Conference	Give a talk	
	• Upper Bounds for Semi-linear Elliptic Partial	
	Differential Equations in The 14 th Annual	
	Meeting in Mathematics, 5-6 March 2009	
	• A Posteriori Error Estimates for Semi-linear Elliptic	
	Partial Differential Equations in Annual Pure and Applied	
	Mathematics Conference 2009, 25-26 May 2009	
	Attend	
	\bullet The 13 th Annual Meeting in Mathematics, 6-7 May 2008	

• The 14th Annual Meeting in Mathematics, 5-6 March 2009