การประเมินความปลอดภัย ประสิทธิผล และคุณภาพของยาตำรับเบญจโลกวิเชียร

นาย จตุพงศ์ สิงหราไชย

# จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรดุษฎีบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิจัยเพื่อการพัฒนาสุขภาพ (สหสาขาวิชา) บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2553 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย SAFETY EFFICACY AND QUALITY ASSESMENTS OF BEN CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY

Mr. Chatubhong Singharachai

# สูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy Program in Research for Health Development (Interdisciplinary Program) Graduate School Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2010 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

| Thesis Title       | SAFETY EFFICACY AND QUALITY ASSESSMENTS OF BEN |
|--------------------|--|
|                    | CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY                      |
| Ву                 | Mr. Chatubhong Singharachai                    |
| Field of Study     | Research for Health Development                |
| Thesis Advisor     | Associate Professor Nijsiri Ruangrungsi, Ph.D. |
| Thesis Co-a dvisor | Chanida Palanuvej, Ph.D.                       |

Accepted by the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial

Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctoral Degree

Dean of the Graduate School

(Associate Professor Pornpote Piumsomboon, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

. Chairman

(Assistant Professor Pongchai Harnyutthanakorn, Ph.D.)

Nifere Programi Thesis Advisor

(Associate Professor Nijsiri Ruangrungsi, Ph.D.)

Chaude Palannuy ..... Thesis Co-Edvisor

(Chanida Palanuvej, Ph.D.)

Kulwan Hellouur Examiner

(Assistant Professor Kulwara Meksawan, Ph.D.)

Wouder Gritoanan 

(Associate Professor Wandee Gritsanapan, Ph.D.)

จดุพงศ์ สิงหราไชย : การประเมินความปลอดภัย ประสิทธิผล และคุณภาพของยา คำรับเบญจโลกวิเชียร (SAFETY EFFICACY AND QUALITY ASSESSMENTS OF BEN CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY) อ. ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: รศ. คร. นิจศิริ เรื่องรังษี, อ.ที่ปรึกษาวิทยานิพนธ์ร่วม: คร.ชนิดา พลานุเวช, 210 หน้า.

ยาคำรับเบญจโลกวิเซียร เป็นยาแผนโบราณที่มีการใช้มาอย่างยาวนานโดยแพทย์แผนโบราณซึ่งใช้เป็นยา ลดใช้ อีกทั้งยังได้ถูกบรรจุไว้ในบัญชียาจากสมุนไพร พ.ศ. 2549 สมุนไพรในดำรับนี้ประกอบไปด้วยผงยาจากราก ชิงชี้ รากไม้เท้ายายม่อม รากคนฑา รากมะเคืออุทุมพร และรากย่านาง ในอัคราส่วนที่เท่ากัน จากการทบทวน วรรณกรรมโดยละเอียดและมีข้อมูลบางส่วนพบว่า ยาคำรับนี้ได้ถูกปุ่นเบื้อน และปนปลอมจากส่วนเหนือดินของพืช ชนิดนั้นๆ ดังนั้นคุณภาพของสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิดที่ใช้ในดำรับนี้จึงควรได้รับการประเมิน โดยการจัดทำเป็น ข้อกำหนดทางเกล้ซเวท และการใช้สถิติวิเคราะห์พหตัวแปร โดยวิธีโครมาโทกราฟฟีชนิดของเหลวประสิทธิภาพสูง แบบสามมิติ การศึกษาความปลอดภัยของยาคำรับเบญจโลกวิเซียรและสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิด โดยการทดสอบความ เป็นพื้นต่อเซลล์ ด้วยวิธีทดสอบการตายของไรทะเล ทดสอบการก่อกลายพันธุ์ด้วยการทดสอบเอมส์ และการ ก่อให้เกิดความเสียหายต่อดีเอ็นเอด้วยวิธีโตเมต ตามถำดับ การศึกษาประสิทธิภาพของตำรับยาและสมุนไพรแต่ละ ชนิดโดยศึกษาฤทธิ์ลดไข้และฤทธิ์ระงับปวดในสัตว์ทดลอง ศึกษาฤทธิ์ยับยั้งการก่อกลายพันธ์โดยใช้วิธีการทดสอบ เอมส์ ศึกษาฤทธิ์ในการจับกับอนุมูลอิสระ โดยวิธีคีพีพีเอช ศึกษาฤทธิ์ต่อการแบ่งตัวของเซลล์ โดยวิธี เอ็มทีที และ ศึกมาการเกิดในตริกออกไซค์โดยวิธี griess reagent พืชตัวอย่างได้รับการเก็บมาจากแหล่งต่างๆ รวมทั้งสิ้น 14 แหล่ง ทั่วประเทศไทย โดยใช้ลักษณะทางสัณฐานวิทยาและจุลกายวิภาคศาสตร์ของเซลล์และเนื้อเยื่อ รวมถึงกระสวนโคร มาโดแกรม เป็นหลักในการจำแนกความแตกค่างของรากสมุนไพรทั้งห้าชนิด จากลักษณะทางจุลกายวิภาคศาสตร์ ของเซลล์และเนื้อเยื่อทำให้สามารถกำหนครูปวิชานซึ่งเป็นลักษณะความแตกต่างของรากสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิด เพื่อใช้ ในการพิสูจน์เอกลักษณ์ของผงยาที่ได้จากรากสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิด ซึ่งข้อมูลดังกล่าวจะเป็นประโยชน์ต่อการ แก้ปัญหาการปนปลอม และปนเปื้อนของวัตถุคิบที่ได้จากร้านขายยาสมุนไพรได้ จากการศึกษามาตรฐานโดยวิธีโคร มาโทกราฟฟีชนิดของเหลวประสิทธิภาพสูง แบบสามมิติ พบว่ามี 12 พืคหลักในยาคำรับเบญจโลกวิเซียร ซึ่งคำรับยา ทุกชุดแสดงลักษณะคล้ายคลึงกันอย่างมาก ตั้งแต่ชุดที่ 2 ถึง ชุดที่ 12 ยกเว้นในชุดที่ 1 การทดสอบการตายของไร ทะเล แสดงให้เห็นว่า สารด้วอข่างโดยส่วนใหญ่ไม่มีความเป็นพิษค่อไรทะเล ยกเว้นสารสกัดเอทานอลจากราก ย่านาง ซึ่งมีค่า LC<sub>so</sub>= 44 มคก/มถ อีกทั้งยังไม่มีฤทธิ์ก่อกลายพันธุ์โดยตรง แม้กระนั้นสารสกัดโดยส่วนใหญ่ยังคงมี ฤทธิ์ก่อกลายพันธุ์ทางอ้อมหลังจากการเกิดปฏิกิริยาในโครเซชัน แต่อย่างไรก็คาม สารสกัดจากคำรับเบญจโลก วิเรียร และสารสกัดจากรากสมุน ไพรแต่ละชนิด แสดงฤทธิ์ยับยั่งการก่อกลายพันธุ์ต่อผลิตภัณฑ์ที่เกิดจากปฏิกิริยา ของอมิโนพัยรีนทำปฏิกิริยากับในไตรท และมีเพียงสารสกัดด้วยน้ำและเอทานอลจากรากซิงซี และสารสกัดด้วยน้ำ จากรากย่านางที่แสดงฤทธิ์ที่ทำให้เกิดความเสียหายต่อดีเอ็นเอในระดับสูงเทียบเท่ากับไฮโดรเจนเปอร์ออกไซค์ ซึ่ง อกใช้เป็นตัวควบคุมบวก ยาตำรับเบญจโลกวิเชียรทุกขนาดมีผลทำให้การเพิ่มขึ้นของอุณหภูมิที่วัดได้ทางทวารหนัก ของหนูทคลองซึ่งถูกกระคุ้นด้วยไลโปโพลีแซคคาไลด์ ลดลงอย่างมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติโดยค่า p<0.05 โดยมี ประสิทธิภาพเทียบเคียงกับแอสไพริน และยาคำรับเบญจโลกวิเซียรยังแสดงค่านัยสำคัญทางสถิติต่อการทดสอบ ฤทธิ์ระงับปวดด้วยวิธีการใช้แผ่นความร้อนอีกด้วย สารสกัดด้วอย่างส่วนมากแสดงฤทธิ์คีโนการจับกับอนุบูลอิสระ โดยเฉพาะอย่างยิ่งสารสกัดด้วยเอทานอลของตัวอย่างที่ทดสอบ ในกรณีของการทดสอบถุทธิ์ต่อการแบ่งตัวของเซลล์ พบว่าสารสกัดด้วยข่างเกือบทั้งหมดมีค่าความเข้มข้นที่ทำให้เกิดเซลล์ตาขร้อขละ 50 (LD<sub>w</sub>) มากกว่า 2,000 มคก/มล ในขณะที่ สารสกัดจากดำรับเบญจโลกวิเชียร แสดงค่า LD<sub>so</sub> มากกว่า 20,000 มดก/มล ความสามารถในการจับกับ อนุมูลอิสระในการทดสอบในตริกออกไซค์แสดงให้เห็นว่า สารสกัดส่วนใหญ่ที่ได้จากรากสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิดให้ค่า การดูดกลื่นแสง (OD) สูงกว่าวิตามินซี ในขณะที่ดำรับยาเบญจโลกวิเซียร ให้ค่าการดูดกลื่นแสง ค่ำกว่าวิตามินซี ดังนั้นการศึกษานี้จึงเป็นหลักฐานที่สนับสนุนข้อมูลทางด้านความปลอดภัย ประสิทธิภาพ และคุณภาพของคำรับยา เบญจโลกวิเซียรและสมุนไพรทั้งห้าชนิคซึ่งเป็นส่วนประกอบของคำรับนี้ อย่างไรก็ตาม ผู้บริโภคควรพิจารณาถึง ผลข้างเคียงจากการใช้ยาคำรับนี้ร่วมกับในไครทซึ่งเจือปนอยู่ในอาหาร นอกจากนี้ ผลจากการศึกษาครั้งนี้ไม่เพียงแค่ อริบายความปลอดภัย ประสิทธิภาพ และคุณภาพของสมุนไพรแต่ละชนิดได้แล้ว ยังทำให้เกิดความเข้าใจผลลัพธ์จาก การรวมเป็นต่ำรับอีกด้วย สุดท้ายนี้จึงสรุปได้ว่าการศึกษานี้ช่วยทำให้เกิดความเข้าใจด้านความปลอดภัย ประสิทธิภาพ และคุณภาพของสมุนไพรแค่ชนิคและคำรับยาเบญจโลกวิเรียรอีกทั้งยังใช้เป็นหลักจานทาง วิทยาศาสตร์เพื่อสนับสนุนยาคำรับเบญจโลกวิเชียรซึ่งเป็นคำรับยาไทยที่เป็นที่รู้จักอย่างแพร่หลายค่อไป

| สาขาวิชา วิจัยเพื่อการพัฒน | าสุขภาพ ลายมือชื่อนิสิต <sup>9</sup> เ | ogwant   | สีบทก โขษ. |     |        |
|----------------------------|--|----------|------------|-----|--------|
| ปีการศึกษา 2553            | ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษา                 | วิทยานิท | พนธ์หลัก)  | h.L | HUGH   |
|                            | ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษา                 | วิทยานิท | พนธ์ร่วม9  | 4m  | พงกนาท |

##4989653520 : MAJOR RESEARCH FOR HEALTH DEVELOPMENT KEYWORDS : BEN CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY/ STANDARDIZATION/ 3D-HPLC/ MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS/ CYTOTOXIC ACTIVITY/ MUTAGENICITY/ ANTI-MUTAGENICITY/ DNA DAMAGE/ ANTIPYRETIC ACTIVITY/ ANALGENIC ACITIVITY/ FREE RADICAL SCAVENGING ACTIVITY/ CELL PROLIFERATION/ NITRIC OXIDE

CHATUBHONG SINGHARACHAI: SAFETY EFFICACY AND QUALITY ASSESSMENTS OF BEN CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY. ADVISOR: ASSOC. PROF. NIJSIRI RUANGRUNGSI, Ph.D., CO-ADVISOR: CHANIDA PALANUVEJ, Ph.D., 210 pp.

Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW remedy) is a Thai traditional medicine that has long been used as an antipyretic drug by traditional practitioners and has been notified in the List of Medicine Products of the National List of Essential Drugs A.D. 2006. It is used as mixed powders of the roots of Capparis micracantha DC., Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore, Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr., Ficus racemosa L. and Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels, in equal part by weight. From an exhaustive review and few reported data, the remedy has been contaminated and adulterated with upper ground of the plant used. Therefore, the quality of each root species, the pharmacognostic evaluation and multivariate analysis by 3D-HPLC were measured. The safety studies, cytotoxic acitivity, mutagenic testing and DNA damage using Brine shrimp method, Ames test and comet assay were investigated respectively. The efficacy study, antipyretic and analgesic activity by animal model, anti-mutagenic activity by Ames test, free radical scavenging activity by DPPH assay, cell proliferation by MTT assay and nitric oxide by Griess reagent assay were determined. Fourteen samples were collected from wild or non-cultivated places throughout Thailand. The main distinguishable features of five root species were obtained from the morphological and histological characters as well as TLC chromatogram. The histological results allowed an establishment of dichotomous key for the identification of each crude powdered species which is beneficial in resolving the adulteration and contamination of crude drugs in traditional medicine market. Three-dimensional of HPLC was showed clear twelve high major peaks in BLW remedy. All batches remedies were revealed a close relationship between batch 2 to 12 excepted batch 1. The Brine shrimp method demonstrated that most of samples are non-toxic except for the ethanol extract of T. triandra (LC50 44 µg/ml). Along with a no-direct mutagenic activity, however most of the extracts exhibited indirect mutagenic activity when combined with nitrosation. Nevertheless, the remedy extracts and the components herb extracts strongly inhibited mutagenicity when nitrite-treated 1-aminopyrene was used as a mutagen. Only water and ethanol extract of C. micracantha and water extract of T. triandra were exhibited higher damage in DNA as same as the positive control, H2O2. All doses of BLW remedy significantly (p<0.05) attenuated the increased rectal temperature produced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and were found to be as potent as acetylsalicylic acid (ASA). BLW remedy (400 mg/kg) also produced a significant analgesic response in the hot-plate test. Most of samples also showed good scavenging activity particularly in the ethanol extract samples. In case of cell proliferation, the entire samples were demonstrated LD50 more than 2,000 µg/ml, whilst BLW remedy exhibited the LD50 more than 20,000 µg/ml. The scavenging activities on nitric oxide demonstrated that most of samples that prepared from each root species were demonstrated the optical density higher than vitamin C, while BLW remedy was exhibited lower optical density than vitamin C. Consequently, the present study provided further evidence to support the safety, efficacy and the quality of Thai traditional medicine: Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy and its component herbs. Nevertheless, consumers should be advised on the adverse effects of using the remedy with nitrite containing foods. Moreover, the results of the current study could be described that not only each species which need to carry out for the safety, efficacy and quality, the combination of remedy were need to understand the consequences of such combined used also. Finally, this study helps clarifying the safety, efficacy and quality of each plant species and Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy as well as providing additional scientific support for this well-known Thai traditional medicine.

| Field of Study: Research for Health Development | Student's Signature | Chatubhong | Singharachai |
|---|---------------------|------------|--------------|
| Academic Year: 2010                             | Advisor's Signature | Nipiri     | fungungi     |
|   | Co-advisor's Signat | ure chaid  | · Palanuly   |

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude and appreciation to his thesis advisor, Assocication Professor Dr. Nijsiri Ruangrungsi, for his guidance, suggestion and support throughout the course of this study.

The author is grateful to his thesis co-advisor, Dr. Chanida Palanuvej, for her continue guidance, valuable critical comments and suggestion to complete the present work.

His gratitude is particularly extended to Professor Dr. Yamda Haruki and Associate Professor Dr. Hiroaki Kiyohara, Kitasato Institute for Life Sciences and Graduate School of Infection Control Sciences, Kitasato University, Tokyo, Japan, for their kindly instruction and hospitality during the author stay in Japan and continue guidance and valuable critical comment throughtout his present study, especially in part of 3D HPLC and multivariate analysis.

The author wish to thank Miss Anusara Jongchanapong for her help in part of animal model and also thank Dr. Oranuch Wongwattanasathien for the kindness in part of mutagenicity and anti-mutagenicity also special thank to Department of Food and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University.

His gratitude is sincerely grateful to the Department of Tropical Hygiene, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Dr. Natthanej Luplerdlop and Lecturer Pannamas Maneekan. Dr. Supawan Bunrathep, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Rangsit University,

His gradtitude is sincerely grateful to the dissertation committees for their important and constructive suggestions and crucial review of his dissertation.

A large debt of his gratitude is owned to all members in College of Public Health Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand and School of Infection Control Sciences, Kitasato University, Japan, who kindly offer their assistance, support, encouragement and helpful comments throughout his research.

The authors are thankful to The Strategic Scholarships Fellowships Frontier Research Network from The Commission on Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Thailand, Research Fund; the 90<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Fund (Ratchadaphiseksomphot Endowment Fund) (grant no: 6455201900003) and The National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT).

Singharachai family, the deepest love, the author's would like to dedicate to the author's family, especially his parents and his brother for their love, understanding, patience, support, encourage and every opportunity to succeed in life.

# CONTENTS

# Page

| ABS  | TRACT (THAI)   | iv   |
|------|--|------|
| ABS  | TRACT (ENGLISH)  | v    |
| ACK  | NOWLEDGEMENTS  | vi   |
| CON  | TENTS  | vii  |
| LIST | OF TABLES  | Х    |
| LIST | OF FIGURES   | xii  |
| LIST | OF ABBREVIATATIONS                                     | xvii |
| СНА  | PTER   |      |
| I    |  | 1    |
|      | Background and sig <mark>nificance of the</mark> study | 1    |
|      | Objectives of the study                                | 4    |
|      | Expected benefits                                      | 4    |
|      | Scope of the study                                     | 5    |
| 11   | LITERATURE REVIEWS                                     | 6    |
|      | Ben Cha Lo Ka W <mark>i Chian Remedy</mark>            | 6    |
|      | Capparis micracanth <mark>a</mark> DC                  | 6    |
|      | Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore                        | 6    |
|      | <i>Harrisonia perforata</i> (Blanco) Merr              | 7    |
|      | Ficus racemosa L                                       | 7    |
|      | Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels                     | 8    |
|      | Standardization parameters                             | 9    |
|      | 3D-HPLC  | 13   |
|      | Multivariate analysis                                  | 14   |
|      | Safety Evaluation                                      | 16   |
|      | Cytotoxic activity using Brine shrimp method           | 16   |
|      | Mutagenic activity using Ames test                     | 17   |
|      | DNA damage using Comet assay                           | 19   |
|      | Efficacy Evaluation                                    | 20   |
|      | Antipyretic activity                                   | 20   |
|      | Pain   | 21   |
|      | Free radical scavenging activity                       | 22   |
|      | Cell proliferation using MTT assay                     | 24   |
|      | Nitric oxide using Griess reagent assay                | 26   |

# Page

| CHA | APTER   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| III | MATERIALS AND METHODS   | 28  |
|     | Plant materials   | 28  |
|     | Plant extraction  | 28  |
|     | BLW Remedy preparation  | 29  |
|     | Parameter standardization   | 29  |
|     | 3D-HPLC analysis  | 31  |
|     | Data extraction and processing for multivariate analysis  | 31  |
|     | Safety Evaluation   | 32  |
|     | Cytotoxic activity using Brine shrimp method  | 32  |
|     | Mutagenic and anti- <mark>mutagenic ac</mark> tivi <mark>ty</mark> usi <mark>ng Ames tes</mark> t | 32  |
|     | DNA damage using Comet assay  | 34  |
|     | Efficacy Evaluation   | 35  |
|     | Antipyretic activity  | 35  |
|     | Hot-plate analgesic testing   | 36  |
|     | Free radical scavenging activity  | 36  |
|     | Cell proliferation using MTT assay  | 37  |
|     | Nitric oxide using Griess reagent assay   | 38  |
|     | Statistic analysis  | 38  |
| IV  | RESULTS   | 39  |
|     | Capparis micracantha DC.  | 39  |
|     | Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore   | 49  |
|     | Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr  | 59  |
|     | Ficus racemosa L  | 69  |
|     | Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels  | 79  |
|     | Multivariate analysis   | 99  |
|     | Safety Evaluation   | 106 |
|     | Cytotoxic activity using Brine shrimp method  | 106 |
|     | Mutagenic and using Ames test   | 107 |
|     | Anti-mutagenic activity using Ames test   | 109 |
|     | DNA damage using Comet assay  | 110 |
|     | Efficacy Evaluation   | 116 |
|     | Antipyretic activity  | 116 |
|     | Antinociceptive activity test: Mouse hot-plate  | 123 |
|     | Free radical scavenging activity  | 128 |
|     | Cell proliferation using MTT assay  | 129 |

# Page

| CHAPTER                                 |     |  |  |
|---|-----|--|--|
| Nitric oxide using Griess reagent assay | 130 |  |  |
| V DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION             | 132 |  |  |
| REFERENCES                              | 139 |  |  |
| APPENDICES                              |     |  |  |
| Appendix A                              | 162 |  |  |
| Appendix B                              | 173 |  |  |
| Appendix C                              | 184 |  |  |
| Appendix D                              | 197 |  |  |
| VITA                                    | 210 |  |  |



# ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# LIST OF TABLES

| Tab | le  | Page |
|-----|---|------|
| 1   | Components of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy                               | 3    |
| 2   | Specification of Capparis micracantha DC. Root                            | 48   |
| 3   | Specification of <i>Clerodendrum petasites</i> S. Moore Root              | 58   |
| 4   | Specification of Harisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. Root                  | 68   |
| 5   | Specification of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L. Root                            | 78   |
| 6   | Specification of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> Diels. Root                    | 88   |
| 7   | Yield of crude extracts of five root species in                           |      |
|     | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy   | 91   |
| 8   | A summary resources of Ben Cha L0 Ka Wi Chian remedy batches              | 92   |
| 9   | The correlation analysis from cluster analysis of 12 batches of           |      |
|     | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy   | 104  |
| 10  | Brine shrimp lethality $(LD_{50})$ of the ethanol, water extracts of      |      |
|     | five roots species and the remedy   | 106  |
| 11  | Percentage of the mutagenicity inhibition on                              |      |
|     | the Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and its components                      | 109  |
| 12  | Lipopolysaccharide-induced fever, Effect of the root extract of           |      |
|     | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy on lipopolysaccharide-induced               |      |
|     | fever in rats   | 116  |
| 13  | Mean inhibition concentration (IC $_{50}$ ) of the ethanol, water extract |      |
|     | of five roots species and the remedy                                      | 128  |
| 14  | The lethal dose (LD <sub>50</sub> ) of BLW remedy and                     |      |
|     | each root species in BLW remedy   | 129  |
| 15  | Conclusion of safety and efficacy of each root species and                |      |
|     | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy extract                                     | 137  |
| 16  | Pharmacognostic character (% by weight) of                                |      |
|     | Capparia micracantha DC. root   | 163  |

| Table | 9  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 17    | Pharmacognostic character (% by weight) of                         |      |
|       | Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root                               | 165  |
| 18    | Pharmacognostic character (% by weight) of                         |      |
|       | Harisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. root                            | 167  |
| 19    | Pharmacognostic character (% by weight) of                         |      |
|       | Ficus racemosa L. root   | 169  |
| 20    | Pharmacognostic character (% by weight) of                         |      |
|       | Tiliacora triandra Diels. Root                                     | 171  |
| 21    | Raw data from the comparisons between retention time and           |      |
|       | area under peak form each peaks for multivariate analysis          | 174  |
| 22    | Effect of NSS (10 ml/kg), 2% Tween 80 (10 ml/kg) and               |      |
|       | ASA 300 mg/kg, on lipolysaccharide-induced fever                   | 199  |
| 23    | Effect of <i>C. micracantha</i> root extract (CM; 25-400 mg/kg)    |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 200  |
| 24    | Effect of <i>C. petasites</i> root extract (CP; 25-400 mg/kg)      |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 201  |
| 25    | Effect of <i>H. perforata</i> root extract (HP; 25-400 mg/kg)      |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 202  |
| 26    | Effect of <i>F. racemosa</i> root extract (FR; 25-400 mg/kg)       |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 203  |
| 27    | Effect of <i>T. triandra</i> root extract (TT; 25-400 mg/kg)       |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 204  |
| 28    | Effect of BLW extract (BLW; 25-400 mg/kg)                          |      |
|       | on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat                           | 205  |
| 29    | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min (CM, CP, FR)  | 206  |
| 30    | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min (FR, TT, BLW) | 207  |
| 31    | %MPE –Time in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min (CM, CP, FR)     | 208  |
| 32    | %MPE –Time in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min(FR, TT, BLW)     | 209  |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figu | Ire  | Page |
|------|--|------|
| 1    | Scope of the study   | 1    |
| 2    | Characteristic of fibroblast cell line in different time                 | 26   |
| 3    | Chemical reactions involved in the measurement of NO2 <sup>-</sup> using |      |
|      | the Griess reagent system  | 27   |
| 4    | Macroscopic of Capparis micracantha DC.                                  | 40   |
| 5    | Crude drug of Capparis micracantha DC. root                              | 41   |
| 6    | Whole plant of Capparis micracantha DC.                                  | 42   |
| 7    | Transverse-section of Capparis micracantha DC. root                      | 43   |
| 8    | Powdered of Capparis micracantha DC. root                                | 44   |
| 9    | TLC of Capparis micracantha DC. root                                     | 45   |
| 10   | The HPLC chromatogram of <i>Capparis micracantha</i> DC                  | 46   |
| 11   | The 3D-HPLC profile of <i>Capparis micracantha</i> DC                    | 47   |
| 12   | Macroscopic of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore                           | 50   |
| 13   | Crude drug of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root                       | 51   |
| 14   | Whole plant of <i>Clerodendrum petasites</i> S. Moore                    | 52   |
| 15   | Transverse-section of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root               | 53   |
| 16   | Powdered of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root                         | 54   |
| 17   | TLC of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root                              | 55   |
| 18   | The HPLC chromatogram of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore                 | 56   |
| 19   | The 3D-HPLC profile of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore                   | 57   |
| 20   | Macroscopic of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.                       | 59   |
| 21   | Crude drug of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.root                    | 61   |
| 22   | Whole plant of <i>Harrisonia perforata</i> (Blanco) Merr                 | 62   |

| Figu | ire   | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 23   | Transverse-section of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.root           | 63   |
| 24   | Powdered of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. root                    | 64   |
| 25   | TLC of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.root                          | 65   |
| 26   | The HPLC chromatogram of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr             | 66   |
| 27   | The 3D-HPLC profile of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr               | 67   |
| 28   | Macroscopic of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L                                  | 70   |
| 29   | Crude drug of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L. root                             | 71   |
| 30   | Whole plant of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L                                  | 72   |
| 31   | Transverse-section of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L. root                     | 73   |
| 32   | Powdered of Ficus racemosa L. root                                      | 74   |
| 33   | TLC of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L. root                                    | 75   |
| 34   | The HPLC chromatogram of of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.                    | 76   |
| 35   | The 3D-HPLC profile of of <i>Ficus racemosa</i> L.                      | 77   |
| 36   | Macroscopic of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels                | 80   |
| 37   | Crude drug of of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels root         | 81   |
| 38   | Whole plant of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels                | 82   |
| 39   | Transverse-section of of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels root | 83   |
| 40   | Powdered of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels root              | 84   |
| 41   | TLC of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels root                   | 85   |
| 42   | The HPLC chromatogram of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels      | 86   |
| 43   | The 3D-HPLC profile of <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels        | 87   |
| 44   | Key identification for each root powder of five species in              | 00   |
|      | Den Und LU Na WI Unian remeuy   | 69   |

| 45 | The resources of each species plant in   |     |
|----|--|-----|
|    | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy  | 90  |
| 46 | TLC of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian batch 1 – batch 6<br>with low polar solvent  | 93  |
| 47 | TLC of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian batch 7 – batch 12 with low polar solvent  | 94  |
| 48 | TLC of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian batch 1 – batch 6 with high polar solvent  | 95  |
| 49 | TLC of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian batch 7 – batch 12 with high polar solvent   | 96  |
| 50 | The HPLC chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy   | 97  |
| 51 | The 3D-HPLC profile of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy   | 98  |
| 52 | 2D-HPLC chromatogram from each BLW remedy batches 1-12   | 99  |
| 53 | The facter analysis plot base on the first comparison among the area under curve of BLW1- BLW12                            | 101 |
| 54 | Hierachical custer analysis (HCA) dendrogram plot of fingerprint-based data(33x33 matrix)                                  | 102 |
| 55 | Principle component analysis (PCA) plot based on the reduce data set form  | 103 |
| 56 | The mutagenic index (MI) of mutagenic without nitrite  | 107 |
| 57 | The mutagenic index (MI) of mutagenic without nitrite  | 108 |
| 58 | Images of human lymphocytes with various degrees of DNA damages  | 110 |
| 59 | The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration of ethanol extract of each plant species and BLW remedy | 111 |
| 60 | The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration of water extract of each plant species and BLW remedy   | 112 |
| 61 | The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentrations  | 113 |
| 62 | The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentrations  | 114 |
| 63 | The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration   | 115 |
| 64 | The effect of <i>C. micracantha</i> root extract on LPS-induced fever in rats  | 117 |
| 65 | The effect of <i>C. petasites</i> root extract on LPS-induced fever in rats  | 118 |
| 66 | The effect of <i>H. perforata</i> root extract on LPS-induced fever in rats  | 119 |

# Page

| 67 | The effect of <i>F. racemosa</i> root extract on LPS-induced fever in rats   | 120 |
|----|--|-----|
| 68 | The effect of <i>T. triandra</i> root extract on LPS-induced fever in rats   | 121 |
| 69 | The effect of BLW remedy extract on LPS-induced fever in rats  | 122 |
| 70 | Mouse Hot-plate test   | 123 |
| 71 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of <i>C. micracantha</i> root extract | 124 |
| 72 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of <i>C. petasites</i> root extract   | 124 |
| 73 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of <i>H. perforata</i> root extract   | 125 |
| 74 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of <i>F. racemosa</i> root extract    | 125 |
| 75 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of <i>T. triandra</i> root extract    | 126 |
| 76 | Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of BLW remedy root extract            | 124 |
| 77 | Mouse hot-plate test. Area of analgesia (%MPE-min) of BLW remedy   | 127 |
| 78 | Percentage of the Mean Percent Maximum Possible effect<br>(%MPE-Time) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min                     | 127 |
| 79 | Effect of ethanol extracts induced by Griess reagent assay   | 130 |
| 80 | Effect of water extracts induced by Griess reagent assay   | 131 |
| 81 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 1   | 185 |
| 82 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 1   | 185 |
| 83 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 2   | 186 |
| 84 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 2   | 186 |
| 85 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 3   | 187 |
| 86 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 3   | 187 |
| 87 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 4   | 188 |
| 88 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 4   | 188 |
| 89 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 5   | 189 |

| 90  | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 5        | 189 |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|
| 91  | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 6      | 190 |
| 92  | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 6        | 190 |
| 93  | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 7      | 191 |
| 94  | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 7        | 191 |
| 95  | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 8      | 192 |
| 96  | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 8        | 192 |
| 97  | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 9      | 193 |
| 98  | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 9        | 193 |
| 99  | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 10     | 194 |
| 100 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 10       | 194 |
| 101 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 11     | 195 |
| 102 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 11       | 195 |
| 103 | HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 12     | 196 |
| 104 | 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 12       | 196 |
| 105 | Certificate of Project Approval | 198 |

# ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

Page

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| BLW remedy       | =   | Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy            |  |
|------------------|-----|--|--|
| cm               | =   | centimeter                               |  |
| m                | =   | meter                                    |  |
| ED <sub>50</sub> | =   | median effective dose                    |  |
| IC <sub>50</sub> | =   | Concentration at 50% inhibition          |  |
| LD <sub>50</sub> | =   | Medium Lethality dose                    |  |
| LC <sub>50</sub> | -   | Medium lethal concentration              |  |
| μg               | -   | microgram                                |  |
| ml               | -   | milliliter                               |  |
| mg               | =   | milligram                                |  |
| g                | =   | gram                                     |  |
| kg               | -   | kilogram                                 |  |
| g/kg             | = / | gram per kilogram                        |  |
| i.p.             | -   | intraperitoneal                          |  |
| i.m.             | -   | intramuscular                            |  |
| 1                | 1   | per                                      |  |
| %                | 518 | percent                                  |  |
| %MPE             | ΣJ  | percent of the maximum possible effect   |  |
| °C 0.9           | งก  | degree celsius                           |  |
| ASA              | =   | acetylsalicylic acid                     |  |
| AUC              | =   | area under the curve (area of analgesia) |  |
| BW               | =   | body weight                              |  |
| LPS              | =   | lipopolysaccharide                       |  |
| Min              | =   | minute                                   |  |
| МО               | =   | morphine sulphate                        |  |

| NAL               | =         | naloxone  |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| n                 | =         | sample size   |  |  |
| GACP              | =         | good agricultural and collection practices          |  |  |
| WHO               | =         | World Health Organization                           |  |  |
| TLC               | =         | thin layer chromatography                           |  |  |
| AHP               | =         | American Herbal Pharmacopoeia                       |  |  |
| 3D-HPLC           | =         | three-dimensional of high performance liquid        |  |  |
|                   |           | chromatography                                      |  |  |
| HPLC              | -         | high performance liquid chromatography              |  |  |
| OD                | -         | optical density                                     |  |  |
| mAU               | =         | milliabsorbance units                               |  |  |
| PCA               | =         | principle component analysis                        |  |  |
| HCA               | -         | Hierarchical clustering analysis                    |  |  |
| r                 | =         | correlation coefficient                             |  |  |
| his⁺              | =         | histidine independence or histidine prototrophy     |  |  |
| his               | -         | histidine dependent                                 |  |  |
| NaNO <sub>2</sub> | E)        | sodium nitrite                                      |  |  |
| NaNO <sub>3</sub> | 518       | sodium nitrate                                      |  |  |
| DNA               | <u></u> 1 | deoxyribonucleic acid                               |  |  |
| SCGE              | สถ        | single cell gel electrophoresis                     |  |  |
| TNFα              | =         | tumor necrosis factor-alpha                         |  |  |
| IL                | =         | interleukin   |  |  |
| PG                | =         | postaglandin  |  |  |
| IASP              | =         | The International Association for the Study of Pain |  |  |
| CNS               | =         | central nervous system                              |  |  |
| ВНТ               | =         | butylated hyroxytoluene                             |  |  |

| BHA      | =   | butylated hydroxyanisole                                    |
|----------|-----|---|
| TBHQ     | =   | tert-Buthylhydroquinone                                     |
| DPPH     | =   | 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryhydrazyl                                |
| MTT      | =   | 4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide |
| ROS      | =   | reactive oxygen species                                     |
| DMSO     | =   | dimethylsulfoxide   |
| 1-AP     | =   | 1-aminopyrine   |
| MI       | -   | mutagenic index   |
| Μ        | =   | mole per liter  |
| IWGT     | =   | the international workshop in genotoxicity tests            |
| LMP      | =   | low melting point agarose                                   |
| PBS      | =   | phosphate buffered saline                                   |
| EDTA     | =   | ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid                             |
| CU-ACUC  | =   | the Animal Care and Use Committee                           |
| ELISA    | =   | Enzyme-linked Immuno sorbent Assay                          |
| NED      | -   | N-1-napthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride                  |
| СМ       | E   | Capparis micracantha DC.                                    |
| СР       | 518 | Clerodendrum petasite S. Moore                              |
| HP       | 11  | Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.                         |
| FR and a | สถ  | Ficus racemosa L.   |
| тт Т     | =   | Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels                          |

# CHAPTER I

# INTRODUCTION

#### Background and Significance of the study

Plants have formed the basis of sophisticated traditional medicine systems that have been in existence for thousands of years and continue to provide mankind with new remedies [1]. Additionally, herbal medicines are prepared from a variety of plant materials – leaves, stems, roots, bark and so on. They usually contain many biologically active ingredients and are used primarily for treating mild or chronic ailments. Herbs can be prepared at home in many ways, using either fresh or dried ingredients. Herbal teas and infusions can be steeped to varying strengths. Roots, bark or other plants can be boiled into strong solutions called decoctions. Honey or sugar can be added to infusions and decoctions to make syrups. Herbal remedies can also be purchased in the form of pills, capsules or powders, or in more concentrated liquid forms called extracts and tinctures. They can be applied topically in creams or ointments, soaked into cloths and used as compresses, or applied directly to the skin as poultices [2, 3].

In the last decade, there has been a global upsurge in the use of traditional medicine in both developed and developing countries. Today, therefore, certain forms of traditional medicines play an increasingly important role in health care and health sector reform globally [4, 5]. According to The World Health Organization (WHO), 80% of the world's population primarily those of developing countries rely on plant-derived medicines for their healthcare [1, 6]. Most population in the developing countries still relies mainly on indigenous traditional medicine for satisfying their primary health care needs. Traditional medicine has not, however, been incorporated in most national health systems, and the potential of services provided by traditional practitioners is far from being fully utilized. During the last decade, in many developed countries, there has also been a growing interest in herbal medicine, acupuncture and alternative systems of medicine. Consequently, an increase in international trade in herbal medicines and other types of traditional medicines has occurred. Proper use of these different types of medicine has therefore become a concern [7, 8].

One of the main reasons for the increasing use of traditional medicine is growing trend for patients to take a more proactive approach to their own health and to seek out different forms of self-care. There is a worldwide "green" revolution, which is reflected in the belief that herbal remedies are safe and less damaging to the human body than synthetic drugs, under the assumption that "natural means safe" [3, 9]. Moreover, underlying this upsurge of interest in plants is the fact that many important drugs in use today were derived from plants or from starting molecules of plant origin. Digoxin/ digitoxin, the vinca alkaloids, reserpine and tubocurarine are some important examples. Plants have also yielded molecules, which are extremely valuable tools in the characterization of enzymes and the classification of receptor systems where physostigmine, morphine, muscarine, atropine, nicotine and tubocurarine are

important examples. Some scientists thus expect that the plant kingdom hold the key to the understanding of complex human biochemistry/pathology and the cure of man's perplexing diseases. The initial optimism, engendered by the idea that a sophisticated understanding of receptor systems and of the biochemistry of disease would pave the way to predictable drug development, has not been realized. Therefore, laboratories around the world are engaged in the screening of plants for biological activity with therapeutic potential [4, 6, 9-11].

One positive aspect of the use of medicinal plants is their low cost compared to the high price of new synthetic drugs, which have become totally inaccessible to the vast majority of people. Another consideration in favor of the use of medicinal plants, when they are the only recourse available is that they have comparatively few side effects. Synthetic drugs, in general, have very potent pharmacodynamic effects; but as they are active, many also have strong and possibly dangerous and harmful side effects of pharmaceuticals. On the contrary, medicinal plants, with a few exceptions, do not have great therapeutic potency, but neither do they have intense or serious side effects. Therefore, their direct administration in folk medicine offers little risk. Thus, there exists a wide field for research in the phytochemistry of those hundreds of plants that are used in folk medicine in each country, research confirming the presence of pharmacodynamic chemicals such as alkaloids, glucosides to a lesser degree, and essential oils and other substances, indispensable knowledge that justifies the practices of naturalist and folk medicine. The natural products (botanicals) have played the major role in drug discovery [6, 11].

The variety and sheer number of plants with therapeutic properties is quite astonishing. It is estimated that around 70,000 plant species from lichens to towering trees have been used for treating various ailments. Today western herbal medicine still makes use of at least a thousand indigenous European plants, as well as many thousand species of other varieties native to America, Africa and Australia. In Ayurveda (India Traditional Medicine) about 2,000 plants are considered to have medicinal values, while in Chinese Pharmacopoeia 5,700 various traditional medicines of plant origin have been reported. About 500 herbs are employed within the conventional medicine, although whole plants are rarely used. From time immemorial the herbs have played a major role by providing us lead compounds for the isolation and synthesis of so many conventional drugs [4, 6, 11].

Thailand, herbal medicine has long been practiced in Thai history. Herbs which are the integral parts of traditional systems of Thai medicine often combine as herbal mixtures. Either in the Royal or Folk Thai traditional medicine, most herbal recipes contain dozens of herb ingredients. According to Thai medicine, all aliments involve multiple symptoms which point toward multiple imbalances of the four elements or Dhaatu (Earth, Water, Air and Fire). Herbal medicines are traditionally classified by the primary taste of the herb into ten tastes (Astringent, Oily (Nutty), Salty, Sweet, Bitter, Toxic (Nauseating), Sour, Hot (spicy), Bland and Aromatic (Cool)). Combinations of herbs with same or different tastes are the arts of traditional and holistic healing. Herbal combinations have beneficial effects for maximum

potency (synergistic efficacy), minimum certain side effects (antagonistic toxicity) and palatableness [12, 13].

Phikud is a set of herbals with equal quantity and has been used as an ingredient in Thai traditional preparations of medicines. Herb components in same Phikud must have the taste that do not interfere with each other and also have equivalent quality or medical property [14]. This is the traditional wisdom to organize group of herbs for the purpose of synergistic effect and serving healer's conveniently use. Phikud Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian is one of the Thai traditional remedies that have been used as an antipyretic drug. First revealed of these remedy has been in the national traditional medical textbook named "Paad Sard Song Kro" which printed by Phra ya Pis-Sa-Nu-Pra-Saad-Vej [15]. It is the first ranke in The Thai traditional medicines notified in the List of Herbal Medicine Product of the National List of Essential Drugs A.D.2006 [16]. The remedy composes of five roots in an equal part by weights. The components of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy are shown in table 1.

| Scientific name [17]                      | Thai name [17] | Family [17]    | Plant Part |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Capparis micracantha DC.                  | ซิงชี          | Capparidaceae  | Root       |
| Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore           | ไม้เท้ายายม่อม | Verbenaceae    | Root       |
| Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.       | คนฑา           | Simaroubaceae  | Root       |
| Ficus racemosa L.                         | มะเดื่ออุทุมพร | Moraceae       | Root       |
| <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels | ย่านาง         | Menispermaceae | Root       |

 Table 1 Components of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

Currently, Herbal medicines are of great importance to the health of individuals and communities, but their quality assurance needs to be developed [4]. Additionally, adverse events arising from consumption of herbal medicines may be due to the term "adulteration" or debasement, which may be deliberate or accidental. Usually in crude drug, that included substitution of the original crude drugs partially of fully with other substances which are either free from or inferior in therapeutic and chemical properties. Inferiority is a natural substance condition (e.g. where a crop is taken whose natural constituent is below the minimum standard for that particular drug) which can be avoided by more careful selection of the plant material [18]. Spoilage is substandard condition produced by microbial of other pest infestation, which makes a product unfit for consumption, which can be avoided by careful attention to the drying, and storage conditions. Deterioration is an impairment of the quality or value of an article due to destruction of abstraction of valuable constituents by bad treatment or aging or to the deliberate extraction of the constituents and the sale of the residue as the original drugs. Admixture is the addition of one article to another through accident, ignorance or carelessness e.g. inclusion of soil on an underground organ or the co-collection of two similar species. Sophistication is the deliberate addition of spurious of inferior material with intent to defraud; such materials are carefully produce and may appear at first sight to be

genuine e.g. powder ginger may be diluted with starch with addition of little coloring material to give the correct shade of yellow colour. Substitution is the addition of an entirely different article in place of that which is required e.g. supply of cheap cotton seed oil in place of olive oil [19,20].

Additionally, Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy is one of the several Thai traditional remedy that has been provided in the market. It is could be adulterated with upper ground parts of plants (not only roots) or substances with low quality. Moreover, the general lack of knowledge about Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy such as standardization of each plant, biological activities and efficacy of the remedy. Hence, the safety and efficacy, as well as the quality control, of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy have become important concerns for both health authorities and the public.

# Objective of the study

- 1. To develop standardization parameters of each species in Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy.
- 2. To evaluate some toxicity of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy.
- 3. To evaluate some biological activities of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and its components.

# **Expected Benefits**

- This research provides pharmacognostic specification of each species in Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy which needed for drug standardization and drug quality improvement.
- This research provides scientific evidences in efficacy and safety of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian which needed for the step of clinical trials.
- 3. This research protocol can be applied to other traditional medicine formularies.

# ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Figure 1 Scopes of the study

# CHAPTER II

# LITERATURE REVIEWS

## Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy

Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian is one of the Phikud in Thai traditional medicine. Phikud is a set of herbs with equal quantity and has been used as an ingredient in Thai traditional preparations of medicines. Herb components in same Phikud must have the taste that do not to interfere with each other and also have equivalent quality or medical property. Earliest, it was revealed in Paad Sard Song Kaor scripture.

In the present time, Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW remedy) has been notified in The list of Herbal Medicine Products of the National List of Essential Drugs A.D. 2006. The Thai Government also supports to be used in Primary Health Care as a general antipyretic drug in the form of powder or tablet.

BLW remedy is composed of five root species, including *Capparis micracantha* DC., *Clerodendrum petasites* S. Moore., *Harrisonia perforata* (Blanco) Merr., *Ficus racemosa* L. and *Tiliacora triandra* (Colebr.) Diels, in an equal part by weight.

Recently, Koncue *et al.* [21] had examined the antipyretic effect of each root powder and the remedy utilizing yeast-induced fever model in rats. From the study, BLW remedy was found to have antipyretic effect at doses 100, 200 or 400 mg/kg and BLW remedy 200 mg/kg seemed to have the highest antipyretic efficacy. Each root also seemed to be active as an antipyretic drug, except the root of *C. petasites*. This is the only scientific evidence that support its use as an antipyretic drug. Thus BLW remedy has inadequate scientific evidence to support the above claimed.

## Capparis micracantha DC.

*C. micracantha* is a half-erect shrub or small tree with drooping branches, 1-6 m tall, leaves oval to oblong-lanceolate: 9.5 -20 cm x 3 -11 cm, flowers 2-6 in row, pedicel about 1 cm long, white with yellow base, later turning dark red [22].

A book of Thai Herbs mentioned that the flowers of *C. micracantha* can inhibit cancer [30]. The hexane extracts of the leaves and flowers of *C. micracantha* showed inhibiting lung cancer and anti-tuberculosis. Moreover, the hexane and dichloromethane extracts of the flowers have been shown anti-tuberculosis [23]. As well, The root of *C. micracantha* using Heinz body model has been shown antioxidation [24].

## Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore

*C. petasites* is vines, shrubs or small trees, usually unarmed, glabrous or pubescent. Leaves are opposite of whorled, simple, sometimes lobed, Flowers are zygomorphic, bisexual, usually large, showy, mostly white.

In Thai folklore medicine, its leaves and roots are traditionally used for the treatment of fever, inflammation and skin diseases as well as asthma [25]. In India, a mixture of the fruits of *C. petasites* is used to produce sterility [26], whereas Chinese use it (part not specified) for the treatment of fever and malaria [27].

There were several phytochemical, biological and pharmacological studies of *C. petasites*. [28-30]. One pronounced effect of *C. petasites* antibacterial activity of two flavonoid compounds, which isolated from the stem and roots of the plant [30]. In addition, Chatluang found that the ethanol extract from this plant possessed the bronchodilator effect [31]. The active principle responsible for this effect was isolated and identified as the flavonoid hispidulin. The ethanolic extract of *C. petasites* caused relaxation of tracheal smooth muscle which was contracted by exposure to histamine [32]. Moreover, it was found that *C. petasites* extract possessed moderate inhibitory activity on acute phase of inflammation in a dose-related manner as seen in ethyl phenylpropiolate-induced ear edema ( $ED_{50} = 2.34$  mg/ear) as well as carrageenin-induced hind paw edema ( $ED_{30} = 420.41$  mg/kg) in rats. *C. petasites* extract possessed an excellent antipyretic effect when tested in yeast-induced hyperthermic rats [33].

#### Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

*H. perforata* is a scandent to erect prickly shrub up to 4 - 6 m tall, leaves imparipinnate up to 20 cm long, with 1-15 pairs of leaflets. Flowers are 4-5 merous, red outside, pale red to white inside. Fruits are a berry [22].

*H. perforata* has been used for diarrhea and dysentery [34, 35]. The extracts of the leaves and the branches showed *in vitro* antimalarial activity against *Plasmodium falciparum* [36, 37]. Chemical investigations have shown the presence of several chromones, peucenin-7-methyl ether, *O*-methylalloptaeroxylin (perforine A) [38], perforatin B, perforatic acid, perforatic acid methyl ester, perforatin, perforatin C—G [39, 40], two limonoids, perforatinolone [41] and tetranortriterpenes in the obacunol series [42], that have been isolated from the roots [43, 44], leaves [39, 41], branches [45] and wood [46] of *H. perforata* [46]. Quassinoids were also identified from the root bark of this plant [38, 39].

#### Ficus racemosa L.

*F. racemosa* is evergreen or sometime deciduous, woody epiphytic climbers or stranglers, shrubs or small to large trees up to 40-50 m tall or banyans. Bark surface is smooth, often pale grey, sometime whitish or brown. The inner bark is yellowish [22].

The leaves, bark and fruits of *F. racemosa* are employed in native medicine to treat several diseases [47]. It has been reported in the indigenous system of medicine such as in Sri Lanka, which has been used as treatment of skeletal fracture [48], hypoglycemic [49] and antidiarrheal activity [50]. Experimental studies have demonstrated its anti inflammatory, hepatoprotective and hypoglycemic effect [61-63]. It has been reported to have many

medicinal properties [54]. The roots are used as a medicine against hydrophobia. Its fruits are effective against leprosy, diseases of the blood, fatigue, bleeding nose and cough. Its bark is helpful against asthma and its leaves are used against bronchitis. It is used as carminative, astringent, vermifuge and an anti-dysentery drug [55]. The bark is used for treatment of dysentery [56-59], antiseptic, antipyretic and vermicidal, and a decoction of the bark is used in treating various skin diseases and ulcers. It is used as a plaster in inflammatory swellings and boils. It is reported to be effective in the treatment of piles, dysentry, asthma, gonorrhea, gleet, menorrhagia, leucorrhea, hemoptysis, and urinary diseases [55, 60, 61]. Its stem bark has shown anti-diarrhoeal, antidiuretic, antitussive, anti-pyretic and hypoglycemic activities [53, 62-65]. The root of *F. racemes* is useful in dysentery, diarrhea and diabetes [56, 66]. The plant is used locally to relieve inflammation of skin wounds, lymphadenitis, sprains and fibrositis. It is also used in the treatment of mumps, smallpox, heamaturia and inflammatory conditions [67].

The chemical composition and medicinal uses of *F. racemosa* extract have been reported widely. *F. racemosa* extract has been found to possess significant anti-inflammatory activity on the tested experimental models. It has hepatoprotective, immunostimulant, antibacterial, antiedemic, antihistaminic, antipyretic and analgesic activities [67, 68]. The extract of fruit is used in diabetes and leucoderma. The alcoholic extract of the stem bark of the plant possessed antiprotozoal activity against *Entamoeba histolytica* [55]. Moreover, the extract of *F. racemosa* bark with IC<sub>50</sub> of 100 µg/ml showed more potent inhibitory effect of COX-1 [79]. Also, another studies were carried out to evaluate the anti-pyretic effect of a methanol extract of stem bark of *F. racemosa* on normal body temperature and yeast-induced pyrexia in albino rats. The methanolic extract of stem bark of *F. racemosa* possessed significant antipyretic effect in yeast-provoked elevation of body temperature in rats, and its effect was comparable to that of paracetamol (standard drug) [53]. The chemomodulatory effect of *F. racemosa* against ferric nitrilotriaceatate (Fe-NTA) induced renal carcinogenesis and oxidative damage response in rats were reported recently [35, 70].

This plant has been reported to contain tannins, kaempferol, rutin, arabinose, bergapten, psoralenes, flavonoids, ficusin, coumarin and phenolic glycosides [71]. All these compounds act as strong antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agents [55].

#### Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels

*T. trianda* has been widely distributed throughout Thailand and common in deciduous and dry evergreen forests [72]. It is used particularly in many cuisines of the northeast of Thailand and Lao PDR especially in bamboo shoot soup. It is climbing plant with deep green leaves and yellowish flowers, stem usually slender, leaves simple, alternate, green to dark-green ovate about 5-10 cm long and 3-4 cm wide, fruits yellowish obovate druplets [22, 73].

Chemical analysis revealed that *T. triandra* leaves contain high levels of beta-carotene and minerals, such as calcium and iron. A number of alkaloids, especially bisbenzylisoquinoline

alkaloids have been identified in *T. triandra* [73, 74]. Three know alkaloids, tiliacorinine, tiliacorine, and nortiliacorinine A, together with a new alkaloid, tiliacorinine 2'-*N*-oxide, have been isolated from the roots of *T. triandra* [75]. Also, Tilitriandrin is a new bisbenzylisoquinoline alkaloid from *T. triandra* [76]. Moreover, alkaloids magnoflorine, nortiliacorine A, and tiliacorinin-2'- N-oxide, two new bisbenzylisoquinoline alkaloids, noryanangine and norisoyanangine were isolated from the aerial parts of *T. triandra* Diels. [77]. The major constituent monosaccharide of *T. triandra* gum was xylose, together with substantial amounts of rhamnose, arabinose, glucose and galactose [78].

The roots of *T. triandra* were found to have antimalarial activity against *Plasmodium falciparum in vitro* [29]. However, the water extract from *T. triandra* does not produce acute or subchronic toxicities in female and male rats [30].

# **Standardization Parameters**

#### **Quality Control of Plant material**

Comparing with the conventional preparations, herbal products represent a number of unique problems when quality aspects are considered. These are because of the nature of the herbal ingredients present therein, which are complex mixtures of different secondary metabolites that can vary considerably depending on environmental and genetic factors. Furthermore, the constituents responsible for the claimed therapeutic effects are frequently unknown or only partly explained and this precludes, the level of control which can routinely be achieved with synthetic drugs so much so with conventional pharmaceutical preparations. These complex positions of quality aspects of herbal drugs are further complicated by the use of combinations of herbal ingredients as are being used in traditional practice. It is not uncommon to have as many as five (sometimes even more) different herbal ingredients in one product.

Standards of any drug relate to the uniformity in quality, which are numerical quantities by which the quality of commodities may be assessed. The information upon which standards may be based is obtained by a study of the genuine drug, the methods used for adulteration and the means adopted for the detection of adulterants. While proposing the standards for crude drugs, several aspects are to be considered as pharmacognostical standards.

In the meantime, The World Health Organization (WHO) has conducted a recent global survey on the regulatory control of herbal medicines and has reported finding from 141 countries [50]. This work provides a valuable update to the earlier WHO reviews and illustrates the wide differences in the approach to regulation between these countries [51, 52]. The recent survey confirms that during the past four years many countries have established, or initiated, the process of establishing national policy and regulations regarding herbal medicines. The most important challenges faced by countries were those related to regulatory status, assessment of safety and efficacy, quality control and safety monitoring. In response

to requests from Member States, WHO has resolved to provide technical support for the development of methodology monitor or ensure product safety, efficacy and quality, preparation of guidelines, and promotion of information exchange. WHO guidelines have recently been developed in a number of important areas including consumer information, pharmacovigilance, good agricultural and collection practices (GACP) [53-55] and quality control methods for medicinal plant materials: World Health Organization Geneva [81].

The World Health Assembly – in resolutions WHA31.33 (1978), WHA40.33 (1987) and WH42.43 (1989) – has emphasized the need to ensure the quality of medicinal plant products by using modern control techniques and applying suitable standards. The Quality Control Method for Medicinal Plant Materials: WHO manual describes a series of tests for assessing the quality of medicinal plant materials. The tests are designed primarily for use in national drug quality control laboratories in developing countries, and complement those described in the international pharmacopoeia, which provides quality specifications only for the few plant materials that are included in the WHO Model List of Essential Drugs. This manual does not constitute a herbal pharmacopoeia, but a collection of test procedures to support the development of national standards based on local market conditions, with due regard to existing national legislation and national and regional norms. The test methods described are the best methods currently available [82].

The Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials are consisting of several experiments namely,

# Parameters standardization

The evaluation of these parameters gives a clear idea about the specific characteristic of crude drugs under examination, beside it's macro-morphological or cyto morphological characters, microscopical natures in both it's entire and it's powder form. While these diagnostic features enable the analyst to know the nature and characteristics of the crude drugs, further evaluation of different parameters indicate their acceptability by criteria other than the morphological characteristics. The procedures normally adopted to get the qualitative information about the purity and standard of a crude drug include the determination of various parameters as described in this sections.

## **Determination of ash**

Ashing involves an oxidation of the components of the product. A high ash value is indicative of contamination, substitution, adulteration or carelessness in preparing the crude drug for marketing. Total ash is designed to measure the total amount of material produced after complete incineration of the ground drug at as low temperature as possible (about 450<sup>o</sup>C) to remove all the carbons. At higher temperature, the alkali chloride may be volatile and may be lost by this process. The total ash usually consists of carbonates, phosphate, silicates and silica which include both physiological ash-which is derived from the plant tissue itself and

non-physiological and which is the residue of the adhering material to the plant surface e.g. sand and soil.

#### Determination of acid-insoluble ash

Ash insoluble in hydrochloric acid is the residue obtained after extracting the total ash with dilute hydrochloric acid, and igniting the remaining insoluble matter. This measures the amount of silica present, especially as sand and siliceous earth.

## Determination of loss on drying

An excess of water in medicinal plant materials will encourage microbial growth, the presence of fungi or insects, and deterioration following hydrolysis. This is especially important for materials that absorb moisture easily or deteriorate quickly in the presence of water.

## Determination of solvent extractive values

This method determines the amount of active constituents in a given amount of medicinal plant material when extracted with solvents. It is employed for materials for which as yet no suitable chemical or biological assay exists. As mentioned in different official books [83, 84] the determination of water soluble and alcohol soluble extractives, is used as a means of evaluating crude drugs which are not readily estimated by other means.

The extraction of any crude drug with a particular solvent yields as solution containing different phyto-constituents. The composition of these phyto-constituents in that particular solvent depends upon the nature of the drug and solvent used. The use of a single solvent can be the means of providing preliminary information on the quality of a particular drug sample; for example, in a drug where the extraction procedure for the constituents commences with as the solvent, any subsequent aqueous extraction on the re-dried residue will give a very low yield of soluble matter.

## **Determination of water content**

Moisture is an inevitable component of crude drugs, which must be eliminated as far as practicable. The preparation of crude drug from the harvested drug plants involves cleaning or garbling to remove soil or other extraneous material followed by drying which play a very important role in the quality as well as purity of the material. Insufficient drying favors spoilage by molds and bacteria and makes possible the enzymatic destruction of active principles. The moisture requirements for the active growth of some of the common molds and bacteria that may be found in or on drugs are relatively low. Therefore, the drying process should reduce the moisture content of the drug below this critical, or threshold level.

## Thin-layer Chromatographic identification

Thin-layer chromatography is particularly valuable for the qualitative determination of small amounts of impurities. The principles of thin-layer chromatography and application of the technique in pharmaceutical analysis are described in Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials [82]. As it is effective and easy to perform, and the equipment required is inexpensive, the technique is frequently used for evaluating medicinal plant materials and their preparations. Thin-layer chromatography is used for the rapid separation of compounds by means of a uniform layer of dry, finely powdered material applied to a glass, plastic, or metal sheet or plate, glass plates being most commonly employed. The most common stationary phase is silica gel. The separation achieved may be based upon adsorption, partition, or a combination of both effects, depending on the particular type of adsorbant, it preparation, and its use with different solvents.

Out of the numerous practical applications of pharmacognosy, the great importance for the pharmaceutical industry is in the evaluation of the crude drugs. This involves the determination of identity, purity and quality. Purity depends upon the absence of foreign matter whether organic or inorganic, while quality refers essentially to the concentration of the active constituents in the drugs that make it valuable to medicine. By virtue of these constituents or components, the product is used and it's economic and commercial value is estimated. Based on the concentration and nature of the constituents though, a crude drug may confirm to all the official standards of purity and be good quality.

## Thin layer chromatography: TLC

TLC is the common method of choice for herbal analysis before instrumental chromatography methods like GC and HPLC were established. Even nowadays, TLC is still frequently used for the analysis of herbal medicines since various pharmacopoeias such as American Herbal Pharmacopoeia (AHP), WHO still use TLC to provide first characteristic fingerprints of herbs. Rather, TLC is used as an easier method of initial screening with a semi-quantitative evaluation together with other chromatographic techniques. Also there is relatively less change in the simple TLC separation of herbal medicines than with instrumental chromatography [85, 86]

TLC has the advantages of many-fold possibilities of detection in analyzing herbal medicines. In addition, TLC is rather simple and can be employed for multiple sample analysis. For each plate, more than 30 spots of samples can be studied simultaneously in one time. Thus, the use of TLC to analyze the herbal medicines is still popular [87] and possible to get useful qualitative and quantitative information from the developed TLC plate.

In summary, the advantages of using TLC to construct the fingerprints of herbal medicines are its simplicity, versatility, high velocity, specific sensitivity and simple sample preparation. Thus, TLC is a convenient method of determining the quality and possible adulteration of herbal products.

#### Three-Dimension of High Performance Liquid Chromatography (3D-HPLC)

The most remarkable advances in chromatography have occurred in the domain of HPLC. despite the fact that the technique itself has only been in existence for about 40 years. The year 1967 was a landmark in the introduction of HPLC, with papers from Horvath, Huber and Scott, but the first automatic liquid chromatograph with gradient elution was an amino acid analyser described by Moore and co-workers in 1958 [88]. Until the advent of HPLC, most phytochemical separations were performed by open-column, paper or thin-layer chromatography. Open-column chromatography was time consuming and tedious, often requiring a large amount of sample. With paper chromatography and TLC, very small samples could be analysed and the resolution and reproducibility improved. However, quantitation was still inadequate and resolution of similar compounds was difficult. Gas chromatography provided excellent resolution but restriction to volatile samples (less than 20% of organic compounds can be separated by gas chromatography) and derivatization was often necessary. A technique was needed which could separate water-soluble, thermallylabile, nonvolatile compounds with speed, precision and high resolution. HPLC fulfilled these criteria and is now one of the most powerful tools in analytical chemistry, with the ability to separate, identify and quantitate the compounds present in any sample that can be dissolved in a liquid. The viscosity of liquids is higher than that of gases by a factor of 100 - hence the need for pressure in the columns and the original name "high-pressure liquid chromatography". But "pressure" was replaced by "performance" as particles got smaller and columns became shorter. The wide variety of stationary and mobile phases should give a large potential for finding suitable separation conditions. However, at first, only relatively large particles were available. The introduction of small porous silica particles with a diameter of approximately 10 µM radically changed the situation, as did the production of chemically-bonded phases, notably the reversed-phase (RP) octadecyl (RP-18) and octyl (RP-8) materials. Standardization of silica surfaces by defined hydroxylation, application of very pure silica, improvement in bonding and endapping procedures now gives very versatile reversed-phase systems, with high selectivity, high separation power, robustness, high stability and efficiency. Reviews on equipment and instrumentation are published periodically in journals such as Analytical Chemistry - for example, by La Course [89]. High-performance liquid chromatography has become by far the most widely used chromatographic technique. In fact, the liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry and thermal analysis segments account for USD 4.4 billion of the global USD 20 billion analytical instrumentation market.

Three-dimensional of HPLC, the Optical density (mAU) and absorbance of the eluant from the HPLC columns were collected three-dimensionally: the retention time along the x-axis, the absorbance or Optical density (mAU) along the y-axis, and the wavelength along the z-axis. That is, the photometric data were collected in optional time intervals between optional wavelengths on a computer, which was connected to the spectrophotometers with a communication interface. After the analysis, the filed data could be presented in three-dimensional (3D) form by a computer program.

#### Analytical HPLC

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has been the biggest revolution in analytical chemistry over the past 40 years [90]. The implications are enormous, with applications of HPLC being found in hundreds of areas, not least of which is phytochemistry. HPLC is also used routinely in phytochemistry to "pilot" the preparative isolation of natural products (optimization of the experimental conditions, checking of the different fractions throughout the separation) and to control the final purity of the isolated compounds. For chemotaxonomic purposes, the botanical relationships between different species can be shown by chromatographic comparison of their chemical composition. Chromatograms, which are used as fingerprints, are compared with authentic samples and known substances to permit identification of drugs and/or search for adulteration. HPLC is thus the best suited technique for an efficient separation of the crude extracts, as shown by Sakakibara *et al.* [91] who claim to have found a method capable of quantifying every polyphenol in vegetables, fruits and teas.

## Multivariate analysis [92]

The subject of multivariate analysis deals with the statistical analysis of the data collected on more than one (response) variable. These variables may be correlated with each other, and their statistical dependence is often taken into account when analyzing such data. In fact, this consideration of statistical dependence makes multivariate analysis somewhat different in approach and considerably more complex than the corresponding univariate analysis, when there is only one response variable under consideration.

Response variables under consideration are often described as random variables and since their dependence is one of the things to be accounted for in the analyses, these response variables are often described by their joint probability distribution. This consideration makes the modeling issue relatively manageable and provides a convenient framework for scientific analysis of the data. Multivariate normal distribution is one of the most frequently made distributional assumptions for the analysis of multivariate data. However, if possible, any such consideration should ideally be dictated by the particular context. Also, in many cases, such as when the data are collected on nominal or ordinal scales, multivariate normality may not be an appropriate or even viable assumption. In the real world, most data collection schemes or designed experiments will result in multivariate data.

In this study, multivariate analysis is based on the statistical principle of multivariate statistics which involves observation and analysis of more than one statistical variable at a time.

#### Factor analysis

Factor analysis is used to uncover the latent structure (dimensions) of a set of variables. It reduces attribute space from a larger number of variables to a smaller number of factors. Factor analysis originated a century ago with Charles Spearman's attempts to show that a wide variety of mental tests could be explained by a single underlying intelligence factor.

Factor analysis is a statistical method used to describe variability among observed variables in terms of a potentially lower number of unobserved variables called factors. In other words, it is possible, for example, that variations in three or four observed variables mainly reflect the variations in a single unobserved variable, or in a reduced number of unobserved variables. Factor analysis searches for such joint variations in response to unobserved latent variables. The observed variables are modeled as linear combinations of the potential factors, plus "error" terms. The information gained about the interdependencies between observed variables can be used later to reduce the set of variables in a dataset. Factor analysis originated in psychometrics, and is used in behavioral sciences, social sciences, marketing, product management, operations research, and other applied sciences that deal with large quantities of data.

Factor analysis is related to principal component analysis (PCA), but the two are not identical. Because PCA performs a variance-maximizing rotation of the variable space, it takes into account all variability in the variables. In contrast, factor analysis estimates how much of the variability is due to common factors ("communality"). The two methods become essentially equivalent if the error terms in the factor analysis model (the variability not explained by common factors, see below) can be assumed to all have the same variance.

## Principle component analysis (PCA)

Principle component analysis [93] is a sophisticated technique widely used for reducing the dimensions of multivariate problems. As a non-parametric method of classification, it makes no assumptions about the underlying statistical data distribution [94-96]. It reduces the dimensionality of the original data set by explaining the correlation amongst a large number of variables in terms of a smaller number of underlying factors (Principal Components or PCs) without losing much information [97,98]. PCA always results in scores plots that provide a visual determination of the similarity among the fingerprints. When a new fingerprint is measured with unexpected features that significantly differ from those of major good fingerprints, it would be excluded from the model and diagnosed different. The principal component line depends upon the scaling of the data, and therefore a transformation procedure of the raw data is important for the improvement of PCA analysis [99-103].

#### **Hierarchical Cluster analysis**

Hierarchical cluster analysis is a multivariate analysis technique that is used to sort samples into groups. This technique comprises an unsupervised classification procedure that involves measuring either the distance or the similarity between the objects to be clustered. It is unsupervised because it does not require previous information in the system under study and, therefore, is an ideal technique when no previous information is at the scientist's disposal. The similarity or dissimilarity between samples (objects) is usually represented in a dendrogramfor ease of interpretation. Each object is similar to the others within a group but different from those in other groups with respect to a predetermined selection criterion [94].

# Correlation

Correlation is a statistical technique that can show whether and how strongly pairs of variables are related or describes the degree of relationship between two variables.

### **Correlation Coefficient**

The main result of a correlation is called the correlation coefficient (r). It ranges from -1.0 to +1.0. The closer r is to +1 or -1, the more closely the two variables are related. If r is close to 0, it means there is no relationship between the variables. If r is positive, it means that as one variable gets larger the other gets larger. If r is negative it means that as one gets larger, the other gets smaller (often called an "inverse" correlation). While correlation coefficients are normally reported as r = (a value between -1 and +1), squaring them makes then easier to understand. The square of the coefficient (or r square) is equal to the percent of the variation in one variable that is related to the variation in the other. After squaring r, ignore the decimal point. An r of .5 means 25% of the variation is related (.5 squared = .25). An r value of .7 means 49% of the variance is related (.7 squared = .49).

### **Biological Activities Evaluation: Safety and Efficacy Evaluation**

## Safety Evaluation

## Cytotoxic activity using Brine shrimp method

Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) has been used as "bench top bioassay" for the discovery bioactive natural products and it is an excellent choice for elementary toxicity investigations of consumer products [104-105]. The brine shrimp lethality assay was proposed by Michale *et al.* [106] and later developed by Vanhaeche *et al.* [107] and Sleet and Brencel [108]. It is based on the ability to kill laboratory-cultured *Artemia* nauplii brine shrimp.

## Brine shrimp (Artemia salina)[109-112]

Brine shrimp is a species of aquatic crustaceans of the genus *Artemia*. First discovered in Lymington, England, in 1755, *A. salina* is found worldwide in inland saltwater lakes, but not in oceans. *A. salina* is a well known genus as one variety (sometimes identified as a new species, *Artemia salina* x nyos, a cultivated subspecies of *A. slina*) is sold as a novelty gift, most often under the marketing name Sea-Monkeys.

#### Life cycle of the Artemia salina [111-113]

Brine shrimp eggs are metabolically inactive and can remain in total stasis for several years while in dry oxygen-free conditions, even at temperatures below freezing. This characteristic is called *cryptobiosis* meaning "hidden life" (also called *diapause*). The eggs of brine shrimp, *Artemia salina*, are readily available at low cost in pet shops as a food for tropical fish, and they remain viable for years in the dry state. Upon being placed in water, the eggs hatch within 48 hours, providing large numbers of larvae (nauplii). The nauplii are less than 0.5 mm in length when they first hatch. Brine shrimp has a biological life cycle of one year, during which it grow to a mature length of around one cm on average. This short life span, along

other characteristics such as its ability to remain dormant for long periods, has made them invaluable in scientific research, including space experiments.

Brine shrimp has been previously utilized in various bioassay systems. It has been analysis of pesticide residues[106, 114 -116], mycotoxins [116-120], stream pollutants [121], anesthetics [122] dinoflagellate toxins [123], morphine-like compounds [124], toxicity of oil dispersants [125], cocarcinogenicity of phorbol asters [126], and toxicants in marine environments [107]. Most workers have made use of the hatched nauplii, although inhibition of hatching of the eggs has also been studied (encased embryos that are metabolically inactive) [127]. In bioactivity for screening natural products, brine shrimp has been used as cytotoxicity screening of various medicinal plant such as South American Solanceae [128], Indian medicinal plants [129], Kenya medicinal plants [130], Brazilian Medicinal Plants [131],and Thai medicinal plants in the family Meliaceae [132]. Therefore, the method is attractive because it is very simple, inexpensive and low toxin amounts are sufficient to perform the test in the tube

The advantages of *A. salina* as the first choice for toxicity studies can be summarized as follows [107].

- The cysts are commercially and readily available so that the tests can be carried out worldwide with the same original material and without any problems of provisioning. moreover, the quantity of cysts required per test is very small so that the price of the biological material is negligible.
- The necessity of year-round maintenance of stock cultures, with all the biological and technical difficulties and the considerable economic repercussions, is completely eliminated.
- Large numbers of test organisms of exactly the same age and physiological condition can be easily obtained to start the tests.

# Mutagenic activity using Ames test

The Ames test is used world-wide as an initial screen to determine the mutagenic potential of new chemicals and drugs. The test is also used for submission of data to regulatory agencies for registration or acceptance of many chemicals, including drugs and biocides. International guidelines have been developed for use by corporations and testing laboratories to ensure uniformity of testing procedures.

The Ames *Salmonella*/microsome mutagenicity assay (Salmonella test; Ames test) is a shortterm bacterial reverse mutation assay specifically designed to detect a wide range of chemical substances that can produce genetic damage that leads to gene mutations. The test employs several histidine dependent Salmonella strains, each carrying different mutations in various genes in the histidine operon. These mutations act as hot spots for mutagens that
cause DNA damage *via* different mechanisms. When the Salmonella tester strains are grown on a minimal media agar plate containing a trace of histidine, only those bacteria that revert to histidine independence (*his*<sup>+</sup>) are able to form colonies. The number of spontaneously induced revertant colonies per plate is relatively constant. However, when a mutagen is added to the plate, the number of revertant colonies per plate is increased, usually in a dose-related manner.

#### Nitrite as converter for Direct-Acting Mutagens

Nitrate and nitrite occur in the diet from numerous different sources [133]. Vegetables are major sources of nitrate; nitrates alone are not toxic, which is converted to nitrite when such foods are stored at room temperature [134]. The salts of nitrate and nitrite were used as a food additive for preserveation due to antimicrobial properties. Particularly inhibition of the grown of *Clostridium botulinum* and their ability give a well color and taste [135-136].

**Sodium nitrite**, with chemical formula NaNO<sub>2</sub>, is used as a color fixative and preservative in meats and fish. When pure, it is a white to slight yellowish crystalline powder. It is very soluble in water and is hygroscopic. It is also slowly oxidized by oxygen in the air to sodium nitrate, NaNO<sub>3</sub>. As a food additive, it serves a dual purpose in the food industry since it both alters the color of preserved fish and meats and also prevents growth of *Clostridium botulinum*, the bacterium which causes botulism. In the European Union it may be used only as a mixture with salt containing at most 0.6% sodium nitrite. While this chemical will prevent the growth of bacteria, it can be toxic in high amounts for animals, including humans. Sodium nitrite's  $LD_{50}$  in rats is 180 mg/kg and its human  $LD_{50}$  is 71 mg/kg.

A principal concern about sodium nitrite is the formation of carcinogenic nitrosamines in meats containing sodium nitrite when meat is charred or overcooked. Such carcinogenic nitrosamines can be formed from the reaction of nitrite with secondary amines under acidic conditions (such as occurs in the human stomach) as well as during the curing process used to preserve meats.

#### Mechanism of action

Carcinogenic nitrosamines are formed when amines that occur naturally in food react with sodium nitrite found in cured meat products.

 $R_2NH$  (amines) + NaNO<sub>2</sub> (sodium nitrite)  $\rightarrow R_2N$ -N=O (nitrosamine)

In the presence of acid (such as in the stomach) or heat (such as via cooking), nitrosamines are converted to diazonium ions.

 $R_2N-N=O$  (nitrosamine) + (acid or heat)  $\rightarrow R-N_2^+$  (diazonium ion)

Certain nitrosamines such as N-nitrosodimethylamine and N-nitrosopyrrolidine form carbocations that react with biological nucleophiles (such as DNA or an enzyme) in the cell.

 $R\text{-}N_2^+$  (diazonium ion)  $\to$   $R^+$  (carbocation) +  $N_2$  (leaving group) + :Nu (biological nucleophiles)  $\to$  R-Nu

If this nucleophilic substitution reaction occurs at a crucial site in a biomolecule, it can disrupt normal cell functioning leading to cancer or cell death.

#### 1-Aminopyrene-Nitrite Mutagenicity Model for Antimutagenicity Study

1-Aminopyrene is a derivative of 1-nitropyrene found in human gastrointestinal tract. Anaerobic bacteria metabolize 1-nitropyrene to 1-aminopyrene. 1-Nitropyrene is generally a product of incomplete combustion and is the predominant nitro-PAHs emitted in diesel engine exhaust, exhaust of kerosene heaters, petroleum gas burners and food products as a result of pyrolysis of fat in meat during barbecuing [137–141].

1-Aminopyrene was known to be non-mutagenic when it was tasted without metabolic activation [140]. Kato *et.al.*, [142] demonstrated that 1-aminopyrene treated with nitrite at pH 3.0 and 37°C showed mutagenicity to *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98 and TA100 without metabolic activation. The results agreed with the work of Kangsadalampai, Butryee and Manonophol [143] which stated that nitrite-treated 1-aminopyrene exhibited stronger mutagenicity than the authentic aminopyrene towards *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98 (frame-shift mutation) and TA100 (base-pair substitution mutation), in the absence of metabolic activation.

#### DNA damage using Comet assay

In the last two decades, the search for new methodologies which are able to assess DNA damage has been developed. Rydberg and Johanson [144] were the first to directly quantitate DNA damage in individual cells by lysing and embedding them in agarose on slides under mild alkali conditions to allow the partial unwinding of DNA. After neutralization, cells were stained with acridine orange and the extent of DNA damage quantitated by measuring the ratio of green (indicating double-stranded DNA) to red (indicating single-stranded DNA) fluorescence using a photometer. To improve the sensitivity for detecting DNA damage in isolated cells, Ostling and Johanson [145] developed a microgel electrophoresis technique, commonly known as the Comet assay. In this technique cells embedded in agarose gel were placed on a microscope slide, the cells lysed by detergents and high salt treatment and the liberated DNA electrophoresed under neutral conditions (pH of 9.5); the DNA then stained with a fluorescent dye (ethidium bromide), resembled a comet with head and tail. However this technique permits the detection of double-stranded DNA breaks only and the presence of RNA can lead to potential artifacts [146–148].

Two versions of the Comet assay are currently in use, one introduced by Singh *et al.* [149], who used alkaline electrophoresis (pH.13) to analyze DNA damage after treatment with X-rays or HO, which is capable of detecting DNA single-strand breaks and alkali labile sites in individual's cells. This version is known as the "single cell gel electrophoresis (SCGE)

technique", although for historical reasons, many investigators refer to this method as the "Comet assay". Subsequently, Olive and co-workers developed versions of the neutral technique of Ostling and Johanson, which involved lysis in alkali treatment followed by electrophoresis at either neutral [150] or mild alkaline (pH 12.3) conditions [151] to detect single strand breaks.

The Singh and Olive methods are identical in principle and similar in practice, but the Singh method appears to be at least one- or two-orders of magnitude more sensitive [152,153].

In the Singh version of the assay, a single cell suspension of the mammalian cell culture or tissue under study is embedded in low-melting-point agarose in an agar gel sandwich on a microscope slide, lysed by detergents and high salt concentration at pH 10 and then electrophoresed for a short time under alkaline conditions. Lysis removes the cell contents except for the nuclear material. DNA remains highly supercoiled in the presence of a small amount of non-histone protein but when placed in alkali, it starts to unwind from sites of strand breakage. Cells with increased DNA damage display increased migration of the DNA from the nucleus towards the anode under an electrical current, giving the appearance of a "comet tail".

About the sensitivity of the (SCGE) Comet assay, McKelvey-Martin *et al.* [154] and Collins *et al.* [155] reported that the assay resolves break frequencies up to a few hundred per cell, definitely well beyond the range of fragment size for which conventional electrophoresis is suitable.

Depending on pH conditions for lysis and electro phoresis, the sensitivity of the technique can change. Employing neutral conditions for both variables, allows to detect DNA double strand breaks; but the pH 12.3 detects single strand breaks and delay DNA repair sites, while at pH 13 the sensitivity allows to evaluate alkali labile sites, single strand breaks and delay repair sites of DNA.

# Efficacy evaluation

# Antipyretic activity

#### Fever

Fever is a non–specific clinical manifestation associated with various pathophysiological conditions mediated by endogenously produced prostaglandin and cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF $\alpha$ ), interleukin-1 (IL – 1) and interleukin –6 (IL – 6). These cytokines IL-1, IL – 6 and TNF $\alpha$  induce increase in body temperature *via* direct and indirect actions on the brain and are believed to act as endogenous pyrogens. They act at the level of organum vasculosum of the lamino terminalis of the central nervous system inducing the synthesis of prostaglandins which are central mediators in the coordinated response leading to fever.

#### Pathology of fever

Many of meditors underlying pyrexia have been described in recent years. The critical "endogenous pyrogens" involved in producing a highly regulated inflammatory response to tissue injury and infections are polypeptide cytokines. Pyrogenic cytokines, such as interleukin- $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), tumor necrosis factor (TNF- $\alpha$ ), and interleukin-6 (IL-6) are those that act directly on the hypothalamus to effect a fever response. Exogenous pyrogens, such as microbial surface components, evoke pyrexia most commonly through the stimulation of pyrogenic cytokines. The gram-negative bacterial outer membrane lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin), however, is capable of functioning at the level of the hypothalamus, in much the same way as IL-1 $\beta$ . These signals trigger the release of other mediators most notably prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> (PGE<sub>2</sub>), in the region of the POAH. PGE<sub>2</sub> is believed to be the proximal mediator of the febrile response. Preoptic neurons bearing E-prostanoid receptors alter their intrinsic firing rate in response to PGE<sub>2</sub>, evoking an elevation in the thermoregulatory set point. There are four known cellular receptors for PGE<sub>2</sub>: EP<sub>1</sub> through EP<sub>4</sub>. The particular receptor subtype involved in pyrogenesis is unknown. Although mice lacking the neuronal PGE<sub>2</sub> receptor subtype EP<sub>3</sub> demonstrate an impaired febrile response to both exogenous (endotoxin) and endogenous pyrogens, studies in rats appear to implicate the EP<sub>4</sub> receptor. The intracellular events triggering pyrexia after PGE<sub>2</sub>-EP receptor coupling among species are unclear. Fever is tightly regulated by immune response. Inflammatory stimuli triggering the generation of propyretic messages provoke the release of endogenous antipyretic substances, Substances such as arginine vasopressin (AVP), amelanocyte stimulalting hormone, and glucocorticoids act both centrally and peripherally to limit pyrexia. The cytokine interlukin-10 (IL-10) has numerous anti-imflammatory properities, including fever suppression, In addition, a class of liquid compounds known as epoxyeicosanoids generated by certain cytochrome P-450 enzymes plays an important role in limiting the fever and inflammation. Analogous to a biochemical feedback pathway, fever itself appears capable of countering the release of pyrofenic cytokines. For example, febrile temperatures augment early TNF release in endotoxin-challenged mice, yet limit its prolonged (and perhaps detrimental) expression after either lipopolysaccharide injection or bacterial infection [156].

# Pain

The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) has been defined pain as "an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage or both" as defined by cause by noxious stimuli including thermal, chemical or mechanical. Pain is both a sensation (conscious awareness of a noxious stimulus) and an emotional experience (intense feeling of displeasure resulting in a pattern of reactive behavior).

Pain can be classified in several different ways. Anatomic (somatic or visceral pain) and temporal (acute or chronic pain) classification schemes have some clinical utility, but do not suggest appropriate analgesic therapy. Mechanistically, pain can also be classified as either

inflammatory or neuropathic. As the names suggest, inflammatory pain is associated with tissue trauma and inflammation, while neuropathic pain is associated with nerve injury. Both types of pain can occur as a result of surgical trauma, but inflammatory pain is by far the most common type of pain and its physiology is better understood [157].

#### Physiologic pathways

Specialized receptors provide information to the central nervous system (CNS) about the state of the environment in the vicinity of the organism. Each receptor is specialized to detect a particular type of stimulus (e.g. touch, temperature, pain, etc.). Those receptors in the skin and other tissues that sense pain are free nerve endings, while those for temperature detection can be free nerve endings, bulbs of Krouse or Ruffinigs corpuscles. Receptors are distributed with varying densities in different tissues. Pain receptors may be stimulated by mechanical damage, extremes of temperature, or by irritating chemical substances. While certain pain receptors are responsive to only one of the above stimuli, most can be stimulated by two or more. When the pain receptors in peripheral tissues (such as skin) are stimulated, the nociceptive (pain) impulses are transmitted to the CNS by two distinct types of neurons the A-delta and C nerve fibers. The A-delta fibers are large-diameter, fast conducting myelinated fibers, which transmit first pain-sharp, prickling and injurious. The C fibers are small-diameter, slower conducting unmyelinated fibers that are responsible for second pain-dull, aching and visceral type.

The primary afferent sensory neurons from the periphery then enter the spinal cord and synapse with neurons in the dorsal horn. The second-order neurons, arising from the dorsal horn, have long axons that decussate in the anterior commissure and travel cephalad in the contralateral anterolateral pathway (also known as spinothalamic tract). Some of the long axons that synapsed with type C neurons do not decussate, but pass cranially in the ipsilateral anterolateral spinal pathway. The anterolateral spinal pathway fibers terminate in the thalamus, from which neuronal relays are sent to other CNS centers and the sensory cortex. These higher centers are responsible for the perception of pain and the emotional components that accompany it.

#### Free radical scavenging activity

Oxidation is one of the most important processes, which produce free radicals in food, chemicals, and even in living systems. Free radicals have an important role in processes of food spoilage, chemical materials degradation, and also contribute to more than one hundred disorders in humans [158-163].

Antioxidants are defined as substances that even at low concentration significantly delay or prevent oxidation of easy oxidizable substrates [164]. The applications of antioxidants are industrially widespread in order to prevent polymers oxidative degradation, autooxidation of

fats, synthetic and natural pigments discoloration, etc. There is an increased interest of using antioxidants for medical purposes in the recent years [165-167].

The importance of oxidation in the body and in food stuffs has been widely recognized. Oxidative metabolism is essential for the survival of cells. A side effect of this dependence is the production of free radicals and other reactive oxygen species that cause oxidative changes. There is increasing evidence for the involvement of such species in a variety of normal *in vivo* regulatory systems [168] When an excess of free radicals is formed, they can overwhelm protective enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, catalase and peroxidase and cause destructive and lethal cellular effects (e.g., apoptosis) by oxidizing membrane lipids, cellular proteins, DNA and enzymes, thus shutting down cellular respiration. Furthermore, reactive oxygen species seem to influence cell signaling pathways in ways that are only now being unraveled [169, 170] Oxidation can also affect foods, where it is one of the major causes of chemical spoilage [171], resulting in rancidity and/or deterioration of the nutritional quality, colour, flavor, texture and safety of foods [172]. It is estimated that half of the world's fruit and vegetable crops are lost [173] due to postharvest deteriorative reactions. Defense mechanisms against the effects of excessive oxidations are provided by the action of various antioxidants and the need to measure antioxidant activity is well documented.

# Antioxidant

An antioxidant may be defined [174] as 'any substance that when present at low concentrations, compared with those of the oxidizable substrate significantly delays or inhibits oxidation of that substrate'. For convenience, antioxidants have been traditionally divided into two classes, primary or chainbreaking antioxidants and secondary or preventative antioxidants [175]. Secondary or preventative antioxidants are compounds that retard the rate of oxidation. This may be achieved in a number of ways including removal of substrate or singlet oxygen quenching [176]. Primary antioxidants, AH, when present in trace amounts, may either delay or inhibit the initiation step by reacting with a lipid radical or inhibit the propagation step by reacting with peroxyl or alkoxyl radicals [175]:

The antioxidant free radical may further interfere with chain propagation reactions by forming peroxy antioxidant compounds:

$$A^{\bullet} + LOO^{\bullet} \rightarrow LOOA$$
$$A^{\bullet} + LO^{\bullet} \rightarrow LOA$$

The activation energy of the above reactions [172] increases with increasing A–H and L–H bond dissociation energy. Therefore, the efficiency of the antioxidant increases with decreasing A–H bond strength. Chain-breaking antioxidants may occur naturally or they may be produced synthetically as in the case of Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), Butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), *tert*-Butylhydroquinone (TBHQ) and the gallates. The synthetic antioxidants are widely used in the food industry [177] and are included in the human diet [178]. The use of naturally occurring antioxidants [179] has been promoted because of concerns regarding the safety of synthetic antioxidants, [180, 181] with natural alternatives (*e.g.*, plant biophenols) possessing antioxidant activity similar to or even higher than that of synthetic antioxidants [165, 182].

Several methods are used for the estimation of efficiency of synthetic/natural antioxidants, like the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay [183],  $\beta$ - carotene/linoleic acid assay [184, 185], Rancimat method [186, 187], inhibition of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation [16], DPPH assay [185, 186] etc. This method diversity is due to the complexity of the analyzed substrates, often a mixtures of dozens of compounds with different functional groups, polarity, and chemical behavior.

In this paper the attention is focused on the DPPH assay, which is one of the best-known, frequently employed, and accurate methods. DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) is a stable free radical because of its spare electron delocalization over the whole molecule. The delocalization causes a deep violet color with  $\lambda$ max around 520 nm. When a solution of DPPH is mixed with a substrate acting as a hydrogen atom donor, a stable nonradical form of DPPH is obtained with simultaneous change of the violet color to pale yellow [188].

# Cell Proliferation (MTT Assay)

The MTT assay is based on the reduction of the soluble yellow MTT tetrazolium salt to a blue insoluble MTT formazan product by mitochondrial succinic dehydrogenase [189]. Since its development, this assay has been modified by various investigators [190-192] and has been used primarily with tumour cells and, to a lesser extent, with fibroblast cell lines, to evaluate the cytotoxicities of chemotherapeutic agents [190, 193]. The MTT assay has also been adapted for detecting lymphotoxins [194] and for measuring cell activation [195] and radiation effects [196].

The MTT assay is a semi-automated assay based on cleavage of the tetrazolium salt MTT [3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide] into a colored product formazan by the mitochondrial enzyme succinate dehydrogenase [197]. Since this conversion can only be accomplished by viable cells, the amount of formazan produced is a direct measure of viable cells. The original protocol of Mosmann [197] was established in adherent cells where complete removal of medium ensured absence of phenol red, an indicator in culture media known to cause high background absorbances [198]. In case of non adherent cells such as Leishmania parasites, the incomplete removal of culture medium results in a high background absorbance.

#### Fibroblast cell [199]

Dense connective tissue, in particular, is used to form ligaments and tendons. Ligaments are rope-like tissue bundles that attach bones to each other and are found at the joints. Tendons attach bones to the surrounding skeletal muscle tissue. Dense connective tissue also makes up the dermis. The tissue matrix is made almost entirely of collagen, which is the most abundant protein in mammals. Interspersed between the collagen fibers of dense connective tissue, are fibroblasts, which produce a collagen subunit, tropocollagen, which is used to construct larger collagenous aggregates.

Fibroblast, therefore, is the principal active cells of connective tissue. Fibroblasts are large, flat, elongated (spindle-shaped) cells possessing processes extending out from the ends of the cell body. The cell nucleus is flat and oval. Fibroblasts produce tropocollagen, which is the forerunner of collagen, and ground substance, an amorphous gel-like matrix that fills the spaces between cells and fibres in connective tissue. Fibroblasts appear to play an important role in wound healing, and this activity is thought to be regulated by cells known as fibrocytes residing in the tissue stroma. Following tissue injury, fibroblasts migrate to the site of damage, where they deposit new collagen and facilitate the healing process. Additionally, fibroblast cells are large and flat, with elongated processes protruding from the body of each cell, creating the spindle-like appearance of the cell. the nucleus in the body of the cell, is oval.

# **Connective Tissue**

Connective tissue is one of four main types of tissue in the body. The others are epithelial, muscle, and nervous tissue. Connective tissue is a fibrous tissue made largely of collagen, the most abundant protein in mammals. There are many kinds of connective tissue. These include, loose, dense, elastic, reticular, and adipose connective tissue. In addition, there are embryonic connective tissues, as well as specialized connective tissues, which include bone, cartilage, and blood.

#### Origin:

Fibroblasts are derived from primitive mesenchyme, like all connective tissue cells. Their ability to express filament protein vimentin alludes to their mesodermal origin.



Day 5 Day 7

Figure 2 Characteristic of fibroblast cell in different time.

According to the generally view as mentions before, MTT is cleaved in intact mitochondria of living cells to formazan by the "succinate-tetrazolium reductase" system of the respiratory chain activity [200]. Under this assumption, the formazan produced by cellular suspensions directly correlates with the number of metabolically active living cells, and the colorimetric assay for MTT is used as an assay for cell proliferation. Indirectly, cell death can just as well be quantified by the MTT assay, by determining the percentage of viable cells [201]. Therefore in this study, fibroblast cell was selected as a target through the experiments.

# Nitric oxide (Griess reagent assay)

Free radical oxidative stress, usually resulting from deficient natural anti-oxidant defenses [202], has been implicated in the pathogenesis of a wide variety of clinical disorders, such as the degenerative diseases [203], aging [204] and the progressive decline in the immune functions [205]. Nitric oxide (NO) is one of the reactive oxygen species (ROS), and plays an important role in diverse physiological processes, including vasodilatation, neurotransmission and immune responses [206]. The pathological roles of NO have been implicated in a wide range of inflammatory diseases, such as sepsis, arthritis, multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus [207]. Therefore, the supernatant from fibroblast cell after treated with differences concentration of samples as represented the inhibited of NO production were collected and investigated using Griess reagent assay.

Due to nitric oxide (NO) is an important physiological messenger and effector molecule in many biological systems, including immunological, neuronal and cardiovascular tissues [208, 209]. Due to its involvement in these diverse systems, interest in measuring NO in biological tissues and fluids remains strong.

One means to investigate nitric oxide formation is to measure nitrite  $(NO_2^{-})$ , which is one of two primary, stable and nonvolatile breakdown products of NO. This assay relies on a diazotization reaction that was originally described by Griess in 1879 [210]. Through the years, many modifications to the original reaction have been described.

The Griess Reagent System is based on the chemical reaction shown in Figure 3, which uses sulfanilamide and N-1-napthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride (NED) under acidic (phosphoric acid) conditions. This system detects  $NO_2^-$  in a variety of biological and experimental liquid matrices such as plasma, serum, urine and tissue culture medium. The nitrite sensitivity depends on the matrix.



Figure 3 Chemical reactions involved in the measurement of  $NO_2^-$  using the Griess Reagent System.

# CHAPTER III

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant materials**

Five root species were collected from 14 different places throughout Thailand as described follows. All set of crude drugs were authenticated by Ruangrungsi N. and identified by comparison with the herbarium at Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment, Bangkok. Voucher specimens are deposited at College of Public Health Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand. Each authentic sample species were air dried and ground to coarse powders.

# Capparis micracantha DC. Root (CAPPARIDACEAE)

**Collected place:**Chiang mai, Lopburi (Lumnarai), NongKhai (Sea-ka), Lampang, Petchabun, Rayong, Nakhornnayok, Nongkhai (Sriwilai), Lopburi (Muang), Uthai thani, Nan, Yasothon, Kanchanaburi, Kalasil.

#### Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore Root (VERBENCEAE)

**Collected place:**Chiang mai, Lopburi (Lumnarai), NongKhai (Sea-ka), Lumpang, Petchabun, Rayong, Nakhornnayok, NongKhai (Sriwilai), Lopburi (Muang), Authaitanee, Nan, Yasothon, Kalasil, Phuket.

# Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. Root (SIMAROUBACEAE)

**Collected place:**Chiang mai, Lobburi (Lumnarai), NongKhai (Sea-ka), Lumpang, Petchabun, Rayong, Nakhornnayok, NongKhai (Sriwilai), Lopburi (Muang), Uthai thani, Nan, Yasothon, Kalasil, Kanchanaburi.

# Ficus racemosa L. Root (MORACEAE)

**Collected place:**Chiang mai, Lopburi (Lumnarai), NongKhai (Sea-ka), Lumpang, Petchabun, Rayong, NongKhai (Sriwilai), Lopburi (Muang), Uthai thani, Nan, Yasothon, Kalasil, Kanchanaburi, Songkla.

#### Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels Root (MENISPERMACEAE)

**Collected place:**Chiang mai, Lopburi (Lumnarai), NongKhai (Sea-ka), Lumpang, Petchabun, Rayong, Nakhornnayok, NongKhai (Sriwilai), Lopburi (Muang), Uthai thani, Nan, Yasothon, Kalasil, Lopburi (Tha voung).

# Plant extraction

After the authenticated five root species were sliced into small pieces and shade dried, all dried crude materials were pulverized to a course powdered by Universal Cutting Mill.

#### Ethanol (EtOH) extracts

Each coarsely powdered of five roots species was macerated extract in ethanol for 24 h, filtered through filter paper no.1 with Buchner funnel. The ethanol extracts were evaporated to

dryness by using Buchi rotary evaporator under vacuum (*in vacuo*) and the recovered ethanol was again poured into the macerated powdered, filtered and concentrated. The entire protocol was repeated until exhausted. The pooled dried residue was weighed and stored at - 20° C.

#### Water extracts

The marc of each root species coarsely powdered after successively extracted with ethanol was dried in a laboratory dryer until dryness, then macerated gently with boil distilled water for 1 h and allow to standing for 24 h at room temperature, filtered through filter paper no.1 with Buchner funnel. The whole process was repeated until exhausted. The pooled water extract was lyophilized to dryness, weighed and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$  C.

# **BLW Remedy preparation**

The remedy extract was prepared by mixing each extract in according to their yields as to make a mixture of powder of component herbs in equal proportions by weight.

#### Parameters Standardization [11, 211-214]

### Macroscopic and Microscopic examinations

The organoleptic characteristics so much so the macroscopic identify of medicinal plant materials is based on the shape, size, colour, surface characteristics, texture, fracture and appearance of the cut surface and other visual inspection. Visual inspection provides the simplest and quickest means by which to establish identity, purity and, possibly, quality. Also, these features are useful in judging the material in its entirety. No preliminary treatment is necessary for evaluating the sample in this manner excepting the softening and stretching of the wrinkled etc.

Microscopical techniques provide detail information about the crude drugs (broken or powdered materials) by virtue of its two main analytical uses. Firstly, its property to magnify permits the fine structures of minute objects to be visualized and thereby confirm the structural details of the plant drugs under evaluations. Secondly, these techniques can be used in the determination of the optical as well as micro-chemical properties of the crude drug specimen under study. Microscopical observations are based on optical phenomena, which are governed by the optical system of the microscope and the nature of light passing through it. Microscopically inspection of crude drugs from plant origin is essential for the identification of the grounded of powdered materials.

Perform microscopic inspection as described: powder material, sift through a 250 micron sieve then inspect under microscope with a magnification of 4x, 10x, 20x and 40x compared the scale with the 0.01 mm micrometer.

#### Determination of total ash

Place about 3.0 g of the ground air-dried sample, accurately weighed, in a previously ignited and tared crucible. Spread the sample in an even layer and ignite it by gradually increasing

the heat to 500 - 600 °C until it is white, indicating the absence of carbon. Cool in a desiccator and weigh without delay.

#### Determination of acid-insoluble ash

To the crucible containing the total ash, add 25.0 ml of hydrochloric acid (70g/l), cover with a watch-glass and boil gently for 5 minutes. Rinse the watch-glass with 5 ml of hot water and add this liquid to the crucible. Collect the insoluble matter on an ashless filter-paper and wash with hot water until the filtrate is neutral. Transfer the filter-paper containing the insoluble matter to the original crucible, dry on a hot plate and ignite to constant weight. Allow the residue to cool in a desiccators and weigh without delay.

#### Determination of loss on drying

Loss on drying is the loss of mass expressed as per cent w/w. To estimate the loss on drying 3.0 g of the air dried crude drug of the prescribed quantity of the material as specified for that specific substance is accurately weighed in a dried and tared flat weighing bottle. The substance is to be constant mass or for the prescribed time as specified, following the procedure as mentioned below.

Weigh 3.0 g of the ground sample in a tared small beaker and dry with heat (105<sup>o</sup> C in an oven) to constantly weight.

# Determination of solvent extractive values

#### Determination of ethanol-soluble extractive

Macerate 5.0 g of the ground sample with 100.0 ml of absolute ethanol in a closed conical flask for 6 hours in shaking bath then stand for 18 hours. Filter rapidly to avoid loss of ethanol, evaporate 20.0 ml of the filtrate to dryness in a tared small beaker and dry with heat to constantly weight.

#### Determination of water-soluble extractive

Macerate 5.0 g of the ground sample with 100.0 ml of distilled water in a closed conical flask for 6 hours in shaking bath then stand for 18 hours. Filter rapidly to avoid loss of water, evaporate 20.0 ml of the filtrate to dryness in a tared small beaker and dry with heat to constantly weight.

#### Determination of water content

Weight 50.0 g of the ground sample, add 200.0 ml of water-saturated toluene and distill by azeotropic distillation. As soon as water is completely distilled, rinse the inside of the condenser tube with toluene and continue the distillation for 5 more minutes. Allow the receiving tube to cool to room temperature. Allow the water and toluene layers to separate and read off the volume of water.

#### Thin-layer chromatographic identification

Extract 1 g of the ground sample with 20 ml of methanol, filter and evaporate to dryness. Dissolve the residue in 0.5 ml of methanol then apply 10  $\mu$ l to the thin-layer plastic plate coat with siliga gel 60 F 254 (0.25 mm thickness, 20 cm x 20 cm). Develop the chromatogram in the chamber with the specified solvent. Remove the plate, allow it to dry in air and observe the produced spots in daylight, under short-wave and long-wave ultraviolet light. Spray the spots with the specified reagents such as anisaldehyde, vanillin-sulfuric acid, Ehrlich's reagent etc.

# Three-dimensional (3-D) HPLC analysis

Ten milligrams of each root species and BLW remedy extracts were dissolved in 1 ml of HPLC grade methanol then filtered through a  $0.45 \mu m$  membrane filter. The filtrate was transfer into the HPLC tube and submitted to the 3D-HPLC analyses.

The 3-D HPLC profile of each root species and BLW remedy extract were obtained by Ito *et al.* [215] using Agilent 1100 3D-HPLC system by Agilent Technologies, Tokyo, Japan equipped with a photodiode-array detector by using a column 4.6 x 250 mm TSK gel ODS-80Ts (Tosoh Corp. Tokyo, Japan) and kept at 40° C. The elution of mobile phase was performed by 10 mM phosphoric acid-acetonitrile linear gradient (95:5-5:95) by 60 min at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The UV spectrum used was monitored by a range of 200-400 nm. All the measurements were done in triplicate.

Assignments of all major peaks were supposed by comparing the UV spectrum patterns of each peak with the data registered in The Dictionary of natural products Program (DNP 19.1) provided by Tayle & Francis Group.

## Data extraction and processing for multivariate analysis

The data of area under curve from each chromatorgraphic peaks between 0 – 60 min and the retention time in each sample (n=12, triplicate) were register and extract as raw data for multivariate data analysis. Hierarchical clustering analysis HCA) and principle component analysis (PCA) were performed using Multivariate analysis software at Kitasato Institute for Life Sciences and Infection Control Sciences, Kitasato University, Tokyo, Japan. A cluster method called average linkage between groups was applied and simple correlation was selected as measurement.

#### **Biological Activities Evaluation: Safety and Efficacy Evaluations**

#### Safety Evaluation

# Cytotoxic activity (Brine shrimp method) [216-221]

Brine shrimp has been used as "benchtop bioassay" for the discovery bioactive natural products. It is an excellent choice for elementary toxicity investigations of consumer products and based on the ability to kill laboratory-cultured *Artemia* nauplii brine shrimp.

The bioactivity screening of the extracts was investigated by the brine shrimp lethality test by Meyer B.N. *et al.* with some modifications. Briefly, Brine shrimp eggs were hatched in shallow rectangular dish filled with artificial sea water. A plastic divider with several 2 mm holes was clamped in the dish to make two equal compartments. The eggs were sprinkled into the dark compartment, while another compartment was illuminated. After 24 hours the phototropic nauplii were collected by pasteur pipette from light side. Ten brine shrimp (48 hours age) were transferred to each vial, and artificial sea water was added to make 5 ml the vials were maintained under illumination. Apply the different concentrations of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts in methanol and control (methanol only) into 0.5x2 cm filter paper, air dry place in vial that fill with artificial sea. Five replicated were done for each dose level. The survivors were counted after 6 and 24 hours and the concentrations that kill 50% of the brine shrimps (LC<sub>50</sub>) or the Medium Lethal Concentrations (LC<sub>50</sub>) were determined.

#### Mutagenic and antimutagenic testing [222-225]

The *Salmonella* mutagenicity test, or bacterial reverse mutation assay, is also commonly known as the Ames test. It was developed by Dr. Bruce Ames, the recipient of 2001 LPI Prize for Health Research and his colleagues in UC Berkeley in the 70's. The principle of the test is to expose histidine-dependent *Salmonella typhimurium* strains (the tester strains, which have artificially induced point mutations; base-pair substitution (T98), frameshift mutation (T100)) to a compound to be examined in a histidine (His) deficient medium. His-independent bacterial colonies may arise from spontaneous reversions (backward mutations) or chemically induced reversions. The mutagenicity of a chemical can be assessed by comparing the control with the treated bacterial culture. Conversely, the antimutagenicity of a compound to a selected positive mutagen can be investigated when the two chemicals are co-administered to the bacteria.

# Mutagenic and Anti-mutagenic activity by Ames test

The ethanol extracts of each species and the remedy extract were diluted in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) whereas the water extracts were diluted in sterile distilled water at the adequate doses. All aliquots were filtered through 0.45 µm sterile membrane filter discs. Ames tests were performed on *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98 for frame-shift mutation and TA100 for base-pair substitution mutation in an acidic condition (pH 3 - 3.5) without metabolic activation. Both direct and in-direct mutagenicity were assayed in the condition without and

with sodium nitrite. The anti-mutagenicity against standard mutagen (nitrite treated 1aminopyrine) was also investigated. Each assays was investigated in triplicate.

#### Nitrite treatment

An aliquot of ethanol and water extracts of each species and BLW remedy in responding to 5, 10, 20, 40 mg/ml were performed into a sterile test tube. The volume was adjusted to 200  $\mu$ l with DMSO or sterile distilled water. Two-hundred fifty  $\mu$ l of 2M sodium nitrite and 550  $\mu$ l of 0.25 N hydrochloric acid were added respectively for acidify the reaction mixture to pH 3-3.5 [226-227]. The mixtures were incubated at 37°C in shaking water bath for 4 hr then placed for 1 min into the ice bath to stop the reaction and 250  $\mu$ l of 2 M ammonium sulfamate was added. All tubes were allowed to standing 10 min in the ice bath again.

#### Ames mutagenicity assay

S. *typhimurium* (His<sup>-</sup>) strains TA98 and TA100 were grown in nutrient broth (NB) liquid medium for 16 h at 37°C in agitation (90 rpm). One-hundred  $\mu$ l of untreated or nitrite treated mixture was transferred into sterile test tubes and mixed with 500  $\mu$ l of 0.5 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), followed by 100  $\mu$ l of TA98 or TA100 strains suspension. The final volume was 700  $\mu$ l. The mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Next, 2 ml of top agar, which consisted of 0.5 mM L-histidine and 0.5 mM D-biotin at 45°C was added to the mixture and poured onto a minimal glucose agar plate. The plates were incubated at 37 °C in darkness for 48 h and the numbers of his+ revertant colonies were manually counted.

1-Aminopyrine (1-AP) treated with nitrite in acid solution at 0.06 and 0.12  $\mu$ g/plate on strains TA98 and TA100 was used as positive mutagenic respectively. Dimethyl sulfoxide or sterile distilled water was used as a spontaneous reversion.

The results data were assessed by mean and standard deviation of histidine (His<sup>+</sup>) reverstants per plate. The mutagenic index (MI) was also calculated for each concentration. MI is the average number of revertants per plate divided by the average number of the spontaneous revertants per pate. The mutagenic effect of each sample was pronounced if the number of His<sup>+</sup> revertants per plate was higher than twice of spontaneous revertants (MI > 2) with a concentration-response relationship was shown [228].

# Anti-mutagenicity with modification by nitrite treated 1-aminopyrene

One-hundred  $\mu$ I of *S. typhimurium* strain suspension was added into the sterile test tube containing 500  $\mu$ I 0.5 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 0.15  $\mu$ g of nitrite-treated 1-AP and 5, 10 and 15 mg/ml of each sample solutions. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) or sterile distilled water was added to adjust the final volume to 700  $\mu$ I. Subsequently, the mixtures followed the protocol as described in *Ames mutagenic assay.* The percent modification was calculated by the following formula:

% Inhibition = [(A – B) / (A – C)] x 100

Where A is the number of histidine revertants per plate induced by nitrite treated 1-AP, B is the number of histidine revertants per plate induced by nitrite treated 1-AP in the presence of extract and C is the number of spontaneous histidine revertants per plate. The percentage of inhibition was classified as strong (higher than 60%), moderate (60-41%), weak (40-21%) and negligible (20-0%) [229].

## In vitro DNA damage: Comet assay [155, 230-232]

The comet assay (also known as the single cell gel electrophoresis or SCGE) is one of the very widely used assays to microscopically detect DNA damage at the level of a single cell. It is attractive for many reasons. A part from the appeal of the images it produces, it is a quick, simple, sensitive, reliable and fairly inexpensive way of measuring DNA damage. The determination of damage is carried out either through visual scoring of cells (after classification into different categories on the basis of tail length and shape). The assay works upon the principle that strand breakage of the supercoiled duplex DNA leads to the reduction of the size of the large molecule and these strands can be stretched out by electrophoresis. Also, under highly alkaline conditions there is denaturation, unwinding of the duplex DNA and ecpression of alkali labile sites as single strand breaks. Comets form as the broken ends of the negatively charges DNA molecule become free to migrate in the electric field towards the anode. Two principles in the formation of the comet are:

1. DNA migration is function of both size and the number of broken ends of the DNA.

2. Tail length increases with damage initially and then reaches a maximum that is dependent on the electrophoretic conditions, not the size of fragments.

*Isolation of lymphocytes:* Whole peripheral blood samples were collected by venepuncture from healthy volunteers. Blood samples were aseptically collected in heparinized sterile tubes. Lymphocytes were isolated using Ficoll–histopaque. Blood was diluted 1:1 with PBS and layered onto histopaque with the ratio of blood and Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS):histopaque maintained at 4:3. The blood was centrifuged at 1340 rpm for 35 min at room temperature. The lymphocyte layer was removed and washed twice in PBS at 1200 rpm for 10min each, and then washed with RPMI-1640 media. The number of lymphocytes was counted using a haemocytometer and the viability of the cells was assayed by the trypan blue exclusion test. Approximately 1 x  $10^6$  cells were present in 1.0 ml lymphocyte suspension.

The lymphocytes contained in the cryovials were quickly thawed in a water bath at 37 °C and immediately after added to the treatment. The treatments with the crude drugs: Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts (1, 5, 10  $\mu$ g/ml) were carried out in eppendorfs containing PBS at 37 °C for 1 h. After 1 h treatment, the cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 4000 rpm, washed with PBS and centrifuged again in the same conditions.

The Comet assay was performed as recommended in the international workshop in genotoxicity tests (IWGT) Comet assay guidelines. Briefly, cells were washed in PBS once or twice after treatment and the remaining pellet was embedded in 100  $\mu$ l of 1 % low melting

point agarose (LMP). The agarose and the pellet were quickly mixed, and 100  $\mu$ l were pipetted onto agarose (1% normal melting point) pre-coated slides. Afterwards, the slides were placed on a try on ice for 5 min. A third agarose layer, 100  $\mu$ l of 0.5 % LMP, was added on top of the second layer and left again on ice (5 min) prior to place them in lysing buffer (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 10 mM Trizma base, pH 10) overnight. Next day, they were electrophoresed at 25 V and 300 mA (0.75 V/cm) for 30 min after 30 min unwinding at pH > 13. Slides were neutralized with neutralizing buffer (0.4 M Tris, pH 7.5) 3 times for 5 minutes each and stained with ethidium bromide (20  $\mu$ g/ml). Slides were studied under microscope (Zeiss Axioskop, Germany) attached to a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany) with a final magnification of 400x.

### Arbitary units

The five categories used for comet classification were those proposed by Collins [155], with modification to take account of characteristic of the silver stain. Several pictures of classes 1-3 were included for better classification during the scoring process. The Excel template provided to participation laboratories allowed the use of internal coded in each laboratory, and was designed in order to register: (a) data separately for each gel of the slide. (b) the number of comet classified as 0 -4, and (C) the total number of cell scored. Arbitrary units with possible values from 0 to 400 were programmed into the Excel sheet to be calculated automatically by multiplying the number of observed comets (from 0 to 100) by the comet classification (0-4), and then summing the values obtained in each gel.

# Efficacy evaluation

#### Antipyretic activity [233-236]

Antipyretics (literally "against the fire") are drugs that reduce body temperature in situations such as fever. However, they will not affect the normal body temperature if one does not have a fever. Antipyretics cause the hypothalamus to override an interleukin-induced increase in temperature. The body will then work to lower the temperature and the result is a reduction in fever.

#### Animals

Male albino Wistar rats, 160-180g weight, were housed and maintained at  $22\pm 2^{\circ}$  C with a 12 h light-dark cycle and allowed free access to food and water. The animals were fasted overnight before the experiments. All animal studies were performed in compliance to guidelines on the use of animals in research after approval by the Animal Care and Use Committee (CU-ACUC), Faculty of Pharmaceutical sciences, Chulalongkorn University.

# Lipopolysaccharide-induced fever

Lipopolysacharides:LPS (50 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>) induced fever was administered intra-muscularly to the animals an hour before the administration of test drugs. Rectal temperatures were taken at

hourly intervals for 7 h. Only those animals whose rectal temperatures increased 1°C or above from normal rectal temperature were used for the study.

The Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were administered. Such animals were allocated to groups of six and are treated orally. Control group received 10 ml/kg Tween 80. Aspirin (300 mg/kg orally) was used as reference drug. The rectal temperatures of the rats were recorded at initial, 1 h intervals starting 1 h drug administration and continuing for 7 h after extracts/drug administration.

#### Hot-plate analgesic Testing

The male ICR mice weighing 18-25 were used (n=10 per group). Analgesic testing was determined using the hot-plate method. The surface of the hot-plate (Harvard Apparatus) measuring 28x28 cm was set at  $55\pm$  0.5 °C and was surrounded by a clear Plexiglas wall cylinder, 20 cm in diameter and 30 cm in height to confine the animal to the heated surface during testing, On the day of testing, animals were randomly assigned to one of eight treatment groups and underwent 3 per drug baseline trials on the hot-plate latency time of less than 45 sec were utilized in these studies. Mice were then administered various treatments and retested. Each mice was placed on the hot-plant from an elevation of 5 cm and the latency to the licking of hind paw or vigorous jumping up from the surface of the metal plate was used as the end point and recorded with a stopwatch. If this behavior was not observed within 45 sec the animal was removed from the hot-plate, given a score of 45 for its paw-lick latency and returned to its cage (the maximum time allowed for an animal to remain on the surface of the plate during testing was 45 sec). The average of the last two trials served as the baseline pre drug paw-lick latency.

Immediately, after the third baseline trial on the hot-plate, the drug administration took place with either intraperitoneal (i.p.) 0.9% sodium chloride solution (NSS; 10 ml/kg), morphine sulphate (MO; 10 mg/kg) or oral administration of 2% tween 80 (10 ml/kg), various dosed of CM, CP, HP, FR, TT and BLW remedy (25, 50,100, 200 and 400 mg/kg). All animals were placed on the hot-plate at 15, 30, 45, 60, 92,120 and 240 min after drug administration. The time-course of hot-plate latency were expressed as the mean percent maximum possible effect (%MPE) according to the following formula:

%MPE = {(post drug latency) – (predrug latency)/ (cut-off time) – (predrug latency)} x 100

\* cut-off time for hot-plate test = 45 sec

Thus, ED<sub>50</sub> were computed and dose-and time response curve was generated. Dose effect curves for hot-plate assays were derived by computing the area under the corresponding 0-240 min time-course- %MPE curves; area was calculated using the trapezoidal rule (Tallarida and Murray, 1987).

#### Free radical scavenging activity [237-240]

DPPH (1, 1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) assay method is based on the reaction of methanolic solution of colored free radical DPPH by free radical scavenger. DPPH is a stable free radial with red color (absorbed at 517nm). If free radicals have been scavenged, DPPH will generated it's color to yellow. This assay uses this character to show medicinal plants free radical scavenging activity.

The free radical scavenging activity of each extracts and remedy were analyzed by the DPPH assay as described by Brand-William *et al.* [240] with some modifications. Briefly,  $6x10^{-5}$  M solution of DPPH in methanol was prepared. A 100 µl of DPPH solution was added to 100 µl of crude drugs of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts or positive control (Quercetin and Buthylated Hydroxyl Toluene:BHT) prepared in methanol in different concentrations. The assay was carried out in a 96 well microplate at room temperature for 30 minutes. Each concentration is performed in triplicate. The percentage of radical scavenging activity against DPPH was determined from % decreasing of absorbance at 517 nm. The concentration of the extracts led to 50% inhibition (IC<sub>50</sub>) is determined from the plotted graph of % scavenging activity against the concentration of the extract.

# **Cell Proliferation: MTT assay** (3-(4, 5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide viability assay) [241-242]

The MTT cell proliferation and viability assay is a safe, sensitive, *in vitro* assay for the measurement of cell proliferation or, when metabolic events lead to apoptosis or necrosis, a reduction in cell viability. In addition, this is a colorimetric assay that measures the reduction of yellow 3-(4, 5-dimethythiazol- 2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) by mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase. The MTT enters the cells and passes into the mitochondria where it is reduced to an insoluble, coloured (dark purple) formazan product. The cells are then solubilised with an organic solvent (e.g. isopropanol) and the released, solubilised formazan reagent is measured spectrophotometrically. Since reduction of MTT can only occur in metabolically active cells the level of activity is a measure of the viability of the cells. The absorbance of this colored solution is quantified by measuring the absorption at 570 nm in a spectrophotometer.

Incubate monolayer cultures in microtitration plates (96-well) in a range of sample concentration (Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts). Remove the sample, and wash the cell for two times PBS (buffer); then added MTT to each well. Incubate the plates in the dark for 2 h, and then remove the MTT (MTT is reduced by metabolically active cells to insoluble purple formazan dye crystals). Then added DMSO in each well and keep in the dark 20 minute, solubilizing the crystals so the absorbance can be read using a spectrophotometer. Samples are read directly in the wells. The optimal wavelength for absorbance is 570 nm in a plate reader (ELISA:Enzyme-Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay). The data is analyzed by plotting cell number versus absorbance, allowing quantitation of changes

in cell proliferation. The rate of tetrazolium reduction is proportional to the rate of cell proliferation.

#### Nitric Oxide: Griess reagent assay [243-245]

This assay determines nitric oxide concentration based on the enzymatic conversion of nitrate to nitrite by nitrate reductase. The reaction was followed by colorimetric detection of nitrite as an azo dye product of the Griess Reaction. The Griess Reaction is based on the two-step diazotization reaction in which acidified  $NO_2^-$  produces a nitrosating agent, which reacts with sulfanilic acid to produce the diazonium ion. This ion is then coupled to *N*-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine to form the chromophoric azo-derivative which absorbs light at 540 – 570 nm.

Allow the Sulfanilamide Solution and NED Solution to equilibrate to room temperature (15-30 min.) Add 50 µl of each experiment sample (Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian remedy and each species extracts) to wells in triplicate. Using a multichannel pipettor, dispense 50 µl of the sulfanilamide Solution to all experimental samples. Incubate 5-10 min at room temperature, protected from light. Dispense 50 µl of NED Solution to all well. Incubate 5-10 min. at room temperature, protected from light. Measure the absorbance within 30 min, in a plate reader, with a wavelength 540 nm.

#### Statistic analysis [246]

The parameter standardization was carried out as mean $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Also, another results and data obtained in this study are evaluated using nonparametric statistical tests, with the Mann-Whitney *U* test between two mean groups: control and test groups. Significant levels were at P < 0.05 (95% confident limits).

In part of multivariate analysis, the data were analyzed using the software MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS at Kitasato University, Tokyo, Japan. Analyses of variances were performed using the factor analysis, hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and principle component analysis (PCA). Correlation analysis were achieved for describes the degree of relationship between two variables.

จุฬาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย

# **CHAPTER IV**

# RESULTS

# Capparis micracantha DC.

# Family: CAPPARIDACEAE

 Synonyms: Capparis bariensis Pierre ex Gagnep. Capparis billardieri DC. Capparis callosa Blume, Capparis donnaiensis Pierre ex Gagnep., Capparis forsteniana Miq., Capparis hainanensis Oliv., Capparis liangii Merr. & Chun, Capparis myrioneura Hallier f., Capparis odorata Blanco, Capparis petelotii Merr., Capparis roydsiifolia Kurz, Capparis venosa Merr.

**Vernacular names: Thailand:** Ching-chee (Central), Kra-dat Khaow (Central), Nuat meaw dang (northern); **Indonesia:** Balung, Kledugn (Javanese), Sanek (Madurese); **Malaysia:** Kaju tuju,; Philippines; Salimbang (Tagalog), Tarabtab (Iloko), Salimono (Bisaya); **Cambodia:** Kanchoen bai dach; **Loas:** Say sou; **Vietnam:** B[uf]ng ch[ef], c[as]p gai nh[or].

**Distribution:** From Burma, Indo-China, Thailand and Peninsular Malaysia, to Indonesia and Philippine.

**Observation:** A half-erect shrub or small tree with dropping branches, 1-6 m tall, rarely a vine 2-4 m tall, young braches zigzag, glabrous; leaves oval to oblong-lanceolate, 9.5-20 cm x 3-11 cm, base rounded, apex variable, rarely acuminate, coriaceous, shining, petiole 0.7-1.5 cm long, thorns patent, straight or slightly curved, 2-7 mm long, on flowering branches often absent; flowers 2-6 in a row, pedical about 1 cm long; sepals ovate, 5.5-13 mm long, petals oblong or elliptical, 10-26 mm long, thin, white with yellow base, later turning dark red, stamens 20-45, filaments 2.5-3 cm long, white, gynophores 15-35 mm long , ovary and gynophores sometimes abortive; berry globular or ellipsoid, 2-6 cm in diameter, with 4 longitudinal sutures, yellow, orange or red and strongly smelling when ripe; seeds numerous, in whitish, slimy, sweet pulp. *Capparis micracantha* is found in brush wood, hedges and open forest, also along the seashore and in sandy locations, mostly below 500 m attitude.

**Uses:** Root: carminative; treatment of chronic infected skin diseases. Stem: crush with small amount of water and topically apply to relieve sprains and swelling . Leaf: used for muscular cramps; boil with water, drink or bathe to relieve fever with chronic vesicular skin diseases; smoke to treat bronchitis. Root or leaf; antiasthmatic; treatment of chest pain, fever with vesicular skin diseases, such as meales.



Figure 4 A, C, D, G and H. Flowers of C. micracantha, B and F Leaves, E and I Fruits



Figure 5 Crude drug of Capparis micracantha DC. Root

The fragment of dried root crude drug is harden, grayish yellow, coarse surface and quite big sized.



# Figure 6 Whole plant of Capparis micracantha DC.

Whole plant is half erect shrub, oblong of leaves, armed with very short conical straight thorns and white flower with petals oblong, long filament and style.

# **Microscopic : Anatomical Character**



# Figure 7 Transverse section of *Capparis micracantha* DC. root:

1.Periderm 2. Sclereid 3. Parenchyma of cortex 4. Fiber 5. Phloem tissue

6. Xylem ray with starch granules 7. Xylem parenchyma 8. Xylem vessle

# Histological character



# Figure 8 Powdered *Capparis micracantha* DC. Root:

1.Fragment of pitted vessels2. Part of xylem in radial longitudinal section showing woodfiber containing starch granules(2a) and medullary ray(2b)3. Fragment of fibers containingstarch granules4. Parenchyma in sectional view5. Starch granules6. Sclereids



| So  | vent   | system |
|-----|--------|--------|
| Det | tectio | on     |

# Toluene : Ethyl acetate 75:25

| Ι  | = | detection under UV light 254 nm |
|----|---|---------------------------------|
| II | = | detection under UV light 366 nm |

detection under UV light 366 nm

detection with Anidaldehyde\*'\*\* III =

\*Anisaldehyde regent

Preparation: Anisaldehyde (0.5 ml), Glacial acetic acid (10 ml), methanol (85 ml), Sulfuric acid (5 ml)

\*\*Spot color Development

Heat the plate at 120 ° C for 10 minutes after sprayed.



Figure 10 The HPLC Chromatogram of Capparis micracantha DC.



Figure 11 The 3D HPLC profile of Capparis micracantha DC.

| Content (% by weight)      | $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$ | Min – Max    | n  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----|
| Acid - insoluble ashes     | 2.09 ± 0.59               | 0.36 - 5.65  | 14 |
| Total ash                  | 4.91 ± 0.34               | 2.43 - 11.47 | 14 |
| Loss on drying             | 6.76 ± 0.13               | 5.44 - 8.90  | 14 |
| Ethanol-soluble extractive | 0.52 ± 0.03               | 0.04 - 1.41  | 14 |
| Water-soluble extractive   | 2.16 ± 0.46               | 1.21 - 4.82  | 14 |
| water content              | 8.39 ± 0.15               | 7.00 - 9.80  | 14 |

# Table 2 Specification of Capparis micracantha DC. Root

N = 14, each sample was done in triplicate

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## Clerodendrum petasites S Moore.

#### Family: VERBENACEAE

**Synonym:** Clerodendrum petasites (Lour.) A. Meeuse, Clerodendrum robinsonii Dop, Clerodendrum subpandurifolium Kuntze, Volkameria petasites Lour.

Vernacular names: Thailand: Tao-yai-mom, Mai-tao-ru-sri.

Distribution: C. petasites is widely grown in India, Malaysia and Thailand.

**Observation:** Vines, shrubs or small trees, 1-2 meter high, usually unarmed, glabrous or pubescent. Leaves is 15-20 cm long and 1.5-2.5 wide, opposite or whorled, simple, sometimes lobed, entire or dentate; petiolate or not; stipules absent. Inflorescence a terminal or axillary cyme, sometimes arranged in panicles or corymbs. Flowers long tubes with white color, zygomorphic, bisexual, usually large, showy, mostly white, blue, violet or red; calyx campanulate or tubular, truncate or 5-dentate to 5-partite, often accrescent; corolla salverform, tube cylindrical, straight or curved, limb 5-lobed, spreading or reflexed, stamens 4, long-exserted, didynamous, inserted in corolla tube; ovary imperfectly 4-locular, style exserted. Fruit a drupe(or berry) with a large kernel, obovoid or globose, 4-lobed or 4-sulcate, usually separating in 4 pyrenes. Seed exalbuminous. Seedling with epigeal germination; cotyledons emergent, green, fleshy.

**Uses:** Root is used to trat fever decrease body temperatures, ant-allergic, anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsant.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# Macroscopic



Figure 12 A, B, C and F Folwers of *C. petasite*, D and E Leaves, G Root



Figure 13 Crude drug of *Clerodendrum petasites* S. Moore Root

The fragment of crude drug is small, harden, the color of surface cutting is soft white, smooth, glisten and normally empty of pitted.



Figure 14 Whole plant of *Clerodendrum petasites* S Moore.

Whole plant is erect shrubs or small trees, generally unarmed, opposite leaves, inflorescence axillary cyme, large flowers and showy, mostly white or sometime red, calyx campanulate, truncate and 5-dentate. The root is conical small size and hardens, less branch root and root hairs.

**Microscopic: Anatomical Character** 



# Figure 15 Transverse section of *Clerodendrum petasites* S. Moore. root:

1.Periderm 2.Parenchyma of cortex 3. Prism crystal in reserved parenchyma 4. Xylem ray with starch granules5. Xylem vessel 6. Xylem parenchyma 7. Parenchyma of pith containing with starch granules


#### Figure 16 Powdered of Clerodendrum patasites S. Moore. Root:

Fragment of bordered pitted vessels
 Parenchyma in sectional view
 Fragment of fibers
 Starch granules
 Prism crystals of calcium oxalate
 Part of xylem in radial longitudinal section showing wood fiber(6a) and medullary ray(6b)



## Figure 17 Thin-layer chromatogram of

methanolic extract of the root of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore

Solvent system

Detection

Butanol : Acetic acid : Water 4 : 1 : 5

I

- detection under UV light 254 nm =
- detection under UV light 366 nm Π =
- III = detection with Anisaldehyde\*'\*\*
- \*Anisaldehyde regent

Preparation: Anisaldehyde (0.5 ml), Glacial acetic acid (10 ml), methanol (85 ml), Sulfuric acid (5 ml)

\*\*Spot color Development

Heat the plate at 120  $^{\circ}$  C for 10 minutes after sprayed.



Figure 18 The HPLC chromatogram of *Clerodendrum peatasites* S. Moore.



Figure 19 The 3D-HPLC profile of *Clerodendrum petasites* S. Moore.

| Content (% by weight)      | $\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$ | Min – Max    | n  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----|
| Acid - insoluble ashes     | 0.98 ± 0.29                 | 0.35 - 3.67  | 14 |
| Total ash                  | 4.33 ± 0.50                 | 1.93 - 8.49  | 14 |
| Loss on drying             | 6.09 ± 0.18                 | 3.09 - 9.02  | 14 |
| Ethanol-soluble extractive | 0.65 ± 0.06                 | 0.22 - 1.01  | 14 |
| Water-soluble extractive   | 1.59 ± 0.18                 | 0.90 - 2.73  | 14 |
| water content              | 8.08 ± 0.28                 | 5.20 - 10.60 | 14 |

### Table 3 Specification of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore

N = 14, each sample was done in triplicate

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

#### Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

#### Family: SIMARUBACEAE

Synonyms: Harrisonia paucijuga Oliv., Harrisonia bennettii Benn., Anisifolium pubescens (Wall.) Kuntze, Feroniella puberula Yu.Tanaka, Feroniella pubescens (Wall. ex Hook.f.) Yu.Tanaka, Feroniella pubescens (Wall. ex Hook. f.) Tanaka, Harrisonia citrinaecarpa Elmer, Lasiolepis multijuga Benn., Lasiolepis paucijuga Benn. & R. Br., Limonia pubescens Wall. ex Hook.f., Paliurus dubius Blanco, Paliurus perforatus Blanco

Vernacular names: Thailand: Khon-tha (Central), Naam chee (Northern). Indonesia: Sesepang (Lampung), Garut (Sundanese), Ri kengkeng (Javanese). Malaysia: Kait-kait (Murut, Sabah). Philippines: Asimau, Mamiki (Tagalog), Muntani (Bisaya). Laos: Dok kin ta. Vietnam: S[aa]n, da da, h[ar]l s[ow]n.

**Distribution:** Harrisonia perforata is found in the drier part from Burma (Myanmar) eastward through Thailand to Indo-China and the Philippines, southward to Peninsular Malyasia (Paris), South Sumatra, Borneo (Sabah), Sulawesi, Java and the Lesser Sunda Islands.

**Observations:** A scandent to erect prickly shrub up to 4-6 m tall, leaves imparipinnate with unpaired terminal leaflet up to 20 cm long, with 1-15 pairs of leaflets supported by 5-30 mm long stalk; stipulate thorns slightly recurved backward to downward, accrescented to 7 mm; leaflets rhomboid to ovate-laceolete, 10-20 mm x 5-15 mm, subentire to lobed, rachis narrowly winged; inflorescence 8-20 flowered, flowers 4-5 merous, pedicellate, calys small, lobes triangular, petals lanceolate, 6-9 mm x 2-4 mm, red outside, pale red to white inside, stamens 8-10, anthers 1.5-4.5 mm long, filaments 7-10 mm long, at base with ligule which is densely woolly at the margin, disk cup-shaped, ovary slightly lobed, styles 5-8 mm long, pubescent; fruit a berry, 4-9 mm x 11-15 mm, exocarp coriaceous, at least 1 mm thick, endocarp hard, without suture.

**Uses:** In Indonesia, young shoots are considered a remedy against diarrhea. In the Philippines, a decoction of the root bark is recommended in the treatment of diarrhea and dysentery as well as against cholera. In Indo-China, ashes of the roasted leaves mixed with oil or simply crushed leaves are applied to relieve itch. In Thailand, the dried root is considered antipyretic and anti-inflammatory; it is used in wound healing and in the treatment of diarrhea. The stems are also employed in the treatment of diarrhea.

# Macroscopic



Figure 20 A Fruits of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr., B and D Flowers, D Whole plant



## Figure 21 Crude drug of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. Root

The fragment of crude drug is harden and plain surface. The outermost is grayish in color and leathery texture.



Figure 22 Whole plant of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

Whole plant is a climbing to erect prickly shrub. The branches are armed with short, sharp spines, the leaves pinnate with unpaired terminal leaflet and the leaflets are ovate-lance-shaped. Flowers are a pedicel with 4-5 merous, triangular lobes, petals are lance-shaped which are red outside and pale red to white inside. The fruit is globular, exocarp of leathery texture and freshly.

**Microscopic: Anatomical Chracter** 



Figure 23 Transverse section of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. root:

1.Periderm 2. Parenchyma containing rosette aggregate crystal 3. Parenchyma of cortex4. Fiber 5. Xylem vessel 6. Xylem ray with starch granules 7. Xylem parenchyma 8.Xylem fiber 9. Parenchyma of pith

#### Histological character



#### Figure 24 Powdered of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. root:

1. Part of xylem in tangential longitudinal section showing wood fiber(1a) and medullary ray(1b) 2. Fragment of pitted vessels 3. Starch granules 4. Parenchyma in sectional view(4a) and longitudinal view(4b) 5. Fragment of fiber 6. Prism crystals of calcium oxalate 7. Part of xylem parenchyma 8. Part of xylem in radial longitudinal section showing wood fiber(8a) and medullary ray(8b)



### Figure 25 Thin-layer chromatogram of

methanolic extract of the root of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

Solvent system Detection

Dichloromethane : Methanol 95 : 5

detection under UV light 254 nm Ι =

- Π = detection under UV light 366 nm
- III = detection with Anisaldehyde\*\*\*\*

\*Anisaldehyde regent

Preparation: Anisaldehyde (0.5 ml), Glacial acetic acid (10 ml), methanol (85 ml), Sulfuric acid (5 ml)

\*\*Spot color Development

Heat the plate at 120 ° C for 10 minutes after sprayed.



Figure 26 The HPLC chromatogram of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.



Figure 27 The 3D-HPLC profile of Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

| Content (% by weight)      | $\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$ | Min – Max    | n  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----|
| Acid - insoluble ashes     | 0.67 ± 0.17                     | 0.20 - 1.36  | 14 |
| Total ash                  | 3.62 ± 0.32                     | 1.36 - 6.56  | 14 |
| Loss on drying             | 6.36 ± 0.70                     | 5.03 - 8.00  | 14 |
| Ethanol-soluble extractive | 0.83 ± 0.06                     | 0.22 - 1.36  | 14 |
| Water-soluble extractive   | 1.17 ± 0.21                     | 0.56 - 4.06  | 14 |
| water content              | 8.34 ± 0.40                     | 5.50 - 10.60 | 14 |

## Table 4 Specification of Harisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. Root

N = 14, each sample was done in triplicate

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

#### Ficus recemosa L.

Family: MORACEAE

**Synonyms:** *Ficus glomerata* Roxb., *Covellia glomerata* (Roxb.) Miq., *Ficus vesca* F.Muell. ex Miq.

**Vernacular names:** Thailand: Duea-kling (Central, northern), Duca nam (southern). English: Cluster fig, Red river fig. Indonesia: Elo (Javanese), Loa (Sundanese), Arah (Madurese). Singapore: Atteeka. Burma; Atti, Umbar. Combodia: Lovie. Laos: Dua kiengz. Vietnam: Sung.

**Distribution:** North-eastern Africa, India to Indo-China, Malaysia to northern and western Australia. Not in the Philippines. In India also cultivated.

Observations: Monoecious tree, up to 18 m tall, 25 cm diameter, buttressed, occasionally deciduous, the crown often irregular and shabby; Bark pinkish-brown, smooth then coarsely flaky; latex copious, cream buff, inner bark yellowish. Young shoots and figs finely white hairy, soon glabrous; young leaves pale green. Twigs 1.5-3 mm thick, slender, reddish brown. Stipules -12 mm long, -30 mm long on opening shoots, often persistent on young twigs. Lamina 6-19 x 3.5 – 8.5 cm, elliptic varying subovate, shortly oblong or somewhat lanceolate, tapered to a subacute or subacuminate apex, base broadly to narrowly cuneate, rearely subcordate, subcoriaceous, smooth, entire (dentate in saplings); lateral veins 4-8 pairs, -7 intercostals slightly raised below; basal veins 1 pair, short, often with a slight axillary gland; petiole 15-70 mm long, becoming brown scurfy. Figs in large clusters on the main branches and trunk, on branching leafless twigs -25 cm long, 2.5 cm thick at the base, ripening rosered; peduncle 3-12 mm long, stout; basal bracts 1-2 mm long, ovate-triangular, obtuse; pedicel 0-5 mm long; body 20-30 mm wide (35-50 mm, living), subglobose to pyriform, often lenticellate-verrucose, orifice plane or slightly sunken, closed by 5-6 apical bracts; internal bristles non; sclerotic cells none in the thick, soft wall. Perianth with 3 (-4) dentate-lacerate lobes joined below, red, glabrous. Male flowers ositolar in 2-3 rings, sessile, much compressed; stamens (1-) 2, rarely 3, often with an abortive ovary. Gall-flowers long-stalked; ovary dark red; style short. Female flowers sessile or very shortly stalked among the gallflowers; ovary sessile or substipitate, red-spotted; style 2-3 mm long, glabrous, simple. Seed 1 mm long, lenticular, smooth not or scarcely keeled. Lamina with cystoliths only on the lower side.

**Uses:** The figs, which are rather insipid but sweet, are edible. They are used in various preserves and side-dishes. Leaves eaten as vegetable and are said to be used against diarrhoea. They are also used as animal fodder and they provide valuable mulch. In India the tree is also cultivated as host plant for lac insects, shade tree for coffee and a rootstock for Ficus carica L. The latex is used in production of water-resistant paper and as plasticizer for Hevea rubber.

## Macroscopic



Figure 28 A and F Fruits of Ficus racemosa L., B and E Leaves, C and D Bark with Fruits



Figure 29 Crude drug of root of Ficus racemosa L. Root

The fragment of crude drug is dark red-brown, coarse surface. The outermost is thin and leathery texture.



Whole plant is tree or banyans, evergreen or occasionally deciduous, the crown often irregular and shabby, woody epiphytic climbers or stranglers, creepers. The trees whose branches send down aerial roots that thicken (pillar roots). Leaves arranged spirally or alternate, simple to palmately lobed. Fruits are in large clusters on the main branches and trunk, ripening rose-ripe, subglobose to pyriform and soft.

**Microscopic: Anatomical Character** 



### Figure 31 Transverse section of Ficus racemosa L. root:

1. Periderm 2. Parenchyma of cortex 3. Phloem fiber 4. Vascular cambium 5. Xylem fiber 6. Xylem vessle 7. Phloem tissue



### Figure 32 Powdered of Ficus racemosa L. root:

 Fragment of pitted vessels
 Fragment of fibers
 Prism crystals of calcium oxalate
 Starch granules
 Part of xylem in radial longitudinal section showing wood fiber(5a) and medullary ray(5b)
 Xylem parenchyma in longitudinal view



### Figure 33 Thin-layer chromatogram of

Ι

methanolic extract of the root of Ficus racemosa L.

Solvent system

### Toluene : Ethyl acetate 75:25

- Detection
- = detection under UV light 254 nm
- II = detection under UV light 366 nm
- III = detection with vanillin-sulfuric acid\*'\*\*

\*Anisaldehyde regent

Preparation: Anisaldehyde (0.5 ml), Glacial acetic acid (10 ml), methanol (85 ml), Sulfuric acid (5 ml)

\*\*Spot color Development

Heat the plate at 120 ° C for 10 minutes after sprayed.



Figure 34 The HPLC chromatogram of Ficus racemosa L.



Figure 35 The 3D-HPLC profile of Ficus racemosa L.

## Table 5 Specification of Ficus racemosa L. Root

| Content (% by weight)      | $\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$ | Min – Max    | n  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|----|
| Acid - insoluble ashes     | 1.08 ± 0.24                     | 0.28 - 2.72  | 14 |
| Total ash                  | 5.94 ± 0.34                     | 3.07 - 8.37  | 14 |
| Loss on drying             | 6.28 ± 0.10                     | 4.88 - 7.49  | 14 |
| Ethanol-soluble extractive | 0.56 ± 0.40                     | 0.07 - 1.03  | 14 |
| Water-soluble extractive   | 1.22 ±0.24                      | 0.12 - 2.25  | 14 |
| water content              | 8.48 ± 0.64                     | 5.30 - 11.20 | 14 |

N = 14, each sample was done in triplicate

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

#### Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels

#### Family: MENISPERMACEAE

Synonyms: Cocculus triandrus Colebr., Limacia triandra (Colebr.) Hook.f. & Thomson.

**Vernacular names:** Thailand; Choi nang (northern), Thao wan khiew (Central), Yat nang (southern). Malaysia: Akar kunyitkunyit, Berkunyit, Akar kusin. Vietnam: Xanh tam.

**Origin and geographic distribution:** *T. triandra* occurs in India (Assam), southern Burma, Inco-china, Thailand and Penisular Malaysia.

**Observations:** A dioecious liana with puberulous to glaborous and striate stems. Leaves alternate, simple and entire, elliptical, lanceolate or sometimes subovate, 6.5-11-17 cm x 2-4 cm, base cuneate to rounded, apex usually acuminate, with 3-5 basal veins and 2-6 pairs of lateral veins; petiole 0.5-2 cm long; stipules absent. Inflorescence an axillary or cauliflorous pseudo-receme, up to 2-8(-17) cm long, composed of 1-few-flowered peduncled cymes. Flowers unisexual, yellowish; sepals 6-12, the outermost smellest, innermost up to 2 mm long; male flowers with 3 or 6 petals 1 mm long and 3 stamens; demale flowers with 6 petals c. 1 mm long and 8-9 carpels inserted on a gynophores. Fruit consisting of several drupes borne on a brached carpophores; drupes obovoid, 7-10 mm x 6-7 mm, red, glabrous, endocarp transversely and irregularly ridged. In Indo-China T. Triandra can be found flowering and fruiting throughout the year, but in Thailand from December-July only. As in other Menispermaceae, the pollinators are probably small insects, which are undoubtedly attracted by the scent of the flowers. Tiliacora consists of 19 species in Africa, 2 in tropical Asia and 1 in Australia.

**Uses:** In Thailand aerial parts of *T. triandra* are widely used as an antipyretic. In Cambodia the leafy shoots enter into a prescription for the treatment of dysentery. They are also used as a flavoring in cooking in Thailand. In Indo-china the flexible stems are used for rough cordage, thatching and basketry. *T. acuminata* (Lamk) Hook.f. & Thomson, an Indian-Burmese species, appreciated for its ornamental foliage and fragrant flowers and mentioned as a remedy for snakebites, is cultivated in the botanical garden in Bogor, Indonesia.

# Macroscopic



Figure 26 Aand B Fruits of *Tiliacora triandra* (Colebr.) Diels, C and F Leaves, D and E Flowers



Figure 37 Crude drug of Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels Root

The fragment of crude drug is grayish-yellow, soft, leathery texture of epidermis and clear brown patches radiating from pitted.





## Figure 38 Whole plant of Tiliacora tirandra (Colebr.) Diels

Whole plant is climbing shrub, dioecious. The leaves are ovate, alternate, glabrous and dark-green. Flower is small and yellowish. The fruits are yellowish obovate druplets.

**Microscopic: Anatomical Character** 



### Figure 39 Transverse section of *Tiliacora triandra* (Colebr.) Diels root:

1.Periderm 2.Parenchyma of cortex 3. Phloem tissue 4. Sclereid containing with starch granules 5. Starch granules in reserved parenchyma 6. Xylem vessel 7. Xylem fiber 8.
Parenchyma of pith containing with starch granules 9. Xylem tissue



### Figure 40 Powdered of Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels root:

Starch granules 2.Part of xylem parenchyma 3. Fragment of fibers 4.Cork in surface view
 Fragment of pitted vessels
 Sclereids
 Parenchyma in sectional view containing starch granules



## Figure 41 Thin-layer chromatogram of

I

methanolic extract of the root of Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels

Solvent system Detection

Butanol : Acetic acid : Water 4 : 1 : 5 detection under UV light 254 nm =

- =
- detection under UV light 366 nm Π
- detection with Anisaldehyde\*\*\* III =

\*Anisaldehyde regent

Preparation: Anisaldehyde (0.5 ml), Glacial acetic acid (10 ml), methanol (85 ml), Sulfuric acid (5 ml)

\*\*Spot color Development

Heat the plate at 120 ° C for 10 minutes after sprayed.



Figure 42 The HPLC chromatogram of *Tilliacora triandra* Diels.



Figure 43 The 3D-HPLC profile of Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels

| Content (% by weight)      | $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$ | Min – Max    | n  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----|
| Acid - insoluble ashes     | $1.15\pm0.18$             | 0.51 - 2.29  | 14 |
| Total ash                  | $4.39\pm0.43$             | 2.97 - 7.30  | 14 |
| Loss on drying             | 6.80 ± 0.13               | 2.67 - 11.43 | 14 |
| Ethanol-soluble extractive | 1.22 ± 0.13               | 0.50 - 1.74  | 14 |
| Water-soluble extractive   | 2.17 ± 0.25               | 1.47 - 3.23  | 14 |
| water content              | 8.14 ± 0.66               | 4.80 - 11.40 | 14 |

## Table 6 Specification of Tiliacora triandra Diels. Root

N = 14, each sample was done in triplicate

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย A: Prism crystal of calcium oxalate present

**B:** Sclereids present

**BB:** Sclereids absent

C: Part of bordered pitted vessel present

CC: Part of bordered pitted vessel absent

- D: Part of xylem in tangential longitudinal section present DD: Part of xylem in tangential longitudinal section absent : Ficus racemasa L.
- : Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore

: Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr.

### AA: Prism crystal of calcium oxalate absent

**B:** Sclereids present

C: Sclereids containing starch granules present CC: Sclereids containing starch granules absent

- : Tiliacora trianda (Colebr.) Diels
- : Capparis micracantha DC.

**BB:** Sclereids absent

Figure 44 Key Identification for each roots powder of five species in Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy

Regarding to the presence or absence of some histological characters of each root species was explored and the dichotomous key was firstly established. This key identification can be used for detection of the contamination or adulteration of raw materials without high technology instrument (Figure 44). The examination of the physicochemical parameters in this study can help to evaluate the quality of the crude drugs and confirm raw materials standardization.


# Figure 45 The resources of each species plant in Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy

Five root plant species were collected from several places throughout Thailand as reveals in above figure.

## **Plant extraction**

Course powdered of five root species were extracted using maceration technique in ethanol and distilled water respectively. All crude extract from the all specimens yielded range from 1.6586 % to11.8171 % as shown in Table 7.

| Plant          | Yield of ethanol | Yield of water | Total yield |
|----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|
| C. micracantha | 5.1660           | 3.8182         | 8.9842      |
| C. petasite    | 8.3499           | 3.4672         | 11.8171     |
| H. perforata   | 4.5109           | 1.6586         | 6.1699      |
| F. racemosa    | 4.0135           | 2.4821         | 6.4965      |
| T. triandra    | 8.2818           | 3.2479         | 11.5298     |

| Table 7 Crude extracts of five root | pecies in Ben Cha Lo k | (a Wi Chian remedy |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|



| No | samplo | sample code |                                     |                 | Collected location |                      |               |
|----|--------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| NO | Sample | sample code | C. micracantha                      | C.petasite      | H.perforata        | F.racemosa           | T.triandra    |
| 1  | BLW1   | A1-A3       | Lampang                             | Nakhonnayok     | Uthai thani        | Songkhla             | Nan           |
| 2  | BLW2   | B1-B3       | Rayong                              | Rayong          | Rayong             | Rayong               | Rayong        |
| 3  | BLW3   | C1-C3       | Uthai thani                         | Lumphang        | Nongkhai(Se-ka)    | Yasothon             | Nakhonnayok   |
| 4  | BLW4   | D1-D3       | Lumnarai                            | Phuket          | Nan                | Lampang              | Kalasil       |
| 5  | BLW5   | E1-E3       | Kanchanaburi                        | Nongkhai(Se-ka) | Lampang            | Authaitanee          | Muang Lopburi |
| 6  | BLW6   | F1-F3       | Nongkhai(Se-K <mark>a</mark> )      | Rayong          | Nakhonnayok        | Kanchanaburi         | Nakhonnayok   |
| 7  | BLW7   | G1-G3       | Nongkhai(sri wi l <mark>a</mark> i) | Kalasil         | Yasothon           | Lampang              | Nan           |
| 8  | BLW8   | H1-H3       | Nongkhai(Sri wi lai)                | Yasothon        | Uthai thani        | Kanchanaburi         | Lampang       |
| 9  | BLW9   | 11-13       | Lampang                             | Phuket          | Nan                | Nongkhai(Sri wi lai) | Nan           |
| 10 | BLW10  | J1-J3       | Yasothon                            | Yasothon        | Yasothon           | Yasothon             | Yasothon      |
| 11 | BLW11  | K1-K3       | Uthai thani                         | Uthai thani     | Uthai thani        | Uthai thani          | Uthai thani   |
| 12 | BLW12  | L1-L3       | Lampang                             | Lampang         | Lampang            | Lampang              | Lampang       |

 Table 8 A summary resources of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy Batches.

All batches of BLW remedy that included BLW1 to BLW12 were prepared from several places as mention in above.

92



Figure 46 Thin-layer chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

| Solvent system      | n-Hexane:Ethyl-Acetate (4:1)                              |
|---------------------|---|
|                     | Sample 10 ml/ml: volume 5 μl                              |
| Detection           | detection with 10% sulfuric acid*                         |
| Standard sample (C) | Dihydrofernesol 1 mg/ml: volume 0.25 μl                   |
| Abbreviation        | I: BLW1, II: BLW2, III: BLW3, IV: BLW4, V: BLW5, VI: BLW6 |
|                     | C: Standard sample  |



Figure 47 Thin-layer chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

| Solvent system      | n-Hexane:Ethyl-Acetate (4:1)                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                     | Sample 10 ml/ml: volume 5 μl                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detection           | detection with 10% sulfuric acid*                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard sample (C) | Dihydrofernesol 1 mg/ml: volume 0.25 μl                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbreviation        | VII: BLW7, VIII: BLW8, IX: BLW9, X: BLW10, XI: BLW11, XII: BLW12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | C: Standard sample   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 48 Thin-layer chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

| Solvent system      | Chlorofrom: Methanol: Acetic acid: Water (15: 9: 1: 2)    |
|---------------------|---|
|                     | Sample 10 ml/ml: volume 5 µl                              |
| Detection           | detection with 10% sulfuric acid*                         |
| Standard sample (C) | Tannic acide1mg/ml :volume 0.25 μl                        |
| Abbreviation        | I: BLW1, II: BLW2, III: BLW3, IV: BLW4, V: BLW5, VI: BLW6 |
|                     | C: Standard sample  |



Figure 49 Thin-layer chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

| Solvent system      | Chlorofrom: Methanol: Acetic acid: Water (15: 9: 1: 2)           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                     | Sample 10 ml/ml: volume 5 μl                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detection           | detection with 10% sulfuric acid*                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard sample (C) | Tannic acid 1mg/ml :volume 0.25 μl                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abbreviation        | VII: BLW7, VIII: BLW8, IX: BLW9, X: BLW10, XI: BLW11, XII: BLW12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                     | C: Standard sample   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 50 The HPLC chromatogram of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy



Figure 51 The 3D-HPLC profile of Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

#### **Multivariate Analysis**



**Figure 52** Representative 2D HPLC chromatogram from each BLW batches 1-12 that composed from several crude extracts as mention above.



**Figure 52** Representative 2D HPLC chromatogram from each BLW batches 1-12 that composed from several crude extracts as mention above. (Cont.)





The plot based on the first principle component analysis, Factor analysis, was conducted. The result was demonstrated that all of factor plots were disseminated through fact sheet as shown in above figure. It was not separated or grouping into groups. Thus the first factor analysis was not enough to distinguish all samples of BLW 1 - BLW 12.

Tree diagrame of sample cluster



## Figure 54 Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) dendrogram plot of fingerprint-based data (33x33 matrix)

**Figure 54** Illustrates the HCA result. It is apparent that most of sample batches were more similar. Only BLW 1(A1-A3) was reveals clearly fell into separate clusters. While BLW 2 (B1-B3), BLW 3 (C1-C3) and BLW 4 (D1-D3) were group into one cluster. Due to a close relationship, BLW 5 (E1-E3), BLW 6(F1-F3), BLW 7(G1-G3), BLW 8 (H1-H3), BLW 9 (I1-I3), BLW 10 (J1-J3), BLW 11 (K1-K3) and BLW 12 (L1-L3) were demonstrated into the big one clusters.

## Principle component analysis PCA 1 70.0 60.0 ◆ A1 $A_{A}^{3}$ 50.0 40.0 30.0 20.0 10.0 0.0 ◆ B2 € ₩2083 • D3 B3 -10.0 -20.0 -40.0 -30.0 -20.0 -10.0 0.0 10.0 20.0 PCA 2

Figure 55 Principle component analysis (PCA) plot based on the reduce data set form

PCA was conducted in order to find some characteristic constituents as ideal constituents markers. The plot base on the first factor analysis as present in Figure 55 in clear identification, PCA can divide all samples into tree groups. Only BLW 1(A1-A3) was shown clearly separated from other samples.

**Table 9** revealed the Correlation analysis from cluster analysis. The significant Batches were demonstrated as double star [\*\*] whilst not significant (its have no correlation) data were demonstrated as one star [\*] or blank []. All samples were significant that means most of them are similar or same standard of BLW remedy except only BLW 1 (A1-A3) was not significant, means its have no correlation or not the same standard as same as all samples.

|    | A1   | A2   | A3   | B1   | B2   | B3   | C1   | C2   | C3   | D1   | D2   | D3   | E1   | E2   | E3   | F1   | F2   | F3   |
|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| A1 | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [*]  | [* ] | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| A2 | [**] | -    | [**] | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   |
| A3 | [**] | [**] | -    | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   |
| B1 | [**] | []   | []   | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| B2 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| B3 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C1 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C2 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C3 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D1 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D2 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D3 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E1 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E2 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E3 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| F1 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] |
| F2 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] |
| F3 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    |
| G1 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| G2 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| G3 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H1 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H2 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H3 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 11 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 12 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 13 | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J1 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J2 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J3 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K1 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K2 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K3 | [**] | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| L1 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| L2 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| L3 | [*]  | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
|    | ÷    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

คูนยวทยทรพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

| I able 9 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|          | G1   | G2   | G3   | H1   | H2   | H3   | 11   | 12   | 13   | J1   | J2   | J3   | K1   | K2   | K3   | L1   | L2   | L3   |
| A1       | []   | [**] | [**] | [*]  | [* ] | [* ] | []   | []   | []   | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [* ] | [* ] | [*]  |
| A2       | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   |
| A3       | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   | []   |
| B1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| B2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| B3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| C3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| D3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| E3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| F1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| F2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| F3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| G1       | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| G2       | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| G3       | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | 7//  | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| H3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 11       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 12       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| 13       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |      | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| J3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K2       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| K3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] | [**] |
| L1       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    | [**] | [**] |
| L2       | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | [^^] | -    | [^^] |
| L3       | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | [**] | -    |
|          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

Table 9 Correlation analysis from cluster analysis. (Cont.)

#### Safety study

#### Cytotoxic activity using Brine Shrimp method

Results were expressed as the concentration of the extracts necessary to cause 50% of lethality (LC<sub>50</sub>) to the brine shrimp. The ethanolic extract of *T. triandra, H. perforata* and *C. micracantha* exhibited brine shrimp lethality with LC<sub>50</sub> of 44, 600 and more than 1,700 µg/ml. respectively. The water extracts of *T. trianda* and *H. perforata* also showed toxicity to the brine shrimp (LC<sub>50</sub> 200 and 560 µg/ml respectively). Both ethanolic and water extracts of *C. petasites* and *F. racemosa* showed LC<sub>50</sub> more than 10,000 µg/ml. Finally, Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy extract demonstrated LC<sub>50</sub> of 265 µg/ml. (Table 10) According to Meyer *et al.* (1982), who classified crude extracts into toxic (LC<sub>50</sub> value < 1,000 µg/ml) and non-toxic (LC<sub>50</sub> value > 1000 µg/ml), Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy, *H. perforata* and *T. triandra* had potential to be toxic to brine shrimp.

 Table 10 Brine shrimp lethality (LC<sub>50</sub>) of the ethanol, water extracts of five roots species and the remedy

|   | Ethanol extract          | Water extract |
|---|--------------------------|---------------|
| Species                                   | LC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) | LC₅₀ (µg/ml)  |
| Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr        | 600                      | 560           |
| <i>Tiliacora triandra</i> (Colebr.) Diels | 44                       | 200           |
| Ficus racemosa L.                         | >10,000                  | >10,000       |
| Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore           | >10,000                  | >10,000       |
| Capparis micracantha DC.                  | >1,700                   | >10,000       |
| Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy             | 26                       | 5             |

ศูนยวทยทรพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

### Mutagenic activity using Ames test

Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy and its component extracts at all doses (5, 10, 20 and 40 mg/plate) were not directly mutagenic (MI < 2) towards *S. typhimurium* TA98 (**Figure 56A**) and TA100 (**Figure 56B**). Most of water extracts except *T. triandra* illustrated higher histidine (His<sup>+</sup>) reverstants per plate than ethanol extracts.

## Figure 56A (TA98)



**Figure 56** The mutagenic index (MI) of mutagnenic without nitrite effect induced by each plant species and BLW remedy on *S.typhimurium* strains TA98 (56A) TA100 (56B). Abbreviations including E: ethanol extract, W: water extract, CM: *C. micracantha*, CP: *C. petasites*, HP: *H. perforata*, FR: *F. racemosa*, TT: *T. triandra* and BLW: Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy.

On the contrary, most of the extracts including BLW remedy extract was shown indirect mutagenicity induced by nitrosation (sodium nitrite treated in acid solution) as shown in **Figure 57** (TA 98 in **Figure 57A** and TA100 in **Figure 57B**). Most of ethanol extracts demonstrated the mutagenic index higher than the water extracts including the water extract of *T. triandra* and BLW remedy extract, whereas, ethanol and water extracts of *C. petasites* were shown highest mutagenic index in both strains.



## Figure 57A (TA98)

Figure 57B (TA100)



**Figure 57** The mutagenic index (MI) of mutagnenic with nitrite effect induced by each plant species and BLW remedy on *S.typhimurium* strains TA98 (57A) TA100 (57B). Abbreviations including E: ethanol extract, W: water extract, CM: *C. micracantha*, CP: *C. petasites*, HP: *H. perforata*, FR: *F. racemosa*, TT: *T. triandra* and BLW: Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy.

## Anti-mutgenic activity using Ames test

For anti-mutagenicity, most of the remedy extracts and the components extracts exhibited strongly active inhibition (more than 60% inhibition) against nitrite treated 1-aminopyrine induced mutagenicity in both TA98 and TA100. Ethanol extracts of *H. perforata* and *T. triandra* were particularly presented the higher percentage of inhibition (> 100% of inhibition), whereas, the water extracts of *F. racemosa* and *C. petasites* were demonstrated moderately active inhibitor (40 - 60 % inhibition) (**Table 11**).

|                | Solvent | Percentage of inhibition |        |        |        |          |        |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Sample         | ovtraat | 5 m                      | g/ml   | 10 m   | ng/ml  | 15 mg/ml |        |  |  |  |  |
|                |         | TA 98                    | TA100  | TA98   | TA100  | TA98     | TA100  |  |  |  |  |
| BLW remedy     |         | 89.35                    | 75.20  | 94.19  | 95.07  | 96.56    | 102.18 |  |  |  |  |
| C. micracantha | Ethanol | 79.04                    | 85.83  | 93.45  | 83.29  | 93.09    | 86.25  |  |  |  |  |
|                | water   | 72.44                    | 98.52  | 85.87  | 103.07 | 89.81    | 104.97 |  |  |  |  |
| C. petasites   | Ethanol | 71.82                    | 83.85  | 85.13  | 99.48  | 87.01    | 106.07 |  |  |  |  |
|                | Water   | 39.40                    | 41.71  | 50.18  | 56.36  | 57.17    | 57.30  |  |  |  |  |
| H. perforata   | Ethanol | 101.48                   | 120.20 | 102.15 | 118.36 | 102.28   | 124.25 |  |  |  |  |
|                | Water   | 82.16                    | 99.37  | 88.71  | 106.77 | 96.00    | 108.31 |  |  |  |  |
| F. racemosa    | Ethanol | 97.39                    | 93.74  | 99.03  | 110.31 | 100.75   | 106.54 |  |  |  |  |
|                | Water   | 35.13                    | 70.06  | 61.18  | 39.22  | 58.01    | 52.59  |  |  |  |  |
| T. triandra    | Ethanol | 98.98                    | 112.85 | 101.35 | 123.12 | 101.53   | 121.61 |  |  |  |  |
| ລາສ            | WAter   | 88.42                    | 101.93 | 97.21  | 120.24 | 98.69    | 119.02 |  |  |  |  |

 
 Table 11 Percentage of the mutagenicity inhibition on the Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy and its components

## DNA damage using Comet assay

The number of cell to be scored per gel was 100. The five categories used for this comet classification were those proposed by Collins [155] as 0-4 that shown in figure 58. All doses were done in triplicates.



**Figure 58** Images of human lymphocytes with various degrees of DNA damages. Class 0 represents undamaged cells and class 4 the most heavily damaged cells.

**Figure 59** illustrated the DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentrations of ethanol extract of each plant species and BLW remedy extract. The results of ethanol extracts indicated that ethanol extract of *C. micracantha* and *C. petasites* showed high damaged in normal human lymphocytes as compared with all of samples. While, ethanol extract of *H. perforata*, *F. racemosa*, *T. triandra* and BLW remedy exhibited no difference from each others. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, a positive control, demonstrated highest damage to DNA in lymphocytes.



**Figure 59** The total summing values (out of 400) of the number of comet classification, which obtained from each ethanol extract samples (ECM; ethanol extract of *C. micracantha*, ECP; ethanol extract of *C. petasites*, EHP; ethanol extract of *H. perforata*, EFR; ethanol extract of *F. racemosa* and ETT; ethanol extract of *T. triandra*) and BLW remedy. Doses of all samples were 25, 50 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used as a positive control.

**Figure 60** Illustrated the DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentrations of water extract of each plant species and BLW remedy extract. The results of water extracts indicated that water extract of *C. micracantha* and *T. triandra* showed high damaged in normal human lymphocytes as compared with all of samples and revealed as same as the positive control  $H_2O_2$ . Water extract of *C. petasites, H. perforata* and *T. triandra* exhibited no difference from each others. BLW remedy revealed the lowest DNA damage.  $H_2O_2$ , a positive control, demonstrated the highest damage to DNA in lymphocytes.



**Figure 60** The total summing values (out of 400) of the number of comet classification, which obtained from each water extract samples (WCM; ethanol extract of *C. micracantha*, WCP; ethanol extract of *C. petasites*, WHP; ethanol extract of *H. perforata*, WFR; ethanol extract of *F. racemosa* and WTT; ethanol extract of *T. triandra*) and BLW remedy. Doses of all samples were 25, 50 and 100  $\mu$ g/ml. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used as a positive control





25 μg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 µg/ml

Water extract of C. micracantha root



50 µg/ml

100 µg/ml

Ethanol extract of C. petasites root



Water extract of C. petasites root



Figure 61 The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration

Ethanol extract of H. perforata root



25 µg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 µg/ml

Water extract of *H. perforata* root



25 μg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 μg/ml

Ethanol extract of *F. racemosa* root



25 µg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 µg/ml

Water extract of F. racemosa root



Figure 62 The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration

## Ethanol extract of T. triandra root



25 μg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 µg/ml





25 μg/ml

50 μg/ml

100 µg/ml

**BLW remedy** 





Figure 63 The DNA damage in lymphocytes treated with different concentration

#### Efficacy study

## Antipyretic activity test: Lipopolysaccharide-induced fever

Lipopolysaccharide injected intramuscularly significantly (p<0.001) produced a timedependent increase in rectal temperature in vehicle pretreated rats starting from 1 hr, and this effect was maintained for 7 hr after LPS injection. The maximum increase in rectal temperature was reached at 3 hr (1.76°C) giving a maximum observed mean rectal temperature of 38.19±0.09°C after which there was a decrease. During the same period, the maximum mean rectal temperature of normothermic rats was 36.85±0.05°C. Thus, LPS significantly (p<0.001) increased the rectal temperature.

ASA 300 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) attenuated the increase in rectal temperature produced by LPS at 2 hr and the antipyretic effect was maintained over the 7 hr period. The maximum mean rectal temperature in the presence of ASA was 37.21±0.13 °C. All doses of the root extract of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW; 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) also significantly attenuated the increase in rectal temperature produced by LPS (p<0.05) with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. The antipyretic effect of increasing doses of BLW was noted at 4, 4, 2, 2 and 3 hr respectively, and the effect was maintained for the full 7 hr after LPS injection. The maximum mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of BLW were 37.53±0.19 °C, 37.82±0.19 °C, 37.25±0.19 °C, 37.64±0.13 °C and 37.49±0.09 °C, respectively. BLW 100 and 200 mg/kg were found to be as potent as ASA (Table 12).

| Treatments                | Rectal Temperature (°C) before and after LPS injection |         |         |         |         |                   |         |         |         |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| Treatments                | -1 hr  | 0 hr    | 1 hr    | 2 hr    | 3 hr    | 4 hr              | 5 hr    | 6 hr    | 7 hr    |  |  |  |
| Normothermic              | 36.83 ±  | 36.91 ± | 37.11 ± | 36.88 ± | 36.85 ± | 36.86 ±           | 36.89 ± | 36.30 ± | 36.44 ± |  |  |  |
| rats <sup>a</sup>         | 0.09   | 0.26    | 0.12    | 0.05    | 0.05    | 0.05              | 0.15    | 0.24    | 0.15    |  |  |  |
| Control L PS <sup>b</sup> | 36.79 ±  | 36.44 ± | 37.77 ± | 38.12 ± | 38.19 ± | 38.06 ±           | 37.95 ± | 37.75 ± | 37.56 ± |  |  |  |
| Control El C              | 0.14   | 0.24    | 0.11#   | 0.11#   | 0.09#   | 0.11#             | 0.10#   | 0.09#   | 0.12#   |  |  |  |
| ASA 300 mg/kg             | 36.74 ±  | 36.99 ± | 37.21 ± | 37.01 ± | 36.85 ± | 36.90 ±           | 36.97 ± | 36.74 ± | 36.73 ± |  |  |  |
| AGA SUU IIIg/Kg           | 0.14   | 0.11    | 0.13    | 0.13*   | 0.13*   | 0.18 <sup>*</sup> | 0.14*   | 0.16*   | 0.17*   |  |  |  |
| BLW 25 mg/kg              | 36.54 ±  | 36.94 ± | 37.53 ± | 37.30 ± | 36.88 ± | 36.76 ±           | 36.58 ± | 36.34 ± | 35.97 ± |  |  |  |
| BLW 25 mg/kg              | 0.22   | 0.14    | 0.19    | 0.30    | 0.25    | 0.18*             | 0.13*   | 0.18*   | 0.23*   |  |  |  |
| BI W 50 mg/kg             | 36.51 ±  | 37.06 ± | 37.82 ± | 37.40 ± | 37.19 ± | 36.85 ±           | 36.67 ± | 36.58 ± | 36.46 ± |  |  |  |
| BEW 50 mg/kg              | 0.20   | 0.15    | 0.19    | 0.24    | 0.23    | 0.11*             | 0.11*   | 0.11*   | 0.07*   |  |  |  |
| BI W 100 ma/ka            | 36.76 ±  | 36.93 ± | 37.25 ± | 37.00 ± | 36.79 ± | 36.68 ±           | 36.41 ± | 36.29 ± | 36.05 ± |  |  |  |
| BEW 100 mg/kg             | 0.15   | 0.11    | 0.19    | 0.22*   | 0.13*   | 0.04*             | 0.06*   | 0.15*   | 0.16*   |  |  |  |
| BI W 200 ma/ka            | 36.45 ±  | 37.21 ± | 37.64 ± | 37.22 ± | 36.90 ± | 36.59 ±           | 36.54 ± | 36.42 ± | 36.33 ± |  |  |  |
| DEW 200 mg/kg             | 0.34   | 0.14    | 0.13    | 0.17*   | 0.13*   | 0.06*             | 0.06*   | 0.15*   | 0.10*   |  |  |  |
| BI W 400 ma/ka            | 36.86 ±  | 37.16 ± | 37.49 ± | 36.61 ± | 36.69 ± | 36.49 ±           | 36.32 ± | 36.32 ± | 36.09 ± |  |  |  |
|                           | 0.05   | 0.17    | 0.09    | 0.54    | 0.10*   | 0.21*             | 0.09*   | 0.10*   | 0.26*   |  |  |  |

 Table 12 Effect of the root extract of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW; 25- 400 mg/kg)

 on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats. N=6 for all groups

Each value represents mean ± S.E.M. <sup>a</sup>Normothermic rats received 0.9% NSS. <sup>b</sup>Control LPS received 2% Tween 80 solution p<0.005 significantly different compared to normothermic rat values for the corresponding hour

<sup>#</sup> p<0.001 significantly different compared to normothermic rat values for the corresponding hour



# Figure 64 The effect of *C. micracantha* root extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

Figure 64 Reatal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of *C. micracantha* root extract (CM; 25 – 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS; 50  $\mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

*C. micracantha* at doses 25, 100 and 200 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced LPS induced increase in rectal temperature over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *C. micracantha* at doses of 50 and 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 1-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 6 and 3 hr, repectively. The mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *C. micracantha* were reduced to 36.61 ± 0.26 °C, 36.81 ± 0.23 °C, 36.49 ± 0.14 °C, 36.24 ± 0.22 °C and 36.44 ± 0.36 °C respectively. *C. micracantha* showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 100 mg/kg.



Figure 65 The effect of *C. petasites* root extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

**Figure 65** Rectal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of *C. petasite*s root extract (CP; 25 – 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS; 50  $\mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

*C. petasites* at doses 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *C. petasites* at doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 1-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. The maximum reduction of mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *C. petasites* were  $36.51 \pm 0.23$  °C,  $36.69 \pm 0.05$  °C,  $36.49 \pm 0.08$  °C,  $36.52 \pm 0.09$  °C and  $36.45 \pm 0.20$  °C respectively. *C. petasites* showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 100 mg/kg.



## Figure 66 The effect of *H. perforata* root extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

Figure 66 Rectal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of *H. perforata* root extract (HP; 25 – 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS; 50  $\mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

*H. perforata* at doses 25 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *H. perforata* at doses of 50, 100 and 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 3-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *H. perforata* at doses of 200 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 3-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *H. perforata* at doses of 200 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 5-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. The maximum reduction of mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *H. perforata* were 36.57 ± 0.09 °C, 36.43 ± 0.07 °C, 36.37 ± 0.12 °C, 36.69 ± 0.12 °C and 36.49 ± 0.07 °C respectively. *H. perforata* showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 100 mg/kg.



Figure 67 The effect of *F. racemosa* root extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

Figure 67 Rectal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of *F. racemosa* root extract (FR; 25 – 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS; 50  $\mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

*F. racemosa* at doses 25 and 400 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 1-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *F. racemosa* at doses of 50 and 100 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. *F. racemosa* at doses of 200 mg/kg failed to reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over the entire period. The maximum reduction of mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *F. racemosa* were 36.50 ± 0.19 °C, 36.34 ± 0.24 °C, 36.88 ± 0.26 °C, 36.87 ± 0.21 °C and 36.87 ± 0.34 °C respectively. *F. racemosa* showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 50 mg/kg.



## Figure 68 The effect of *T. triandra* root extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

Figure 68 Rectal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of *T. triandra* root extract (TT; 25 - 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS;  $50 \mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

*T. triandra* at doses 25, 50 and 100 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7, 6, 7 hr respectively. *T. triandra* at doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 1-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 6, 4 hr respecitvely. The maximum reduction of mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *T. triandra* were 36.29  $\pm$  0.38 °C, 36.67  $\pm$  0.25 °C, 36.08  $\pm$  0.26 °C, 36.06  $\pm$  0.23 °C and 36.36  $\pm$  0.28 °C respectively. *T. triandra* showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 100 mg/kg.



## Figure 69 The effect of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW) extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced fever in rats.

Figure 69 Rectal temperature after oral administration of 2% Tween 80, Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 300 mg/kg) and various doses of BLW remedy extract (BLW; 25 - 400 mg/kg) to febrile rats. Fever was induced by intramuscular injection of lipopolysacharide (LPS;  $50 \mu$ g/ml) at 0 hr. All drugs were administered 1 hr before LPS. N=6 for all group.

BLW remedy at doses 25 and 50 mg/kg significantly (p< 0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 4-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. BLW remedy at doses of 100 and 200 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. BLW remedy at doses of 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 2-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. BLW remedy at doses of 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) reduced the increased rectal temperature produced by LPS over a period of 3-7 hr with a maximum reduction at 7 hr. The maximum reduction of mean rectal temperature produced by LPS in the presence of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg of *BLW remedy* were 35.97 ± 0.23 °C, 36.46 ± 0.07 °C, 36.05 ± 0.16 °C, 36.66 ± 0.10 °C and 35.42 ± 0.64 °C respectively. BLW remedy showed antipyretic effect with all dose tested, especially at the dose of 400 mg/kg.

### Antinociceptive activity test: Mouse Hot-plate

### Latency in mouse hot-plate test

Initial studies utilizing the hot-plate test in mice to examine the efficacy of five root species and BLW remedy extract in producing analgesia. Mice were administered orally 2% Tween 80 or various doses of five root species and BLW remedy extract (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg).

Morphine 10 mg/kg significantly (p<0.01) increased the hot-plate latency producing an area of analgesia of 16,992.68 ± 1,940.94 %MPE-min compared with that of normal saline solution (NSS) (-6,908.17±2,505.75 %MPE-min; Figure 70). BLW 400 mg/kg significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group.



**Figure 70** Mouse Hot-Plate Test. Area of analgesia (%MPE-min) from 0-240 minutes after intraperitoneal administration of 0.9% normal saline solution (NSS) and morphine sulphate (MO; 10 mg/kg). \*\*p<0.01 significantly different compared to control animals.

All five root species extracts at dose of 25 mg/kg demonstrated similar analgesic effect when compared to BLW 24 mg/kg. *C. micracantha* and *F. racemosa* at dose of 50 mg/kg revealed significant (*p*<0.05) analgesic efficacy when compared to BLW 50 mg/kg. *C. micracantha*, *T. triandra* and *F. racemosa* at the dose of 100 mg/kg exhibited significant (*p*<0.05) analgesic efficacy when compared to BLW 50 mg/kg. *C. micracantha*, *T. triandra* and *F. racemosa* at the dose of 100 mg/kg exhibited significant (*p*<0.05) analgesic efficacy when compared to BLW 100 mg/kg. *C. micracantha*, *H. perforata*, *T. triandra* and *F. racemosa* at the dose the 200 mg/kg showed significant (*p*<0.05) analgesic efficacy when compared to BLW 200 mg/kg. *C. micracantha* and *F. racemosa* at the dose of 400 mg/kg showed significant (*p*<0.05) analgesic efficacy when compared to BLW 400 mg/kg.



**Figure 71** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of *C. micracantha* root extract (CM; 25-400 mg/kg).

All doses of *C. micracantha* tested significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of all doses of *C. micracantha* (25-404 mg/kg) were reached within 240 min after oral administration.





*C. petasites* at dose 400 mg/kg was significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of *C. petasites* (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were reached within 120, 90, 120, 120 and 120 min respectively after oral administration.



**Figure 73** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of *H. perforata* root extract (HP; 25-400 mg/kg).

*H. perforata* at the doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg were significantly (p<0.05) increased the hotplate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of *H. perforata* (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were reached within 15, 120, 240 120 and 90 min respectively after oral administration.





*F. racema* at the doses of 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg were significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of *F. racemosa* (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were reached within 120, 120, 240 120 and 240 min respectively after oral administration.


**Figure 75** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of *T. triandra* root extract (TT; 25-400 mg/kg).

*T. triandra* at the doses of 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg were significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of *T. triandra* (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were reached within 240, 240, 240 120 and 90 min respectively after oral administration.



15 min 30 min 45 min 60 min 90 min 120 min 240 min

**Figure 76** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after administration of various doses of *BLW remedy* extracts (TT; 25-400 mg/kg).

BLW remedy at the doses of 400 mg/kg were significantly (p<0.05) increased the hot-plate latency when compared to the vehicle group. The analgesic peak effects of BLW remedy (25, 50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg) were reached within 120, 120, 120, 90 and 90 min respectively after oral administration.

# Mouse Hot-plate Test; Area of analgenia (% MPE-min)



**Figure 77** Mouse Hot-Plate Test. Area of analgesia (%MPE-min) from 0-240 minutes after oral administration of 2% Tween 80 and various doses of the root extract of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy (BLW; 25- 400 mg/kg). N=10 for all groups. Values represent the mean±S.E.M. \**p*<0.05 significantly different compared to control animals.





### Scavenging activity by 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals

The ethanol and water extracts of five roots and the remedy extract were assessed for their antioxidation potential by the DPPH assay. The ethanol extracts of *H. perforata* and *T. triandra* showed the scavenging activity with IC<sub>50</sub> of 71.46 and 83.64 µg/ml respectively, whilst BLW remedy extract showed the IC<sub>50</sub> of 83.53 µg/ml. Only ethanol extract of *C. micracantha* exhibited weak free radical scavenger (IC<sub>50</sub> > 1,000 µg/ml). Most of the water extracts showed weak radical scavenging activities including *C. micracantha*, *C. petasites* and *T. triandra* (IC<sub>50</sub> > 1,000 µg/ml), excepted *F. racemosa* (IC<sub>50</sub> 93.15µg/ml) (**Table 13**).

 Table 13 Mean inhibition concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) of the ethanol, water extract of five roots species and the remedy

| Species                            | Ethanol extract   | Water extract<br>Mean IC₅₀ (µg/ml) |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Species                            | Mean IC₅₀ (µg/ml) |                                    |  |  |
| Capparis micracantha DC.           | >1,000            | >1,000                             |  |  |
| Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore    | 249.10            | >1,000                             |  |  |
| Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr | 71.46             | 404.64                             |  |  |
| Ficus racemosa L.                  | 111.87            | 93.15                              |  |  |
| Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels | 83.64             | >1,000                             |  |  |
| Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy      | 83.53             |                                    |  |  |
| Quercetin                          | 0.45              |                                    |  |  |
| Buthylated Hydroxyl toluene(BHT)   | 3.47              | Sel.                               |  |  |
|                                    |                   |                                    |  |  |

#### **Cell Proliferation using MTT assay**

In the present result, the median lethal dose,  $LD_{50}$  (abbreviation for "Lethal Dose, 50%"), of each extract and BLW remedy were the dose required to kill half Fibroblast cells.

| Species                            | Ethanol extract          | Water extract            |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Species                            | LD <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) | LD <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) |  |  |
| Capparis micracantha DC.           | >2,000                   | >2,000                   |  |  |
| Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore    | >2,000                   | >2,000                   |  |  |
| Harrisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr | >2,000                   | >2,000                   |  |  |
| Ficus racemosa L.                  | >2,000                   | >2,000                   |  |  |
| Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels | >2,000                   | >2,000                   |  |  |
| Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy      | >20,00                   | 0                        |  |  |
| Vit C                              | 200                      |                          |  |  |

Table 14 The lethal dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) of BLW remedy and each root species in BLW remedy.

The LD<sub>50</sub> of five root species and BLW remedy were tabulated as mention manner. Most of sample exhibited the LD<sub>50</sub> effects on proliferation of fibroblast cell as measured with the MTT assay after the incubation times with each extracts and BLW remedy of 24 h. The concentrations of each extract and BLW remedy were platted against the absorbance of reduced MTT formazan which – according to the basic principle of the MTT assay – is expected to be proportional to the number of living cells. The results of Table 14 suggested that, all of the sample including ethanol and water extracts of each root species component in BLW remedy were revealed the LD<sub>50</sub> values more than 2,000  $\mu$ g/ml while LD<sub>50</sub> value of BLW remedy extract was more than 20,000  $\mu$ g/ml. Thus, If the sample were good, it should have less effect to Fibroblast cells that mean should have LD<sub>50</sub> higher than vitamin c that used as a positive control.

## Nitric Oxide using Griess reagent assay



Figure 79 Effect of ethanol extracts induced by Griess reagent assay

Figure 79 The level of Optical Density (OD) that can be represented the level of Nitrite using Griess reagent assay at 540 nm after treated with ethanol extract of five root species (ECM; ethanol extract of *C. micracantha*, ECP; ethanol extract of *C. petasites*, EHP; ethanol extract of *H. perforata*, EFR; ethanol extract of *F. racemosa* and ETT; Ethanol extract of *T. triandra*) and BLW remedy extract from 1 h. – 5 day at dose 2,000  $\mu$ g/ml. Vitamin C was used as positive control and DMEM in 10% FCS was used as Negative control.

Form the results in Figure 79, ethanol extract of *H. perforata* and *F. racemosa* demonstrated highest value of optical density at first day for *H. perforata* and third day for *F. racemosa*. Most of samples revealed higher optical density than vitamin C but only BLW remedy showed optical density lower than vitamin C. (For more understanding, if Optical Density (OD) trend to be increase that mean the level of Nitric oxide also increases, so the free radical scavenging are enhances as well).



Figure 80 Effect of water extracts induced by Griess reagent assay

Figure 80 The level of Optical Density (OD) that can be represented the level of Nitrite using Griess reagent assay at 540 nm after treated with water extract of five root species (WCM; water extract of *C. micracantha*, WCP; water extract of *C. petasites*, WHP; water extract of *H. perforata*, WFR; water extract of *F. racemosa* and WTT; water extract of *T. triandra*) and BLW remedy extract from 1 h – 5 day by dose 2,000  $\mu$ g/ml. Vitamin C was used as positive control and DMEM in 10% FCS was used as Negative control.

Twelve hours after treated with different water extract samples, *C. micracantha* and *F. racemosa* exhibited higher OD while *C. micracantha, C. petasites* and *H. perforata* at the second day demonstrated higher OD than all of sample. On the other hand, BLW remedy displayed lower OD, as illustrated in figure 80.

### CHAPTER V

# **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

As a consequence of increasingly interest to herbal medicine, the concern about the safety, efficacy and quality of all medicinal plants uses are also increasing. Some of Thai traditional remedies, although have been in practice, they are possible for adverse effects. Therefore, information on the safety, efficacy and quality of BLW remedy and its ingredients are important for public health issue. This study attempted to study the safety, efficacy and quality assessment of BLW remedy and each component herb. Firstly, it was undertaken using WHO guideline as quality control methods for medicinal plant materials for assessment of the quality of five root species that used as BLW herbs ingredients. Including this, the chemical fingerprint of the remedy was undertaken by using 3D-HPLC then performed the multivariate analysis for further standardization of BLW remedy according to chemical characteristics. Secondly, the safety of each root species extract and BLW remedy were performed for the cytotoxicity, mutagenicity and DNA damage activities using Brine shrimp method, Ames test and Comet assay respectively. Thirdly, the efficacy studies included antipyretic and analgesic activities in animal model, anti-mutagenic activity by Ames test, free radical scavenging activity by DPPH assay, cell proliferation by MTT assay and nitric oxide by griess reagent assay.

Although each species of BLW remedy could easily be distinguished on the basis of whole plant morphology as revealed in Figure 6,14,22,30 and 38, it became very difficult when the raw materials were in dried crude drugs and/or in powdered form. Especially in the form of the root powder that might be contaminated or adulterated in the traditional markets. In this study, the results obtained in morphological characters of five species were a good tool to authenticate the crude drugs. According to the study of Mecalfe [247], it was stated that only the methods of comparative histology can be used for identification of fragmentary or partly decomposed condition of vegetable material. Narayanan *et al.* [248] also mentioned that the correct identification of roots could often be achieved only by microscopical investigation. Consequently, the presence or absence of some histological characters of each root species was also explored and the dichotomous key was firstly established. This key identification could be used for detection of the contamination or adulteration of raw materials without high technology instrument (Figure 44). The examination of the physicochemical parameters in this study can help to evaluate the quality of the crude drugs and confirm raw materials standardization.

In 3D-HPLC results, the chromatogram from several places in each species, which were used as fingerprints, were compared and demonstrated no difference in the same species but for a variation species, there was distinction. According to Marston [249], who described for chemotaxonomic purposes, the botanical relationship between different species can be shown by chromatographic comparison of their chemical composition and Sakakitbara *et. al.* 

[250] also explained that the HPLC was the best technique for an efficient separation of the crude extracts. Therefore, 3D-HPLC profiles were served well as fingerprint to differentiate all five species of BLW remedy. Based on the principle that profile-based classification could investigate variations within and among species by comparison of fingerprints.

Moreover, the fingerprint similarity - based taxonomy, which relies on the ratio of selected constituents, can improve the misclassifications caused by large qualitative differences. All batch samples were analyzed using the comparisons of the fingerprints by multivariate analysis. The chromatographic region from 0 to 60 min was selected for further studies. Sixty-three peaks within the studied region (0 - 60 min) were selected as characteristic peaks since they had relatively large areas. By comparisons of the retentions time, the area under curve of all selected peaks were extracted as raw data and used for multivariate analysis later.

The multivariate analysis, hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA), principle component analysis (PCA) and factor analysis were used to investigate the similarity of fingerprints. For the factor analysis results, most of factor plots were disseminated through fact sheet. Hierarchical clustering analysis illustrated clearly fell into three separate clusters. Principle component analysis also grouped into three clusters due to their similar area under curve or the quantitative of compounds in each peaks. The results indicated a close relationship between batch 2 to batch 12, except batch 1 that had no relationship with another batches. In this study, therefore, multivariate analysis was shown to be able to discriminate between various preparations including differentiation between various batches from different places throughout Thailand.

In conclusion, this study showed the effectiveness of the use of HPLC spectra combined with multivariate analysis of BLW remedy preparations from several places throughout Thailand. Most of batch samples were classified as same group which represented to the same standardization. There was also batch established different chemical characteristics which might be duet to the uncertainties constituents from different types of ecological environment. However, the results of this study revealed the value of the multivariate analysis spectral data as a sensitive method for characterization of very complex traditional medicines preparations, which took all detectable constituents into account in the description of sample composition.

Cytotoxic assay by *A. salina* lethality assay has been used as bench top bioassay for the discovery of bioactive natural products. It is an excellent choice for elementary toxicity investigations of consumer products based on the ability to kill laboratory-cultured *Artemia nauplii* (brine shrimp larva) [217, 218, 251]. Good correlation between the *in vitro* and the *in vivo* test (r = 0.85 and p < 0.05) using LC<sub>50</sub> of *A. salina* and mice model reported by Perra *et al.* [252] showed that the *A. salina* was a useful method to predict oral acute toxicity in plant extracts. From the results, BLW remedy extract showed toxicity to *A. salina*, and the toxicity was assumed to be due to ingredients in *T. triandra* and *H. perforata*. In contrary with Paowin *et al.*, [253], who has reported the different results that the water extract of leaves of *T.* 

*triandra* showed no acute or subchronic toxicities in female and male rats. This discrepancy might be due to the different parts used of this plant in the present study.

Mutagenic and anti-mutagenic were undertaken by using Salmonella typhimurium as target, TA98 and TA100 strains were used for detected frame-shift and base-paired substitution mutagenicity respectively. The studies of Kato et al. [142] demonstrated that 1-aminopyrine treated with nitrite at pH 3.0 and 37°C showed mutagenicity to S. typhimurium strain TA98 and TA100 without metabolic enzyme in the system. In concordance with Kangsadalampai et al. [21, 22] who found that 1-Nitropyrene was a potent direct mutagen toward S. typhimurium strains TA 98 and TA100 with similar condition that occurring in the stomach digestion. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [31] had revealed that the mutagenicity of 1-aminopyrine needed to be activated by nitroreductase. From the literature of Thai traditional remedy, only Kangsadalampai et al. [226] had undertaken the investigation of mutagenicity modification of Thai folklore medicine by nitrite in Ames mutagenicity test. The results of present study showed that all of the extract samples were non mutagenic directly but most of them were mutagenic indirectly under the nitrosation condition (nitrite treated in acidic condition). On the other hand, for anti-mutagenic property, most of ethanol extract and BLW remedy exhibited strongly active inhibition of mutagenicity whereas the water extracts were shown moderately active inhibition. These results were in accordance with the studies of Botting et al. [255] and Wongwattanasathien et al. [256] that the extracts which was derived from low polar solvents caused high inhibition than the crude extracts which derived from high polar solvents.

Comet assay provides a rapid, visual method for assessing DNA breakage quantitatively in single cell. DNA damage was evaluated in peripheral blood lymphocytes treated with different concentrations of each root species and BLW remedy. Water extract of *C. micrantha* and *T. triandra* were exhibited high damage in DNA as same as the positive control,  $H_2O_2$ . Additionally, the ethanol extract of *C. micracantha* also exhibited higher DNA damage when compared with the other ethanol extracts samples. The results revealed that most of water extracts samples demonstrated DNA damage higher than ethanol extract samples. Also in each species extract, it caused DNA damage higher than the combination of each species as the remedy.

From the finding data of safety studies, it was interesting to note that most data of water extracts showed non-safety results. While most of ethanol extracts of each root species showed safety results and the BLW remedy also showed the safety results in all bioactivities in the safety studies part.

These studies have demonstrated the antipyretic and antinociceptive effects of five root species and BLW remedy extracts in two animal models. Antipyretic activity was assessed utilizing the LPS-induced fever model. The antinociceptive effect was assessed utilizing thermal by hot-plate models. Antipyretics such as ASA and other nonsteroidal anti-

inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) reduce fever by depressing inflammatory messages at both peripheral sites of tissue inflammation and within CNS thermoregulation sites. They suppress peripheral producing of pyrogenic cytokines including TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$  while lower the thermoregulatory set point by blocking central COX production of PGE<sub>2</sub> [257].

LPS is the most potent stimulus known for TNF- $\alpha$  production and release and also increases circulating levels of another pyrogen, IL-1. This exogenous pyrogen has been shown to produce fever in laboratory animals such as guinea pigs and rabbits by stimulating the production of endogenous TNF- $\alpha$  [258, 259]. For characterization of the antipyretic activity of BLW remedy, the LPS-induced fever model in rats was employed in this study.

Orally administered ASA, the positive control, significantly attenuated fever in LPS treated rats at all times tested. This has been due to inhibition of COX and therefore interference with the cascade of the synthesis of PGs which induces fever. The oral administration was chosen in order to imitate the normal consumption of 'Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy', the Thai traditional antipyretic herbal medicine. All doses of BLW remedy (25-400 mg/kg) displayed antipyretic activity in the LPS-induced fever model of rats over the period of 2-7 hr after LPS injection. Additional studies are needed to determine whether the antipyretic effect of BLW remedy (100 and 200 mg/kg) occurred within 2 hr after LPS injection and was sustained for up to 7 hr, similar to that seen with ASA treatment. The antipyretic efficacy of all doses of BLW used was comparable to that of ASA. These results are consistent with the previous study of Konsue *et al.* in 2008 [260] who investigated the antipyretic effect of dried root powder of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian herbal drugs.

In order to investigate the antinociceptive properties of BLW remedy, thermal pain by hotplate models was performed in mice. The standard hot-plate test, a central analgesic activity testing model, measures two behavioral components including paw licking and jumping which are both considered to be supraspinally integrated responses [261]. This model usually employed morphine (MO) as a reference drug. MO demonstrated potent analgesic effects in this model indicating the sensitivity of this test. The significant analgesic action of BLW (400 mg/kg) was observed during the 240 min test. The results obtained from hot-plate tests indicated that BLW has analgesic activity at supraspinal level.

The scavenging activity was tested by the ability to scavenge DPPH radicals. DPPH (2, 2diphenyl-1-picrylhydazyl) assay is based on the reaction of metanolic solution of colored free radical DPPH by free radical scavenger. DPPH is a stable free radical with red-purple color (absorbed at 517 nm). If free radical has been scavenged, DPPH will fade its color to yellow. Therefore, from this character, the medicinal plant free radical scavenging activity was carried out. The resultant level of reduced DPPH was measured spectrophotometerically [262]. This result showed that BLW remedy had potential to scavenge free radicals. This due to three plant roots ingredients namely *H. perforata*, *T. triandra* and *F. racemosa*. The stem bark of *F.*  *racemosa* was previously reported of free radical scavenging activity [263]. This study revealed the same antioxidant activity by *F. racemosa* root as well. In addition, the root of *C. micracantha* has been shown as antioxidant using Heinz body model [264].

The dose dependent effect of each root species and BLW remedy on proliferation of fibroblast cell was measured with the MTT assay after incubation for 24 h and LD <sub>50</sub> were determined. The results of this assay suggested that most of ethanol and water extracts showed LD<sub>50</sub> more than 2,000  $\mu$ g/ml while BLW exhibited the LD<sub>50</sub> more than 20,000  $\mu$ g/ml. It was shown that each root species affected fibroblast cell weaker than vitamin C at dose of 200  $\mu$ g/ml. Moreover the BLW remedy showed less damage to fibroblast cell also. As general from several studies, vitamin C (ascorbic acid) has revealed the effect as a potential for treatment brought enhanced quality and prolongation of cell life. On the other hand, recently emerging evidence indicated that vitamin C (1-10 mM) resulted in effective cell death *in vitro* and inhibition of tumor growth *in vivo* [265-267]. Additionally, the mechanism and physiologic relevance remained to be fully elucidated that vitamin C could lead to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production. It has been known that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> involves in the redox control of several physiological processes including cell proliferation and appotosis [268-269]. Regarding to Takemura *et.al.* in 2010 [270], who firstly reported that high dose of vitamin C induced cell death of all mesothelioma cell lines.

Fibroblast cell tested in this study were passage 15, which represented aging cell. The supernatant from cell proliferation assay aforementioned above were further tested for nitric oxide as one indicator of cellular oxidative stress affecting to apoptosis or cell death.

The supernatant of BLW remedy treated fibroblast cells showed lowest optical density relevant to least nitric oxide concentration than the supernatant of each root species and also vitamin C treated cells. *H. perforata, F. racemosa* and *C. micracantha* demonstrated higher nitric oxide level than other species. This might be due to either less nitric oxide scavenging activity or more nitric oxide induction [268-269]

On the basis of studies, the selection of plant materials for this study was based on ethobotanical data on the traditional use of the plants in treatment of antipyretic as mentioned manner. The benefit of such subsequent results will be served as scientific evidences in efficacy and safety for BLW remedy use in primary health care. The importance of conservation of the traditional uses among these medicinal plants is unquestionable. This knowledge represents additional information in order to promote a good standard of plant materials for used as a remedy. Even though, some tested species showed limit in safety and efficacy *via* the bioactivity testing, it is important to note that these species have not been employed as single crude drug use in the traditional context.

From such findings as conclusion in the table 15, when each species was investigated the safety and efficacy of BLW ingredient, it was revealed diversity results. While all of ingredient

remedy prepared in form of the remedy as a combination of the remedy, the good results were revealed. It may possibly be affected from the remedy medicine that contains many active ingredients, several combination effects are involved in their efficacy. These combination effects might be classified as pharmacological effects and pharmaceutical effects. The former affect synergic and antagonistic actions, new pharmacological activity, reduction of adverse reaction, and so on. Concurrence with the principle of Kampo medicine in Japan [271], that the efficacy cannot be explained by the pharmacological activity of any one of the active ingredients. Several active ingredients may affect the multiple systems of the whole body by several combined effects. Additionally Kampo medicines are generally administered orally, "inactive" compounds may be activated by endogenous factors such as gastric sections, intestinal enzymes, and bacteria.

 Table 15 Conclusion of Safety and Efficacy of each root species and Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi 

 Chian remedy extract.

|                        |   | Sample         |            |              |       |              |     |             |      |             |     |           |
|------------------------|---|----------------|------------|--------------|-------|--------------|-----|-------------|------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| Experiment             |   | C. micracantha |            | C. petasites |       | H. perforata |     | F. racemosa |      | T. triandra |     | BLW Remdy |
|                        |   | Е              | W          | E            | W     | E            | W   | Е           | W    | Е           | W   |           |
| Safety evaluation by   | Brine shrimp assay  | +++            | ++         | +++          | +++   | +            | +   | +++         | +++  | т           | т   | ++        |
|                        | Mutagenic assay<br>(Ames test) without<br>nitrite<br>(Ames test) with | ++             | ++         | ++           | +     | ++           | +   | ++          | +    | ++          | ++  | ++        |
|                        | nitrite   | ot S           |            | ото          | n+    | 0 + 0        | +   | <b>ST</b> S | т    | т           | т   | т         |
|                        | Comet assay   | +++            | т          | ++           | ++    | +++          | +   | +++         | +    | +           | Т   | +++       |
| Efficacy evaluation by | Antipyretic activity<br>(Animal model)                                | งก             | <b>5</b> 8 | 11           | ++ 91 | 17           | ++  | ยา          | ₁ลัโ | 8           | ++  | +++       |
|                        | Analgesic activity<br>(Animal model)                                  | ++             | +++ +++    |              | ++    | +++          |     | ++          |      | ++          |     | +++       |
|                        | Anti-mutagenic<br>testing (Ames test)                                 | +++            | +++        | +++          | +     | +++          | +++ | +++         | +    | +++         | +++ | +++       |
|                        | Free radical<br>Scavenging<br>Activities                              | +              | +          | ++           | +     | ++           | ++  | ++          | +    | ++          | +   | ++        |

+++: Good, ++: Moderate, +: Mild, T: Toxic, E: Ethanol extract, W: water extract

Although BLW remedy has a long clinical experience to support their efficacies and safety, the efficacy of BLW remedy requires the clarification of the mechanism of action and active ingredients in nonclinical studies and objective clinical evaluations as an evidence-based medicine. Because BLW remedy contain many active ingredients due to the several component herbs, clarification of such combination effects and standardization are also very important in order to understand the mechanism of action and supply quality-controlled materials. Likewise the results more indicate that the often very elaborate traditional knowledge can serve as guideline to provide leads for further testing of potentially interesting plants that can serve for further studies that would allow the clinical validation of the traditional uses and the application of the species in modern treatment forms.

Overall, regarding to the results of the current study, it could be described that not only each species that need to carry out for the safety, efficacy and quality, but the combination of remedy needed to understand the consequences of such combined used for safety, efficacy and quality also. Moreover the finding from the present study provided further evidences to support the safe consumption of Thai traditional medicines: Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy and its components. The consumers should beware of using the remedy with nitrite containing food for prospect continuing used as well. Additionally, it is interesting to note that an antipyretic effect revealed the same potential of standard aspirin due to the synergistic effect of its components. Finally, this study helps clarifying the safety, efficacy and quality of each plant species and Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian remedy as well as provides additional scientific support for this well-known Thai traditional medicine.

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

### REFERENCES

- Ameeneh Gurib-Fakim. Medicinal plants: Traditional of yesterday and drugs of tomorrow. <u>Molecular Aspects of Medicine</u> 27 (2006):1-93.
- [2] World Health Organization. <u>Regulatory situation of herbal medicines: a worldwide</u> <u>review</u>. Geneva (document WHO/TRM/98.1), 1998.
- [3] World Health Organization. <u>Guidelines on Developing Consumer Information on Proper</u> <u>Use of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine</u>. ISBN 92 4 1591706, 2004.
- [4] World Health Organization. <u>National policy on traditional medicine and regulation of herbal medicines; Report of a WHO global survey</u>. Geneva. ISBN 92 4 159323 7, 2005.
- [5] World Health Organization. <u>WHO traditional medicine strategy</u>, 2002-2005. Geneva. (document WHO/EDM/TRM/2002.1), 2002.
- [6] World Health Organization. <u>WHO guidelines on good agricultural and collection</u> practices (GACP) for medicinal plants. Geneva, 2003.
- [7] World Health Organization. <u>General guidelines for methodologies on research and</u> <u>evaluation of traditional medicine</u>. Geneva. (document WHO/EDM/TRM/2000.1), 2000.
- [8] World Health Organization. Legal status of traditional medicine and complementary/ alternative medicine: a worldwide review. Geneva. (document WHO/EDM/TRM/2001.2), 2001.
- [9] World Health Organization. <u>WHO; guidelines on safety monitoring of herbal medicines</u> <u>in pharmacovigilance systems</u>. Geneva, 2004.
- [10] World Health Organization. <u>WHO Medicines Strategy Countries at the core 2004-2007</u>. WHO/EDM/2004.2, 2004.
- [11] Pulok K, Mukherjee. <u>Quality control of herbal drugs: an approach to evaluation of botanicals</u>. Second Reprint. New Delhi, India: Business horizons, 2007.
- [12] Pennapa Subcharoen. <u>History, Evaluation and Application of Thai Traditional Medicine</u>.
   ISBN 987 974 9530 06 1. Bangkok: Samcharoenpanich Publisher Ltd, 2007.
- [13] Salguero, CP. <u>A Thai Herbal: Traditional Recipes for Health and Harmony</u>. Chiang mai: Silkworm Books, 2003.

- [14] Ruangungsi, N. Unit 6. In Related Sciences in Thai Traditional Medicine No. 53302, <u>Textbook of School of Health Science</u>, pp.1-70. Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University, 2004.
- [15] Bureau of Academic Affairs and Educational Standards, Ministry of Education. <u>Pad</u> <u>sard song kro</u>. Ladprow, Bangkok: The teachers' Council of Thailand Printing, 1999.
- [16] Thai National Drug Committee. <u>National list of essential drugs as 2006</u>. ISBN 974-244-217.7, 2006.
- [17] Smitinand, T. <u>THAI PLANT NAMES</u>. ISBN 974 88385 0 1. Bangkok: The Forest Herbarium Royal Forest Department, 2001.
- [18] Keller, K. Phytotherapy on the European Level. <u>European Phytotelegram</u> 6 (1994): 40-49.
- [19] Evan, WC. Deterioration of crude drugs on stage. <u>British J Phtotherapy</u> 1 (1) (1990): 16-19.
- [20] Newall, CA., Aderson, LA., and Phillipson JD. <u>Herbal Medicine A Guide for Healthcare</u> <u>Professional</u>. London: The Pharmaceutical Press, 1996.
- [21] Konsue, R., Sattayasai, J., Puapairoj, P., and Picheansoonthon, C. Antipyretic effects of Bencha-Loga-Wichien Herbal Drug in Rats. <u>Thai J Pharmacol</u> 29(1) (2008): 79-82.
- [22] Plant Resources of South East Asia. Available in http:// proceanet.org/prosea/index.php. Cited February 10<sup>th</sup>, 2009.
- [23] Khantikaew, I., and Sakulhaemaruethai, S. Anti-cancer and anti-tuberculosis activity of the crude extracts from *Capparis micracantha* DC. <u>33<sup>rd</sup> Congress on Science and</u> <u>Technology of Thailand</u>, pp.188, 2004.
- [24] Suwansaksri, J., Wiwaiiitkit, V., Soogarun, S., Prachasilp, J and Chotipheut, A. Study of antioxidant property of some selected Thai medicinal flowers and roots an In Vitro study by Heinz body induction. <u>The 3<sup>rd</sup> World congress on Medicinal and</u> <u>Aromaatic Plants for Human Welfare</u>, pp.430, 2003.
- [25] Tiangburanatham, V. <u>Dictionary of Thai Medicinal Plants</u>. Bangkok: Prachumtong Publishing, 1996.
- [26] Lal, S., and Lata, K. Plants used by the Bhat community for regulating fertility. <u>Economic Botany</u> 34 (1980): 273–275.

- [27] Pie, S.J. Preliminary study of ethnobotany in *Xishuang Banna*. People's Republic of China. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 13 (1985):121–137.
- [28] Prakash, L., and Garg, G. Chemical constituents from the stem heartwood of *Guazuma omentosa*. Journal of Indian Chemical Society 58 (1981): 726–727.
- [29] Akihisa, T., *et al.* Sterols of some *Clerodendrum* species (Verbenaceae): occurrence of the 24α- and 24β-epimers of 24-ehylsterols lacking a δ 25-bond. <u>Steroids</u> 53 (1989): 625–638.
- [30] Azz Abdur Rahman, M., Zafrul Azam, A.T.M., and Gafur, M.A. The vitro antibacterial principles of extracts and two flavonoids from *Clerodendrum indicum* Linn. <u>Pakistan Journal of Biological Science</u> 3 (2000): 1769–1771.
- [31] Chatluang, P. <u>Bronchodilator Activity of Ethanol Extract from the Plant of Clerodendrum</u> <u>petasites S. Moore</u>. Doctoral dissertation, Department of Pharmacology Faculty of Medicine Chiang Mai University, 2000.
- [32] Hazekamp, A., Verpoorte, R., and Panthong, A. Isolation of a bronchodilator flavonoid from the Thai medicinal plant *Clerodendrum petasites*. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Ethnopharmocology</u> 78 (2001): 45-49.
- [33] Panthong A., Kanjanopothi D., Taesotikul T., Wongcome, T. and Reutrakul, V. Antiinflammatory and antipyretic properties of *Clerodendrum petasites* S. Moore. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 85 (2002): 151-156.
- [34] Perry, LM. <u>Medicinal Plants of East and Southeast Asia</u>. Boston, MA: MIT Press, 389-390, 1980.
- [35] Penso, G. <u>Inventaire des Plantes medicinales employees dans les different pays</u>. O.M.S. press. 80-83, 1980.
- [36] Ministry of University Affairs. <u>Meeting on Medicinal plants and Malaria</u>, Bangkok, Thailand 10 November 1989.
- [37] Bremmer, JB. Species and Other Natural Products, <u>Proceeding of the 7<sup>th</sup> Asian</u> <u>Symposium on Medicinal Plants</u>, 75. Manila, Philippines, 1992.
- [38] Kamiuchi et al. Quassinoids and limonoids from Harrisonia perforate. <u>Heterocycles</u> 43 (1996): 653–664.
- [39] Byrne, L.T., et al. Perforation: a novel tetranortriterpenoid from Harrisonia perforata. <u>Australian J of chemistry</u> 44 (1) (1991): 165-169.

- [40] Wei, X., Pan, Z., Gu, X., Wang, M. and Zhu, Y. Chemical constituents of the Chinese medicine Niu-Jin-Guo (*Harrisonia perforata* Blanco Meer.). IV. Molecular and crystal structure on Niu-Jing V. <u>Jiegou Huaxue</u> 4(4) (1985): 281-287.
- [41] TranVan, S., Nguyen-Minh, P., Kamperdick, C. and Adam, G. Perforatinolone, a limonoid from *Harrisonia perforata*. <u>Phytochemistry</u> 38(1) (1995): 213-215.
- [42] Taylor, D. A. H. The Chemistry of the Limonoids from Meliaceae. <u>In Progress in the</u> <u>Chemistry of Organic Natural Compounds</u> 45 (1984): 1-102.
- [43] Wang, M., Zhang, M. and Zhu, Y. Studies on the chemical constituents of a Chinese folk medicine Niu-Jin-Guo (Harrisonia perforate Blano Merr). <u>Acta pharmaceutica</u> <u>Sinica;Yaoxue Xuebao</u> 18(2) 1983: 113–118.
- [44] Wang, M., Zhang, M., Liu, W and Zhu, Y. Isolation and structural determination of perforation acid from Chinese folk medicine niu-jin-guo(*Harrisonia perforata*). <u>Acta</u> <u>Pharmaceutica Sinica; Yaoxue Xuebao</u> 19(2) (1985): 760—763.
- [45] Thadaniti, S., Archakunakorn, W., Tuntiwachwuttikul, P. and Bremner, J.B. Chromones from *Harrisonia perforata* (Blanco.) merr. <u>J. Sci. Soc. Thailand</u> 20 (1994): 183– 187.
- [46] Tanaka, T., et al. Chromones from Harrisonia perforata, <u>Phytochemistry</u> 40 (6) (1995): 1787—1790.
- [47] Joshi, SG. Oleaceae In <u>Medicinal plants</u>, pp.281-282. New Delhi: Oxford and IBH publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd, 2000.
- [48] Ekanayake, DT. Sri Lanka Forest 14(1,4) (1980): 145-152.
- [49] Mandal, SC., Mukherjee, PK., Das, J., Pal, M., and Saha, BP. Hypoglycaemic activity of *Ficus racemasa* L. (Moraceae) leaves on streptocin-induced diabetic rats. <u>Natural Product Science</u> 3(1) (1997) :38 – 41.
- [50] Mandal, SC., Mukherjee, PK., Saha, K., Pal, M., and Saha, BP. Antidiarrhoeal evaluation of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. Leaf extract. <u>Natural Product Sciences</u> 3(2) (1997): 100 – 103.
- [51] Li, RW., et al. A new anti-inflammatory glucoside from *Ficus racemasa* L. <u>Planta Med</u> 70 (2004): 421-426.
- [52] Mandal, SC., Maity, TK., Das, J., Pal, M., and Saha, BP. Hepatoprotective activity of *Ficus racemosa* leaf extract on liver damage caused by carbon tetrachloride in rats. <u>Phytother Res</u> 13 (1999): 430 – 432.

- [53] Bhaskara Rao, R., et al. Glucose lowering efficacy of Ficus racemosa bark extract in normal and alloxan diabetic rats. Phytother Res 16 (2002): 590-592.
- [54] Trivedi, CP., Shinde, S., and Sharma, RC. Preliminary phytochemical and pharmacological studies on Ficus racemosa extract (Gular). <u>India Journal of</u> <u>Medicinal Research</u> 57(6) (1969): 1070 – 1074.
- [55] Khan, M., and Sultana, S. Chemomodulatory effect of *Ficus racemasa* extract against chemically induced renal carcinogenesis and oxidative damage response in Wistar rats. <u>Life Sciences</u> 77 (2005): 1194 – 1210.
- [56] Kirtikar, KR., and Basu, BD. <u>Indian Medicinal plants</u>. vol 3. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Dehra Dun: Bishen Singh Mahandra Pal Singh, 1975.
- [57] Nadkarni, KM., Nadkarni, AK., and Chopra, RN. <u>Indian Materia Medica</u>. vol 1. Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1996.
- [58] Chopra, RX., Chopra, IC., Handa, KL., and Kapur, LD. Indigenous Drugs of India. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Calcutta: Academin Publisher, 1985.
- [59] Chopra, RX., Chopra, IC., Handa, KL., and Kapur, LD. <u>Indigenous Drugs of India</u>. 3<sup>rd</sup> edn. Calcutta: Academin Publisher, 1985.
- [60] Mandal, SC., Mukherjee, PK., Saha, K., Pal, M., and Saha, BP. Antidiarrhoeal evaluation of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. Leaf extract. <u>Natural Product Sciences</u> 3(2) (1997): 100 – 103.
- [61] Li, RW., et al. A new anti-inflammatory glucoside from Ficus racemasa L. Planta Med 70 (2004): 421-426.
- [62] Mukherijee, PK., et al. Screening of anti-diarrhoeal profile of some plant extracts of a specific region of West Bengal, India. J Ethnopharmacol 60 (1998): 85–9.
- [63] Ratnasooriya, WD., Jayakody, JR., and Nadarajah, T. Antidiuretic activity of aqueous bark extract of Sri Lankan *Ficus racemosa* in rats. <u>Acta Biol Hung</u> 54 (2003): 357– 63.
- [64] Bhaskara Rao R., Murugesan T., Pal M., Saha BP. and Mandal SC. Antitussive potential of methanol extract of stem bark of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. <u>Phytother Res</u> 17 (2003): 1117–8.
- [65] Rao, RB., et al. Evaluation of anti-pyretic potential of Ficus racemosa bark. <u>Phytomedicine</u> 9 (2002): 731–733.

- [66] Ambasta, SP. <u>The useful Plants of India Publications and Information Directorate</u>. New Delhi: CSIR, 221 – 222, 614, 1986.
- [67] Mendal, SC., Saha, BP., and Pal, M. Studies on antibacterial of *Ficus racemosa* extract Linn. <u>Phytotherapy Research</u> 14 (2000): 278 – 280.
- [68] Mandal, SC., Maity, TK., Das, J., Saba, BP., and Pal, M. Anti-inflammatory evaluation of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. leaf extract. <u>J of Ethnopharmacology</u> 72 (1998): 87-92.
- [69] Li, RW., Myers, SP., Leach, DN., Lin, GD., and Leach, G. A cross-cultural study: antiinflammatory activity of Australian and Chinese plants. <u>J of Ethnopharmacology</u> 85 (2002): 25 – 32.
- [70] Veerapur, VP., *et al. Ficus racemosa* Stem Bark Extract: A Potent Antioxidant and a Probable Natural Radioprotector. <u>eCAM</u> (2007): 1-8.
- [71] Baruah, KK., and Gohain, AK. Chemical composition and nutritive value of Dimaru (*Ficus glomerata* Roxb.) leaves. <u>Indian Journal of Nutrition</u> 9(2) (1992): 107-108.
- [72] Smitnand, T., and Larsen, K. Flora of Thailand (Vol 5 Part 3). Bangkok: The Forest Herbarium, Royal Forest Department, 1991.
- [73] Singthong, J., Ningsanond, S., and Steve, W. Cui. Extraction and physicochemical characterization of polysaccharide gum from Yanang (*Tiliacora triandra*) leaves. <u>Food chemistry</u> (2009). Article in press.
- [74] Mahidol, C., Sahahitpichan, P., and Ruchirawat, S. Bioactive natural products from Thai plants. Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry 66 (1994): 2353 – 2356.
- [75] Wiriyachitra, P. and Phuriyakorn, B. Alkaloids of *Tiliacara Triandra*. <u>Australian Journal</u> of Chemistry 34 (1981): 2001-2004.
- [76] Pachaly, P., and Khosravian, H. Tilitriandrin: a new bisbenzylisoquinoline alkaloid from *Tiliacora triandra*. <u>Planta Medica</u> 54(6) (1988a): 516 – 519.
- [77] Pachaly, P., and Khosravian, H. New Bisbenzylisoquinoline Alkaloids from *Tiliacora triandra* 1. <u>Planta Medica</u> 54(5) (1998b): 433-437.
- [78] Pavanand, K., Kyler Webster, H., Yongvantichit, K., and Dechatiwongse, T. Antimalarial activity of *Tiliacora Triandra* Diels against *Plasmodium falciparum* in *vitro*. <u>J Phytotherapy research</u> 3 (5) (1989): 215 – 217.

- [79] Sireeratawong, s., et al. Acute and sub cronic toxicity study of the water extract from *Tiliacora trianda* (Colebr.) Diels in rats. <u>Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.</u> 30(5) (2008): 611- 619.
- [80] Paowin, T., and Paowin, N. Interesting Tree. Ofset press. 138-140, 2544.
- [81] Barnes, J., Anderson, L.A., and Phillipson J.D. <u>Herbal Medicines</u>. Third edition. London UK: Pharmaceutical Press, 2007.
- [82] World Health Organization. <u>Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials</u>. ISBN 92 415 45100 (NLM Classification QV 766), 1998.
- [83] Great Britain, Medicnes Commission pursuant to the Medicines Act. <u>British</u> <u>Pharmacopoeia</u>. Second edition. UK: London Her Majesty's Stationery office, 1980.
- [84] British Herbal Medicine Association. <u>British Herbal Pharmacopoeia (BHP)</u>. UK: Bournemouth, 1990.
- [85] Wagner, H., Bladt S., and Rickl, V. Plant Drug Analysis: A Thin Layer Chromatography Atlas. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [86] Baerheim, A.S. Thin layer chromatography of alkaloids. <u>J.Planar Chromatogr Modern</u> <u>TLC 2</u> (1989): 8-18.
- [87] King-Wah, M.A., Foo-Tim, C.H.A.U., and Jian-Yong, W.U. Analysis of the Nucleoside Content of *Cordyceps sinensis* Using the Stepwise Gradient Elution Technique of Thin-layer Chromatography. <u>Chin, J. Chem.</u> 22 (2004): 85 – 91.
- [88] Spackman, D.H., Stein, W.H. Moores, S. Automatic recording apparatus for use in chromatography of amino acids. <u>Anal. Chem.</u> 30 (1958): 1190-1206.
- [89] LaCours, W.R. Colum liquid chromatography: equipment and instrumentation. <u>Anal.</u> <u>Chem.</u> 74 (2002): 2813-2832.
- [90] Brown, P.R. High-performance liquid chromatography: past developments, present status and future trends. <u>Anal. Chem.</u> 62 (1990): 995A-1008A.
- [91] Sakakibara, H. *et al.* Simultaneous determination of all polyphenols in vegetables, fruits and teas. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem.</u> 51 (2003): 571-581.

- [92] Bryant and Yarnold. Principal components analysis and exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. In: Grimm and Yarnold (ed), <u>Reading and understanding</u> <u>multivariate analysis.</u> New York: American Psychological Association Books, 1994.
- [93] Mardia, K.V., Kent, J.T., and Bibby, J.M. <u>Multivariate Analysis</u>. New York: Acedemic Press, 1979.
- [94] Kannel, P.R., Lee, S., and Kanel, S.R. Chemometric application in classification and assessment of monitoring locations of an urban river system. <u>Anal. Chim. Acta</u> 582 (2007): 390-399.
- [95] Karisa, M.P., Janiece, L.H., Kevin J.J., Bob, W.W., and Robert, E.S. Classification of gasoline data obtained by gas chromatography using a piecewise alignment algorithm combined with feature selection and principal component analysis. <u>Chromatogr. A</u> 1096 (2005): 101- 110.
- [96] Wunderlin, D.A., Diaz, M., Ame, M.M.V., Pesce, S.F., Hued, A.C., and Bistoni, M. A. case study: Suquia river bassin (Cordoba-Artgentina). <u>Water Res.</u> 35 (2001): 2881-2894.
- [97] Jackson, J.E. <u>A Users Guide to Principle Components</u>. New York: Wiley, 1991.
- [98] Meglen, R.R. Examining large databases: a chemometric approach using principal component analysis. <u>Mar. Chem.</u> 39 (1992): 217- 237.
- [99] Xu, G.J., Liang, Y.Z., Chau, F.T., and Heyden, Y.V. Pretreatments of chromatographic fingerprints for quality control of herbal medicine. <u>J. Chromatogr. A.</u> 1134 (2006): 253-259.
- [100] Sanchez, F.C., Lewi, P.J., and Massart, D.L. Effect of different preprocessing methods for principal component analysis applied to the composition of mixtures: Detection of impurities in HPLC—DAD. <u>Chemom. Intell.Lab. Syst</u>. 25 (1994): 157- 177.
- [101] Aruga, R. Multivariate classification of constrained data: problems and alternatives Anal. Chim. Acta 527 (2004): 45 – 51.
- [102] Kvalheim, O.M., Brakstad, F., and Liang, Y.Z. Preprocessing of analytical profiles in the presence of homoscedastic or heteroscedastic noise. <u>Anal. Chem</u>. 66 (1994): 43-51.

- [103] Rietjens, M. Reduction of error propagation due to normalization effect of error propagation and closure on spurious correlations. <u>Anal. Chim. Acta</u> 316(2) (1995): 205-215
- [104] McLaughlin, J.L, Chang, C.J., and Smith D.L. Simple bench-top bioassays (Brine shrimp and potato discs) for the discovery of plant antitumor compounds: review of recent progress. <u>Human medicinal agents from plants</u> 9 (1991): 383 -407.
- [105] Lieberman M. A. Brine Shrimp Bioassay for Measuring Toxicity and Remediation of Chemicals. <u>J of Chemical Education</u> 76(12) (1999): 1689-1690.
- [106] Michael, A.S., Thompson, C.G., and Abromovitz, M. Artemia salina as a test organism for a bioassay. <u>Science (1956)</u>: 123-464.
- [107] Vanhaecke, P., Persoone, G., Claus, C., and Sorgeloos, P. Proposal of short-term toxicity test with Artemia nauplii. <u>Ecotoxicologyl and Environmental Safety</u> 5 (1981): 382 -387.
- [108] Sleet, R.B., and Brendel, K. Improved methods for harvesting and counting synchronous populations of *Artemia nauplii* for use in developmental toxicology. <u>Ecotoxicolol Environ Saf.</u> 7(5) 1983: 435-446.
- [109] Belk, D. and Jan, B. Checklist of the Anostraca. Hydrobiologia 298 (1995): 315- 353.
- [110] Ertek and Jan. Checklist of the valid and invalid names of the "large branchiopods" (Anostraca, Notostraca, Spinicaudata and Laevicaudata), with a survey of the taxonomy of all Branchiopoda. Zborník Slovenského Národného Múzea. <u>Prírodné</u> <u>Vedy</u> 43 (1997): 1-66.
- [111] Weekers, Peter H. H., Gopal Murugan, and Jacques, R. Va. Phylogenetic analysis of anostracans (*Branchiopoda: Anostraca*) inferred from nuclear 18S ribosomal DNS (18S rDNA) sequences. <u>Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution</u> (2002): 535-544.
- [112] Eads and Brian, D. Salty survivors: *Artemia*: Basic and Applied Biology. <u>J of</u> <u>Experimental biology</u> 207 (11) (2004): 1757–1758.
- [113] Schuman and Kai. Artemia: Brine shrimp. Portland state University, 1995.
- [114] Areekul, S. and Harwood, R.F. Two organisms suitable for bioassaying specific acaricides. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem.</u> 8 (1960): 32–36.
- [115] Grosch, D.S. Poisoning with DDT: effect on reproductive performance of Artemia. <u>Science</u> 155 (1967): 592–593.

- [116] Brown, R.F., Wildman, J.D., and Eppley, R.M. Temperature-dose relationships with aflatoxins on the brine shrimp *Artemia salina L. J Assoc Off Anal Chem* 51 (1968): 905-906.
- [117] Harwig, J., and Scott, P. Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina* L.) larvae as a screening system for fungal toxins. <u>J of Appl Microbiol</u> 21 (1971): 1011-1016.
- [118] Brown, R.F. The effect of some mycotoxins on the brine shrimp, *Artemia salina*. <u>J Am.</u> <u>Oil Chem.Soc</u> 46 (1969): 119.
- [119] Eppley, R.M. Sensitivity of brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) to trichothecenes. <u>J Assoc.</u> Off. Anal Chem 57 (1974): 618 - 620.
- [120] Eng-Wilmot, D.L., and Martin, DF. Short-term effects on Artemia salina of aponin and Gomphosphaeria aponina in unialgal cultures and in mixed cultures with gymnodinium breve. <u>J Pharm Sci</u> 68 (1979): 963- 966.
- [121] Hood, D.W., Duke, T.W., and Stevenson, B. Stream pollution Measurement of toxicity of organic wastes to marine organisms. <u>J Water Pollution Control Fed</u> 32 (1960): 982–993.
- [122] Robinson, A.B., Manly, K.F., Anthony, M.P., Catchpool J.F. and Pauling L. Anesthesia of Artemia Larvae: Method for Quantitative Study. <u>Science</u> 149 (1965): 1255-1258.
- [123] Granade, H.R., Cheng, P.C., and Doorenbos. Ciguatera I: Brine shrimp (*Artemia salina* L.) larval assay for ciguatera toxin. <u>J Pharm. Sci</u> 65 (1976): 1414 1415.
- [124] Richer, J.A., and Goldstein, A. The effects of morphine-like compounds on the light responses of the brine shrimp *Artemia salina*. <u>Psychopharmacologia (Berl.)</u> 17 (1970): 327 - 337.
- [125] Zillioux, E.J., Foulk, H.R., Prager, J.C. and Cardin, J.A. Using Artemia to assay oil dispersant toxicities. <u>J Water Pollut.Control Fed.</u> 45 (1973): 2389 - 2396.
- [126] Kinghorn, A.D., Harjes, K.K., and Doorenbos, N.J. Screening procedure for phorbol esters using brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) larvae. <u>J Pharm.Sci.</u> 66 (1967): 1362 -1363.
- [127] Chanh, P.H., and Mamy, G. A simple biological reagent for toxicity tests: The eggs of "Artemia salina". <u>Agressologie</u> 4 (1963): 599.
- [128] Moreno-Murillo B., Fajardo VM., and Suarez, M. Cytotoxicity screening of some South American Solanaceae. J Fitoterapia 72 (2001): 680-685.

- [129] Padmaja, R., et al. Brine shrimp lethality bioassay of selected Indian medicinal plants. J <u>Fitoterapia</u> 73 (2002): 508-510.
- [130] Wanyoike, G.N., Chhabra, S.C., Lang' at-Thoruwa, C.C., and Omar, SA. Brine shrimp toxicity and antiplasmodial activity of five Kenya medicinal plants. <u>J of</u> <u>Ethnopharmocology</u> 90 (2004): 129-133.
- [131] Santos Pimenta, L.P., Pinto, G.B., Takahashi, J.A., Silva, L.G.F., and Boaventura, M.A.D. Biological screening of Annonaceous Brazilian Medicinal Plants using *Artemia salina* (Brien shrimp Test). <u>J Phytomedicine</u> 10 (2003): 209 – 212.
- [132] Pitsutthanan, S., Plianbangchang, P., Pisutthanan, N., Ruanruay, S., and Muanrit, O. Brien shrimp Lethality activity of Thai Medicinal Plants in the family Meliaceae. J <u>Naresuan University</u> 12(2) (2004): 13-18.
- [133] Knight, T.M., Forman, D., Al-Dabbagh, S.A., and Doll, R. Estimation of dietary intake of nitrate and nitrite in Great Britain. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol.</u> 25 (1987): 277 – 286.
- [134] Weisburgur, J.H., and Raineri, R. Assessment of human exposure and response to Nnitroso compounds: New view on the etiology of digestive tract cancers. <u>Toxicol</u> <u>Appl Pharmacol</u>. 31 (1975): 369 – 374.
- [135] Buiatti, E., et al. Case-control study of gastric cancer and diet in Italy: Il association with nutrient. Int. J. Cancer. 45 (1990): 896 – 901.
- [136] Hoshiyama, Y., and Sasaba T. Case-control study of single and multiple stomach cancer in Saitama Prefecture, Japan. <u>J Cancer Res</u>. 83 (1992): 937 – 943.
- [137] Rosenkranz, H.S., and Mermelstein, R. Mutagenicity and geno-toxicity of nitroarenes:
   All nitro-containing chemicals were not crated equal. <u>Mutat. Res</u>. 114 (1983): 217 267.
- [138] Handa, T., Yamauchi T., Ohnishi, M., Hisematsu, Y., and Ishii, T. Detection and average content levels of carcinogenic and mutagenic compounds from the particulates on diesel and gasoline engine mufflers. <u>Environ Int.</u> 9 (1983): 335 – 341.
- [139] Tokiwa, H., Nakagawa, R., and Horikawa, K. Mutagenic/carcinogenic agents in indoor pollutant; the dinitripyrenes generated by kerosene heaters and fuel gas and liquefied petroleum gas burnes. <u>Mutat. Res.</u> 157 (1985): 38 -47.
- [140] Kinouchi, T., Tsutsui, H., and Ohnishi, Y. Detection of 1-nitropyrene in yakitori grilled chicken. <u>Mutat. Res</u>. 171 (1986): 105 – 113.

- [141] Edenharder, R., Von Petersdorff, I., and Rauscher, R. Antimutagenic effects of flavonoids, chalcones and structurally related compounds on the activity of 2amino-3-methylinidazo[4,5-f]quinoline(IQ) and other heterocyclic amine mutagens from cooked food. <u>Mutat. Res.</u> 287 (1993): 261 – 274.
- [142] Kato, T., Tadokoro, N., Tsutsui, M., and Kikugawa, K. Transformation of arylamines into direct-acting mutagens with nitrite. <u>Mutat. Res</u>. 249 (1991): 243 – 254.
- [143] Kangsadalampai, K., Butryee, C., and Manoonphol, k. Direct mutagenicity of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-containing fraction of smoked and charcoalbroiled foods treated with nitrite in acid solution. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol</u>. 35 (1996): 213 – 218.
- [144] Rydberg. B., and Johanson K.J. Estimation of single strand breaks in single mammalian cells, In: P.C. Hanawalt, E.C. Friedberg, C.F. Fox (ed.), <u>DNA Repair</u> <u>Mechanisms</u>, pp 465 – 468. New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- [145] Ostling. O., and Johanson K.J. Microelectrophoretic study of radiation-induced DNA damages in individual mammalian cells, <u>Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun</u>. 123 (1984) 291 - 298.
- [146] Tice. R.R., Andrews. P.W., Hirai. O., and Singh K.P. The single cell gel (SCG) assay. In C.R. Witner, R.R. Snyder, D.J. Jollow, J.F. Kalf, I.J. Kocsis, I.G. Sipes (eds.), <u>Biological Reactive Intermediates, Molecular and Cellular Effects and their Impact</u> <u>on Human Health</u>, pp 157 – 164. New York: Plenum Press, 1991.
- [147] Tice, R.R., Strauss, G.H.S. The single cell gel electrophoresis (comet) assay: A potential tool for detecting radiation induced DNA damage in humans. <u>Stem. Cells</u> 13 (1995): 207-214.
- [148] Klaude, M., Eriksson S., Nygren J., and Ahnstrom G. The Comet assay: mechanism and technical considerations. <u>Mutat. Res</u>. 363 (1996) 89-96.
- [149] Singh, N.P., McCoy, M.T., Tice, R.R., and Schneider, E.L.A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. <u>Exp. Cell Res</u>. 175 (1988) 184-191.
- [150] Olive, P.L., Banáth, J.P., and Durand P.E. Detection of etoposide resistance by measuring DNA damage in individual Chinese hamster cells. <u>J. Natl. Cancer Inst</u>, 82 (1990): 779–783.

- [151] Olive, P.L., Banath, J.P., and Durand, R.E. Heterogeneity in Radiation-Induced DNA Damage and Repair in Tumor and Normal Cells Measured Using the "Comet" Assay. <u>Radiat. Res</u>. 122 (1990): 86-94.
- [152] Green, M.H.L., Lowe, J.E., Delaney, R.A., and Green, I.C. Comet assay to detect nitric oxide-dependent DNA damage in mammalian cells. <u>Methods Enzymol.</u> 296 (1996) 243 - 266.
- [153] Tice, R.R. The single cell gel/Comet assay: a microgel electrophoretic technique for the detection of DNA damage and repair in individual cells. In: DH Phillips, S Venitt (eds.): <u>Environmental Mutagenesis</u>, pp. 315–339. UK: Oxford, BIOS Scientific Publishers Limited, 1995.
- [154] McKelvey-Martin, V.J., *et al.* The single cell gel electrophoresis assay (comet assay): a European review. <u>Mutation Res</u>. 288 (1993): 47–63.
- [155] Collins, A.R., Dobson, V.L., Dusinka, M., Kennedy, G. and Stetina, R. The comet assay: what can it really tell us. <u>Mutat. Res.</u> 375 (1997) 183 - 193.
- [156] Aronoff, M.D. and Neilson, G.E. Antipyretics: Mechanisms of action and clinical use in fever suppression. <u>Am J Med</u>. 111 (2001): 304 – 315.
- [157] Lemke, A.K. Understanding the pathophysiology of periperative pain. <u>Can Vet J.</u> 45 (2004): 405 – 413.
- [158] Pourmorad, F., Hosseinimehr, S.J., Shahabimajd, N. Antioxidant activity, phenol and flavonoid contents of some selected Iranian medicinal plants, <u>Afr. J. Biotechnol</u>. 5 (2006): 1142-1145.
- [159] Sun, Y.M., Wang, R.X., Yuan, S.L., Lin, X.J., and Liu, C.B., Theoretical study of the antioxidant activity of curcumin. <u>Chin. J. Chem</u>. 22 (2004): 827-830.
- [160] Cook, N.C., and Samman, S. Flavonoids chemistry, metabolism cardioprotective effects, and dietary sources. <u>Nutr. Biochem</u>. 7 (1996): 66- 76.
- [161] Halliwell, B., Aeschbach, R., Loliger, J., and Aruoma, O. I., The characterization of antioxidants. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol</u>. 33 (1995): 601-617.
- [162] Aruoma, O.I. Characterization of drugs as antioxidant prophylac- tics. <u>Free Radical</u> <u>Biol. Med.</u> 20 (1996): 675-705.
- [163] Huang, D. J., Chen, H. J., Lin, C. D., and Lin, Y. H. Antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica* Forsk) constituents. <u>Bot. Bull. Acad.</u> <u>Sin.</u> 46 (2005): 99-106.

- [164] Halliwell, B. Antioxidant characterization: methodology and mechanism. <u>Biochem.</u> <u>Pharmacol.</u> 49 (1995): 1341-1348.
- [165] Vaya, J., and Aviram, M. Nutritional antioxidants: Mechanisms of action, analyses if activities and medical applications. <u>Curr Med Chem Immunol Endocr Metab</u> <u>Agents.</u> 1 (2001): 99–117.
- [166] Amic, D., Davidovic, D., Bešlo, D., and Trinajstic, N. Structure-Radical Scavenging Activity Relationships of Flavonoids. <u>Croat. Chem. Acta</u> 76 (2003): 55-61.
- [167] Middleton, E., Kandaswami, C., and Theoharides, C. The effect of plant flavonoids on mammalian cells: implications for inflammation, heart disease and cancer. <u>Pharmacol. Rev.</u> 52 (2000): 673-751.
- [168] Winrow, V.R., Winyard, P.G., Morris, C.J., and Blake, D.R. Free radicals in inflammation: second messengers and mediators of tissue destruction. <u>Br. Med.</u> <u>Bull.</u> 49 (1993): 506-522.
- [169] Bauer, V., et al. Reactive oxygen species induced smooth muscle responses in the intestine, vessels and airways and the effect of antioxidants. <u>Life Sci</u> 65 (1999): 1909-1917.
- [170] Bae, G.U. et al. Hydrogen peroxide activates p70s6k signaling pathway. <u>J. Biol. Chem.</u> 274 (1999): 32596-32602.
- [171] Colbert, L.B., and Decker, E. A. Antioxidant activity of an ultrafiltration permeate from acid whey. <u>J. Food Sci</u>. 56 (1991): 1248-1250.
- [172] Shahidi, F., Janitha, P.K., and Wanasundara, P.D. Phenolic antioxidants <u>Crit. Rev.</u> <u>Food Sci. Nutr.</u> 32 (1992): 67-103.
- [173] Martinez, M.V., and Whitaker, J.R. The biochemistry and control of enzymatic browning <u>Trends Food Sci. Technol</u>. 6 (1995): 195-200.
- [174] Gutteridge, J.M.C. Biological origin of free radicals, and mechanisms of antioxidant protection. <u>Chem.-Biol. Interact</u> 91 (1994): 133-140.
- [175] Jadhav, S.J., Nimbalkar, S.S., Kulkarni, A.D., and Madhavi D.L., Lipid oxidation in biological and food systems. In Madhavi, D.L., Deshpande, S. S., and Salunkhe, D.K., (eds), <u>Food Antioxidants: Technological, Toxicological and Health</u> <u>Perspectives</u>, pp. 5–64. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1996.

- [176] Frankel, E.N., and Meyer, A.S., The problems of using one-dimensional methods to evaluate multifunctional food and biological antioxidant. <u>J. Sci. Food Agric</u>. 80 (2000): 1925-1941
- [177] Adegoke, G.O., *et al.* Antioxidants and lipid oxidation in foods a critical appraisal. <u>J.</u> <u>Food Sci. Technol. Mysore.</u> 35 (1998): 35, 283-298.
- [178] Leclercq, C., Arcella, D. and Turrini, A. Estimates of the theoretical maximum daily intake of erythorbic acid, gallates, butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) in Italy: a stepwise approach. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol</u>. 38 (2000): 1075-1084.
- [179] Bonilla, F., Mayen, M., Merida, J. and Medina, M. Extraction of phenolic compounds from red grape marc for use as food lipid antioxidants. <u>Food Chem</u>. 66 (1999): 209-215.
- [180] Iverson, F. In vivo studies on butylated hydroxyanisole. Food Chem. <u>Toxicol.</u> 37 (1999): 37, 993 - 997.
- [181] Williams, G. M., latropoulos, M. J., and Whysner, J. Safety assessment of butylated hydroxyanisole and butylated hydroxyanisole and butylated hydroxytoluene as antioxidant food additives. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol.</u> 37 (1999): 1027 - 1038.
- [182] Velioglu, Y.S., Mazza G., Gao L. and Oomah B.D. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected fruits, vegetables and grain products. <u>J. Agric. Food Chem.</u> 46 (1998): 4113 - 4117.
- [183] Shahidi, F., Chavan, U.D., Naczk, M., and Amarowicz, R. Nutrient distribution and phenolic antioxidants in air-classified fractions of beach pea (*Lathyrus maritimus* L.). <u>J Agric Food Chem.</u> 49 (2001): 926–933.
- [184] Sakata, K. Carotenoids As Antioxidants. In Hiramatsu, M., Yoshikawa T., and Inoue, M. (eds), <u>Food and Free Radicals</u>, pp. 85–99. New York: Plenum Press, 1997.
- [185] Miro-Casas, E., et al. Capillary gas chromatography-mass spectrometry quantitative determination of hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol in human urine after olive oil intake. <u>Anal. Biochem</u>. 294 (2001): 63-72.
- [186] Arnao, M.B. Some methodological problems in the determination of antioxidant activity using chromogen radicalsa practical case. <u>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</u> 11 (2001): 419 - 421.
- [187] Glazer, A.N. Phycoerythrin Flurorescence-Based Assay for Reactive Oxygen Species. <u>Methods Enzymol</u>. 186 (1990): 161 - 168.

- [188] Lercker, G., Bortolomeazzi R., and Pizzale, L. Thermal degradation of single methyl oleate hydroperoxides obtained by photosensitized oxidation <u>J. Am. Oil Chem.</u> <u>Soc.</u> 75 (1998): 1115 - 1120.
- [189] Mosmann, T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assay. J Immun. Meth. 65 (1983): 55-63.
- [190] Carmichael, J., DeGraff, W.G., Gazdar, A.F., Minna, J.D., and Mitchell, J.B. Evaluation of a tetrazolium based semiautomated colorimetric assay: assessment of chemosensitivity testing. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 47 (1987a): 936-942.
- [191] Denizot, F and Lang, R. Rapid colorimetric assay for cell growth and survival. Modifications to the tetrazolium dye procedure giving imporved sensitivity and realiability. <u>J Immun. Meth.</u> 89 (1986): 271-277.
- [192] Maehara, Y., Anai, H., Tmada, R., and Sugimachi, K. The ATP assay is more sensitive than the succinate dehydorgenase inhibition test for predicting cell viability. <u>Eur. J.</u> <u>Cancer Clin. Oncol.</u> 23 (1987): 273-276.
- [193] Cole, S.P.C. Rapid chemosensitivity testing of human lung tumor cells using the MTT assay. <u>Cancer Chemother. Pharmac</u>. 17 (1986): 259-263.
- [194] Green, L.M., Reade, J.L., and Ware, C.F. Rapid colorimetric assay for cell viability: application to the quantitation of cytotoxic and growth inhibitory lymphokines. <u>J.</u> <u>Immun. Meth</u>. 70 (1984): 257-268.
- [195] Gerlier, D., and Thomasett, N. Use of MTT colorimetrix assay to measure cell activation. <u>J. Immun. Meth.</u> 94 (1986): 57-63.
- [196] Carmichael, J., DeGraff, W.G., Gazdar, A.F., Minna, J.D., and Mitchell, J.B. Evaluation of a tetrazolium based semiautomated colorimetric assay: assessment of chemosensitivity testing. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 47 (1987b): 943-946.
- [197] Mosmann, T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. <u>J Immunol Methods</u>. 65 (1983): 55–63.
- [198] Denizot, F., and Lang, R. Rapid colorimetric assay for cell growth and survival. Modifications to the tetrazolium dye procedure giving improved sensitivity and reliability. <u>J Immunol Methods</u>. 89 (1986): 271–277.
- [199] Encyclopaedia Britannica. Fibroblast cell. <u>Encyclopaedia Britannica</u> [online].2011. available from: <u>http://www.britannica.com/bps/search?query=fibroblast</u> [2011, Feb.].

- [200] Slater, T.F., Sawyer, B., and Strauli, U. Studies on succinatetetrazolium reductase system, III: Points of coupling of four different terazolium salts. <u>Biochim. Biophys.</u> <u>Acta</u>. 77 (1963): 183-393.
- [201] Clement, M.V., Hirpara, J.L., Chawdhury, S.H., and Pervaiz, S. Chemopreventive agent resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes triggers CD95 signalingdependent apoptosis in human tumor cells. <u>Blood.</u> 92 (1998): 996-1002.
- [202] Halliwell, B., and Gutteridge, J.M.C. Lipid peroxidation, oxygen radicals;cell damage and antioxidant therapy. <u>Lancet.</u> 23 (1984):1396–1397.
- [203] Cross, C.E. Oxygen radicals and human disease. <u>Ann Intern Med</u>. 107 (1987): 526– 545.
- [204] Beckman, K.B., and Ames, B.N. The free radical theory of aging matures. <u>Physiol Rev</u>. 78 (1998): 547–581.
- [205] Pike, J., and Chandra, R.K. Effect of vitamin and trace elements upplementation on immune indices in healthy elderly. <u>Int J Vitam Nutr Res</u>. 65 (1995):117–121.
- [206] Lee, B.G., et al. Suppression of inducible nitric oxide syntheses expression in RAW264.7 macrophages by two b-carboline alkaloids extracted from Melia azedarach. Eur J Pharmacol. 406 (2000):301–309.
- [207] Kroncke, K.D, Fehsel, K., and Kolb-Bachofen, V. Inducible nitric oxide synthase in human disease. <u>Clin Exp Immunol</u>. 113 (1998):147–56.
- [208] Bredt, D.S. and Snyder, S.H. Nitric oxide: A physiologic messenger molecule. <u>Annu.</u> <u>Rev. Biochem.</u> 63 (1994): 175–95.
- [209] Dawson, T.M. and Dawson, V.L. Nitric oxide: Actions and pathological roles. <u>The</u> <u>Neuroscientist</u> 1(1995): 7–18.
- [210] Griess, P., Bemerkungen zu der abhandlung der H., H, Weselsky., and Benedikt "Ueber einige azoverbindungen." <u>Chem. Ber.</u> 12 (1879): 426–428.
- [211] World Health Organization. <u>Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials</u>. ISBN 92 415 45100 (NLM Classification QV 766), 1998.
- [212] Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health. <u>Thai Herbal Pharmocopoeia</u> <u>1998</u>. vol I. Bangkok: Prachachon Co. Ltd, 1998.
- [213] Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health. <u>Thai Herbal Pharmocopoeia</u> <u>2007</u> vol II. Bangkok: Prachachon Co. Ltd, 2007.

- [214] Ruangrungsi N. et al. <u>Pharmacognostic specification of Thai Crude drugs</u>. Bangkok: the war veterans organization of Thailand under Royal Patronage of His Mejaety the King Printing. 88, 2007.
- [215] Ito, N., et al. Anti-depressant-like activity of a Kampo (Japanese herbal) medicine, koso-san (Xiang-Su-San), and its mode of action through hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis. <u>Phytomedicines</u> 13 (2006): 658-667.
- [216] Meyer, B.N., et al. Brine shrimp: A convenient General Bioassay for Active Plant Constituents. J of Medicinal Plant Research 45 (1982): 31 -34.
- [217] McLaughlin, J.L, Chang C.J., and Smith D.L. Simple bench-top bioassays (Brine shrimp and potato discs) for the discovery of plant antitumor compounds: review of recent progress. <u>Human medicinal agents from plants</u> 9 (1991): 383 -407.
- [218] Lieberman, M.A. Brine Shrimp Bioassay for Measuring Toxicity and Remediation of Chemicals. <u>J of Chemical Education</u> 76(12) (1999): 1689-1690.
- [219] Michael, A.S., Thompson, C.G., and Abromovitz, M. Artemia salina as a test organism for a bioassay. <u>Science</u> (1956): 123: 464.
- [220] Vanhaecke, P., Persoone, G., Claus, C., and Sorgeloos, P. Proposal of short-term toxicity test with Artemia nauplii. <u>Ecotoxicologyl and Environmental Safety</u> 5 (1981): 382 -387.
- [221] Sleet, R.B., and Brendel, K. Improved methods for harvesting and counting synchronous populations of Artemia nauplii for use in developmental toxicology. <u>Ecotoxicologyl and Environmental Saferty</u> 7 (1983): 435-446.
- [222] Ames, B.N., Lee F.D., and Durston, W.E. An Improved Bacterial Test system for the Detection and Classification of Mutagens and Carcinogens (Salmonella typhimurium/ lipopolysaccharide/ frameshift mutations) <u>Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.</u> 70(3) (1973): 782-786.
- [223] Moretelmans, K., and Zeiger, E. The Ames Salmonella/microsome mutagenicity assay. <u>Mutat Res</u> 1(2) (2000): 29-60.
- [224] Tongyonk, L., et al. Mutagenicity and antimutagenicity of Thai Traditional medicine: Yaris-si-duang-mahakal. <u>Thai J Health Res</u> 20(2) (2006): 155-168.
- [225] Kruawan, K., Kangsadalampai, K., Tongsmith, B., and Sriyapai, T. Effects of Manascus Colorants on the Mutagenicity of Nitrite-Treated 1-Aminipyrene Using Ames Test. <u>Thai J. Pharm Sci.</u> 29(1-2) (2005): 29-41.

- [226] Kangsadalampai, K., Kusamran, W., Butryee, W. Mutagenicity modification of Thai folklore medicine by nitrite in Ames Salmonella mutagenicity test. <u>Thai J Toxicol.</u> (1995): 11-12:8-17.
- [227] Kangsadalampai, K., Butrye, C., and Manoophol, K. Direct mutagenicity of the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-containing fraction of smoked and charcoalbroiled foods treated with nitrite n acid solution. <u>Food Chem Toxicol.</u> (1996): 35:213-218.
- [228] De Serres, F.D., and Shelby, M.D. Recommendations on data production and analysis using the Salmonella/microsome mutagenicity assay. <u>Mutant Res.</u> 64 (1979): 159-165.
- [229] Calomme, M., Pieters, L., Vlirtnck, A., and Vander, B.D. Inhibition of bacterial mutagenesis by citrus flavonoids. <u>Planta Med.</u> 62 (1996): 222-226.
- [230] Tice, R.R., et al. Single Cell Gel/Comet Assay: Guidelines for In Vitro and In Vivo Genetic Toxicology Testing. <u>Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis</u> 35 (2000): 206-221.
- [231] Ramachandran, S., and Prasad, N.R. Effect of ursolic acid, a triterpenoid antiocidant, on ultraviolet-B radiation-induced cytotoxicity, lipid peroxidation and DNA damage in human lymphocytes. <u>Chemico-Biological Interactions.</u> 176 (2008): 99-107.
- [232] Cemeli, E., Mirkova, E., Chiuchiarelli, G., Alexandrova, E., and Anderson, D. Investigation on the mechanisms of genotoxicity of butadiene, styrene and their combination in human lymphocytes using the comet assay, <u>Mutation</u> <u>Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis.</u> (2008): doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2009.02.010
- [233] Santos, F.A., and Rao, V.S.N. A study of the anti-pyretic effect of quinine, an alkaloid effective against cerebal malaria, in fever induced by bacterial endotoxin and yeast in rats. <u>J of pharmacy and pharmacology</u> 50(2) (1998): 225-229.
- [234] Cimpello, L.B., Goldman, D.L., and Khine, H. Fever Pathophysiology. <u>Clin Ped Emerg</u> <u>Med</u> 1 (2000): 84-93.
- [235] Oforah, E., and Nweke, I. The Antipyresis of Chloroquine in Fever Models in Rat. <u>Acta</u> <u>Pharmaceutica Sciencia</u> 49 (2007): 139-146.
- [236] Kluger, MJ. Fever: role of pyrogens and cyogens. Physiol Behav 71 (1991): 93-127.

- [237] Vani, T., Rajani, M., Sarkar, S., and Shishoo, C.J. Antioxidant properties of the ayurvedic formulation triphala and its constituents. <u>Inter. J. Pharmacognosy</u> 35 (1997): 313-317.
- [238] Sanchez-Moreno, C., Larrauri, J., and Saura-Calixto, F. Free radical scavenging capacity of selected red and white wine. <u>J. Sci.Food. Agric.</u> 79 (1999): 1301-1304.
- [239] Navarro, M.C., et al. Free radical scavenger and anti hepatotoxic activity of Rosmarinus tomentosus. <u>Plantamedica</u> 59 (1993): 312-314.
- [240] Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, ME., and Berset, C. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity. <u>Lebensm Wiss u Technol</u> 28(1) (1995): 25-30
- [241] Freshney, R.I. <u>Culture of animal cells</u>; a manual of basic technique. Fifth edition. New Jersey, Canada: A john wiley and sons, Inc. Publication, 2005.
- [242] Luplertlop, N. <u>Anti-aging properties of Silk sericin</u>. Independent Study of Mae Fha Luang Universiry, 2008.
- [243] Miles, A.M. <u>Method in enzymology Nitric oxide Part A; source and detection of NO</u>. Lester parker printing, 1996.
- [244] Brest, D.S., and Synder, SH. Nitrix oxide: A physiologic messenger molecule. <u>Ann.</u> <u>Rev.Biochem</u>. 63 (1994): 175-195.
- [245] Dawson, T.M., and Dawson, VL. Nitrix oxide: Actions and pathological roles. <u>The</u> <u>Neuroscientist</u> 1 (1995): 7-18.
- [246] Norman, G.R., and Streiner D.L. PDQ: Statistics. London: BC Decker Inc, Hamilton, 2003.
- [247] Matalfe, C.R., and Chalk, L. <u>Anatomy of the Dicotyledons</u>. Vol. I. Oxford Clarendon, 1979.
- [248] Narayanan, N., Thirugnanasambantham, P., Viswanathan, S., and Sukumar, E. Pharmacognostical studies on the root of *Clerodendrum serratum*. <u>Pharmaceutical</u> <u>Biology J</u>. 40(5) (2002): 362-8.
- [249] Marston, A. Role of advances in chromatographic techniques in phytochemistry. <u>Phytochemistry</u> 68 (2007): 2785-2797.
- [250] Sakakibara, H., Honda, Y., Nagawa, S., Ashida, H., and Kanazawa, K.. Simultaneous determination of all polyphenols in vegetables, fruits and teas. <u>J. Agric. Food</u> <u>Chem</u>. 51 (2003): 571-581.

- [251] Meyer, B.N., et al. Brine shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active plant constituents. <u>Planta Med</u>. 45 (1982): 31-34.
- [252] Parra, A.L., Yhebra, R.S., Sardinas, I.G., and Buela, L.I. Comparative study of the assay of *Artemia salina* L. and the estimate of the medium lethal dose (LD50 value) in mice, to determine oral acute toxicity of plant extracts. <u>Phytomedicine</u> 8(5) (2001): 395-400.
- [253] Paowin, T., and Paowin, N. Interesting Tree. Offset press, 2001
- [254] IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer). 1-nitropyrine. IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. <u>Risk Chrm. Him</u>. 46 (1989): 321-358.
- [255] Botting, K.J., Young, M.M., Pearson, A.E., Harris, P.J., and Ferguson, L.R. Antimutagens in food plants eaten by Polynesian: micronutrients, phytochemicals and protection against bacterial mutagenicity of the heterocyclic amine 2-amino-3methylmidazo [4,5-f] quinoline. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol</u>. 37 (1999): 95-103.
- [256] Wongwattanasathien, O., Kangsadalampai, K., and Tongyonk, L.. Antimutagenicity of some flowers grown in Thailand. <u>Food Chem. Toxicol</u>. 48 (2010): 1045-1051.
- [257] Aronoff, D.M., and Neilson, E.G. Antipyretics: Mechanisms of action and clinical use in fever suppression. Am J Med 111 (2001): 304-15.
- [258] Kluger, M.J. Fever: Role of Pyrogens and Cryogens. <u>Am J Physiol Soc</u> 71 (1) 1991: 93-127.
- [259] Roth, J., and Zeisberger, E. Endotxin tolerance alters thermal response of guinea-pig to systemic infusion of tumour necosis factor-α in guinea-pig. <u>Am J Physiol Regul</u> <u>Integr Comp Physiol</u> 268 (1995): 514-9.
- [260] Konsue, A., Sattayasai, J., Puapairoj, P., and Picheansoonthon, C. Antipyretic effects of Bencha-Loga-Wichien herbal drug in rats. <u>Thai J Pharmacol</u> 29(1) (2008): 79-82.
- [261] Woolfe, G., and MacDonald, A.D. The evaluation of the analgesic action of pethidine hydrochloride (Demerol). <u>J Pharm Exp Ther</u> 80 (1944): 300.
- [262] Yen, G.C., and Hsieh, C.L. Antioxidant effects of dopamine and related compounds. <u>Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry</u>. 61 (1997): 1646-1649.

- [263] Manian, R., Anusuya, N., Siddhuraju, P., and Manian, S. The antioxidant activity and free radical scavenging potential of two different solvent extract of *Camellia sinensis* (L.) O. Kuntz, *Ficus bengalensis* L. and *Ficus racemosa* L. <u>Food</u> <u>Chemistry</u>. 107 (2007): 1000-1007.
- [264] Chatlung, P., <u>Bronchidilator activity of ethanol extract from the plant of Cleredendrum</u> <u>petasites S. Moore</u>. Doctoral Dissertation Department of Pharmacy Faculty of Medicine Chiang Mai University, 2000.
- [265] Chen, Q., et al. Pharmacologic ascorbic acid concentrations selectively kill cancer cell: action as pro-drug to deliver hydrogen peroxide to tissues. <u>Natl. Acad.Sci.</u> 102 (2005): 13604–13609.
- [266] Padayatty, S.J., et al. Vitamin C pharmacokinetics: implications for oral and intravenous use. <u>Ann. Intern. Med.</u> 140(2004): 533-537.
- [267] Chen, Q., et al. Pharmacologic doses of ascorbate act as a prooxidant and decrease growth of aggressive tumor xenograft in mice. <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</u> 105(2008): 11105-11109.
- [268] Antunes, F., and Cadenas, E. Cellular titration of apoptosis with steady state concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: submicromolar levels of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> induce apoptosis through Fenton chemistry independent of the cellular thiol state. <u>Free Radic. Biol. Med.</u> 30(2001): 1008-1018.
- [269] Cai, H. Hydrogen peroxide regulation of endothelial function: origins, mechanisms and consequences. <u>Cardiovasc. Res.</u> 68(2005): 26-36.
- [270] Takenura, Y., et al. High dose of ascorbic acid induces cell death in mesothelioma cells. <u>Biochem. Biophys. Res.</u> 394(2010): 249-253.
- [271] Yamada, H. and Saiki, I. Traditional herbal medicines for modern times: Juzen-taiho-to (Shi-Quan-Da-Bu-Tang) Scientific evaluation and clinical applications. In Yamada (ed.), <u>Introduction: what is Kampo medicines?</u>, pp 1 - 6. London: Taylor & Francis, 2003.

APPENDICES

ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย
### APPENDIX A

Data of Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of five root species in Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy

| No  | Sourcos              | Lot  | Moisturo contont |                | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extractive | e value |
|-----|----------------------|------|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---------|
| INU | Sources              | LOI. |                  | Loss on drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH       | Water   |
| 1   | Chiang mai           | 1    | 8.40             | 5.77           | 3.72      | 1.83           | 0.52       | 1.74    |
|     |                      | 2    | 8.40             | 5.73           | 3.47      | 1.99           | 0.55       | 1.72    |
|     |                      | 3    | 8.80             | 5.66           | 3.54      | 1.83           | 0.54       | 2.14    |
| 2   | Lopburi (Lumnarai)   | 1    | 8.50             | 8.81           | 4.93      | 1.66           | 0.58       | 2.10    |
|     |                      | 2    | 8.00             | 8.90           | 4.94      | 1.79           | 0.55       | 2.16    |
|     |                      | 3    | 8.00             | 8.81           | 4.79      | 1.68           | 0.57       | 2.58    |
| 3   | Nong khai (Sriwilai) | 1    | 9.50             | 7.56           | 2.54      | 0.50           | 0.46       | 2.32    |
|     |                      | 2    | 9.60             | 7.63           | 2.43      | 0.39           | 0.59       | 2.32    |
|     |                      | 3    | 9.10             | 7.67           | 2.44      | 0.36           | 0.51       | 3.09    |
| 4   | Lampang              | 1    | 8.80             | 6.56           | 3.65      | 0.74           | 0.73       | 2.09    |
|     |                      | 2    | 9.00             | 7.19           | 3.84      | 1.05           | 0.75       | 2.67    |
|     |                      | 3    | 9.00             | 7.21           | 3.81      | 0.95           | 0.80       | 2.62    |
| 5   | Petchabun            | 1    | 8.60             | 6.16           | 11.35     | 5.60           | 1.39       | 1.21    |
|     |                      | 2    | 8.50             | 6.23           | 11.47     | 5.65           | 1.41       | 2.03    |
|     |                      | 3    | 8.80             | 6.28           | 10.46     | 5.21           | 1.33       | 2.02    |
| 6   | Rayong               | 1    | 7.80             | 5.87           | 3.97      | 2.14           | 0.54       | 2.26    |
|     |                      | 2    | 7.60             | 5.89           | 3.62      | 2.03           | 0.55       | 2.57    |
|     |                      | 3    | 7.60             | 5.84           | 3.73      | 1.88           | 0.55       | 2.32    |
| 7   | Nakornnayok          | 1    | 7.00             | 5.49           | 7.81      | 0.71           | 0.09       | 1.96    |
|     |                      | 2    | 7.10             | 5.51           | 7.76      | 4.28           | 0.05       | 1.99    |
|     |                      | 3    | 7.00             | 5.44           | 7.37      | 3.74           | 0.04       | 2.05    |
| 8   | Nong khai (Sea ka)   | 1    | 9.60             | 7.92           | 6.38      | 4.57           | 0.10       | 1.53    |
|     |                      | 2    | 9.70             | 7.89           | 4.72      | 2.94           | 0.10       | 1.61    |
|     |                      | 3    | 9.80             | 7.85           | 4.44      | 2.76           | 0.11       | 1.64    |
| 9   | Lopburi (Muang)      | 1    | 9.00             | 8.00           | 4.65      | 1.45           | 0.52       | 1.98    |
|     |                      | 2    | 9.00             | 8.04           | 4.82      | 1.64           | 0.52       | 2.37    |

#### Table 16 Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of Capparis micracantha DC. root

| No  | Sourcoo            | Lot  | Maiatura contant    |                | Total ash  | Acid insoluble | Extractiv | ve value  |
|-----|--------------------|------|---------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| INO | Sources            | LUI. |                     | Loss on drying | content    | ash content    | EtOH      | Water     |
|     |                    | 3    | 9.10                | 7.89           | 4.71       | 1.50           | 0.54      | 2.27      |
| 10  | Uthai thani        | 1    | 7.40                | 6.22           | 5.48       | 3.13           | 0.73      | 2.31      |
|     |                    | 2    | 7.50                | 6.24           | 5.44       | 2.94           | 0.71      | 2.59      |
|     |                    | 3    | 7.50                | 6.09           | 5.23       | 2.94           | 0.78      | 2.38      |
| 11  | Nan                | 1    | 8.60                | 6.88           | 3.96       | 1.41           | 0.64      | 2.19      |
|     |                    | 2    | 8.70                | 6.81           | 4.18       | 1.48           | 0.77      | 2.35      |
|     |                    | 3    | 8.60                | 6.87           | 4.12       | 1.38           | 0.68      | 2.25      |
| 12  | Yasothon           | 1    | 8.20                | 6.50           | 3.82       | 0.99           | 0.07      | 1.42      |
|     |                    | 2    | 8.40                | 6.41           | 4.11       | 1.18           | 0.08      | 1.36      |
|     |                    | 3    | 8 <mark>.3</mark> 0 | 6.34           | 3.93       | 0.96           | 0.09      | 1.37      |
| 13  | Kanchanaburi       | 1    | 8.40                | 6.39           | 4.80       | 2.26           | 0.10      | 1.91      |
|     |                    | 2    | 8.20                | 6.44           | 4.43       | 2.09           | 0.11      | 1.98      |
|     |                    | 3    | 8.20                | 6.82           | 4.44       | 2.04           | 0.10      | 1.82      |
| 14  | Kalasil            | 1    | 7.70                | 6.04           | 3.69       | 1.22           | 0.66      | 4.82      |
|     |                    | 2    | 7.60                | 6.05           | 3.75       | 1.36           | 0.64      | 2.47      |
|     |                    | 3    | 7.60                | 6.02           | 3.62       | 1.33           | 0.67      | 2.13      |
|     | Grand mean± Pooled | SD   | 8.39±0.15           | 6.76±0.13      | 4.91±0.34  | 2.09±0.59      | 0.52±0.03 | 2.16±0.46 |
|     | Min - Max          |      | 7.00-9.80           | 5.44-8.90      | 2.43-11.47 | 0.36-5.65      | 0.04-1.41 | 1.21-4.82 |

| No | Sources             | Lot  | Moisture content | Loss on drying   | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti | ve value |
|----|---------------------|------|------------------|------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|
| NO | Sources             | LUI. | Moisture content | LOSS OIT OF YING | content   | ash content    | EtOH     | Water    |
| 1  | Chiang mai          | 1    | 7.60             | 5.11             | 2.81      | 0.58           | 0.63     | 1.38     |
|    |                     | 2    | 7.50             | 4.94             | 1.93      | 0.44           | 0.75     | 1.41     |
|    |                     | 3    | 7.50             | 4.14             | 2.33      | 0.43           | 0.74     | 1.28     |
| 2  | Petchabun           | 1    | 9.60             | 8.28             | 4.42      | 1.20           | 0.60     | 1.30     |
|    |                     | 2    | 9.50             | 8.18             | 4.25      | 1.18           | 0.66     | 1.38     |
|    |                     | 3    | 9.60             | 8.36             | 3.50      | 0.93           | 0.64     | 1.31     |
| 3  | Nan                 | 1    | 6.50             | 3.62             | 4.61      | 0.83           | 0.67     | 1.42     |
|    |                     | 2    | 6.50             | 3.39             | 4.97      | 0.84           | 0.68     | 1.93     |
|    |                     | 3    | 6.60             | 3.50             | 4.27      | 0.53           | 0.60     | 1.69     |
| 4  | Nongkhai (Sriwilai) | 1    | 10.60            | 8.47             | 4.24      | 1.26           | 1.01     | 1.70     |
|    |                     | 2    | 10.60            | 8.65             | 4.29      | 1.32           | 1.01     | 1.72     |
|    |                     | 3    | 10.60            | 9.02             | 4.39      | 1.27           | 1.00     | 1.92     |
| 5  | Lumpang             | 1    | 7.00             | 3.32             | 6.13      | 1.04           | 0.49     | 0.97     |
|    |                     | 2    | 5.20             | 3.19             | 5.90      | 1.01           | 0.57     | 1.10     |
|    |                     | 3    | 5.40             | 3.09             | 6.50      | 0.89           | 0.60     | 1.20     |
| 6  | Nakhornnayok        | 1    | 7.60             | 6.11             | 3.26      | 0.61           | 0.36     | 1.48     |
|    |                     | 2    | 7.70             | 6.01             | 3.05      | 0.64           | 0.30     | 1.14     |
|    |                     | 3    | 7.60             | 6.04             | 3.22      | 0.79           | 0.34     | 1.30     |
| 7  | Lopburi (Muang)     | 1    | 9.80             | 7.97             | 4.33      | 0.71           | 0.80     | 1.43     |
|    |                     | 2    | 9.40             | 7.79             | 4.14      | 0.65           | 0.93     | 1.43     |
|    |                     | 3    | 9.40             | 7.93             | 4.45      | 0.82           | 0.98     | 1.51     |
| 8  | Nongkhai (Seaka)    | 1    | 8.00             | 5.77             | 6.35      | 2.32           | 0.35     | 2.45     |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.00             | 5.82             | 4.84      | 1.04           | 0.36     | 2.48     |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.00             | 5.90             | 5.46      | 1.51           | 0.40     | 2.73     |
| 9  | Lopburi (Lumnarai)  | 1    | 9.80             | 7.78             | 6.54      | 0.96           | 0.60     | 1.62     |
|    |                     | 2    | 9.60             | 7.64             | 8.40      | 1.36           | 0.75     | 1.19     |

#### Table 17 Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of Clerodendrum petasites S. Moore root

| No  | Sourcoo               | Lot  | Moioturo contont |                 | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti  | ve value  |
|-----|-----------------------|------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| INU | Sources               | LOI. |                  | Loss off drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH      | Water     |
|     |                       | 3    | 9.60             | 8.02            | 8.49      | 1.29           | 0.84      | 1.38      |
| 10  | Phuket                | 1    | 7.70             | 5.76            | 7.13      | 3.67           | 0.26      | 0.92      |
|     |                       | 2    | 7.80             | 5.77            | 5.32      | 2.13           | 0.29      | 0.90      |
|     |                       | 3    | 7.80             | 5.60            | 6.20      | 2.95           | 0.30      | 1.19      |
| 11  | Yasothon              | 1    | 7.90             | 5.83            | 3.63      | 0.55           | 0.26      | 1.31      |
|     |                       | 2    | 8.00             | 5.91            | 3.57      | 0.43           | 0.22      | 1.24      |
|     |                       | 3    | 8.00             | 5.90            | 3.60      | 0.70           | 0.23      | 1.38      |
| 12  | Rayong                | 1    | 6.80             | 5.23            | 3.01      | 0.69           | 0.89      | 2.50      |
|     |                       | 2    | 7.00             | 5.12            | 2.38      | 0.50           | 0.92      | 2.30      |
|     |                       | 3    | 7.00             | 5.25            | 2.99      | 0.58           | 0.96      | 2.35      |
| 13  | Uthai thani           | 1    | 8.80             | 7.13            | 2.87      | 0.35           | 0.71      | 1.34      |
|     |                       | 2    | 8.80             | 7.25            | 2.98      | 0.37           | 0.89      | 1.39      |
|     |                       | 3    | 8.80             | 7.01            | 3.10      | 0.54           | 0.76      | 1.93      |
| 14  | Kalasil               | 1    | 6.70             | 5.37            | 2.77      | 0.45           | 0.90      | 1.75      |
|     |                       | 2    | 6.70             | 5.29            | 2.82      | 0.42           | 0.94      | 2.35      |
|     |                       | 3    | 6.80             | 5.34            | 2.53      | 0.35           | 0.99      | 2.18      |
|     | Grand mean± Pooled SD |      | 8.08±0.28        | 6.09±0.18       | 4.33±0.55 | 0.98±0.29      | 0.65±0.06 | 1.59±0.18 |
|     | Min - Max             |      | 5.20-10.60       | 3.09-9.02       | 1.93-8.49 | 0.35-3.67      | 0.22-1.21 | 0.90-2.73 |

| No       | Sourcos            | Lot  | Moisturo contont   |                | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extractive | Extractive value           EtOH         Water           0.37         0.90           0.28         1.03           0.39         1.04           0.55         0.88           0.63         0.93           0.55         0.88           0.63         0.93           0.56         0.80 |  |
|----------|--------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|------------|---|--|
| NO       | Sources            | LOI. | Moisture content   | Loss on drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH       | Water   |  |
| 1        | Nakhornnayok       | 1    | 7.3 <mark>0</mark> | 5.80           | 3.47      | 0.51           | 0.37       | 0.90  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 7.40               | 5.99           | 3.73      | 0.54           | 0.28       | 1.03  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 7.30               | 5.89           | 3.54      | 0.54           | 0.39       | 1.04  |  |
| 2        | Kanchanaburi       | 1    | 8.40               | 6.43           | 3.01      | 0.83           | 0.55       | 0.88  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 8.30               | 6.37           | 3.48      | 1.00           | 0.63       | 0.93  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 8.40               | 6.35           | 2.66      | 0.70           | 0.56       | 0.80  |  |
| 3        | Yasothon           | 1    | 9.10               | 7.00           | 1.82      | 0.23           | 0.34       | 0.79  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 9.20               | 7.05           | 1.71      | 0.21           | 0.30       | 0.60  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 9.20               | 7.02           | 1.36      | 0.20           | 0.22       | 0.68  |  |
| 4        | Nongkhai (Seaka)   | 1    | 8.40               | 6.55           | 2.75      | 0.58           | 0.67       | 0.79  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 8.40               | 6.63           | 2.69      | 0.43           | 0.87       | 0.92  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 8.40               | 6.52           | 2.72      | 0.55           | 0.87       | 0.97  |  |
| 5        | Nan                | 1    | 8.00               | 6.71           | 4.06      | 0.91           | 0.92       | 0.74  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 8.00               | 6.70           | 4.23      | 0.85           | 0.91       | 0.97  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 7.90               | 6.71           | 3.88      | 0.71           | 1.07       | 1.14  |  |
| 6        | Lopburi (Muang)    | 1    | 7.60               | 6.23           | 6.56      | 1.36           | 1.26       | 1.08  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 7.60               | 6.09           | 6.20      | 0.97           | 1.21       | 1.32  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 7.70               | 6.06           | 6.43      | 1.27           | 1.32       | 1.27  |  |
| 7        | Lopburi (Lumnarai) | 1    | 10.30              | 7.48           | 6.13      | 0.71           | 1.32       | 0.69  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 10.20              | 7.49           | 5.59      | 0.94           | 1.25       | 1.14  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 10.00              | 7.70           | 5.85      | 1.22           | 1.32       | 1.28  |  |
| 8        | Lumpang            | 1    | 5.70               | 5.13           | 3.33      | 0.47           | 0.67       | 0.99  |  |
|          |                    | 2    | 6.50               | 5.27           | 3.83      | 0.63           | 0.74       | 1.01  |  |
|          |                    | 3    | 6.60               | 5.13           | 2.09      | 0.50           | 0.64       | 1.08  |  |
| 9        | Petchabun          | 1    | 10.20              | 5.22           | 3.98      | 0.65           | 0.94       | 0.88  |  |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u>           | 2    | 10.10              | 5.21           | 4.29      | 0.83           | 0.91       | 0.70  |  |

#### Table 18 Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of Harisonia perforata (Blanco) Merr. root

| No  | Sourcoo              | Lot       | Moioturo contont |                 | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti  | ve value  |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| INU | Sources              | LOI.      | Moisture content | Loss off drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH      | Water     |
|     |                      | 3         | 10.10            | 5.27            | 4.45      | 0.77           | 0.88      | 0.79      |
| 10  | Uthai thani          | 1         | 7.10             | 5.90            | 3.13      | 0.55           | 1.00      | 0.98      |
|     |                      | 2         | 7.10             | 6.06            | 3.88      | 0.62           | 0.97      | 0.95      |
|     |                      | 3         | 7.00             | 5.93            | 3.37      | 0.45           | 0.93      | 0.83      |
| 11  | Nongkhai (Sriwilai)  | 1         | 10.00            | 6.71            | 1.98      | 0.29           | 0.66      | 0.56      |
|     |                      | 2         | 8.60             | 6.63            | 1.83      | 1.10           | 0.70      | 0.79      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.60             | 6.58            | 1.83      | 0.31           | 0.64      | 0.68      |
| 12  | Rayong               | 1         | 8.60             | 6.83            | 4.51      | 0.64           | 0.50      | 4.06      |
|     |                      | 2         | 8.60             | 6.73            | 4.21      | 0.59           | 0.50      | 2.89      |
|     |                      | 3         | 10.60            | 6.71            | 4.28      | 0.71           | 0.54      | 3.52      |
| 13  | Chiang mai           | 1         | 10.40            | 7.84            | 3.82      | 0.57           | 1.08      | 1.80      |
|     |                      | 2         | 10.40            | 7.89            | 3.83      | 0.99           | 1.20      | 1.63      |
|     |                      | 3         | 10.40            | 8.00            | 3.85      | 0.78           | 1.06      | 1.70      |
| 14  | Kalasil              | 1         | 5.50             | 5.13            | 2.84      | 0.46           | 1.17      | 0.84      |
|     |                      | 2         | 5.60             | 5.07            | 2.54      | 0.45           | 1.24      | 1.39      |
|     |                      | 3         | 5.50             | 5.03            | 2.45      | 0.50           | 1.36      | 1.25      |
|     | Grand mean± Pooled S | 8.34±0.40 | 6.36±0.07        | 3.62-0.32       | 0.67±0.17 | 0.83±0.06      | 1.17±0.21 |           |
|     | Min - Max            |           | 5.50-10.60       | 5.03-8.00       | 1.36-6.56 | 0.20-1.36      | 0.2-1.36  | 0.59-4.06 |

| No | Sourcos             | Lot  | Moisturo contont |                | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extractiv | e value |
|----|---------------------|------|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| NU | Sources             | LUI. |                  | Loss on drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH      | Water   |
| 1  | Kanchanaburi        | 1    | 8.00             | 6.86           | 5.63      | 0.98           | 0.34      | 0.92    |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.10             | 6.64           | 5.83      | 1.25           | 0.52      | 0.75    |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.10             | 7.03           | 5.74      | 0.97           | 0.35      | 0.73    |
| 2  | Nongkhai (Seaka)    | 1    | 10.10            | 7.32           | 6.99      | 1.66           | 0.45      | 0.92    |
|    |                     | 2    | 10.20            | 7.41           | 7.68      | 2.72           | 0.42      | 1.00    |
|    |                     | 3    | 10.30            | 7.49           | 8.37      | 2.36           | 0.47      | 0.93    |
| 3  | Yasothon            | 1    | 8.30             | 6.19           | 3.41      | 0.48           | 0.10      | 0.70    |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.40             | 6.15           | 3.07      | 0.28           | 0.07      | 0.58    |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.40             | 6.29           | 3.15      | 0.35           | 0.07      | 0.76    |
| 4  | Songkla             | 1    | 8.40             | 6.39           | 5.32      | 0.64           | 0.59      | 1.62    |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.50             | 6.31           | 5.10      | 0.64           | 0.55      | 1.49    |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.60             | 6.39           | 5.25      | 0.67           | 0.53      | 1.60    |
| 5  | Nongkhai (Sriwilai) | 1    | 9.00             | 6.69           | 6.15      | 0.70           | 0.92      | 1.44    |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.90             | 6.53           | 7.64      | 1.40           | 1.03      | 1.16    |
|    |                     | 3    | 9.10             | 6.47           | 7.43      | 1.14           | 0.86      | 1.35    |
| 6  | Uthai thani         | 1    | 8.50             | 6.01           | 6.98      | 1.18           | 0.49      | 1.16    |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.40             | 5.99           | 7.01      | 1.03           | 0.49      | 0.97    |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.50             | 5.96           | 6.87      | 1.19           | 0.45      | 1.19    |
| 7  | Petchabun           | 1    | 11.20            | 6.54           | 5.14      | 0.71           | 0.60      | 1.08    |
|    |                     | 2    | 11.00            | 6.94           | 5.47      | 1.00           | 0.49      | 1.32    |
|    |                     | 3    | 10.90            | 6.93           | 5.71      | 1.06           | 0.51      | 1.65    |
| 8  | Chiang mai          | 1    | 7.20             | 5.75           | 4.51      | 0.64           | 0.82      | 1.08    |
|    |                     | 2    | 7.40             | 5.74           | 4.50      | 0.74           | 0.79      | 1.18    |
|    |                     | 3    | 7.40             | 5.76           | 4.51      | 0.77           | 0.90      | 1.18    |
| 9  | Lumpang             | 1    | 5.30             | 4.93           | 6.11      | 0.98           | 0.43      | 1.27    |
|    |                     | 2    | 5.40             | 4.88           | 6.03      | 1.17           | 0.45      | 0.12    |

## Table 19 Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of Ficus racemosa L. root

| No  | Sourcoo              | Lot       | Moiatura contant    |                | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti  | ve value  |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| INO | Sources              | LOI.      |                     | Loss on drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH      | Water     |
|     |                      | 3         | 5.50                | 4.88           | 6.00      | 1.01           | 0.45      | 1.32      |
| 10  | Lopburi (Lumnarai)   | 1         | 9.10                | 6.52           | 7.41      | 1.87           | 0.34      | 1.15      |
|     |                      | 2         | 9.10                | 6.60           | 8.21      | 2.02           | 0.32      | 1.40      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.90                | 6.55           | 7.37      | 1.30           | 0.34      | 1.25      |
| 11  | Nan                  | 1         | 5.40                | 6.88           | 5.53      | 1.18           | 0.63      | 1.31      |
|     |                      | 2         | <mark>9.5</mark> 0  | 6.87           | 5.51      | 1.09           | 0.62      | 1.40      |
|     |                      | 3         | 9.40                | 6.99           | 5.42      | 0.91           | 0.63      | 1.65      |
| 12  | Rayong               | 1         | 7.70                | 5.71           | 6.19      | 0.89           | 0.72      | 1.68      |
|     |                      | 2         | 7.70                | 5.56           | 6.62      | 0.88           | 0.76      | 2.25      |
|     |                      | 3         | 7 <mark>.</mark> 80 | 5.56           | 6.36      | 0.99           | 0.75      | 2.20      |
| 13  | Lopburi (Muang)      | 1         | 8.00                | 6.22           | 7.42      | 1.87           | 0.39      | 1.12      |
|     |                      | 2         | 8.50                | 6.30           | 7.21      | 1.37           | 0.39      | 1.35      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.50                | 6.41           | 7.00      | 1.27           | 0.37      | 1.51      |
| 14  | Kalasil              | 1         | 9.20                | 5.65           | 4.66      | 0.69           | 0.99      | 1.02      |
|     |                      | 2         | 9.20                | 5.68           | 4.42      | 0.62           | 0.99      | 1.41      |
|     |                      | 3         | 9.10                | 5.75           | 4.62      | 0.69           | 0.99      | 1.20      |
|     | Grand mean± Pooled S | 8.48±0.64 | 6.28±0.01           | 5.94±0.34      | 1.08±0.24 | 0.56±0.04      | 1.22±0.42 |           |
|     | Min - Max            |           | 5.30-11.20          | 4.88-7.49      | 3.07-8.37 | 0.28-2.72      | 0.07-1.03 | 0.12-2.25 |

| No | > Sources           |      | Moisture content    | Loss on drying | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti | ve value |
|----|---------------------|------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|
| NO | Sources             | LOI. | Wolstone content    | Loss on drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH     | Water    |
| 1  | Petchabun           | 1    | 11.2 <mark>0</mark> | 11.40          | 3.80      | 0.96           | 1.19     | 1.94     |
|    |                     | 2    | 11.40               | 11.04          | 2.97      | 0.73           | 1.20     | 1.89     |
|    |                     | 3    | 11.20               | 11.43          | 5.62      | 1.75           | 1.17     | 2.11     |
| 2  | Lopburi (Muang)     | 1    | 8.30                | 7.12           | 5.88      | 1.53           | 1.58     | 1.99     |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.40                | 6.97           | 5.34      | 1.52           | 1.62     | 2.36     |
|    |                     | 3    | 8 <mark>.5</mark> 0 | 7.27           | 5.37      | 1.38           | 0.83     | 2.32     |
| 3  | Lopburi (Lumnarai)  | 1    | 9.80                | 9.61           | 4.83      | 1.29           | 1.62     | 1.51     |
|    |                     | 2    | 5.70                | 9.75           | 3.87      | 1.09           | 1.74     | 2.11     |
|    |                     | 3    | 10.00               | 9.43           | 4.95      | 0.93           | 1.71     | 1.90     |
| 4  | Nan                 | 1    | 8.20                | 7.10           | 4.36      | 0.98           | 1.40     | 1.77     |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.20                | 6.61           | 4.39      | 1.17           | 1.62     | 2.22     |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.30                | 6.60           | 4.53      | 1.15           | 1.55     | 2.19     |
| 5  | Rayong              | 1    | 8.00                | 6.23           | 3.59      | 0.77           | 1.34     | 2.05     |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.00                | 6.29           | 3.96      | 0.90           | 1.32     | 2.35     |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.00                | 6.23           | 3.45      | 0.73           | 1.36     | 2.41     |
| 6  | Nongkhai (Sriwilai) | 1    | 8.60                | 7.27           | 5.23      | 1.49           | 1.50     | 2.46     |
|    |                     | 2    | 8.10                | 7.29           | 4.86      | 1.40           | 1.58     | 2.25     |
|    |                     | 3    | 8.48                | 7.23           | 4.76      | 1.39           | 1.62     | 1.94     |
| 7  | Lumpang             | 1    | 4.80                | 2.72           | 3.26      | 0.71           | 1.34     | 2.16     |
|    |                     | 2    | 5.00                | 2.76           | 3.33      | 0.72           | 1.46     | 2.86     |
|    |                     | 3    | 5.10                | 2.67           | 3.23      | 0.53           | 1.40     | 2.33     |
| 8  | Uthai thani         | 1    | 7.50                | 5.10           | 4.00      | 0.74           | 1.57     | 1.74     |
|    |                     | 2    | 7.40                | 5.18           | 3.93      | 0.77           | 1.55     | 2.28     |
|    |                     | 3    | 7.40                | 4.98           | 4.24      | 0.84           | 1.54     | 2.59     |
| 9  | Nongkhai (Sea ka)   | 1    | 9.70                | 8.27           | 4.19      | 1.57           | 0.52     | 2.09     |
|    |                     | 2    | 9.80                | 8.26           | 4.28      | 1.45           | 0.53     | 2.36     |

 Table 20 Pharmacognostic characters (% by weight) of Tiliacora triandra (Colebr.) Diels root.

| No  | Sourcoo              | Lot       | Maiatura contant |                 | Total ash | Acid insoluble | Extracti  | ve value  |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| INO | Sources              | LOI.      |                  | Loss off drying | content   | ash content    | EtOH      | Water     |
|     |                      | 3         | 9.70             | 8.32            | 4.39      | 1.51           | 0.50      | 2.33      |
| 10  | Lopburi (Tha voung   | 1         | 7.90             | 6.60            | 4.17      | 0.91           | 0.66      | 1.99      |
|     |                      | 2         | 7.80             | 6.61            | 4.11      | 0.91           | 0.63      | 1.82      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.00             | 6.61            | 4.35      | 0.92           | 0.62      | 2.08      |
| 11  | Yasothon             | 1         | 7.10             | 5.36            | 6.80      | 1.97           | 0.57      | 1.50      |
|     |                      | 2         | 7.00             | 5.30            | 7.18      | 1.81           | 0.63      | 1.47      |
|     |                      | 3         | 7.20             | 5.66            | 7.30      | 2.29           | 0.63      | 1.67      |
| 12  | Nakhornnayok         | 1         | 7.30             | 6.08            | 4.94      | 1.51           | 0.60      | 2.18      |
|     |                      | 2         | 7.00             | 6.14            | 4.73      | 1.65           | 0.60      | 2.08      |
|     |                      | 3         | 7.40             | 6.10            | 5.10      | 1.88           | 0.58      | 2.18      |
| 13  | Chiang mai           | 1         | 8.60             | 6.49            | 3.20      | 0.97           | 1.53      | 1.92      |
|     |                      | 2         | 8.80             | 6.52            | 3.27      | 0.87           | 1.53      | 2.44      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.90             | 6.52            | 3.25      | 0.89           | 1.54      | 2.29      |
| 14  | Kalasil              | 1         | 8.10             | 6.12            | 3.03      | 0.51           | 1.60      | 2.68      |
|     |                      | 2         | 8.00             | 6.18            | 3.09      | 0.63           | 1.59      | 3.17      |
|     |                      | 3         | 8.10             | 6.06            | 3.16      | 0.69           | 1.66      | 3.23      |
|     | Grand mean± Pooled S | 8.14±0.66 | 6.80±0.13        | 4.39±0.43       | 1.15±0.18 | 1.12±0.13      | 2.17±0.25 |           |
|     | Min - Max            |           | 4.80-11.40       | 2.67-11.43      | 2.97-7.30 | 0.51-2.29      | 0.50-1.74 | 1.47-3.23 |

#### APPENDIX B

Data from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak from each peaks (Batch 1- Batch 12)for multivariate analysis.

|         | 1                        | 2          | 3         | 4         | 5         | 6         |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 480.34906                | 5704.63818 | 191.25595 | 285.24170 | 417.27335 | 680.29730 |
| BLW1-2  | 476.66873                | 5798.77980 | 194.16803 | 280.75616 | 414.80618 | 789.29291 |
| BLW1-3  | 495.59808                | 5951.19873 | 205.25792 | 287.87988 | 428.31769 | 674.05176 |
| BLW2-1  | 215.18517                | 5871.20801 | 170.43932 | 222.76312 | 525.62097 | 142.22246 |
| BLW2-2  | 189.65518                | 5854.75781 | 119.17624 | 155.66499 | 414.49936 | 0.00000   |
| BLW2-3  | 235.30714                | 6247.14063 | 181.12112 | 225.10988 | 442.75058 | 130.54567 |
| BLW3-1  | 188.94850                | 6047.12012 | 328.92200 | 496.51480 | 428.20477 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-2  | 202.20763                | 6241.52881 | 339.63309 | 516.25787 | 445.46292 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-3  | 216.04082                | 5991.74805 | 324.52420 | 489.33414 | 469.95172 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-1  | 196.18742                | 5806.53955 | 549.62701 | 260.97400 | 366.34027 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-2  | 203.74844                | 5879.52539 | 561.90723 | 268.63428 | 375.63174 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-3  | 222.82135                | 6008.71045 | 571.60370 | 263.17236 | 373.87491 | 0.00000   |
| BLW5-1  | 235.64508                | 8052.37170 | 462.94049 | 402.52115 | 333.63739 | 274.75659 |
| BLW5-2  | 244.03136                | 7945.23193 | 458.73361 | 400.67587 | 336.61386 | 276.91968 |
| BLW5-3  | 250.27872                | 8002.60986 | 458.79343 | 410.04871 | 330.63792 | 214.06871 |
| BLW6-1  | 271.23972                | 7442.60645 | 453.96625 | 391.23071 | 439.73679 | 306.36096 |
| BLW6-2  | 285.101 <mark>5</mark> 3 | 7559.89648 | 464.51422 | 398.32068 | 437.87454 | 311.38107 |
| BLW6-3  | 315.84808                | 7767.21289 | 598.87423 | 413.02933 | 408.62006 | 296.98746 |
| BLW7-1  | 283.56134                | 7531.76367 | 435.97992 | 401.82458 | 451.91159 | 318.33679 |
| BLW7-2  | 291.66351                | 7634.10791 | 439.70807 | 400.65717 | 422.06268 | 317.01395 |
| BLW7-3  | 323.11868                | 7818.84619 | 579.23719 | 415.53259 | 311.55579 | 301.73895 |
| BLW8-1  | 227.34666                | 6144.60010 | 309.91824 | 343.54230 | 413.39243 | 242.19423 |
| BLW8-2  | 238.20630                | 6306.61670 | 319.11200 | 352.83771 | 420.76862 | 253.11067 |
| BLW8-3  | 256.23846                | 6372.22119 | 329.11960 | 359.02563 | 370.42075 | 241.43063 |
| BLW9-1  | 217.39920                | 6579.93994 | 330.52585 | 323.42484 | 433.42938 | 210.78516 |
| BLW9-2  | 231.54388                | 6759.37402 | 342.30194 | 336.47351 | 449.37598 | 222.59308 |
| BLW9-3  | 238.51291                | 6560.79443 | 332.06503 | 321.01096 | 310.05524 | 213.97035 |
| BLW10-1 | 238.65775                | 6658.76904 | 341.09561 | 356.92349 | 509.23459 | 256.45557 |
| BLW10-2 | 242.30725                | 6538.84863 | 338.61823 | 351.53543 | 503.42001 | 260.74316 |
| BLW10-3 | 273.71921                | 6977.67285 | 364.42114 | 377.65256 | 384.26953 | 256.65067 |
| BLW11-1 | 225.41554                | 6269.95947 | 305.08600 | 321.55414 | 414.44965 | 239.71109 |
| BLW11-2 | 227.09122                | 6182.39258 | 303.35974 | 316.12903 | 480.09821 | 242.62187 |
| BLW11-3 | 256.06436                | 6493.99170 | 321.72043 | 337.88434 | 366.14200 | 244.02135 |
| BLW12-1 | 226.33678                | 6366.80127 | 344.75629 | 335.67038 | 478.10284 | 244.34663 |
| BLW12-2 | 239.76593                | 6563.05322 | 356.67752 | 346.64532 | 495.11618 | 255.56367 |
| BLW12-3 | 253.32730                | 6438.94287 | 353.69919 | 340.47058 | 346.89590 | 243.90282 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 7                        | 8                       | 9         | 10        | 11        | 12         |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| BLW1-1  | 183.83476                | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 304.67349 | 375.30344 | 1924.05531 |
| BLW1-2  | 203.65451                | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 368.06631 | 387.89917 | 1923.86776 |
| BLW1-3  | 188.43198                | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 469.48361 | 457.53659 | 1745.08500 |
| BLW2-1  | 233.44823                | 219.45839               | 148.59346 | 157.13731 | 360.36989 | 372.12592  |
| BLW2-2  | 172.62694                | 0.00000                 | 102.78662 | 111.17088 | 166.46219 | 308.44443  |
| BLW2-3  | 258.38602                | 195.13893               | 198.52087 | 203.60446 | 322.80273 | 341.82074  |
| BLW3-1  | 304.48145                | 0.00000                 | 206.15642 | 155.94804 | 412.29108 | 265.94531  |
| BLW3-2  | 320.93408                | 0.00000                 | 214.90929 | 167.47200 | 387.25107 | 280.66406  |
| BLW3-3  | 303.42313                | 0.00000                 | 217.22563 | 152.61269 | 331.08185 | 177.87332  |
| BLW4-1  | 435.53229                | 0.00000                 | 379.53348 | 285.98001 | 385.72309 | 405.83398  |
| BLW4-2  | 444.151 <mark>15</mark>  | 0.00000                 | 378.19306 | 301.53845 | 477.87862 | 424.62302  |
| BLW4-3  | 513.07581                | 0.00000                 | 372.80835 | 303.49869 | 411.47339 | 321.19904  |
| BLW5-1  | 461.47672                | 410.50304               | 318.22345 | 291.54483 | 383.11861 | 571.87817  |
| BLW5-2  | 461.61719                | 423.61415               | 323.41101 | 293.93881 | 382.73424 | 573.56812  |
| BLW5-3  | 409.57550                | <mark>417.7793</mark> 2 | 269.88083 | 359.93430 | 320.84833 | 515.97577  |
| BLW6-1  | 398.23581                | 265.15959               | 370.86072 | 333.48944 | 409.85291 | 764.62543  |
| BLW6-2  | 381.901 <mark>3</mark> 1 | 282.19518               | 432.20975 | 334.35226 | 425.93311 | 870.68793  |
| BLW6-3  | 442.08502                | 216.93079               | 390.44812 | 398.44476 | 470.90669 | 747.90125  |
| BLW7-1  | 401.01807                | 280.02155               | 383.39517 | 426.57098 | 431.00470 | 794.17480  |
| BLW7-2  | 374.98938                | 289.11779               | 388.12979 | 338.31439 | 434.40277 | 889.10529  |
| BLW7-3  | 376.24826                | 227.01476               | 400.46884 | 392.44492 | 481.79919 | 761.32843  |
| BLW8-1  | 360.17178                | 248.55593               | 366.78128 | 291.34186 | 398.63474 | 531.57916  |
| BLW8-2  | 358.67685                | 256.43837               | 371.95261 | 298.78726 | 410.10093 | 632.65991  |
| BLW8-3  | 381.35486                | 153.90445               | 331.27167 | 359.73575 | 342.32724 | 602.02559  |
| BLW9-1  | 347.64185                | 220.35504               | 320.34177 | 243.84634 | 348.88968 | 512.68578  |
| BLW9-2  | 347.17853                | 233.71746               | 325.83276 | 253.13611 | 359.54852 | 530.67487  |
| BLW9-3  | 244.39560                | 130.72269               | 272.13504 | 294.66843 | 294.12274 | 390.03015  |
| BLW10-1 | 343.30716                | 266.75663               | 402.13541 | 308.06573 | 418.52884 | 554.31873  |
| BLW10-2 | 373.93286                | 275.63930               | 383.24948 | 305.72174 | 412.65710 | 638.68048  |
| BLW10-3 | 407.15842                | 162.47906               | 354.32684 | 395.76563 | 491.47568 | 525.55365  |
| BLW11-1 | 345.73660                | 251.67156               | 370.76294 | 283.39252 | 400.00833 | 594.97046  |
| BLW11-2 | 337.99109                | 264.63062               | 349.19614 | 285.78232 | 394.80576 | 591.22522  |
| BLW11-3 | 359.42899                | 146.60843               | 323.73563 | 361.92551 | 358.98825 | 477.77814  |
| BLW12-1 | 325.85425                | 248.85665               | 379.18140 | 287.65256 | 397.60712 | 523.55334  |
| BLW12-2 | 337.93903                | 265.22818               | 383.82471 | 301.91025 | 406.23279 | 631.22693  |
| BLW12-3 | 373.31235                | 143.86720               | 323.33273 | 356.40732 | 352.09802 | 478.46719  |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 13                       | 14                       | 15                      | 16         | 17         | 18        |
|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 0.00000                  | 1436.93387               | 2083.37134              | 0.00000    | 2897.40276 | 754.96029 |
| BLW1-2  | 0.00000                  | 1413.40036               | 1926.65881              | 0.00000    | 2895.26620 | 738.85028 |
| BLW1-3  | 0.00000                  | 1381.89148               | 2251.60821              | 0.00000    | 3100.13883 | 634.92211 |
| BLW2-1  | 254.40462                | 257.13831                | 111.39204               | 538.93256  | 331.70514  | 188.89577 |
| BLW2-2  | 192.31223                | 633.9 <mark>8869</mark>  | 0.00000                 | 466.94052  | 251.97296  | 142.93317 |
| BLW2-3  | 284.36984                | 666.94757                | 147.72043               | 644.18097  | 330.28784  | 189.67365 |
| BLW3-1  | 105.02019                | 297.22839                | 277.63541               | 447.17958  | 398.45551  | 218.29729 |
| BLW3-2  | 102.74120                | 322.50961                | 287.2 <mark>4530</mark> | 471.17882  | 420.31592  | 231.71719 |
| BLW3-3  | 220.12482                | 327.12949                | 241.34409               | 385.56839  | 457.28461  | 214.60776 |
| BLW4-1  | 120.78842                | 404.32657                | 538.04465               | 403.12628  | 333.11633  | 307.44333 |
| BLW4-2  | 113.21208                | 429.40112                | 534.70541               | 409.94885  | 337.99860  | 322.37375 |
| BLW4-3  | 318.27927                | 352.18976                | 477.53270               | 444.57736  | 469.89648  | 325.88101 |
| BLW5-1  | 246.595 <mark>4</mark> 1 | 379.01199                | 810.50403               | 717.72687  | 200.58168  | 301.06345 |
| BLW5-2  | 237.28455                | 388.58157                | 812.48941               | 722.53564  | 283.22238  | 309.63620 |
| BLW5-3  | 306.58212                | 352.16580                | 609.08114               | 900.07635  | 260.22626  | 306.74536 |
| BLW6-1  | 396.92035                | 3 <mark>8</mark> 4.64966 | 993.15094               | 1546.09692 | 224.55139  | 369.43710 |
| BLW6-2  | 380.39984                | 404.76602                | 1033.94214              | 1565.22607 | 329.42627  | 382.29938 |
| BLW6-3  | 476.48793                | 365.93872                | 841.40356               | 1870.67429 | 363.82114  | 394.56598 |
| BLW7-1  | 417.25006                | 401.52510                | 1025.91943              | 1598.43579 | 235.25911  | 385.78705 |
| BLW7-2  | 393.10272                | 421.29325                | 1055.99194              | 1595.16900 | 339.86957  | 388.58105 |
| BLW7-3  | 483.47095                | 384.93920                | 847.38354               | 1914.44434 | 354.90131  | 394.00299 |
| BLW8-1  | 366.67914                | 222.12386                | 1045.18007              | 1346.23888 | 222.02840  | 353.40027 |
| BLW8-2  | 358.17801                | 247.86490                | 1073.52378              | 1380.42891 | 323.72748  | 363.97681 |
| BLW8-3  | 454.33090                | 349.98849                | 1027.74676              | 1364.28330 | 339.87653  | 372.86230 |
| BLW9-1  | 284.62678                | 192.19588                | 834.82679               | 1091.11050 | 191.25858  | 295.78516 |
| BLW9-2  | 281.17566                | 208.07263                | 867.01609               | 1122.25779 | 277.58914  | 304.92694 |
| BLW9-3  | 348.49081                | 283.30881                | 786.40980               | 1074.75183 | 263.29971  | 298.78513 |
| BLW10-1 | 393.13956                | 220.95532                | 1123.05172              | 1464.60083 | 222.41852  | 374.98764 |
| BLW10-2 | 369.37173                | 230.21725                | 1113.39865              | 1442.01992 | 322.56497  | 369.79343 |
| BLW10-3 | 491.11081                | 367.34021                | 766.89001               | 1876.48752 | 341.78946  | 403.92343 |
| BLW11-1 | 363.31189                | 218.68022                | 1083.44444              | 1356.66336 | 215.40262  | 356.70917 |
| BLW11-2 | 345.24344                | 226.57957                | 1076.48248              | 1338.46731 | 309.39835  | 352.39807 |
| BLW11-3 | 453.52765                | 346.45435                | 1060.04178              | 1396.69604 | 316.46518  | 377.66040 |
| BLW12-1 | 355.15100                | 211.64821                | 1030.36670              | 1384.48629 | 209.08957  | 346.93976 |
| BLW12-2 | 352.70044                | 225.47795                | 1080.35993              | 1427.48190 | 316.37338  | 359.53314 |
| BLW12-3 | 431.38095                | 338.45093                | 982.76101               | 1396.38977 | 301.76593  | 357.12073 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 19                      | 20                      | 21        | 22        | 23         | 24         |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| BLW1-1  | 426.43787               | 1102.18677              | 354.02124 | 0.00000   | 0.00000    | 2170.81720 |
| BLW1-2  | 435.85056               | 1009.20099              | 383.23730 | 0.00000   | 0.00000    | 2078.49350 |
| BLW1-3  | 0.00000                 | 1199.08142              | 588.01149 | 0.00000   | 0.00000    | 1987.15692 |
| BLW2-1  | 228.52718               | 354.378 <mark>88</mark> | 234.22641 | 195.57787 | 106.62641  | 509.41278  |
| BLW2-2  | 172.84735               | 277.54849               | 163.58376 | 145.12059 | 209.88858  | 288.75052  |
| BLW2-3  | 194.57150               | 395.18622               | 138.02943 | 251.97113 | 438.50159  | 361.17737  |
| BLW3-1  | 189.28174               | 348.52297               | 270.00711 | 143.84343 | 534.85309  | 0.00000    |
| BLW3-2  | 198.13065               | 367.73886               | 290.83063 | 149.12053 | 571.25250  | 0.00000    |
| BLW3-3  | 194.202 <mark>97</mark> | 363.74283               | 257.47270 | 148.69633 | 523.92609  | 0.00000    |
| BLW4-1  | 379.11856               | 232.77005               | 366.31213 | 187.98265 | 1055.77319 | 0.00000    |
| BLW4-2  | 389.04962               | 241.50800               | 478.12389 | 185.83978 | 1088.91357 | 0.00000    |
| BLW4-3  | 411.713 <mark>51</mark> | 232.64720               | 388.04184 | 184.48218 | 1068.36358 | 0.00000    |
| BLW5-1  | 351.12253               | 305.97485               | 372.90610 | 344.44003 | 517.79123  | 349.62543  |
| BLW5-2  | 343.15146               | 313.82553               | 387.19901 | 342.24799 | 516.33902  | 362.99701  |
| BLW5-3  | 278.89474               | 352.37647               | 227.44591 | 124.05292 | 803.18500  | 330.69510  |
| BLW6-1  | 274.65656               | 569.80540               | 290.86699 | 304.66843 | 1057.21484 | 369.26242  |
| BLW6-2  | 394.31302               | 473.15521               | 318.81635 | 277.59689 | 1075.77417 | 373.64883  |
| BLW6-3  | 323.65756               | 570.71860               | 321.68582 | 299.89346 | 995.65515  | 358.61154  |
| BLW7-1  | 286.59161               | 465.06314               | 302.88328 | 316.20300 | 1096.18250 | 378.42664  |
| BLW7-2  | 400.49048               | 481.66931               | 319.38646 | 283.41711 | 1093.49597 | 383.53629  |
| BLW7-3  | 336.11679               | 575.56731               | 311.52374 | 319.51196 | 1000.83826 | 365.56229  |
| BLW8-1  | 273.04898               | 514.33033               | 292.65581 | 284.90939 | 996.55744  | 314.18350  |
| BLW8-2  | 378.28992               | 432.35742               | 310.40788 | 277.62878 | 1004.60968 | 329.03284  |
| BLW8-3  | 317.59531               | 511.05446               | 306.18805 | 303.68546 | 1222.91565 | 259.45334  |
| BLW9-1  | 237.54173               | 341.15945               | 254.29004 | 230.67863 | 814.44298  | 256.78543  |
| BLW9-2  | 324.60590               | 362.53366               | 269.80911 | 224.98640 | 824.90082  | 271.82605  |
| BLW9-3  | 260.10892               | 414.28576               | 252.67783 | 234.12978 | 953.69664  | 211.09135  |
| BLW10-1 | 279.64426               | 542.99235               | 310.72215 | 294.12857 | 1055.85999 | 329.14734  |
| BLW10-2 | 376.14865               | 440.00504               | 316.81243 | 272.27542 | 1017.23871 | 339.04779  |
| BLW10-3 | 333.42447               | 542.48398               | 335.03103 | 314.84790 | 979.63971  | 341.45184  |
| BLW11-1 | 273.20261               | 519.42206               | 299.10027 | 281.05820 | 1023.59357 | 326.98715  |
| BLW11-2 | 366.73489               | 420.33618               | 303.32467 | 265.62994 | 994.49884  | 329.00186  |
| BLW11-3 | 323.35220               | 511.01297               | 317.51230 | 292.37949 | 939.10620  | 324.85422  |
| BLW12-1 | 256.65045               | 516.81054               | 284.48245 | 274.83261 | 972.48663  | 306.40561  |
| BLW12-2 | 368.65741               | 436.15833               | 302.23795 | 266.37448 | 987.76196  | 324.79498  |
| BLW12-3 | 306.41602               | 494.91248               | 293.17744 | 281.94458 | 1171.72043 | 256.38828  |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 25                       | 26         | 27                     | 28                      | 29        | 30        |
|---------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 111086000                | 126498000  | 3745.96899             | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 541.29651 |
| BLW1-2  | 8796.20410               | 104737000  | 3334.68311             | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 507.31039 |
| BLW1-3  | 9630.48438               | 113908000  | 3794.23981             | 0.00000                 | 0.00000   | 555.71582 |
| BLW2-1  | 2791.87915               | 1755.31689 | 885.85284              | 209.13687               | 131.51494 | 454.60480 |
| BLW2-2  | 2035.64880               | 1312.46228 | 705.60742              | 242.94905               | 0.00000   | 375.91605 |
| BLW2-3  | 2188.10522               | 1615.55432 | 0.00000                | 191.83885               | 143.96938 | 468.33578 |
| BLW3-1  | 741.07129                | 349.84424  | 393.04611              | 241.52419               | 254.56906 | 456.41779 |
| BLW3-2  | 765.44208                | 396.06815  | 405.88339              | 257.35645               | 258.59848 | 480.50101 |
| BLW3-3  | 427.52692                | 644.93842  | <mark>395.86874</mark> | 243.99097               | 259.70459 | 482.57687 |
| BLW4-1  | 995.43890                | 546.59619  | 568.39001              | 186.30304               | 738.72626 | 352.00653 |
| BLW4-2  | 1110.38171               | 557.57751  | 586.26373              | 195.65373               | 766.53949 | 353.56689 |
| BLW4-3  | 806.19 <mark>678</mark>  | 891.84497  | 738.45960              | 200.48351               | 776.73895 | 370.99695 |
| BLW5-1  | 2092.92700               | 1216.79626 | 1154.29517             | 183.78831               | 646.11414 | 258.06989 |
| BLW5-2  | 2077.00562               | 1239.38477 | 1138.03418             | 203.62633               | 664.52020 | 259.28760 |
| BLW5-3  | 2011.53284               | 1380.93872 | 1028.28589             | 245.55164               | 663.06400 | 263.12869 |
| BLW6-1  | 3947.08301               | 1599.28687 | 1612.10352             | <mark>31</mark> 6.98999 | 256.58737 | 287.47870 |
| BLW6-2  | 4069.02490               | 1695.34167 | 1622.40369             | 316.69412               | 265.80768 | 285.80896 |
| BLW6-3  | 4085.51416               | 1872.19812 | 1716.56506             | 353.94113               | 283.22003 | 273.32492 |
| BLW7-1  | 0.00000                  | 1610.61072 | 1645.87830             | 330.19955               | 264.14856 | 297.49719 |
| BLW7-2  | 4045.37231               | 1712.04578 | 1640.11401             | 317.93790               | 266.08698 | 289.01053 |
| BLW7-3  | 3992.119 <mark>14</mark> | 1860.45154 | 1725.14746             | 353.44724               | 283.25931 | 272.84592 |
| BLW8-1  | 3037.71704               | 1249.71716 | 1377.06555             | 324.23813               | 239.39247 | 267.56906 |
| BLW8-2  | 3089.46802               | 1365.71924 | 1400.00012             | 330.34680               | 244.20599 | 270.47107 |
| BLW8-3  | 3076.52100               | 1484.75903 | 1309.46570             | 353.71378               | 261.05081 | 241.54823 |
| BLW9-1  | 2383.68579               | 968.44708  | 1099.51636             | 271.29050               | 217.71797 | 229.44310 |
| BLW9-2  | 2457.21997               | 1237.88757 | 1122.11987             | 279.54037               | 221.62813 | 235.59862 |
| BLW9-3  | 2247.38867               | 1122.88867 | 988.22742              | 285.71341               | 219.64987 | 198.77879 |
| BLW10-1 | 3314.08643               | 1585.60596 | 1490.31189             | 331.98157               | 254.16617 | 268.96976 |
| BLW10-2 | 3232.88013               | 1607.36511 | 1446.63562             | 328.45483               | 247.41365 | 265.78598 |
| BLW10-3 | 3432.34131               | 1622.72876 | 1455.56030             | 369.14209               | 275.62048 | 243.67087 |
| BLW11-1 | 3310.96484               | 1372.66541 | 1436.20740             | 317.87650               | 248.69887 | 258.59644 |
| BLW11-2 | 3265.18799               | 1644.69910 | 1403.96985             | 314.15427               | 248.29370 | 255.42671 |
| BLW11-3 | 3370.08008               | 1619.67322 | 1379.67383             | 345.24557               | 259.77835 | 228.37424 |
| BLW12-1 | 2921.23193               | 1215.37427 | 1358.19910             | 312.65372               | 239.17339 | 254.38928 |
| BLW12-2 | 3004.60352               | 1542.20410 | 0.00000                | 324.18115               | 248.53999 | 262.08118 |
| BLW12-3 | 2899.26318               | 1427.49805 | 1267.99963             | 328.82043               | 243.22543 | 219.86063 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peakfrom each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 31        | 32         | 33        | 34        | 38         | 39         |
|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| BLW1-1  | 699.35596 | 0.00000    | 377.28815 | 906.81798 | 997.74969  | 0.00000    |
| BLW1-2  | 702.40320 | 0.00000    | 339.62976 | 827.68030 | 860.64905  | 0.00000    |
| BLW1-3  | 739.31384 | 0.00000    | 469.58264 | 861.18207 | 1011.54303 | 0.00000    |
| BLW2-1  | 195.44554 | 0.00000    | 280.00677 | 144.14449 | 738.38409  | 439.70604  |
| BLW2-2  | 146.74306 | 0.00000    | 213.13266 | 0.00000   | 584.29047  | 333.91895  |
| BLW2-3  | 174.30498 | 0.00000    | 259.34741 | 132.04143 | 721.41089  | 409.01694  |
| BLW3-1  | 190.71593 | 0.00000    | 177.04652 | 596.07886 | 873.05383  | 1413.36047 |
| BLW3-2  | 206.96384 | 0.00000    | 190.84937 | 625.36365 | 905.01379  | 1453.14502 |
| BLW3-3  | 168.95839 | 0.00000    | 238.87253 | 515.48901 | 892.79211  | 1623.06116 |
| BLW4-1  | 425.88721 | 0.00000    | 153.82246 | 559.75850 | 744.12415  | 1034.86536 |
| BLW4-2  | 429.98705 | 0.00000    | 152.22826 | 671.17621 | 772.78442  | 1058.81079 |
| BLW4-3  | 436.94147 | 0.00000    | 313.24149 | 449.94390 | 785.31366  | 1128.34851 |
| BLW5-1  | 260.58017 | 238.60739  | 248.12126 | 557.60295 | 636.14490  | 2672.73340 |
| BLW5-2  | 268.29318 | 254.21721  | 251.92775 | 565.01241 | 651.36084  | 2674.20605 |
| BLW5-3  | 250.10445 | 274.65042  | 209.62444 | 551.86083 | 670.50012  | 2665.24365 |
| BLW6-1  | 268.74442 | 537.30063  | 583.03503 | 272.84900 | 652.21968  | 1127.10681 |
| BLW6-2  | 268.84897 | 592.43170  | 559.89441 | 297.48389 | 657.21369  | 1171.47229 |
| BLW6-3  | 288.82324 | 948.52856  | 223.58333 | 299.28455 | 686.64970  | 1163.70886 |
| BLW7-1  | 278.98584 | 394.63641  | 595.40680 | 285.56827 | 522.73138  | 1134.30212 |
| BLW7-2  | 269.60049 | 424.54004  | 568.35498 | 298.41730 | 532.86029  | 1157.97913 |
| BLW7-3  | 295.91217 | 952.67108  | 224.06287 | 294.09991 | 563.95142  | 1136.03516 |
| BLW8-1  | 265.35480 | 394.77237  | 550.67621 | 253.02663 | 521.52185  | 1538.21545 |
| BLW8-2  | 268.48914 | 595.47943  | 546.56763 | 270.71948 | 544.37543  | 1692.12122 |
| BLW8-3  | 288.01395 | 1136.18750 | 0.00000   | 272.32031 | 583.79712  | 1594.36414 |
| BLW9-1  | 225.81905 | 345.05792  | 454.96667 | 234.00258 | 454.82605  | 1239.66699 |
| BLW9-2  | 230.12923 | 506.15115  | 461.81427 | 248.27989 | 473.23822  | 1286.15442 |
| BLW9-3  | 236.64583 | 914.90857  | 0.00000   | 241.43964 | 489.57858  | 1236.05896 |
| BLW10-1 | 269.41489 | 614.23779  | 564.51910 | 274.62604 | 534.47974  | 1416.58374 |
| BLW10-2 | 265.50702 | 615.60455  | 550.48560 | 279.31415 | 532.05725  | 1409.01904 |
| BLW10-3 | 300.45969 | 768.46155  | 465.54233 | 302.50827 | 702.75690  | 1505.98328 |
| BLW11-1 | 263.82849 | 586.31134  | 521.75195 | 274.00427 | 515.80481  | 1484.60510 |
| BLW11-2 | 259.73932 | 580.18372  | 514.84894 | 282.04153 | 516.29163  | 1481.36755 |
| BLW11-3 | 285.27533 | 710.66949  | 420.35635 | 298.86240 | 657.85919  | 1538.18481 |
| BLW12-1 | 255.03508 | 578.03577  | 528.43365 | 258.63141 | 505.53452  | 1342.31714 |
| BLW12-2 | 263.75803 | 610.12305  | 535.53540 | 281.03549 | 533.87988  | 1401.53052 |
| BLW12-3 | 270.83838 | 690.56781  | 419.24881 | 278.04019 | 638.36072  | 1371.30408 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peakfrom each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 40                      | 41                     | 42         | 43        | 44        | 45        |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 2711.07516              | 412.60091              | 470.99380  | 502.55011 | 135.32820 | 224.20357 |
| BLW1-2  | 2656.32590              | 417.21280              | 479.91599  | 520.05408 | 143.52818 | 219.29145 |
| BLW1-3  | 2544.57016              | 303.23717              | 481.39026  | 525.16040 | 134.38177 | 237.67868 |
| BLW2-1  | 208.06924               | 545.06714              | 307.16025  | 557.70209 | 233.91269 | 0.00000   |
| BLW2-2  | 142.75955               | 313.73715              | 187.06909  | 420.45166 | 118.42435 | 0.00000   |
| BLW2-3  | 363.15634               | 228.43893              | 328.62119  | 560.32739 | 236.41068 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-1  | 0.00000                 | 338.97501              | 550.27374  | 704.55627 | 258.47015 | 231.41046 |
| BLW3-2  | 0.00000                 | 277.76538              | 563.79376  | 736.40930 | 271.64578 | 237.59015 |
| BLW3-3  | 0.00000                 | 190.77409              | 552.84937  | 713.56258 | 267.48193 | 235.82040 |
| BLW4-1  | 0.00000                 | 574.84021              | 708.07788  | 566.77396 | 293.05624 | 394.54435 |
| BLW4-2  | 0.00000                 | 596.25751              | 728.26617  | 593.21051 | 305.50049 | 416.41484 |
| BLW4-3  | 0.00000                 | 373.74658              | 742.98236  | 607.92731 | 314.90201 | 417.41666 |
| BLW5-1  | 287.68570               | 293.15228              | 1228.52209 | 532.78925 | 365.50639 | 432.72142 |
| BLW5-2  | 432.55713               | 303.31512              | 1227.67822 | 556.86865 | 403.92036 | 469.93082 |
| BLW5-3  | 369.198 <mark>67</mark> | 210.96724              | 1234.36523 | 554.63220 | 365.19044 | 449.39972 |
| BLW6-1  | 268.86639               | 303.85516              | 596.24231  | 370.68210 | 230.85350 | 196.31776 |
| BLW6-2  | 298.8221 <mark>4</mark> | 311.75903              | 617.55444  | 469.86154 | 259.53937 | 217.94267 |
| BLW6-3  | 430.09442               | 151.16537              | 633.11298  | 471.84174 | 257.44217 | 220.40352 |
| BLW7-1  | 284.99899               | <mark>314.40460</mark> | 622.44873  | 409.02405 | 276.74573 | 226.50288 |
| BLW7-2  | 294.96109               | 295.85056              | 619.92670  | 499.63943 | 281.29950 | 229.28881 |
| BLW7-3  | 421.98062               | 152.81346              | 635.42908  | 508.88977 | 288.81995 | 237.82674 |
| BLW8-1  | 326.29318               | 364.88348              | 918.84894  | 421.81592 | 258.81970 | 216.72102 |
| BLW8-2  | 352.85406               | 379.90558              | 949.01593  | 451.53223 | 287.79071 | 238.24393 |
| BLW8-3  | 417.61026               | 189.67307              | 972.84607  | 543.59674 | 296.12448 | 238.05479 |
| BLW9-1  | 285.28870               | 317.91721              | 764.39185  | 501.46084 | 317.07162 | 368.57046 |
| BLW9-2  | 309.57840               | 328.57520              | 789.20465  | 541.92285 | 347.43536 | 406.26211 |
| BLW9-3  | 345.35449               | 188.63097              | 776.79462  | 510.78760 | 335.88654 | 379.04721 |
| BLW10-1 | 336.96301               | 338.78687              | 826.37103  | 414.72775 | 300.37833 | 350.11128 |
| BLW10-2 | 347.74640               | 337.08517              | 820.42175  | 421.68622 | 320.76868 | 368.41306 |
| BLW10-3 | 429.43777               | 196.31653              | 877.80219  | 535.41919 | 340.08963 | 378.13508 |
| BLW11-1 | 331.64691               | 395.40891              | 963.01648  | 573.11578 | 338.50464 | 394.84765 |
| BLW11-2 | 346.76465               | 390.79221              | 955.36707  | 576.24358 | 344.17413 | 405.85801 |
| BLW11-3 | 413.06705               | 193.70560              | 1004.41779 | 592.36548 | 380.55966 | 418.87671 |
| BLW12-1 | 322.34396               | 354.79599              | 870.05042  | 562.57827 | 344.68076 | 401.05864 |
| BLW12-2 | 350.45782               | 366.37891              | 895.25488  | 576.95598 | 351.93494 | 411.93861 |
| BLW12-3 | 397.05502               | 195.00102              | 898.52972  | 573.40289 | 381.30698 | 419.30221 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 46                       | 47                      | 48                      | 49        | 51        | 53        |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 221.96252                | 212.65285               | 220.55472               | 150.37463 | 197.34549 | 671.49835 |
| BLW1-2  | 179.34242                | 151.81769               | 238.87192               | 103.53192 | 207.63261 | 707.58063 |
| BLW1-3  | 177.45659                | 222.35161               | 235.69859               | 191.33640 | 219.52760 | 720.85950 |
| BLW2-1  | 201.31250                | 162.11260               | 216.81555               | 266.47116 | 406.74660 | 0.00000   |
| BLW2-2  | 119.81766                | 0.00000                 | 0.00000                 | 145.71185 | 176.40332 | 0.00000   |
| BLW2-3  | 203.11249                | 164.27591               | 0.00000                 | 254.42443 | 427.07388 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-1  | 205.93333                | 205.76157               | 297.58122               | 272.76111 | 289.34152 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-2  | 211.67258                | 220.48602               | 301.36264               | 286.92801 | 318.43087 | 0.00000   |
| BLW3-3  | 204.21915                | 216.32726               | 371.94 <mark>978</mark> | 297.05414 | 374.28638 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-1  | 521.544 <mark>19</mark>  | 345.27695               | 363.41461               | 334.21667 | 214.78577 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-2  | 531.82520                | 363.73361               | 379.32141               | 345.71075 | 361.59485 | 0.00000   |
| BLW4-3  | 534.72888                | 367.39792               | <mark>385.79447</mark>  | 334.35303 | 124.59232 | 0.00000   |
| BLW5-1  | 345.2 <mark>13</mark> 87 | 379.83460               | 224.46913               | 302.95813 | 240.81926 | 469.84076 |
| BLW5-2  | 347.92368                | 410.65444               | 252.70374               | 329.99792 | 105.08463 | 511.78848 |
| BLW5-3  | 291.85846                | 392.23917               | 246.83133               | 332.08749 | 105.18276 | 495.33383 |
| BLW6-1  | 333.31845                | 114.57375               | 164.84825               | 245.46260 | 289.75595 | 382.86505 |
| BLW6-2  | 287.83438                | 109.64833               | 182.37001               | 282.98495 | 338.36036 | 423.90854 |
| BLW6-3  | 269.97354                | <mark>1</mark> 90.15115 | 181.29303               | 288.85223 | 385.17374 | 421.89371 |
| BLW7-1  | 378.03500                | 116.88708               | 278.73734               | 254.62115 | 330.70264 | 427.21262 |
| BLW7-2  | 305.13519                | 118.61325               | 283.25754               | 249.47958 | 339.25797 | 432.68680 |
| BLW7-3  | 293.64612                | 123.71783               | 192.47501               | 279.99039 | 408.10501 | 446.27957 |
| BLW8-1  | 440.62704                | 268.60211               | 267.61124               | 241.70142 | 326.79575 | 436.48103 |
| BLW8-2  | 398.40524                | 294.67310               | 288.35449               | 256.42792 | 358.48865 | 454.80826 |
| BLW8-3  | 381.92 <mark>10</mark> 8 | 272.32712               | 191.86623               | 275.93961 | 418.81317 | 468.20627 |
| BLW9-1  | 436.08704                | 307.22528               | 294.60852               | 263.42703 | 333.60901 | 471.66423 |
| BLW9-2  | 494.75412                | 323.50180               | 320.93472               | 280.23914 | 359.68628 | 485.07253 |
| BLW9-3  | 334.94702                | 238.45624               | 301.45572               | 283.27988 | 417.57175 | 484.75069 |
| BLW10-1 | 446.36438                | 294.56686               | 294.84979               | 265.78036 | 325.12671 | 466.50785 |
| BLW10-2 | 479.93494                | 302.46057               | 309.77673               | 279.37988 | 356.22198 | 472.47699 |
| BLW10-3 | 370.63837                | 266.11682               | 320.34308               | 305.10168 | 434.11722 | 506.08132 |
| BLW11-1 | 499.43356                | 325.20853               | 331.88492               | 286.51114 | 342.48734 | 541.29834 |
| BLW11-2 | 513.89117                | 331.46939               | 340.51682               | 297.96518 | 374.20541 | 548.97420 |
| BLW11-3 | 404.75934                | 285.45523               | 251.39020               | 320.63940 | 452.25128 | 580.05434 |
| BLW12-1 | 496.85495                | 335.35562               | 326.55743               | 293.46326 | 343.41376 | 502.88022 |
| BLW12-2 | 518.25415                | 341.73386               | 337.22620               | 301.87411 | 359.05490 | 508.55498 |
| BLW12-3 | 392.56479                | 286.45950               | 343.47482               | 327.07965 | 436.49738 | 537.27718 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 54                      | 55                     | 56        | 57         | 58        | 59        |
|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 340.61569               | 210.13805              | 0.00000   | 715.13489  | 169.43153 | 239.31291 |
| BLW1-2  | 361.29521               | 223.86240              | 163.50757 | 743.82971  | 173.66121 | 238.99045 |
| BLW1-3  | 369.30823               | 232.13544              | 237.66107 | 756.60919  | 177.98126 | 275.91736 |
| BLW2-1  | 138.09650               | 373.78351              | 290.47131 | 337.54688  | 272.72617 | 260.08325 |
| BLW2-2  | 0.00000                 | 181.97603              | 166.99751 | 210.01035  | 178.70013 | 200.86974 |
| BLW2-3  | 145.97437               | 394.37164              | 292.91394 | 361.14209  | 255.50580 | 306.24609 |
| BLW3-1  | 375.04166               | 319.24268              | 207.04320 | 914.65259  | 0.00000   | 278.98770 |
| BLW3-2  | 426.72672               | 301.91961              | 219.73512 | 948.51288  | 0.00000   | 309.15262 |
| BLW3-3  | 427.81873               | 316.85098              | 232.26718 | 926.88171  | 0.00000   | 352.24353 |
| BLW4-1  | 189.568 <mark>66</mark> | 938.35405              | 264.28958 | 667.00128  | 329.35583 | 225.97749 |
| BLW4-2  | 196.41632               | 965.61237              | 275.20270 | 681.99890  | 327.89969 | 258.08615 |
| BLW4-3  | 206.58009               | 985.05652              | 281.50616 | 713.56042  | 326.90436 | 324.01700 |
| BLW5-1  | 501.82172               | 334.01718              | 239.07549 | 2123.62744 | 237.51646 | 248.45300 |
| BLW5-2  | 482.74689               | 426.83517              | 270.52878 | 2153.65493 | 262.34467 | 353.80380 |
| BLW5-3  | 474.37961               | 408.47888              | 251.75012 | 2140.02295 | 235.43500 | 354.29889 |
| BLW6-1  | 340.52957               | <mark>321.27441</mark> | 222.33061 | 1196.41528 | 240.92949 | 205.13269 |
| BLW6-2  | 367.27194               | 354.99649              | 245.84171 | 1245.41870 | 307.60281 | 272.11169 |
| BLW6-3  | 373.50882               | 357.66852              | 237.42577 | 1368.13904 | 255.52284 | 340.86890 |
| BLW7-1  | 369.40002               | 336.38489              | 249.86603 | 1170.93811 | 272.74332 | 178.03880 |
| BLW7-2  | 373.50989               | 342.81223              | 244.98564 | 1168.63867 | 267.23044 | 258.52209 |
| BLW7-3  | 385.90125               | 375.53610              | 255.96210 | 1231.56177 | 261.55420 | 329.04578 |
| BLW8-1  | 323.54337               | 353.88547              | 253.43805 | 1824.54344 | 308.24377 | 442.06516 |
| BLW8-2  | 354.25290               | 374.70172              | 265.51886 | 1874.43402 | 298.04047 | 224.65294 |
| BLW8-3  | 361.32581               | 388.64456              | 267.92734 | 1846.64323 | 310.16672 | 311.98175 |
| BLW9-1  | 376.48297               | 324.45319              | 240.86981 | 1391.05005 | 322.23383 | 128.06160 |
| BLW9-2  | 405.39297               | 349.09995              | 253.89531 | 1438.75403 | 295.17328 | 241.87471 |
| BLW9-3  | 392.03021               | 351.57364              | 247.49190 | 1331.33179 | 305.18823 | 299.30661 |
| BLW10-1 | 388.13580               | 333.99710              | 253.60260 | 1670.35424 | 319.21866 | 434.70129 |
| BLW10-2 | 407.28088               | 345.55365              | 259.79303 | 1664.07067 | 294.84164 | 256.21185 |
| BLW10-3 | 417.26218               | 281.68402              | 266.35291 | 1689.70700 | 312.21872 | 340.69974 |
| BLW11-1 | 457.46136               | 383.08682              | 266.14261 | 2072.42883 | 336.33661 | 547.18317 |
| BLW11-2 | 469.86713               | 386.29245              | 273.24576 | 1972.78223 | 329.41595 | 217.39195 |
| BLW11-3 | 484.66348               | 422.98569              | 286.25043 | 2072.16967 | 351.19919 | 341.12372 |
| BLW12-1 | 433.15851               | 341.43011              | 254.60843 | 1668.98572 | 332.02606 | 524.72961 |
| BLW12-2 | 434.92065               | 358.88409              | 250.84767 | 1807.95220 | 318.83688 | 239.22249 |
| BLW12-3 | 453.24866               | 380.84326              | 269.67450 | 1736.12130 | 365.01334 | 339.81339 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peakfrom each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

|         | 60        | 61                      | 62                        | 63        |
|---------|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| BLW1-1  | 425.90878 | 0.00000                 | 156.92006                 | 196.75336 |
| BLW1-2  | 326.70914 | 0.00000                 | 160.83342                 | 202.76497 |
| BLW1-3  | 385.98746 | 0.00000                 | 180.03456                 | 192.96254 |
| BLW2-1  | 315.84225 | 814.42419               | 478.51219                 | 287.29376 |
| BLW2-2  | 191.75084 | 603.29701               | 151.34839                 | 146.38747 |
| BLW2-3  | 396.41901 | 735.00055               | 423.55701                 | 113.57089 |
| BLW3-1  | 148.44946 | 696.49625               | 290.60304                 | 162.48267 |
| BLW3-2  | 136.70459 | 737.53054               | 305.94732                 | 167.36296 |
| BLW3-3  | 171.30782 | 594.5 <mark>3490</mark> | 435.61454                 | 107.37234 |
| BLW4-1  | 724.27667 | 601.58862               | 0.00000                   | 567.23892 |
| BLW4-2  | 741.81091 | 634.73877               | 0.00000                   | 593.07745 |
| BLW4-3  | 518.10910 | 685.02972               | 0.00000                   | 780.35583 |
| BLW5-1  | 514.38112 | 512.53833               | 344.91978                 | 217.05165 |
| BLW5-2  | 476.73420 | 616.93274               | 396.12711                 | 262.59988 |
| BLW5-3  | 196.35986 | 641.02143               | 372.42780                 | 181.65645 |
| BLW6-1  | 733.59094 | 390.92538               | 314.67462                 | 247.57130 |
| BLW6-2  | 765.24786 | 541.19362               | 351.53610                 | 266.05563 |
| BLW6-3  | 416.55940 | 591.19746               | 941.98676                 | 0.00000   |
| BLW7-1  | 777.18115 | 456.35370               | 348.39145                 | 267.75946 |
| BLW7-2  | 708.57532 | 452.28818               | 338.54089                 | 280.64166 |
| BLW7-3  | 229.09160 | 726.50061               | 742.47797                 | 226.21892 |
| BLW8-1  | 610.56970 | 470.74127               | 267.00500                 | 631.38129 |
| BLW8-2  | 900.88385 | 506.15826               | 286.72464                 | 668.75854 |
| BLW8-3  | 268.44724 | 769.98693               | 1071 <mark>.86</mark> 377 | 271.75320 |
| BLW9-1  | 810.31030 | 457.61435               | 264.15524                 | 590.51773 |
| BLW9-2  | 769.10419 | 492.28372               | 284.41479                 | 625.98196 |
| BLW9-3  | 239.23726 | 696.11035               | 1096.80450                | 240.30843 |
| BLW10-1 | 568.69354 | 465.73944               | 268.07346                 | 618.45358 |
| BLW10-2 | 817.84357 | 481.81946               | 281.55634                 | 644.32181 |
| BLW10-3 | 426.55923 | 507.74915               | 1050.27368                | 263.14420 |
| BLW11-1 | 601.08359 | 522.99591               | 290.90558                 | 664.58692 |
| BLW11-2 | 968.78137 | 554.25519               | 310.61270                 | 543.53911 |
| BLW11-3 | 517.18076 | 581.46820               | 1310.35278                | 287.80109 |
| BLW12-1 | 560.66544 | 494.15494               | 275.76367                 | 620.50152 |
| BLW12-2 | 860.94775 | 515.01910               | 280.33838                 | 629.85150 |
| BLW12-3 | 480.98821 | 529.74927               | 1230.95605                | 269.55069 |

**Table 21** Raw data, that from the comparisons between retention time and area under peak

 from each peaks for multivariate analysis.(cont.)

### APPENDIX C

Figure of HPLC chromatogram and 3D-HPLC profile of

**BLW 1- BLW12** 



Figure 81 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 1



Figure 82 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 1



Figure 83 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 2



Figure 84 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 2



Figure 85 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 3



Figure 86 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 3



Figure 87 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 4



Figure 88 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 4



Figure 89 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 5



Figure 90 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 5



Figure 91 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 6



Figure 92 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 6



Figure 93 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 7



Figure 94 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 7



Figure 95 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 8



Figure 96 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 8



Figure 97 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 9



Figure 98 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 9



Figure 99 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 10



Figure 100 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 10



Figure 101 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 11



Figure 102 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 11



Figure 103 HPLC Chromatogram of BLW 12



Figure 104 3D-HPLC Profile of BLW 12

### APPENDIX D

Data Antipyretic and Antinoceceptive activity by Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian Remedy and root of five plant species
# Chulalongkorn University Animal Care and Use Committee

| Certificate of Project Approval  | Coriginal CRenew   |
|--|--|
| Animal Use Protocol No. 09-33-007  | Approval No. 09-33-007   |
| Protocol Title<br>Antipyretic antipocicentive and anti-inflammat   | ory effects of bencha-loga-wichien herbal drug in animal   |
| models   |  |
| Principal Investigator   |  |
| Peramana Towinyat Ph D   |  |
| This project has been reviewed and approved<br>policies governing the care and use of laborator<br>Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Use<br>Research Council of Thailand                     | d by the IACUC in accordance with university regulations and<br>ry animals. The review has followed guidelines documented in<br>a of Animals for Scientific Purposes edited by the Nationa             |
| Date of Approval   | Date of Expiration   |
| January 30, 2009   | January 30, 2010   |
| Applicant Faculty/Institution  |  |
| Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalo<br>Pathumwan BKK-THAILAND, 10330   | ngkorn University, Phyathai Rd.,   |
| Signature of Chairperson<br>Withaya Jant Weed  | Signature of Authorized Official   |
| Name and Title   | Name and Title   |
| WITHAYA JANTHASOOT<br>Chairman   | RUNGPETCH SAKULBUMRUNGSIL, Ph.D.<br>Associate Dean (Research and Academic Service)   |
| The official signing above certifies that th<br>assumes that investigators will take responsibil<br>and use of animals.<br>This approval is subjected to assurance gi<br>investigations and reviews. | e information provided on this form is correct. The institution<br>lity, and follow university regulations and policies for the care<br>iven in the animal use protocol and may be required for future |

Figure 105 Certificate of Project Approval

| GROUP       | BASELIN | HOUR  | HOUR  | HOUR  | HOUR                | HOUR  | HOUR  | HOUR  |
|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|             | E       | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4                   | 5     | 6     | 7     |
|             | 36.98   | 37.32 | 36.82 | 36.94 | 36.76               | 37.02 | 36.48 | 36.32 |
| 2%          | 36.96   | 36.72 | 36.84 | 36.76 | 36.98               | 37.00 | 36.60 | 36.18 |
| Tween80+NS  | 36.96   | 37.20 | 37.08 | 36.96 | 36.82               | 36.38 | 35.26 | 36.70 |
| S           | 36.66   | 37.42 | 36.98 | 36.94 | 36.90               | 37.46 | 36.96 | 37.04 |
|             | 36.44   | 36.78 | 36.74 | 36.62 | 36.98               | 36.62 | 36.02 | 36.32 |
|             | 37.00   | 37.22 | 36.86 | 36.86 | 36.72               | 36.90 | 36.48 | 36.06 |
| Mean        | 36.83   | 37.11 | 36.89 | 36.85 | 36.86               | 36.90 | 36.30 | 36.44 |
| SD          | 0.23    | 0.29  | 0.12  | 0.13  | 0.11                | 0.37  | 0.59  | 0.37  |
|             | 36.98   | 38.34 | 38.18 | 38.50 | 38.40               | 37.98 | 37.94 | 37.76 |
|             | 37.12   | 37.68 | 38.00 | 38.42 | 38.62               | 38.10 | 37.82 | 37.40 |
|             | 37.02   | 38.06 | 38.02 | 38.24 | <mark>38</mark> .44 | 38.42 | 38.12 | 37.96 |
|             | 36.96   | 37.90 | 38.94 | 38.68 | 37.38               | 38.16 | 37.56 | 37.42 |
|             | 37.10   | 38.00 | 38.40 | 38.32 | 38.28               | 38.32 | 38.04 | 37.82 |
| 2%          | 37.12   | 38.02 | 38.00 | 38.46 | 38.08               | 37.72 | 37.62 | 37.92 |
| Tween80+LPS | 36.50   | 37.74 | 37.92 | 38.02 | <mark>38</mark> .14 | 38.00 | 37.98 | 37.82 |
|             | 36.94   | 37.94 | 38.40 | 38.10 | 38.00               | 37.76 | 37.62 | 37.56 |
|             | 36.82   | 37.12 | 38.38 | 38.10 | 38.30               | 38.38 | 38.18 | 38.00 |
|             | 35.34   | 37.30 | 37.56 | 37.64 | 37.94               | 37.86 | 37.32 | 36.86 |
|             | 36.68   | 37.90 | 37.90 | 37.98 | 37.60               | 37.30 | 37.28 | 36.70 |
|             | 36.92   | 37.24 | 37.70 | 37.84 | 37.50               | 37.44 | 37.54 | 37.56 |
| Mean        | 36.79   | 37.77 | 38.12 | 38.19 | 38.06               | 37.95 | 37.75 | 37.57 |
| SD          | 0.49    | 0.37  | 0.37  | 0.30  | 0.39                | 0.36  | 0.30  | 0.42  |
|             | 36.64   | 36.70 | 36.58 | 36.74 | 36.90               | 37.08 | 36.62 | 36.54 |
|             | 36.98   | 36.86 | 36.12 | 36.74 | 37.14               | 36.92 | 36.80 | 36.48 |
|             | 36.42   | 37.56 | 36.94 | 37.56 | 37.58               | 37.64 | 37.18 | 37.42 |
|             | 36.14   | 38.08 | 37.46 | 37.38 | 37.88               | 38.16 | 37.50 | 36.92 |
|             | 35.68   | 36.38 | 36.80 | 36.52 | 36.14               | 36.50 | 35.90 | 36.86 |
|             | 36.98   | 36.90 | 36.66 | 36.94 | 36.20               | 36.94 | 36.66 | 37.68 |
|             | 36.82   | 37.50 | 37.68 | 37.06 | 37.52               | 37.84 | 37.28 | 36.62 |
|             | 37.00   | 37.34 | 37.72 | 37.18 | 38.08               | 37.32 | 37.40 | 37.70 |
| ASA 300     | 38.20   | 38.16 | 37.58 | 37.66 | 37.70               | 37.16 | 37.38 | 37.66 |
| mg/kg       | 36.88   | 36.26 | 36.18 | 35.66 | 36.28               | 36.78 | 36.20 | 36.38 |
|             | 37.52   | 37.18 | 37.30 | 37.30 | 37.18               | 37.16 | 37.20 | 37.22 |
|             | 36.82   | 36.80 | 36.40 | 36.00 | 35.20               | 36.06 | 35.66 | 35.46 |
|             | 36.76   | 37.72 | 37.24 | 36.48 | 36.08               | 36.30 | 35.66 | 35.54 |
|             | 36.60   | 37.50 | 37.22 | 36.90 | 37.24               | 36.98 | 37.50 | 36.80 |
|             | 36.98   | 37.02 | 36,76 | 36,54 | 36.60               | 36.10 | 36.20 | 36,24 |
|             | 36.44   | 37.64 | 37.72 | 37,76 | 37.50               | 37.42 | 37,50 | 37,64 |
|             | 36.82   | 37.62 | 37.50 | 36.36 | 36.44               | 36 72 | 36.48 | 35.84 |
|             | 35 70   | 36.68 | 36.26 | 36 52 | 36 54               | 36 50 | 36 12 | 36 10 |
| Mean        | 26.70   | 27.00 | 27.01 | 24 OF | 26.04               | 20.00 | 26.74 | 04.70 |
|             | 30.74   | 31.77 | 37.01 | 30,85 | 20,20               | 36.98 | 30.74 | 36.73 |

Table 22 Effect of NSS (10 ml/kg), 2% Tween 80 (10 ml/kg) and ASA 300 mg/kg, on lipolysaccharide-induced fever.

| GROUP   | BASE<br>LINF | HOUR<br>1            | HOUR<br>2 | HOUR<br>3 | HOUR<br>4 | HOUR<br>5 | HOUR<br>6 | HOUR<br>7 |
|---------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|         | 35.38        | 36.88                | 37.18     | 37.18     | 38.02     | 37.70     | 37.14     | 36.68     |
|         | 36.66        | 35.80                | 35.88     | 35.96     | 36.24     | 36.88     | 35.98     | 35.58     |
| MCM 25  | 36.88        | 37.42                | 37.18     | 37.06     | 37.34     | 37.50     | 37.16     | 37.10     |
| mg/kg   | 37.62        | 37.02                | 37.08     | 36.64     | 36.42     | 36.98     | 36.64     | 36.18     |
|         | 37.86        | 36.88                | 36.96     | 36.86     | 36.62     | 37.02     | 36.84     | 36.86     |
|         | 35.20        | 37.40                | 37.04     | 37.04     | 37.10     | 37.34     | 37.40     | 37.28     |
| Mean    | 36.60        | 36.90                | 36.89*    | 36.79*    | 36.96*    | 37.24*    | 36.86*    | 36.61*    |
| SD      | 1.11         | 0.59                 | 0.50      | 0.45      | 0.67      | 0.33      | 0.51      | 0.63      |
|         | 36.70        | 38.00                | 37.52     | 37.18     | 37.48     | 37.18     | 37.28     | 37.42     |
|         | 36.80        | 35.58                | 38.22     | 38.02     | 37.84     | 38.30     | 37.68     | 37.48     |
| MCM 50  | 36.88        | 37.08                | 36.48     | 36.44     | 36.34     | 36.14     | 36.44     | 37.08     |
| mg/kg   | 37.14        | 36.60                | 36.74     | 36.66     | 36.70     | 36.76     | 36.52     | 36.26     |
|         | 37.30        | 36.66                | 37.24     | 36.40     | 36.42     | 36.24     | 36.16     | 36.34     |
|         | 37.36        | 37.22                | 37.50     | 37.22     | 36.68     | 36.86     | 36.78     | 36.68     |
| Mean    | 37.03        | 36.86*               | 37.28*    | 36.99*    | 36.91*    | 36.91*    | 36.81*    | 36.88*    |
| SD      | 0.28         | 0.80                 | 0.62      | 0.62      | 0.61      | 0.78      | 0.57      | 0.53      |
|         | 37.40        | 3 <mark>6.4</mark> 6 | 37.16     | 36.24     | 37.84     | 36.84     | 35.88     | 35.80     |
|         | 37.62        | <mark>37.60</mark>   | 36.90     | 36.80     | 36.94     | 37.58     | 37.64     | 36.64     |
| MCM 100 | 36.42        | 37.22                | 36.92     | 37.00     | 36.88     | 36.82     | 36.90     | 36.60     |
| mg/kg   | 37.92        | 3 <mark>7.50</mark>  | 37.24     | 36.68     | 36.96     | 36.98     | 36.96     | 36.58     |
|         | 37.46        | 36.4 <mark>8</mark>  | 37.04     | 36.56     | 36.18     | 36.70     | 36.50     | 36.82     |
|         | 37.38        | 37.68                | 37.72     | 37.74     | 37.44     | 36.96     | 36.66     | 36.52     |
| Mean    | 37.37        | 37.16                | 37.16*    | 36.84*    | 37.04*    | 36.98*    | 36.76*    | 36.49*    |
| SD      | 0.51         | 0.55                 | 0.30      | 0.51      | 0.56      | 0.31      | 0.58      | 0.35      |
|         | 36.84        | 36.72                | 36.80     | 36.28     | 36.64     | 35.82     | 36.34     | 35.90     |
|         | 36.62        | 36.16                | 36.66     | 36.14     | 36.14     | 36.56     | 35.72     | 35.62     |
| MCM 200 | 37.20        | 37.32                | 36.64     | 36.74     | 37.64     | 37.58     | 36.86     | 37.16     |
| mg/kg   | 36.02        | 37.32                | 36.78     | 36.62     | 36.26     | 36.26     | 36.30     | 36.10     |
|         | 37.24        | 37.60                | 37.60     | 36.80     | 36.86     | 36.62     | 36.70     | 36.56     |
|         | 37.06        | 36.64                | 36.46     | 36.36     | 36.50     | 36.08     | 36.14     | 36.12     |
| Mean    | 36.83        | 36.96                | 36.82*    | 36.49*    | 36.67*    | 36.49*    | 36.34*    | 36.24*    |
| SD      | 0.46         | 0.54                 | 0.40      | 0.27      | 0.54      | 0.61      | 0.41      | 0.54      |
| (A)     | 36.78        | 37.96                | 37.88     | 37.76     | 38.16     | 38.12     | 37.38     | 36.72     |
|         | 36.32        | 35.88                | 35.92     | 35.82     | 36.24     | 35.70     | 36.64     | 36.30     |
| MCM 400 | 35.80        | 36.46                | 36.08     | 35.34     | 35.72     | 35.74     | 35.92     | 36.60     |
| mg/kg   | 36.46        | 36.20                | 36.92     | 36.06     | 36.28     | 36.36     | 36.36     | 36.28     |
|         | 36.96        | 36.90                | 36.94     | 36.70     | 36.56     | 36.90     | 36.60     | 36.62     |
|         | 37.20        | 35.94                | 36.86     | 36.96     | 36.72     | 36.72     | 36.46     | 36.46     |
| Mean    | 36.59        | 36.56*               | 36.77*    | 36.44*    | 36.61*    | 36.59*    | 36.56*    | 36.50*    |
| SD      | 0.50         | 0.78                 | 0.71      | 0.87      | 0.83      | 0.90      | 0.48      | 0.18      |

 Table 23
 Effect of C. micracantha root extract (CM; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

|             | BASELIN | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   |
|-------------|---------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| GROUP       | E       | 1                   | 2      | 3                   | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      |
|             | 36.84   | 37.20               | 37.38  | 36.98               | 36.68  | 36.24  | 36.08  | 35.80  |
|             | 36.20   | 37.76               | 37.76  | 37.52               | 37.48  | 37.62  | 37.34  | 37.26  |
| CP 25 mg/kg | 36.24   | 37.20               | 36.96  | 36.30               | 36.56  | 36.64  | 36.68  | 36.52  |
| Of 20 mg/kg | 37.44   | 37.46               | 37.32  | 37.14               | 37.40  | 37.10  | 36.76  | 36.88  |
|             | 36.94   | 37.46               | 36.78  | 37.02               | 36.86  | 36.66  | 36.26  | 35.94  |
|             | 36.90   | 37.18               | 37.08  | 37.08               | 36.72  | 36.74  | 36.94  | 36.64  |
| Mean        | 36.76   | 37.38               | 37.21* | 37.01*              | 36.95* | 36.83* | 36.68* | 36.51* |
| SD          | 0.47    | 0.23                | 0.35   | 0.40                | 0.39   | 0.47   | 0.46   | 0.56   |
|             | 36.82   | 37.3 <mark>8</mark> | 36.98  | 37.00               | 37.06  | 36.88  | 36.88  | 36.92  |
|             | 36.52   | 37.12               | 36.96  | 36.88               | 36.84  | 36.82  | 36.56  | 36.56  |
|             | 36.32   | 36.90               | 36.40  | 3 <mark>6.64</mark> | 37.08  | 37.12  | 36.82  | 36.90  |
| CP 50 mg/kg | 36.68   | 37.14               | 36.74  | 36.26               | 36.68  | 36.82  | 36.50  | 36.84  |
|             | 36.92   | 37.66               | 37.80  | 37.46               | 36.92  | 36.86  | 36.74  | 36.52  |
|             | 39.72   | 37.00               | 36.76  | 36.60               | 36.60  | 36.78  | 36.62  | 36.52  |
| Mean        | 37.16   | 37.20               | 36.94* | 36.81*              | 36.86* | 36.88* | 36.69* | 36.71* |
| SD          | 1.27    | 0.28                | 0.47   | 0.41                | 0.20   | 0.12   | 0.15   | 0.20   |
|             | 36.62   | 37.38               | 36.98  | 37.02               | 36.96  | 36.66  | 36.82  | 36.36  |
|             | 36.82   | 37.44               | 37.34  | 37.16               | 37.24  | 36.98  | 36.92  | 36.80  |
| CP 100      | 36.80   | 37.26               | 37.38  | 36.82               | 35.92  | 35.26  | 35.16  | 36.56  |
| mg/kg       | 36.74   | 37.6 <mark>8</mark> | 37.22  | 36.88               | 37.00  | 37.20  | 36.98  | 36.48  |
|             | 36.56   | 37.88               | 37.50  | 37.76               | 36.76  | 36.40  | 36.34  | 36.20  |
|             | 36.82   | 37.16               | 36.86  | 36.76               | 36.56  | 36.74  | 36.70  | 36.52  |
| Mean        | 36.73   | 37.47               | 37.21* | 37.07*              | 36.74* | 36.54* | 36.49* | 36.49* |
| SD          | 0.11    | 0.27                | 0.25   | 0.37                | 0.46   | 0.68   | 0.69   | 0.20   |
|             | 37.34   | 37.58               | 36.94  | 37.24               | 37.18  | 36.96  | 36.92  | 36.80  |
|             | 37.10   | 37.42               | 37.84  | 37.64               | 36.82  | 36.78  | 36.52  | 36.72  |
| CP 200      | 36.78   | 37.70               | 37.30  | 37.18               | 37.18  | 36.90  | 36.68  | 36.48  |
| mg/kg       | 36.46   | 36.74               | 36.50  | 36.58               | 36.70  | 36.90  | 36.64  | 36.28  |
|             | 36.62   | 37.54               | 37.06  | 36.84               | 36.70  | 36.70  | 36.74  | 36.54  |
|             | 36.98   | 37.72               | 37.66  | 36.98               | 36.74  | 36.50  | 36.42  | 36.28  |
| Mean        | 36.88   | 37.45*              | 37.22* | 37.08*              | 36.89* | 36.79* | 36.65* | 36.52* |
| SD          | 0.32    | 0.36                | 0.49   | 0.37                | 0.23   | 0.17   | 0.17   | 0.22   |
| a.'         | 36.98   | 37.32               | 37.08  | 37.04               | 36.70  | 36.76  | 36.44  | 36.44  |
|             | 37.46   | 37.96               | 38.12  | 37.92               | 37.86  | 37.80  | 37.76  | 37.34  |
| CP 400      | 36.76   | 36.98               | 36.48  | 36.42               | 36.32  | 36.50  | 36.30  | 35.82  |
| mg/kg       | 36.86   | 37.76               | 37.82  | 37.32               | 35.84  | 36.92  | 36.50  | 36.26  |
|             | 36.90   | 36.90               | 36.90  | 37.14               | 36.60  | 36.60  | 36.54  | 36.40  |
|             | 36.96   | 37.80               | 37.80  | 37.50               | 37.20  | 36.98  | 36.72  | 36.42  |
| Mean        | 36.99   | 37.45*              | 37.37* | 37.22*              | 36,75* | 36.93* | 36,71* | 36.45* |
| SD          | 0.24    | 0.45                | 0.64   | 0.50                | 0.70   | 0.47   | 0.53   | 0.50   |

**Table 24** Effect of *C. petasites* root extract (CP; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

| GROUP        | BASE  | HOUR                 | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR         | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   |
|--------------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
|              | LINE  | 1                    | 2                   | 3      | 4            | 5      | 6      | 7      |
|              | 35.08 | 37.08                | 37.16               | 36.52  | 36.04        | 36.50  | 36.66  | 36.66  |
|              | 37.28 | 37.32                | 37.24               | 37.24  | 37.50        | 37.30  | 37.02  | 36.88  |
| HP 25 ma/ka  | 36.98 | 37.32                | 37.08               | 37.04  | 36.92        | 36.94  | 36.50  | 36.42  |
| :            | 36.96 | 37.48                | 37.90               | 37.54  | 37.18        | 36.94  | 36.50  | 36.54  |
|              | 35.66 | 37.44                | 37.40               | 36.92  | 37.10        | 37.02  | 36.86  | 36.68  |
|              | 37.42 | 37.84                | 37.44               | 36.88  | 36.62        | 36.54  | 36.34  | 36.22  |
| Mean         | 36.56 | 37.41                | 37.37*              | 37.02* | 36.89*       | 36.87* | 36.65* | 36.57* |
| SD           | 0.96  | 0.25                 | 0.29                | 0.35   | 0.51         | 0.30   | 0.25   | 0.23   |
|              | 36.34 | 37.86                | 37.18               | 36.64  | 36.44        | 36.96  | 36.86  | 36.52  |
|              | 37.20 | 36.78                | <mark>36.</mark> 86 | 37.04  | 36.94        | 36.76  | 37.16  | 36.66  |
| HP 50 mg/kg  | 36.84 | 38.04                | 38.08               | 37.10  | <u>36.98</u> | 36.78  | 36.58  | 36.36  |
| THE SUTHYRY  | 36.96 | 37.70                | 37.88               | 37.22  | 37.04        | 36.88  | 36.60  | 36.50  |
|              | 37.70 | 37.02                | 37.00               | 36.78  | 37.08        | 36.80  | 36.26  | 36.20  |
|              | 36.68 | 37.06                | 36.96               | 36.72  | 36.50        | 36.28  | 36.98  | 36.34  |
| Mean         | 36.95 | 37.41                | 37.33               | 36.92* | 36.83*       | 36.74* | 36.74* | 36.43* |
| SD           | 0.47  | 0.52                 | 0.52                | 0.23   | 0.28         | 0.24   | 0.32   | 0.16   |
|              | 36.32 | 36.46                | 36.34               | 36.26  | 36.74        | 36.12  | 36.44  | 36.80  |
|              | 37.08 | <mark>38.24</mark>   | 37.94               | 37.54  | 37.72        | 37.00  | 36.88  | 36.64  |
| HP 100       | 36.62 | 36 <mark>.8</mark> 8 | 37.10               | 36.90  | <u>36.78</u> | 36.52  | 36.34  | 36.12  |
| mg/kg        | 36.96 | 3 <mark>6.80</mark>  | 37.46               | 36.76  | 36.82        | 36.58  | 36.56  | 36.32  |
|              | 35.52 | 35.6 <mark>0</mark>  | 35.68               | 35.44  | 35.96        | 36.12  | 36.38  | 36.10  |
|              | 37.52 | 36.82                | 36.92               | 36.74  | 37.16        | 37.00  | 36.58  | 36.26  |
| Mean         | 36.67 | 36.80                | 36.91               | 36.61* | 36.86*       | 36.56* | 36.53* | 36.37* |
| SD           | 0.70  | 0.85                 | 0.80                | 0.70   | 0.58         | 0.39   | 0.20   | 0.29   |
|              | 36.68 | 37.16                | 36.60               | 36.38  | 36.90        | 37.06  | 36.98  | 36.70  |
|              | 37.20 | 37.74                | 37.44               | 37.10  | 37.50        | 37.38  | 37.00  | 36.88  |
| HP 200       | 36.26 | 37.84                | 38.04               | 38.08  | 37.96        | 37.52  | 37.20  | 37.00  |
| mg/kg        | 36.90 | 37.08                | 36.90               | 36.70  | 36.42        | 36.32  | 36.12  | 36.88  |
|              | 36.92 | 36.62                | 36.94               | 36.88  | 37.12        | 36.94  | 36.74  | 36.46  |
|              | 37.30 | 37.90                | 37.52               | 37.24  | 37.04        | 36.86  | 36.58  | 36.20  |
| Mean         | 36.88 | 37.39                | 37.24               | 37.06  | 37.16        | 37.01* | 36.77* | 36.69* |
| SD           | 0.38  | 0.52                 | 0.52                | 0.58   | 0.53         | 0.42   | 0.39   | 0.30   |
| <u>ି</u> ସ 1 | 36.00 | 37.72                | 37.72               | 37.32  | 37.04        | 36.96  | 36.56  | 36.46  |
|              | 37.12 | 38.12                | 38.12               | 37.90  | 37.66        | 37.50  | 37.28  | 36.86  |
| HP 400       | 37.00 | 36.94                | 37.40               | 37.48  | 37.18        | 36.96  | 36.60  | 36.42  |
| mg/kg        | 37.60 | 37.94                | 38.12               | 37.02  | 36.96        | 36.84  | 36.62  | 36.14  |
|              | 35.52 | 36.84                | 36.80               | 36.68  | 37.14        | 37.02  | 36.74  | 36.62  |
|              | 37.04 | 37.42                | 37.32               | 36.88  | 36.64        | 36.36  | 36.18  | 36.42  |
| Mean         | 36.71 | 37.50                | 37.58               | 37.21* | 37,10*       | 36.94* | 36.66* | 36.49* |
| SD           | 0.78  | 0.53                 | 0.51                | 0.44   | 0.33         | 0.37   | 0.36   | 0.24   |

**Table 25** Effect of *H. perforata* root extract (HP; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

| GROUP        | BASELINE | HOUR1               | HOUR2  | HOUR3  | HOUR4        | HOUR5  | HOUR6  | HOUR7  |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
|              | 36.14    | 36.78               | 36.54  | 36.52  | 36.78        | 36.76  | 36.98  | 36.42  |
|              | 36.86    | 37.62               | 37.78  | 37.56  | 38.22        | 37.92  | 37.80  | 37.36  |
| ER 25 ma/ka  | 36.54    | 36.48               | 36.96  | 36.48  | 36.62        | 36.80  | 36.96  | 36.52  |
| TIX 25 mg/kg | 35.74    | 36.60               | 36.90  | 36.66  | 35.90        | 36.04  | 36.38  | 36.34  |
|              | 36.68    | 37.56               | 37.72  | 36.92  | 37.92        | 37.40  | 36.50  | 36.44  |
|              | 36.40    | 35.88               | 36.30  | 36.18  | 35.86        | 36.16  | 35.92  | 35.94  |
| Mean         | 36.39    | 36.82*              | 37.03* | 36.72* | 36.88*       | 36.85* | 36.76  | 36.50* |
| SD           | 0.40     | 0.67                | 0.61   | 0.48   | 1.00         | 0.72   | 0.65   | 0.47   |
|              | 35.68    | 37.08               | 36.88  | 37.46  | 37.36        | 36.94  | 39.86  | 36.80  |
|              | 36.96    | 37.64               | 37.36  | 37.50  | 37.14        | 37.48  | 37.10  | 37.00  |
| ED 50 ma/ka  | 36.50    | 37.06               | 37.66  | 37.02  | 36.64        | 36.62  | 36.76  | 36.42  |
| FR 50 mg/kg  | 36.40    | 37.10               | 37.08  | 36.64  | <u>36.92</u> | 37.44  | 36.74  | 36.18  |
|              | 37.34    | 36.56               | 36.64  | 35.94  | 36.18        | 35.60  | 35.30  | 35.26  |
|              | 37.20    | 37.56               | 36.08  | 35.84  | 35.96        | 36.18  | 36.34  | 36.38  |
| Mean         | 36.68    | 37.17               | 36.95* | 36.73* | 36.70*       | 36.71* | 37.02  | 36.34* |
| SD           | 0.62     | 0.39                | 0.56   | 0.73   | 0.55         | 0.73   | 1.53   | 0.61   |
|              | 36.12    | 36.90               | 37.06  | 36.95  | 36.96        | 37.26  | 37.50  | 37.38  |
|              | 37.12    | 36.82               | 36.90  | 36.82  | 37.90        | 37.74  | 37.96  | 37.60  |
| FR 100       | 36.58    | <mark>37.1</mark> 4 | 36.86  | 37.28  | 37.16        | 37.48  | 37.52  | 36.86  |
| mg/kg        | 37.18    | 37.88               | 37.74  | 37.14  | 37.62        | 37.84  | 36.84  | 36.24  |
|              | 36.66    | <mark>37</mark> .56 | 38.16  | 37.84  | 38.22        | 37.88  | 37.52  | 37.20  |
|              | 35.98    | 36.82               | 36.30  | 36.64  | 36.48        | 36.92  | 36.40  | 36.02  |
| Mean         | 36.61    | 37.19               | 37.17* | 37.11* | 37.39        | 37.52  | 37.29  | 36.88  |
| SD           | 0.50     | 0.44                | 0.67   | 0.42   | 0.64         | 0.38   | 0.56   | 0.64   |
|              | 36.88    | 37.42               | 37.46  | 36.96  | 36.82        | 37.14  | 36.94  | 36.84  |
|              | 37.06    | 36.94               | 37.50  | 37.66  | 36.64        | 36.40  | 36.42  | 36.10  |
| FR 200       | 36.76    | 36.78               | 38.26  | 37.68  | 37.98        | 37.90  | 37.36  | 37.50  |
| mg/kg        | 36.92    | 37.76               | 37.68  | 37.50  | 37.46        | 37.46  | 37.60  | 36.54  |
|              | 37.78    | 38.24               | 37.96  | 37.72  | 37.84        | 37.94  | 37.58  | 37.34  |
|              | 38.12    | 37.40               | 37.10  | 37.10  | 37.22        | 37.32  | 36.96  | 36.92  |
| Mean         | 37.25    | 37.42               | 37.66  | 37.44  | 37.33        | 37.36  | 37.14  | 36.87  |
| SD           | 0.56     | 0.53                | 0.41   | 0.33   | 0.54         | 0.57   | 0.46   | 0.51   |
| 29           | 36.56    | 36.90               | 37.34  | 37.58  | 37.14        | 36.98  | 36.94  | 36.64  |
|              | 36.92    | 37.50               | 38.04  | 37.96  | 37.84        | 37.70  | 37.38  | 37.44  |
| FR 400       | 35.80    | 36.62               | 36.62  | 36.44  | 36.42        | 37.00  | 36.56  | 36.76  |
| mg/kg        | 36.62    | 37.56               | 37.56  | 36.76  | 36.90        | 37.26  | 36.58  | 36.34  |
|              | 36.16    | 37.24               | 38.00  | 36.90  | 37.08        | 36.86  | 36.46  | 36.40  |
|              | 35.92    | 36.04               | 36.12  | 35.60  | 36.34        | 36.60  | 36.52  | 36.70  |
| Mean         | 36.33    | 36.98*              | 37.28* | 36.87* | 36.95*       | 37.07* | 36.74* | 36.71  |
| SD           | 0.44     | 0.58                | 0.77   | 0.84   | 0.55         | 0.38   | 0.36   | 0.39   |

 Table 26
 Effect of *F. racemosa* root extract (FR; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

|             | BASELIN | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   |
|-------------|---------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| GROUP       | E       | 1                   | 2      | 3                   | 4      | 5      | 6      | 7      |
|             | 36.82   | 37.60               | 37.28  | 36.78               | 36.52  | 37.04  | 36.42  | 36.46  |
|             | 37.32   | 37.26               | 37.20  | 37.94               | 37.60  | 37.48  | 36.96  | 36.64  |
| TT 25 ma/ka | 36.08   | 36.80               | 36.34  | 35.96               | 35.86  | 35.58  | 35.10  | 34.78  |
| 11 25 mg/kg | 36.86   | 36.32               | 35.98  | 35.06               | 35.78  | 35.94  | 35.78  | 36.10  |
|             | 36.44   | 37.22               | 37.12  | 37.52               | 37.68  | 37.90  | 37.70  | 37.64  |
|             | 37.20   | 37.62               | 37.00  | 36.68               | 36.40  | 36.86  | 36.82  | 36.10  |
| Mean        | 36.79   | 37.14               | 36.82* | 36.66*              | 36.64* | 36.80* | 36.46* | 36.29* |
| SD          | 0.47    | 0.50                | 0.53   | 1.04                | 0.83   | 0.89   | 0.92   | 0.93   |
|             | 37.36   | 37. <mark>96</mark> | 37.48  | 37.18               | 36.64  | 36.58  | 36.60  | 36.62  |
|             | 37.30   | 36.80               | 36.38  | 35.90               | 36.54  | 36.14  | 36.16  | 36.00  |
|             | 37.10   | 37.56               | 37.06  | 3 <mark>7.36</mark> | 37.18  | 36.56  | 36.56  | 36.58  |
| 11 50 mg/kg | 36.84   | 37.40               | 37.42  | 37.22               | 37.16  | 37.52  | 37.56  | 37.64  |
|             | 36.78   | 36.78               | 36.78  | 36.44               | 36.46  | 36.74  | 36.84  | 37.06  |
|             | 36.46   | 37.42               | 37.50  | 37.10               | 36.88  | 36.42  | 36.00  | 36.10  |
| Mean        | 36.97   | 37.32               | 37.10* | 36.87*              | 36.81* | 36.66* | 36.62* | 36.67* |
| SD          | 0.34    | 0.46                | 0.45   | 0.57                | 0.31   | 0.47   | 0.55   | 0.61   |
|             | 36.16   | 37.32               | 36.58  | 36.18               | 35.78  | 35.66  | 35.02  | 35.06  |
|             | 36.72   | 37.16               | 36.94  | 36.38               | 35.94  | 36.30  | 36.00  | 35.83  |
| TT 100      | 37.18   | 37.04               | 37.54  | 36.56               | 36.76  | 37.06  | 36.56  | 35.86  |
| mg/kg       | 36.50   | <b>37.10</b>        | 36.90  | 36.56               | 36.30  | 36.64  | 36.40  | 36.84  |
|             | 36.24   | 37.00               | 36.30  | 36.18               | 35.62  | 36.68  | 36.56  | 36.36  |
|             | 36.40   | 36.90               | 37.32  | 36.10               | 36.24  | 36.56  | 36.88  | 36.56  |
| Mean        | 36.53   | 37.09               | 36.93* | 36.33*              | 36.11* | 36.48* | 36.24* | 36.09* |
| SD          | 0.37    | 0.14                | 0.46   | 0.20                | 0.41   | 0.47   | 0.66   | 0.64   |
|             | 36.16   | 36.14               | 36.14  | 36.04               | 35.78  | 35.88  | 35.16  | 35.44  |
|             | 37.32   | 37.80               | 37.84  | 37.14               | 37.44  | 37.38  | 37.10  | 36.98  |
| TT 200      | 37.02   | 37.34               | 37.66  | 36.90               | 36.42  | 36.56  | 36.10  | 36.00  |
| mg/kg       | 36.64   | 35.54               | 35.68  | 36.08               | 36.22  | 36.18  | 36.12  | 35.86  |
|             | 36.76   | 35.38               | 35.40  | 35.22               | 35.30  | 35.86  | 35.74  | 35.68  |
|             | 36.84   | 36.86               | 37.26  | 36.74               | 36.86  | 36.30  | 35.82  | 36.38  |
| Mean        | 36.79   | 36.51*              | 36.66* | 36.35*              | 36.34* | 36.36* | 36.01* | 36.06* |
| SD          | 0.39    | 0.98                | 1.06   | 0.71                | 0.76   | 0.57   | 0.64   | 0.55   |
| 2           | 37.28   | 38.10               | 37.72  | 36.94               | 36.50  | 37.12  | 36.54  | 36.16  |
|             | 37.46   | 36.22               | 36.24  | 35.46               | 35.44  | 36.28  | 35.68  | 35.84  |
| TT 400      | 36.82   | 36.90               | 36.98  | 36.78               | 36.64  | 35.42  | 36.28  | 36.60  |
| mg/kg       | 36.86   | 36.22               | 35.02  | 36.62               | 36.74  | 37.08  | 37.14  | 37,10  |
| 0.0         | 36.96   | 36 64               | 37 16  | 37.28               | 37 20  | 37 42  | 37.42  | 37.50  |
|             | 36.30   | 36 44               | 36.24  | 36.06               | 35.62  | 35 54  | 35 54  | 35.08  |
| Mean        | 36.95   | 36 75*              | 36 56* | 36.52*              | 36.36* | 36 48* | 36 43* | 36.38* |
| SD          | 0.40    | 0.71                | 0.94   | 0.66                | 0.68   | 0.86   | 0.76   | 0.88   |

**Table 27** Effect of *T. triandra* root extract (TT; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

|          |       | HOUR                | HOUR         | HOUR                | HOUR                | HOUR   | HOUR   | HOUR   |
|----------|-------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| GROUP    | E     | 1                   | 2            | 3                   | 4                   | 5      | 6      | 7      |
|          | 36.14 | 36.74               | 36.30        | 35.98               | 36.20               | 36.64  | 36.28  | 36.20  |
|          | 35.60 | 37.60               | 38.00        | 37.76               | 37.70               | 37.20  | 37.04  | 36.72  |
| BLW 25   | 36.90 | 37.90               | 37.96        | 36.84               | 36.62               | 36.38  | 35.78  | 35.20  |
| mg/kg    | 36.78 | 38.02               | 37.86        | 37.28               | 36.80               | 36.46  | 36.02  | 35.44  |
|          | 36.96 | 37.60               | 36.96        | 36.74               | 36.68               | 36.44  | 36.48  | 36.16  |
|          | 36.86 | 37.32               | 36.70        | 36.68               | 36.58               | 36.36  | 36.44  | 36.12  |
| Mean     | 36.54 | 37.53               | 37.30        | 36.88               | 36.76*              | 36.58* | 36.34* | 35.97* |
| SD       | 0.55  | 0.46                | 0.74         | 0.60                | 0.50                | 0.32   | 0.43   | 0.56   |
|          | 35.66 | 38.08               | 37.96        | 37.94               | 37.66               | 37.14  | 36.88  | 36.72  |
|          | 36.24 | 38.04               | 36.74        | 36.60               | 36.50               | 36.80  | 36.42  | 36.32  |
| BLW 50   | 36.88 | 38.34               | <u>38.08</u> | 37.66               | <mark>36</mark> .94 | 36.54  | 36.28  | 36.34  |
| mg/kg    | 36.84 | 37.90               | 37.74        | 3 <mark>7.40</mark> | <u>36.</u> 86       | 36.50  | 36.36  | 36.38  |
|          | 36.94 | 37.02               | 36.88        | 36.64               | <u>36.3</u> 4       | 36.40  | 36.76  | 36.60  |
|          | 36.52 | 37.52               | 36.98        | 36.92               | 36.80               | 36.64  | 36.80  | 36.42  |
| Mean     | 36.51 | 37.82               | 37.40        | 37.19               | 36.85*              | 36.67* | 36.58* | 36.46* |
| SD       | 0.50  | 0.47                | 0.60         | 0.56                | 0.46                | 0.27   | 0.26   | 0.16   |
|          | 37.28 | 37.26               | 37.12        | 36.90               | 36.74               | 36.42  | 36.22  | 36.16  |
|          | 37.04 | 37.40               | 36.70        | 36.32               | 36.70               | 36.42  | 36.40  | 36.36  |
| BLW 100  | 36.88 | 36.40               | 36.20        | 36.56               | 36.52               | 36.16  | 35.78  | 35.34  |
| mg/kg    | 36.46 | 37.86               | 37.80        | 37.28               | 36.78               | 36.58  | 36.00  | 35.84  |
|          | 36.48 | 37.2 <mark>4</mark> | 37.14        | 36.88               | 36.76               | 36.56  | 36.66  | 36.36  |
|          | 36.42 | 37.36               | 37.06        | 36.78               | 36.60               | 36.34  | 36.68  | 36.24  |
| Mean     | 36.76 | 37.25               | 37.00*       | 36.79*              | 36.68*              | 36.41* | 36.29* | 36.05* |
| SD       | 0.36  | 0.48                | 0.53         | 0.33                | 0.10                | 0.15   | 0.36   | 0.40   |
|          | 35.02 | 37.32               | 36.98        | 36.68               | 36.46               | 36.72  | 36.54  | 36.42  |
|          | 37.10 | 37.78               | 37.16        | 36.84               | 36.38               | 36.66  | 36.62  | 36.22  |
| BLW 200  | 36.96 | 37.80               | 37.56        | 37.06               | 36.68               | 36.46  | 35.86  | 36.00  |
| mg/kg    | 36.82 | 38.10               | 37.88        | 37.48               | 36.76               | 36.32  | 36.08  | 36.14  |
|          | 36.96 | 37.34               | 36.84        | 36.70               | 36.60               | 36.48  | 36.72  | 36.52  |
|          | 35.84 | 37.48               | 36.92        | 36.64               | 36.64               | 36.58  | 36.70  | 36.68  |
| Mean     | 36.45 | 37.64               | 37.22*       | 36.90*              | 36.59*              | 36.54* | 36.42* | 36.33* |
| SD       | 0.84  | 0.31                | 0.41         | 0.32                | 0.14                | 0.15   | 0.36   | 0.25   |
|          | 36.88 | 37.40               | 36.62        | 36.24               | 36.90               | 36.04  | 36.06  | 35.82  |
|          | 36.72 | 37.44               | 37.54        | 36.64               | 36.84               | 36.42  | 36.34  | 36.00  |
| BI W 400 | 36.76 | 37.88               | 37.64        | 36.82               | 36.44               | 36.22  | 36.04  | 35.76  |
| mg/kg    | 37.06 | 37 42               | 34 04        | 36 74               | 35 52               | 36.32  | 36.28  | 32 24  |
|          | 36.80 | 37,60               | 36 72        | 36 76               | 36 48               | 36.26  | 36.54  | 36.36  |
|          | 36.92 | 37 22               | 37 12        | 36.98               | 36 74               | 36.68  | 36.66  | 36.34  |
| Mean     | 36.86 | 37 49               | 36.61        | 36 70*              | 36 49*              | 36.32* | 36.32* | 35 42* |
| SD       | 0.12  | 0.22                | 1.33         | 0.25                | 0.51                | 0.22   | 0.25   | 1.58   |

 Table 28
 Effect BLW root extract (BLW; 25-400 mg/kg) on lipolysaccharide-induced fever in rat

| sample/time  | 15 min     | 30 min     | 45 min     | 60 min     | 90 min     | 120 min    | 240 min    |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| CM 25 mg/kg  | 26.28±1.95 | 29.53±1.19 | 27.58±2.18 | 28.89±1.22 | 31.31±2.41 | 32.82±1.76 | 38.40±1.69 |
| CM 50 mg/kg  | 30.93±2.68 | 25.80±2.46 | 34.83±2.14 | 31.14±2.32 | 36.18±2.01 | 32.34±2.09 | 37.34±2.07 |
| CM 100 mg/kg | 32.29±2.76 | 29.68±2.57 | 33.75±1.36 | 34.28±1.98 | 36.15±2.13 | 33.99±2.68 | 39.05±1.93 |
| CM 200 mg/kg | 32.98±3.16 | 35.10±2.63 | 39.59±1.91 | 38.00±2.20 | 39.30±1.37 | 39.64±2.01 | 42.93±1.28 |
| CM 400 mg/kg | 36.59±1.89 | 37.53±1.64 | 36.79±1.63 | 38.56±1.80 | 35.77±4.14 | 41.40±1.06 | 44.57±0.43 |
|              |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| CP 25 mg/kg  | 17.14±1.23 | 16.59±1.29 | 16.09±0.59 | 17.83±1.07 | 20.32±1.35 | 21.02±0.55 | 20.61±1.37 |
| CP 50 mg/kg  | 20.09±1.28 | 18.19±1.34 | 20.37±1.58 | 18.34±1.49 | 21.66±1.61 | 21.39±0.78 | 20.71±1.66 |
| CP 100 mg/kg | 17.74±0.89 | 23.21±2.99 | 19.79±1.45 | 17.40±1.21 | 19.85±0.99 | 23.74±1.58 | 19.91±0.67 |
| CP 200 mg/kg | 20.02±1.44 | 18.94±1.35 | 18.82±1.06 | 19.25±0.76 | 21.27±1.26 | 23.17±0.93 | 22.55±1.49 |
| CP 400 mg/kg | 25.74±2.66 | 21.34±1.51 | 23.3±1.24  | 27.33±2.06 | 27.03±2.74 | 28.50±2.27 | 27.72±2.48 |
|              |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |
| HP 25 mg/kg  | 25.56±3.68 | 24.23±3.03 | 22.60±2.69 | 23.77±2.23 | 24.62±2.68 | 21.65±1.25 | 25.10±1.91 |
| HP 50 mg/kg  | 20.25±1.69 | 21.60±1.69 | 17.01±0.76 | 18.73±1.12 | 19.79±1.70 | 24.61±1.97 | 22.13±1.24 |
| HP 100 mg/kg | 21.92±2.15 | 21.26±2.33 | 20.16±2.47 | 18.51±1.76 | 22.03±2.89 | 23.50±2.46 | 26.01±2.68 |
| HP 200 mg/kg | 21.84±2.12 | 22.34±1.91 | 22.71±2.30 | 25.96±2.45 | 26.67±2.57 | 29.62±2.59 | 30.08±2.75 |
| HP 400 mg/kg | 27.88±2.36 | 26.75±2.21 | 27.79±3.59 | 27.78±2.65 | 32.74±2.77 | 31.11±3.01 | 31.33±2.61 |
|              |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |

**Table 29** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after oral administration of various doses of each root species components in BLW remedy(25-400 mg/kg). N = 10 for all groups and data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M.

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

| sample/time   | 15 min     | 30 min     | 45 min     | 60 min     | 90 min     | 120 min    | 240 min     |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| FR 25 mg/kg   | 24.15±2.19 | 25.89±1.94 | 24.61±2.03 | 28.79±2.25 | 33.25±2.18 | 36.94±2.14 | 31.72±2.26  |
| FR 50 mg/kg   | 27.23±2.22 | 25.98±2.65 | 30.63±2.85 | 32.58±2.20 | 30.93±2.63 | 35.96±1.75 | 31.50±0.98  |
| FR 100 mg/kg  | 33.90±3.14 | 31.15±2.14 | 34.56±2.94 | 37.62±2.08 | 36.43±2.34 | 37.56±2.18 | 41.53±2.12  |
| FR 200 mg/kg  | 35.06±3.23 | 34.21±3.05 | 35.82±2.41 | 37.24±2.34 | 38.95±2.24 | 38.98±2.12 | 37.90±2.58  |
| FR 400 mg/kg  | 39.47±1.85 | 39.87±2.02 | 39.86±1.47 | 39.37±1.94 | 39.74±2.21 | 42.27±1.58 | 42.51±1.44  |
|               |            |            |            |            |            |            |             |
| TT 25 mg/kg   | 20.76±1.66 | 20.52±0.84 | 17.78±0.74 | 21.61±1.47 | 22.68±1.52 | 24.11±1.34 | 27.02±2.52  |
| TT 50 mg/kg   | 24.99±2.04 | 21.43±1.69 | 23.29±2.10 | 25.45±1.55 | 26.53±1.14 | 28.35±1.49 | 32.16±2.24  |
| TT 100 mg/kg  | 20.80±1.48 | 23.30±2.31 | 25.09±2.01 | 26.67±2.19 | 29.63±2.15 | 28.08±1.96 | 32.46±2.77  |
| TT 200 mg/kg  | 24.18±2.02 | 25.85±1.93 | 27.44±1.31 | 27.76±2.55 | 30.23±1.63 | 33.07±1.80 | 35.15±1.90  |
| TT 400 mg/kg  | 28.88±1.87 | 27.94±1.85 | 28.24±2.08 | 31.35±2.18 | 37.50±1.82 | 36.46±2.36 | 37.26±1395  |
|               |            |            |            |            |            |            |             |
| BLW 25 mg/kg  | 20.65±1.53 | 21.12±1.59 | 21.65±1.51 | 20.44±1.69 | 20.53±0.51 | 23.51±1.38 | 22.75±2.09  |
| BLW 50 mg/kg  | 22.41±6.57 | 18.21±4.93 | 20.02±4.19 | 22.35±5.98 | 23.58±2.85 | 25.29±8.07 | 24.26±11.99 |
| BLW100 mg/kg  | 24.36±2.31 | 22.97±2.63 | 21.67±2.12 | 23.10±2.32 | 26.71±2.75 | 27.36±1.89 | 18.89±2.85  |
| BLW 200 mg/kg | 21.41±2.67 | 21.50±2.21 | 25.28±3.72 | 27.94±2.65 | 29.82±2.41 | 28.75±2.58 | 26.65±2.53  |
| BLW 400 mg/kg | 22.36±2.63 | 22.69±2.15 | 24.32±1.74 | 29.55±1.57 | 27.73±1.66 | 32.18±1.52 | 25.53±1.41  |
|               |            |            |            |            |            |            |             |

**Table 30** Latency (sec) in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after oral administration of various doses of each root species components in BLW remedy(25-400 mg/kg). N = 10 for all groups and data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. (cont.)

งหาลงกรณมหาวิทยาลัย

| sample/time  | 15 min      | 30 min      | 45 min                    | 60 min      | 90 min                    | 120 min     | 240 min     | Area of analgesia |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| CM 25 mg/kg  | 1.8±17.66   | 20.30±6.41  | 3.03±17.67                | 11.14±12.24 | 29.89±10.54               | 32.58±12.42 | 58.63±12.14 | 8159.37±2193.70   |
| CM 50 mg/kg  | 38.13±11.62 | 15.89±12.68 | 52.75±12.71               | 40.64±9.96  | 62.57±8.39                | 42.79±12.62 | 64.55±11.99 | 11475.66±2360.57  |
| CM 100 mg/kg | 50.15±10.08 | 34.32±12.50 | 51.83 <mark>±7.25</mark>  | 55.59±7.96  | 56.67±8.34                | 53.14±10.44 | 76.72±11.99 | 13584.04±1380.13  |
| CM 200 mg/kg | 53.44±11.88 | 60.60±10.47 | 67.65±7.43                | 71.27±7.90  | 74.69±5.73                | 82.37±8.54  | 88.92±5.12  | 18303.52±1134.09  |
| CM 400 mg/kg | 61.47±8.56  | 68.01±6.86  | 74.98 <mark>±6.62</mark>  | 76.39±7.50  | 82.46±29.77               | 92.57±5.55  | 100.00±1.65 | 18175.59±1460.05  |
|              |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |
| CP 25 mg/kg  | 5.78±3.76   | 4.44±3.64   | 2.02 <mark>±</mark> 4.29  | 7.99±4.20   | 17.11±3.12                | 18.61±2.12  | 17.89±3.30  | 4074.49±436.22    |
| CP 50 mg/kg  | 12.07±5.38  | 5.52±5.52   | 13.76±5 <mark>.5</mark> 4 | 5.54±6.93   | 17.45±6.81                | 16.59±4.17  | 15.31±5.09  | 3280.93±912.09    |
| CP 100 mg/kg | 9.65±3.13   | 30.32±9.03  | 15.60±6.4 <mark>4</mark>  | 7.68±6.19   | 1 <mark>6.99±</mark> 3.29 | 30.62±3.68  | 16.26±4.01  | 4788.47±472.01    |
| CP 200 mg/kg | 18.88±4.26  | 15.57±3.76  | 14.68±4.1 <mark>1</mark>  | 15.87±3.05  | 25.62±4.15                | 33.91±3.26  | 27.25±5.17  | 5550.33±586.79    |
| CP 400 mg/kg | 34.38±7.53  | 16.00±5.24  | 20.93±5.66                | 33.24±8.79  | 46.98±10.30               | 51.14±9.23  | 44.85±9.53  | 8354.51±1628.98   |
|              |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |
| HP 25 mg/kg  | 28.84±12.94 | 15.31±13.76 | 11.23±11.62               | 14.89±12.21 | 19.95±9.82                | 5.99±6.65   | 20.87±6.49  | 4193.84±1163.55   |
| HP 50 mg/kg  | 16.58±4.75  | 19.99±6.32  | 4.32±4.56                 | 9.82±5.96   | 14.25±5.98                | 31.69±6.66  | 21.82±5.29  | 4948.31±684.19    |
| HP 100 mg/kg | 18.06±7.13  | 15.83±7.41  | 11.82±8.81                | 5.31±6.89   | 16.30±10.61               | 23.57±9.07  | 34.47±8.82  | 5129.97±1309.66   |
| HP 200 mg/kg | 16.91±7.23  | 19.95±5.73  | 19.01±8.29                | 43.99±8.58  | 44.14±8.53                | 59.29±9.55  | 57.16±9.59  | 8793.58±1710.23   |
| HP 400 mg/kg | 29.15±10.49 | 25.72±9.39  | 49.80±15.11               | 45.11±12.83 | 66.65±13.20               | 62.75±13.77 | 60.16±11.36 | 899.86±2563.81    |
|              |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |

 Table 31 % MPE – Time in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after oral administration of various doses of each root species components in BLW remedy

 (25-400 mg/kg). N = 10 for all groups and data were expressed as mean ± S.E.M.

<del>หาลงกวณมห่าวทยาละ</del>

| sample/time   | 15 min      | 30 min      | 45 min                    | 60 min      | 90 min                    | 120 min     | 240 min     | Area of analgesia |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| FR 25 mg/kg   | 12.31±15.82 | 0.14±11.16  | 13.45±14.44               | 2.60±16.39  | 50.83±11.01               | 61.11±14.29 | 29.85±12.84 | 7074.25±2285.75   |
| FR 50 mg/kg   | 15.27±20.05 | 6.58±11.54  | 38.92±14.69               | 40.00±9.07  | 26.53±12.66               | 59.52±9.40  | 8.48±17.40  | 7353.23±1976.46   |
| FR 100 mg/kg  | 49.19±14.29 | 29.88±10.57 | 48.02±15.23               | 64.74±9.29  | 54.78±13.42               | 62.39±11.67 | 85.89±8.54  | 14839.99±1223.01  |
| FR 200 mg/kg  | 82.77±12.65 | 16.79±11.65 | 61.8 <mark>8±10.72</mark> | 83.19±9.14  | 68.24±10.54               | 89.08±8.79  | 70.66±12.26 | 16030.41±1552.24  |
| FR 400 mg/kg  | 91.53±6.98  | 93.76±7.56  | 88.79 <mark>±5</mark> .77 | 66.17±10.24 | 76.15±8.78                | 94.89±6.33  | 93.24±5.24  | 19682.32±708.32   |
|               |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |
|               |             |             |                           | Salean.     |                           |             |             |                   |
| TT 25 mg/kg   | 11.86±6.58  | 11.17±3.95  | 1.08±4. <mark>96</mark>   | 14.99±6.09  | 18.4 <mark>5</mark> ±5.84 | 23.79±5.14  | 32.87±9.83  | 5736.97±1219.76   |
| TT 50 mg/kg   | 11.43±13.06 | _5.14±15.15 | 2.28±8.67                 | 18.25±8.67  | 22.07±6.88                | 28.53±8.40  | 46.72±11.06 | 6144.08±1733.65   |
| TT 100 mg/kg  | 8.98±4.45   | 17.46±10.22 | 25.64±6.2 <mark>7</mark>  | 32.36±7.34  | 39.86±6.99                | 37.74±5.90  | 53.79±9.25  | 8762.85±1174.41   |
| TT 200 mg/kg  | 21.86±8.76  | 27.95±7.69  | 25.87±5.81                | 23.12±10.04 | 41.03±6.09                | 51.60±7.26  | 49.81±8.02  | 11227.57±1306.75  |
| TT 400 mg/kg  | 33.80±9.02  | 29.49±9.48  | 33.74±10.37               | 51.44±7.91  | 66.62±8.27                | 59.74±9.47  | 59.47±8.86  | 13679.46±1432.75  |
|               |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |
| BLW 25 mg/kg  | 2.69±3.49   | 1.47±9.88   | 4.67±7.78                 | 0.04±7.80   | 0.72±6.70                 | 11.47±7.60  | 6.13±11.70  | 2066.04±1640.06   |
| BLW 50 mg/kg  | 22.32±7.03  | 7.09±6.72   | 14.0 <mark>5±</mark> 5.50 | 23.43±4.86  | 26.13±4.33                | 31.11±9.37  | 25.91±14.57 | 5850.36±1516.25   |
| BLW100 mg/kg  | 17.94±10.36 | 16.12±8.62  | 8.86±8.46                 | 12.65±11.51 | 18.53±14.80               | 30.71±8.58  | 0.13±8.67   | 3779.60±1548.00   |
| BLW 200 mg/kg | 7.86±10.21  | 7.70±7.93   | 10.34±15.86               | 30.93±10.69 | 38.98±9.54                | 26.42±11.56 | 34.17±9.68  | 6989.44±1963.43   |
| BLW 400 mg/kg | 17.48±7.83  | 12.14±10.35 | 27.72±4.25                | 34.80±6.41  | 34.80±6.41                | 54.97±5.21  | 26.75±4.82  | 8205.52±724.12    |
|               |             |             |                           |             |                           |             |             |                   |

 Table 32
 % MPE – Time in mouse hot-plate test from 0-240 min after oral administration of various doses of each root species components in BLW remedy (25-400 mg/kg). N = 10 for all groups and data were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (cont.)

ฬาลงกรณมทำวทยาลย

209

## BIOGRAPHY

Name : Mr. Chatubhong Singharachai

Born : November 21, 1975, Nan, Thailand

Education : Bachelor of Public Health (Hons.), Faculty of Medicine,

Chiangmai University, Chiang mai, Thailand, 2002

### **Poster Presentations:**

- <u>Singharachai C</u>, Palanuvej C, Vipanngeun N, Ruangrungsi N. "Microscopic Characters of Five Roots Species in Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy" 5<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference in Thai Traditional medicine & Alternative medicine, September 3-5, 2008, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand.
- Singharachai C, Palanuvej C, Ruangrungsi N. "Bioactivity Screening of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy Using Brine Shrimp (*Artemia salina*) Lethality Assay" The 25<sup>th</sup> Annual Research Conference in Pharmaceutical Sciences December 2, 2008, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.
- 3. <u>Singharachai C</u>, Bunrathep S, Ruangrungsi N. "Preliminary Screening Test for Free Radical Scavenging Activity in Ben–Cha–Lo–Ka–Wi–Chian Remedy" The Joint Seminar of JSPS-NRCT Core University Exchange System on Natural Medicine in Pharmaceutical Sciences, February 3-4 ,2009, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Singharachai C, Ruangrungsi N., "Safety, Efficacy, and Quality assessment of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy", Commission on Higher Education Congress II: University Staff Development Consortium CHE-USDC Congress II, August 27-29,2009.
- 5. <u>Singharachai C,</u> Wongwattanasathien O and Ruangrungsi N., "Mutagenicity and Antimutagenicity of Ben-Cha-Lo-Ka-Wi-Chian Remedy Using Ames test", 6<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference in Thai Traditional medicine & Alternative medicine, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand, September 2-6, 2009.

### **Publications:**

- 1. Jongchanapong A, <u>Singharachai C</u>, Palanuvej C, Ruangrungsi N and Towiwat P. Antipyretic and antinociceptic effects of BEN CHA LO KA WI CHIAN REMEDY. J Health Res 2010 24(1): 15-22.
- 2. Chomchuen S, <u>Singharachai C</u>, Ruangrungsi N and Towiwat P. Antipyretic effect of the ethanolic extract of *Ficus racemosa* root in rat. J Health Res 2010 24(1): 23-28.
- 3. <u>Singharachai C.</u> Palanuvej C, Kiyohara H, Yamada H and Ruangrungsi. Pharmacognostic specification of five root species in thai traditional medicine remedy: Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian. Phocog J. 2010 21(3): (accepted).
- 4. <u>Singharachai C.</u> Palanuvej C, Kiyohara H, Yamada H and Ruangrungsi. Safety evaluation of Thai traditional medicine remedy: Ben Cha Lo Ka Wi Chian. J Health Res (accepted).

### Honor:

1. Poster award in The 6<sup>th</sup> National Annual Conference in Thai Traditional medicine & Alternative medicine. September 2-6, 2009.

### Scholarship:

- 1. The Strategic Scholarships Fellowships Frontier Research Network from The Commission on Higher Education, Ministry of Education, Thailand,
- 2. Research Fund; the 90<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Fund (Ratchadaphiseksomphot Endowment Fund) (grant no: 6455201900003)
- 3. The National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT).