การตรวจหาแลคโตบาซิลลัสในกระเพาะอาหารของผู้ป่วยที่มีอาการปวดท้องบริเวณท้องส่วนบน

และบทบาทในการลดการสร้าง Tumor Necrosis Factor-a ในหลอดทดลอง



นางสาววิมลรัตน์

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต

สาขาวิชาจุลชีววิทยาทางการแพทย์ (สหสาขาวิชา)

บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ปีการศึกษา 2551

ลิขสิทธิ์ของ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

DETECTION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* IN THE STOMACH OF DYSPEPTIC PATIENT AND ITS ROLE IN THE SUPPRESSION OF TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-α PRODUCTION *IN VITRO*

Miss Wimonrat Panpetch

สูนย์วิทยุทรัพยากร

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science program in Medical Microbiology (Interdisciplinary Program) Graduate School Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2008 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	DETECTION OF LACTOBACILLUS IN THE STOMACH
	OF DYSPEPTIC PATIENTS AND ITS ROLE IN THE
	SUPPRESSION OF TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-a
	PRODUCTION IN VITRO
Ву	Miss Wimonrat Panpetch
Field of Study	Medical Microbiology
Thesis Principal Advisor	Associate Professor Somying Tumwasorn, Ph.D.
Thesis Co-advisor	Associate Professor Daungporn Thongngam, M.D.

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master's Degree

.....Dean of the Graduate school (Associate Professor Pornpote Piumsomboon, Ph.D.)

Thesis Committee :

.. Chairman

(Assistant Professor Anan Chongthaleong, M.D.)

(Associate Professor Somying Tumwasorn, Ph.D.)

pon Kyon Thesis Co-advisor (Associate Professor Duangporn Thongngam, M.D.)

(Assistant Professor Ratha-korn Vilaichone, M.D., Ph.D.)

วิมลรัตน์ ปานเพ็ชร : การตรวจหาแลกโตบาซิลลัสในกระเพาะอาหารของผู้ป่วยที่มีอาการปวดท้องบริเวณ ท้องส่วนบนและบทบาทในการลดการสร้าง Tumor Necrosis Factor-a ในหลอดทดลอง (DETECTION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* IN THE STOMACH OF DYSPEPTIC PATIENTS AND ITS ROLE IN THE SUPPRESSION OF TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-a PRODUCTION *IN VITRO*) อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก :

รศ. คร. สมหญิง ธัมวาสร, อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม : รศ. พญ. ควงพร ทองงาม, 236 หน้า

แลคโตบาซิลลัสเป็นจุลชีพที่พบเป็นประจำในระบบทางเดินอาหารของสัตว์เลี้ยงลูกด้วยนมและบางสาย พันธุ์สามารถกดการสร้าง proinflammatory cytokines หลายชนิดรวมทั้ง tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) ในการศึกษาครั้งนี้ได้เพาะแยกและวิเคราะห์สปีชีส์ของเชื้อแลคโตบาซิลลัสจากชิ้นเนื้อกระเพาะอาหาร และกอของผู้ป่วยที่มีอาการปวดท้องบริเวณท้องส่วนบน จำนวน 272 ราย ซึ่งแยกเป็นกลุ่มตามผลการสังเกตจากการ ส่องกล้องออกเป็น 3 กลุ่ม คือ กลุ่มที่หนึ่ง 70 ราย เป็นผู้ป่วยที่มีกระเพาะอาหารอักเสบเล็กน้อย กลุ่มที่สอง 158 ราย เป็นผู้ป่วยที่มีกระเพาะอาหารอักเสบอย่างรุนแรง และกลุ่มที่สาม 44 ราย เป็นผู้ป่วยที่มีแผลในกระเพาะอาหาร

ผลการเพาะแขกเชื้อพบแลคโตบาซิลลัสในกระเพาะอาหารผู้ป่วยจำนวน 57 ราย (20.96%) โดยแขกได้ จากกลุ่มที่หนึ่ง 9 ราย (12.85%) กลุ่มที่สอง 32 ราย (20.25%) และกลุ่มที่สาม 16 ราย (36.36%) ผลการ วิเคราะห์ทางสถิติพบว่า ความชุกของเชื้อในกลุ่มที่สองกับกลุ่มที่สามและกลุ่มที่หนึ่งกับกลุ่มที่สามมีความแตกต่างกัน อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ ผลการเพาะแขกเชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสจากคอของผู้ป่วย พบเชื้อในผู้ป่วย 103 ราย (37.87%) โดย แขกได้จากกลุ่มที่หนึ่ง 25 ราย (35.71%) กลุ่มที่สอง 57 ราย (36.08%) และกลุ่มที่สาม 21 ราย (47.73%) ผล การวิเคราะห์ทางสถิติพบว่าความชุกของเชื้อในผู้ป่วยแต่ละกลุ่ม ไม่แตกต่างกันกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ

เมื่อนำเชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสที่แขก ได้จากขึ้นเนื้อกระเพาะอาหารผู้ป่วย 57 ราย มาทคสอบความสามารอในการลด การสร้าง TNF-a โดย THP-1 monocytic cells ที่ถูกกระตุ้นด้วย lipopolysaccharide (LPS) พบว่า ใน ผู้ป่วย 31 ราย (54.39%) ลดการสร้าง TNF-a อย่างมีนัยสำคัญ (p<0.05) โดยแยกได้จากกลุ่มที่หนึ่ง 7 ราย (77.78%) กลุ่มที่สอง 18 ราย (56.25%) และกลุ่มที่สาม 6 ราย (37.5%) ผลการวิเคราะห์ทางสถิติพบว่า ความ ชุกของเชื้อที่สามารถลดการสร้าง TNF-a ในผู้ป่วยกลุ่มที่หนึ่งกับกลุ่มที่สามแตกต่างกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ (p=0.053) อย่างไรก็ตามผลการวิเคราะห์ด้วย multivariate analysis พบว่า ความชุกของเชื้อที่ลดการสร้าง TNF-a ในกลุ่มที่หนึ่งกับกลุ่มที่สามไม่มีความแตกต่างกันอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ (p=0.985)

เชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสที่สามารถลดการสร้าง TNF-α ในการศึกษาครั้งนี้ได้แก่ Lactobacillus plantarum, L. murinus ที่แขกได้ทั้งหมด L. salivarius, L. gasseri และ L. casei group บาง isolate ส่วนเชื้อ L. fermentum, L. mucosae และ L. oris ที่แขกได้ทั้งหมดไม่สามารถลดการสร้าง TNF-α สปีชีส์ ของเชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสที่พบมากที่กระเพาะอาหารและคอคือ L. fermentum และ L. salivarius ผู้ป่วยที่พบ แลก โดบาซิลลัสทั้งสองบริเวณมี 38 ราย และมีผู้ป่วย 28 ราย (73.68%) ที่มีเชื้อเหมือนกันอย่างน้อย 1 สปีชีส์ทั้ง ในกระเพาะอาหารและคอ ผลการศึกษาบ่งชี้ว่าแชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสบางสปีชีส์ที่กระเพาะอาหารอาจจะมีจุดเริ่มด้นมา จากคอของผู้ป่วยและเชื้อแลก โดบาซิลลัสที่พบในกระเพาะอาหารอาจเป็นปัจจัยหนึ่งที่มีผลกับพยาธิสภาพและความ รุนแรงของโรคแผลกระเพาะอาหาร

สาขาวิชา จุลชีววิทยาทางการแพทย์ ปีการศึกษา 2551 ลายมือชื่อนิสิต (โฟกโฟฟ์) นี่ไฟฟฟ์ไ ลายมือชื่อ อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก (โมการ์เซียาง) ลายมือชื่อ อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม

##4889137020 : MAJOR MEDICAL MICROBIOLOGY KEY WORD : MICROFLORA/ *LACTOBACILLUS* / TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-α/ THP-1 CELL / IMMUNOMODULATION

WIMONRAT PANPETCH : DETECTION OF *LACTOBACILLUS* IN THE STOMACH OF DYSPEPTIC PATIENTS AND ITS ROLE IN THE SUPPRESSION OF TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-α PRODUCTION *IN VITRO*. THESIS PRINCIPAL ADVISOR : ASSOC. PROF. SOMYING TUMWASORN, Ph.D., THESIS CO-ADVISOR : ASSOC. PROF. DUANGPORN THONGNGAM, M.D., 236 pp.

Lactobacillus species represent indigenous microorganisms of the mammalian gastrointestinal tract and some specific strains can suppress the production of a number of proinflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α). In this study, Lactobacillus spp. were isolated from gastric biopsies of 272 dyspeptic patients that were divided into three groups by endoscopic findings as follows: group one, 70 patients with mild gastritis; group two, 158 patients with severe gastritis and group three, 44 patients with peptic ulcer.

Bacterial culture of gastric biopsies yielded 57 patients (20.96%) which were categorized into 9 patients (12.85%) in group one, 32 patients(20.25%) in group two and 16 patients (36.36%) in group three. Statistical analyses revealed that the prevalence of *Lactobacillus* in patients groups one and two were not significantly different (p>0.05) but significantly different in patients groups two and three and patients groups one and three (p<0.05).

Bacterial culture of throat swabs yielded 103 patients (37.87%) which were categorized into 25 patients (35.71%) in group one, 57 patients (36.08%) in group two and 21 patients (47.73%) in group three. Statistical analyses revealed that the prevalence of *Lactobacillus* in each group of patients were not significantly different (p>0.05).

The immunomodulating activities of *Lactobacillus* isolated from gastric biopsies of 57 patients revealed 31 patients (54.39%) significantly suppressed LPS-activated TNF- α production by THP-1 monocytic cells (p<0.05). These TNF- α -inhibitory isolates were 7 patients (77.78%) in group one, 18 patients (56.25%) in group two and 6 patients (37.5%) in group 3. Statistical analyses revealed that the prevalence of TNF- α -inhibitory *Lactobacillus* isolates in patients groups one and two v.s. groups two and three were not significantly different (p>0.05) but significantly different in patients groups one and three (p=0.053). However, multivariate analysis of the prevalence of TNF- α -inhibitory *Lactobacillus* in patients groups 1 and 3 was not significantly different (p=0.985)

TNF- α -inhibitory *Lactobacillus* found in this study were all isolates of *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *L. murinus* and some isolates of *L. salivarius*, *L.gasseri and L.casei* group. On the contrary, all isolates of *L. fermentum*, *L. mucosae* and *L. oris* did not suppress TNF- α production. Predominate species found in both gastric biopsies and throat were *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius*. Of 38 patients from whom *Lactobacillus* spp. were recovered from both gastric biopsies and throat swabs, 28 (73.68%) had at least one isolate of the same species. The results of this study suggested that some *Lactobacillus* species in the stomach might be a factor contributing to the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer.

Field of study Medical Microbiology Academic year 2008

Student's signature. Wimonval Panpetch Principal Advisor's signature long in Terminatory Co-Advisor's signature. Proprint Panpetrich

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the following individuals who helped in making this thesis possible:

Associate Professor Somying Tumwasorn Ph.D., Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, my principal advisor for her excellent instruction, advice, indispensable help, suggestion, encouragement and criticism throughout the period of this study.

Associate Professor Duangporn Thongngam M.D., Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, my co-advisor, for helping in specimen collection, kindness, advice and indispensable help.

Assistant Professor Anan Chongthaleong, M.D., Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, the chairman of thesis committee and Assistant Professor Ratha-korn Vilaichone, M.D., Ph.D., the member of thesis committee for their constructive criticisms.

The Rachadapisek Sompoj research fund, Faculty Medicine and the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University, for the research grant to support this study.

The staffs of Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, for their friendship and help in the laboratory and also special thank to Malai Taweechotipatr, Ph.D. graduate, for her kind help, suggestion and training of the assay for immunomodulation.

All the staffs of the Gastroenterology unit, King Chulalongkorn Memmorial hospital, for their friendship and kind help in collecting clinical specimens for this study.

Finally, I am deeply indebted to my parents for their love, help, encouragement, understanding and support during this study.

CONTENTS

		Page
ABST	RACT (THAI)	. iv
ABST	RACT (ENGLISH)	v
ACKN	VOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONT	TENTS	vii
LIST (OF TABLE	. ix
LIST (OF FIGURES	. xvi
LIST (OFABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
CHRP	TER	
Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
II	OBJECTIVE	7
	CONCERPTUAL FRAMWORK	8
III	LITERATURE REVIEW	. 9
	STOMACH	9
	DYSPEPSI <mark>A</mark>	11
	GASTRITIS	13
	PEPTIC ULCER	17
	LACTOBACILLUS	23
	ECOLOGY OF LACTOBACILLUS	41
	LACTOBACILLUS AS PROBIOTIC	. 48
	PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI	
	AND NSAIDS INDUCED GASTRITIS AND PEPTIC	
	ULCER DISEASE	50
	LACTOBACILLUS AND MODULATION OF IMMUNE	. 52
	METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION OF GENUS	
	LACTOBACILLUS	56
	LIPOPOLYSACCHARIDES (LPS)	63
	TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-ALPHA (TNF- α)	65
	HUMAN ACUTE MONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA CELLS (THP-1)	68
IV	METERIAL AND METHODS	. 69
V	RESULT	. 81
VI	DISCUSSION	. 194

VII	CONCLUSION	199
REFE	RENCE	200
APPE	NDIXES	219
	APPENDIX A	220
	APPENDIX B	224
	APPENDIX C	226
	APPENDIX D	228
	APPENDIX E	230
BIOG	RAPHY	236



LIST OF TABLES

Table

1.	Classification of Gastritis	14
2.	Causes of gastritis	16
3.	The major of lactobacilli for fermention	26
4.	List of the species of the genus Lactobacillus	28
5.	Lactobacillus and action of antimicrobial	
	compound from Lactobacillus	47
6.	Target groups and sequences of the PCR primers in previous study	62
7.	Number of different type of suspected Lactobacillus colonies	
	isolated from gastric biopsy samples of each group of patients	83
8.	Number of different type of suspected Lactobacillus colonies	
	isolated from throat swabs samples of each group of patients	84
9.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 16	
	Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 9 dyspeptic patients	
	with mild gastritis	91
10.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 47	
	Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 32 dyspeptic patients	
	as severe gastritis	93
11.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 24	
	Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 16 dyspeptic patients	
	as gastric ulcer and duodenum ulcer;** Dyspeptic patients as DU	97
12.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 48	
	Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 25 dyspeptic patients as	
	endoscopic nomal and mild gastritis	101
13.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 92	
	Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients as	
	severe gastritis	106
14.	Genotypic identification based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing of 32	
	Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 21 syspeptic patients as	
	gastric ulcer	116

Page	
------	--

Х

15.	The number of dyspeptic patients from whom Lactobacillus isolates	
	in gastric biopsies were recovered and the number of Lactobacillus	
	isolates from these patients	121
16.	The comparison of number of dyspeptic patients from whom Lactobac	illus
	isolates in gastric biopsies were recovered	122
17.	The number of dyspeptic patients from whom Lactobacillus isolates	
	in throat swabs were recovered and the number of	
	Lactobacillus isolates from these patients	122
18.	The comparison of number of dyspeptic patients from whom	
	Lactobacillus isolates in throat swab were recovered	122
19.	Comparison of Lactobacillus species isolated from gastric biopsies	
	and throat swabs of mild gastritis patients	127
20.	Comparison of Lactobacillus species isolated from gastric biopsies	
	and throat swabs of severe gastritis patients	128
21.	Comparison of Lactobacillus species isolated from gastric biopsies	
	and throat swabs of gastric ulcer patients. Species isolated from	
	both gastric biopsy and throat swab were highlighted	130
22.	Species and number of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolated from gastric biopsies	
	and throat swabs of each group of patients	131
23.	Comparison of the number of Lactobacillus isolated from	
	gastric biopsies and throat swabs of dyspeptic patients	132
24.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	136
25.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	137
26.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	138

Table

xi

27.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	139
28.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	140
29.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	141
30.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	142
31.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	143
32.	Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 16 Lactobacillus	
	isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis (9 subjects) on	
	TNF-α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	144
33.	Species of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates with TNF- α inhibitory activity	
	from group 1 patients with mild gastritis	146
34.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	147
35.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	148
36.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	149
37.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	150

38.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	151
39.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	152
40.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	153
41.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	154
42.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	155
43.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	156
44.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	157
45.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	158
46.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	159
47.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	160

48.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	161
49.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	162
50.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	163
51.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	164
52.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	165
53.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF-α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	166
54.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	167
55.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	168
56.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	169
57.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	170

Table	
-------	--

58.	Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 47 Lactobacillus isolates	
	from group 2 patients with severe gastritis (32 subjects) on	
	TNF-α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	171
59.	Species of Lactobacillus isolates with TNF-a inhibitory activity	
	from group 2 patients with severe gastritis	175
60.	Immunomodulatory activities of 47 Lactobacillus isolates from 32	
	severe gastritis patients on TNF production in LPS-stimulated	
	THP-1 monocytic cells	177
61.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	178
62.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	179
63.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	180
64.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	181
65.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	182
66.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	183
67.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	184
68.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	185

Table

69.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	186
70.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	187
71.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from	
	group 3 patients with peptic ulcer on TNF production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	188
72.	Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 24 Lactobacillus	
	isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (16 subjects)	
	on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic	
	cells	189
73.	Species of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates with TNF- α inhibitory activity	
	from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer	192
74.	The prevalence of <i>Lactobacillus</i> and number of isolates which	
	significantly inhibited TNF- α production by THP1-monocytic cells	193
75.	Comparison of the prevalence of TNF- α – inhibiting <i>Lactobacillus</i>	
	isolated from each group of dyspeptic patients	193

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1.	Anatomic divisions of the stomach	9
2.	Diagphram showing the principle regions of the interior	
	of the stomach and the microstructure of tissue	11
3.	Gastroscopy showing peptic ulcer at body of stomach	
	which defected into the submucosa layer	18
4.	Gastroscopy showing gastric ulcer at antrum of stomach	18
5.	Diagram of causes and defense mechanism againt peptic ulcer	22
6.	Schematic diagram of steps in PCR	59
7.	Chromatogram of sequencing by automate sequencer	60
8.	Chemical structure of LPS (endotoxin)	63
9.	Lipopolysaccharide stimulated signal which increase	
	TNF-alpha production in macrophages	64
10.	Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay	68
11.	The collection of gastric biopsy sample	69
12.	The primary plate of gastric biopsy as culture on MRS agar plates	82
13.	Colonies of Lactobacillus isolated from gastric biopsy samples	
	of dyspeptic patients	82
14.	Vancomycin susceptibility of <i>Lactobacillus</i>	83
15.	Number of different type of suspected Lactobacillus colonies	
	isolated from gastric biopsy samples of each group of patients	84
16.	Number of different type of suspected Lactobacillus colonies	
	isolated from throat swab samples of each group of patients	85
17.	The alignment of Lactobacillus group-specific primers L341-F	
	and L341-R with 16S rRNA gene sequence of <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp	87
18.	The alignment of universal primers 16S-8F and 16S-1541R	
	with 16S rRNA gene sequence of Lactobacillus spp	88
19.	Amplification of 16S rRNA gene	90
20.	Amplification of complete 16S rRNA	90

21.	The species of Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies	
	of mild gastritis patients as identified by PCR	
	and DNA sequencing	99
22.	The species of Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of	
	severe gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	99
23.	The species of Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of	
	gastric ulcer patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	100
24.	The species of bacterial isolates from gastric biopsies	
	of dyspeptic patients	100
25.	The species of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from throat swabs of	
	mild gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	119
26.	The species of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from throat swabs of	
	severe gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	119
27.	The species of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from throat swabs of	
	gastric ulcer patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	120
28.	The species of bacterial isolates from throat swabs of	
	dyspeptic patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing	120
29.	Cell morphology of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from gastric biopsies	
	of dyspeptic patients	124
30.	Cell morphology of Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs	
	of dyspeptic patients	125
31.	Standard curve of TNF determination at the concentration	
	15.625, 31.5, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000 pg/ml	134
32.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	136
33.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	13
34.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in	
	LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	138

35.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	139
36.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	140
37.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	141
38.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	142
39.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 1	
	patients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	143
40.	Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 16 Lactobacillus	
	isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis (9 subjects)	
	on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	145
41.	Summary of inhibitory effects of 10 Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 1 patients with mild gastritis (7 subjects) of TNF- α production	
	by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells.	145
42.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	147
43.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	148
44.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from	
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production	
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells	149

45.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
46.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
47.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF-α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 152
48.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 153
49.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 154
50.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF-α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 155
51.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
52.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
53.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
54.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells

55.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
56.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
57.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
58.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
59.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells164
60.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
61.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
62.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
63.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
64.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells

65.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from
	group 2 patients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 170
66.	Summary of inhibitory effects of 47 Lactobacillus isolates
	from group 2 patients with severe gastritis (32 subjects)
	of TNF-α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 173
67.	Summary of inhibitory effects of 22 Lactobacillus isolates
	from group 2 patients with severe gastritis (18 subjects) of
	TNF- α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 174
68.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
69.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
70.	Immunomodulatory effects of <i>Lactobacillus</i> isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
71.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
72.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
73.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
74.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells

75.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
76.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
77.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells
78.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 187
79.	Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3
	patients with peptic ulcer patients on TNF- α production
	in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells 188
80.	Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 24 Lactobacillus
	isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (16 subjects)
	on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1monocytic cells
81.	Summary of inhibitory effects of 6 Lactobacillus isolates
	from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (6 subjects) of
	TNF-α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells

LIST OFABBREVIATIONS

А	=	adenosine
bp	=	base pair
CO_2	=	cabondioxide
°C	=	degree Celsius
CFU	=	colony forming unit
dATP	=	deoxyadenosine 5'-triphosphate
dCTP	= 🔊	deoxycytidine 5'-triphosphate
ddATP	=	dideoxyadenosine 5'-triphosphate
ddCTP	=	di deoxycytidine 5'-triphosphate
ddGTP	=	dideoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate
ddTTP	=	dideoxytymidine 5'-triphosphate
DDW	=	double distilled water
ddNTPs	=	dideoxynucleotide-tri-phosphate
dGTP	= / 2	deoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate
DI	= 🇞	deionised water
DNA	= _	deoxynucleotide-tri-phosphate
dNTPs	= 🤗	deoxynucleotide-tri-phosphate
dTTP	=	deoxythymidine-5'-triphosphate
DW	=	distilled water
EDTA	=	ethylenediamine tetraaceticacid
et al.	=	et alii
e.g.	- -	exempli gratia
g	₫ I I I	gram
G	-	guanosine
HCl	= 3 6	hydrochloric acid
HPLC	=	high performance liquid chromatography
h	=	hour
H_2SO_4	=	sulfuric acid
i.e.	=	id est
KCl	=	potassium chloride
kDa	=	kilometer Daltan
KH ₂ PO ₄	=	potassium phosphate monobasic

М	=	molar
mg	=	milligram
MgCl ₂	=	magnesium chloride
min	=	minite(s)
ml	=	mililiter
mM	=	milimolar
NaCl	=	sodium chloride
NaHCO ₃	=	sodium bicarbonate or sodium hydrogen carbonate
Na ₂ HPO ₄	=	sodium phosphate dibasic, anhydrous
NaOH	=	sodium hydroxide
PCR	=	polymerase chain reaction
pmol	=	picomol
p.s.i.	=	pounds/inch ²
RNA	=	ribonucleic acid
rRNA	=	ribosomal ribonucleic acid
16SrRNA	=	sixteen subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid
23SrRNA	= 2	twenty three subunit ribosomal ribonucleic acid
sec	= _	second
Т	=	thymidine
TBE	=	Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA
Taq	=	Themus aquaticus
Tris	=	Tris-(Hydroxymethyl)-aminoethane
U 🤍	=	unit
μg	79/	microgram
μ1	<u>d</u> / I I	microliter
μΜ	-	micromolar
UV	=9.6	ultraviolet
V	=	volt
WHO	=	World Health Oganization
w/v	=	weight per volume

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The stomach is digestive tract system was received food from mouth passed to esophagus. The stomach acts es sentially as a m ixing r eservoir for food during acid-pepcin digestion. Hydrochloric acid and pepsin are producted by gastric mucosa (1). The stomach is divided into five a natomic region; the cardia, fundus, body, a ntrum and pyloric s phincter (2-4). The gastric walls consist of the major l ayers are mucosa, submucosa, muscularis propria and serosa together with gastric vessels and nerves (5).

Dyspepsia is global problem was recurrent of pain or discomfort located in the upper abdomen w hich f ound t hat a pproximately 25% of g eneral popul ation (6). Dyspepsia was recurrent of pa in or discomfort located in the m alignancy, colitis, pancreatic and biliary tract disorder (7). The symptom of dyspepsia was varied various and compared with several symptoms. The causes of dyspepsia were included a number of foods, pr olong us e nons teroidal a nti-inflammatory dr ugs a nd ot her m edications, systemic disorder di sease, infected with *Helicobacter pylori* and g astrointestinal tr act disease that included peptic ulcer di sease, stomach cancer, gastric or es ophageal malignancy.

Dyspepsia pa tients were identified c ause by us ing esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy a nd p athology of gastric bi opsies which f ound e ndoscopic nor mal, mild gastritis, each type of ga stritis a nd peptic ulcer. Gastritis is s imply de fined as inflammation of the gastric mucosa (4), which endoscopist was refered to abnormalities of s tomach a nd vi sualized b y e ndoscopic f inding: f or example, nodul ar g astritis, hemorrhagic gastritis, diffuse gastritis, granularity and erythema (8). Inflammation of the stomach, is us ually considered as acut e and c hronic ga stritis (1). T he m echanisms of gastric inf lammation are not c learly. H owever, now suggests t hat an i mbalance o f aggressive f actor. They defensive f actor t hat ar e aggressive f actors such as acid production or pe psin, b ile, *H. pylori* infection and de fensive f actors such a s m ucus production, bicarbonate, surface hydrophobicity, cell turn over and blood flow (9). Peptic ulcer disease was defected in the gastrointestinal mucosa which involved of muscularis

mucosa a nd i nto t he s ubmucosa l ayer. T he c auses of g astritis and gastric ul cer ar e occurred from s everal c auses s uch as t he us e of nonsteroidal a nti-inflammatory d rugs (NSAIDs) and *H. pylori* infection (10-12). In 1983, Barry Marshall and R obin Warren identified *H. pylori* as a bacterium closely as sociated with chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer (13). Pathophysiology of gastrointestinal t racts di sease w as as sociated roles of cytokines released in prolong used of NSAIDs and *H. pylori* induced gastritis and peptic ulcer that induced i nterleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-12 and t umor ne crosis factor- α (TNF- α) production (14-16). P revious r eported, *H. pylori* is the definitive carcinogen for stomach cancer and is known to induce proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α and IL-1 in the stomach (17). The levels of TNF- α in gastric juice and in gastric biopsy homogenate supernatants in patients with *H. pylori* infection (15, 18).

Tumor ne crosis f actor-alpha (TNF- α) is a memb σ of a family of cyto kn σ . TNF- α is a highly pleiotropic cytokine that plays a central role in inflammation (19). TNF- α is a major mediator of inflammation and it has been associated in the pathogenesis of a w ide s pectrum of hum and i seases, i ncluding s epsis, di abetes, osteoporosis, allograft rejection and autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis (20), rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel diseases (21, 22) and inflammation of stomach (23). TNF- α is beneficial in activating the innate immune response, inappropriate production of T NF- α leads to inflammation, tissue destruction, and organ injury. Monocytes and macrophages are major cellular components of the innate of the immune system which ability to produce TNF- α , in response to bacteria and bacterial fragments, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (24). TNF- α is a key mediator in a host response to infections. L PS (endotoxin), a c onstituent of t he out er m embrane of gram-negative bacteria, can initiate a cas cade of inflammatory m ediators t hat can lead to systemic inflammation (25). Previous study have investigated the effects of LPS on the expression of cytokines secreted by bovine polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN), they detected the expression of TNF- α by ELISA (26). Lipoteichoic a cid (LTA) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), the toxicants from bacteria, are potent inducers of inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α in macrophages notably, increasing evidence suggests that macrophages also play an important role in the development of the low-grade inflammation (27).

The microflora is microorganism which normally inhabit the healthy human body or other natural environment and not disease causing. The commensal bacteria help to defend a gainst c olonization b y pa thogen. The human g astrointestinal i s c olonized b y 10^{13} - 10^{14} bacteria of 400 di fferent s pecies a nd s ubspecies (28). The human gastrointestinal tr act c onstitutes a c omplex c ommunity of mic roflora. The mic roflora establishes a fter bi rth a nd s table of c olonize i n hum an body. The s tomach contains microflora about 10^3 cfu/ml. The lower counts contributed to highly acidic which destroy most or al ba cteria. The c onditions a re hi ghly a cidic a nd a lso a naerobic-not m uch diversity. The microflora of the stomach are constitute of gram positive and anaerobic bacteria w ith *Peptostreptococcus* sp., *Lactobacillus* sp., *Staphylococcus* sp., a nd *Streptococcus* sp. (28).

The m ember of genus *Lactobacillus* is gram-positive facultative an aerobic bacteria, w hich some strains ar e microaerophilic t o a naerobic, non-spore-forming bacteria and non motile but few s trains a re mo tile b y peritrichous f lagella. They ar e member of the lactic acid bacteria group. There are no p roduction of catalase, ox idase, indole a nd no reduction of ni trate. T he m ost s train of *Lactobacillus* are vancomycin resistance, some strains are vancomycin susceptible (29). Genus *Lactobacillus* consists of more t han 175 r ecognized s pecies (www. http://www.dsmz.de). G rowth i s be st b y anaerobic, facultative anaerobic and microaerobic conditions and require carbon dioxide (CO₂) (29).

Lactobacilli ha ve b een detected in di verse environments. They are us ed in the production of foods prepared by means of lactic acid fermentation such as dairy products, fermented vegetables and fermented meats. They are found in plant material such as in foodstuffs, silage and agriculture products (30). *Lactobacillus* is commonly as sociated with the bod y of humans and animals (31, 32). They are microflora in the or al cavity (33), gastrointestinal tracts and vagina (29, 33, 34). *Lactobacillus* some strains can resist from gastric acid and bile salts and adherent to intestinal tissue. *Lactobacillus* has been isolated and identified from gastric mucosa of healthy volunteers (35).

Lactobacillus is probiotics bacteria which probiotics are defined as live microbial feed s upplement t hat b eneficially a ffects the hum an and animal b y impr oving its intestinal microbial balance. These bacteria must belong to the natural microflora in order to survive the acid environment of digestive tract system, the natural balance of the gut microflora can be restored and the animal returned to its normal nutrition, growth and health status (36-39). Lactobacillus has been used as probiotic against gastrointestinal tracts infection (40), against enteric pathogen (41). Lactobacillus isolated from intestinal has be en r epored c an i nhibit g rowth of *Clostridium difficile* (42). Lactobacillus *rhamnosus*, s train G G, ha s shown e fficacy i n c linical t rials f or t he pr evention of antimicrobial-associated diarrhea (43). Probiotics L. acidophilus that have demonstrated at l east s ome pr omise as pr ophylaxis f or di arrhea (43). L. reuteri is effective as a therapeutic a gent in acut e r otavirus di arrhea in c hildren (44-47). Several Lactobacillus species have shown clinical efficacy as a t reatment for vaginal infections. The study characterized human Lactobacillus isolates from their c apacity to interfere with the growth of Candida albicans identified as L. fermentum and designated L. fermentum Ess-1 w as s ignificantly i nhibited t he g rowth o f C. albicans which va ginal c andidiasis pathogens (48). L. acidophilus or L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14 reduced the recurrences of bacterial vaginosis (BV) (49, 50). Putative mechanisms of action of probiotics include production of pathogen-inhibitory substances, inhibition of pathogen attachment, inhibition of the action of microbial toxins, stimulation of immunoglobulin A, and trophic effects on intestinal mucosa (43). The intestinal mic roflora h as be en attributed many beneficial properties that increased maturation of the gut (51) pathogen antagonism (52-54), and immune modulation (55, 56)

Lactobacillus species ha ve be en suggested that t he pot ential t o ameliorate or prevent a v ariety o f d iseases t hrough m odulation of t he hos t's i mmune s ystem, specifically cellular immune responses (57). *L. casei* strain Shirota (LcS) has been shown to i nduce IFN-gamma, I L-1 β and TNF- α production, in the thoracic cavity of mice, which inhibition of tumour growth and increased survival (57). Lactobacilli ha ve be en reported t o e ffectively inhi bit T NF- α production *in vitro* (32, 58). *Lactobacillus* conditioned media *L. rhamnosus* GG c an inhibit TNF- α by LPS-activated macrophage (58). Similarly, *L. rhamnosus* GG-conditioned media de creases T NF- α production of *Helicobacter*-activated p eritoneal m acrophages (32). P revious s tudy, i n animal m odel

which H. hepaticus-challenged IL-10-deficient murine colitis model, lactobacilli showed the effects by modulation of mucosal inflammatory responses (59). L. reuteri and L. *pacracesei* isolated from without c olitis mic e a re d emonstrated that T NF- α inhibition properties on LPS-activated macrophages (32). Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) refers to disorders of unknown cause that are characterized by chronic or recurrent intestinal inflammation, such as ulcerative c olitis, C rohn's disease, and pouchitis (60). Several studies s howed i nteresting e ffects of pr obiotics on inflammatory bo wel di sease in animals. R eported, e xogenous administration of L. reuteri R2LC r educted t he development of acetic acid-induced colitis in the rat (61). Colonization of H. pylori in the gastric mucosa is strongly associated with gastritis, duodenal and gastric ulcers, and stomach malignancies (60). Antagonistic actions of some Lactobacillus strains against H. pylori in vitro were reported (62). Previous study reported, L. salivarius was efficiently eradicated H. pylori and reduced the inflammation in H. pylori infected in gnotobiotic murine mode l w hich us ing b y oral administration (63). The yogurt c ontaining of L. gasseri OLL2716 i mprove H. pylori infection-induced gastric muc osal imflammation. The investigated of L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) exibites a gastroprotective action against of acute gastric lesion or antral ulcer in rats in dose-dependently (64). Human trials have been r eported yogurt c ontaining L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) s uppressing H. pylori colonization and r educing gastric mucosal inflammation in humans (65, 66). IL-8 is chemokine which a potent neutrophil chemoattractant and activating agent, accumulating evidence indicates that IL-8 plays a major role in the mucosal inflammation cauased by H. pylori infection (67, 68). L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) suppress H.pylori-induce IL-8 production in human gastric e pithelial cell line (MKN45). T NF- α is well-known t o induce I L-8 pr oduction i n gastric epithelial c ells. Indeed, i n t he pr esent s tudy 10^8 CFU/mL LG21 inhibited the TNF-α-stimulated IL-8 production. Furthermore they study LG21 can inhibit the a dhesion of H. pylori to host c ells which associated with dose dependent of LG21. Finally, they found that live LG21 can suppress H. pylori-induced IL-8 production in gastric mucosa of patients (66).

The human stomach is an inhospitable environment for microorganisms because of a cidic c onditions a nd ot her a ntimicrobial f actors. The popul ation of ba cteria in stomach a bout 10⁻³ CFU/ml (69) which is f ew ba cterial s pecies can resist to the hydrochloric acid in saliva and gastric acid-tolerant (70). The reported *L. acidophilus* has an inhibitory effect on *H. pylori* isolated from peptic ulcer patients and could enhance antibiotic the rapy for *H. pylori* eradication (71, 72). Previous study *Lactobacillus* was considered t o be indigenous of s tomach of r at, mice and pig (73). *Lactobacillus* is colonized on s urface of the s quamous keratinized e pithelium c ell in part of s tomach. Previous study *Lactobacillus* can i solate from biopsy of s tomach of c onventional r ats (74).

Lactobacilli ar e cons idered to have be nefitial e ffects for h ealth of hum an a nd animal. They are interference to infection of pathogens in gastrointestinal tracts including stomach and intestine. *Lactobacillus* has be en ability f or r egulation of hos t immum e system. Interestingly, immunomodulation properties of la ctobacilli in gastrointestinal tracts a re demonstrated. C onsequently, t he e ffects t hat are s uggested f or di verse *Lactobacillus* probiotic include prophylaxis and treatment of gastrointestinal infections, which i ncludes traveler's di arrhea, Inflammatory bowel di sease (IBD) and ability t o modulate inflammatory responses in gastrointestinal tracts such as gastritis, gastric ulcer, duodenum ulcer and stomach cancer.

This study was focused on the detection of *Lactobacillus* in throat and gastric tissue and tested the T NF- α suppressing ability of *Lactobacillus* that isolated from stomach of dyspeptic patients which categorized into three groups; mild gastritis, severe gastritis and peptic ulcer. The role of *Lactobacillus*-mediated immunomodulation in the severity of these diseases was investigated.

CHAPTER II

OBJECTIVE

THE OBJECTIVES OF THIS STYDY WERE

- 1. Isolate a nd identify *Lactobacillus* from g astric biopsies a nd t hroat s wabs of dyspeptic patients with mild gastritis, severe gastritis and peptic ulcer
- Test the immunomodulation pr operties of *Lactobacillus* isolated from gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients for the suppression of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-activated THP-1 monocytic cells
- 3. Compare the prevalence and number of TNF-α inhibitory *Lactobacillus* isolates in each group of patients
- 4. Compare species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsy and throat swab of each patients

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK



CHAPTER III

LITERATURE REVIEW

STOMACH

The stomach is a J-shaped tube, which between of esophagus and duodenum and in the upper left portion of the abdomen. The stomach is the part of digestive tract system which receives food from the esophagus, mixes with gastric juice, initiates the digestion of protein and absorption and carried food into the small intestine (5). The lesser and greater curvatures are on the right and left side of stomach, respectively. The stomach is divided into four part; the cardia, fundus, body, and pyloric regions or antrum (2, 3).

The cardia is the most proximal part of the stomach. The cardia is the small area near the cardiac sphincter which contained approximal 0.5-2.0 cm. of the stomach. The fundus is the balloons superior of the stomach that lies above an unreal horizontal plane that a llow through the e sophagogastric j unction. The bod y is a large main part of the stomach which located between the fundus and pyloric region (antrum). The rugal folds of the fundus and bod y (synonyme = corpus) give way to the smooth mucosa of the antrum. The pyloric region or antrum is the smaller distal one fourth to on third of the stomach (10, 11). The antrum is a funnel-shaped portion that narrows and be come the pyloric c anal that conn ects the stomach with the duodenum as shown in F igure 1 (2, 3, 5).



Figure 1. Anatomic divisions of the stomach

MICROSCOPIC ANATOMY OF STOMACH

The gastric walls consist of the major layers are mucosa, submucosa, muscularis propria and serosa together with gastric vessels and nerves (5).

Mucosa is the thick layer with a soft and smooth surface. In the stomach mucosa are folded into numerous folds or rugae. The mucosa consists of an epithelium cover the surface and lines the pits and grands, surrouding connective tissue called the lamina propria, and the muscularis mucosae. The muscularis mucosa is a thin layer of smooth muscle fibers lying external to the layer of grand which forms the inferior margin of the mucosa and separates of mucosa from submucosa (3, 5, 10, 75).

Submucosa is the layer under the mucosa which is variable layer of loose connective tissue consists thick collagen bundles, numerous elastin fibres, blood vessel and provides the connective tissues framework for passage of veins, arteries, lymphatics and Meissener's plexus of nerve. The fibrous connective tissue of s ubmucosa is separating the mucosa from the next layer (3, 5, 10, 75).

Muscularis propria or synonyme (muscularis externa) is a thick muscle coat. The mucularis propria separates the submucosa from the serosa, the outer most layer of the stomach which the muscularis externa has comprised of three layers of smooth muscle; inner oblique layer, middle circular layer and outer longitudinal layer (3, 5, 10, 75).

Serosa is the outermost layer of the stomach, which thin layer and consists of areolar tissues covered by a single layer of squamous mesothelial cell. The serosa a thin covering of loose connective tissue with blood vassels, lymphatics and nerve fibers as shown in Figure 2 (3, 5, 10, 75).





DYSPEPSIA

Dyspepsia is a global problem and the management of this condition remains a considerable bu rden on health care r esources (76). Dyspepsia i s a common gastrointestinal disorder and prevalence of d yspepsia i s approximately 25% or affects more than one fourth of the general population (6, 77, 78). The definition of dyspepsia has varied widely; the most widely applied definition of dyspepsia is the Rome Working Team. The R ome II cr iteria, dyspepsia i s de fined as chronic or r ecurrent pa in or discomfort c entered in the upper abdomen, how ever the s ymptoms of heartburn, acid regurgitation, and be lching were excluded from the definition of dyspepsia be cause of their relation to gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) (76, 79).

SYMPTOM OF DYSPEPSIA

Dyspepsia is not one s ymptom but a com pare with several symptoms a nd different in each patient (7). The symptoms generally refers to abdominal pain, bloating, fullness, na usea, vom iting, (80) early s atiety, uncomfortable f eeling of f ullness af ter meals, regurgitation, abdominal d istension, burping sensation, a ching, t enderness, postprandial fullness and anorexia (7).

CAUSES OF DYSPEPSIA

Dyspepsia can be caused by a variety of conditions, including from a number of foods, overeating, ingestion of specific foods such as spicy foods, particularly red and black pe ppers may c ause a cute gastric muc osal inj ury. Coffee (caffeinated or decaffeinated) caus es heartburn but its associated to dyspepsia i s unpr oven. D rinking alcohol is challenge to cause of dyspepsia. Medications may cause of dyspepsia by prolong use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as aspirin (81) and ibuprofen which 10% to 25% of persons us e these a gents cause direct gastric mucosal injury. Other medications are general caused of dyspepsia include antibiotics especially sulfonamides a nd metronidazole, macrolides. potassium s upplements, i ron, glucocorticoids. Systemic disorders disease such as di abetes mellitus, thyroid disease, hyperparathyroidism, adrenal insufficiency, intra-abdominal malignancy and pregnancy. Chronic di sorders, s uch a s r heumatic di sorders, a re a ssociated with i ncreased gastrointestinal (GI) complaints which medications may be a contributory factor (82). Gastrointestinal tract disease are including gastroesophageal r eflux di sease (GERD), peptic ulcer disease, cancer of the stomach, gastric or esophageal malignancy, pancreatic, bilinary tr act di sorder are associated with dyspepsia. Infection of parasites such as Giardia lamblia and Strongyloides stercoralis may cause of dyspepsia. Helicobacter pylori infection is highly prevalent worldwide and is a major cause of diseases occurring in the upper gastrointestinal tract (83).

The patients with chronic dyspepsia for at least 12 weeks have been investigated by esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy but no c ause has been found. These groups of patients ar el abeled as functional d yspepsia or non ulcer d yspepsia or i diopathic dyspepsia (7). The pathophysiology of functional d yspepsia is still poorly understood. There ar e no organic c auses found nor a ny functional c hanges observed that c orrelate with symptom. In present the term of nonulcer dyspepsia is not recommended be cause peptic ulcer is not the only di sease t hat s hould be excluded i n patients w ith c hronic dyspepsia. Many studies suggest that limited of chronic dyspepsia has a negative impact on health-related quality of life, interferes with daily activities, work, sleep, socializing, eating, drinking, and contributes to emotional stress.
Types of dyspepsia

Dyspepsia ar e categorized into two major; organic dyspepsia a nd f unctional dyspepsia. Organic dyspepsia refers to conditions that have a visible abnormality in the digestive t ract. F unctional dy spepsia or nonulcer dyspepsia is a common clinical condition characterised with recurrent, c hronic and associated with gastrointestinal symptom but normal undergone diagnosis investigation (endoscopy).

GASTRITIS

Gastritis is an inflammation of mucosa in the stomach. The patients, endoscopists, clinicians and pathologists have different concepts of what gastritis which some consider is the symptom complex of the patient. Other determination is an endoscopic appearance of the stomach, however, other the term of gastritis is inflammation of stomach by microscopic evidence (8). Endoscopist is referred to abnormalities of stomach that are visualized by e ndoscope i n t erm f or e xample, nodul ar gastritis, s ubepithelium hemorrhages, granularity and erythema. Gastritis doe s not s pecifically refer to the mucosa lesion by used radiographic studies or endoscopy but described by microscopic evidence of inf lammation of gastric muc osa in stomach (8). Histologically nor mal mucosa m ay appe ar erythematous when of e ndoscopy a nd m ost t he patients endoscopically normal f inding g astric m ucosa m ay ha ve hi stological e vidence of inflammation (9). The m echanisms in gastric inflammation are not c lear. H owever, current understanding of gastritis are suggests that an imbalance of aggressive factor and defensive factor t hat ar e aggressive f actor s uch as acid production or pe psin, bile, Helicobacter pylori infection and defensive f actors such as m ucus production, bicarbonate, surface hydrophobicity, cell turn over and blood flow (9).

CLASSIFICATION OF GASTRITIS

Gastritis is generally separated on basis of the etiology of mucosal inflammation, into two groups as primary and secondary gastritis. Primary gastritis is uncertain etiology of inflammation which recently study present primary gastritis with bacteria infection, reflux of bile acids from the duodenum into gastric lumen and secondary gastritis is acute inflammation with associated with an acute stress, systemic illne sses, ingestion of ulcergenic ag ent (9). Some groups of investigator classify by hi stologic evidence i nto active g astritis, chronic g astritis, c hronic-active g astritis, and atrophic g astritis which acute gastritis presence of polymorphonuclear lymphocytes but chronic gastritis without polymorphonuclear lymphocytes, chronic-active gastritis is increase number of acute and chronic inflammation, atrophic g astritis oc curring from a utoimmune (9). The S ydney System f or the c lassification of g astritis e mphasized the importance of combining topographical, m orphological, and e tiological information and clinically us eful for diagnosis. Classifications of g astritis by f our e xperts: R ubin, Genta, Appelman,-and Correa as shown in Table 1 (84-86)

 Table 1. Classification of Gastritis (8)

Chronic	
Nonspecific	
	Diffuse antral-predominant gastritis with Helicobacter pylori
	Multifocal atrophic pangastritis with or without H. pylori
	Diffuse corporal atrophic gastritis
Infectious	
	Viral
	Bacterial
	H. pylori and others, including mycobacterial infection
	Fungal
	Parasitic
Granulomatous	(a
ର	Crohn's disease
	Sarcoidosis
	Foreign bodies
7,111	Infections
	Tumor-associated
Distinctive Forms	
	Collagenous
	Lymphocytic
	Eosinophilic

Miscellaneous

Gastritis cystica profunda

Graft-versus-host disease

Reactive (Erosive

Gastritis)

Aspirin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) / other medications Cocaine Stress Radiation Bile reflux Ischemia Hiatal hernia Trauma (e.g., gastric tubes)

CAUSES OF GASTRITIS

Gastritis can result variety of causes which *H. pylori* infection and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are the most common causes of gastritis and other cause following the Table 2.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

- 1. Helicobacter pylori-associated gastritis
- 2. Bile acid Reflux
- 3. Stress
- 4. Exogenous Agent

Corticosteroids

Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs)

Ethanol

- 5. Other E xogenous A gent include i ron, pot assium c hloride, c alcium s alts, antibiotics
- 6. Infectious A gents, c ytomegalovirus, H erpes s implex vi rus a nd *Candida albecans*
- 7. Other causes

Crohn's Disease Eosinophilic Gastritis Menetrier's Disease Varioliform Gastritis

8. Autoimmune Causes of gastritis (Atrophic gastritis)

Inflammation of the stomach is considered as a cute and chronic gastritis (1, 4). Acute gastritis is a n acute mucosal inflammatory process (4). Pathogenesis of a cute gastritis is poor ly understood and frequeently as sociated with heavy us ed of NSAIDS, particularly a spirin, excessive a loohol c onsumption, smoking, treatment of cancer chemotherapeutic agent, systemic bacterial or viral infection (e.g., salmonellosis or CMV infection), gastric irradiation, severe stress (e.g., trauma, burns, surgery) (4). As many as 25% of persons take daily aspirin for rheumatoid arthritis development to acute gastritis (4). An acute neutrophilic gastritis (polymorph infiltration is a dominant f eature) is characteristic of the initial response to *H. pylori* infection (1). Chronic gastritis is defined as the presence of chronic mucosal inflammation (4). The epithelial changes may become dysplastic and constitute a background for the development of carcinoma. Pathogenesis of chronic gastritis as associated with chronic infection of *H. pylori*, autoimmune, toxic as with alcohol and cigarette smoking, radiation, granulomatous condition, graft-versushost disease and uremia (4).

PEPTIC ULCER DISEASE (PUD)

Peptic ul cer di sease i s excavated defects in the gastrointestinal muc osa that is usually acidic and thus extremely painful. The term of peptic ulcer di sease is usually used to refer to ulcerations of esophagus called esophageal ulcer, the stomach called gastric ul cer, duode num called duode nal ulcer, or bot h. Ulcers ha ve be en defined histologically as a breach in the mucosa of the alimentary tract that extends through the muscularis m ucosa into t he s ubmucosa layer or de eper (4) (Figure 3), whereas m ore superficial necrotic defects are considered erosions of the stomach (11). Ulcers are to be distinguished from erosions, which erosions is epithelial disruption within the mucosa but no breach of the muscularis mucosa (4). Gastric ulcers are generally found in antral mucosa of s tomach (Figure 4); duode nal ulcers are found in the proximal duode num close to the pylorus; esophageal peptic ulcers are found in the squamous epithelium just above the c ardioesophageal j unction (1). The pe ptic ulcer di sease has pr ogressively increased in the past 50 years. The incidence of duodenal ulcer disease is now the ages of 30 and 60, a lthough may oc cur in persons of any age. gastric ulcers a ffect the middle aged and elderly more than the young (87). The sex distribution of duodenal ulcer has shown male predominance today. While gastric ulcer is similar in male and female (87).

In 1979, bacterium *Helicobacter pylori* was discovered by Australian pathologist Robin Warren and Barry Marshall, they isolated the organisms from mucosal specimens from hum an s tomachs a nd w ere t he f irst t o s uccessfully c ulture (13). During 1983, pathologist studies that most peptic ulcerations were associated with *H. pylori* infection because it can l ive i n the aci dic s tomach (88). Prolong us ed of non steroidal ant iinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as used aspirin for treatment cardiovascular diseases is a side effect of peptic ulcer. Both *H. pylori* and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) i ndependently a nd s ignificantly i ncrease t he risk of pe ptic u lcer a nd ul cer bleeding. However, may be have other factors are associated with peptic ulcer disease for example, alcohol, diet, diseases associated with peptic ulcer, emotional stress, genetic of host and environment (10, 11).



Figure 3. Gastroscopy showing peptic ulcer at body of stomach which defected into the submucosa layer



Figure 4. Gastroscopy showing gastric ulcer at antrum of stomach

CAUSE OF PEPTIC ULCERATION

1. Helicobacter pylori infection

H. pylori is a helical shaped gram-negative and flagellated bacterium that infects various areas of the stomach and duodenum. The reported *H. pylori* is attaches to gastric epithelial c ells in the human stomach and infects about 50% of the world's population (89). They are microorganisms that c an thrive in the highly acidic environment of the stomach because it secretes enzymes that neutralize the acid. *H. pylori* produces urease enzyme as cat alyzes the br eakdown of urea to a mmonia and c arbon di oxide (88). *H. pylori* can attach on luminal s urface of ga stric e pithelial c ell b y it us e its elf t o carbohydrates and sphingolipids. The r ate of infection increases with age, so it oc curs more often in older people. It also occurs frequently in young people in the developing countries of the world, in countries with poor sanitation and *H. pylori* infected by fecaloral route. Infection by this bacterium the patients were suffering from chronic gastritis, gastric ul cer and duode num ul cer. *H. pylori* associated with peptic ul cer disease, both duodenum and stomach which reported 80% of patients with duodenal ulcers and more than 60% of the patient with gastric ulcers are infected with *H. pylori*. However about that less than 20% of individuals infected with *H. pylori* were develop a peptic ulcer (11).

2. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

Nonsteroidal a nti-inflammatory d rugs (NSAIDs) including aspirin and a rthritis drugs s uch as i buprofen c an di srupt t he pr otective m ucous l ayer and i njury t o t he gastrointestinal mucosa. Several studies found relative risk of peptic ulcer disease that is associated with the us e of NSAIDs (90). NSAIDs induce p eptic ul cers can be symptomatic and complicated b y GI bleeding, perforation, and/or obstruction. NSAIDs are probably the major mechanism responsible for the acute hemorrhages and erosions. The m ain risk factors for N SAIDs-related peptic ul cer com plications are age, past history, us e of hi gher r isk i ndividual N SAIDs, dr ug dos e, concurrent us e of corticosteroids. The high concentrations of NSAIDs in cell that cause local toxic effects. NSAIDs c ause of pathological changes in ga stroduodenal mucosa and stimulate tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and leukotrienes production (11).

3. Other ulcerogenic drugs

A number of drugs other to dispose to damage in duodenum and stomach such as hepatic a rterial i nfusion of 5 -fluorouracil for c ancer ch emotherapy or us e potassium chloride in solid condition and cocaine associated with ulceration in gastrointestinal tract. Reports ha ve pe ptic ul cer a ssociates b y us e t wo bi sphosphonates, alendronate a nd risedronate for treatment or prevention of osteoporosis (11).

4. Hypersecretory conditions

Rarely p eptic ul cer di sease r esults f rom di sorders t hat caus e of gastric aci d secreted in quantities s o large b y s tomach celled h ypersecretory condition. Hypersecretion of gastric acid were as sociated with a s yndrome of s evere pe ptic ulcerations. Most defection of epithelial defenses and acid homeostasis affect of peptic ulcer disease that are caused from *H. pylori* infection or NSAIDs used.

5. Cigarette smoking

Cigarette smoking is a risk factor for peptic ulceration and complexity. Healing of peptic ulcerations effects from cigarettes smoking and relapse rate for peptic ulceration. Cigarette smoking may be effect to mucosal protective and aggressive factor (11).

PATHOGENESIS OF PEPTIC ULCER

Peptic ul cers ar e pr oduced by an imbalance be tween gastrodeodenal m ucosal defense machnisms and the damaging forces as shown in Figure 5 (87). Peptic ulceration occurs when mucosal defenses failure (87). Chronic peptic ulcer are usually less than 20 mm in diameter but may be l arger and can exceed 100 mm in di ameter (1). Microscopically, the s tomach c onsists of superficial z one of f ibrinopurulent e xudates, necrotic tissue and pol ymorph e xudates overlying inflammed granulation tissues which merges with mature fibrous (scar) tissue as shown in Figure 5 (1, 87).

H. pylori is major in the pathogenesis of peptic ul cer. However, much interest focused on t he mechanisms of *H. pylori*. They do not invade in tissue but it induces immune response. *H. pylori* is increased the production of proinflammatory c ytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) which these cytokines are produced by mucosal epitheilium cell and it recruits and activates neutrophils (87). Bacterial genes a re i nvolevd i n c ausing of e pithelial c ell i njury and i nduced of inflammation. *H. pylori* is s ecretes a ur ease t o breaks dow n urea i nto toxic f orm including ammonium chloride and monochloramine (87). *H. pylori* is enhances gastric acid secretion and impairs duodenal bicarbonate production which reducing luminal pH in the duode num (87). Chronic us e of N SAIDs i s i nduced erosion i n s tomach a nd suppresses mucosal prostaglandin synthesis.

Environmental f actors s uch a s s picy f ood a nd caffeine are ul cergenic w hich contributes t o t he de velopment or p ersistence o f pe ptic ul cer. H igh concentration o f alcohol can induce hemorrhagic gastritis but no evidence for peptic ulcer (87). Aspirin is contributing f actor i n oc curs duode num and especially gastric ul cers. S imilarly, ot her NSAIDs have been the occur of peptic ulcer (87).

Genetic f actors ar e i nteraction with induce pe ptic ul cer s uch as bl ood-group antigen. The risk of duo denal ulcer is about 30% higher in persons with type O bl ood than i n ot her types A, B a nd A B. W hile, gastric ul cers do not exhibited a greater frequency of blood group O (87).

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 5. Diagram of causes and defense mechanism a gaint peptic ul cer. Peptic ul cer defects of mucosa into the submucosa of stomach. Diagram demonstrating the layers of necrosis (N), inflammation (I), granulation tissue (G), and scar (S) (87)

THE MICROFLORA OF GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT (GIT)

The microflora is microorganism which normally inhabit the healthy human body or other natural environment and not disease causing. The commensal bacteria help to defend against colonization by pathogen. The microflora are categorize into two group; resident flora and transient flora which resident flora is microorganism as present for long period and transient flora present for short period or are present for only a few days, weeks or months before disappearing.

The hum an gastrointestinal is colonized by 10^{13} - 10^{14} bacteria of 500 di fferent species and subspecies. The hum an gastrointestinal tr act constitutes a c omplex community of microflora. The microflora establishes after birth and stable of colonize in body of human. The gastrointestinal tract was composed of a diversity of bacteria both pathogenic and non pathogenic. An imbalance of microbial community is major factor for pa thology of di sease such a s i nflammatory bow el disease (IBD) and other gastrointestinal disease (91).

GENUS LACTOBACILLUS

Scientific classification of *Lactobacillus*

Kingdom	:	Bacteria
Division	:	Firmicutes
Class	:	Bacilli
Order	:	Lactobacillales
Family		Lactobacillaceae
Genus	:	Lactobacillus

Biology of Lactobacillus

Lactobacilli are gram-positive facultative anaerobic bacteria which some strain microaerophilic to anaerobic. They are member of the lactic a cid bacteria group. The defined of lactic acid bacteria is large group of beneficial bacteria that produce lactic acid as an end product of the fermentation process. Lactobacilli are straight or curved rods varying length and thickness, cell very from long rod into short rod, sometimes slender or bent r ods or di plococci (29). Cell ar ranged occurring s ingle, in pair, and in chain sometime filamentous or phe omorphic without clubbing or bi fid, br anching formation. They are non-spore-forming bacteria of the family Lactobacillaceae, and non motile but few strains are motile by peritrichous flagella. Metachromatic granules are predominent in s ome s pecies, not ably *L. bugaricus*, *L. lactis*, a nd *L. leichmanni*. There a re no production of catalase, oxidase, indole and no r eduction of ni trate. The most strain of *Lactobacillus* are vancomycin resistance, some strain vancomycin susceptible (29).

Genus *Lactobacillus* consist of members more t han 175 recognized species (www. http://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/html/bacteria.genus/lactobacillus.html). The G+C content of DNA 32-53 mol%. They are complex nutritional requirement for growth such as amino acid, peptide, vitamin, nucleic acid derivatives, salt, fatty acid or fatty acid esters. Growth is best by anaerobic, facultative anaerobic and microaerobic conditions. Increased of CO₂ concentration (~5%) may stimulate growth. Most strain of lactobacilli growth best at mesophilic temperature about 40°C and in acidic media optimum (pH 5.5-6.2) (29).

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE GENUS LACTOBACILLUS

Colony of Lactobacillus

Colonies of *Lactobacillus* is growth on agar media are usually small (2-5 mm) in diameter, c onvex, s mooth, g listening and with entire margins. Some species are for m rough colonies. They are not pigment production (white colony). In rare case, they are product pigment (yellowish and reddish). Distinctly slimy colonies are only formed by *L. confuses* (30). Growth of *Lactobacillus* in liquid media generally oc curs throughout the liquid, but the cells settle soon after growth ceases. The sediment of cell is homogenous and smooth, rarely have slimy or granular. When lactobacilli grown in common media will do not develop attribute odors. However, they contribute to the flavor of fermented food by producing various volatile composite, such as diacetyl, even H_2S and amine in cheese (29).

Cell morphology

The variability of *Lactobacillus* is long rod, straight or slightly crescent rods or curved rods to coryneform and coccobacilli, occurring in single, in pairs and in short or long chain. Rod shape bacteria approximately 0.5-1.6 μ m in diameter and long 1-15 μ m. The morphology of *Lactobacillus* is differences between species of bacteria (Table 4). The degree of c urvature and length of rods are dependent on t he age and time of the culture. Some species of gas-producing *Lactobacillus* in liquid media aways shown that a mixture of short and long rods (e.g. *L. fermentum, L. brevis*). *L. casei* is short square-ended rod, forming in chain of varying length. Coccobacilli morphology of *Lactobacillus* may confused with *Leuconostoc* and Streptococci. *Lactobacillus* is in general fairly large nonsporing. A few species a re motile by p eritrichous flagella. Lactobacilli a re motile only during isolation but lost after several transfers on artificial media (29, 30).

Nutrition and growth condition

Lactobacilli a re extremely me ticulous or ganism and adapted to the complex of organic compound. They require carbohydrates as to the carbon source and energy which not only carbohydrates but also amino acid, nucleotides and vitamins. Thiamine is only nessesary for the growth of nearly all the heterfermentative lactobacilli but not those that are homofermentative, while Nicotinic acid and pantothenic acid are exception of a few strains. The requirement of *p*-aminobenzoic acid, puridoxal phosphate, roboflavin, folic acid is related of a mong the various of lactobacilli. V itamins B12 and B iotin are only necessary for a few species of lactobacilli. The pattern of the amino acid requirement for lactobacilli are differs among species (29).

Culture characteristic

All me dia for is olation of lactobacilli ar e com plex. The various requirement of essential nutrients ar e normally met when the media contain fermentable carbohydrate, peptone, meat and yeast ex tract. Supplementation of me dium with tomato juice, manganese, acetate and oleic acid ester, espectially tween 80 are stimulates the growth of many lactobacilli (30). The media generally used for cultivation of lactobacilli is de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) at pH 6.2-6.4. The MRS media formulation was developed by de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe to replace the tomato juice me dium and the me at extract tomato juice medium which MRS medium is supporting good growth of lactobacill (92). MRS ag ar i s ba sed on for the enrichment, cultivation a nd i solation of *Lactobacillus* species from al 1 t ypes of m aterials. The compounds of MRS are econtained sodium acetate, polysorbate (Tween 80), magnesium and manganese ions which are known to act as s pecial growth factors f or la ctobacilli. MRS media can applied by cha nging t he concentration of inhibitors, pH and temperature or time for incubation (29, 30, 92)

Growth characteristic

Lactobacilli can culture in laboratory which most strains of *Lactobacillus* growth in facultative ana erobic, a few ar e s trict ana erobe, and s ome s trains gr ow und er microaerophilic condition. Lactobacilli incubated at 37° C for 24 -48 h. Most of lactobacilli will grow in air but grow best in an atmosphere lacking oxygen but required carbon di oxide (CO₂). Lactobacilli are grow best in acidic media with an initial of pH 6.4-4.5, the growth of lactobacilli ceases when pH 4.0-3.6 is reached, depending of the species and s train. Lactobacilli are grow i n acidic media optimum (pH 5.5 -6.2) a nd growth r ate i s r educed when nut ral or i ncrease al kaline r eactions. The t emperature at which gr owth oc cur varies with species and strains. Most l actobacilli gr owth best a t mesophilic temperature approximate 40°C which opt imum temperature for growth 30-40°C, some strains growth below 15°C and some strains may be growth an upper 55°C, they are celled thermophilic lactobacilli as show in T able 4. The thermobacteria are usually large, thick and often filamentous (29).

Metabolism characteristic

The m ain of fermentation pa thways are catagorized i nto t wo group; homofermentative and h eterofermentative. In the group of homofermentative s pecies, glucose i s br oken dow n t o l actic a cid almost e xclusively b y th e Embden-Meyerhof pathway. The h eterofermentative s pecies, pos sess t he 6 -phosphogluconate pa thway which the end products are CO₂, acetic acid, ethanol and lactic acid. Heterofermentative lactobacilli are distinguished from homofermentative by their ability to produce differs end produce (29, 30). *Lactobacillus* species can be di vided i nto t hree groups b y carbohydrate f ermentation. The group of obl igately homofermentative lactobacilli ar e including *L. acidophilus*, *L. delbrueckii*, *L. helveticus*, *L. salivarius* and *L. lactis* as shown in Table 3. Obligately heterofermentative lactobacilli are consists of *L. brevis*, *L. buchneri*, *L. fermentum*, *L. reuteri*. However, *L. casei*, *L. paracasei*, *L.curvatus*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. plantarum* and *L. zeae* were closely related taxonomic group within the facultatively heterofermentative lactobacilli (Table 3) (93).

Obligately	Facultative	Obligately
homofermenter	homofermenter	heterofermenter
Lactobacillus acidophilus	Lactobacillus bavaricus	Lactobacillus brevis
Lactobacillus lactis	Lactobacillus casei	Lactobacillus buchneri
Lactobacillus delbrueckii	Lactobacillus coryniformis	Lactobacillus cellobiosus
Lactobacillus leichmannii	Lactobacillus curvatus	Lactobacillus confusus
Lactobacillus salivarius	Lactobacillus plantarum	Lactobacillus reuteri
Lactobacillu helveticus	Lactobacillus sake	Lactobacillus fermentatum
	Lactobacillus paracasei	Lactobacillus sanfrancisco
	Lactobacillus rhamnosus	

Table 3. The major of lactobacilli for fermention

Genome Structure

The genome s equences of a n umber of di fferent s pecies of *Lactobacillus*. The present time the genomes of *L. johnsonii* NCC 533, *L. plantarum* WCFS1, *L. acidophilus* NCFM, *L. gasseri* ATCC 33323, *L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus* ATCC BAA-365, *L. casei* ATCC 334, and *L. brevis* ATCC 367 have been completely genome sequenced.

In 2002 M ichiel K leerebezem *et at.* study s equence of the c hromosome of *L. plantarum* WCFS1 was found that contains a single, circular chromosome of 3,308,274 bp and G+C content of the chromosome is 44.5% and was found to contain two small, cryptic plasmids (2,365 and 1,917 bp) and a larger plasmid (36,069 bp) encoding genes involved in conjugal plasmid transfer and several other (94). Eric Altermann *et al.* they suggested the complete genome of *L. acidophilus* NCFM consisted of 1,993,564 bp long with 34.71% G+C content (95). Previous study *L. johnsonii* NCC 533 is a member of the acidophilus g roup of i ntestinal la ctobacilli. The g enome of *L. gasseri* ATCC 33323, a strain of human origin and a native species found commonly in the gastrointestinal tract. The plasmid-free genome was 1,894,360 bp in size and predicted to encode 1,810 genes and GC c ontent was 35.3% which similar to the GC c ontent of its closest relatives, *L. johnsonii* NCC 533 (34%) and *L. acidophilus* NCFM (34%) (97).

HISTORICALPERSPECTIVE OF LACTOBACILLUS

Lactobacilli are found in several environments shown that in the Table 4. The type species of the genus *Lactobacillus, L. delbrueckii* was original is olated from milk by Leichmann (1896) and similar bacilli was observed in the vaginal secretion of women by Doderlein (1892). In 19 00 M oro c ulture culture slender r od, *L. acidophilus* from the feces of breast-fed babies. Lactobacilli isolated from milk and cheese, dairy products and dairy were name *L. casei* by Orla-jensen (1904). Lauer and Kandler (1980) isolated *L. gasseri* from human mouth, vagina and from the intestinal tract of man. Heinemann and Hefferan (1909) isolated lactobacilli from hum an saliva, s oil, ga stric juice and v arious foods. In 1953 R ogosa, W iseman, M ichell i solated *L. salivarius* from m outh a nd intestinal tracts which simillar with *L. murinus* isolated by Heijenoort *et al* (1982) (29, 30).

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
Lactobacillus	Leichmann	Rod with	occurring singly	L. delbrueckii,	+	49-51	milk
delbrueckii	(1896)	rounded ends,	and in short	L. bulgaricus,			
	Doderlein (1982)	0.5-0.8x2-9	chain	L. lactis			
		μm.		L. leichmannii			
L. delbrueckii	Leichmann	Rod with	occurring singly	L. delbrueckii,	+	49-51	Plant material
subsp.	(1896)	rounded ends,	and in short	L. bulgaricus,			fermented at high
delbrueckii		0.5-0.8x2-9	chain	L. lactis			temperatures
		μm.		L. leichmannii			
L. delbrueckii	Orla-	Rod with	occurring singly	L. delbrueckii,	+	49-51	Yoghurt and cheese
subsp. bulgaricus	Jensen(1919)	rounded ends,	and in short	L. bulgaricus,			
	Weiss et al.	0.5-0.8x2-9	chain	L. lactis			
	(1984)	μm.					
L. amylophilus	Nakamura and	Thin rod,	occurring singly	111 31	-	44-46	Swine waste-corn
	Crowell (1981)	0.5-0.7x2-3	and short chains				fermentation
		μm.					

Table 4. List of the species of the genus Lactobacillus (29)

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. acidophilus	Moro (1900)	Rod with	occurring	-	+	32-37	The feces of breast-
	Hansen and	rounded ends	singly, in pair				fed babies, the
	Mocquot (1970)	0.6-0.9x1.5-6	and in short				intestinal tract of
		μm.	chains				human and animal,
L. amylovorus	Nakamura (1981)	Rods, 1x3-5	occurring singly	L. acidophilus,	+	40-41	Cattle waste-corn
		μm.	and short chains	L. leichmannii			fermentation
				L. amylophilus			
L. animalis	Dent and	1.0-1.2x3-6	occurring singly	L. murinus	+	41-44	Dental plaques and
	Williams (1983)	μm.	or in pair				alimentary canal of
							animal
L. crispatus	Brygoo and	Straight to	occurring singly	L. acidophilus	+	35-38	Human feces
	Aladame (1953)	slightly curved	and short chains				,vagina and buccal
	Moore and	rod,					cavities, crops and
	Holdeman (1970)	0.8-1.6x2.3-11					ceca of chicken
		μm.					
L. gasseri	Lauer and	Rod with	occurring singly	L. acidophilus	•+	33-35	Human mouth and
	Kandler (1980)	rounded ends	and in chains	L. crispatus			vagina

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
		0.6-0.8x3-5					intestinal tract of
		μm.					man and animal
L. helveticus	Orla-Jensen	-	-	L. jugurti	+	37-40	Sour milk, cheese
	(1919) Bergey et						starter cultures
	al. (1925)						
L. johnsonii	Gasser, Mandel	Rod with	occurring singly	-	+	35-37	Human vaginal
	and Rogasa	rounded ends	and in short				discharge and blood
	(1970)	0.6-0.8x2-4	chains				clot
		μm.					
L. ruminus	Sharpe,Latham,G	Rods,	occurring		-	44-47	Rumen of cow and
	arvie, Zirngbl	0.6-0.8x3-5	singly, in pairs				sewage
	and	μm.	and in short				
	Kandler(1973)		chains				
L. salivarius	Rogosa	Rod with	occurring singly	ผมมากกร	+	34-36	Mouth and
subsp.salivarius	,Wiseman,	rounded ends,	and in chains				intestinal tract of
L. salivarius	Mitchell and	0.6-0.9x1.5-5	varying length				man and hamster
subsp.salicinius	Dilraely(1953)	μm.					

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. sharpeae	Weiss,Schillinger	Rod with	Long	-	-	53	Municipal sewage
	,Lacternser and	rounded ends,	characteristicall				
	Kandler (1982)	0.6-0.9x1.5-5	y wrinkled				
		μm.	chain				
L. alimentarius	Reuter (1983)	Short, slender			-	36-37	Marinated fish
		rod, 0.6-					products, meat
		0.8x1.5-2.5 μm.					products and sour
							dough
L. bavaricus	Stetter and Stetter	Rod with	occurring singly	L. sake	-	41-43	Sauerkraut and
	(1980)	rounded ends	and in short	L. curvatus			fermented cabbage
		0.8-1.0x2-7	chains				leaves
		μm.					
L. casei	Orla-Jensen	Rods,	In chains	- 0	-	45-47	Milk and cheese,
subsp.casei	(1916)	0.7-1.1x2-4					dairy products and
		μm.					dairy environment,
							human intestinal
							tracts, mouth,
							vagina

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. casei	Abo-Elnaga and	Rods,	In chains	-	-	45-47	Milk and cheese,
subsp.pseudoplan	Kandler (1965)	0.7-1.1x2-4					dairy products and
tarum		μm.					dairy environment,
							human intestinal
							tracts, mouth,
							vagina and sewage
L. casei	Hensen (1968)	Rods,	In chains	-	-	45-47	Milk and cheese,
subsp.rhamnosus		0.7-1.1x2-4					dairy products and
		μm.					dairy environment,
							human intestinal
							tracts, mouth,
							vagina and sewage
L. casei	Abo-Elnaga and	Rods,	In chains	- 0	-	45-47	Milk and cheese,
subsp.tolerans	Kandler (1965)	0.7-1.1x2-4					dairy products and
		μm.					dairy environment,
							human intestinal
							tracts,mouth,vagina

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. coryniformis	Abo-Elnaga and	Short, often	occurring	-	-	45	Silage, cow dung,
	Kandler (1965)	coccoid, rods,	singly, in pairs				dairy barn air and
		0.8-1.1x1-3	and in short				sewage
		μm.	chains				
L. coryniformis	Abo-Elnaga and	Short, often	occurring	-	-	45	Silage, cow dung,
subsp.	Kandler (1965)	coccoid ,rods ,	singly, in pairs				dairy barn air and
coryniformis		0.8-1.1x1-3	and in short				sewage
		μm.	chains				
L. coryniformis	Abo-Elnaga and	Short, often	occurring	in the second se	-	45	Silage, cow dung,
subsp. torquens	Kandler (1965)	coccoid ,rods ,	singly, in pairs				dairy barn air and
		0.8-1.1x1-3	and in short				sewage
		μm. 🤳	chains				
L. curvatus	Abo-Elnaga and	Curved, bean-	Occurring in	L. sake,	-	42-44	cow dung, milk,
	Kandler (1965)	shape rods,	pairs and in	L. cuvatus			salage ,sauerkeaut
		0.7-0.9x1-2	short chains				and meat products
		μm.					

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. homohiochii	Kitahara,Kaneko	Rods, with	- 0	L. sake	-	35-38	Spoiled sake
	and Goto (1957)	rounded ends,					
		0.7-0.8x2-4					
		μm.					
L. maltaromicus	Miller, Morgan	Slender rod of	Long chain	-	-	36	Milk products
	and Libbeu	varying large					
	(1974)						
L. murinus	Hemme,	Rods, with	In chain	-	-	43-44	Intestinal tract of
	Raibaud,	rounded ends,					mice and rats
	Duckuzeau	0.8-1x2-4 μm.					
	,Galpin, Sicard						
	and van						
	Heijenoort						
	(1982)						
L. plantarum	Orla-Jensen	Straight, rod	occurring	MD IU 9	-	44-46	Dairy products and
	(1919)	with rounded	singly, in pairs				environment, silage
		ends,	and in short				, pickled vegetables,
		0.9-1.2x3-8µm.	chains				cow

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
		2					dung and human
							mouth, intestinal
							tract and stool ans
							sewage
L. sake	Katagiri,Kitahara	Rods with	Occurring in	-	-	42-44	Sake starter,
	and Fukami	rounded ends,	pairs and in				fermented plant
	(1934)	0.6-0.8x2-3	short chains				material, meat
		μm.					products
L. bifermentans	Kandler,	Irregular rods,	Occurring		-	45	Spoiled Edem and
	Schillinger and	0.5-1x1.5-2	singly ,in pair				Gouda cheese
	Wiess (1983)	μm.	or irregular	- 2			
			short chains				
L.brevis	Orla-Jensen	Rods with	Occurring	L. hilgardii,	-	44-47	Milk, cheese,
	(1919)	rounded ends,	singly and in	L. kefir,			sauerkraut, sour
		0.7-1x2-4 μm.	short chains	L. confuses,			dough, feces, mouth
				L. collinoides			gastrointestinal tract
							of human and rats

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. buchneri	Henneberg	Rods with	Occurring	-	-	44-46	Milk, cheese,
	(1903)	rounded ends,	singly and in				fermenting plant
		0.7-1x2-4 μm.	short chains				material and mouth
							of human
L. collinoides	Carr and Davies	Rods with	Occurring	-	-	46	Cider
	(1972)	rounded ends,	singly, in				
		0.6-0.8x3-5	palisades and				
		μm.	irregular clumps				
L. confusus	Holzapfel ans	Short rods,	Occurring	- 8	+	45-47	Sugarcane and
	Kandler (1969)	0.8-1x1.5-3	singly,rarely in				carrot juice, raw
		μm.	short chains				milk, saliva and
							waste matter
L. divergens	Holzapfel and	Rods with	occurring		-	33-35	Vacuum packaged,
	Gerber (1984)	rounded ends,	singly, in pairs				refrigerated meat
		0.5-0.7x1-1.5	and in short				
		μm.	chains				

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. fermentum	Berjirinck (1901)	Rods ,0.5-0.9	occurring singly	L. cellobiosus	+	52-54	Yeast, milk
		μm. thick and	and in pairs				products, sour
		highly variable					dough , fermenting
		length					plant material,
							manure, sewage,
							mouth and feces of
							human
L. fructivorans	Charlton, Nelson	Rods with	Occurring	L. trichodes	-	38-41	Spoiled
	and Werkman	rounded ends,	singly, in pairs	L.			mayonnaise, salad
	(1934)	0.5-0.8x1.5-4	and in chains	heterohiochii			dressings, and
		μm.					vineagar preserves,
							spoiled sake,
							dessert wines
L. fructosus	Kodama (1956)	Rods,	Occurring		-	47	Flowers
		0.5-0.8x2-4	singly, in pairs				
		μm.	and in short				
			chains				

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. halotolerans	Kandler,	Irregular ,short	Coiling chains,	-	-	45	Meat products
	Schillinger and	or coccoid	clumping				
	Weiss (1983)	rods,					
		0.5-0.7x1-3					
		μm.					
L. hilgardii	Douglas and	Rods with	occurring singly	L. brevis,	-	39-41	California table
	Cruess (1936)	rounded ends,	, in short chains	L. desidiosus			wine
		0.5-0.8x2-4	,long filaments	,L. reuteri			
		μm.					
L. kandleri	Holzapfel ans	Partly irregular	Occurring		-	39	Desert and spring
	van Wyk (1983)	rods, 0.7-0.8x1-	singly, in pairs,				
		5 μm.	seldom in short				
			chains				
L. kefir	Kandler and	Rods with	Tendency to		-	41-42	Kefir grains and
	Kunath (1983)	rounded ends,	from chains of				kefir
		0.6-0.8x3-15	short rod or				
		μm.	long filaments				

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. minor	Kandler	Irregular ,short	Occurring in	-	-	44	The sludge of
	,Schillinger and	rod, 0.6-	pair and short				milking machines
	Weiss (1983)	0.8x1.5-2 μm.	chain				
L. reuteri	Kandler ,Stetter	Slightly	Occurring in	-	+	40-42	Feces of human and
	and Kohl (1982)	irregular rods,	pair, short chain				animal and meat
		bent rod ,	and small				products
		0.7-1x2-5 μm.	clusters				
L. sanfrancisco	Weiss and	Rods with	Occurring	L. acidophillus	-	36-38	Sour dough
	Schillinger	rounded ends,	singly and in	L. helveticus			
	(1984)	0.6-0.8x2-4	pairs	L. brevis			
		μm.		L. confusus			
L. vaccinostercus	Okada, Suzuki	Rods with	Occurring	-	-	36	Cow dung
	and Kozaki	rounded ends,	mostly in pair				
	(1983)	0.9-1x1.5-2.5					
		μm.					
L. viridescens	Niven and Evens	Small, irregular	Occurring		e -	41-44	Discolored cured
	(1957)	rods, 0.7-0.9x2-	singly and in				meat products and
		5 μm.	pairs				pasteurized milk

Species	First isolate	Rod shape and	arrangement	DNA/DNA	Growth	Mol %	Original isolated
		size		homology	at 45 °C	G+C	
L. catenaforme	Eggerth (1935)	Small, slightly	Occurring in	-	+	31-33	Human
		irregular rods,	chain				feces, intestinal and
		0.7-0.9x2-5					pleural infections
		μm.					
L. minutus	Houduroy,	Small,elliptical	Occurring	-	-	45	Abscesses and
	Ehringer,	rods,	singly,in pair				wounds
	Urbain,Guillot		and short chains				
	and Magrou						
	(1937)						



ECOLOGY OF LACTOBACILLUS

Lactobacilli ha ve b een detected in diverse environments. They are us ed in the production of foods prepared by means of lactic acid fermentation such as dairy products, fermented ve getables, fermented meats, and sourdough b read. They are found in plant material s uch as in foodstuffs, s ilage and agriculture products (30). Some s pecies of *Lactobacillus* is used industrially for the production of milk and dairy, cereals produce such as beer, wine and ci der. *L. casei* is remarkably adaptive s pecies, and m ay b y isolated from row and fermented dairy products and industrially application for as a cid producing s tarter c ultures for milk fermention. Several previous s tudy, milk products containing *L. acidophilus* has the potential for preventing or controlling int estinal infections, helping c ontrol s erum c holesterol l evels, e nhancing lactose di gestion and absorption in pe ople w ho are lactose intolerant, and exerting anticarcinogenic act ivity (98).

Lactobacilli a re commonly a ssociated w ith t he bod y of hum ans a nd a nimals. They are microflora in the oral cavity, gastrointestinal tracts and vagina (33, 34). The oral cavity presented diverse microflora due to the different anatomical sites and exposure to the ex ternal environment. Lactobacilli w ere found in oral cavity. They are h abitat on teeth, in saliva, the tongue and the hard palate in humans (99). Previous study frequency *Lactobacillus* in oral cavity which found that in saliva and tongue with 90% and 50% respectively (100). The species of *Lactobacillus* is predominant in oral cavity, a wide range such as *L. acidophilus*, *L. casei*, *L. paracasei L. plantarum*, *L. salivavius*, *L. fermentum*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. buchneri* and *L. brevis* (34, 101, 102). *L. murinus* is found in the oral cavity of mice (103).

Lactobacilli are mic roflora in vagina of human. The role of lactobacilli in the maintenance of va ginal he alth w as f irst r ecognized b y D oderlein i n the l ate 1800. Lactobacilli are dominant in this habitat at 10^7 to 10^8 CFU/g of vaginal fluid in healthy premenopausal women. Previous study vaginal *Lactobacillus* of he althy women in the late first trimester of pregnancy. The most frequently occurring species were *L. crispatus* and *L. gasseri* (104) followed by *L. jensenii* and *L. rhamnosus*. Pregnant women with a *Lactobacillus*-predominant m icroflora have a de creased incidence of p reterm de livery, chorioamnionitis and p ostpartum i nfections (105). Previous s tudies i ndicated t hat

colonization of *L. vaginalis*, *L. crispatus*, *L. jensenii*, *L. gasseri* and *L. iners* are the most common species of v aginal l actobacilli (106). The study cha racterized human *Lactobacillus* isolates for their capacity to interfere with the growth of *Candida albicans* using a n agar-overlay method a nd i dentified *L. fermentum* Ess-1 was s ignificantly inhibited the growth of *C. albicans* which vaginal c andidiasis pathogens (48). In 2007 Falagas *et al.* study clinical trials was showed of *L. acidophilus* or *L. rhamnosus* GR-1 and *L. fermentum* RC-14 reduced the recurrences of bacterial vaginosis (BV) (49, 50). Therefore, the colonization of the hum an vagina by *Lactobacillus* species is important because a cl inical study suggested that the presence of H₂O₂- generating *Lactobacillus* strains decreases bacterial vaginosis (BV). *L. crispatus* strains have the potential to be developed of vaginal probiotics (104).

The hum an gastrointestinal tr act harbour a c omplex m icroflora of ov er 500 different s pecies and strains (107). The human gastrointestinal tr acts are including stomach, small intestine and large intestine. Lactobacilli habitat in gastrointestinal tracts and widely considered of beneficial role including immunomodulation, interference with enteric pathogen and plays an important role in normal gut function and maintaining host health. The fetal gut is sterile, and colonization with bacteria is sustained by contact between the child and its environment, depending on the mode of delivery (108, 109), hygiene levels, medication and type of feeding, the use of antibiotics or other medicine (110), and differences in gut microflora composition occur between breast- and formulafed infants (107, 111). The evidence of L. salivarius CECT 5713 was originally isolated from feces of a one-month-old breast-fed infant which suggested that the gut microflora of br east-fed infants r eflects that of the ma ternal br east milk (112). The r eported Lactobacillus is present in gastrointestinal tracts of mice and it important role in healthy animals and it is colonize in stomach and intestine of mice after birth by adhere on epithelial cells of host and they are stable in intestinal microflora of the animals (32). The various species of *Lactobacillus* in gastrotintestinal tracts are consists of *L. salivarius*, *L.* cesei, L. plantarum, L. fermentum, L. acodophilus, L. gasseri, L. brevis and L. reuteri (32). L. reuteri has been rarely in gastrointestinal tract which recent studies by culture and molecular method.

The hum an s tomach has be en observed as a n i nhospitable e nvironment f or microorganism because of a cidic c onditions a nd ot her a ntimicrobial f actors. Lactobacillus species could be found in the stomach, which characterized by pH 2.2-2.4. The population of bacteria in stomach about 10^3 CFU/ml (69) which is few bacterial species which are all resist to the hydrochloric acid in saliva envionment and gastric acidtolerant and consist of lactobacilli and streptococci. Previous study reported bacterial diversity within the human gastric mucosa by using 16S rDNA were assigned to majority of the phyla Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Fusobacteria (70). Previous study the composition of the Lactobacillus microflora from mucosa of human's tomach and r ecognized ne w nove 11 actobacilli. Lactobacilli ana lysed by 16SrRNA s equencing i nto t wo be long t o t he L. reuteri and t he ot her two t o t he L. delbrueckii subgroup of Lactobacillus which names L. gastricus sp. nov., L. antri sp. nov., L. kalixensis sp. nov. and L. ultunensis sp. nov (35). The microflora can interfere adherence of the pathogen in stomach. The reported L. acidophilus has an inhibitory effect on *H. pylori* isolated from peptic ul cer pa tients and c ould e nhance a ntibiotic therapy for H. pylori eradication (71, 72). Prior study Lactobacillus was considered to be indigenous in stomach of rat, mice and, pig (73). Lactobacillus was colonized on surface of the squamous keratinized epithelium cell in part of stomach. Study Lactobacillus can isolate from biopsy of stomach of conventional rats (74).

The small intestine represents a transitional between the sparsely population of stomach and abundant of the bacterial microflora of colon. The proximal small bow el (duodenum) has c oncentration r ang 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} CFU/ml w hich similar of the s tomach. Jejunal microflora is similar of that of the s tomach. The pr edominant species ar e lactobacilli, streptococci and stephylocci (69). The microflora o f ile um w as c omplex similar to that of the large intestine. Lactobacilli are also common inhabitants of the large intestine of hum ans w hich c an culture f rom human f eces. Numerically p redominant genera inhabiting the large bowel attain population levels of about 10^{-11} to 10^{12} CFU/g of fecal s amples (101, 11 3, 114). Predominant species in large i ntestine ar e including lactobacilli, bifidobacteria and enterococci. Previous study molecular analysis was used ribotyping a nd pulsedfield gel el ectrophoresis (PFGE) of ge nomic DNA of t he microflora of human feces which examined at t he l evel of b acterial s trains. Bifidobacterial and *Lactobacillus* populations were characteristic of the particular human (115, 116).

The s tudy species of Lactobacillus from i ntestine bi opsies was f ound t hat L. rhamnosus, L. salivarius, and L. acidophilus-like which L. acidophilus-like isolates could not differentiated from L. cribpatus, L. jensenii and L. gasseri (34). Tannock et al. study c hange composition microflora of hum ans feces by probiotic c ontaining L. rhamnosus DR20 for 15 m onths. They found that species of lactobacilli in human feces were difference on individual of hum an which L. acidophilus, L. jonhsonii, L. crispatus (104), L. murinus, L. salivarius, L. brevis, L. casei, L. plantarum, L. delbrueckii and L. gasseri regularly detected in the feces of human. Moreover, these study showed that Lactobacillus including L. brevis, L. casei, L. plantarum, L. delbrueckii were indicated (allochthonous) strains. Allochthonous strain is transient strains that originated in food and passed through the intestinal tract strains and can detect in feces. In contrast L. ruminis or L. salivarius subsp. salivarius strains was detected as the persistant for several months which these strains could be referred to as (autochthonous) strains (116). Prior study fermented milk containing L. acidophilus and Bifidobacterium was performed in 6 he althy volunteers who ingested which a large number of living L. acidophilus and Bifidobacterium pass through the upper gastrointestinal tract into the colon (117).

The de fined a nd categorized t he gastrointestinal m icroflora into t wo t ypes, autochthonous microflora (indigenous microflora) a nd allochthonous flora (transient microflora) (91). Indigenous is strains inhabiting a place or region from earliest times, organism that colonize specific niches in human body. The genera of *Lactobacillus* were suggested that the species *L. crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, *L. reuteri* (118), *L. ruminis*, and *L. salivarius* are truly autochthonous to the human gastrointestinal tract (33, 116). *L. gasseri and L. reuteri* were oc casionally pr esent i n s tomach a nd pr oved t o be pr edominant autochthonous both species were found in infants and adult (97). However, some species of *Lactobacillus* may be is allochthonous microflora species derived from food or or al cavity and an altered to microflora but can persists in some ni ches are not filled than native mic roflora (119). Allochthonous microflora lactobacilli a re pr esent int o the gastrointestinal t ract be cause t hey are ubi quitous i n na ture. These I actobacilli ar e transferred from food into the stomach and small intestine into the large bowel and can collected from hum an faeces. Furthermore, s uggested that some *Lactobacillus* species found in the gastrointestinal tract may be originates from the oral cavity (120).

The presence of 1 actobacilli in digestive tract s ystem has historically the beginning Elie Metchnikoff, which a affluence of experiments have reported the use of selected microorganisms, mainly belonging to the lactic acid bacteria family, for the prevention or treatment of a variety of pathological situations (96). *Lactobacillus* is lactic acid bacteria which can produce lactic acid makes environment acidic which inhibits the growth of bacterial pathogen. Recently *Lactobacillus* is increasingly used as probiotics in industial of fermented foods and pharmaceuticals. The selection of lactobacilli strain is performed in the intestinal microflora of man, infants and a dults were sampling from faeces, upper g astrointestinal t racts including or al c avity and di fference pa rts of gastrointestinal tract including stomach, caecum and colon were sampling from biopsy.

Antimicrobial compounds of Lactobacillus

Lactic acid bacteria al so produce ant imicrobial substances are including organic acid (lactic acid, acetic acid and propionic acid), ammonia, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), fatty acids, carbon dioxide (CO_2) and other metabilites. Many of these metabolites are bacteriocin, s iderophore, be nzoic a cid a nd r euterin. The l actic acid they produce i s effective in inhibiting the growth of other bacteria that may decompose or spoil the food.

Organic acid

The a bility *Lactobacillus* as l actic ba cteria group is produced acid by carbohydrate fermentation which including lactic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid and ethanol. Thus the environment of the digestive tract is acidified and the acidosensitive pathogens c annot e xert t heir e ffect. It also characteristically p roduces an a cidic environment w hich can inhibit g rowth of ot her or ganisms w hich cause ge nitourinary tract infections. Indigenous intestinal microflora such as *L. paracasei* and *L. plantarum* were antagonistic *Clostridium difficile* which antagonistic activity was strain-specific and seemed to correlate with lactic acid production (42).

Hydrogen peroxide

Hydrogen p eroxide is produced by *Lactobacillus*. The effect of H_2O_2 has been attributed to its s trong oxidizing e ffect on the ba cterial w all. Several s pecies o f lactobacilli produce H_2O_2 in an oxygen a tmosphere a re including *L. acidophilus* and

L. delbrueckii ssp. *bulgaricus*. P roduction of H_2O_2 is be lieved to be be neficial f or prevention t he growth of pa thogen. Previous s tudy of hum an intestinal is olate *L. johnsonii* NCC 533 produced H_2O_2 was e ffective i n ki lling t he m odel pa thogen *Salmonella enterica* serovar T yphimurium S L1344 *in vitro* (121). The s everal studies *Lactobacillus* can inhibit the growth of bacterial vaginasis (122). The killing properties of h ydrogen peroxide exerted toward *Escherichia coli* and *Candida albicans* were less prominent than these of the supernatants of cultures of *Lactobacillus* strains producing H_2O_2 .

Diacetyl (2, 3-butanedione)

Diacetyl is produce from fermentation of citrate by lactobacilli. The requirement of citrate for the production of diacetyl and acetoin is well recognized in certain species of l actic a cid bacteria. The a ntimicrobial a ctivity of di acetyl w as evaluated against *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (123). The antimicrobial activity of diacetyl was antagonized by glucose, acetate, and Tween 80 but not b y gluconic a cid. As an antimicrobial ag ent, diacetyl w as cl early more effective against g ram-negative ba cteria, yeasts, a nd m olds t han a gainst gr am-positive ba cteria (124).

Bacteriocins

Bacteriocins are i nhibitory substances t hat a re ge nerally produced b y gr am positive bacteria. Its have high molecular weights and susceptible to protease and their spectrum of antimicrobial activity related with species of bacteria (125). The inhibitory substance as produced by *Lactobacillus* has a low molecular weight and active against a broad spectrum of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Bacteriocins are believed to be important in the a bility of la ctic a cid bacteria to compete in non-fermentative ecosystems such as the gastrointestinal tract.

Reuterin

Reuterin (3-Hydroxypropionaldehyde) i s a ne wly di scovered, broad-spectrum antimicrobial substance which produced by L. reuteri during fermentation of glycerol. L. reuteri a di stinctive s pecies o riginally d escribed by G erhand R euter (1980) i s a prominent member of the heterofermentative Lactobacillus species in the gastrointestinal tract of hum an and animals (126). Axelsson et al. (1989) r eported the L. reuteri converted glycerol into broad-spectrum ant imicrobial by anaerobic resting cells und er physiological conditions of temperature and pH. Preliminary investigations indicate that of leuterin its a low molecular weight, neutral and water soluble compound, nonprotein material that has a ntibacterial, antimycotic, and a ntiprotozoal a ctivity. The a bility of reuterin as inhibit the growth of pathogen several bacterial genera including Salmonella, Shigella, Proteus, Pseudomonas, Clostridium and Staphylococcus as well as yeasts, fungi, and protozoa (126-129). In vitro studies on reuterin excretion by L. reuteri was synthesized under environmental conditions similar to those that exist in gastrointestional tract and was stimulated by contact with other bacteria found in gut such as E. coli, Samonella typhimurium, Shigella, Proteus, Pseudomonas florescens, Clostridiums sporogenes, Streptococcus cremoris, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Bacillus megaterium (130). As shown in Table 5.

A . 41	C	A	DC
Anumicrobial	Source	Action	Reference
compound			
Organic acid	L. johnsonii NCC	kill pathogen Salmonella enterica	(121)
	533	serovar Typhimurium SL1344 in	
		vitro	
Hydrogen	L. delbrueckii subsp.	against food-borne pathogens,	(131)
peroxide	lactis T31	decrease in Listeria viability	
	L. paracasei and	antagonistic Clostridium difficile	(42)
	L. plantarum		

 Table 5. Lactobacillus and action of antimicrobial compound from Lactobacillus

Antimicrobial	Source	Action	Reference
compound			
Bacteriocin	L. salivarius	against growth of S. mutans,	(132)
	BGHO1	S. pneumoniae, Staphylococcus	
		aureus, Enterococcus faecalis,	
		Micrococcus flavus, and	
		Salmonella enteritidis	
	L. acidophilus AA11	against spoilage microorganisms	(133)
		(i.e. Staphylococcus aureus and	
		Bacillus cereus) and pathogens (i.e.	
		E. coli, Salmonella sp. and	
		Shigella sp.	
	L. gasseri LA39	inhibit food-borne pathogenic	(134)
		bacteria by gassericin A produced	
Reuterin	L. reut <mark>e</mark> ri	inhibits the growth of gram-	(126)
		positive and gram-negative bacteria	
		as well as yeasts, fungi, and	
		protozoa	

LACTOBACILLUS AS PROBIOTIC

The definition of probiotics was devised in 1974 concurrently with the use of living cultures in feed for various animals in order to replace the application of nutritive antibiotics or c hemotherapeutics (135). Until t he first concept of probiotics bacteria beginning of t he 20 th century w hen t he U krainian-born N obel P rize laureate E lie Metchnikoff (1900) reported that Bulgarians l ived l onger t han ot her p opulations and supposed that this was due to their consumption of fermented milk products containing viable bacteria (136). He believed that when the bacillus was consumed, they carried out the fermentation of this product, influencing the microflora of the colon by decease the toxic effects of colonic microflora.
Probiotics are usually defined as live microbial feed supplement that beneficially affects t he human a nd animal by improving its intestinal mic robial balance. These bacteria must belong to the natural microflora in order to survive the acid environment of digestive tract system (36-38). They are non-pathogenic bacteria or beneficial bacteria for he alth a nd c ontrol ba lance of the gut mic roflora. Probiotics a re the g roup of organisms know n as l actic aci d bacteria and a re nor mally consumed in the form of yogurt, fermented milks or other fermented foods (137). Some of the beneficial effect of probiotics consumption i nclude ; they p revent c ellular adhesion a nd i nvasion of pathogenic ba cteria(137), r educe t he s everity of di arrhea (rotavirus di arrhea, pos t antibiotic diarrhea) (138), improving intestinal tract health, synthesizing and enhancing the bioavailability of nu trients, reducing s ymptoms of lactose intolerance, lowering of blood cholesterol (139), decreasing the prevalence of allergy in susceptible individuals (140), risk of certain cancers (140) and they interact and modulate the local and systemic inflammatory immune r esponse (stimulation and regulation) (60, 107, 137, 141). Lactobacilli are possibly the most commonly studied as a probiotic in people. Reported some strains of Lactobacillus considered to beneficial because they are produces vitamin K, lactase, and anti-microbial substances such as bacteriocin, acidolin, acidolphilin and lactocidin

The recent introduction of the concept of prebiotics has directed attention towards the pos sibility that alterations in gut mic roflora induced by the fermentation of nondigestible component of the diet which non-digestible oligosaccharides (NDO), such as the alpha-galactooligosaccharides raffinose and stachyose (142). The term prebiotic was defined as a non-digestible f ood induced i ncrease i n num bers a nd/or a ctivity predominantly of l actobacilli, bi fidobacteria a nd l actic acid b acteria i n t he hum an gastrointestinal tract. In present time, *Lactobacillus* species have be en proposed as and are used as probiotic strains (143). Previous study *L. reuteri* and *L. rhamnosus* used as probiotics in man and animal such as in dairy foods (144). The ability of *L. reuteri* to inhibit growth of pathogenic strain and can inhibit effect of TNF- α induced Interleukin-8 (IL-8) by activated epithelium cell. The ability of probiotics to interfere adhesion of *H. pylori* on epithelial cells and their are attenuate *H. pylori*-induced gastritis in human (145).

Mechanism of action of probiotic

The probiotics have been suggested to play a role in a variety of health effects, and mechanism proposed for mediating these effects on human. Lactobacilli belong to the mic ro-organisms most f requently us edt o prepare t he probiotics. The main of mechanisms of probiotic exert protective or therapeutic effect. Mach work remains to classify the mechanisms of particular probiotics against microorganism pathogen. The mechanisms of probiotics against gastrointestinal pathogens addressed in diverse patent applications including production of inhibitory substances such as (oganic acid, hydrogen peroxide, di acetyl, b acteriocins and reuteri) a s introduced a bove, modification of the environmental and c onditions for growth of lactobacilli, c ompetition for the nut rients which present the growth substrates an important factor for colonization of lactobacilli, blocking of adhesion sites, degradation of toxin receptor, stimulation and modulation of the immune and non-immune defense mechanisms of the host (146-149).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF *HELICOBACTER PYLORI* AND NSAIDS INDUCED GASTRITIS AND PEPTIC ULCER DISEASE

The roles of cytokines in *H. pylori*-induced gastritis and peptic ulcer have been investigated. Greater nu mbers of epithelial c ells, intraepithelial, lymphocytes, lamina propria c ells pos itive f or i nterleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), IL-2, IL-6, I L-8 and t umor ne crosis factor-α (TNF-α) were found in *H. pylori* infected patients. *H. pylori* and NSAIDs are the two major etiologic factors involved in gastritis and peptic ulcer disease. H. pylori may induce inflammation is through direct contact with gastric epithelial cells and stimulation of c ytokine r elease (150). The gastric i nflammatory response i nduced by H. pylori consists of neutrophils, lymphocytes (T and B cells), plasma cells, and macrophages, along with varying de grees of epi thelial cel l de generation a nd i njury. The S everal virulence mechanisms have been proposed for *H. pylori* associated with several clinical outcomes such as the production of urease enzyme, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and a *cag* pathogenicity i sland (cag-PAI) (151). NSAIDs is a commonly cause d yspepsia, a burning, bl oated feeling in the pit of the stomach. In some peoples, NSAIDs induced stomach inflammation (gastritis) or gastric ul cers may occur. The main risk factors for NSAIDs-related pe ptic ulcer complications a re a ge, p ast hi story, us e of hi gher risk individual N SAIDs, dr ug dos e, c oncurrent us e of w arfarin or c orticosteroids. (152)

Production of i nflammatory cytokines is s timulated by ul cerogenic f actors s uch as NSAIDs, stress, and H. pylori infection which inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β and TNF- α are cause recurrence of healed ulcer (12, 153). Mehmet N et. al. they study the concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-2R, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α in gastric fluid and serum of the patient with grouped according to infection by H. pylori. They found that the concentrations of cytokines TNF-a, IL-2R, IL-6, and IL-8 in gastric fluids and serum of *H. pylori*-positive were higher than *H. pylori*-negative control groups (154). The concentration TNF- α was significantly higher in those with active gastritis a nd ne utrophil inf iltration into the e pithelium than in those with inactive gastritis. The e vidence s uggested that gastritis is a ssociated with increased gastric mucosal production of TNF- α (14). The biological actions of proinflammatory cytokines are various. The stomach, these multifunctional cytokines are released from monocytes and activated macrophages which modulate several physiological, including gastric acid secretion, somatostatin release, epithelial c ell growth, and gastric emptying. Previous studies have examined effects of *H. pylori* infection on gastric acid secretion, usually in duodenal ul cer pa tients (155). T. SUZUKI et al. s tudy p roinflammatory c ytokines including TNF- α , IL-8 and IL-1 were direct stimulatory effect on gastrin release from isolated G cells (156).

H. pylori is common bacteria causing chronic infection in stomach, and plays an important role in the pathogenesis of gastroduodenal ulceration. *H. pylori* is infects more than 50 % of the human population. They are infection might release of various bacterial and host dependent cytotoxic substances including ammonia, production of a vacuolating cytotoxin and bacterial enzymes which all provide to epithelial da mage. However the recruitment and activation of immune cells in the underlying mucosa involves *H. pylori* chemotaxins, epithelial-derived chemotactic pe ptides (chemokines) such as IL-8, pro-inflammatory cytokines liberated by mononuclear phagocytes (TNF- α , IL-1 and IL-6) as part of non -specific immuni ty (157, 158). Evidences s uggested t hat e radication of *H pylori* with associated development of the gastritis l ed to a reduction in the mRNAs encoding both of TNF- α and IL-8 (159).

LACTOBACILLUS AND MODULATION OF IMMUNE

Enteric pa thogens m ay cause gastrointestinal di sease i n hum ans and a nimals, antibiotics have often be en us ed to prevent gastrointestinal di sorders. However, the use of antibiotics is no longer recommended due to complications including the emergence of drug-resistant s trains. Many r eported c apability of l actobacilli a s pr obiotic f or pr otect infection by enteric pathogens. The study *Shigella* invades the human intestinal mucosa, thus leading to bacillary d ysentery, an acute r ecto-colitis r esponsible f or le thal complications, mostly in infants and toddler. *S. flexneri* infection leads to the induction of acut inflammation such as IL-8. *L. casei* could attenuate the pro-inflammatory signaling induced by *S. flexneri* after invasion of the epithelial lining (114). *L. casei*, DN-114 001, has been shown to decrease the secretion of TNF- α from the inflammed ileum of Crohn's disease patients. W-H. L in *et al.* (2005) t hey s tudy in animal mode l, *L. acidophilus*, specifically strain LAP5, LAF1 and LAH7, heat-killed and mixed. This heat-killed mix of *L. acidophilus* was used to evaluate t he ef fectiveness of i nhibiting *Salmonella typhimurium* invasion into organs (spleen and liver) of BALB/c mice (160).

The hum an intestinal tract is complex composed of a diverse of bacteria, both pathogenic and nonpathogenic which if imbalance of microbial community is a major causative factor in the pathology of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). TNF- α is pro-inflammatory cytokine and key in the regulation of many inflammatory disease. Indeed, patients with active Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis have increased levels of TNF- α . These study is focus on ability of *L. paracasei* was reduced TNF- α expression from derived lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to stimulate the murine macrophages.

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is the component of gram negative bacteria cell wall, induce inflammation in gastrointestinal tracts. LPS stimulated TNF- α production and is one of t he pr incipal m ediators of t he i nflammatory response i n m ammals.Probiotic organisms have been used to treat a variety of human intestinal conditions. The recovery of *L. rhamnosus* GG (ATCC 53103) in human biopsies of the patients (161). Evidence investigated by P ena J A a nd V ersalovic J (2003) *Lactobacillus* conditioned media (LCM) of *L. rhamnosus* GG (LGG) can inhibit pr oduction of TNF- α by the murine macrophage were activated by LPS of *Escherichia coli* and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Bacillus subtilis*. In a ddition *L.* *rhamnosus* GG conditioned media can decreases T NF- α production of *Helicobacter*conditioned media-activated peritoneal macrophages (58). Similarly Sung O. Kim *et al.* (2006) they study *L. rhamnosus* GG and GR-1, in modulating production of TNF- α in human monocytic c ell line (THP-1) and mouse m acrophages. T hey f ound t hat *L. rhamnosus* GG and GR-1 were suppress *Escherichia coli*-induced inflammatory cytokine (TNF- α) and induce pr oduction of a nti-inflammatory c ytokines such a s I L-10 a nd Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) in condition media prepared from live *E. coli, L. rhamnosus* GG or *GR-1* exposed m ouse bone m arrow-derived immor talized macrophages (BMDIM). They suggest that G-CSF secreted from *L. rhamnosus* GG and GR-1 exposed m acrophages s uppressed TNF- α production i nduced by *E. coli* or lipopolysaccharide-activated macrophages (50).

Previously s tudy *Lactobacillus* was interfere the adhe rence of pathogens and inhibit pr o-inflammatory c ytokines both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Lactobacilli ha ve be en tested in animal model of bowel inflammation. *L. plantarum* strain 299V could attenuate colitis in IL -10-deficient m ice induced b y s pecific pa thogen-free (SPF) ba cteria and evaluated t he effect of this probiotic or ganism on m ucosal i mmune activation (162). Similarly, IL-10-deficient mice were treated with probiotic *L. reuteri* combination with *L. paracasei* and then cha llenged w ith *H. hepaticus*. T his s tudy found t hat t hese lactobacilli diminished inflammation in IL-10-deficient mice in fected with *H. hepaticus* (59). The e vidences s uggest that mur ine IL-10-deficient mous e c olitis mode 1 ha s provided the roles of probiotic *Lactobacillus* spp. as potential prophylactic or treatment in IBD.

Lactobacilli represent components of the commensal mammalian gastrointestinal microbiota and are us eful as probiotics. Lactobacilli have been study genotying murine intestinal which *Lactobacillus* isolates were recovered from two groups of mice, colitis mice (IL-10-deficient C 57BL/6 mice) and mice w ithout c olitis. The s tudy 20 mice without c olitis, six *Lactobacillus* species w ere r ecovered; the majority of la ctobacilli were c olonized with *L. reuteri* or *L. murinus* (72% of i solate) and few *L. vaginalis*, *L. johnsonii*, *L. intestinalis*, *L. paracasei*. In contrast, 14 I L-10-deficient mic e w ere colonized w ith only, *L. johnsonii*. The s tudy immunom odulatory a ctivity w ith characterization of s trains r ecovered f rom t he mouse i ntestine. T hey found t hat 29

lactobacilli is olated from mice without colitis, 6 isolates (21%) inhibit TNF- α on LPSstimulated macrophages and none of the 29 lactobacilli recovered from colitis mice (32).

The mechanism of the evident anti-inflammatory action of probiotic organisms is unclear. Several evidences s uggest t hat T NF- α is pr o i nflammatory c ytokine. Recent have been reported the (10 ng/ml) TNF- α was induced IL-8 production in human colon epithelial c ell line s. This st udy live *L. reuteri* as concentration 1 x 10 ⁷cells/ml significantly inhibited TNF- α induced IL-8 secretion, similarly *L. reuteri* inhibits IL-8 release i nduced by *S. enterica* serovar T yphimurium. Furthermore, the e ffect w as not reproduced b y c onditioned media, heat-killed or g amma-irradiated organisms. They suggest that live but no t he at-killed or gamma-irradiated *L. reuteri* dose de pendently inhibited constitutive synthesis by T84 and HT29 cells of IL-8 and that induced by TNF- α (163). Similarly, *L. bulgaricus* was suppress IL-8 secretion in intestinal epithelial cell (HT29) when stimulated by proinflammatory cytokines TNF- α and decreased expression of nuclear NF-kB p65 activation in intestinal epithelial cell in the experiment (164) likely *L. rhamnosus* GG (LGG) are capable of downregulating TNF- α induced IL-8 production in caco-2 cells (165).

The m echanisms of pr obiotics are s everal include development of e pithelial barrier function and immunoregulatory effects (166). The determined *L. plantarum* can modify the corrosive effects of TNF- α on intestinal epithelial cells. They study Caco-2 cells w ere incubated with TNF- α alone or in the presence of *L. plantarum*, measured epithelial barrier and IL-8 secretion was measured using an ELISA method. The result *L. plantatum* decrease in epithelial barrier and TNF- α -induced IL-8 secretion was reduced by *L. plantarum* (167).

In present study *H. pylori* strain SS1 was colonizes in C57BL/6 mouse and leads to the de velopment of associated gastritis in the la mina propria and the l evels of proinflammatory c hemokines m acrophage i nflammatory protein 2 (MIP-2) a nd keratinocyte-derived c ytokine (KC) in the s erum and gastric t issue. This study *L. johnsonii* La1 at 12 weeks only mic e maintained significantly mild chronic gastritis, MIP-2 serum levels were reduced during the early stages and did not suppressive effect on *H. pylori* colonizing numbers and other lactobacilli, such as *L. amylovorus* DCE 471 and *L. acidophilus* IBB801, did not r educe *H. pylori*-associated g astritis. These observations suggest that *L. johnsonii* La1 can attenuate *H. pylori*-induced gastritis *in vivo* during t he e arly i nfection s tages, which reducing pr oinflammatory chemotactic signals r esponsible f or t he r ecruitment of neutrophils and in t he lymphocytes lamina propria (168).

H. pylori infection w as a ssociated w ith gastroduodenal di sease w hich t he treatment not a lways successful in eradicating the bacterium and may be have side effects. The study L. salivarius was efficiently eradicated H. pylori in gnotobiotic murine model (63). Recently, the yogurt containing of L. gasseri OLL2716 improve H. pylori infection-induced gastric muc osal imf lammation. The inve stigated of L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) exibites a gastroprotective action against of acut gastric lesion or antral ulcer in rats. Acut gastric lesion was induced by oral administration of 0.6 M HCL. L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) yogurt dose-dependently was inhibited acut gastric lesion and antral ulcer (64). Study in human trials have been reported L. gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) strain by use yogurt containing LG21 twice daily for a further 8 weeks in human with H. pylori infected. They found that the effective in both suppressing H. pylori colonization and reducing gastric mucosal inflammation in humans (65, 66). IL-8 is chemokine which a pot ent ne utrophil c hemoattractant a nd a ctivating a gent, a ccumulating e vidence indicates that IL-8 plays a major role in the mucosal inflammation cauased by H. pylori infection (67, 68). Akira Tamura et al. (2006) they are study L.gasseri OLL2716 (LG21) suppress *H.pylori*-induce IL-8 production in human gastric epithelial cell line (MKN45). In c ontrast, the UV- or he at-treated LG21 could not s uppress H. pylori-induced IL-8 production in *vitro*. TNF- α is well-known to induce IL-8 production in gastric epithelial cells. Indeed, in the present study 10^8 CFU/mL LG21 inhibited the TNF- α -stimulated IL-8 production. Furthermore they study LG21 can inhibit the adhesion of H. pylori to host cells which associated with dose dependent of LG21. Finally, they found that live LG21 were found to suppress *H. pylori*-induced IL-8 production in within gastric mucosa of patients (66).

METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION OF GENUS LACTOBACILLUS

1. Phenotypic method

The s pecies of *Lactobacillus* may be di fficult t o i dentify b y conventional biochemical m ethods, a lthough s implified a pproaches a re us eful f or presumptively assigning organisms to this genus. Isolates were identified based on Gram stain, catalase test, and fermentation patterns using API 50 CH kits (169). *Lactobacillus* organisms are generally gram s tain m orphology, catalase ne gative, oxidase ne gative, va ncomycin resistant.

Gram stain morphology

Gram staining is based on the ability of bacteria cell wall to retaining the crystal violet d ye during solvent treatment. The cell walls for gram-positive bacteria have a higher peptidoglycan and lower lipid content than gram-negative bacteria. It is almost always the first test performed for the identification of bacteria. These microorganisms that are stained by the gram's method are commonly classified as gram-positive bacteria (170).

Catalase test

Catalase is enzyme found in all living organisms. The testing of catalase enzyme was us ed to differentiate be tween bacterial species in laboratory. The test is placing a drop of h ydrogen pe roxide on a slide, pi cked ba cterial c olony and s mear i nto t he hydrogen peroxide drop. The result show that catalase-positive by bubbles or froth forms and catalase-negative w ithout bubbles from. This test is particularly for distinguishing staphylococci and micrococci which are catalase-positive, but lactobacilli, streptococci and enterococci which are catalase-negative. Which catalase test alone cannot identify a particular organism, combined with other tests for indentify lactobacilli from streptococci and enterococci. The f unctions of cat alase enz yme i nclude catalyzing in t he decomposition hydrogen peroxide into water and oxygen.

Vancomycin susceptibility test

Lactobacillus is us ually sensitive w ith va ncomycin. In a pr evious s tudy *Lactobacillus* identification w ith va ncomycin s usceptibility te st f orty s trains of *Lactobacillus* isolated from probiotics supplement or functional food, found t hat all *L. acidophillus* and *L. delbleuckeii* were s ensitive w ith va ncomycin, w hile *L. rhamnosus* was r esistant (171). Pena *et al.* (2004) lactobacilli c an be di vided i nto va ncomycin-resistant such as *L. murinus*, *L. reuteri*, *L. vaginalis* and vancomycin-susceptible groups such as *L. acidophilus* group and *L. casei* group (32).

API 50 CHL

API 50 C HL is car bohydrate fermentation which the principle of fermentation carbohydrate for identification strains of *Lactobacillus* to the species level. API 50 C HL is us ed for identification of *Lactobacillus* by fermentation is revealed by a change of color in the tube. The color change by or ganism can product of acid and was detected indicator i n m edium (106). Previous s tudy *L. acidophilus* complex which c annot be distinguished biochemically has been subdivided into six distinct species; *L. acidophilus, L. crispatus, L. gasseri, L. gallinarum, L. amylivorus* and *L. johnsonii* so the s tudies genotypic method as important for identification species of lactobacilli.

2. Genotypic method

The t axonomy of 1 actobacilli has e xpanded as a r esult of g enomic s equence analysis. DNA sequencing of informative target regions, such as the 16S rRNA gene and the 16S-23S ribosomal DNA intergenic spacer region (ISR), has resulted in us eful for definitive s pecies ide ntification within *Lactobacillus* species com plexes. The r apid developments of g enus-, species-, and strain s pecific 16 SrRNA nucleotide ha ve successful. DNA s equencing i s t he g old s tandard f or i dentifying t he pr oducts of amplification reactions was generally performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) method

The polymerase c hain reaction (PCR) is a technique widely used in molecular biology which technique for amplification *in vitro* by the simultaneous primer extention of com plementary s tands of deoxynucleotide-tri-phosphate (DNA). The P CR was amplified a piece of specific DNA regions (DNA template). DNA polymerase carries out the synthesis of a complemantary s trand of DNA in the 5⁺ to 3⁺ direction using s ingle strand DNA template but starting from double-stranded region. The basic of PCR set up requires several reagents and components are including DNA template, oligonucleotides primer which are com plementary t o DNA r egion, DNA polymerase (172), deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTP), buffer solution for optimum activity and stability of the DNA polymerase, magnesium (Mg2+) and potassium ions. The cycling reactions are repeated for 30 or 40 cycles. This is used on an automated cycler, which the tubes with the reaction mixture can heat and cool in a very short time.

The step of PCR as shown in Figure 6

Denaturation initiation is heating the reaction to a temperature of 94-96 °C, for 5-10 minutes because DNA pol ymerases t hat require h eat a ctivation. Denaturation is consists of heating the r eaction to 94-98°C for 20-30 s econds which melting of DNA template b y disrupting the h ydrogen bonds between complementary bases of the DNA strands into single s tranded DNA. Annealing is 1 owered t o 50 -65°C for 1 m inutes allowing a nnealing of the primers to the single-stranded DNA template. Extension or elongation, is c onsists of heating t he r eaction t o 72-80°C which is de pend on DNA polymerase synthesizes a new DNA strand complementary to the DNA template strand by adding dN TP that a re c omplementary to the template in 5 ' to 3' d irection. Final extention, this single step is occasionally performed at a temperature of 70-74°C for 5-15 minutes a fter the last PCR c ycle to ensure that any remaining single-stranded DNA is fully extended and hold at 4°C for an in definite time before PCR product detection.



Figure 6. Schematic diagram of steps in PCR.

DNA sequencing (Dideoxy sequcing)

The S anger m ethod has s erved as t he cornerstone f or genome s equence production since 1977, close to almost 30 years of tremendous utility (173). The dideoxy enzymatic m ethod as originally developed b y Frederick S anger utilizes *E.coli* DNA polymerase I t o synthesize a com plementary co py of s ingle-stranded DNA te mplate (174). This technique uses s equence-specific termination of a DNA synthesis by using modified nucleotide substrates. In chain terminator sequencing, extension is initiated at a specific site on the template DNA by using oligonucleotide primer complementary to the template region. T he ol igonucleotide primer is e xtended using a DNA p olymerase an enzyme that replicates DNA.

The Sanger sequencing method capitalizes on the ability of DNA polymerase I of *E.coli* Atkinson *et al.* showed t hat t he i nhibitory a ctivity of 2 ',3'-dideoxynucleotide triphosphate (ddDTP) on DNA polymerase I depends on i ts being incorporated into the growing oligonucleotide chain in the place of ddDTP. Because the ddDTP contains no 3'-hydroxyl group, the chain cannot be extended, chain elongation is terminated selectively at A, T, C or G occurs specifically at positions (175).

The conceptually most satisfying approach to the introduction of a label during the S anger s equencing pr otocol i s vi a a l abelled t erminating dN TPs. U sing s uch fluorescent analogues bypasses the difficulties of labeling the primer or of designing the primer for a successful enzymatic internal labelling. The 5' terminus of the primer has been a popul art arget f or the at tachment of a variety of l abels such as r adioactive isotopes, fluorescent dyes or other tags are used (173) (Figure 7).

The S anger s equencing r eaction mixture including DNA t emplate, thermostable DNA pol ymerase, a primer, dN TPs (dATP, dG TP, dC TP and dT TP) and ddN TPs (ddATP, ddG TP, ddC TP, or ddT TP) fluorescently labeled nucleotides, which ddN TPs are the chain-terminating nucleotides, lacking a 3'-OH group required for the formation of a phosphodiester bond between two nucleotides during DNA strand elongation. The condition of D NA s equencing is similar with PCR c ondition using a c ommercially available themal cycling machine.



Figure 7. Chromatogram of sequencing by automate sequencer

Genotyping of Lactobcillus

Indigenous *Lactoacillus* believed t o pl ay i mportant r ole i n c ontrol t he gastrointestinal tracts and maintenance its normal state. The identification of lactobacilli based on ph ysiological and biochemical c riteria is ve ry difficult and uncertain (29). Furthermore, non cultivable or ganisms a nd o rganisms w ith a mbiguous biochemical profiles can be identified. R ecently, have the reported on t he application of 16S rRNA gene s equencing for b acterial identification. The s tudies 16S r RNA or 23S rRNA-targeted h ybridization pr obes a nd pol ymerase c hain r eaction (PCR) pr imers have be en applied s uccessfully t o the detection and i dentification of s ome *Lactobacillus* species.

Analysis of bacteria based on 16S rRNA primers is a sensitive and specific technique to identify gastrointestinal tracts that are difficult to cultivate.

The s everal s tudies de sign and va lidate primer for de tection of 1 actobacilli in gastrointestinal tr acts c olonization. Rekha, R . *et al.* (2006) t hey s tudy design a nd validation of genus-specific primers for human gut flora using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). T hey w ere de signed s ix di fferent pr imer s ets to differentiate following of anaerobic genera *Bifidobacterium* (Bif), *Ruminococcus* (Rum), *Lactobacillus* (Lacb), *Campylobacter* (Camp), *Peptococcus* (Pep), and *Clostridium* (Clos) which each primers used for the PCR reaction showed specificity for targeted genera (176). John Penders *et al.* (2006) t hey de termine the gut mic roflora c omposition i n e arly infancy which was detected by quantitative real-time pol ymerase chain reaction assays. The primers w ere specific f or la ctobacilli, bifidobacteria, *Escherichia coli, Clostridium difficile, Bacteroides fragilis* group and total bacterial counts (177). Similarly in 2007 Jinjin Chen and group they studies was quantify lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in healthy breast-fed neonates. These study show that lactobacilli and bifidobacteria colonization in the gut. The genus specific 16S rRNA-tageted primer sets, which *Lactobacillus* primers L 159-f and L677-r have been validated by Heillig *et al.* As shown in Table 6 (178, 179).

Pena *et al.* (2004) they study the genotyping of lactobacilli isolates from mouse intestine by 16S rDNA sequencing which PCR was amplified with primers 16S-8F and 16S-1541R (universal primer) and 16S r DNA g ene was sequenced by using a n ABI Prism BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (32). Roos S, Engstrand L and Jonsson H (2005) they study the composition of *Lactobacillus* flora from hum an gastric m ucosa and study genetic characteristics by com plete of 16S r RNA g ene sequences for the s trains w ere am plified by PCR with bacteria-specific pr imer. These study a nalysis 16S rRNA ge ne of la ctobacilli 15 isolates and were di vided i nto f our groups which those of all members of *L. reuteri* subgroup and *L. delbrueckii* subgroup (35). The di scovery of P CR a nd D NA s equencing, t he 16S r RNA gene i s hi ghly conserved within a species and among species of the same genus and can be used as the new "gold standard" for decision of the species of bacteria (180).

Target	Name	Primer Sequence (5' to 3')	PCR	Anneali	References
Organism	primer		Product	ng	
				Temp.	
Genus	Lacb (F)	TGCCTAATACATGCAA	318 bp	52.0	(176)
Lactobacillus		GTCGA			
	Lacb (R)	GTTTGGGCCGTGTCTCA			
		GT			
Lactobacillus	F primer	AGCAGTAGGGAATCTT	341 bp	59.0	(115, 177)
spp.		CCA			
	R primer	CACCGCTACACATGGA			
		G			
Lactobacilli	L159-f	GGAAACAG(A/G)TGCTA	519 bp		(178, 179)
		ATACCG			
	L677-r	CAC CGC TAC ACA TGG			
		AG			
Universal	16S-8(F)	AGAGTTTGATCYTGGYT	1,500 bp	57.0	(32)
primer		YAG			
	16S-	AAGGAGGTGWTCCARC			
	1541(R)	С	3		

Table 6. Target groups and sequences of the PCR primers in previous study

However, some time in lactobacilli as closely related species which 16S rRNA primers have not been used caused very little sequence variation observed between the 16s rRNA genes of closely related microorganisms (181). The sequence of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer region (ISR) were shown greater variations than that of the 16S rRNA structural gene for designing specific primers to identify closely related species. Yu-Li S ong *et al.* (2000) study lactobacilli isolated from J apanese stool specimens by two-step multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as says. The first step of multiplex PCR w as us ed for grouping of l actobacilli with a mixture of group-specific primers followed second step with four sorts of species-specific primer mixtures for identification at the species level. The primers were designed from nucleotide sequences of the 16S-23S r RNA i intergenic s pacer r egion (ISR) and i ts flanking 23S r RNA gene of genus

Lactobacillus. The phylogenetic tree were differentiated into four groups of lactobacilli (182). The several reports on specific PCR identification for lactobacilli, mainly based on ribosomal genes and the ribosomal intergenic region (183, 184). Previous systems are not sensitive enoug h to differentiate ba cteria under t he s pecies l evel w hich, have b een molecular t yping me thods such as the r andom amplified pol ymorphic DNA (RAPD), restriction fragment l ength pol ymorphism, pul sed-field gel el ectrophoresis and ribotyping as technique have been used for discrimination of *Lactobacillus* strains.

LIPOPOLYSACCHARIDES (LPS)

Lipopolysaccharide is the m ajor components of the out er m enbrane of gram negative bacteria. LPS is localized in the outer layer of the membrane and protect cell against the a ction of b ile s alts, lipophilic a ntibiotics, phagocytosis a nd cell l ysis. Lipopolysaccharides (LPS, e ndotoxin) r epresent a m ajor vi rulence f actor of gr amnegative bacteria, which can cause septic shock in mammals. The molecule stucture of LPS is consists of three distinct regions: the highly hydrophobic lipid A (or endotoxin), hydrophilic core oligosaccharide is nonrepeating of oligosaccharide and repeating unit of oligosaccharide or O-polysaccharide (O-antigen) as shown in Figure 8 (185, 186).



Figure 8. Chemical structure of LPS (endotoxin), (Hep) L-glycerol-D-manno-heptose; (Gal) galactose; (Glc) glucose; (KDO) 2 -keto-3-deoxyoctonic a cid; (NGa) N -acetyl-galactosamine; (NGc) N-acetyl-glucosamine.

The m ost of O -specific pol ysaccharide ch ain are repeating un its o f oligosaccharides which display a structural diversity of each strain. The sugar elements determine the serological O specificity of particular strains. Since lipopolysaccharides confer antigenic properties on the cell, they have main antigen in termed O antigens.

Lipid portion or Lipid A is identical among all gram negative species. Recent genomic data ha ve s implify s tudy o f L PS a ssembly in di verse g ram-negative b acteria w hich highly t oxic a nd m otion a pow erful i mmune r esponse. B acteria have be en common serotypes of surface antigens (group O, group H) which examples *E. coli* O127:B8.

Lipopolysaccharide-mediated signal transduction

LPS is recognized by cells of the innate immune system. LPS is an incredibly potent initiator of immune c ascades. In c onditions where the bod y is exposed to LPS excessively or systemically such as LPS enter the blood stream, a systemic inflammatory reaction c an oc cur, l eading t o multiple pa thophysiological e ffects, s uch a s e ndotoxin shock, tissue injury, and death. However, endotoxin does not activate directly against cell or or gans but t hrough activation of t he i mmune s ystem, which especially t hrough monocytes and macrophages, with the release of a range of pro-inflammatory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) (185). The biological response to LPS is mediated by a receptor complex Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR) 4, CD14, and LBP. TLR4 is a family of innate i mmunity r eceptors t hat pos sess a 1 arge ex tracellular do main of 1 eucine-rich repeats, a single *trans*-membrane s egment, and a smaller cytoplasmic s ignaling r egion that e ngages the a daptor protein MyD88 when stimulated by antigen , this r eceptor initiates an intracellular signaling cascade that results in the activation of Erk, Jnk, p38, Akt, and NF-kB, AP-1 binding size which, as shown in Figure 9 (187).



Figure 9. Lipopolysaccharide stimulated signal which increase TNF-alpha production in macrophages (187)

TUMOR NECROSIS FACTOR-ALPHA (TNF- α)

Tumor N ecrosis F actor-alpha (TNF- α) is a member of a family of cytokines. TNF- α is a highly pleiotropic c ytokine that plays a central role in inflammation and apoptosis (19). TNF- α is a major mediator of inflammation as well as apoptosis and immunity, and it has been associated in the pathogenesis of a wide spectrum of human diseases, i ncluding s epsis, di abetes, c ancer, osteoporosis, a llograft rejection a nd autoimmune di seases s uch as mul tiple s clerosis (20), r heumatoid a rthritis, a nd inflammatory bo wel di seases (21, 22). TNF- α is be neficial in activating the inna te immune r esponse, i nappropriate p roduction of T NF- α leads to inflammation, tissue destruction, and or gan i niury. TNF- α is produced by m any different c ell t ypes, but especially by m acrophage. The m ain sources in vivo are s timulated monocytes, neutrophil, and endothelial cells (25). The TNF- α was produced by macrophages, T-cells and B -lymphocytes, granulocytes, s mooth m uscle c ells, eosinophils, c hondrocytes, osteoblasts, mast cells, glial cells and keratinocytes after stimulation. TNF- α is reported to promote inflammatory cell infiltration by upregulating leukocyte adhesion molecules on endothelial cells, serve as a chemotactic agent for monocytes (188), and activate phagocyte killing mechanisms. Additional beneficial functions of TNF- α include its role in the immune r esponse to ba cterial, and c ertain fungal, viral, and parasitic invasions (188) as well as its role in the necrosis of specific tumors. TNF- α cytokine family induce hepatic expression of acute phase proteins and increases vascular permeability, by which recruiting macrophage and neutrophils to a site of infection. The deficiencies in either TNF- α or its receptors can increase susceptibility to infection by intracellular pathogens.

Monocytes and macrophages are major cellular components of the innate of the immune s ystem which a bility to produce T NF- α , in response to bacteria and bacterial fragments, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (24). TNF- α is a key mediator in a host response to infections, LPS is (endotoxin), a constituent of the outer membrane of gramnegative ba cteria, can initiate a cas cade of i nflammatory mediators that can lead to systemic inflammation (25). LPS is a n especially potent s timulus for T NF- α by LPS stimulated macrophages. Previous investigated the effects of LPS on the expression of cytokines s ecreted b y b ovine pol ymorphonuclear ne utrophil l eukocytes (PMN), t hey detected t he expression of TNF- α by E LISA (26). Lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS), the t oxicants f rom ba cteria, ar e pot ent i nducers of

inflammatory cytokines, s uch a s t umor n ecrosis f actor-alpha (TNF) i n m acrophages notably, increasing evidence suggests that macrophages also play an important role in the development of the low-grade inflammation (27).

General of TNF-a biology

TNF- α is a soluble c ytokine has be en identified that w as produced upon activation b y t he i mmune s ystem. In 1984, t he c DNA of TNF- α was c loned, t he structural a nd f unctional hom ology t o l ymphotoxin (LT) was r ealized, and two membrane r eceptors, each c apable of binding both c ytokines, w ere i dentified (189). TNF- α gene is located on chromosome 6 in man and chromosome 17 in the mouse (190, 191). TNF- α has a subunit molecular mass of 17 kDa of protomers are composed of two antiparallel β -pleated sheets with antiparallel β -strands forming a 'jelly roll' structure, typical for the TNF ligand family. TNF is primarily produced as a 212 amino acid-long type II transmembrane protein arranged in stable hom otrimers (188, 192). Monocytes express at least five different molecular forms of TNF-α with molecular masses of 21.5-28 kDa. They mainly differ by post-translational alterations such as glycosylation and phosphorylation. Biological a ction of T NF- α is bind to two detached cells urface receptors, TNF receptor1 (TNFR1) (55 kDa) and TNFR2 (75 kDa). TNF-α is polypeptide cytokine were produced during infection, injury, or invasion, has proved its triggering the lethal effects of septic shock syndrome, cachexia, and other systemic manifestations of disease and TNF- α is a proinflammatory cytokine know n t o pl ay a k ey role i n t he pathogenesis of IBD (193).

Detection of TNF-a

Enzyme L inked I mmuno-Sorbent A ssay (ELISA) method is bi ochemical technique used in immunology to detection and quantitation of the presence biological substances such as antibodies, proteins, peptides, hormones and cytokine. The ELISA is use combine the specificity of antibodies with the sensitivity by simple enzyme assay and can detect and measure concentration of antigen or antibody with quick. ELISA method can provide a specific, sensitive and rapid method for detection of TNF- α in the serum of patient and it is important that the assay used should be sufficiently sensitive to detect low levels of TNF- α (194).

Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Sandwith ELISA)

In 1990 Adolf GR and Lamche H R they were developed a r apid, s imple a nd highly s ensitive s andwich enzyme i mmunoassay (ELISA) f or t he detection a nd quantification of hum an tumor ne crosis factor (TNF- α) in serum (195). The S andwich ELISA is method as measures the amount of antigen sample by use two layers of capture and detection antibody. Sandwich ELISA is method for quantitation of antigens in the sample when t he c oncentration of a ntigens is l ow or in high concentrations o f contaminating protein. An immunoassay for TNF- α is described using a sandwich system which employs a mouse monoclonal as the capture antibody and a polyclonal rabbit anti-TNF- α as the detection antibody (196).

General procedure of s andwich E LISA a reprepare c apture antibodies pur ified and bound t o a solid phase attached to the bottom of a plate well, block non s pecific binding site, antigen sample contain to the plate, detection antibodies that to bind with specific antigen, remove the unbound a ntigen by wash, add t he secondary antibody conjugated to an enzyme which specific with detection antibodies, wash that unbound of antibody-enzyme conjugates, add substrate which is converted into color fluorescent or electrochemical by enzyme and measure t he abs orbance of fluorescence or electrochemical s ignal of t he pl ate w ells t o determine t he p resence and quantity of antigen as shown in Figure 10.

The advantages sandwich ELISA for the detection of antibodies are including the convenience of the microtiter plate for testing large numbers of samples, the absence of radioactive tracers and precipitation steps, the high stability of the reagents thus, the possibility of testing samples from various species without modification of the assay and the ability to detect low-affinity antibodies which the absence of competitive reactions (195).



Figure 10. Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

HUMAN ACUTE MONOCYTIC LEUKEMIA CELLS (THP-1)

THP-1 cells are acute monocytic leukemia cells (ATCC TIB202). The source of THP-1 cells derived from the peripheral blood of a 1 year old male with acute monocytic leukaemia. This c ell line ha d differentiation, l ysozyme s ynthesis, pha gocytosis, F c receptor, IL-1 production, complement (C3b) receptors and express HLA A2, A9, B5, DRw1, a nd D Rw2 antigens and l ack s urface and c ytoplasmic i mmunoglobulin (197). The growth properies of THP-1 cells are suspension cells with monocytoid morphology and morphology of THP-1 cell is large, round, single cells. Monocytic differentiation can be i nduced w ith the phor bol e ster 12 -O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) (198) which m atures i nto m acrophage-like adherent cells following s timulation with phor bol 12-myristate 13-acetate or 1 α , 25-dihydroxy vitamin D3. The monoc ytic cell line w as characterized by the presence of alpha-naphthyl butyrate esterase activities which could be i nhibited b y NaF, l ysozyme pr oduction, t he pha gocytosis of l atex particles and sensitized sheep erythrocytes.

THP-1 cells are growth in suspension R PMI 1640 pl us 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2mM L-Glutamine and maintain culture between 2-9x10⁵ cells/ml. 37°C, 5% CO₂. THP-1 cell is origin from human and no evidence for the presence of infectious viruses or toxic products. However, it is recommended that cultures are handled under Biosafety Level 2 containment (198).

CHAPTER IV

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Patients and clinical specimens

A t otal of 272 pa tients pr esenting with dyspepsia w ere e nrolled in t he study. There w ere 98 (36.03%) males a nd 174 (63.97%) females. The mean a ge w as 49 ± 15 years (range 18-80 years). These patients were out patients at Gastrointestinal unit, King Chulalongkorn M emorial hospital during 12 months peroid f rom October 2006 t o October 2007. They were divided into three groups by endoscopic findings as follows: group 1, 70 patients with mild gastritis (mean age 46 ± 16 ; range 19 to 78 years; 23 males; 47 females); group 2, 158 patients with severe gastritis (mean age 49 ± 15 ; range 18 to 76 years; 48 males; 110 females) and group 3, 44 pa tients with pe ptic ul cer (mean age 59 ± 13 ; range 31 to 79 years; 27 males; 17 females). All patients gave informed consent. Exclusion critetia included the pa tients w ho had bleeding in t he s tomach, c irrhosis, tuberculosis and A IDS. This study was a pproved by the Ethics C ommittee for H uman Research of Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University.

All patients e nrolled in t he s tudy underwent uppe r esophagogastroduodenal endoscopy (EGD). B efore e ndoscopy, a t hroat s wab w as m ade by us ing s terile c otton swab and inserted into 2 m l of de Man-Rogasa-Sharpe (MRS) br oth (Oxoid, E ngland). One biopsy sample of each patient taken from the antrum (about 0.3 m m in size; Figure 11) by using a disinfected endoscope was placed in 200 μ l MRS br oth. The specimens were processed immediately upon receipt.



Figure 11. The collection of gastric biopsy sample.

2. Culture of peptic biopsy samples and throat swabs

Gastric bi opsy samples in MRS broth were treated in an ultrasonic water bath (GEN-PROBE, Geprufte & Sicherheit, Germany) for 2 min to separate the bacterial cells from the biopsy into MRS broth. This treatment has shown to be optimal for separation of bacterial cells from the stomach bi opsies without damaging them (199). The gastric biopsy suspension of 100 μ l was spreaded on MRS agar in duplicate. The culture plates were incubated anaerobically (10% CO₂, 10% H₂, and 80% N₂) at 37°C for 48-72 h in anaerobic ch amber (Concept P lus, Ruskinn t echnology) or anaerobic j ar (Oxoid, England).

Throat swabs were shaken gently for 5 t imes and 20 μ l of the suspension was streaked on M RS a gar i n dupl icate a nd i ncubated a naerobically at 37°C i n anaerobic chamber (Concept P lus, R uskinn T echnology, E ngland) or anaerobic j ar (Oxoid, England) for 48 -72 h. Bacterial c olonies that developed on M RS agar with different appearance were picked and each was streaked on a new MRS agar. After an aerobic incubation, single pure colony was isolated and subculture for experimental use.

3. Selection of *Lactobacillus* isolates

Each of the isolate was examined by gram stain. Isolates that were gram-positive rod or coccobacilli were tested for catalase by placing a drop of 3% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) solution on the cells. Immediate formation of bubbles indicated the presence of catalase in the cell. Subsequently, only the isolates which were gram-positive rod and catalase-negative were tested for vancomycin (VA) susceptibility test as described by Pena *et al.* (32), Briefly, *Lactobacillus* isolates were suspended in 0.85% normal saline solution (NSS) t o 0.5 M cFarland s tandard and s wabed ont o M RS a gar pl ates. Vancomycin imprenated disks (VA 5 μ g/disc, Oxoid, England) were applied to bacterial cultures, which then grown in a naerobic c ondition at 37°C for 24 -48 h. The i solate displaying i nhibition z one of greater t han 15 m m w as c onsidered s usceptible. *Lactobacillus* isolates, differing in colony appearance or cell morphology, were selected from bacterial cultures of each pa tient. O ne t o s even colonies were pi cked from the culture with similar colony appearance. All isolates which were gram-positive, regular rods or s hort r od o r c occobacilli a nd c atalase- negative were maintained as f rozen

cultures in MRS broth with 20% (v/v) sterile glycerol (Oxoid, England) and stored at - 80° C (Sanyo, Japan) for experimental use.

4. Genotypic characterization of *Lactobacillus* Isolates

4.1 DNA preparation

DNA was ex tracted using High Pure P CR Template P reparation Kit (Roche, USA) following t he m anufacturer's i nstructions. A 1 oopful of pur e c ulture w as suspended in 200 µl of double-distilled water (DDW) in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube into density of 10⁹ cell/ml, centrifuged at 3,000 xg for 5 min and re-suspended in DNAseand RNAse-free distilled water (Gibco; Invitrogen, UK.). The berterial cells were lysed by adding 15 µl lysozyme solution (10mg/ml Tris-HCL, pH 8.0) (Ameresco, UK) and incubated at 37°C f or 15 min. A fter i ncubation, t he s amples were a dded 200 µ l of Binding Buffer and 40 µl Proteinase K (Roche, USA), mixed immediately and incubated at 70°C for 10 m in. A fter incubation, 100 µ l of Isopropanol (MERCK, Germany) was added and mixed well. The liquid sample was then transfered to High Filter Tube in one Collection T ube and centrifuged at 8,000 xg for 1 min. After c entrifugation, the Filter Tube was removed from the Collection Tube and combined with a new Collection Tube. Five hundred microliters of Inhibitor Removal Buffer was added to the upper reservoir of the Filter Tube and centrifuge at 8,000xg for 1 min. After centrifugation, the Filter Tube was removed from the Collection Tube and combined with a new Collection Tube. Five hundred microliters of wash Buffer were added to the upper reservoir of the Filter Tube and centrifuged at 8,000 xg for 1 min and the flowthrough was discarded. The Filter Tube-Collection Tube a ssembly w as c entrifuged at ma ximum s peed (aproximately 13,000xg) for 30 s for removal of the residual buffer. After centrifugation, the Collection Tube was discarded and inserted into a clean, sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. Two hundred microliters of Elution Buffer was prewarmed at 70°C and added into the upper reservoir of the Filter Tube and centrifuged at 8,000xg for 1 min. The eluted DNA was stored at 4°C or frozen at -20°C for later analysis.

4.2 DNA amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

4.2.1 Lactobacillus group-specific primers

PCR was performed by using primers designed according to the sequence of the genus *Lactobacillus* on 16S r RNA gene as d escribed b y P enders *et al* (177). T he amplication pr oduct w as 341 bp. T he r eaction w as performed in 0.5 ml P CR t ube. Amplification was performed in 50 µl mixture containing 5.0 µl of 10X buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 m M K Cl), 2.5 m M of M gCl₂, 0.4 m M of de oxynucleoside t riphosphate (dNTPs; dATP,dCTP,dGTP,dTTP), 10 pmoles of each primer. PCR was amplified using forward primer L341-F (5'-AGC AGT AGG GAA TCT TCC A-3') and reverse p rimer L341-R (5'-CAC CGC TAC ACA TGG AG-') (Invitrogen Custom Primers, Hong Kong), 1.25 U Fast start Taq DNA polymerase (Roche, Germany), 2.0 µl of the DNA template, and DNAse- and RNAse-free distilled water (Gibco-Invitrogen, UK.) in a volume of 50 µl. Amplification of 16S rRNA gene was performed under the following PCR condition: denaturation at 95°C for 5 m in, followed by 35 cycles of de naturation 95°C for 30 s , primer annealing at 57°C for 1 m in, extension at 72°C for 1 m in and one cycle of 72°C for 5 m in with Eppendorf Master Cycler gradient PCR system (Thermal Master cycler gradient, Germany).

4.2.2 Universal primer

Genotypic characterisation by PCR followed with sequencing of 16S rRNA gene was pe rformed b y t he method of Pena *et al* (32). U niversal pr imers were de signed according to the sequence of the 16SrRNA gene of the microorganism. The amplication product of 16S rRNA gene was about 1,500 bp. The reaction was performed in 0.5 m l PCR tube. Amplification was performed in 50 µl mixture containing 25µl of (2X) Hot start master mix (GE Healthcare illustra, UK) which contained 10 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM KCl, 3 m M M gCl2, 0. 4 m M de oxynucleoside t riphosphate (dNTP), 2 U T aq DNA polymerase, Hot S tart A ctivator protein and Stabilizers and 50 pm oles of each primer. The primer 16S -8F (5'-AGA GT T TGA T CY TGG YT Y AG-3') and 16S-1541R (5'-AAG GAG GTG WTC CAR CC-3') (Invitrogen Custom Primers, Hong Kong), 2.0 µl of the DNA template/sample, and PCR water (Roche Applied Science) to a volume of 50 µl. The PCR cycling parameters were initial DNA denaturation at 95°C for 5 m in, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation 95°C for 30 s, primer annealing at 57°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min and one cycle of 72°C for 5 m in with Eppendoft M aster Cycler gradient PCR system.

4.3 Detection of amplication product

Ten microliters of PCR product were mixed with 3 μ l of gel loading dye (20% ficoll, 0.05% br omophenol bl ue) a nd a nalyzed in e lectrophoresis a pparatus (Wealtec, Taiwan) on 1.0% UltrapureTM Agarose (Reseach, USA) gel, consisted of 1% solution of ethidium bromide (50 μ g/ml) in 0.5X Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA (0.5X TBE) buffer pH 8.0. The electrophoresis was carried out at 100 volts for 60 m in. A molecular ladder of 100-bp pl us w as us ed t o e stimate the size of PCR fragments. G els w as vi sualized b y UV transillumination (Bio-Rad) and recorded by Cemera Gel DocTMMZL (Bio-RAD, USA).

4.4 Sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene

The sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene was performed according to the method as previously de scribed (32). P CR pr oducts (approximately 1,500 bp) were pur ified by using QIAquick PCR purification kit or QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen Inc., USA) Sequencing was performed by using 10 ng purified P CR product. S equencing was performed using the same primers as in PCR amplification and determined by the dideoxynucleotide c hain t ermination m ethod at the 1st BASE S equencing, S han Alan, Malasia (http://www.base-asia.com). The nucleotide s equence w as analysed using the sequence match program of t he R ibosomal D atabase P roject I I (RDP-II; http://rdp.cme.msu.edu) GenBank and DNA da tabase s earch (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) (35). The closest relatives of the partial 16S rRNA gene sequences was evaluated. A similarity o<u>₹</u> 97% to 16S rRNA sequences of type strains was used as the criterion for identification.

5. THP-1 Cells and culture conditions

THP-1 cells are a human monocytic cell line (ATCC TIB-202, USA) originally isolated from a chi ld with acute leukemia. They were pur chased from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC). These cells were suspension cells with monocytoid morphology (198).

5.1 Culture of THP-1 cells

These non-adherent cells were maintained in continuous culture with RPMI 1640 (with 2mM L-glutamine, 2000 mg/L D-glucose, 10mM HEPES, and 1.0 sodium pyruvate and 0.05 mM β -mercaptoethanol, adjusted to contain 2 g/L sodium bicarbonate) (Gibco-Invitrogen, U SA), c ontaining 10% he at-inactivated f etal bovi ne s erum (FBS; Gibco-Invitrogen, U SA). T hey were i ncubated at 37 °C in hum idified 5% C O₂ incubator (BINDER, G ermany). The doubl ing t ime f or t hese c ells unde r t hese c onditions i s approximately 48 h. T hese cells were monitored da ily for morphology and growth characteristics.

5.2 THP-1 cell Sub-Culturing

THP-1 c ells w ere m aintained b y the a ddition of R PMI 1640 fresh m edium or replacement of medium. All cultures us ed sterile technique in a Vertical Laminar Flow workstation (Microflow, UK.). C ell cultures maintained between 5.0×10^4 to 8.0×10^5 viable c ells/ml a nd t he de nsity di d not e xceed 1.0×10^{-6} cells/ml. Sub-culturing w as performed ev ery 2 -3 d ays, de pending on c ell de nsity. S ub-culture was done w ith inoculum of 2×10^4 - 4×10^4 viable c ell/ml. RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco-Invitrogen, USA) plus 10% he at-inactivated fetal bo vine s erum (Gibco-Invitrogen, USA) w ere us ed as medium to maintain cell culture which was incubated at 37° C in a humidified 5% CO₂ environment. Since cel ls m ay change ph enotypic and functional cha racteristics w ith prolonged pa ssage, s ub-cultures w ere m ade be tween 15-50 t imes. W hen T HP-1 cells approached 50 passages, they were thawed from the new vial of frozen stock.

5.3 Freezing of THP-1 cells

The collection stocks of THP-1 cells were made in multiple frozen vials (10 or more vi als) f or s ubsequent us e. T he f rozen s tocks w ere pr epared i n c ryoprotective medium w hich w as c onsisted of R PMI 1640 pl us 10% F BS s upplemented w ith 5 % (V/V) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The THP-1 stocks were kept at -80°C overnight b efore the storage i n l iquid ni trogen va por pha se at -196°C (Taylor-Wharton, USA). The THP-1 stock was thawed at 1-2 weeks after freezing to check cell viability and purity by using inverted microscope (Olympus, Japan).

5.4 Thawing of THP-1 cell

Collection vial of T HP-1 f rom l iquid ni trogen (-196° C) t ank was t hawed as described in the manufacture's instruction. The vial was put in water bath (Gyromax TM 929, USA), by keeping the O-ring and cap out of the water, at 37°C for approximately 2 min. After decontamination by spraying 70% ethanol, THP-1 cells were transferred into 9 ml RPMI 1640 plus 10% FBS (complete culture medium) in a conical centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 125xg for 5 min. After centrifugation, cell pellets were resuspended in 6 ml complete culture medium in tissure culture flask (25 cm² in size)(NUNC, Denmark) and i ncubated a t 37 °C in 5% C O₂ incubator. The cul ture was checked f or m icrobial contamination by using an inverted microscopic (Olympus, Japan). After incubation for several hours, the suspension was centrifuged at 125xg for 5-10 minutes and the pellet was resuspended in 6 ml of medium. Cell suspension was counted and checked for the viability, adjusted the density of cell to $5x10^4$ viable cell/ml and incubated horizontally at 37°C in 5% CO₂ incubator (BINDER, Germany).

จุฬาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย

6. Assay for Immunomodulatory Effect of *Lactobacillus* isolates on TNF-α production in LPS-Activated THP-1 Monocytic Cells

6.1 Preparation of *Lactobacillus* Conditioned Media (LCM)

Lactobacillus isolates from gastric bi opsies (17 i solates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis; 48 isolates from group 2 patients with severe gastritis and 24 isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer) were tested. L. saerimneri strain TH58, TNF- α inhibitory s train w as us ed a s pos itive c ontrol a nd L. reuteri strain 9/7, non -TNF- α inhibitory strain, was used as negative control. These control strains were available in the laboratory. (Malai Taweechotipatr, Ph.D. Dissertation 2008). All Lactobacillus isolates recovered f rom frozen stocks (-80°C) w ere s treaked on M RS a gar a nd i ncubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24-48 h in anaerobic chamber (Concept Plus) or in anaerobic jar. A single colony of Lactobacillus was picked and re-streaked on MRS agar for 24 h. After incubation, a single colony of *Lactobacillus* was picked and inoculated in 5 ml of MRS br oth a nd g rown at 37 °C for 24 h in 15 ml- conical cent rifuge tube (NUNC, USA). The OD₆₀₀ of culture was determined by using spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad Smart SpecTM Plus) and adjusted to OD_{600} of 0.1. (10x10⁸ cell/ml) in 10 ml of MRS broth and incubated for 24 and 48 h. After incubation, Lactobacillus culture was centrifuged in 15 ml round-bottom tubes at 4,000 r pm for 10 m in. The supernatant was filtered through 0.22 µm por e s ize f ilter uni t (Minisart, Germany). The supernatant of Lactobacillus without the cell pellet was called Lactobacillus condition media (LCM). The pH of LCM was adjusted by speed-vacuum dr ying (speed-vacuum, S avant i nstruments, USA) and resuspended in an equal volume of cell culture medium (RPMI 1640; Gibco-Invitrogen, USA). All LCM were stored at -20°C until analysis.

6.2 THP-1 Bioassay

THP-1 leukemic m onocytic c ells w ere m aintained i n R PMI 1640 M edium (Gibco-Invitrogen, USA) s upplemented w ith 10 % he at-inactivated f etal bovi ne s erum (FBS; Gibco-Invitrogen, USA). In-vitro bioassay was performed as previously described by P ena J A and V ersalovic J (58). THP-1 cel ls w ere count ed with hemocytometor (BOECO, G ermany) un der i nverted microscope and di luted i nto f resh complete R PMI 1640 medium (RPMI 1640 plus 10% FBS) to a density of 2.5 x 10^5 cells/ml. THP-1 cell

suspension 200 µl were seeded into each well of a 96-well flat-bottom tissue culture plate (NUNCLON D, Denmark) and incubated at 37°C in humidified 5% CO₂ chamber for 10 minutes. Bioassay was started by incubating the cells with 10 µl (5% v/v) of MRS or complete RPMI 1640 or *Lactobacillus* conditioned media in the appropriate well and 5 µl of 100 n g/ml (final c oncentration) LPS of *E. coli* serotype O 127:B8 (LPS; S igma, USA) was added into the appropriate well. A fter 3.5 h incubation, s upernatants were collected by into 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes and entrifugation at 1,000xg for 10 min in 4°C for TNF- α measurement. Cell viability was assessed by the trypan blue stain exclusion assay.

6.3 TNF-α measurement

TNF- α production from monocytic cells were detected with cytokine-specific sandwich qua ntitative e nzyme-linked i mmunnosobent a ssay (sandwich ELISA) according t o t he m anufacturer s i nstructions (TNF-a/TNF-SFII hum an D uoSet, R&D Systems, D Y210, U SA). B riefly, 96 w ell-microtiter pl ates (F96 CERT, MAXISORP, NUNC, Denmark) were coated with 100 μ l per well of mouse anti-human TNF- α antibodies (R&D S ystem, U SA) as c apturing antibodies di luted i n ph osphate buf fer saline (PBS), pH 7.2-7.4. The ELISA plate was sealed and incubated overnight at room temperature or 4° C. After incubation, plates were aspirated and washed three times with 400 µl per well of wash buffer (PBS pH 7.2-7.4 c ontaining with 0.05% T ween 20 (Amresco)) using a squirt bottle to remove excess capture antibody. After the last wash, any remaining wash buffer was removed by aspirating or inverting the plate and blotting it against clean paper towels. Plates were blocked with 300 µl per well of 1% (W/V) of A bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma, USA) in PBS, pH 7.2-7.4 (reagent diluent (RD)) to reduce non-specific binding. Plates were incubated at room temperature for a minimum of 2 h and washed three times with 400 µl per well of wash buffer. The plates were added 100 μ l of sample or standard in an appropriate well. The recombinant human TNF- α (R&D System, USA) was diluted seven point standards by use 2-fold serial dilutions at the concentration 1,000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.5, 15.625 a nd blank as reagent diluent. Plates were incubated overnight at room temperature. After incubation, the plates were aspirated and washed three times with wash buffer as described above. Biotinylated goat anti-human T NF-a (R&D System, USA) as de tection antibodies di luted i n r eagent diluents (100 µl) was added in each well and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. After

incubation, the plates were aspirated and washed three times with wash buffer in above described. Streptavidin conjugated to horse radish-peroxidase dilued in reagent diluents (100 μ l) were added to each well and incubated 20 m in by a voiding the exposure to direct light. After incubaction, the plates were aspirated and washed three times with wash buffer as described above. Substrate solution (100 μ l) as mixture of equal volume of reagent A (H₂O₂) and color reagent B (tetramethylbenzidine, TMB) was added to well plates and incubated for 20 min at room temperature by avoiding the exposure to direct light. Stop solution (100 μ l of 2 N H₂SO₄; MERCK, Germany) was added in each well. Absorbance was determined with microplate reader at 450 nm . A standard curve was created based on the optical density and concentration of TNF using computer software. The result of TNF concentration was quantified from standard curve and shown as pg/ml of culture medium.

6.4 Statistical analyses

All ex periments w ere performed in triplicate and the r esults w ere r eported as mean and s tandard deviations (SD). The data w ere analysed using the Student's t test with one-tailed distribution and considered statistically significant at p-value ≤ 0.05 . The statistical differences of the prevalence of TNF-suppressing *Lactobacillus* in each group of patients w ere an alysed by using chi-square (x²) test of SAS version 8. A p-value \leq 0.05 w as c onsidered s tatistically s ignificant. A bi nary lo gistic r egression analysis of SPSS version 15 was perfomed using the prevalence of TNF-suppressing *Lactobacillus*, age and sex of the patients. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

ศูนยวทยทรพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER V

RESULTS

1. Cultivation and presumptive identification of *Lactobacillus* from gastric biopsies and throat swabs

Two hundr ed and s eventy-two gastric bi opsies and throat s wabs were obtained from dyspeptic patients during the study period of 12 m onths from 25 O ctober 2006 t o 17 O ctober 2007. T hese samples were categorized into 70 s amples of group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis, 158 samples of group 2 patients with severe gastritis and 44 samples of g roup 3 pa tients w ith pe ptic ul cer. These s amples w ere cul tured i n anaerobic condition. Bacterial c olonies that were grown on MRS ag ar w ith different appe arance (Figure 12) were pi cked and s treaked f or s ingle c olony isolation on new MRS a gar plates. The most frequently found colonies were small to medium (2-2.5 mm), circular, with white transparent or turbid (Figure 13). Some colonies produce yellow pigment. A single pure colony was then subcultured for presumptive test by gram staining, catalase test and vancomycin susceptibility test.

A total of 106 and 193 i solates suspected of *Lactobacillus* were obtained from gastric bi opsies and throat s wabs, respectively. T hey were all gram-positive r ods, catalase-negative and vancomycin susceptible or resistant (Figure 14). Different types of suspected *Lactobacillus* colonies isolated from gastric biopsy and throat of each patient were observed and summarized in Tables 7 and 8 and Figures 15 and 16, respectively. The majority of colonies were found to be one and two types.



Figure 12. The primary plate of gastric biopsy as culture on MRS agar plates.



Figure 13. Colonies of *Lactobacillus* isolated from gastric biopsy samples of dyspeptic patients. (A) C olonies of *Lactobacillus* as w hite t ransparent, (B) C olonies of *Lactobacillus* as white turbid.



Figure 14. Vancomycin susceptibility of *Lactobacillus*. (A) *Lactobacillus* as susceptible (B) *Lactobacillus* as resistant.

Table 7. Number of different type of suspected *Lactobacillus* colonies isolated from gastric biopsy samples of each group of patients.

Group of dyspeptic patients	Total samples	Number of samples with suspected <i>Lactobacillus</i>	1 type	2 types	3 types	4 types	Total isolates
Group 1	70	10	6	1	3	0	17
Group 2	158	32	20	10	0	2	48
Group 3	44	16	8	8	0	0	24

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 15. Number of different type of suspected *Lactobacillus* colonies isolated from gastric biopsy samples of each group of patients.

Table 8. Number of different type of suspected Lactobacillus colonies isolated from throat swabs samples of each group of patients.

Group of	Total	Number of	1	2	3	4	5	Total
dyspeptic patients	samples	suspected	туре	туре	туре	туре	туре	isolates
	ศบย่า	Lactobacillus	รัพ	ยา	กร			
Group 1	75	27	14	6	6	0	1	49
Group 2	158	60	33	24	4	1	0	97
Group 3	44	20	9	11	1	0	0	34



Type of suspected Lactobacillus colony

Figure 16. Number of different type of suspected *Lactobacillus* colonies isolated from throat swab samples of each group of patients.

2. Genotypic Identification of *Lactobacillus* isolates

To identify t hese s uspected *Lactobacillus* isolates, 16S r RNA g enes w ere amplified and s equenced. The g enomic DNA of *Lactobacillus* isolates w ere extracted and amplified with two s ets of pr imers: one s et for the *Lactobacillus* group-specific amplification and the other set for universal amplification. Before amplification, two sets of pr imer w ere al igned with the 16S r RNA g ene s equences of *Lactobacillus* spp. with Multalin program (<u>http://bioinfo.genotoul.fr/multalin/multalin.html</u>) in F igures 17 a nd 18. Amplification with *Lactobacillus* group-specific primers L341-F and L341R yieled a 341 bp PCR product as shown in Figure 19. Of the 106 suspected *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric bi opsies, 89 i solates (83.96%) were f ound t o b e pos itive f or genus *Lactobacillus* amplification. Of t he 193 s uspected *Lactobacillus* isolates from thr oats swab, 180 i solates (93.26%) w ere f ound t o be pos itive f or genus *Lactobacillus* amplification.

Isolates with positive results (89 i solates from biopsies and 180 i solates from throat swabs) were subjected to amplification of complete 16S rRNA gene with a set of universal primers 16S-8F and 16S-1541R. The products of approximately 1,520 bp were

found as shown in Figure 20. The amplification products from gastric biopsies and throat swabs were sequenced and analysed with NCBI and RDP II database as shown in Tables 9-11 and 12-14, respectively. The similarity value closely relate ₱ 97% to 16S rDNA sequences of type strains was used as the criterion for species identification.

The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of patient groups 1, 2 and 3 w ere s hown in F igures 21, 22 and 23, r espectively. The summary of bacterial species found in gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients was shown in Figure 24. T wo of 89 isolates found in gastric biopsies were identified as *Weissella confusa*.

The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from throat swabs of patient groups 1, 2 and 3 were shown in Figures 25, 26 and 27, respectively. The summary of bacterial species found in gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients was shown in Figure 28. Three of 178 isolates found in throat swab were identified as *W. cibaria* and 3 isolates could not be identified, only matched with uncultered bacterium or Bacterium ii 1398.








Consensus							•••••						
	911 920	930	940	950	960	970	980	990	1000	1010	1020	1030	1040
X.acidophilus L.delbrueckii L.gasseri L.fernentun L.rhannosus L.casei L. L.brevis L.parabuchneri 16s-8F 16s-1541R Consensus	I	CAGCTAACGCF CAGCAAACGCF CAGCAAACGCF CAGCTAACGCF GAGCTAACGCF GAGCTAACGCF CAGCTAACGCF CAGCTAACGCF CAGCTAACGCF	ITTAAGCACT ITTAAGCACCT ITTAAGCACCT ITTAAGCACCT ITTAAGCACCT ITTAAGCACT ITTAAGCACTT ITTAAGCACTT ITTAAGCACTT ITTAAGCACTT	CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG CCGCCTGG	GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC GGAGTACGACC	GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA GCAAGGTTGAA	ACTCAAAAGGI ACTCAAAAGGI ACTCAAAGGI ACTCAAAGGI ACTCAAAGGI ACTCAAAGGI ACTCAAAAGGI ACTCAAAAGGI ACTCAAAAGGI ACTCAAAAGGI	AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG AATTGACGGG	GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI GGCCCGCACAI	40000000000000000000000000000000000000	ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF ATGTGGTTTF	ATTCGAAGC ATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC IATTCGAAGC	I AACGCGA AACGCGA AACGCGA AACGCGA TACGCGA AACGCGA TACGCGA TACGCGA TACGCGA
	1041 1050	1060	1070	1080	1090	1100	1110	1120	1130	1140	1150	1160	1170
X.acidophilus L.delbrueckii L.gasseri L.johnsonii L.fernentun L.rhannosus L.casei L. L.brevis L.parabuchneri 16s-8F 16s-1541R Consensus	I	TCTTGACATCI TCTTGACATCO TCTTGACATCO TCTTGACATCO TCTTGACATCO TCTTGACATCI TCTTGACATCI TCTTGACATCI TCTTGACATCI TCTTGACATCI	AGTGCAATCI TGTGCTACA AGTGCAAACI CAGTGCAAACI TGCGCCAACI TTTGATCACI TTTGATCACI TCTGCCAATI TCTGCCAATI TCTGCCAACI	CGTAGAGA CTAAGAGA CTAAGAGA CTAAGAGA CTAGAGAGA CTAGAGAGA CTGAGAGA CTGAGAGA CTTAGAGA CTTAGAGA CTAAGAGA	TRAGGAGAGTTCC TRAGGTGGTTCC TTAGGTGTTCC TRAGGTGTTCC TRAGGCGTTTCC TCAGGTTTCCC TRAGACGTTCCC TRAGACGTTCC TRAGACGTTCC	CTTCGGGGACA CTTCGGGGACG CTTCGGGACG CTTCGGGAACG CTTCGGGAACG CTTCGGGGACA CTTCGGGGACA CTTCGGGGACA CTTCGGGGACA	CTARGACAGG CAGAGACAGG CTGAGACAGG CTGAGACAGG CAATGACAGG AAATGACAGG GAATGACAGG GAATGACAGG GAATGACAGG	GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG GTGGTGCATG	GCTGTCGTCAI GCTGTCGTCAI GCTGTCGTCAI GCTGTCGTCAI GTCGTCGTCAI GTTGTCGTCAI GTTGTCGTCAI GTTGTCGTCAI GTTGTCGTCAI GTTGTCGTCAI	CTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT GCTCGTGTCGT	GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC GAGATGTTGC	GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC GTTAAGTCC	I CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG CGCAACG
	1171 1180	1190	1200	1210	1220	1230	1240	1250	1260	1270	1280	1290	1300
X.acidophilus L.delbrueckii L.gasseri L.johnsonii L.fernentun L.rhannosus L.casei L. L.previs L.parabuchneri 16s-8F 16s-1541R Fonsensus	I	CATTAGTTGCI CTTTAGTTGCC CATTAGTTGCC CATTAGTTGCC CATTAGTTGCC GACTAGTTGCC GACTAGTTGCC GACTAGTTGCC TATCAGTTGCC TATCAGTTGCC TGTTAGTTGCC	CAGCATTARG AATCATTARAG CATCATTARAG CATCATTARAG CATCATTARAG CAGCATTARAG CAGCATTARAG CAGCATTCAG CAGCATTCAG CAGCATTCAG	TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC TTGGGCAC	TCTAATGAGAC TCTAATGAGAC TCTAATGAGAC TCTAATGAGAC TCTAGTAATGAGAC TCTAGTAAGAC TCTAGTAAGAC TCTGGTGAGAC TCTGGTGAGAC TCTGGTGAGAC TCTAGCAAGAC	TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA TGCCGGTGACA	AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA AACCGGAGGA	ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA ARAGGTGGGGA	TGACGTCANG TGACGTCANG TGACGTCANG TGACGTCANG CGACGTCANG TGACGTCANA TGACGTCANA TGACGTCANA TGACGTCANA TGACGTCANA	ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC ICATCATGCCC	CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI CTTATGACCI	GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC GGGCTACAC	I ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT ACGTGCT
001301303	1301 1310	132 <mark>0</mark>	1330	1340	1350	1360	1370	1380	1390	1400	1410	1420	1430
X.,acidophilus L.delbrueckii L.,gasseri L.,johnsonii L.,fernentun L.,rhannosus L.casei L., brevis L.,parabuchneri 16s=8F 16s=1541R Consensus	I	ARCGAGGAGGA RACGAGGAGAGC ARCGAGAGAGC RACGAGAGAGC ARCGAGAGTGC ARCGAGTTGC ARCGAGTTGC ARCGAGTTGC ARCGAGTCGC	AGCCTGCGA AACCTGCGA AACCTGCGA AACCTGCGA AACTCGCGA AAGCCGCGA AGACCGCGA AAGCCGCGA AAGTCGTGA AAGTCGTGA AAACCGCGA	AGGCAAGC GGGTAAGC AGGCAAGC GGGCAAGC GGCCAAGC GGTCAAGC GGTCAAGC GGCTAAGC GGCTAAGC GGTCAAGC	GAATCTCTTAA GGATCTCTTAA GGATCTCTTAA GGATCTCTTAA AAATCTCTTAA TAATCTCTTAA TAATCTCTTAA TAATCTCTTAA TAATCTCTTAA TAATCTCTTAA	AGCTGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA AGCCGTTCTCA	GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGACTI GTTCGGATTI GTTCGGATTI GTTCGGATTI GTTCGGATTI	GCAGGTCTGCA GCAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA GTAGGCTGCA	ACTCGACTGCI ACTCGCCTGCI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTGCI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTACI ACTCGCCTACI	ACGAAGCTGGA ACGAAGCTGGA ACGAAGCTGGA ACGAAGCTGGA ACGAAGTCGGA ACGAAGTCGGA ATGGAAGTCGGA ATGAAGTTGGA ATGAAGTTGGA	ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA ATCGCTAGTA	ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT ATCGCGGAT	I CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCACG CAGCATG CAGCATG
	1431 1440	1450	1460	1470	1480	1490	1500	1510	1520	1530	1540	1550	1560
X.acidophilus L.delbrueckii L.gasseri L.johnsonii L.fernentun L.casei L.casei L.casei L.parabuchneri 16s-8f 16s-1541R Consensus	CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG CCGCGGTGAATACG	TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT TTCCCGGGCCT	TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC TGTACACAC	CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC CGCCCGTC	ACACCATGGGA ACACCATGGAA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA ACACCATGAGA	GTCTGCAATGC GTCTGCAATGC GTCTGTAACAC GTCTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC GTTTGTAACAC	CCANAGCCG CCANAGTCG CCANAGCCG CCANAGCCG CCANAGTCG CCGANGCCG CCGANGCCG CCANAGCCG CCANAGCCG	GTGGCCTAAC GTGGGATAAC GTGGGATAAC GTGGGGATAAC GTGGGGTAAC GTGGCGTAAC GTGGCGTAAC GTGAGATAAC GTGAGATAAC	CTTCGGG- CTTTATAGG-I CTTTATAGG-I CTTTATAGG-I CTTTATAGG-I CTTT-TAGGGI CCTTTTAGGGI CTTCGGGI CTTCGGGI CTTCGGGI	AAGGAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGCC AGTCAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGTC AGCCAGCCGCC AGCGAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGTC AGTCAGCCGTC	TAAGGCAGGC TAAGGCAGGC TAAGGTAGGT TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGG TAAGGTGGGT	CAGATGACT CAGATGACT CAGATGATT CAGATGATT CAGATGATGATT CAGATGATGATT CAGATGATT CAGATGATT CAGATGATT	GGGGTGA GGGGTGA AGGGTGA AGGGTGA AGGGTGA AGGGTGA AGGGTGA AGGGTGA
	1561 1570	1580	1590	1600	1610	1620	1630	1640	1650 1	1657			
X., acidophilus L., delbrueckii L., gasseri L., fernentun L., fernentun L., casei L., casei L., barabuchus L., parabuchus 16s=85 16s=15418 Consensus	AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT AGTCGTHACHAGGT	AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA AGCCGTAGGA	AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AACCTGCGG AA	CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA CTGGATCA	CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA CCTCCTTTCTA	Aggaag Aggaaggcgaa	AGATGATGGI	AGAGTGCGAG	AGCACTAAGA	GAAG			

Figure 18. The alignment of universal primers 16S-8F and 16S-1541R with 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Lactobacillus* spp.



Figure 19. Amplification of 16S r RNA g ene. Lane: 1, 100 -bp D NA ledder pl us; 2-4, DNA ex tract f rom s uspected *Lactobacillus* isolates; 5, *Lactobacillus* DNA pos itive control; 6, negative control.



Figure 20. Amplification of complete 16S rRNA. Lane: 1, 100 bp DNA ledder plus; 2-4, DNA ex tract f rom s uspected *Lactobacillus* isolates; 5, *Lactobacillus* DNA pos itive control; 6, negative control.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	B13	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	99.0
(56)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.6
2	B25	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(77)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
3	B66	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(163)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
	XB68	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
(184)	B71	Weissella confusa (NCBI)	99.0
		Weissella confusa (RDP)	98.8
4	B90	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
(217)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
5	B91	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(225)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.8
	B92	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.2
	XB94	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
6	B93	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	99.0
(227)		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	97.4
7	B101	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	100
(267)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
8	B105	Lactobacillus fermentum(NCBI)	98.0
(286)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.8

Table 9. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA gene s equencing of 1 6Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 9 dyspeptic patients with mild gastritis.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	B106	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	98.9
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	98.9
	B107	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	97.7
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	97.7
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	97.7
9	B108	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(292)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.5
	B109	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.3
	B110	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.6

Table 9. Genotypic i dentification based on 16S r RNA genes equencing of 16 *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of 9 dyspeptic patients with mild gastritis. (Continued)

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	B2	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(26)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.0
2	B6	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	100
(30)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
3	B7	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
(43)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
4	B8	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(44)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
5	B 9	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(47)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.6
6	B18	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	97.0
(68)		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	96.8
	XB19	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
7	B20	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(70)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.6
8	B21	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(73)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.6
	B22	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	97.5
9	B29	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(94)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.1
10	XB30	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(96)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
	B35	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100

Table 10. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 47Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 32 dyspeptic patients with severe gastritis.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
11	B31	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(95)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.4
12	B38	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(110)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
	B39	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
13	XB41	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(105)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
14	B42	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(120)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.4
15	XB45	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(121)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
16	B46	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(132)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.9
	B47	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
17	XB48	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(135)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
18	XB49	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(137)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
19	B53	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(153)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	B54	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	100
20	B55	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(155)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5

Table 10. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 47Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 32 dyspeptic patients with severe gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
21	XB58	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(154)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.2
	B64	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
	B59	Weissella confusa (NCBI)	99.0
		Weissella confusa (RDP)	98.6
	B60	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.0
22	B67	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
(165)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	99.4
23	B70	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
24	B72	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(185)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.0
	B73	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
25	B74	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(190)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	B75	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.6
	XB77	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
	B78	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5

Table 10. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 47Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 32 dyspeptic patients with severe gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
26	B76	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(187)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.6
27	B79	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
(192)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	97.1
	BT121	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	95.7
28	B82	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(200)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.4
	B83	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	96.7
29	B87	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
(210)		Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	100
30	XB95	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(235)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
31	XB96	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(232)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.8
32	B102	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(276)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	6 98.6
	B103	Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.5

Table 10. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 47Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies of 32 dyspeptic patients with severe gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	XB7	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	99.0
(27)		Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	99.3
2	B4/2	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(28)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	B5	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.4
3	B14	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(57)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	96.3
4	B15	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
**(67)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.5
	B16	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
5	B23	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(76)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	B24	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.2
6	B26	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
(85)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	100
7	B32	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(99)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
	B33	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
8	B36	Lactobacillus agilis (NCBI)	98.0
(108)		Lactobacillus sp. 52A (RDP)	99.4
		Lactobacillus agilis (RDP)	95.7

Table 11. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 24Lactobacillus isolates from g astric bi opsies of 16 d yspeptic patients with gastric ul cerand duodenum ulcer (DU);** Dyspeptic patients as DU.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	B37	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
9	XB40	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
(109)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	98.8
10	B43	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
**(123)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.8
	B44	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	95.0
11	B52	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(146)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.8
12	B57	Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)	99.0
(156)		Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)	96.8
13	B61	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(158)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.4
	B62	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
14	B85	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(206)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	B84	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.6
15	B98	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(250)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP) (F)	97.4
16	B99	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(257)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.6

Table 11. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 24Lactobacillus isolates from g astric bi opsies of 16 d yspeptic patients with gastric ul cerand duodenum ulcer (DU);** Dyspeptic patients as DU. (Continued)



Figure 21. The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of mild gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.



Figure 22. The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of severe gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.



Figure 23. The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of peptic ulcer patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.



Figure 24. The species of bacterial isolates from gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	T2/2	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(14)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.1
2	T17	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(39)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.2
3	T19	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(45)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.0
4	T21	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
(56)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.1
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.1
5	T37	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(88)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.3
6	T49	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(100)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.1
7	T62	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	100
(112)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.4
(115)	T65	Weissella cibaria (NCBI)	95.0
		Weissella cibaria (RDP)	96.0
8	T69	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(122)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.0
9	Т93	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(162)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.5
	T100	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	99.7
10	Т97	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(163)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.8

Table 12. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 48Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 25 dyspeptic patients with mild gastritis.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	T95	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	98.4
	T96	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.7
11	T99	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	97.0
(164)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	98.0
12	T101	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	94.2
(189)	T117/1	Uncultured bacterium (NCBI)	98.0
		Bacterium ii1389 (RDP)	97.5
13	T119	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
(191)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.5
	T120	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
	T122	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	94.7
	T123	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.4
	T124	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.9
14	T133	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	94.0
(205)		Lactobacillus sp. (RDP)	96.5
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	96.0
15	T141	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
(214)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	97.0

Table 12. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing o f 48Lactobacillus isolates from t hroat s wabs of 25 d yspeptic p atients with mild gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.3
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.3
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.3
	T142	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	100
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	100
16	XT143	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	96.0
(212)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	100
	T144	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	99.3
	T145	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.4
17	T149	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(224)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.0
	T150	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.5
18	T152	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(225)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.5
	T153	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.4
19	T154	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(227)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.0

Table 12. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 48Lactobacillus isolates from t hroat s wabs of 25 d yspeptic p atients with mild gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	T155	Lactobacillus vaginalis (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus vaginalis (RDP)	97.1
20	T163	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(243)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	90.2
	T165	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.5
	T166	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	98.7
	T16 <mark>7</mark>	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.6
21	T181	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(267)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	96.8
	T182	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	T183	Lactobacillus delbrueckii (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus delbrueckii (RDP)	91.2
22	T186	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(286)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.9
	T187	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	98.9
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	98.9
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	98.9

Table 12. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 48Lactobacillus isolates from t hroat s wabs of 25 d yspeptic p atients with mild gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	T188	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.9
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.9
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.9
23	T189	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(290)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.5
	T190	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.3
24	T191	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(291)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.8
25	T192	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(292)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.1
	T193	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
	T194	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.3

Table 12. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 48Lactobacillus isolates from t hroat s wabs of 25 d yspeptic p atients with mild gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	T1	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(12)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.0
2	XT4	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
(21)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	92.4
3	T5	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(26)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.1
	T6	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
4	T12	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(33)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
	T13	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.6
5	T14	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(37)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
	T15	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.0
	T16	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	100
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
6	T18	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(44)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.2
7	T20	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	95.0
(46)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	98.6
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	98.6
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	98.6

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe gastritis.

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
8	T23	Lactobacillus sp. (NCBI)	98.0
(58)		Lactobacillus agilis (NCBI)	97.0
		Bacterium ii1389 (RDP)	95.4
9	T26	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(70)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
10	T27	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	95.0
(68)		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	99.9
11	T28	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(72)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
12	T32/1	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	95.0
(82)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.6
	T32/2	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.0
13	XT35	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	97.9
14	T36	Lactobacillus reuteri (NCBI)	99.0
(87)		Lactobacillus reuteri (RDP)	98.1
15	T38	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(92)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
16	T40	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(94)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.2
	T41	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.5

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat s wabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
17	T42	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(95)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.3
18	T43	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(96)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
	X <mark>T4</mark> 4/1	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.2
	T44/ <mark>2</mark>	Lactobacillus delbrueckii (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus delbrueckii (RDP)	96.5
19	T46	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(97)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.3
20	T50	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
(101)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.8
21	T54	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
(98)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.5
	T55	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	94.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	96.7
22	T53	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(103)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.7
23	T56	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	96.0
(104)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	97.9
24	T57	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
(105)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	95.6
	T64	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.2

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
25	T61	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	98.0
(113)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	98.9
26	T66	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(120)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.8
27	T67	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(121)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.2
	T68	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.3
28	Т73	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
(128)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	98.8
29	T75	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(133)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.8
30	T76	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(137)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.7
31	T79	Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	96.0
(149)		Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.1
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.1
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.1
	T80	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.5

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
32	T81	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(151)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.0
	T82	Lavtobacillus fermentum (NCBI	95.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.4
33	T83	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(153)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.3
	T84	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.0
34	T85	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	100
(155)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.8
35	T86	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(154)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.4
	T87	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.2
36	T89	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(157)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.5
	XT92	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.4
37	T98	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	94.0
(166)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.1
38	T104	Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	96.0
(172)		Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	94.6
	T105	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.3

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	T106	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.0
39	T107	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	99.0
(179)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	98.0
40	T109	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(185)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.7
	T110	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	97.4
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	97.4
41	T113	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
(187)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.5
	XT114	Uncultured bacterium (NCBI)	98.0
		Bacterium ii1389 (RDP)	94.3
	XT118	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	89.0
	T117	Uncultured bacterium (NCBI)	94.0
		Lactobacillus agilis (NCBI)	95.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
		Bacterium ii1389 (RDP)	98.0
42	T115	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(190)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.9
	T116	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat s wabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
43	T125	Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	93.0
(193)		Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	93.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	93.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	95.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	95.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	95.0
(194)	T126	Weissella cibaria (NCBI)	95.0
		Weissella cibaria (RDP)	97.3
(195)	T127	Weissella confusa (NCBI)	95
		Weissella confusa (RDP)	96.7
44	T129	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(200)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.7
	T130	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.6
	T131	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI	99.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.6
45	T134	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(204)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.5
	T135	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.3
46	T137	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
(207)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.5
		J	

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
	T138	Uncultured bacterium (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus agilis (NCBI)	95.0
		Bacterium ii 1389 (RDP)	91.1
47	T139	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(208)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.9
	T140	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	98.9
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	98.9
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	98.9
48	XT146	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	98.0
(218)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	97.7
	T151	Lactobacillus pontis (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus pontis (RDP)	97.1
49	T156	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	95.0
(232)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.3
	T157	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.3
50	T161	Lactobacillus panis (NCBI)	96.0
(242)		Lactobacillus panis (RDP)	96.9
	T162	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.0
51	T168	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(245)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.6

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
52	T169/1	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	96.0
(241)		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	97.9
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	97.9
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	97.9
	T169/2	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.0
53	T171	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(249)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.7
	T174	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.0
	T170	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.7
54	T172	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(254)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.5
	T175	Lactobacillus oris (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus oris (RDP)	99.9
55	T176	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	100
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	100
56	XT179	Lactobacillus gasseri (NCBI)	96.0
(259)		Lactobacillus gasseri (RDP)	99.7

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe g astritis.(Continued)

Table 13. Genotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA gene s equencing of 92Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 57 syspeptic patients with severe gastritis.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism	%
and	isolate		Similarity
patient			
no.			
57	T184	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
(276)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.7
	T185	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.4



Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism of 16S rDNA	%
and	strain		Similarity
patient			
no.			
1	Τ8	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(27)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
2	Т9	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(28)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
3	T10	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(29)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.0
4	T22	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
(57)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.7
5	T24	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	94.0
(67)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.8
	T25	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
6	Т30	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
(76)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	96.9
	T31	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	96.0
		Lactobacillus sp. (RDP)	99.7
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.7
7	Т39	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	97.0
(93)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.9
8	T47	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	99.0
(99)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.6
9	T58	Bacterium ii1389 (NCBI)	97.0
(108)		Uncultured bacterium (NCBI)	97.0
		Bacterium ii1389 (RDP)	98.2
	T59	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.9

Table 14. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 32Lactobacillus isolates from throat swabs of 21 syspeptic patients with peptic ulcer.

andstrain(forward sequence)Similalpatientno.10T60Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)92(109)Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)92(109)Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)92(109)Lactobacillus casei (RDP)97Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9911T70Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)9611T7012T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)9912T7112T7113T7714Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)991314T8815T9015T9016T102170Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9816T102170Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9816T102103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9916T102170Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99171Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98172Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98173T103174Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99175190176179Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99170Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9916T102175Lactoba	Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism of 16S rDNA	%
patient 10 T60 Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI) 92 (109) Lactobacillus casei (NCBI) 92 (109) Lactobacillus casei (NCBI) 92 (109) Lactobacillus casei (RDP) 97 Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP) 97 Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI) 95 Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP) 99 11 T70 Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI) 96 (124) Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 12 T71 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 (127) Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 13 T77 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 13 T77 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 14 T88 Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI) 97 (156) Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 98 15 T90 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 98 15 T90 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 98 15 T90 Lactobacillus	and	strain	(forward sequence)	Similarity
no. 10 T60 Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI) 92 (109) Lactobacillus casei (NCBI) 92 (109) Lactobacillus casei (RDP) 97 Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP) 97 Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP) 97 Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI) 95 Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP) 99 11 T70 Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI) (124) Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 12 T71 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 99 (127) Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI) 98 Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP) 99 99 13 T77 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 10 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 10 10 10 14 T88 Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP) 99 15 T90 Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI) 97 Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP) 19 11 10 15 T90 Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP) 99	patient			
10T60Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)92(109)Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)92Lactobacillus casei (RDP)97Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97T63Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)97T63Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)95Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9911T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9912T71Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9913T77Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9114T88Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9915T90Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)9815T90Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)9716T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9916T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9816T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9816T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9816T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9816T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9	no.			
(109)Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)92Lactobacillus casei (RDP)97Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97T63Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9911T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)13T72Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)14T88Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)15T90Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)16T102Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)16T102Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)16T102Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)16T103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)19Salivarius fermentum (RDP)10Salivarius (RDP)11T10311T10311Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)11T10312Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)13T10314T8815T9016T10217Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)16T10216T10317Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)16T10317Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)18Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)19Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI) <td>10</td> <td>T60</td> <td>Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI</td> <td>92.0</td>	10	T60	Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI	92.0
Lactobacillus casei (RDP)97.Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97.T63Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.11T70Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.11T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.13T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10.T78Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.15T90Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus pentos	(109)		Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	92.0
Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)97.T63Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)95.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.11T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(141)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.16T102Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.1700Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.18Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.19Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.10Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.16T102Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.17 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Lactobacillus casei (RDP)</td> <td>97.8</td>			Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	97.8
T63Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI) Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9911T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9912T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99(127)Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98(141)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)9710T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)971014T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97(156)Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)9715T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)9816T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)9816T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)981703Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9818Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9819Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9810Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)981103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98			Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	97.8
Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.11T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)170Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)180Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)191Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)191Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)193Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)194Salivarius fermentum (NCBI)195103104Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)105Salivarius fermentum (NCBI)116T1021103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)1103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)1103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)1103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)1103Lactobacillus plantarum (NC		T63	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	95.0
11T70Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10.T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14.T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.			Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.1
(124)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.16T103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.16T103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	11	T70	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
12T71Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.(127)T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.15T90Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	(124)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.6
(127)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)99.T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10.T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	12	T71	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	99.0
T72Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10.T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murosae (RDP)99.15T90Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)98.1103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.1103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.1103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.1103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.1103La	(127)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	99.2
Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)99.13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10.T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murosae (RDP)99.15T90Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.15T90Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.15T91Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)98.170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)98.170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.18T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.19Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.10Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.1703Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.1703Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.1703Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.1703Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.		T72	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
13T77Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)98.(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10T78Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.10.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.			Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.5
(141)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)10T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9914T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)9815T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97T91Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)9716T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)9816T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)9999103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98104Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98105Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)981103Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98	13	T77	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	98.0
T78Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.T91Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	(141)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	100
Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.T103Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.1700Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.1701Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.1701Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.		T78	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	97.0
14T88Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)97.(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.			Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.4
(156)Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)98.15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96.(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	14	T88	Lactobacillus murinus (NCBI)	97.0
15T90Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)96(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)9816T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98	(156)		Lactobacillus murinus (RDP)	98.6
(158)Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)97.T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)98.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	15	T90	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
T91Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)97.Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)98.16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	(158)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	97.3
Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)9816T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98		T91	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
16T102Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)98.(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.			Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.1
(170)Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)99.T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	16	T102	Lactobacillus mucosae (NCBI)	98.0
T103Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)98.Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)98.	(170)		Lactobacillus mucosae (RDP)	99.3
<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> (NCBI) 98.		T103	Lactobacillus pentosus (NCBI)	98.0
			Lactobacillus plantarum (NCBI)	98.0
Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP) 97.			Lactobacillus plantarum (RDP)	97.5

Table 14. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 32Lactobacillus isolates f rom t hroat s wabs of 21 s yspeptic p atients w ith peptic ulcer.(Continued)

Subject	Lactobacillus	Match organism of 16S rDNA	%
and	strain	(forward sequence)	Similarity
patient			
no.			
17	T108	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
(182)		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae(NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	98.5
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	98.5
	T111	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	98.4
18	T147	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	95.0
(223)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.5
	T148	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	100
19	T158	Lactobacillus salivarius (NCBI)	96.0
(234)		Lactobacillus salivarius (RDP)	98.7
	T159	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.3
20	T173	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	99.5
21	T177	Lactobacillus fermentum (NCBI)	98.0
(257)		Lactobacillus fermentum (RDP)	97.7
	T178	Lactobacillus casei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus paracasei (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus zeae (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (NCBI)	97.0
		Lactobacillus casei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus paracasei (RDP)	99.6
		Lactobacillus rhamnosus (RDP)	99.6

Table 14. G enotypic i dentification ba sed on 16S r RNA g ene s equencing of 32Lactobacillus isolates f rom t hroat s wabs of 21 s yspeptic p atients w ith peptic ulcer.(Continued)



Figure 25. The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from thr oat s wabs o f mild gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.



Figure 26. The species of *Lactobacillus* isolates from throat swabs of severe gastritis patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.

Number

119



Species of Lactobacillus



Figure 27. The s pecies of *Lactobacillus* isolates f rom t hroat s wabs o f peptic ulcer patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.



Figure 28. The species of bacterial isolates from throat swabs of dyspeptic patients as identified by PCR and DNA sequencing.

3. Comparison of the presence of *Lactobacillus* in each group of patients

From t he r esults of genotypic i dentification, t he num ber of d yspeptic p atients from whom *Lactobacillus* isolates in gastric biopsies were recovered and the number of *Lactobacillus* isolates f rom t hese p atients w ere s hown i n T able 15. T he num ber of dyspeptic patients from w hom *Lactobacillus* in gastric biopsies w ere r ecovered, when compared between group 1 with group 2, was not significantly different (p-value >0.05). While the comparison between group 2 with group 3 and group 1 with group 3 showed the significantly different results at p-value <0.05 and <0.01, respectively (Table 16).

In addition, the number of dyspeptic patients from whom *Lactobacillus* isolates in throat swabs were recovered and the number of *Lactobacillus* isolates from these patients were shown in Table 17. The number of dyspeptic patients from whom *Lactobacillus* in throat s wabs w ere recovered, w hen c ompared a mong t hese 3 groups, w ere not significantly different (p-value >0.05) (Table 18).

Table 15. The number of dyspeptic patients from whom Lactobacillus isolates in gastric

 biopsies were recovered and the number of Lactobacillus isolates from these patients.

Group of	Total patients	Patients with	Number of
patient	8	Lactobacillus	Lactobacillus isolate
		(%)	(%)
Group 1	70	9 (12.85)	16 (22.86)
Group 2	158	32 (20.25)	47 (29.75)
Group 3	44	16 (36.36)	24 (54.55)
Total	272	57 (20.96)	87 (31.99)

Table 16. The comparison of number of dyspeptic patients from whom *Lactobacillus* isolates in gastric biopsies were recovered. Statistical values were calculated using the Chi square which was considered statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$.

Group of patient	The number of patients with
	Lactobacillus
Group 1 : Group 2	Not significantly different
Group 2 : Group 3	Significantly different
	(p <0.05)
Group 1 : Group 3	Significantly different
	(p <0.01)

Table 17. The number of dyspeptic patients from whom *Lactobacillus* isolates in throat

 swabs were recovered and the number of *Lactobacillus* isolates from these patients.

Group of	Total patients	Patients with	Number of
patient		Lactobacillus	Lactobacillus
	AL INCOMENT	(%)	isolate (%)
Group 1	70	25 (35.71)	48 (68.57)
Group 2	158	57 (36.08)	92 (58.23)
Group 3	44	21 (47.73)	32 (72.73)
Total	272	103 (37.87)	172 (63.24)

Table 18. The comparison of number of dyspeptic patients from whom *Lactobacillus* isolates in throat swab were recovered. Statistical values were calculated using the Chi square which was considered statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$.

Group of patient	The number of patients with
	Lactobacillus
Group 1 : Group 2	Not significantly different
Group 2 : Group 3	Not significantly different
Group 1: Group 3	Not significantly different
4. Cell morphology of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of dyspeptic patients

The morphology of *Lactobacillus* cells were de monstrated by gram s taining. gram stain was performed to observe microscopic morphologies of Lactobacillus isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of dyspeptic patients. They were gram-positive rod, non -spore forming rods or coccobacilli or long and s lender rod. Some s pecies exhibited bipolar bodies or internal granulation. L. salivarius was gram-positive straight rod and with rounded ends, occuring in single and in pair and internal granulation. L. fermentum was gram-positive short rod, o ccuring in single and in pair. L. gasseri was gram-positive long rod, occuring in pair and in chains. L. mucosa was gram-positive rod and occuring in pairs. L. murinus was gram-positive, slender or straigth rods and with rounded ends, arrangement in single and in pairs. L. oris was short rods and occuring in single, in pair and in chains. L. plantarum was gram-positive, straigth rods and occuring in single. L. casei was gram-positive rod and occuing in single and in pair. L. reuteri was slightly i rregular r ods o r be nt r od i nto c occobacilli a nd were di fficult separated from gram-positive cocci. L. delbrueckii was gram-positive long rod with rounded ends and occuring in pair and in short chains. Figures 29 and 30 demonstrated the morphologies of Lactobacillus isolates from gastric biopsies and throat swabs, respectively.



B101 Lactobacillus salivarius

B84 Lactobacillus fermentum



XB48 Lactobacillus gasseri

B79 Lactobacillus mucosae



B57 Lactobacillus murinus

B93 Lactobacillus oris



B87 Lactobacillus plantarum

B59 Weissella confuse

Figure 29. Cell morphology of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients





T31 Lactobacillus fermentum

T32/1 Lactobacillus casei



T36 Lactobacillus reuteri

T181 Lactobacillus fermentum



T182 Lactobacillus salivarius

T183 Lactobacillus delbrueckii

Figure 30. Cell m orphology of *Lactobacillus* isolates from throat s wabs of d yspeptic patients.

5. Comparison of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs

It was found t hat a t otal of 126 pa tients f rom w hom *Lactobacillus* spp. w ere detected in either gastric biopsies alone (19 subjects) or throats alone (69 subjects) and both in gastric biopsies and throats (38 subjects). Species of *Lactobacillus* detected in both ga stric bi opsies and t hroats w ere r eviewed i n e ach pa tient. Of 3 8 pa tients, 28 (73.68%) had at least one i solate be longing to the s ame species. W hen c ategorized i n group of patient, there were 6 of 7, 13 o f 20 and 9 of 11 subjects in groups 1, 2 and 3 patients, respectively (Tables 19, 20 and 21). The species that were detected most were *L. fermentum* and *L.salivarius*.

The species that were found in each group of patients and all patients were shown in Tables 22 and 23, r espectively. The species that were predominate in gastric biopsies were *L. fermentum*, *L. salivarius* and *L. gasseri* whereas the predominant species found in throats were *L. fermentum*, *L. salivarius*, *L. casei* group and *L. mucosae* (Table 23). Various species of *Lactobacillus* were i solated more from t hroat s wabs t han gastric biopsies (Table 23).

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table 19. Comparison of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of mild gastritis patients. Species is olated from both gastric biopsy and throat swab were highlighted.

Subject	Isolates f	rom gastric biopsies	Isolates from throat swabs	
and	Code	Lactobacillus	Code	Lactobacillus species
patient no.		species		
1. (56)	B13	L. casei group	T21	L. casei group
2. (163)	B66	L. fermentum	T97	L. fermentum
	XB68	L. gasseri	Т95	L. mucosae
			Т96	L. salivarius
3. (225)	B91	L. salivarius	T152	L. salivarius
	B92	L. fermentum	T153	L. fermentum
	B94	L. gasseri		
4. (227)	B93	L. oris	T154	L. salivarius
			T155	L. vaginalis
5. (267)	B101	L. salivarius	T181	L. fermentum
			T182	L. salivarius
		ACTIVITY OF THE	T183	L. delbrueckii
6. (286)	B105	L. fermentum	T186	L. fermentum
	B106	L. casei group	T187	L. casei group
	B107	<i>L. casei</i> group	T188	L. casei group
7. (292)	B108	L. fermentum	T192	L. fermentum
(B109	L. salivarius	T193	L. salivarius
	B110	L. salivarius	T194	L. salivarius

จุฬาลงกรณ่มหาวิทยาลัย

Table 20. Comparison of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of severe gastritis patients. Species isolated from both gastric biopsy and throat swab were highlighted.

Subject	Isolates f	from gastric biopsies	Isolates from throat swab	
and	Code	Lactobacillus	Code	Lactobacillus
patient no.		species		species
1. (26)	B2	L. fermentum	T5	L. fermentum
			Т6	L. salivarius
2. (44)	B8	L. salivarius	T18	L. salivarius
3. (68)	B18	L. oris	T27	L. oris
	XB19	L.gasseri		
4. (70)	B20	L. fermentum	T26	L.salivarius
5. (94)	B29	L. fermentum	T40	L. salivarius
		A TOTAL	T41	L. fermentum
6. (95)	B31	L. fermentum	T42	L. salivarius
7. (96)	XB30	L. gasseri	T43	L. salivarius
	B35	L. fermentum	XT44/1	L. fermentum
		aconten anna an	T44/2	L. delbrueckii
8. (105)	XB41	L. gasseri	T57	L. fermentum
	Ca.		T64	L. fermentum
9. (120)	B42	L fermentum	T66	L. fermentum
10. (121)	XB45	L. gasseri	T67	L. salivarius
6	นยา	าทยทรพย	T68	L. salivarius
11. (137)	XB49	L. gasseri	T76	L. salivarius
12. (153)	B53	L. salivarius	T83	L. salivarius
	B54	L.mucosae	T84	L.mucosae
13. (154)	XB58	L. gasseri	T86	L.salivarius
	B64	L. plantarum	Т87	L. fermentum
	B60	L. salivarius		

Table 20. Comparison of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of severe gastritis patients. Species is olated from both gastric biopsy and throat swab were highlighted. (Continued)

Subject	Isolates f	Isolates from gastric biopsies		tes from throat swabs
and	Code	Lactobacillus	Code	Lactobacillus
patient no.		species		species
14. (155)	B55	L. salivarius	T85	L. salivarius
15. (185)	B72	L. fermentum	T109	L. fermentum
	B73	L. salivarius	T110	L. casei group
16. (187)	B76	L. fermentum	T113	L. salivarius
			XT114	uncultured bacterium
				or Bacterium ii 1398
		1 black	T117	Bacterium ii1389
		1 A TOTA	XT118	L. gasseri
17.(190)	B74	L. salivarius	T115	L. fermentum
	XB75	L.fermentum	T116	L. salivarius
	XB77	L. gasseri		
	B78	L. salivarius		
18. (200)	B82	L. fermentum	T129	L. fermentum
	B83	L. fermentum	T130	L. fermentum
	Ū		T131	L. casei
19. (232)	XB96	L. gasseri	T156	L. salivarius
	นยว	โทยทรัพย	T157	L. mucosae
20. (276)	B102	L. salivarius	T184	L. salivarius
	B103	L. casei	T185	L. fermentum
	101 11	0 010 01 11 1 0	no	101 []

Table 21. Comparison of *Lactobacillus* species isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of peptic ulcer patients. Species isolated from both gastric biopsy and throat swab were highlighted.

Subject	Isolates from	m gastric biopsies	Isolates from throat swabs		
and	Code	Lactobacillus	Code	Lactobacillus	
patient no.		species		species	
1. (27)	XB7	L. plantarum	Т8	L. fermentum	
2. (28)	B4/2	L. salivarius	Т9	L. fermentum	
	B5	L. fermentum	<u></u>		
3. (57)	B14	L. fermentum	T22	L. fermentum	
4. (67)	B15	L. mucosae	T24	L. fermentum	
	B16	L.salivarius	T25	L. salivarius	
5. (76)	B23	L. salivarius	T30	L. salivarius	
	B24	L. fermentum	T31	L. fermentum	
6. (99)	B32	L. salivarius	T47	L. fermentum	
	B33	L. fermentum			
7. (108)	B36	L. agilis	T59	L. salivarius	
	B37	L. salivarius			
8. (109)	XB40	L. gasseri	T60	L. casei	
	CA.		T63	L. mucosae	
9. (156)	B57	L. murinus	T88	L. murinus	
10. (158)	B61	L. fermentum	Т90	L. salivarius	
6	B62	L. salivarius	T91	L. fermentum	
11. (257)	B99	L. fermentum	T177	L. fermentum	
ລາສາ	ลงกร	ู่ ฌุ่มหาวิ	T178	L. casei	

	Gro	oup 1	Gro	up 2	Group 3	
Lactobacillus	Gastric	Throat	Gastric	Throat	Gastric	Throat
species	biopsy	swab	biopsy	swab	biopsy	swab
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
L. fermentum	5(31.25)	17(35.42)	15(31.91)	29(31.18)	9(37.50)	14(43.75)
L. salivarius	4(25)	17(35.42)	10(21.28)	31(33.33)	9(37.50)	10(31.25)
L. casei group	3(18.75)	5(10.42)	1(2.13)	9(9.68)	-	3(9.36)
L. mucosae	-	2(4.17)	3(6.38)	8(8.60)	2(8.33)	3(9.36)
L. gasseri	2(12.5)	1(2.08)	10(21.28)	5(5.38)	1(4.17)	-
L. plantarum	1(6.25)	3(6.25)	4(8.51)	1(1.08)	1(4.17)	1(3.13)
L. plantarum or	-	//-/23	2(4.26)	-	-	-
L. pentosus		1 2.0				
L. vaginalis	-	1(2.08)	-	-	-	-
L. oris	1(6.25)	1(2.08)	2(4.26)	2(2.15)	-	-
L. delbrueckii	-	1(2.08)	Seleta)	1(1.08)	-	-
L.reuteri	-	11-1811	71.57	1(1.08)	-	-
L.oris	e.	-	-	1(1.08)	-	-
L.panis	50	-	-	1(1.08)	-	-
L. murinus		-	-	-	1(4.17)	1(3.13)
L.pontis			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	1(1.08)	-	-
Lastobacillus	เนย	145	1 <u>9 </u> 11	ם הו פ	1(4.17)	-
sp. 52A	0	6	-		~	
L. agilis	าลงก	ารณร	19777	3(3.23)	ลย	-
Total	16	48	47	93	24	32

Table 22. Species and number of *Lactobacillus* isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of each group of patients.

Lactobacillus	No. of Lactobacillus	No. of Lactobacillus
species	isolates from gastric	isolates from throat
	biopsy	swab
L. fermentum	29(33.33)	60(34.88)
L. salivarius	23(26.44)	58(33.72)
L. casei group	4(4.60)	17(9.88)
L. mucosae	5(5.75)	13(7.56)
L. gasseri	13(14.94)	6(3.49)
L. plantarum	6(6.90)	5(2.91)
L. plantarum or		-
L. pentosus	2(2.30)	
L. oris	3(3.45)	3(1.74)
L. delbrueckii		2(1.16)
L.reuteri	A DAVALA	1(0.58)
L.panis	(GLARIER-GOV)	1(0.58)
L.pontis	CONTRACT STREET	1(0.58)
L.vaginalis	-	1(0.58)
L. murinus	1(1.15)	1(0.58)
L. agilis	-	3(1.74)
Lactobacillus species		-
52A	1(1.15)	כוזו
Total	87	172

Table 23. Comparison of the number of *Lactobacillus* isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of dyspeptic patients.

จุฬาลงกรณมหาวทยาลัย

6. Immunomodulatory effect of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients on TNF-α production in LPS-activated THP-1 human monocytic cells

Eighty s even *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric bi opsies w ere r ecovered from -80°C and cultivated in MRS broth for 24 and 48 h f or preparation of *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia (LCM) to determine the modulation of TNF- α production in LPS-activated THP-1 human monocytic cells. The bioassay was performed by using THP-1 cells incubated with LCM of each isolate of *Lactobacillus* and activated with LPS of *E. coli* serotype O 127:B8 for induction TNF- α production as described in materials and methods. The supernatant containing s ecreted TNF- α was collected and measured by using cytokine-specific sandwich quantitative ELISA. The concentration of TNF- α was quantified by using standard curve of recombinant human TNF- α and calculated by using Microsoft excel based on linear relationship as y = mx + b. A value of R² = 1 indicated an exact linear relationship between x and y as shown in Figure 36. Percentage of TNF- α inhibition and cell viability were calculated by the formula as follows.

% TNF-
$$\alpha$$
 inhibition = $\left((O/B) - 1 \right) \times 100$

O = observed, secreted TNF-α of experiment (pg/ml)
 B = baseline, secreted TNF-α of MRS bacterial media control (pg/ml)

% cell viability =
$$\left(\left(\frac{\text{dead cell}}{\text{total cell}} \right)^{-1} \right) \times 100$$

Accepted cell viability as $\ge 80\%$



Figure 31. Standard curve of T NF- α determination at the concentration 15.625, 31.5, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000 pg/ml. y = mx + b, linear relationship; R²=1.

The immunomodulatory activities of Lactobacillus isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis, group 2 patients with severe gastritis and group 3 patients with peptic ulcer were shown in Tables 24-31 and Figures 32-39, Tables 34-57 and Figures 42-65 and Tables 60-71 and Figures 68-79, respectively. These Tables and figures showed the level of TNF-α secretion by THP-1 monocytic cells when incubated with Lactobacillus conditioned media (LCM) of each Lactobacillus isolate (prepared at 24 and 48 h) in the presence or absence of LPS. The level of TNF- α was indicated with mean and standard deviation (SD) in tables and figures. The percentage of TNF- α inhibition was exhibited as (-) in the table. L58/1, TNF- α inhibitory strain used as positive control, suppressed TNF- α production and did not activate TNF- α in the absence of LPS. L9/7, non-TNF- α inhibitory strain used as negative control, did not suppress TNF- α production and this strain stimulated TNF-a production without LPS activation. MRS media control had no effect on TNF-a production. LPS stimulated TNF-a production on THP-1 monocytic cells as shown in the right of each figure. In the presence of LCM, TNF- α production was suppressed in various magnitude among Lactobacillus isolates. LCM of most isolates did not act ivate T NF-a production in the absence LPS, while LCM of some isolates stimulated TNF- α production by themselves.

The summary of immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies of g roup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis, group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis and group 3 patients with peptic ulcer was shown in Table 32 and Figures 40-41, Table 58 and Figures 66-67 and Table 72 and Figures 80-81, respectively. Species of these *Lactobacillus* isolates were shown in Tables 33, 59 and 73.

From 87 isolates, 38 (43.68%) significantly suppressed TNF- α production. These TNF- α inhibitory isolates were 10 of 16, 22 of 47 and 6 of 24 isolates from patients with mild gastritis, severe gastritis and peptic ulcer, respectively.(Table 74)

The prevalence of *Lactobacillus* that significantly inhibited TNF- α in each group of pa tients w ere com pared using chi s quare t est w hich was considered statistically significant at p-value ≤ 0.05 . As shown in Table 75, the prevalence of TNF- α - inhibitory *Lactobacillus* in pa tients g roups 1 a nd 2, and groups 2 and 3 were n ot s ignificantly different (p>0.05) but s ignificantly di fferent i n pa tients groups 1 a nd 3 (p=0.053). Multivariate analysis of these data was performed and it was found that the prevalence of TNF- α - inhibitory *Lactobacillus* in patients groups 1 and 3 was not significantly different (p=0.985).



Table 24. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	735.74±11.18		0.00	858.7±83.51	
MRS	0.00	560.93±46.14	-23.76	0.00	601.3±52.70	-29.98
L58/1	0.00	313.89±60.69	-44.04	0.00	337.96±21.78	-43.79
L 9/7	463.52±13.98	545±20.76	-2.84	469.81±13.26	656.11±86.60	9.12
B13	0.00	402.41±46.03	-28.26	27.59±1.70	502.41±48.13	-16.45
B25	309.44±17.25	580.93±18.10	3.57	344.26±18.90	617.59±51.09	2.71
B66	278.7±24.65	643.15 ± 25.27	14.66	317.59±16.38	700.19±25.64	16.45



Figure 32. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 25. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, 1ipopolysaccharide; R PMI, T HP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1818.67±307.25		0.00	1459.14±188.32	
MRS	0.00	992.48±180.82	-45.43	0.00	910.57±84.02	-37.60
L58/1	0.00	616.29±127.43	-37.90	0.00	437.24±146.01	-51.98
L 9/7	799.14±94.49	1060.57±54.27	6.86	875.81±55	971.52±47.84	6.69
XB68	0.00	474.38±80.12	-52.20	0.00	331.05±82.13	-63.64
B92	470.1±121.0	828.19±34.33	-16.55	525.33±17.75	1161.52±241.49	27.56



Figure 33. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 26. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; R PMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1257.85±189.29		0.00	1347.08±50.04	
MRS	0.00	1267.85±216.48	0.80	0.00	1049.38±57.66	-22.10
L 58/1	0.00	759.38±54.39	-40.10	0.00	592.46±153.39	-43.54
L 9/7	905.54±56.57	1204.77±342.67	-4.98	915.54±5.44	1162.46±17.41	10.78
B90	0.00	667.85±133.81	-47.32	0.00	866.31±55.48	-17.45
B91	614.00±190.37	811.69±217.57	-35.98	353.23±15.23	431.69±43.51	-58.86



Figure 34. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 27. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, 1ipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1105.48±60.25		0.00	1199.56±216.97	
MRS	0.00	866.59±16.19	-21.61	0.00	904.74±29.19	-24.58
L58/1	0.00	361.04±25.05	-58.34	0.00	376.96±58.89	-58.33
L 9/7	626.22±75.29	815.85±23.99	-5.86	583.26±41.06	778.44±80.56	-13.96
B93	549.93±46.03	862.52±87.22	0	551.41±26.67	827.33±20.76	-8.56



Figure 35. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 28. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; R PMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1339.14±29.1		0.00	1304.21±97.31	
MRS	0.00	985.81±123.80	-26.38	0.00	921.22±101.96	-29.37
L58/1	0.00	621.90±62.32	-36.91	0.00	366.74±39.44	-60.19
L 9/7	774.09±60.60	1086.04±88.80	10.17	882.83±75.08	1093.63±101.30	18.72
XB94	3.29±4.38	740.75±80.38	-24.86	0.00	662.83±83.72	-28.05
B101	48.11±16.53	604.43±179.41	-38.69	201.91±14.89	876.62±111.81	-4.84
B106	0.00	473.86±126.0	-51.93	0.00	598.00±179.26	-35.09



Figure 36. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 29. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, 1ipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	16.56±9.62	840.26±83.89		15.85±18.75	723.22±44.94	
MRS	0.00	637.67±17.36	-24.11	37.67±16.37	548.41±36.15	-24.17
L 58/1	0.00	428.78±64.47	-32.76	0.00	288.41±101.33	-47.41
L 9/7	473.59±9.56	632.48±111.98	0	462.48±4.49	545.81±7.06	0
B105	266.93±24.38	661.37±35.07	3.72	258.78±20.09	605.07±87.32	10.33





🗖 LCM 24 h 🛛 LCM 48 h

Table 30. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, 1ipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1982.29±35.24		0.00	2135.91±134.34	
MRS	0.00	1592.14±52.58	-19.68	0.00	1454.17±178.67	-31.92
L58/1	0.00	971.28±160.92	-39.00	0.00	872.14±35.18	-40.02
L9/7	1438.52±82.42	1729.25±66.86	8.61	1544.03±59.88	1763.16±35.54	21.25
B107	0.00	1409.25±79.80	-11.49	0.00	955.91±62.08	-34.26
B109	346.93±14.97	1331.86±90.14	-16.35	585.77±15.66	1190.41±225.33	-18.14



Figure 38. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 31. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 1 pa tients with mild gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, 1ipopolysaccharide; R PMI, T HP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	2173.86±123.24		0.00	2351.95±152.36	
MRS	0.00	1586.71±214.15	-27.01	0.00	1757.19±87.51	-25.29
L						
58/1	36.71±24.37	1600.29±81.82	0	36.71±25.52	1025.29±47.72	-41.65
L 9/7	1573.86±53.70	1641.71±31.31	3.47	1573.86±76.59	1832.9±201.68	4.31
B108	464.81±33.11	1758.86±45.46	10.85	464.81±92.92	1902.9±67.92	8.29
B110	967.67±157.25	1619.57±264.66	2.07	967.67±94.78	950.52±241.10	-45.91



Figure 39. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 pa tients with mild gastritis patients on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 32. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 16 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis (9 subjects) on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition, - indicated inhibition.

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient			11/2			
Positive						
control	L 58/1	-36.12	< 0.05	L 58/1	-48.36	< 0.05
Negative						
control	L 9/7	1.06	-	L 9/7	3.76	-
1 (56)	B13 🥌	-28.26	< 0.05	B13	-16.45	< 0.05
2 (77)	B25	3.57	3	B25	2.71	-
3 (163)	B66	14.66	264-	B66	16.45	-
	XB68	- <mark>5</mark> 2.2	< 0.05	XB68	-63.64	< 0.001
4 (217)	B90	-47.32	< 0.05	B90	-17.45	< 0.05
5 (225)	B91	-35.98		B91	-58.86	< 0.05
	B92	-16.55	A critera	B92	27.56	-
	XB94	-24.86	< 0.05	XB94	-28.05	< 0.05
6 (227)	B93	0	-	B93	-8.56	-
7 (267)	B101	-38.69	< 0.05	B101	-4.84	-
8 (286)	B105	3.72	75%	B105	10.33	-
	B106	-51.93	< 0.005	B106	-35.09	< 0.05
ູ	B107	-11.49	< 0.05	B107	-34.26	< 0.01
9 (292)	B108	10.85	<u> </u>	B108	8.29	-
	B109	-16.35	< 0.01	B109	-18.14	-
	B110	2.07	-	B110	-45.91	< 0.05



Figure 40. Summary of immunomodulatory effects of 16 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis (9 subjects) on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LPS, 1 ipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.



Figure 41. Summary of inhibitory e ffects of 1 0 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 1 patients with mild gastritis (7 subjects) of TNF- α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic c ells. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.

Colony appearance	Code	Lactobacillus	Immunomodulatory activity
~ * * *		species	
M-white	B13	L. casei group.	TNF-α inhibitory activity
(turbid and slime)			
M-white (transparent)	B25	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-white	B66	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-white	XB68	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-yellowish	B90	L. plantarum	TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-white turbid	B91	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-transparent	B92	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	XB94	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-transparent	B93	L. oris	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-whith turbid	B101	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-transparent	B105	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B106	L. casei group.	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B107	L. casei group.	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-round transparent	B108	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B109	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-turbid	B110	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity

Table 33. Species of *Lactobacillus* isolates with TNF- α inhibitory activity from group 1 patients with mild gastritis.

ลุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Table 34. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1435.24±104.88		0.00	1760.95±91.81	
MRS	0.00	1207.38±79.93	-15.88	0.00	1556.43±144.26	-11.61
L58/1	0.00	1053.33±105.41	-12.76	0.00	1233.33±103.00	-20.76
L 9/7	813.81±34.66	1341.43±59.32	11.10	787.38±31.82	1410.71±125.99	-9.36
B2	1308.81±80.8	1457.62±71.94	20.73	1370.71±26.1	1532.14±121.69	-1.56
B6	0.00	898.57±90.95	-25.58	0.00	1002.38±71.79	-35.60
B20	0.00	1267.86±51.49	5.01	0.00	1376.67±229.71	-11.55



Figure 42. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 35. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1698.13±105.63	m	0.00	1591.20±173.09	
MRS	0.00	1166.40±60.26	-31.31	0.00	1265.33±89.23	-20.48
L58/1	0.00	800.80±87.30	-31.34	0.00	366.40±278.50	-71.04
L 9/7	1058.13±87.77	1255.73±152.89	7.66	1019.20±25.29	1184.27±163.50	-6.41
B7	0.00	753.33±92.54	-35.41	0.00	322.40±248.35	-74.52
B47	51.47±55.10	1049.33±43.60	-10.04	183.20±180.17	565.07±345.54	-55.34



Figure 43. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 36. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1204.57±69.12		0.00	1157.67±54.00	
MRS	0.00	561±75.09	-53.43	0.00	433.38±28.23	-62.56
L58/1	0.00	249.33±70.12	-55.56	0.00	261±76.46	-39.78
L 9/7	737.43±71.92	898.62±39.80	60.18	711.48±34.53	830.29±25.83	91.58
B8	57.90±23.93	386±104.62	-31.19	31.05±53.78	102.67±60.48	-76.31
B70	0.00	293.38±30.74	-47.70	0.00	78.38±97.55	-81.91
XB75	333.62±30.36	652.67±75.19	16.34	305.05±3.52	563.62±102.14	30.05



Figure 44. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 37. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF-α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, Lactobacillus conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF-α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF-α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	837.52±18.16		0.00	884.19±69.24	
MRS	0.00	628.71±144.29	-24.93	0.00	821.57±69.34	-7.08
L58/1	0.00	476.10±82.63	-24.27	0.00	504.19±153.23	-38.63
L 9/7	620.62±40.00	770.38±37.15	22.53	648.71±63.24	899.67±60.21	9.51
B9	218.24±51.07	769.90±18.37	22.46	252.29±61.88	860.86±92.37	4.78
B39	136.57±26.19	801.81±73.75	27.53	199.67±21.68	793.95±53.74	-3.36
B42	79.67±15.52	690.38±75.40	9.81	200.14±84.65	736.81±56.13	-10.32



LCM 24 h LCM 48 h

Figure 45. Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF-α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, Lactobacillus conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 38. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	5.58±7.90	1210.46±79.20		16.42±23.53	1091.71±45.02	
MRS	0.00	1037.13±158.23	-14.32	0.00	800.04±18.21	-26.72
L58/1	3.62±6.28	792.13±134.35	-11.57	13.92±21.25	548.38±38.02	-31.46
L 9/7	657.13±7.81	996.71±61.24	-3.90	662.96±33.29	1039.63±102.34	29.95
B18	296.71±97.69	1044.21±146.76	0	484.63±36.31	951.71±216.89	18.96
XB19	334.63±45.43	1061.29±125.03	2.33	165.88±22.53	860.88±63.87	7.60
B21	356.71±32.84	881.71±125.34	-14.99	218.38±3.31	565.46±2.65	-47.47



Figure 46. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 39. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1133.89±110.41		0.00	1160.21±71.42	
MRS	0.00	898.11±100.56	-20.79	0.00	759.16±205.28	-34.57
L58/1	0.00	698.81±158.30	-22.19	0.00	589.68±37.94	-22.32
L 9/7	650.74±25.15	1103.72±70.43	22.89	693.19±7.90	944.77±39.18	24.45
B22	764.77±26.47	1233.89±47.59	37.39	825.82±32.64	1011.79±28.30	33.28
B53	111.79±13.93	920.91±111.41	2.54	262.67±14.94	675.3±41.63	-11.05
B54	324.42±64.98	912.84±44.00	1.64	301.96±19.70	784.42±59.93	3.33



Figure 47. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 40. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1724.29±38.75		0.00	1771.43±65.31	
MRS	0.00	1445.48±96.08	-16.17	0.00	1481.67±64.76	-16.36
L58/1	0.00	1124.29±74.55	-22.22	0.00	1117.86±156.46	-24.55
L 9/7	1324.05±30.48	1507.38±141.95	4.28	1310.00±83.11	1524.52±49.20	2.89
B29	491.67±33.00	1419.52±101.25	-1.80	838.81±6.64	1552.38±25.17	4.77
B31	794.52±20.26	1559.05±59.53	7.86	982.62±36.66	1499.29±93.98	1.19
B35	458.81±57.29	1481.90±175.75	2.52	690.71±12.45	1437.14±37.29	-3.00



Figure 48. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 41. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	113.6±18.19	1595.60±104.85		77.27±17.39	1685.6±132.28	
MRS	84.93±24.58	1048.27±130.85	-34.30	87.93±17.93	1133.93±48.01	-32.73
L58/1	51.27±9.29	782.27±123.32	-25.38	88.27±34.02	780.93±27.43	-31.13
L 9/7	927.6±54.74	1269.27±16.07	21.08	1021.6±59.81	1300.93±95.92	14.73
B30	587.6±12.29	1102.6±88.54	5.18	410.6±41.76	1167.6±68.35	2.97



Figure 49. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 42. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1709.16±37.08		0.00	1779.53±59.38	
MRS	0.00	1417.31±84.46	-17.08	0.00	1443.23±106.52	-18.90
L						
58/1	0.00	1020.52±143.31	-28.00	0.00	966.44±50.94	-33.04
L 9/7	1314.35±35.50	1589.90±125.06	12.18	1332.37±27.4	1552.37±47.30	7.56
B38	731.63±36.21	1541.26±72.57	8.75	786.94±17.57	1452.37±81.24	0



Figure 50. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 43. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1500.07±40.22		0.00	1583.18±57.39	
MRS	0.00	1194.29±96.68	-20.38	0.00	1374.07±155.6	-13.21
L58/1	0.00	793.40±163.27	-33.57	0.00	647.07±30.64	-52.91
L 9/7	1238.07±25.1	1477.18±53.44	23.69	1295.40±15.07	1492.96±68.69	8.65
XB41	0.00	1351.84±46.01	13.19	0.00	959.62±124.16	-30.16
XB49	720.51±48.61	1294.96±123.33	8.43	337.18±35.40	1210.96±190.9	-11.87
B82	832.07±34.43	1460.51±85.14	22.29	948.96±42.90	1458.96±42.49	6.18



Figure 51. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 44. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1015.64±100.61		0.00	917.45±40.40	
MRS	0.00	787.45±66.48	-22.47	0.00	663.21±28.75	-27.71
L58/1	0.00	372.45±17.36	-52.70	0.00	252.30±61.71	-61.96
L 9/7	570.48±80.19	811.09±87.11	3.00	619.88±62.59	702.61±72.44	5.94
XB45	391.09±29.26	776.55±99.12	-1.39	283.82±31.37	495.33±20.82	-25.31
B48	259.88±29.11	656.55±56.87	-16.62	136.55 ± 26.22	543.52±92.45	-18.05



Figure 52. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 45. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1526.87±31.93		0.00	1495.57±48.20	
MRS	0.00	725.13±236.85	-52.51	0.00	685.1±66.13	-54.19
L58/1	0.00	462.81±94.08	-36.18	0.00	531.22±153.96	-22.46
L 9/7	950.93±83.22	1148.61±67.90	58.40	1070.93±71.6	1231.51±73.92	79.76
B46	203.39±41.26	818.75±117.94	12.91	262.52±29.91	938.17±95.86	36.94



Figure 53. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.
Table 46. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1837.59±18.47	m	0.00	1924.51±172.18	
MRS	0.00	1759.13±43.14	-4.27	0.00	1877.85±84.49	-2.42
L58/1	0.00	1190.41±232.71	-32.33	0.00	1129.13±41.63	-39.87
L 9/7	1404.00±17.74	1724.26±52.36	-1.98	1566.31±55.87	1770.67±31.54	-5.71
B55	85.79±14.48	1584.00±172.26	-9.96	839.38±90.48	1201.95±322.94	-35.99
B76	362.97±33.50	18 <mark>72.46±42.6</mark> 0	6.44	1130.15 ± 160.20	1700.41±136.17	-9.45



Figure 54. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 47. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1324.76±16.72		0.00	1167.98±181.23	
MRS	0.00	851.89±39.62	-35.70	0.00	691.2±150.35	-40.82
L58/1	0.00	519.47±188.04	-39.02	0.00	390.97±142.25	-43.44
L 9/7	693.03±105	953.49±90.56	11.93	781.08±19.09	938.09±98.14	35.72
XB58	0.00	505.91±237.40	-40.61	0.00	436.48±66.48	-36.85
B60	0.00	814.41±59.68	-4.40	224.53±98.58	359.24±61.67	-48.03
B64	0.00	616.02 ± 64.53	-27.69	0.00	173.49±118.69	-74.90



Figure 55. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 48. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1589.75±137.77		0.00	1597.25±167.89	
MRS	0.00	1037.67±20.63	-34.73	0.00	724.33±25.32	-54.65
L58/1	0.00	709.75±179.47	-31.60	0.00	647.25±186.66	-10.64
L 9/7	1082.67±19.1	1271.42±114.30	22.53	1106.42±36.11	1291.83±120.30	78.35
B72	724.75±33.07	1052.25±75.50	1.41	736±8.75	1007.25±40.23	39.06



Figure 56. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 49. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-a (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1986 71±17 84		0.00	2176 71±397 09	
MRS	0.00	1504.33±76.43	-24.28	0.00	982.9±119.97	-54.84
L			2.01			
58/1	0.00	1068.62±107.69	-28.96	0.00	489.57±79.50	-50.19
L 9/7	1231.48±140	1562.43±151.97	0.0386	1135.76±112.7	1236.71±200.43	0.2582
B74	0.00	1067.67±61.70	-29.03	139.57±3.78	548.62±77.07	-44.18



Figure 57. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 50. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1286.43±112.81		0.00	1253.57±21.14	
MRS	0.00	873.81±60.75	-32.07	0.00	821.43±73.11	-34.47
L58/1	0.00	609.29±154.93	-30.27	0.00	418.1±149.05	-49.10
L 9/7	778.57±17.54	1000±130.37	14.44	866.9±8.73	1070.24±32.47	30.29
B67	0.00	654.76±140.86	-25.07	0.00	382.86±157.58	-53.39
B73	242.86±78.24	680.71±86.87	-22.10	151.43±19.18	383.57±109.76	-53.30



Figure 58. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 51. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1233.67±55.57		0.00	1035.61±179.21	
MRS	0.00	836.72±112.33	-32.18	0.00	586.17±74.03	-43.40
L58/1	0.00	459.50±52.96	-45.08	0.00	221.44±99.59	-62.22
L 9/7	630.61±9.94	914.78±124.69	9.33	680.89±19.53	764.50±79.46	30.42
XB77	70.61±47.29	609.78±40.00	-27.12	80.33±17.46	490.61±41.12	-16.30
B83	387.83±25.51	825.06±70.08	-1.39	321.72±86.35	509.78±91.61	-13.03





Table 52. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1859.98±49.38		0.00	1860.44±21.15	
MRS	0.00	1586.18±195.80	-14.72	0.00	1667.10±51.36	-10.39
L58/1	0.00	1286.18±184.17	-18.91	0.00	779.75±184.43	-53.23
L 9/7	1366.87±112.1	1689.63±70.30	6.52	1366.87±58.0	1697.91±8.23	1.85
B78	181.82±22.96	1530.32±256.43	-3.52	181.82±23.20	1728.02±53.05	3.65





Table 53. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1837.59±18.47		0.00	1924.51±172.18	
MRS	0.00	1759.13±43.14	-4.27	0.00	1877.85±84.49	-2.42
L58/1	0.00	1190.41±232.71	-32.33	0.00	1129.13±41.63	-39.87
L 9/7	1404.00±17.74	1724.26±52.36	-1.98	1566.31±55.87	1770.67±31.54	-5.71
B55	85.79±14.48	1584.00±172.26	-9.96	839.38±90.48	1201.95±322.94	-35.99
B59	0.00	1718.62±21.08	-2.30	0.00	1759.64±106.20	-6.29
B76	362.97±33.50	1872.46±42.60	6.44	1130.15 ± 160.20	1700.41±136.17	-9.45



Figure 61. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 54. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	2010.63±125.44		0.00	1729.84±252.46	
MRS	0.00	1324.35±157.71	-34.13	0.00	993.37±246.96	-42.57
L						
58/1	0.00	910.63±179.91	-31.24	0.00	565.53±259.28	-45.99
L 9/7	1362.78±50.3	1503.57±143.43	13.53	1154.16±90.2	1284.75±97.19	29.33
B79	568.67±53.87	1595.73±137.32	20.49	705.53±99.60	1176.12±139.59	18.40



Figure 62. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 55. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1875.73±23.50		0.00	1839.43±97.23	
MRS	0.00	1440.42±68.45	-23.21	0.00	1316.22±45.00	-28.44
L58/1	0.00	1157.21±114.92	-19.66	0.00	538.44±60.63	-59.09
L 9/7	1218.69±61.98	1510.54±46.50	4.87	1293.75±21.11	1366.10±115.02	3.79
B102	467.58±46.40	1342.15±109.20	-6.82	430.79±64.07	804.12±87.95	-38.91
B103	0.00	1445. <mark>85±119.33</mark>	0.38	0.00	1033.51±27.97	-21.48
B121	679.68±10.79	1467.33±234.20	1.87	1027.58±93.65	1357.21±181.01	3.11



Figure 63. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 56. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1590.99±164.13		0.00	1433.20±96.03	
MRS	0.00	1101.45±115.26	-30.77	0.00	1013.23±106.26	-29.30
L						
58/1	0.00	569.92±149.01	-48.26	0.00	581.09±24.43	-42.65
L 9/7	1034.32±93.81	1089.43±135.74	-1.09	904.53±177.1	1205.91±65.91	19.02
B87	0.00	34 <mark>2.</mark> 81±68.64	-68.88	0.00	588.54±96.42	-41.91



Figure 64. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 57. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; M RS, ba cterial m edia c ontrol; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1806.16±59.06		0.00	1914.38±31.37	
MRS	0.00	1514.16±89.39	-16.17	0.00	1691.04±48.02	-11.67
L 58/1	0.00	1129.27±98.96	-25.42	0.00	1271.93±146.04	-24.78
L 9/7	1162.82±39.38	1496.38±68.05	-1.17	1183.04±65.6	1584.16±168.05	-6.32
B95	0.00	1358.38±35.08	-10.29	0.00	1272.38±166.13	-24.76
B96	0.00	1387.49±31.37	-8.37	0.00	1112.16±72.73	-34.23



Figure 65. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 58. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 47 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis (32 s ubjects) on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic c ells. L CM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition, - indicated inhibition.

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient						
Positive						
control	L 58/1	-30.86,55.56	< 0.05	L 58/1	-40.04,71.04	< 0.05
Negative						
control	L 9/7	14.25	-	L 9/7	20.44	-
1 (26)	B2 🧖	20.73		B2	-1.56	-
2 (30)	B6	-25.58	< 0.01	B6	-35.60	< 0.005
3 (43)	B7	-35.41	< 0.005	B7	-74.52	< 0.005
4 (44)	B8	-31.19	< 0.05	B 8	-76.31	< 0.001
5 (47)	B9	22.46	-	B9	4.78	-
6 (68)	B18	0		B18	18.96	-
	XB19	2.33	1 <u>-</u>	XB19	7.60	-
7 (70)	B20	5.01	-	B20	-11.55	-
8 (73)	B21	-14.98	-	B21	-47.47	< 0.001
	B22	37.39	-	B22	33.28	-
9 (94)	B29	-1.80	ทรท	B29	4.77	-
10 (95)	B31	7.86	-	B31	1.19	-
11 (96)	XB30	5.18	11980	XB30	2.97	-
	B35	2.52	N L I	B35	-3	-
12 (110)	B38	8.75	-	B38	0	-
	B39	27.53	-	B39	-3.36	-
13 (105)	XB41	13.19	-	XB41	-30.16	< 0.05
14 (120)	B42	9.81	-	B42	-10.32	-
15 (121)	XB45	-1.39	-	XB45	-25.31	< 0.05

Table 58. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 47 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 pa tients with severe gastritis (32 s ubjects) on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic c ells. L CM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition, - indicated inhibition. (Continued)

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient						
16 (132)	B46	12.91		B46	36.94	-
	B47	-10.04	<0.05	B47	-55.34	< 0.05
17 (135)	XB48	-16.62	< 0.05	XB48	-18.05	< 0.05
18 (137)	XB49	8.43		XB49	-11.87	-
19 (153)	B53	2.54	-	B53	-11.05	-
	B54	1.64	0-	B54	3.33	-
20 (155)	B55	-9.96	ant-	B55	-35.99	< 0.05
21 (154)	XB58	-40.61	< 0.05	XB58	-36.85	< 0.05
	B60	-4.40	-	B60	-48.03	< 0.05
	B64	-27.69	< 0.005	B64	-74.90	< 0.005
22 (165)	B67	-30.08	< 0.05	B67	-39.86	< 0.05
23 (175)	B70	-47.70	< 0.005	B70	-81.91	< 0.005
24 (185)	B72	1.41	-	B72	39.46	-
	B73	-22.10	< 0.05	B73	-53.30	< 0.005
25 (190)	B74	-29.03	< 0.001	B74	-44.18	< 0.05
	XB75	16.34		XB75	30.05	-
	XB77	-27.12	< 0.05	XB77	-16.30	-
	B78	-3.52	M KI I	B78	3.56	-
26 (187)	B76	6.44	-	B76	-9.45	-
27 (192)	B79	20.49	-	B79	18.40	-
	B121	1.87	-	B121	3.11	-

Table 58. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 47 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 patients with s evere gastritis (32 subjects) on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic c ells. L CM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non -TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition, - indicated i nhibition. (Continued)

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient				2		
28 (200)	B82	22.29	9-3	B82	6.18	-
	B83	-1.39		B83	-13.03	-
29 (210)	B87	-68.88	< 0.0005	B87	-41.91	< 0.005
30 (235)	XB95 🥌	-10.29	< 0.05	XB95	-24.76	< 0.01
31 (232)	XB96	-8.37	< 0.005	XB96	-34.23	< 0.0005
32 (276)	B102	<mark>-6.82</mark>	- 1	B102	-38.91	< 0.0005
	B103	0.38	Contra A	B103	-21.48	< 0.0005

■ LCM 24 h ■ LCM 48 h



Figure 66. Summary of inhibitory e ffects of 47 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 patients with severe ga stritis (32 subjects) of TNF- α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LPS, l ipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.



Figure 67. Summary of inhibitory e ffects of 2.2 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 2 patients with severe gastritis (18 subjects) of TNF- α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.



Table 59. Species of Lactobacillus isolates	with TNF-0	<i>inhibitory</i>	activity	from	group 2
patients with severe gastritis.					

Colony appearance	Code	Lactobacillus	Immunomodulatory activity	
		species		
L-white turbid	B2	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white turbid	B6	L. plantarum	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white turbid	B7	L. plantarum	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white turbid	B8	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
S-transparent	B9	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white transparent	B18	L. oris	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white	XB19	L. gasseri	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white transparent	B20	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white turbid	B21	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white transparent	B22	L. oris	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white ใส	B29	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white	B31	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
S-white	XB30	L. gasseri	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white transparent	B35	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
L-white transparent	B38	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-transparent	B39	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
S-turbid	XB41	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white transparent	B42	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-transparent	XB45	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white transparent	B46	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white transparent	B47	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-white	XB48	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-transparent	XB49	L. gasseri	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-round turbid	B53	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-round transparent	B54	L. mucosae	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity	
M-turbid	B55	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity	

Table 59. Species of Lactobacillus isolates with	TNF-α inhibitory	activity from	group 2
patients with severe gastritis. (Continued)			

Colony appearance	Code	Lactobacillus	Immunomodulatory activity
		species	
M-transparent	XB58	L. gasseri	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-yellowish	B64	L. plantarum	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white	B60	L. salivarius	TNF- α inhibitory activity
L-yellowish	B67	L. plantarum	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-yellowish	B70	L. plantarum	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M- turbid	B72	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M- turbid	B73	L. salivarius	TNF- α inhibitory activity
L- turbid	B74	L. salivarius	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-round transparent	XB75	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	XB77	L. gasseri	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B 78	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-white transparent	76	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-transparent	B79	L. mucosae	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-turbid	B121	L. mucosae	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-transparent	B82	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	B83	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-yellowish	B87	L. plantarum	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white	XB95	L. gasseri	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white	XB96	L. gasseri	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B102	L. salivarius	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white	B103	L. casei	TNF- α inhibitory activity

Table 60. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, Lactobacillus conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1525.96±66.32		0.00	1485.07±140.97	
MRS	0.00	1121.96±115.93	-26.48	0.00	851.73±177.45	-42.65
L 58/1	0.00	724.18±156.07	-35.45	0.00	400.4±134.82	-52.99
L 9/7	1083.73±132.50	1330.84±58.64	18.62	1037.51±132.9	1281.96±58.67	50.51
B4/2	462.4±58.48	1051.73±185.51	-6.26	467.73±67.03	890.84±45.59	4.59
B5	442.84±5.05	1144.62±155.82	2.02	341.51±66.68	1000.62±147.43	17.48



■ LCM 24 h ■ LCM 48 h

Figure 68. Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus isolates from group 3 pa tients with pe ptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, Lactobacillus conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non- TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 61. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1837.14±37.80		0.00	1459.52±46.95	
MRS	0.00	1104.29±228.42	-39.89	0.00	838.1±106.58	-42.58
L58/1	21.9±23.75	630.95±102.56	-42.86	0.00	599.05±66.04	-28.52
L 9/7	1245.71±90.7	1530.95±103.10	38.64	1144.29±67.6	1309.52±139.2	56.25
B15	925.24±58.94	1571.9±64.08	42.35	876.19±53.57	1097.14±21.33	30.91





Table 62. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1272.71±158.69	m all	0.00	1326.05±33.83	
MRS	0.00	541.22±48.20	-57.48	0.00	563.98±36.83	-57.47
L58/1	0.00	153.86±37.11	-71.57	0.00	116.62±45.39	-79.32
L 9/7	615.24±46.71	845.36±83.54	56.20	735.47±174.81	999.84±111.89	77.28
XB7	0.00	123.06±40.43	-77.26	0.00	241.22±66.09	-57.23
B23	35.93±7.95	475.93±86.22	-12.06	0.00	340.53±87.06	-39.62



■ LCM 24 h □ LCM 48 h

Figure 70. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 63. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1585.31±42.65		0.00	1488.75±30.27	
MRS	0.00	1273.70±75.11	-19.66	0.00	1203.38±40.12	-19.17
L58/1	0.00	840.15±105.57	-34.04	0.00	738.97±40.60	-38.59
L 9/7	1230.69±23.17	1416.06±8.46	11.18	1230.47±25.62	1401.01±70.82	16.42
B14	851.12±6.53	1371.76±98.54	7.70	988.11±27.81	1343.59±67.69	11.65
B24	447.03±79.43	1376.92±56.97	8.10	373.05±113.61	1380.80±40.07	14.74
B98	776.28±51.23	150 <mark>9.61±65.44</mark>	18.52	717.78±58.05	1301.44±54.52	8.15



Figure 71. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

180

Table 64. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1949.96±57.96		0.00	2123.29±226.99	
MRS	0.00	1569.07±83.98	-19.53	0.00	1247.73±275.16	-41.24
L 58/1	0.00	931.73±321.76	-40.62	0.00	948.18±206.49	-24.01
L 9/7	1422.84±49.64	1545.07±146.68	0	1306.84±78.50	1596.18±102.00	27.93
B16	307.29±113.03	1470.4±187.71	-6.29	626.89±71.38	1477.07±343.61	18.38
B26	1001.96±190.81	1527.29±104.31	-2.66	1194.4±61.33	1439.73±146.83	15.39



Figure 72. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 65. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	813.69±33.61		0.00	967.02±141.40	
MRS	0.00	654.17±161.44	-19.60	0.00	900.6±181.82	-6.87
L58/1	0.00	529.64±30.36	-19.04	0.00	449.17±184.18	-50.13
L 9/7	573.21±41.79	682.5±85.22	4.33	607.26±41.79	838.69±225.15	-6.87
B32	58.45±34.75	580.36±62.34	-11.28	452.98±34.75	861.79±91.12	-4.31
B37	151.07±39.46	636.55±65.67	-2.69	257.74±39.46	621.07±170.68	-31.04
B61	157.5±8.95	821.79±73.90	25.62	148.93±8.95	884.88±156.51	-1.74



Figure 73. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 66. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1559.58±98.38		0.00	1595.42±155.16	
MRS	0.00	1065.83±56.71	-31.66	0.00	1068.33±106.07	-33.04
L58/1	0.00	807.08±144.47	-24.28	0.00	563.75±241.41	-47.23
L 9/7	994.17±10.03	1333.75±106.98	25.14	1041.25±26.52	1208.75±88.78	13.14
B33	627.08±43.16	1074.58±39.02	0.82	818.33±71.42	1179.58±325.71	10.41
B43	505.42±77.06	1057.08±154.46	0	585.83±34.13	872.5±146.43	-18.33
B44	703.75±46.59	1225.92±140.77	15.02	627.92±42.30	1220±146.79	14.20



Figure 74. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 67. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1458.19±114.88		0.00	1324.05±114.14	
MRS	0.00	788.59±162.40	-45.92	0.00	630.99±105.36	-52.34
L58/1	0.00	376.85±45.05	-52.21	0.00	133.39±33.08	-78.86
L 9/7	764.85±78.67	925.39±104.63	17.35	774.99±27.32	884.32±67.15	40.15
B36	0.00	293.65±119.97	-62.76	0.00	529.39±153.94	-16.10
B37	325.92±18.62	648.32±125.73	-17.79	303.25±86.01	467.79±95.59	-25.86
XB40	0.00	368.85±180.25	-53.23	0.00	110.99±35.10	-82.41



Figure 75. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 68. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	2019.51±110.88		0.00	2112.49±42.97	
MRS	0.00	1566.88±42.94	-22.41	0.00	1678.46±109.02	-20.55
L58/1	0.00	1121.96±129.88	-28.39	0.00	942.67±75.21	-43.84
L 9/7	1343.37±59.2	1417.75±56.89	-9.52	1265.47±74.7	1664.07±128.46	0
B52	708.98±62.56	1191.09±208.79	-23.98	693.19±27.13	1005.12±93.62	-40.12





Table 69. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1491.12±21.60		0.00	1544.21±71.31	
MRS	0.00	960.64±141.83	-35.58	0.00	925.88±155.76	-40.04
L58/1	0.00	410.64±210.27	-57.25	0.00	358.26±30.02	-61.31
L 9/7	963.02±73.6	1090.4±169.50	13.51	990.29±5.56	1127.07±35.74	21.73
B57	0.00	640.88±168.67	-33.29	0.00	394.93±32.73	-57.35
B84	975.64±32.51	1251.36±74.00	30.26	862.55±42.24	1033.26±85.96	11.60



Figure 77. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ul cer patients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 70. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1839.27±26.64		0.00	1831.97±112.56	
MRS	0.00	1501.81±202.95	-18.35	0.00	1417.68±65.52	-22.61
L						
58/1	0.00	1144.03±128.52	-23.82	0.00	1025.94±3.97	-27.63
L 9/7	1300.54±59.9	1688.16±66.05	12.41	1351.02±11.3	1511.97±70.25	6.65
B61	893.56±63.68	1634.51±67.05	8.84	789.43±77.32	1473.87±84.85	3.96
B62	541.49±11.83	150 <mark>9.43±7</mark> 1.85	0.51	858±68.10	1315.14±283.85	-7.23



Figure 78. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

Table 71. Immunomodulatory e ffects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gr oup 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer on T NF production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; RPMI, THP-1 media control; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; SD, standard deviation; % inhibition (-) indicates inhibited TNF- α .

No.	24 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	24 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition	48 h LCM TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	48 h LCM +LPS TNF-α (pg/ml)±SD	% Inhibition
RPMI	0.00	1886.93±62.56		0.00	1915.93±88.79	
MRS	0.00	1193.93±58.35	-36.73	0.00	1017.93±149.72	-46.87
L 58/1	0.00	848.6±96.06	-28.92	0.00	582.27±192.60	-42.80
L 9/7	1213.6±29.61	1460.93±110.96	22.36	1206.1±28.99	1403.6±108.43	37.89
B85	605.27±32.08	1085.6±140.30	-9.07	756.1±166.17	924.27±88.22	-9.20
B99	324.6±24.25	1272.6±83.47	6.59	414.1±95.46	1116.6±341.13	9.69



Figure 79. Immunomodulatory effects of *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 pa tients with peptic ul cer pa tients on T NF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; error bar showed that standard deviation; n=3.

188

Table 72. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 24 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (16 subjects) on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned media;LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition , - indicated inhibition

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient			1122			
Positive	L 58/1	-38.20	< 0.005	L 58/1	-42.8	< 0.05
control						
Negative	L 9/7	17.52	-	L 9/7	28.43	-
control						
1 (28)	B4/2	-6.26	26-	B4/2	4.59	-
	B5	2.02		B5	17.48	-
2 (27)	XB7	-77.26	< 0.0005	XB7	-57.23	< 0.001
3 (57)	B14	7.70		B14	11.65	-
4 (67)	B15	42.35	-	B15	30.91	-
	B16	-6.29	-	B16	18.38	-
5 (76)	B23	-12.06	() <u>-</u>	B23	-39.62	< 0.01
	B24	8.10	-	B24	14.74	-
6 (85)	B26	-2.66	-	B26	15.39	-
7 (99)	B32	-11.28	ā.	B32	-4.31	-
	B33	0.82	กรีพ	B33	10.41	-
8 (108)	B36	-62.76	< 0.05	B36	-16.10	-
ູລາ	B37	-17.79	แหกก็	B37	-25.86	-
9 (109)	XB40	-53.23	< 0.05	XB40	-82.41	< 0.005
10 (123)	B43	0	-	B43	-18.33	-
	B44	15.02	-	B44	14.20	-
11 (146)	B52	-23.98	< 0.05	B52	-40.12	< 0.001
12 (156)	B57	-33.29	< 0.05	B57	-57.35	< 0.05

Table 72. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 24 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (16 subjects) on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LCM, *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MRS, bacterial media control; L 58/1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain; % inhibition, - indicated inhibition. (Continued)

Subject	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value	LCM+LPS	% TNF-α	P-value
And	24h	inhibition		48h	inhibition	
Patient						
13 (158)	B61	8.84	-	B61	3.96	-
	B62	0.51	-	B62	-7.23	-
14 (206)	B84	30.26	-	B84	11.60	-
	B85	-9.07	-	B85	-9.2	-
15 (250)	B98	18.52		B98	8.15	-
16 (257)	B99	6.59	-	B99	9.69	-



LCM 24 h LCM 48 h

Figure 80. Summary of immunomodulatory e ffects of 24 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer (16 subjects) on TNF- α production in LPS-stimulated THP-1 m onocytic c ells. LPS, l ipopolysaccharide; L 58/ 1, pos itive c ontrol of T NF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.



Figure 81. Summary of inhibitory effects of 6 *Lactobacillus* isolates from group 3 patients with peptic ul cer (6 subjects) of TNF- α production by LPS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cells. LPS, lipopolysaccharide; L 58/1, positive control of TNF- α inhibitory strain; L 9/7, negative control of non-TNF- α inhibitory strain.



Colony appearance	Code	Lactobacillus	Immunomodulatory activity
		species	
M-white turbid	B4/2	L. salivarius	Non-TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white (transparent)	В5	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	XB7	L. plantarum	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white transparent	B14	L. fermentum	Non-TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-white transparent	B15	L. mucosae	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B16	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-turbid	B23	L. salivarius	TNF- α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	B24	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-white transparent	B26	L. mucosae	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B32	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-turbid	B33	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-round turbid	B36	L. agilis	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-round turbid	B37	L. salivarius	Not significantly inhibitoty
0		and analysis	TNF-α activity
M-white transparent	XB40	L. gasseri	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-turbid	B43	L. salivarius	Not significantly inhibitoty
	1	~	TNF-α activity
M-transparent	B44	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white turbid	B52	L. salivarius	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white	B57	L. murinus	TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white transparent	B61	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
L-round turbid	B62	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	B84	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
S-turbid	B85	L. salivarius	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-white transparent	B98	L. fermentum	Non-TNF-α inhibitory activity
M-transparent	B99	L. fermentum	Non-TNF- α inhibitory activity

Table 73. Species of *Lactobacillus* isolates with TNF- α inhibitory activity from group 3 patients with peptic ulcer.

Group of	Number of patients from	Number of TNF-α -		
patient	whom TNF-α –inhibiting	inhibiting Lactobacillus		
(number)	Lactobacillus was isolated	isolates /		
	(Prevalence)	Number of total isolates		
Mild gastritis	7	10 /16		
(n=9)	(77.78%)	(62.5%)		
Severe gastritis	18	22/47		
(n=32)	(56.25%)	(46.81%)		
Peptic ulcer	6	6 /24		
(n=16)	(37.5%)	(25%)		
Total	31	38/87		
(n=57)	(54.39%)	(43.68%)		

Table 74. The prevalence of *Lactobacillus* and number of isolates which significantly inhibited TNF- α production by THP1-monocytic cells.

Table 75. Comparison of the prevalence of TNF- α – inhibiting *Lactobacillus* isolated from each group of dyspeptic patients. Statistical values were calculated using the Chi square and multivariate analysis which were considered significant at p-value ≤ 0.05 .

6	The prevalence of TNF-α- inhibiting <i>Lactobacillus</i>					
Group of patient						
	Chi-square test 🤍	Multivariate analysis				
สาเย้	วิทยุทรัพยาร	5				
Mild gastritis v.s. Severe	Not significantly different	Not significantly				
gastritis	(P = 0.242)	different				
จุฬาลง	ารณนหาวทย	(P=0.917)				
Severe gastritis v.s.	Not significantly different	-				
Peptic ulcer	(p = 0.221)					
Mild gastritis v.s. Peptic	Significantly different	Not significantly				
ulcer	(p = 0.053)	different				
		(P=0.985)				

CHAPTER VI

DISCUSSION

The m icroflora of hum an g astrointestinal t ract c ontains di verse popul ations of bacteria w hich pl ay a n e ssential r ole i n t he de velopment of g ut m ucosal ba rrier a nd innate immuni ty. *Lactobacillus* is c ommonly associated w ith t he bod y o f hum ans a nd animals. They are mic roflora in the or al cavity, gastrointestinal tracts and vagina (33, 34). Members of *Lactobacillus* are g ram-positive, facultatively anaerobic, catalase-negative a nd non-spore-forming r ods and a re i solated from m any ha bitats (202). It has been reported t hat t he indigenous l actobacilli w ere only t he s pecies *L.crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, *L. reuteri*, *L.ruminis* and *L. salivarius* (35). Other *Lactobacillus* species found in human were considered transient lactobacilli.

In this study, *Lactobacillus* was isolated from gastric biopsies and throat swabs of dyspeptic patients that were divided into three groups based on endoscopic findings. The patients w ere s tarved ove rnight be fore t he g astroduodenal endoscopy for easy visualization a nd di agnosis b y endoscopist. T he pr evalence of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric bi opsies found in patients group 1 and 2 w ere not s ignificantly different (p>0.05). Surprisingly, the prevalence of *Latobacillus* isolates found in patients group 3 s ignificantly (p<0.05) as shown in tables 15 and 16. However, the prevalence of *Lactobacillus* isolates from throat swabs of each group of patients were not significantly different (p>0.05) as shown in Tables 17 and 18. These results suggested that the stomach environment of peptic ulcer patients was more favourable to *Lactobacillus* than that of patients with mild gastritis and severe gastritis. The hum an s tomach w as a n i nhospitable environment for m icroorganism be cause of acidic conditions (pH 2.2-2.4) and other antimicrobial factors (69).

In this s tudy, most *Lactobacillus* isolates were vancomycin resistant. Previous report classified *Lactobacillus* by using vancomycin susceptibility test into vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible groups (32). *L. acidophilus* complex comprised of species *L. acidophilus*, *L. johnsonii*, *L. crispatus*, *L. amylovorus*, *L. gallinarum* and *L. gasseri* (33, 147, 203) . *L. acidophilus* group a nd *L. delbruckeii* were susceptible t o vancomycin w hile *L. rhamnosus* was r esistant t o vancomycin (171). M ost of
vancomycin- susceptible isolates were *L. gasseri* which would be missed if we selected only vancomycin –resistant colonies.

Identification of lactobacilli was previously based on culture-dependent methods and recently, molecular techniques involving gene sequencing were the gold standard. In present study, Lactobacillus group-specific primers could not separate Weissella from genus Lactobacillus. B71 and B59 isolates from gastric biopsies and T117/1, T126, and T127 isolates from thr oat s wabs w ere a mplified by Lactobacillus primers (data not shown) and identified by DNA sequencing as W. confusa or W. cibaria and W. cibaria, respectively. This was consistent with the result previously described that these groupspecific primer were able to detect of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc, and Weissella (115, 179). Universal primers 16S-8F and 16S-1541R amplified the whole 16S rRNA gene about 1,520 bp long which constituted of both variable and conserverd region (32). The 16S r RNA gene product is large e nough and sufficient to distinguish the species of Lactobacillus. The universal primer was us ed c arefully b ecause i t coul d amplify the c ontaminate D NA. F or s ome lactobacilli s uch as L. casei group, t he sequencing could not discriminate species identification. Several previously described L. casei related strains such as L. casei, L. paracasei, L. rhamnosus and L. zeae which classification and nomenclature of these bacteria are controversial. The differences in the V1 region of the 16S rRNA were observed for the three *casei*-group species as *L. casei*, L. paracasei and L. rhamnosus (204) and reported sequence signatures from the 16S rRNA which allowed differentiation of these species (202). The sequence of L. casei group is different within the V1 region of the 16S r RNA gene and polymerase chain reaction pr imers w ere designed va riable r egion of each Lactobacillus when us ed combination with primer Y2 (conserved 16S rRNA) enabled amplification of a specific of each strains (205). Moreover, Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis was used for strain comparison which specific amplification fragments enabled a rapid presumptive identification of the *Lactobacillus* species (205).

In our s tudy, t he da ta revealed t hat *Lactobacillus* isolated from t hroat w ere presented i n va rious s pecies t han *Lactobacillus* isolated f rom ga stric bi opsies. T he stomach contributed to highly acidic hydrochoric acid (HCL) which destroys most oral bacteria and anaerobic bacteria. Relatively few *Lactobacillus* species can tolerate acidic condition of stomach (28). The gastric biopsies of dyspeptic patients were recovered by several *Lactobacillus* species, with *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius* being the predominant species. *L. gasseri*, *L. plantarum*, *L. mucosae* and *L. casei* group can r ecovered from gastric biosies. Moreover, *L. oris*, *L. murinus* and *W. confusa* or *W. cibaria* few isolated from gastric biopsies. These were consistent with the previous results demonstrating the bacterial di versity within the hum an gastric m ucosa (70). In addition, i solation of *Lactobacillus* from human gastric mucosa obtained from healthy individuals revealed a number of *Lactobacillus* species including novel species as *L. gastricus* sp. nov., *L. antri* sp. nov., *L. kalixensis* sp. nov. and *L. ultunensis* sp. nov. (35).

In this study, the species most frequently recovered from the throat as well as the stomach of d yspeptic pa tients w ere *L. fermentun* and *L. salivarius*. P revious r eported, *Lactobacillus* microflora is olated from the r ectal as well as the or al mucosa of he althy volunteers w ere *L. plantarum* and *L. rhamnosus* and *L. paracasei* which w ere isolated from 52%, 26% a nd 17% of the individuals (34). M ost of the m ajor *Lactobacillus* groups, including *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius* were found both on the t hroat a nd stomach. P revious has been s uggested that the s pecies *L. salivarius*, *L. crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, *L. reuteri* and *L. ruminis* are truly autochthonous (indigenous microflora) to the human gastrointestinal tracts (33). Inaddition, *L. gasseri* was found in gastric bi opsies more than throat swab of dyspeptic patients.

Tumor ne crosis f actor-alpha (TNF- α) is proinflamatory cytokine and widely appreciated as a pr incipal mediator of systemic responses to sepsis and injury (206). It has been involved in major mediator of inflammation and in the pathogenesis of a wide spectrum of hum an di seases, including s epsis, di abetes, c ancer, os teoporosis, a llograft rejection and autoimmune di seases such as multiple sclerosis (20), rheumatoid arthritis, and inflammatory bowel diseases (21, 22). TNF- α is potent inducer of inflammation and expressed in increased amount of mononuclear cell infiltrated into a site of infection. TNF- α is produced by different cell types and produced mainly by activated macrophages in response to tissue injury or infection (207). TNF- α induces a cascade of endogenous mediators that direct host immunologic functions (200). While TNF- α as an essential element in host defense, the excessive tissue production of TNF- α can mediate detrimental systemic effects by acutely precipitating a syndrome similar to that of septic shock (208). TNF- α is reported to promote inflammatory cell infiltration by upregulating leukocyte adhesion molecules on endothelial cells (ECs), activated neutroplile, promot T and B cell proliferation, serve as a chemotactic agent for monocytes (188).

The prevalence of TNF- α -inhibiting *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies found in patients groups 1 a nd 2 and groups 2 a nd 3 w ere not significantly different (p>0.05). As postulated, the prevalence and number of isolates found in patients group 1 were more than those in patients group 3 significantly (p=0.053) as shown in Tables 74 and 75. However, m ultivariate ana lysis of t he pr evalence of T NF- α – inhibiting *Lactobacillus* isolated from group 1 and group 3 was not significant at (p=0.985) as shown in Table 75.

The i nhibitory a ctivity varied va riously i n e ach s train, w hich s ome i solates showed highly TNF- α inhibitory activity while some isolates slightly inhibited TNF- α production *in vitro*, which indicate that the potential of *Lactobacillus* isolates were functionally different. *Lactobacillus* did not have modulatory effect in every species or isolate of the same species, demonstrating that specific immune effects may be species or strain specific (58). W e s tudied *Lactobacillus* conditioned m edia at 24 a nd 48 h cutivation of *Lactobacillus*. Some isolates produced immunoregulatory factor at 24 h, but some is olates di d as 4 8 h of c ultivation f or i mmunoregulatory factor pr oduction. Therefore, it was possible that the time point was optimal for production and secretion of immunoregulatory factor to modulated TNF- α production *in vitro*.

Previous de scribed some gastrointestinal infection and inflammatory conditions, such as acute gastroenteritis, inflammatory bo wel di sease (IBD), inflammatory cells including monocytes, lymphocytes, were activated and accumulated in lamina propria. These cells secrete excessive inflammatory products such as proinflammatory cytokine, chemokine. TNF- α can induce epithelial cell secrete IL-8 production which *L. reuteri* inhibited the synthesis and secretion of IL-8 induced by activated with TNF- α . Several probiotic m echanisms of a ction, ha ve be en competitive of pr obiotic with m icrobial pathogens, a ntimicrobial a ctivity a nd s uppression of pa thogen growth, immunomodulation a nd/or s timulation of a n i mmune r esponse, d evelopment of gut mucosal barrier and induction of T cell apoptosis (164, 209).

Similarly, previous studies suggested that several species of Lactobacillus grown in media and secreted immunoregulatory factor into media culture which down-regulated TNF- α production as celled immunomodulins (32, 58). Similarly, this study suggested that s ome *Lactobacillus* isolates as T NF- α inhibitory strains feasibly secreted immunoregulatory factor int o Lactobacillus conditioned media, which were capable inhibited TNF-a production in LPS-activated THP-1 momocytic cells. The similar result has be en s hown t hat l actobacilli recovered from mic e w ithout c olitis s ignificantly inhibited TNF-a production by LPS-activated macrophages which 29 lactobacilli isolated from mice without colitis, 6 (21%) displayed TNF- α inhibitory effects. In contrast, none of 29 l actobacilli recovered from colitis mice TNF- α inhibitory activity (32). Pena and Versalovic previously described an in vitro assay demonstrating that L. rhamnosus GG were able to inhibit TNF- α production in LPS-activated murine macrophages (58). It has been r eported t hat or al a dministration of a mixture of (VSL#3) as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium in ulcerative c olitis patients has effective in preventing f lare-ups of chronic pou chitis (210). H olma R et al. f ound t hat L. reuteri R2LC s ignificantly diminished mucosal inflammation in acetic acid induced. (211). These reports supported the role of Lactobacillus-mediated immunomodulation in the di seases resulting from inflammation

CHAPTER VII

CONCLUSTION

The prevalence of *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies found in patients group 1 and 2 were similar. However, the prevalence of isolates found in these groups were less than those in patients group 3 s ignificantly (p<0.05). The prevalence of *Lactobacillus* of i solates f rom t hroat s wabs of e ach g roup of pa tients w ere not significantly different (p>0.05). These results suggested that the stomach environment of peptic ulcer patients was more favourable to *Lactobacillus* than that of patients with mild gastritis and severe gastritis.

The prevalence of TNF- α -inhibiting *Lactobacillus* isolates from gastric biopsies found in patients groups 1 and 2 and groups 2 and 3 were not significantly different (p>0.05). As expected, the prevalence of isolates found in patients group 1 were more than those in patients group 3 significantly (p=0.053) However, multivariate analysis of the pr evalence of TNF- α -inhibitory *Lactobacillus* in patients group 1 and 3 w as not significantly different (p=0.985).

TNF- α – inhibitory *Lactobacillus* found in this s tudy w ere a ll is olates of *L. plantarum*, *L. murinus* and s ome i solates of *L. salivarius*, *L.gasseri and L. casei* group. On the c ontrary, a ll i solates of *L. fermentum*, *L. mucosae* and *L. oris* did not suppress T NF- α production. Predominate species found in both ga stric bi opsies a nd throat swabs were *L. fermentum* and *L. salivarius*. The majority of patients, from whom *Lactobacillus* spp. were isolated from both gastric biopsies and throat swabs, had at least one isolate of the same species.

The results of this study suggested that some *Lactobacillus* species detected in gastric biopsies originate from throats and *Lactobacillus* species in the stomach might be a factor contributing to the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dixon MF. Alimentary system, stomach. In J.C.E. Underwood (ed.), <u>General and</u> <u>systematic pathology</u>, pp.367-377. Philadelphaia : Churchill Livingstone, 2004.
- [2] Standring S, Ellis H, Healy JC and Williams A, eds. <u>Gray's anatomy of the human</u> <u>body</u>. 39 th ed. Spain : Elisevier Churchill Livingstone, 2005.
- [3] Cuong C a nd N guyen. E mbrylogy, anatomy, a natomic r elationship a nd nor mal endoscopy of the stomach. In Anthony J. DiMarino, Jr. and Stanley B. Benjamin (eds.), <u>Gastrointestinal di sease an endoscopic appr oach</u>, pp. 379-400. United States of America : Slack, 2002.
- [4] Liu C and Crawford JM. The gastrointestinal tract, diseases of organ system, stomach. In Vinay Kumar, Abul K.ABBAS and Nelson Fausto (eds.), <u>Robbins and cotran</u> <u>pathologic basis of disease</u>.7th ed, pp.810-819. China: Elsevier Saunders.
- [5] Shier D, Butler J and Lewis R, eds. <u>Hole's human anatomy & physiology</u>.10th ed. Newyork : The Mc Graw Hill companies,2004.
- [6] Bazaldua OV, S chneider FD. E valuation and management of dy spepsia. <u>Am F am</u> <u>Physician</u>. 1999 Oct 15;60(6):1773-84, 87-8.
- [7] McQuaid K R. D yspepsia. In M ark Feldman, Lawrence S. Friedman, Lawrence J. Brandt and M arvin H. Sleisenger (eds.), <u>Feldman: sleisenger & f ordtran's</u> <u>gastrointestinal a nd liver di sease</u>. 8 th ed, pp. 121-142. Philadelphia : Saunders Elsevier, 2006
- [8] Lee E L, Feldman M. Gastritis and gastropathies. In Mark Feldman, Lawrence S. Friedman, L awrence J. B randt and M arvin H. S leisenger (e ds.), <u>Feldman:</u> <u>sleisenger & fordtran's gastrointestinal and liver disease</u>. 8 th ed, pp. 1067-1087. Philadelphia : Saunders Elsevier , 2006.
- [9] Brendan D, P hilip S. G astritis i n c hildhood. In W. Allan W alker a nd *et al.* (eds.), <u>Pediactric gastrointestinal disease</u>, pp. 426-453. (n.p.),1991.
- [10] Eastwood GL. Stomach : ana tomy and structeral anomalies. In Tadataga Y amada and *et al* (eds.), <u>Textbook of gastroenterology</u>. Vol I. 2nd ed, pp.1303-1480. J.B. Philadelphia : Lippincott company,1995.
- [11] Cryer B, Spechler S J. Peptic ul cer di sease. In M ark Feldman, Lawrence S. Friedman, L awrence J. B randt a nd M arvin H. S leisenger (eds.), <u>Feldman :</u> <u>sleisenger & fordtran's gastrointestinal and liver di sease</u>. 8 th ed, pp. 1089-1110. Philadelphia : Saunders Elsevier , 2006.

- [12] Arakawa T, Watanabe T, Fukuda T, Higuchi K, Fujiwara Y, Kobayashi K, et al. Ulcer recurrence: cy tokines and inflammatory r esponse-dependent process. <u>Dig</u> <u>Dis Sci</u>. 1998 Sep;43(9 Suppl):61S-6S.
- [13] Marshall BJ, Warren JR. Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. <u>Lancet</u>. 1984 Jun 16;1(8390):1311-5.
- [14] Crabtree JE, Shallcross TM, Heatley RV, Wyatt JI. Mucosal tumour necrosis factor alpha a nd i nterleukin-6 in patients with *Helicobacter pylori* associated gastritis. <u>Gut</u>. 1991 Dec;32(12):1473-7.
- [15] Kutukculer N, Aydogdu S, Goksen D, Caglayan S, Yagcyi RV. Increased mucosal inflammatory c ytokines in children with *Helicobacter pylori*-associated gastritis. <u>Acta Paediatr</u>. 1997 Sep;86(9):928-31.
- [16] Bauditz J, Ortner M, Bierbaum M, Niedobitek G, Lochs H, Schreiber S. Production of IL -12 in gastritis r elates to infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. <u>Clin E xp</u> <u>Immunol</u>. 1999 Aug;117(2):316-23.
- [17] Suganuma M, Kuzuhara T, Yamaguchi K, Fujiki H. C arcinogenic role of tumor necrosis factor-alpha inducing protein of *Helicobacter pylori* in human stomach. J <u>Biochem Mol Biol</u>. 2006 Jan 31;39(1):1-8.
- [18] Guiraldes E , Duarte I , Pena A , G odoy A, E spinosa MN, B ravo R, et al. Proinflammatory c ytokine e xpression in gastric tissue f rom children with *Helicobacter pylori*-associated gastritis. J Pediatr G astroenterol N utr. 2001 Aug;33(2):127-32.
- [19] Schneider-Brachert W, Tchikov V, N eumeyer J, J akob M, W inoto-Morbach S, Held-Feindt J, et al. C ompartmentalization of T NF r eceptor 1 signaling: internalized TNF r eceptosomes as de ath signaling ve sicles. <u>Immunity</u>. 2004 Sep;21(3):415-28.
- [20] Schottelius AJ, Moldawer LL, Dinarello CA, Asadullah K, Sterry W, Edwards CK, 3rd. Biology of t umor ne crosis factor-alpha- implications f or ps oriasis. <u>Exp</u> <u>Dermatol</u>. 2004 Apr;13(4):193-222.
- [21] Chen G, Goeddel DV. TNF-R1 signaling: a beautiful pathway. <u>Science</u>. 2002 May 31;296(5573):1634-5.
- [22] Bradley JR. TNF-mediated inflammatory disease. <u>J Pathol</u>. 2008 Jan;214(2):149-60.
- [23] Hasegawa S, Nishikawa S, Miura T, Saito Y, Madarame H, Sekikawa K, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha is required for gastritis induced by *Helicobacter felis* infection in mice. <u>Microb Pathog</u>. 2004 Sep;37(3):119-24.

- [24] Bedoui S, Velkoska E, Bozinovski S, Jones JE, Anderson GP, Morris MJ. Unaltered TNF-alpha production by macrophages and monocytes in diet-induced obesity in the rat. J Inflamm (Lond). 2005 Mar 21;2(1):2.
- [25] van Leeuwen HJ, Van Der Tol M, Van Strijp JA, Verhoef J, van Kessel KP. The role of t umour ne crosis f actor i n t he ki netics of lipopolysaccharide-mediated neutrophil priming in whole blood. <u>Clin Exp Immunol</u>. 2005 Apr;140(1):65-72.
- [26] Sohn EJ, Paape MJ, Connor EE, Bannerman DD, Fetterer RH, Peters RR. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide stimulates bovi ne ne utrophil pr oduction of T NF-alpha, I L-1beta, IL-12 and IFN-gamma. Vet Res. 2007 Nov-Dec;38(6):809-18.
- [27] Su SC, Hua KF, Lee H, Chao LK, Tan SK, Lee H, et al. LTA and LPS mediated activation of pr otein ki nases i n the r egulation of i nflammatory c ytokines expression in macrophages. <u>Clin Chim Acta</u>. 2006 Dec;374(1-2):106-15.
- [28] Teilbaum JE. indigenous flora. In Robert Wyllie, Jefferey S Hyams and Marsha Kay (eds.), <u>Prediatric g astrointestinal a nd liver di sease pa thophysiology / diagnosisi / management</u>, pp.37-50. Newtherlands : Sauders Elsevier, 2006.
- [29] Kandler O and Weiss N. Genus Lactobacillus. In P.H.A. Sneath, N.S. Mair, M.E. Sharpe and J.G. Holt. <u>Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology</u>. Vol 2. 8 th ed, pp. 1209-1234. Baltimore Landon Williams & Wilkins : The Waverly Press, 1986.
- [30] Borriello S P, Murray P R,eds. <u>Topley a nd w ilson's m icrobiology a nd microbial</u> <u>infections</u>.10th ed. Step Communications, 2005.
- [31] Gong J, Si W, Forster RJ, Huang R, Yu H, Yin Y, et al. 16S r RNA gene-based analysis of mucosa-associated bacterial community and phylogeny in the chicken gastrointestinal tracts: f rom c rops to ceca. <u>FEMS M icrobiol Ecol</u>. 2007 Jan;59(1):147-57.
- [32] Pena JA, Li SY, Wilson PH, Thibodeau SA, Szary AJ, Versalovic J. Genotypic and phenotypic studies of murine intestinal lactobacilli: species differences in mice with and without colitis. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 2004 Jan;70(1):558-68.
- [33] Dal Bello F, Hertel C. Oral cavity as natural reservoir for intestinal lactobacilli. <u>Syst</u> <u>Appl Microbiol</u>. 2006 Jan;29(1):69-76.
- [34] Ahrne S, Nobaek S, Jeppsson B, A dlerberth I, Wold AE, Molin G. The nor mal Lactobacillus flora of healthy human rectal and oral mucosa. <u>J Appl Microbiol</u>. 1998 Jul;85(1):88-94.

- [35] Roos S, Engstrand L, Jonsson H. Lactobacillus gastricus sp. nov., Lactobacillus antri sp. nov., Lactobacillus kalixensis sp. nov. and Lactobacillus ultunensis sp. nov., isolated from hum an stomach m ucosa. Int J Syst E vol M icrobiol. 2005 Jan;55(Pt 1):77-82.
- [36] Meurman JH. Probiotics: do they have a role in oral medicine and dentistry? <u>Eur J</u> <u>Oral Sci</u>. 2005 Jun;113(3):188-96.
- [37] Rasmussen J J, F uller W, A li M R. Marginal ul ceration after l aparoscopic g astric bypass: an analysis of predisposing factors in 260 patients. <u>Surg Endosc</u>. 2007 Jul;21(7):1090-4.
- [38] Moreira J L, Mota R M, H orta MF, T eixeira S M, Neumann E, N icoli JR, et al. Identification to the s pecies le vel of *Lactobacillus* isolated i n probiotic prospecting studies of human, animal or food origin by 16S-23S rRNA restriction profiling. <u>BMC Microbiol</u>. 2005;5(1):15.
- [39] Fuller R. Probiotics in man and animals. J Appl Bacteriol. 1989 May;66(5):365-78.
- [40] Silva M, Jacobus NV, Deneke C, Gorbach S L. A ntimicrobial substance f rom a human Lactobacillus strain. <u>Antimicrob A gents Chemother</u>. 1987 Aug;31(8):1231-3.
- [41] Garriga M, Pascual M, Monfort JM, Hugas M. Selection of lactobacilli for chicken probiotic adjuncts. <u>J Appl Microbiol</u>. 1998 Jan;84(1):125-32.
- [42] Naaber P, Smidt I, Stsepetova J, Brilene T, Annuk H, Mikelsaar M. Inhibition of *Clostridium difficile* strains by intestinal *Lactobacillus* species. <u>J Med Microbiol</u>. 2004 Jun;53(Pt 6):551-4.
- [43] Elmer G W. P robiotics: "living dr ugs". <u>Am J H ealth Syst P harm</u>. 2001 Jun 15;58(12):1101-9.
- [44] Shornikova A V, C asas I A, I solauri E, M ykkanen H, V esikari T. Lactobacillus reuteri as a t herapeutic agent i n acute diarrhea i n young children. <u>J P ediatr</u> <u>Gastroenterol Nutr</u>. 1997 Apr;24(4):399-404.
- [45] Shornikova AV, Casas IA, Mykkanen H, Salo E, Vesikari T. Bacteriotherapy with Lactobacillus reuteri in r otavirus g astroenteritis. <u>Pediatr I nfect D is J</u>. 1997 Dec;16(12):1103-7.
- [46] Rosenfeldt V, Michaelsen KF, Jakobsen M, Larsen CN, Moller PL, Pedersen P, et al. Effect of probiotic Lactobacillus strains in young children hospitalized with acute diarrhea. <u>Pediatr Infect Dis J</u>. 2002 May;21(5):411-6.

- [47] de Vrese M, Marteau PR. Probiotics and prebiotics: effects on diarrhea. <u>J Nutr</u>. 2007 Mar;137(3 Suppl 2):803S-11S.
- [48] Ronnqvist D, Forsgren-Brusk U, Husmark U, Grahn-Hakansson E. Lactobacillus fermentum Ess-1 with uni que growth i nhibition of vul vo-vaginal candi diasis pathogens. J Med Microbiol. 2007 Nov;56(Pt 11):1500-4.
- [49] Falagas ME, Betsi GI, Athanasiou S. Probiotics for the treatment of women with bacterial vaginosis. <u>Clin Microbiol Infect</u>. 2007 Jul;13(7):657-64.
- [50] Kim SO and *et al.*G-CSF-mediated i nhibition of J NK is a key m echanism for *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*-induced s uppression of T NF pr oduction in macrophages.<u>Cellular Microbiology</u>,2006.8(12):p.1958–1971.
- [51] Schiffrin E J, Blum S. I nteractions be tween the m icrobiota and the int estinal mucosa. <u>Eur J Clin Nutr</u>. 2002 Aug;56 Suppl 3:S60-4.
- [52] Coconnier MH, Bernet MF, Chauviere G, Servin AL. Adhering heat-killed human Lactobacillus acidophilus, s train LB, i nhibits t he process of pa thogenicity of diarrhoeagenic bacteria in cultured human intestinal cells. J Diarrhoeal Dis Res. 1993 Dec;11(4):235-42.
- [53] Cross ML. M icrobes ve rsus microbes: i mmune s ignals generated by probiotic lactobacilli a nd their role in protection against microbial pa thogens. <u>FEMS</u> <u>Immunol Med Microbiol</u>. 2002 Dec 13;34(4):245-53.
- [54] Mack DR, Michail S, Wei S, McDougall L, Hollingsworth MA. Probiotics inhibit enteropathogenic *E. coli* adherence i n vi tro by i nducing i ntestinal m ucin g ene expression. <u>Am J Physiol</u>. 1999 Apr;276(4 Pt 1):G941-50.
- [55] Gill H, Prasad J. Probiotics, immunomodulation, and health benefits. <u>Adv Exp Med</u> <u>Biol</u>. 2008;606:423-54.
- [56] Erickson K L, Hubbard NE. Probiotic immunomodulation in health and disease. J <u>Nutr</u>. 2000 Feb;130(2S Suppl):403S-9S.
- [57] Matsuzaki T. I mmunomodulation by t reatment with *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota. <u>Int J Food Microbiol</u>. 1998 May 26;41(2):133-40.
- [58] Pena JA, Versalovic J. Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG de creases T NF-alpha production i n l ipopolysaccharide-activated murine m acrophages by a contactindependent mechanism. <u>Cell Microbiol</u>. 2003 Apr;5(4):277-85.
- [59] Pena JA, Rogers AB, Ge Z, Ng V, Li SY, Fox JG, et al. Probiotic Lactobacillus spp. diminish Helicobacter hepaticus-induced i nflammatory bow el di sease in interleukin-10-deficient mice. <u>Infect Immun</u>. 2005 Feb;73(2):912-20.

- [60] Marteau PR, de V rese M, Cellier CJ, Schrezenmeir J. Protection from gastrointestinal diseases with t he us e of pr obiotics. <u>Am J C lin Nutr</u>. 2001 Feb;73(2 Suppl):430S-6S.
- [61] Fabia R, Ar'Rajab A, Johansson ML, Willen R, Andersson R, Molin G, et al. The effect of exogenous a dministration of *Lactobacillus reuteri* R2LC and oat fiber on acetic acid-induced c olitis i n t her at. <u>Scand JG astroenterol</u>. 1993 Feb;28(2):155-62.
- [62] Midolo P D, L ambert J R, H ull R, L uo F, G rayson M L. In vi tro i nhibition of *Helicobacter pylori* NCTC 11637 by or ganic acids and lactic acid bacteria. J <u>Appl Bacteriol</u>. 1995 Oct;79(4):475-9.
- [63] Aiba Y, Suzuki N, Kabir AM, Takagi A, Koga Y. Lactic acid-mediated suppression of *Helicobacter pylori* by the oral administration of *Lactobacillus salivarius* as a probiotic in a g notobiotic m urine m odel. <u>Am J G astroenterol</u>. 1998 Nov;93(11):2097-101.
- [64] Uchida M, Kurakazu K. Yogurt containing Lactobacillus gasseri OLL2716 exerts gastroprotective act ion against [correction of agaisnt] acut e gastric l esion and antral ulcer in rats. J Pharmacol Sci. 2004 Sep;96(1):84-90.
- [65] Sakamoto I, Igarashi M, Kimura K, Takagi A, Miwa T, Koga Y. Suppressive effect of *Lactobacillus gasseri* OLL 2716 (LG21) on *Helicobacter pylori* infection in humans. <u>J Antimicrob Chemother</u>. 2001 May;47(5):709-10.
- [66] Tamura A, Kumai H, Nakamichi N, Sugiyama T, Deguchi R, Takagi A, et al. Suppression of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced interleukin-8 production in vitro and within the gastric mucosa by a live *Lactobacillus* strain. <u>J Gastroenterol Hepatol</u>. 2006 Sep;21(9):1399-406.
- [67] Crabtree JE, Lindley IJ. Mucosal interleukin-8 and *Helicobacter pylori*-associated gastroduodenal disease. <u>Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol</u>. 1994 Dec;6 Suppl 1:S33-8.
- [68] Crabtree JE, Wyatt JI, Trejdosiewicz LK, Peichl P, Nichols PH, Ramsay N, et al. Interleukin-8 expression in *Helicobacter pylori* infected, normal, and neoplastic gastroduodenal mucosa. <u>J Clin Pathol</u>. 1994 Jan;47(1):61-6.
- [69] Madinier I. [Oral bacterial flora and its pathogenic potential]. <u>J Biol Buccale</u>. 1991 Mar;19(1):3-15.

- [70] Kibiki G S, Thielman N M, Maro V P, S am NE, D olmans WM, C rump J A. Hookworm infection of the duodenum associated with dyspepsia and diagnosed by oesophagoduodenoscopy: case report. <u>East A fr Med J</u>. 2006 Dec;83(12):689-92.
- [71] Tangmankongworakoon N, Vilaichone R K, Kullavanijaya P, Mahachai V. T he effect of blood on rapid urease test for *Helicobacter pylori* detection: an in vitro study. <u>J Med Assoc Thai</u>. 2002 Jun;85 Suppl 1:S70-3.
- [72] Andrzejewska E, S zkaradkiewicz A. [Evaluation of t he a ntagonistic e ffect of Lactobacillus acidophilus on clinical strains of Helicobacter pylori]. <u>Med Dosw</u> <u>Mikrobiol</u>. 2007;59(1):59-64.
- [73] Kotarski SF, Savage DC. Models for study of the specificity by which indigenous lactobacilli a dhere to murine gastric e pithelia. <u>Infect I mmun</u>. 1979 Dec;26(3):966-75.
- [74] Roach S, S avage D C, T annock G W. Lactobacilli is olated from the s tomach of conventional mice. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 1977 May;33(5):1197-203.
- [75] Dodge J A. The stomach. In Michael Gracey and Valerie Burke (eds.), <u>Pediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology</u>. 3th e d, pp. 77-95. London : B lackwell Scientific Publication, 1993.
- [76] Talley N J, V akil N. G uidelines f or t he m anagement of dy spepsia. <u>Am J</u> <u>Gastroenterol</u>. 2005 Oct;100(10):2324-37.
- [77] El-Serag H B, Talley N J. Systemic r eview: the prevalence and clinical cour se of functional dyspepsia. <u>Aliment Pharmacol Ther</u>. 2004 Mar 15;19(6):643-54.
- [78] Agreus L, Brun J. [Dyspepsia--can we follow dog matic guidelines in a nua need reality? Individual management of patients with dyspepsia with risk of stomach ulcer]. <u>Lakartidningen</u>. 1999 May 26;96(21):2591-5.
- [79] Malfertheiner P. C urrent concepts i n dyspepsia: a world pe rspective. <u>Eur J</u> <u>Gastroenterol Hepatol</u>. 1999 Jun:S25-9.
- [80] Bisschops R, Karamanolis G, Arts J, Caenepeel P, Verbeke K, Janssens J, et al. Relationship B etween S ymptoms And I ngestion O f A M eal I n Functional Dyspepsia. <u>Gut</u>. 2008 Jun 2.
- [81] Toruner M. A spirin and g astrointestinal t oxicity. <u>Anadolu K ardiyol D erg</u>. 2007 Dec;7 Suppl 2:27-30.
- [82] Chong V H, Wang C L. H igher prevalence of gastrointestinal s ymptoms a mong patients with rheumatic disorders. <u>Singapore Med J</u>. 2008 May;49(5):419-24.

- [83] Jones R, Tait C, Sladen G, Weston-Baker J. A trial of a t est-and-treat strategy for *Helicobacter pylori* positive dy speptic pa tients i n general pr actice. Int J C lin <u>Pract</u>. 1999 Sep;53(6):413-6.
- [84] Rubin CE. Are there three types of *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis? <u>Gastroenterology</u>. 1997 Jun;112(6):2108-10.
- [85] Dixon MF, Genta RM, Yardley JH, Correa P. Classification and grading of gastritis. The updated S ydney System. International Workshop on the Histopathology of Gastritis, Houston 1994. <u>Am J Surg Pathol</u>. 1996 Oct;20(10):1161-81.
- [86] Appelman HD. Gastritis: te rminology, etiology, and clinicopathological correlations: another biased view. <u>Hum Pathol</u>. 1994 Oct;25(10):1006-19.
- [87] Rubin E, Farber JL. Pathology, 2nd ed. Philadelphia : J. B. Lippincott company.
- [88] Warren J, Marshall B. Unidentified curved bacillus on gastric epithelium in chronic active gastritis.<u>Lancet</u>. 1983 : 1273-1275.
- [89] Correa P. Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric canc er. <u>Cancer E pidemiol</u> <u>Biomarkers Prev.</u> 2003 Mar;12(3):238s-41s.
- [90] Dore MP, Graham DY. Gastritis, dyspepsia and peptic ulcer disease. <u>Minerva Med</u>. 2008 Jun;99(3):323-33.
- [91] Hao WL, Lee YK. Microflora of the gastrointestinal tract: a review. <u>Methods Mol</u> <u>Biol</u>. 2004;268:491-502.
- [92] deMan JC, Rogosa M, Sharp ME. A medium for cultivation of Lactobacilli. <u>J Appl</u> <u>Bact</u>,1960 :130-135.
- [93] Desai A R, Shah N P, P owell I B. Discrimination of dairy industry is olates of the Lactobacillus casei group. J Dairy Sci. 2006 Sep;89(9):3345-51.
- [94] Kleerebezem M, Boekhorst J, van Kranenburg R, Molenaar D, Kuipers OP, Leer R, et al. Complete genome sequence of Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003 Feb 18;100(4):1990-5.
- [95] Altermann E, Russell WM, Azcarate-Peril MA, Barrangou R, Buck BL, McAuliffe O, et al. Complete genome s equence of t he probiotic l actic aci d bacterium Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM. <u>Proc N atl A cad Sci U S A</u>. 2005 Mar 15;102(11):3906-12.
- [96] Pridmore RD, Berger B, Desiere F, Vilanova D, Barretto C, Pittet AC, et al. The genome s equence of the probiotic i ntestinal ba cterium *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC 533. <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u>. 2004 Feb 24;101(8):2512-7.

- [97] Azcarate-Peril MA, Altermann E, Goh YJ, Tallon R, Sanozky-Dawes RB, Pfeiler EA, et al. A nalysis of the genome s equence of Lactobacillus gasseri ATCC 33323 reveals the molecular basis of an autochthonous intestinal organism. <u>Appl</u> <u>Environ Microbiol</u>. 2008 Aug;74(15):4610-25.
- [98] Gilliland SE. A cidophilus m ilk products: a r eview of pot ential be nefits t o consumers. <u>J Dairy Sci</u>. 1989 Oct;72(10):2483-94.
- [99] Van Houte J, Gibbons RJ, Pulkkinen AJ. Ecology of human oral lactobacilli. <u>Infect</u> <u>Immun</u>. 1972 Nov;6(5):723-9.
- [100] Zilberstein B, Quintanilha AG, Santos MA, Pajecki D, Moura EG, Alves PR, et al. Digestive tract microbiota in healthy volunteers. <u>Clinics</u>. 2007 Feb;62(1):47-54.
- [101] Kimura K, McCartney A L, M cConnell MA, T annock G W. Analysis of fecal populations of bi fidobacteria and l actobacilli a nd investigation of t he immunological responses of their human hosts to the predominant strains. <u>Appl</u> <u>Environ Microbiol</u>. 1997 Sep;63(9):3394-8.
- [102] Byun R, N adkarni M A, C hhour K L, Martin F E, J acques N A, H unter N. Quantitative analysis of diverse *Lactobacillus* species present in advanced dental caries. J Clin Microbiol. 2004 Jul;42(7):3128-36.
- [103] Trudel L, St-Amand L, Bareil M, Cardinal P, Lavoie MC. Bacteriology of the oral cavity of BALB/c mice. Can J Microbiol. 1986 Aug;32(8):673-8.
- [104] Horie M, K ajikawa H S, Toba T. I dentification of Lactobacillus crispatus by polymerase chain reaction targeting S-layer protein gene. <u>Lett A ppl Microbiol</u>. 2002;35(1):57-61.
- [105] Kiss H, Kogler B, Petricevic L, Sauerzapf I, Klayraung S, Domig K, et al. Vaginal Lactobacillus microbiota of he althy w omen in the la te f irst tr imester of pregnancy. <u>Bjog</u>. 2007 Nov;114(11):1402-7.
- [106] Boyd MA, Antonio MA, Hillier SL. Comparison of API 50 CH strips to wholechromosomal D NA pr obes f or i dentification of *Lactobacillus* species. <u>J C lin</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 2005 Oct;43(10):5309-11.
- [107] Gill H S. P robiotics t o e nhance a nti-infective de fences i n the g astrointestinal tract. <u>Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol</u>. 2003 Oct;17(5):755-73.
- [108] Hallstrom M, E erola E, V uento R, Janas M, T ammela O. Effects of m ode of delivery a nd necrotising e nterocolitis on the int estinal mic roflora in preterm infants. <u>Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis</u>. 2004 Jun;23(6):463-70.

- [109] Naaber P, Mikelsaar RH, Salminen S, Mikelsaar M. Bacterial t ranslocation, intestinal microflora a nd m orphological c hanges of i ntestinal m ucosa i n experimental mode ls of *Clostridium difficile* infection. <u>J M ed M icrobiol</u>. 1998 Jul;47(7):591-8.
- [110] Huis in 't Veld JH. [Gastrointestinal flora and health in man and animal]. <u>Tijdschr</u> <u>Diergeneeskd</u>. 1991 Mar 1;116(5):232-9.
- [111] Damaskos D, Kolios G. Probiotics and prebiotics in inflammatory bowel disease: microflora 'on the scope'. <u>Br J Clin Pharmacol</u>. 2008 Apr;65(4):453-67.
- [112] Martin R, Jimenez E, O livares M, M arin ML, F ernandez L, X aus J, et al. Lactobacillus salivarius CECT 5713, a potential probiotic strain isolated from infant feces and breast milk of a m other-child pair. Int J Food Microbiol. 2006 Oct 15;112(1):35-43.
- [113] McCartney AL, Wenzhi W, Tannock GW. Molecular analysis of the composition of the bi fidobacterial and lactobacillus m icroflora of humans. <u>Appl E nviron</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 1996 Dec;62(12):4608-13.
- [114] Tien MT, Girardin SE, Regnault B, Le Bourhis L, Dillies MA, Coppee JY, et al. Anti-inflammatory e ffect of *Lactobacillus casei* on *Shigella*-infected hum an intestinal epithelial cells. <u>J Immunol</u>. 2006 Jan 15;176(2):1228-37.
- [115] Walter J, Hertel C, Tannock GW, Lis CM, Munro K, Hammes WP. Detection of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Leuconostoc, and Weissella species in human feces by us ing g roup-specific P CR primers and denaturing g radient gel electrophoresis. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 2001 Jun;67(6):2578-85.
- [116] Tannock GW, Munro K, Harmsen HJ, Welling GW, Smart J, Gopal PK. Analysis of the f ecal microflora of hum an subjects c onsuming a pr obiotic pr oduct containing *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* DR20. <u>Appl Environ M icrobiol</u>. 2000 Jun;66(6):2578-88.
- [117] Marteau P, Cuillerier E, Meance S, Gerhardt MF, Myara A, Bouvier M, et al. Bifidobacterium animalis strain DN-173 010 shortens the colonic transit time in healthy women: a doubl e-blind, randomized, c ontrolled s tudy. <u>Aliment</u> <u>Pharmacol Ther</u>. 2002 Mar;16(3):587-93.
- [118] Valeur N, E ngel P, C arbajal N, C onnolly E, L adefoged K. C olonization a nd immunomodulation by *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 in t he human gastrointestinal tract. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 2004 Feb;70(2):1176-81.

- [119] Reuter G. The Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium microflora of t he human intestine: c omposition and s uccession. <u>Curr Issues I ntest M icrobiol</u>. 2001 Sep;2(2):43-53.
- [120] Gullberg R. Possible influence of vitamin B 12-binding protein in milk on t he intestinal flora in breast-fed infants. II. C ontents of uns aturated B 12-binding protein in meconium and faeces from breast-fed and bottle-fed infants. <u>Scand J</u> <u>Gastroenterol</u>. 1974;9(3):287-92.
- [121] Pridmore RD, Pittet AC, Praplan F, Cavadini C. Hydrogen peroxide production by Lactobacillus johnsonii NCC 533 and its role in anti-Salmonella activity. <u>FEMS</u> <u>Microbiol Lett</u>. 2008 Jun;283(2):210-5.
- [122] Eschenbach D A, Davick P R, W illiams B L, Klebanoff S J, Y oung-Smith K, Critchlow C M, et al. Prevalence of hydrogen peroxide-producing Lactobacillus species in normal women and women with bacterial vaginosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1989 Feb;27(2):251-6.
- [123] Lanciotti R, Patrignani F, Bagnolini F, Guerzoni ME, Gardini F. Evaluation of diacetyl antimicrobial activity a gainst *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. 2003 Oct;20(5): 537-543.
- [124] Jay JM. Antimicrobial pr operties of di acetyl. <u>Appl Environ M icrobiol</u>. 1982 Sep;44(3):525-32.
- [125] Tagg J R, Danjani A S, Wannamaker L W. Bacteriocins of gram-positive bacteria. <u>Bacteriol.Rev</u>, 1976:722-756.
- [126] Talarico T L, C asas I A, C hung T C, D obrogosz W J. Production and i solation of reuterin, a g rowth i nhibitor pr oduced by *Lactobacillus reuteri*. <u>Antimicrob</u> <u>Agents Chemother</u>. 1988 Dec;32(12):1854-8.
- [127] Cleusix V, Lacroix C, Vollenweider S, Le B lay G. G lycerol induces r euterin production and decreases *Escherichia coli* population i n a n i n vi tro m odel of colonic fermentation with immobilized human feces. <u>FEMS Microbiol Ecol</u>. 2008 Jan;63(1):56-64.
- [128] Doleyres Y , B eck P , V ollenweider S, L acroix C . P roduction of 3hydroxypropionaldehyde us ing a two-step process w ith Lactobacillus reuteri. <u>Appl Microbiol Biotechnol</u>. 2005 Sep;68(4):467-74.

- [129] Spinler JK, Taweechotipatr M, Rognerud CL, Ou CN, Tumwasorn S, Versalovic J. Human-derived pr obiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* demonstrate a ntimicrobial activities ta rgeting d iverse ent eric bacterial pa thogens. <u>Anaerobe</u>. 2008 Jun;14(3):166-71.
- [130] Chung TC AL, Lindgren SE, Dobrogosz WJ. In vitro studies on r euterin systhesis by Lactobacillus reuteri. <u>Microb Ecol Health Dis 2</u>. 1989:137-44.
- [131] Batdorj B, Trinetta V, Dalgalarrondo M, Prevost H, Dousset X, Ivanova I, et al. Isolation, taxonomic i dentification and hy drogen peroxide pr oduction by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. l actis T 31, isolated f rom M ongolian y oghurt: inhibitory a ctivity on food-borne pa thogens. <u>J A ppl Microbiol</u>. 2007 Sep;103(3):584-93.
- [132] Busarcevic M, Kojic M, Dalgalarrondo M, Chobert JM, Haertle T, Topisirovic L. Purification of ba cteriocin L S1 produced by hum an or al i solate *Lactobacillus salivarius* BGHO1. <u>Oral Microbiol Immunol</u>. 2008 Jun;23(3):254-8.
- [133] Abo-Amer A E. Molecular characterization of antimicrobial compound produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus* AA11. <u>Acta M icrobiol I mmunol H ung</u>. 2007 Jun;54(2):107-19.
- [134] Itoh T, Fujimoto Y, Kawai Y, Toba T, Saito T. Inhibition of food-borne pathogenic bacteria by bacteriocins from *Lactobacillus gasseri*. <u>Lett Appl Microbiol</u>. 1995 Sep;21(3):137-41.
- [135] Reuter G. [Probiotics--possibilities and limitations of their application in food, animal f eed, and in pharmaceutical preparations for m en and a nimals]. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 2001 Nov-Dec;114(11-12):410-9.
- [136] Howell T H. M etchnikoff a nd prolongation of 1 ife. <u>Age A geing</u>. 1988 Nov;17(6):420-1.
- [137] Kalantzopoulos G. Fermented products with probiotic qualities. <u>Anaerobe</u>. 1997 Apr-Jun;3(2-3):185-90.
- [138] Chermesh I, Eliakim R. Probiotics and the gastrointestinal tract: where are we in 2005? <u>World J Gastroenterol</u>. 2006 Feb 14;12(6):853-7.
- [139] Taylor GR, Williams CM. Effects of probiotics and prebiotics on blood lipids. <u>Br J</u> <u>Nutr</u>. 1998 Oct;80(4):S225-30.
- [140] Commane D, Hughes R, Shortt C, Rowland I. The potential mechanisms involved in the anti-carcinogenic action of probiotics. <u>Mutat R es</u>. 2005 Dec 11;591(1-2):276-89.

- [141] Parvez S, Malik KA, Ah Kang S, Kim HY. Probiotics and their fermented food products are beneficial for health. J Appl Microbiol. 2006 Jun;100(6):1171-85.
- [142] Leblanc J, Fliss I, Matar C. Induction of a humoral immune response following an *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection with an immunomodulatory peptidic fraction derived from *Lactobacillus helveticus*-fermented milk. <u>Clin Diagn Lab Immunol</u>. 2004 Nov;11(6):1171-81.
- [143] Ljungh A , W adstrom T . L actic acid ba cteria a s pr obiotics. <u>Curr I ssues Intest</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 2006 Sep;7(2):73-89.
- [144] Klein G, Hallmann C, Casas IA, Abad J, Louwers J, Reuter G. Exclusion of vanA, vanB and vanC type glycopeptide resistance in strains of *Lactobacillus reuteri* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* used as probiotics by polymerase chain reaction and hybridization methods. J Appl Microbiol. 2000 Nov;89(5):815-24.
- [145] Felley C P, Pignatelli B, Van Melle G D, Crabtree J E, Stolte M, Diezi J, et al. Oxidative stress in gastric muc osa of a symptomatic hum ans inf ected with *Helicobacter pylori*: ef fect of ba cterial eradication. <u>Helicobacter</u>. 2002 Dec;7(6):342-8.
- [146] Bedoya A, G aray J, S anzon F, B ravo L E, Bravo J C, C orrea H, et al. Histopathology of g astritis in *Helicobacter pylori*-infected c hildren f rom populations a t hi gh a nd l ow gastric c ancer r isk. <u>Hum P athol</u>. 2003 Mar;34(3):206-13.
- [147] Kullen MJ, Sanozky-Dawes RB, Crowell DC, Klaenhammer TR. Use of the DNA sequence of variable r egions of the 16S r RNA g ene f or r apid and accurate identification of bacteria in the *Lactobacillus acidophilus* complex. <u>J A ppl</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 2000 Sep;89(3):511-6.
- [148] Rolfe RD. The role of probiotic cultures in the control of gastrointestinal health. J <u>Nutr</u>. 2000 Feb;130(2S Suppl):396S-402S.
- [149] Nemcova R. [Criteria f or s election of lactobacilli f or pr obiotic us e]. <u>Vet M ed</u> (<u>Praha</u>). 1997 Jan;42(1):19-27.
- [150] Israel D A, Peek RM. pathogenesis of *Helicobacter pylori*-induced gastric inflammation. <u>Aliment Pharmacol Ther</u>. 2001 Sep;15(9):1271-90.
- [151] Blalock EM, Chen KC, Sharrow K, Herman JP, Porter NM, Foster TC, et al. Gene microarrays in hippocampal aging: statistical profiling identifies novel processes correlated with cognitive impairment. <u>J Neurosci</u>. 2003 May 1;23(9):3807-19.

- [152] Hawkey C J. Non-steroidal a nti-inflammatory dr ug gastropathy: c auses a nd treatment. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 1996;220:124-7.
- [153] Kobayashi K, K ashima K, H iguchi K, Arakawa T. [The m echanisms of gastrointestinal mucosal injury and repair]. <u>Nippon Rinsho</u>. 1998 Sep;56(9):2215-22.
- [154] Mehmet N, Refik M, Harputluoglu M, Ersoy Y, Aydin NE, Yildirim B. Serum and gastric fluid levels of c ytokines and nitrates in gastric di seases infected with *Helicobacter pylori*. <u>New Microbiol</u>. 2004 Apr;27(2):139-48.
- [155] Feldman M, Cryer B, Lee E. Effects of *Helicobacter pylori* gastritis on gastric secretion in healthy human beings. <u>Am J Physiol</u>. 1998 Jun;274(6 Pt 1):G1011-7.
- [156] Suzuki T, Grand E, Bowman C, Merchant JL, Todisco A, Wang L, et al. TNFalpha and interleukin 1 activate gastrin gene expression via MAPK- and PKCdependent m echanisms. <u>Am J P hysiol Gastrointest L iver P hysiol</u>. 2001 Dec;281(6):G1405-12.
- [157] Wang KX, Wang XF, Peng JL, Cui YB, Wang J, Li CP. Detection of serum anti-*Helicobacter pylori* immunoglobulin G in patients with different digestive malignant tumors. <u>World J Gastroenterol</u>. 2003 Nov;9(11):2501-4.
- [158] Bodger K, Crabtree JE. Helicobacter pylori and gastric inflammation. <u>Br M ed</u> <u>Bull</u>. 1998;54(1):139-50.
- [159] Moss SF, Legon S, Davies J, Calam J. Cytokine gene expression in *Helicobacter pylori* associated antral gastritis. <u>Gut</u>. 1994 Nov;35(11):1567-70.
- [160] Lin W H, Y u B, L in C K, H wang W Z, T sen H Y. Immune effect of he at-killed multistrain of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* against *Salmonella typhimurium* invasion to mice. <u>J Appl Microbiol</u>. 2007 Jan;102(1):22-31.
- [161] Alander M, Korpela R, Saxelin M, Vilpponen-Salmela T, Mattila-Sandholm T, von Wright A. R ecovery of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG f rom hum an colonic biopsies. <u>Lett Appl Microbiol</u>. 1997 May;24(5):361-4.
- [162] Schultz M, Veltkamp C, Dieleman LA, Grenther WB, Wyrick PB, Tonkonogy SL, et al. Lactobacillus plantarum 299V in the treatment and pr evention of spontaneous colitis in interleukin-10-deficient mice. <u>Inflamm B owel D is</u>. 2002 Mar;8(2):71-80.
- [163] Ma D, Forsythe P, Bienenstock J. Live Lactobacillus reuteri is essential for the inhibitory e ffect on t umor ne crosis f actor a lpha-induced i nterleukin-8 expression. <u>Infect Immun</u>. 2004 Sep;72(9):5308-14.

- [164] Bai A P, O uyang Q, Z hang W, W ang C H, L i S F. P robiotics i nhibit T NF-alphainduced interleukin-8 secretion of HT29 cells. <u>World J Gastroenterol</u>. 2004 Feb 1;10(3):455-7.
- [165] Zhang L, Li N, Caicedo R, Neu J. Alive and dead *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG decrease tumor necrosis factor-alpha-induced interleukin-8 production in Caco-2 cells. <u>J Nutr</u>. 2005 Jul;135(7):1752-6.
- [166] Mack DR, Lebel S. Role of probiotics in the modulation of intestinal infections and inflammation. <u>Curr Opin Gastroenterol</u>. 2004 Jan;20(1):22-6.
- [167] Ko JS, Yang HR, Chang JY, Seo JK. Lactobacillus plantarum inhibits epithelial barrier dysfunction and interleukin-8 secretion induced by tumor necrosis factoralpha. <u>World J Gastroenterol</u>. 2007 Apr 7;13(13):1962-5.
- [168] Sgouras DN, Panayotopoulou EG, Martinez-Gonzalez B, Petraki K, Michopoulos S, M entis A . Lactobacillus johnsonii La1 attenuates Helicobacter pylori-associated g astritis a nd reduces l evels of proinflammatory c hemokines in C57BL/6 mice. <u>Clin Diagn Lab Immunol</u>. 2005 Dec;12(12):1378-86.
- [169] McCoy S, G illiland S E. I solation and c haracterization of *Lactobacillus* species having potential f or us e as pr obiotic c ultures f or dogs. <u>J Food S ci</u>. 2007 Apr;72(3):M94-7.
- [170] Beveridge TJ. Use of the g ram s tain in microbiology. <u>Biotech Histochem</u>, 2001;76(3):111-8.
- [171] Hamilton-Miller J M, S hah S. V ancomycin s usceptibility a s an a id t o t he identification of lactobacilli. <u>Lett Appl Microbiol</u>. 1998 Feb;26(2):153-4.
- [172] Saiki RK, Gelfand DH, Stoffel S, Scharf SJ, Higuchi R, Horn GT, et al. Primerdirected enzymatic a mplification of D NA w ith a the rmostable D NA polymerase. <u>Science</u>. 1988 Jan 29;239(4839):487-91.
- [173] Igloi G L. S trategies for introducing non-radioactive labels during the automated sequence a nalysis of nucleic acids. <u>EJB E lectronic J ournal of B iotechnology</u>. 1998.
- [174] Sanger F , Nicklen S , Coulson A R. DNA sequencing with c hain-terminating inhibitors. 1977. <u>Biotechnology</u>. 1992;24:104-8.
- [175] Atkinson M R, Deutscher M P, K ornberg A, R ussell A F, Moffatt J G. Enzymatic synthesis of de oxyribonucleic a cid. X XXIV. T ermination of c hain growth by a 2',3'-dideoxyribonucleotide. <u>Biochemistry</u>. 1969 Dec;8(12):4897-904.

- [176] Rani Rekha, Moshahid Alam Rizvi, Jaishree P. Designing and validation of genusspecific pr imers f or hum an g ut f lora s tudy. <u>Electronic J ournal of</u> <u>Biotechnology</u>.Vol.9 No.5, Issue of October 15, 2006.
- [177] Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, et al. Factors influencing the composition of the int estinal microbiota in early infancy. <u>Pediatrics</u>. 2006 Aug;118(2):511-21.
- [178] Chen J, Cai W, Feng Y. Development of intestinal bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in breast-fed neonates. <u>Clin Nutr</u>. 2007 Oct;26(5):559-66.
- [179] Heilig HG, Zoetendal EG, Vaughan EE, Marteau P, Akkermans AD, de Vos WM. Molecular di versity of *Lactobacillus* spp. and other lactic acid bacteria in the human intestine a s de termined by specific a mplification of 16S r ibosomal DNA. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 2002 Jan;68(1):114-23.
- [180] Woo P C, Fung A M, Lau S K, Yuen KY. Identification by 16S r RNA g ene sequencing of *Lactobacillus salivarius* bacteremic cholecystitis. J Clin Microbiol. 2002 Jan;40(1):265-7.
- [181] Barry T, Colleran G, Glennon M, Dunican LK, Gannon F. The 16s/23s ribosomal spacer region as a target for DNA probes to identify eubacteria. <u>PCR M ethods</u> <u>Appl</u>. 1991 Aug;1(1):51-6.
- [182] Song Y, Kato N, Liu C, Matsumiya Y, Kato H, Watanabe K. Rapid identification of 11 human intestinal *Lactobacillus* species by multiplex P CR a ssays us ing group- and species-specific primers derived from the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic spacer r egion a nd i ts f lanking 23S r RNA. <u>FEMS M icrobiol L ett</u>. 2000 Jun 15;187(2):167-73.
- [183] Tilsala-Timisja A, Alatossava T. Development of oligonucleotide primers from the 16S-23S r RNA i ntergenic sequences f or i dentifying di fferent da iry and probiotic lactic acid bacteria by PCR. J. Food Microbiol.1997 : 49–56
- [184] Castellanos M I, C hauvet A, D eschamps A, B arreau C. P CR m ethods f or identification and specific detection of pr obiotic lactic aci d bacteria. <u>Curr</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 1996 Aug;33(2):100-3.
- [185] Magalhaes PO, Lopes AM, Mazzola PG, Rangel-Yagui C, Penna TC, Pessoa A, Jr. Methods of endotoxin removal from biological preparations: a review. <u>J P harm</u> <u>Pharm Sci</u>. 2007;10(3):388-404.

- [186] Seydel U, Oikawa M, F ukase K, K usumoto S, B randenburg K. I ntrinsic conformation of lipid A is responsible for agonistic and antagonistic activity. <u>Eur</u> <u>J Biochem</u>. 2000 May;267(10):3032-9.
- [187] Kishore R, M cMullen M R, C ocuzzi E, N agy L E. L ipopolysaccharide-mediated signal transduction: S tabilization of TNF-alpha m RNA contributes to increased lipopolysaccharide-stimulated TNF-alpha pr oduction by Kupffer c ells a fter chronic ethanol feeding. <u>Comp Hepatol</u>. 2004 Jan 14;3 Suppl 1:S31.
- [188] Sherry B, Cerami A. Cachectin/tumor ne crosis factor exerts endocrine, paracrine, and autocrine c ontrol of i nflammatory r esponses. <u>J C ell B iol</u>. 1988 Oct;107(4):1269-77.
- [189] Nedwin GE, Naylor SL, Sakaguchi AY, Smith D, Jarrett-Nedwin J, Pennica D, et al. Human lymphotoxin and tumor necrosis factor genes: structure, homology and chromosomal localization. <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u>. 1985 Sep 11;13(17):6361-73.
- [190] Nedospasov SA, Hirt B, Shakhov AN, Dobrynin VN, Kawashima E, Accolla RS, et al. The genes for tumor necrosis factor (TNF-alpha) and lymphotoxin (TNFbeta) are tandemly arranged on chromosome 17 of the mouse. <u>Nucleic Acids Res</u>. 1986 Oct 10;14(19):7713-25.
- [191] Muller U, Jongeneel CV, Nedospasov SA, Lindahl KF, Steinmetz M. Tumour necrosis factor and lymphotoxin genes map close to H-2D in the mouse major histocompatibility complex. Nature. 1987 Jan 15-21;325(6101):265-7.
- [192] Wajant H, Pfizenmaier K, S cheurich P. T umor ne crosis factor s ignaling. <u>Cell</u> <u>Death Differ</u>. 2003 Jan;10(1):45-65.
- [193] Corredor J, Yan F, Shen CC, Tong W, John SK, Wilson G, et al. Tumor necrosis factor r egulates int estinal e pithelial cell mig ration by receptor-dependent mechanisms. <u>Am J Physiol Cell Physiol</u>. 2003 Apr;284(4):C953-61.
- [194] McLaughlin PJ, Elwood NJ, Ramadi LT, Pica MR, McKenzie IF. Improvement in sensitivity of enzyme-linked immunosorbent a ssay for t umour ne crosis factor. <u>Immunol Cell Biol</u>. 1990 Feb;68 (Pt 1):51-5.
- [195] Lamche HR, Adolf GR. Highly sensitive enzyme immunoassays for antibodies to human t umor ne crosis f actor (TNF-alpha) a nd l ymphotoxin (TNF-beta). J <u>Immunol Methods</u>. 1990 Aug 7;131(2):283-9.
- [196] Markham R, Young L, Fraser IS. An amplified ELISA for human tumour necrosis factor alpha. <u>Eur Cytokine Netw</u>. 1995 Jan-Feb;6(1):49-54.

- [197] Tsuchiya S, Yamabe M, Y amaguchi Y, K obayashi Y, K onno T, T ada K. Establishment and characterization of a hum an acute monocytic leukemia cell line (THP-1). <u>Int J Cancer</u>. 1980 Aug;26(2):171-6.
- [198] Cell line Designation : THP-1 ATCC Catalog No. TIB-202. In Product Information Sheet for ATCC TIB-202.
- [199] Zoetendal E G, von Wright A, Vilpponen-Salmela T, Ben-Amor K, Akkermans AD, de Vos WM. Mucosa-associated bacteria in the human gastrointestinal tract are uni formly distributed a long the c olon and di ffer from t he community recovered from feces. <u>Appl Environ Microbiol</u>. 2002 Jul;68(7):3401-7.
- [200] Fong Y, Tracey KJ, Moldawer LL, Hesse DG, Manogue KB, Kenney JS, et al. Antibodies to c achectin/tumor ne crosis f actor r educe i nterleukin 1 beta and interleukin 6 appearance dur ing l ethal bacteremia. J Exp Med. 1989 Nov 1;170(5):1627-33.
- [201] Beales IL, Calam J. Stimulation of IL-8 production in human gastric epithelial cells by *Helicobacter pylori*, IL-1beta and TNF-alpha requires tyrosine kinase activity, but not protein kinase C. Cytokine. 1997 Jul;9(7):514-20.
- [202] Mori K, Yamazaki K, Ishiyama T, Katsumata M, Kobayashi K, Kawai Y, et al. Comparative s equence and lyses of t he g enes coding f or 16S rR NA of Lactobacillus casei-related taxa. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1997 Jan;47(1):54-7.
- [203] Fujisawa T, B enno Y, Yaeshima T, M itsuoka T. Taxonomic s tudy of t he Lactobacillus acidophilus group, with recognition of Lactobacillus gallinarum sp. nov. a nd Lactobacillus johnsonii sp. nov. a nd s ynonymy of Lactobacillus acidophilus group A3 (Johnson et al. 1980) with the type strain of Lactobacillus amylovorus (Nakamura 1981). Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1992 Jul;42(3):487-91.
- [204] Klijn N, Weerkamp, A.H. and DeVos, W.M. Klijn N, Weerkamp AH and DeVos WM. Identification of mesophilic lactic acid bacteria by using polymerase chain reaction-amplified variable regions of 16S rRNA and specific DNA probes. <u>Appl</u> <u>and Environ Microbio</u>, 1991: 3390–3393.
- [205] Ward LJ, Timmins M J. Differentiation of Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus paracasei and Lactobacillus rhamnosus by polymerase chain reaction. <u>Lett Appl</u> <u>Microbiol</u>. 1999 Aug;29(2):90-2.

- [206] Van Z ee K J, K ohno T, F ischer E, Rock C S, M oldawer L L, L owry S F. Tumor necrosis f actor s oluble r eceptors circulate dur ing ex perimental and clinical inflammation and can protect against ex cessive tumor ne crosis factor al pha i n vitro and in vivo. <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u>. 1992 Jun 1;89(11):4845-9.
- [207] Anderson JA, Knott AW, Wilson MA, Garrison RN, Sims DE, Edwards MJ. The effect of soluble t umor necrosis factor r eceptor-II on e ndotoxin-mediated hemodynamic instability. <u>J Surg Res</u>. 1995 Jan;58(1):53-7.
- [208] Tracey K J, Beutler B, Lowry S F, Merryweather J, Wolpe S, Milsark I W, et al. Shock and tissue injury induced by recombinant human cachectin. <u>Science</u>. 1986 Oct 24;234(4775):470-4.
- [209] Rioux KP, F edorak R N. P robiotics i n the tr eatment of inf lammatory bowel disease. <u>J Clin Gastroenterol</u>. 2006 Mar;40(3):260-3.
- [210] Gionchetti P, Rizzello F, Venturi A, Brigidi P, Matteuzzi D, Bazzocchi G, *et al.*Oral bacteriotherapy as maintenance treatment in patients with chronic pouchitis:
 a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <u>Gastroenterology</u>. 2000 Aug;119(2):305-9.
- [211] Holma R, Salmenpera P, Lohi J, Vapaatalo H, Korpela R. Effects of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and Lactobacillus reuteri R2LC on acetic acid-induced colitis in rats. <u>Scand J Gastroenterol</u>. 2001 Jun;36(6):630-5.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

METERIAL AND EQUIPMENTS

1. Materials and reagents

MRS agar MRS broth Glyseral Anaerobic gas packge Anaerobic indicator Vancomycin disks (VA 5 ug/disc) Brain heart infusion agar Spreader Thin wall PCR tube $(500 \ \mu l)$ Barrier tips 20, 100, 200, 1,000 µl PCR water Distilled Water DNAse, RNAse Free High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit Absolute alcohol Lysozyme (egg white) Primers Fast start Taq DNA polymerase Hot start master mix Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) Tris (ultrapure) Boric Acid Ultrapure[™] Agarose gel Ethidium bromide GeneRuler 100bp DNA Ladder Plus QIAquick PCR purification kit QIAquick gel extration kit THP-1 monocytic cell lines Conical centrifuge tube: 15, 50 ml

(Oxoid, England) (Oxoid, England) (Oxoid, England) (MGC, Japan) (Oxoid, UK.) (Oxoid, England) (BHI; oxiod, England)

(Neptune, Mexigo) (Roche, Germany) (Gibco; Invitrogen, UK.) (Roche, USA) (MERCK, Germany) (Ameresco) (Invitrogen, Hong Kong) (Roche, Germany) (GE Healthcare illustra, UK) (USB, Cleveland) (Reseach organism, USA) (Reseach organism, USA) (Reseach, USA) (Amresco, USA) (Fermentas) (Qiagen Inc., USA) (Qiagen Inc, USA) (ATCC TIB-202, USA) (NUNC, USA)

Sterile serological pipettes (1, 5, 10 and 25ml)	(NUNC, E.U.)
Pipette boy	(Metrix, Japan)
Cellulose acetate filter 0.2 µm pore size filter unit	(Sartorius,Germany)
Syryne	(Nipro, Thailand)
0.2 µm syryne filter (Minisart)	(Sartorius,Germany)
Tissure culture flask (25 cm ²)	(NUNC, Denmark)
96-well flat-bottom tissue culture plates	(NUNCLON D, Denmark)
96 well-microtiter plates (F96 CERT, MAXISORP)	(NUNC, Denmark)
RPMI 1640 medium	(Gibco-Invitrogen, USA)
Fetal bovine serum (FBS)	(Gibco-Invitrogen, USA)
Hemocytometor (Bright line)	(BOECO, Germany)
Counter	(Thailand)
Trypan blue stain 0.4%	(Gibco-Invitrogen, USA)
Lipopolysaccharaide of <i>E. coli</i> serotype O127:B8	(LPS; Sigma, Germany)
Human TNF-α Duoset (DY210)	(R&D, USA)
Substrate Reagent Pack (DY999)	(R&D, USA)
Sulfuric acid (H ₂ SO ₄)	(MERCK,Germany)
Isopropanol (2-Propanol)	(MERCK, Germany)
Hydrochloric acid	(MERCK, Germany)
Sodium hydroxide (NaOH)	(MERCK, Germany)
Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO ₃)	(Sigma, Germany)
Sadium chloride (NaCl)	(Sigma, USA)
Potassium chloride (KCl)	(Sigma, England)
Hydrochoric acid (HCl)	(MERCK, Germany)
Na ₂ HPO ₄	(SigmaGermany)
KH ₂ PO ₄	(Sigma, Germany)
Tween 20	(Amresco)
Albumin, bovine serum (BSA)	(Sigma, USA)
Cryovial	(NUNC, Denmark)
Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO)	(Sigma-Aldrich, USA)

2. EQUIPMENT

Microcentrifuge tube Plastic plate (90mm) Ultrasonic water bath Anaerobic chamber Refrigerated centrifuge Fireboy Incubator -20°C Freezer -80 °C Freezer Hotplate Thermometer Water bath Authoried Thermal Master cycler gradient Electrophoresis Power supply UV transillumination Centrifuge Centrifuge (RC3C) Light microscope Inversted microscope Safety cabinet Vertical Laminar Flow workstation Liquid nitrogen (-196°C) CO₂ incubator Spectrophotometer Speed-vacuum drying Auto pipette: P-10, P-20, P-200, P-1000 Auto pipette: P-10, P-20, P-200, P-1000 Muti-chanal pipette Tip 10, 200, 1000 µl Filter flask pH meter

(Eppendorf, USA) (Millionant, Thailand) (GEN-PROBE, Germany) (Concept Plus) (Sanyo, Japan) (IBS, Switzerland) (Memmert) (Sanyo, Japan) (Sanyo, Japan) (Tekstir® Hot plate) (UK.) (Gyromax TM 929, USA) (Germany) (Wealtec, Taiwan) (ELITE 300 plus, USA) (Bio-Rad) (Kubota, Japan) (Sorvall instructer) (Olympus, Japan) (Olympus, Japan) (Augustin, Thailand) (Microflow, UK.) (Taylor-Wharton, USA) (BINDER, Germany) (Bio-Rad Smart SpecTM Plus) (Savant instrucments, USA) (Gilson, France) (Socorex, Switzerland) (Socorex, Switzerland)

(Satorius, Germany) (Thermo scientific, Singapore)

3. Software and program

- Multalin program (http://bioinfo.genotoul.fr/multalin/multalin.html)
- Sequence mach program of the Ribosomal Database Project II (RDP-II; http://rdp.cme.msu.edu)
- GeneBank DNA database search (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST)
- Microsoftexcel of set trandard curve and calculate concentration of TNF-α



APPENDIX B

MEDIA, SOLUTION FOR CULTURE METHOD

1. deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe (M.R.S.) agar

Typical Formulation		
Peptone mixture	18.0	g/L
Yeast extract	4.0	g/L
Glucose	20.0	g/L
Tween 80	1.0	g/L
Di-potassium hydrogen phosphate	2.0	g/L
Tri-ammonium citrate	2.0	g/L
Sodium acetate anhydrous	3.0	g/L
Magnesium sulphate 7H2O	0.2	g/L
Manganese sulphate anhydrous	0.034	g/L
Agar	12.0	g/L
Distilled water	1,000	ml
pH approximally 6.2 ± 0.2		

Suspend by swirling 62.25 g of medium pow der in 1,000 m l of distilled or deionised w ater. T he medium w as s terized b y a utoclaving at 121°C a t 15 pounds/inch²(p.s.i.) for 15 m inutes. T he s terile m edium w as c ooled a t 50°C a nd dispensed 20 ml per 90 mm petri dise. Cooled and stored at 4°C until used.

MRS broth		
MRS medium	52	g/L
Deionised water	1,000	ml

2.

Suspend b y swirling 52 g of m edium pow der in 1,000 m l of di stilled or deionised water. The medium stock was aliqusted 10 m l in conical tube 15 ml.The MRS medium was sterized by autoclaving at 121°C at 15 pounds/inch²(p.s.i.) for 15 minutes. The sterile medium was cooled and stored at 4°C until used.

3. 20 % MRS glycerol stock solution

MRS medium	52	g
Glyseral	200	ml
Distilled water	1,000	ml

Suspend b y s wirling 6. 23g of M RS m edium pow der i n 80 m 1 of di stilled water and plus 20 m l of glycerol (80:20) as 20% MRS glycerol stock. The medium stock was aliqusted 1 m l in cryovial. The medium stock was sterized by autoclaving at 121°C at 15 pounds/inch² (p.s.i.) for 15 minutes. The sterile medium was cooled at 50°C and stored at 4°C until used.

4. Catalase test (culture identification)

Catalase i s an enzyme t hat s plits h ydrogen pe roxide into w ater a nd oxygen. Hydrogen pe roxide i s a b y-product of r espiration a nd i s l ethal i f i t accumulates in the cell of organism. Catalase enzyme that can degrade the hydrogen peroxide in the cell be fore it can do any cell damage. It catalyzed the H_2O_2 to free oxygen (bubbles) and water.

Solution: 3% hydrogen peroxide

5. 0.85% Normal saline solution (suspending of bacterial)

Sodium chloride (NaCL)	8.5	g/L
Distilled water	1,000	ml

Dissolve 8.5g of NaCL in 1,000 ml of distilled water. The saline solution was aliquited 5 m l i n g lass t ube. Sterized b y autoclaving a t 121°C a t 1 5 pounds/inch²(p.s.i.) for 15 minutes and stored at room temperature until used.

APPENDIX C

REAGENS AND PREPARATIONS

1. 0.5 M Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA),pH 8.0

Dissodium ethylene diamine tetraacetate.2H ₂ O	186.1	g
DDW	800.0	ml
Adjust pH to 8.0		
Adjust volume to 1,000 ml		

Dissolve 186.1 g of EDTA in 800 ml. Stired on magnetic stirrer for adjust pH 8.0 with N aOH and when ensure EDTA dissolved and a djust volume to 1,000 m l. The solution was sterized by autoclaving at 121°C at 15 pounds /inch²(p.s.i.) for 15 minutes and stored at room temperature until used.

2. 5X Tris-Boric Acid-EDTA (TBE)

225 mM Tris-base	54.0	g
225 mM boric acid	27.5	g
5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0	20.0	ml

Dissolve 54 g of Tris-base and 27.5 g of boric acid in 500 ml of ddH_2O . Add 20 ml of 0.5M EDTA stock and adjust the volume to 1,000 ml

3.	10 mg/ml Ethidium bromide		
	Ethidium bromide	1.0	g
	DDW	100.0	ml

Stir on magnetic stirrer for several hours to ensure that the dye has dissolved. Wrap the container in aluminium toil or transfer to dark bottle and stored at 4°C

4. 1.0% Agarose gel

Agarose (ultrapure)	0.2	g
0.5X TBE	20.0	ml
10 mg/ml Ethidium bromide	1.0	μl

Dissolve 0.2 g of agarose in 20 ml of 0.5X TBE. The solution was melted by using microwave and when gel dissolved and cooled at 50°C. The gel solution was added with 1μ l of ethedium bromide and poured in tray and cooled abut 20 min unit used.



APPENDIX D

MEDIA, SOLUTION FOR TISSUE CULTURE, BIOASSAY AND SANDWICH ELISA METHOD

1.	RPMI	1640	medium
1.	KPIMI	1640	meaium

RPMI 1640	1	wrap
NaHCO ₃	2	g
DI	1,000	ml

Measured 2 g of N aHCO₃ and di ssolved RPMI 1640 a nd i n 1,000 of deiornize-distilled water mixed, adjust pH 7.0 and filted by using 0.2 μ m for sterile medium and aliqused in bottle 250 ml and stored in 4°C. When wound using added with 10% of fetal bovine serum.

2. 5X phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 7.2

NaCl	40.03	g
KCl	1.006	g
Na2HPO4	5.750	g
KH2PO4	1.021	g
Distilled water	1000	ml

Dissolve the components above in 1,000 ml of distilled water and adjected pH 7.2-7.4 by using NaOH or HCl. The solution was sterized by autoclaving at 121°C at 15 pounds/inch²(p.s.i.) for 15 minutes. The solution was filtered with 0.2 μ m and stored until used.

3. Reagent Diluent (RD)

Bovine Serum Albumin	1	g
PBS pH 7.2-7.4	100	ml

Reagent Diluent was prepared 1 g Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) in 100 ml of PBS, pH 7.2 - 7.4 (1% BSA) and filtered by 0.2 µm and stored in 4°C until used.

4. Stop solution $(2N H_2SO_4)$

$20 \text{ N H}_2\text{SO}_4$	10	ml
Sterile distilled water	90	ml

Added 10 ml of 20 N H_2SO_4 into 90 ml distilled water with slowly in lamina flow hood which working concentration as 2N H_2SO_4 and stored in glass bottle until used.

Human TNF-α DuoSet (DY210, USA)

Preparation and storage buffers, diluents, substrates, and solutions of ELISA

- Detection Antibody (DA) c oncentration 45 m g/ml of biotinylated g oat a ntihuman T NF-α were r econstituted with 1.0 m 1 of Reagent D iluent. D A w ere diluted to a working concentration 250 ng/ml with Reagent Diluent
- 2. Recombinant hum an TNF- α (rhTNF- α) c oncentration 290 ng/ml w ere reconstituted with 0.5 m l of R eagent D iluent a nd pr epared t o 10,000 pg/ml, aliquot and were store at -70° C for set seven point standard curve by use 2-fold serial di lutions i n R eagent D iluent a nd a hi gh s tandard of 1000 pg /ml is recommended.
- 3. Streptavidin-HRP concentration 1.0 ml of streptavidin conjugated to horseradishperoxidase. B efore w ere di luted i n the bot tle c oncentrate 1: 200 i n Reagent Diluent. The substrate for S treptavidin-HRP is hydrogen peroxide. C leavage of hydrogen peroxide is c oupled to ox idation of a hydrogen donor which changes colure during reaction.
- Substrate Solution were mixed 1:1 of Color Reagent A (H₂O₂) and Color Reagent B T MB (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine) be fore us e onl y imme diately (R&D Systems Catalog No. DY999).

APPENDIX E

FLOW CHART OF PROTOCAL

1. Collection of gastric biopsies and throat swab of dyspeptic patients


2. Isolation of *Lactobacillus* from gastric biopsies and throat swab of dyspeptic patients



Single colonies for presumptive tests



Most strains of Lactobacillus VA resistant

Thaw Lactobacillus from -80° C freezer stock and recoverated on MRS agar plate

Incubated in anaerobically at 37° C for 24-48 h using anaerobic chamber or in anaerobic jars/boxes

Picked single colony, check pure culture and restreak on MRS agar for isolation

Incubated in anaerobically at 37° C for 24-48 h using anaerobic chamber or in anaerobic jars/boxes

Picked a single colony and incubated into 5 ml of MRS broth in 15 ml centrifuge tubes and grow at 37° C for 24 h

Determined OD₆₀₀ and calculated starting inoculum size of OD₆₀₀ 0.1 in 10 ml of MRS broth in 15 ml centrifuge tubes

Incubated in anaerobically at 37° C for 24 and 48 h using anaerobic chamber or in anaerobic jars/boxes

Centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 min for collected *Lactobacillus* cell-free conditioned media

Filter-sterilized conditioned media with 0.2 µm pore size filter unit Adjust pH of *Lactobacillus* conditioned media by speed-vacuum drying Re-suspended *Lactobacillus* conditioned media with aqual volume of RPMI 1640 medium Stored *Lactobacillus* conditioned media at –20 °C for until use

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย 5. Stydy i mmunomodulatory e ffect of L CM on L PS-stimulated THP-1 monocytic cell lines

Counted and diluted THP-1 cells into fresh culture media to a density 2.5×10^5 cells/ml

Seeded 200 µl of cell suspension into each well of 96-well microtiter plate

Added 5% (v/v) Lactobacillus conditioned media into the appropriate wells

Added 5 µl (final conc.100 ng/ml) of *E. coli* serotype O127:B8 lipopolysaccharaide into the appropriate wells

Incubated in a 37°C, humidified, 5% CO₂ chamber

Centrifuge at 1000 RCF for 10 minutes in 4°C and collected supernatants

Test for cytokine secretion by ELISA (Quantikine TNF-alph/ TNF-SFII human DuoSet) Test forcell viability using Trypan Blue Dye Exclusion Assay

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

BIOGRAPHY

Miss Wimonrat Panpetch was born on August 10, 1982 in Krabi, Thailand. She graduated with Bachelor de gree of S cience in A pplied B iology from the F aculty of Science at Suan Dusit Rajabhat University in 2004.

