

**CHAPTER IV**

**A FINITE INVERSE BIPREFIX CODE WHOSE  
SYNTACTIC MONOID HAS  $n$   $\mathcal{D}$ - CLASSES**

In this chapter, we shall construct for any given positive integer  $n \geq 3$ , a finite inverse biprefix code  $C$  whose syntactic monoid  $M(C^*)$  has  $n$  nonzero  $\mathcal{D}$ - classes.

We begin the construction with an alphabet set  $A = \{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \}$ .

For each  $i \in \{ 2, 3, \dots, n \}$ , let

$$A_i = \{ a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \}.$$

For each  $j \in \{ 2, 3, \dots, n - 2 \}$ , let

$$C_j = A_2 A_3 \dots A_j a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-j},$$

$$C_{n-1} = A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1} a_1 \cup A_2 A_3 \dots A_{n-1} a_n.$$

Let  $C = \{ a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=2}^{n-1} C_j \right)$ .

Then  $C$  is a finite prefix code.

Observe that the code  $C$  defined above has the following properties :

- (i) For each  $u_k, v_k \in A_2 A_3 \dots A_k$ ,  $u_k^{-1} C = v_k^{-1} C$  ( $k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ ).
- (ii) For each  $u_{k,l}, v_{k,l} \in A_2 A_3 \dots A_k a_1 a_2 \dots a_l$ ,  $(u_{k,l})^{-1} C = (v_{k,l})^{-1} C$   
( $k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n - k - 1$ ).

Now, we will label the tree representation of  $C^*$ .

By (i), for each  $u_k, v_k \in A_2 A_3 \dots A_k$  ( $k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ ), the node associated

with  $u_k$  and  $v_k$  are labelled with the same name.

By (ii), for each  $u_{k,l}, v_{k,l} \in A_2A_3 \dots A_k a_1 a_2 \dots a_l$  ( $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n-k-1$ ), the node associated with  $u_{k,l}$  and  $v_{k,l}$  are labelled with the same name.

From the above observation, it suffices to label only the nodes associated with  $a_1 w$  and  $a_2 w'$  where  $w, w' \in A^*$ .

We label the tree representation of  $C^*$  as follows :

The top and the end points of the tree are labelled 1.

The node associated with  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  is labelled 2.

For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , the node associated with  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_j$  is labelled

$$1 + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} (n-l).$$

For each  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-2\}$ , the node associated with  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_k$  is labelled

$$2 + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (n-l).$$

For each  $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$ , the node associated with  $a_2 a_3 \dots a_j$  is labelled

$$j + 1.$$

For each  $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-2\}, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-k\}$ , the node associated with  $a_2 a_3 \dots a_k a_1 a_2 \dots a_l$  is labelled

$$(k+1) + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} (n-s).$$

Since each of the remaining unlabelled nodes has the same subtree as one of the above labelled nodes, they must have the same name. Hence  $P_{C^*}^{(\tau)}$  has been constructed.

The corresponding syntactic monoid  $M(C^*)$  is generated by

$$\{ \tau(a_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}.$$

**Notations:**

(i) For each  $i$ , let  $\Delta_i$  denote the domain of  $\tau(a_i)$  and

$\nabla_i$  denote the image of  $\tau(a_i)$ .

(ii) Let

$$A(1) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

$$A(i) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \{3, 4, \dots, i\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$B(i) = \left\{ k + \sum_{l=0}^{i-2} (n-l) \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, n-i+1 \right\}$$

for all  $i \geq 2$ .

A few remarks on  $\tau(a_i)$ 's are given here :

**Remark 4.1.** (i)  $\Delta_1 = A(1)$ .

$$\Delta_i = \{1\} \cup A(i) \cup B(i) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 2.$$

Since  $\max A(i) \leq i$  and  $\min B(i) \geq n+1$  for all  $i$ ,

$$A(i) \cap B(j) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Thus  $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \{1\} \cup A(i)$  for all  $i, j$  with  $i < j$ .

(ii)  $\nabla_i = \Delta_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

It follows that

$$\text{Dom } \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_j) = \Delta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_j) = \nabla_j$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  ( the indices are integers modulo  $n$ ).

(iii)  $\tau(a_i)$ 's are defined as follows:

$$s\tau(a_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = n \\ s + n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$s\tau(a_n) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } s = n \\ s + 1 & \text{if } s \in A(n) - \{n\} \\ 2 & \text{if } s \in B(n), \end{cases}$$

$$s\tau(a_i) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ s + 1 & \text{if } s \in A(i) \\ 1 & \text{if } s = (n - i + 1) + \sum_{l=0}^{i-2} (n - l) \\ s + n - i + 1 & \text{if } s \in B(i) - \{(n - i + 1) + \sum_{l=0}^{i-2} (n - l)\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$A(1)\tau(a_1) = \{1\} \cup B(2).$$

For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(\{1\} \cup A(i))\tau(a_i) = \begin{cases} A(1) - \{2\} & \text{if } i = n \\ A(i + 1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$B(i)\tau(a_i) = \begin{cases} \{ 2 \} & \text{if } i = n \\ B(i+1) \cup \{ 1 \} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For each  $i \in \{ 1, 2, \dots, n \}$ ,

$$\text{Dom } \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1}) = \text{Im } \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1}) = \Delta_i.$$

Moreover, there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1})^l = 1_{\Delta_i}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Remark 4.1 (ii). □

**Theorem 4.3.** The code  $C = \{ a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} \} \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=2}^{n-1} C_j \right)$  is a finite inverse biprefix code.

*Proof.* To show that  $M(C^*)$  is an inverse semigroup, it suffices to show that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\tau(a_i)$  has an inverse in  $M(C^*)$ .

By Lemma 4.2, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , there exists  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\tau(a_i a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1})^l = 1_{\Delta_i}.$$

Let

$$\alpha_i = \tau(a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1}) \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1})^{l-1}.$$

Then  $\alpha_i \in M(C^*)$  and

$$\tau(a_i) \alpha_i = \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 a_2 \dots a_{i-1})^l = 1_{\text{Dom } \alpha_i}.$$

This yields

$$\tau(a_i)\alpha_i\tau(a_i) = 1_{\Delta_i}\tau(a_i) = \tau(a_i)^*$$

and

$$\alpha_i\tau(a_i)\alpha_i = \alpha_i 1_{\Delta_i} = \alpha_i.$$

Therefore,  $M(C^*)$  is an inverse semigroup. □

**Corollary 4.4.** *The syntactic monoid of  $C^*$ ,  $M(C^*)$ , contains  $1_{\Delta_i}$  for all  $i$ .*

**Lemma 4.5.**  $\tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n)$  is a cycle of length  $n$  on  $\Delta_1$ .

Moreover,  $s\tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n)^l = s + l$  for all  $l$ , and  $s \in \Delta_1$ .

*Proof.* By Remark 4.1 (ii),  $\text{Dom } \tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n) = \Delta_1 = \nabla_n = \text{Im } \tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n)$ .

To prove  $\tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n)$  is a cycle, let  $s \in \Delta_1$ . We divide the proof into three cases depending on  $s$ .

**Case 1 :**  $s = n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n\tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n) &= (1\tau(a_2a_3 \dots a_n)) \\ &= n\tau(a_n) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2 :**  $s = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} s\tau(a_1a_2 \dots a_n) &= (3\tau(a_2a_3 \dots a_{n-1}))\tau(a_n) \\ &= (1 + \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} (n-l))\tau(a_n) \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$



Case 3 :  $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 s\tau(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) &= (s\tau(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-s}))\tau(a_{n-s+1} \dots a_n) \\
 &= (s + \sum_{l=0}^{n-s-1} (n-l))\tau(a_{n-s+1} \dots a_n) \\
 &= 1\tau(a_{n-s+2} \dots a_n) \\
 &= \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s = 2 \\ 3\tau(a_{n-s+3} \dots a_n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= s + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

The next theorem shows, for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the existence of a cycle of length  $i$ . This theorem is a key to prove Lemma 4.9.

**Theorem 4.6.** *The syntactic monoid of  $C^*$ ,  $M(C^*)$ , contains a cycle of length  $i$  on  $\{1\} \cup A(i+1)$  for all  $i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* One can choose

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_1 &= \tau(a_2)\tau(a_2)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 \theta_n &= \tau(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots \ n).
 \end{aligned}$$

For each  $i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , let

$$\theta_i = (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ \dots \ i \ i+1).$$

We will show that  $\theta_i \in M(C^*)$ .

In order to obtain  $\theta_i$ , we first verify the following statements :

(a)  $1_{\nabla_{i+2}}\tau(a_{i+1})$ ,

(b)  $(\tau(a_n a_n) \theta^{n-2})^k$  for all  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-3$ .

(a) :  $\text{Dom } 1_{\nabla_{i+2}} \tau(a_{i+1}) = \Delta_{i+3} \cup \Delta_{i+1} = \{1\} \cup A(i+1)$ .

Observe that

$$(\{1\} \cup A(i+1)) 1_{\nabla_{i+2}} \tau(a_{i+1}) = \tau(a_{i+1})|_{\{1\} \cup A(i+1)}.$$

This yields

$$s 1_{\nabla_{i+2}} \tau(a_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ s + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) :  $\text{Dom } \tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2} = \Delta_n = \{1\} \cup A(n) \cup B(n)$  and  $\text{Im } \tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2} = \Delta_1$ .

By Lemma 4.5 and Remark 4.1 (iii),

$$s \tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ s - 1 & \text{if } s \in A(n) \\ n & \text{if } s \in B(n). \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Dom } (\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})^2 = \Delta_n - \{3\}.$$

Observe that

$$(\Delta_n - \{3\}) (\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})^2 = [(\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})|_{(\Delta_n - \{3\})}] \tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2}.$$

Consequently,

$$(\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ s - 2 & \text{if } s \in A(n) \\ n - 1 & \text{if } s \in B(n). \end{cases}$$

It can be shown by induction on  $k$  that

$$\text{Dom } (\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})^k = (\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_n) (\tau(a_n) \theta^{n-2})^{-1} = \Delta_n - \{3, 4, \dots, k+1\},$$



and

$$(\tau(a_n)\theta^{n-2})^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \\ s - k & \text{if } s \in A(n) - \{3, 4, \dots, k+1\} \\ n - (k - 1) & \text{if } s \in B(n). \end{cases}$$

for all  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$ .

Since

$$\text{Dom } 1_{\nabla_{i+2}}\tau(a_{i+1})\theta^{n-i-1}(\tau(a_n)\theta^{n-2})^{n-i-1} = \{1\} \cup A(i+1),$$

it follows from (a) and (b) and Lemma 4.5 that

$$1_{\nabla_{i+2}}\tau(a_{i+1})\theta^{n-i-1}(\tau(a_n)\theta^{n-2})^{n-i-1} = (1 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ \dots \ i \ i+1) = \theta_i.$$

Therefore,  $\theta_i \in M(C^*)$ . □

**Remark 4.7.** For any  $\alpha \in M(C^*)$ ,  $\alpha^0$  means the identity map on  $\text{Dom } \alpha$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** There is a subset  $A_1$  of  $\Delta_1$  such that for any subset  $A$  of  $\Delta_1$  of cardinality  $n - 1$ , there is  $\alpha_A \in M(C^*)$  such that  $\text{Dom } \alpha_A = A_1$  and  $\text{Im } \alpha_A = A$ .

*Proof.* In order to obtain  $A_1$ , we first investigate the domain of  $\tau(a_n a_n)$ .

$$\text{Dom } \tau(a_n a_n) = \text{Im } \tau(a_n a_n) = \Delta_1 - \{2\}.$$

Let  $A_1 = \Delta_1 - \{2\}$ .

Writing  $A = \Delta_1 - \{k\}$  for some  $k \in \Delta_1$ ,

we choose  $\alpha_A = \tau(a_n a_n)\theta^{k-2}$  where  $\theta = \tau(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$ . Then  $\alpha_A \in M(C^*)$ .

To prove that  $\text{Dom } \alpha_A = A_1$ , observe that

$$\text{Im } \tau(a_n a_n) \subseteq \text{Dom } \theta^{k-2}.$$

Consequently,  $\text{Dom } \alpha_A = A_1$ .

Note that

$$\text{Im } \alpha_A = A_1 \tau(a_n a_n) \theta^{k-2} = A_1 \theta^{k-2}.$$

By Lemma 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \theta^{k-2} &= \Delta_1 - \{ 2\theta^{k-2} \} \\ &= \Delta_1 - \{ 2 + (k-2) \} \\ &= A. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.9.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $\Delta_1$  of the same cardinality, then there exists  $\eta$  in  $M(C^*)$  with the domain  $A$  and image  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\Delta_1$  of cardinality  $n-k$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ .

We will prove the lemma by induction on  $k$ .

For  $k = 1$ , there exist by Lemma 4.8,  $\alpha_A, \alpha_B$  in  $M(C^*)$  such that

$$\text{Dom } \alpha_A = \text{Dom } \alpha_B = A_1, \text{Im } \alpha_A = A \text{ and } \text{Im } \alpha_B = B$$

for some subset  $A_1$  of  $\Delta_1$ .

Set  $\eta = \alpha_A^{-1} \alpha_B$ . Then  $\eta \in M(C^*)$ . It can be shown that  $\text{Dom } \eta = A$  and

$\text{Im } \eta = B$ .

Suppose  $k \geq 1$  and assume that the statement of the lemma is true for all  $A', B' \subseteq \Delta_1$  such that  $|A'| = |B'| = n-k$ .

Let  $A, B \subseteq \Delta_1$  be such that  $|A| = |B| = n - (k+1)$ .

Choose  $s \in \Delta_1 - A$ ,  $t \in \Delta_1 - B$  with  $s \neq t$ .

Let  $A_1 = A \cup \{s\}$ ,  $B_1 = B \cup \{t\}$  and  $B_2 = B \cup \{s\}$ .

Then  $|A_1| = |B_1| = |B_2| = n - k$ .

By Theorem 4.6, there exists a cycle  $\theta_{n-k}$  in  $M(C^*)$  of length  $n - k$  such that

$|\text{Dom } \theta_{n-k}| = |\text{Im } \theta_{n-k}| = n - k$ . By induction hypothesis, there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  such that  $\text{Dom } \alpha_1 = A_1$ ,  $\text{Im } \alpha_1 = \text{Dom } \theta_{n-k}$ ,  $\text{Dom } \alpha_2 = \text{Im } \theta_{n-k}$  and  $\text{Im } \alpha_2 = B_1$ .

Since  $\theta_{n-k}$  is a cycle, there is  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s\alpha_1\theta_{n-k}^l = t\alpha_2^{-1}$ .

Set  $\phi = \alpha_1\theta_{n-k}^l\alpha_2$ . Then  $\phi \in M(C^*)$ . It can be shown that  $\text{Dom } \phi = A_1$ ,  $\text{Im } \phi = B_1$  and  $s\phi = t$ .

Set  $\eta = \phi 1_{B_2}$ . Then  $\eta \in M(C^*)$ . Since  $s \neq t$ ,  $\text{Dom } \eta = A$  and  $\text{Im } \eta = B$ .

□

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_j$ , respectively.*

*If  $A$  and  $B$  have the same cardinality, then there exists  $\eta$  in  $M(C^*)$  with the domain  $A$  and image  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subseteq \Delta_i, B \subseteq \Delta_j$  be such that  $|A| = |B|$ .

Let  $\alpha_i = \tau(a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n)|_A$  and  $\alpha_j = \tau(a_j a_{j+1} \dots a_n)|_B$ .

Then  $\text{Dom } \alpha_i = A$ ,  $\text{Dom } \alpha_j = B$  and  $\text{Im } \alpha_i, \text{Im } \alpha_j \subseteq \Delta_1$ .

We have  $|\text{Im } \alpha_i| = |\text{Im } \alpha_j|$ .

By Lemma 4.9, there exists  $\eta_{(i,j)} \in M(C^*)$  such that

$$\text{Dom } \eta_{(i,j)} = \text{Im } \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } \eta_{(i,j)} = \text{Im } \alpha_j.$$

Set  $\eta = \alpha_i \eta_{(i,j)} \alpha_j^{-1}$ . Then  $\eta \in M(C^*)$  and  $\text{rank } \eta = |A|$ .

It can be shown that  $\text{Dom } \eta = A$  and  $\text{Im } \eta = B$ .

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

□

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in M(C^*)$ .*

$$\alpha \mathcal{D} \beta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{rank } \alpha = \text{rank } \beta.$$

*Proof.* The sufficient part follows from Corollary 2.2.

For the necessary part, assume that  $\text{rank } \alpha = \text{rank } \beta = m$  for some  $m \leq n$ .

Then  $|\text{Im } \alpha| = |\text{Im } \beta| = |\text{Dom } \beta|$ . By Theorem 4.10, there exists  $\eta \in M(C^*)$

such that  $\text{Dom } \eta = \text{Im } \alpha$  and  $\text{Im } \eta = \text{Dom } \beta$ . Set  $\gamma = \alpha\eta\beta$ . Then  $\gamma \in M(C^*)$   
 ให้  $\eta$  เป็น invertible  
 $\text{Dom } \gamma \subseteq \text{Dom } \alpha$  and  $\text{rank } \gamma = \text{rank } \alpha$ ,  $\text{Dom } \gamma = \text{Dom } \alpha$ .  
 $\text{rank } \gamma = \text{rank } \beta$ ,  $\text{Im } \gamma = \text{Im } \beta$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\alpha R \gamma$   
 $\beta$ . □

For each  $n$ , there is a finite inverse biprefix code  $C$  whose syn-  
 classes.

is from the result of Schutzenberger.

Theorem 3.9.

is obtained directly from Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.6,  
□

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร  
 จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย