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## Chapter I

## INTRODUCTION

In 1939, Richard Chace Tolman, Julius Robert Oppenheimer and George Michael Volkoff [1, 2] derived the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium for a spherical symmetric star in the framework of general relativity. Although this equation enables us to understand the existence of the maximum mass of spherical symmetric star above which the star frould collapse to a black hole, their numerical calculation did not include strong interaction between matters in the star. This is because the perturbative method camot be used in this strong-coupling regime observed at low temperature. In 1993, Gerardus 't Hooft [3] proposed an important work on dimensional reduction in quantum gravity. In the following year, Leonard Susskind [4] published the paper with title "the world as a hologram". His follow up to 't Hooft's paper further claborates the idea of hologram analogy. Their works, which are well-known as the holographic principle, suggest that the information of a volume of space can be thought of as being encoded on a boundary of the region.

Fortunately, the first realization of this principle which is called $A d S / C F T$ correspondence was discovered later by Juan Martn Maldacena [5] in 1997. This correspondence enables us to/stadyothe strongly eoupled gauge theory on four dimensional Minkowski spacetime $\left(M_{4}\right)$, a cousin of quantum chromodynamics, by avoiding the uncontrollable non-perturbative calculation; due to the weak-strong dualitys we can deal with this problen by just doing calculations in the tractable weakly interacting string theory in five dimensional Anti de Sitter space $\left(A d S_{5}\right)$.

In 2009 and 2010, Jan de Boer, Kyriakos Papadodimas and Erik Verlinde [6, 7] found the matching between the degenerate conformal field operator in $M_{4}$ and degenerate Fermi gas in $A d S_{5}$. With the aim to find interesting behavior of the conformal field operator, they study the Fermi-gas filled star, in particular a neutron star, at zero temperature in Anti de Sitter space. In other words, this study may give rise to an understanding of the phenomena in the gauge theory through the dynamics of the degenerate star in higher-dimensional space.

In this thesis, we will use the holographic principle to compute mass limit of degenerate star at finite temperature in the presence of external magnetic field and study other properties of star in five dimensional Anti de Sitter space in order to understand a degenerate star in four dimension under influence of the strong interaction (if we can find the duality in the future).


## Chapter II

## THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

In this chapter, we recall briefly concepts and some basic knowledge for this thesis. We divide into five parts. First, the idea of holographic principle which we express its statement and discuss the applications. Second, the relevant thermodynamics and statistical mechanics, we review some concepts and the necessary formulation. Third, Einstein equations for a spherically symmetric star, we consider first in 4 dimensional space-time before extending to 5 dimensional $A d S$ space in the next chapter. Forth, the equations of state of degenerate star which we examine a model of an ideal-(non-interacting) degenerate Fermi gas. Finally, we see non relativistic Landau energy tevel when a particle move in a uniform magnetic field.

### 2.1 Holographic principle

Holographic principle-is one of the most interesting idea which came from studying quantum gravity=and string theory. Inspiration of this principle arises from studying the space-timé geometry of black holes which is the analogy between properties of black holes and/thermodynamics, of black hole's area and entropy $[8,9]$. It states that the description of a volume of space can be thought of as encoded oncáboundany of the region It was proposed by Gerard 't Hooft and Leonard Susskind in 1993 and 1994, respectively [3, 4]. Holographic ideas have found several applications in physics and one of the most interesting realizations of this idea is the $A d S / C F T$ correspondece which is a duality between string theory in Anti de Sitter space and a conformal field theory(without gravity) living on the boundary of the $A d S$ space [9]. This application was conjectured by Juan Martn Maldacena in 1997 [5]. Mostly, $A d S / C F T$ is applied in the study of nonperturbative phenomena in the supersymmetry quantum chromodynamics (SUSY QCD).

In conformal field theory(on the boundary), we can find an expectation value of an operator via a generating functional, $\left.\left\langle e^{\left(\int_{S^{d}} \phi_{0} O\right.}\right)\right\rangle$ where $\phi_{0}$ is a restriction of the scalar field $\phi$ at the boundary of $A d S_{d+1}, O$ is an operator and $S^{d}$ is a $d$-sphere. For string theory, we have $Z_{S}\left(\phi_{0}\right)=e^{\left(-I_{S}(\phi)\right)}$ is the supergravity partition function on $B_{d+1}$ (open ball in $d+1$ sphere). The relation of the conformal field theory on the boundary to the supergravity in the $A d S$ space is that [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e^{\int_{S^{d}} \phi_{0} O}\right\rangle_{C F T}=Z_{S}\left(\phi_{0}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For gauge theory, it is similar to the scalar field. We assume the $A d S$ theory has a gauge group $G$ (global symmetry group of the conformal field theory on the boundary), dimension $n$, with gauge fields $A^{a}, a=1, \ldots, n . J_{a}$ are currents in the boundary and $A_{0}$ is an arbitrary source. Thus relation between the supergravity or string theory partition function and generating functional in the conformal field theory is expressed by [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e^{f_{S d} J_{d} A_{0}^{d}}\right\rangle \frac{}{C F T}=Z_{S}\left(A_{0}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the partition function of string theory on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ should agree with the partition function of $N=4$ super Kang-Mills theory on the boundary of this space [11]. So the relation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-I_{\text {SUGRA }}} \underset{\sim}{\sim} Z_{\text {string }}=Z_{\text {gauge }}=e^{-W}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W=\beta F$ is the free energy of the gauge theory diyided by the temperature [11]. This idea hopefully enable us to computes the properties of the strongly coupled gauge theory on the boundary via partition function of the string theory in the bulk of the same space ( $A d S$ space).

## ศนยวิทยทรพยากร

### 2.2 Thermodynamics and statisticalemechanics จฬาลงการณมหาว ตยาลย <br> \subsection*{2.2.1 ${ }^{~}$ First law and the standard thermodynamic relations}

The first law of thermodynamics is a principle of conservation of energy. This principle is very important in physics. It tells us that a store of energy in the system, called the internal energy $d U$, can be changed by causing the system to do work, $d W$, or by adding heat, $d Q$, to the system [12]. The change of the internal energy is in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d U=d Q-d W \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first law is always true. It does not depend on a change of state whether it is reversible or irreversible [13], Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d U=d Q_{r e v}-d W_{r e v}=d Q_{i r r}-d W_{i r r} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider adding another particle to the thermodynamic system. If the number of particle is allowed to vary, then we put $d W=\mu d N$ by hand as the energy change due to the change of the particle number by $d N$ particles. The quantity, $\mu$, is called the chemical potential, represents the resistance of the system against addition of particles. So equation (2.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T d S-P d V+\mu d N, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T, S, P$ and $V$ are temperature, entropy, pressure and volume, respectively. From equation (2.6), we can determine $T, P$ and $\mu$ via

$$
T=\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial S}\right|_{V, N} \quad P=\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right|_{S, N} \quad \mu=\left.\frac{\partial U}{\partial N}\right|_{S, V} .
$$

The chemical potential, is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{\partial U}{\partial N} \quad \frac{\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial V}\right)}{\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial V}\right)}=\frac{d \rho}{d n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $n$ are the energy density and the number density, respectively. Moreover, when we consider an adiabatic process $(d Q=T d S=0)$, we have

$$
d U=-P d V+\mu d N \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{d U}{d V}+P=\mu \frac{d N}{d V}
$$

So we have the standard thermodynamic relations of energy densit
number density and chemical potential following equations (2.7) and

### 2.2.2 Quantum statistical mechanics of Fermi-Dirac statistics

Statistical mechanics has made the connection between a microscopic system and macroscopic world. The fundamental concept of statistical mechanics is that all macroscopic observable quantities of a state follow from taking mean values of microscopic properties, weighted with probability densities. Then the role of statistical mechanics is to find a way or process of taking mean values, of the microscopic
quantities by realization that a system can assume a large number of microstates. This idea is now to be transferred to quantum systems [12, 13].

In classical statistical mechanics, a microstate corresponds to a certain point in phase space $\left(\vec{r}_{i}(t), \vec{p}_{i}(t)\right)$. For quantum mechanics, we do not determine the coordinates and momenta of the particles simultaneously and possibly think state of particles or a system described by a wave function (wave-particle duality). So we replace the classical phase-space coordinate $\left(\vec{r}_{i}(t), \vec{p}_{i}(t)\right)$ to the time evolution of wave function $\Psi\left(\vec{r}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{n}, t\right)$ of the system [13]. Furthermore, we promote measurable observables to mathematical operators. When we measure the system, it jumps to an eigenstate of the dynamical variable that is being measured according to eigenvalue equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A} \Psi=a \Psi \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, eigenvalues $a$ of the system can assume only certain values. But in the macroscopic world, the eigenvalues (e.g. energy) are very close to each other. Then they should have a lot of states having eigenvalues between two-eigenvalues. We define $\Psi^{(i)}\left(\vec{r}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{n}, t\right)$ to be the specific microstates corresponding to different wave functions. In quantumstatistical mechanics, we can measure the most general expectation values of operator by/

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle\hat{A}\rangle=\sum_{i, k} \rho_{k, i} \Psi^{(i)}|\hat{A}| \Psi^{(k)}\right\rangle . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that expectation values in quantum statistical mechanics are multiplication of the probability $\rho_{k i}$ in statistical mechanics and the expectation value $\left\langle\Psi^{(i)}\right| \hat{A}\left|\Psi^{(k)}\right\rangle$ in quantum mechanics. If we let $\left.\Psi^{(i)}\right\rangle=\sum_{l} a_{l}^{(i)} \psi_{l}$ and $i=k$ in
equation (2.10), then $\rho_{k i} \not \rho_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{k^{\prime}}\left\langle\psi_{k^{\prime}} \hat{\rho} \hat{A} \mid \psi_{k^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \hat{A}) \text {, } \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{k^{\prime} k}=\sum_{i} \rho_{i} a_{k}^{(i) *} a_{k^{\prime}}^{(i)}$ and we now let probability $\rho_{k^{\prime} k}$ be the matrix elements of an operator $\hat{\rho}=\sum_{i} \rho_{i}\left|\Psi_{k^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi_{k}^{(i)}\right|$, it is called the density operator [13].

In statistical mechanics, the grand canonical density operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=\frac{e^{-\frac{\left(E_{n}-\mu N\right)}{k_{B} T}}}{\sum_{n, N} e^{-\frac{\left(E_{n}-\mu N\right)}{k_{B} T}}}, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}, \mu, N, k_{B}$ and $T$ are energy, chemical potential, number of particle, Boltzmann constant and temperature, respectively. The grand canonical partition function becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(T, V, \mu)=\sum_{n, N} e^{-\frac{\left(E_{n}-\mu N\right)}{k_{B} T}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\frac{(\hat{H}-\mu \hat{N})}{k_{B} T}}\right) & =\sum_{n}\left\langle\psi_{n}\right| e^{-\frac{(\hat{H}-\mu \hat{N})}{k_{B} T}}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n}\left\langle\psi_{n}\right| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{(\hat{H}-\mu \hat{N})}{k_{B} T}\right)^{k}}{k!}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle, \\
& =\sum_{n, N}\left\langle\left.\psi_{n} \sum_{k i}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\frac{\left(E_{n}-\mu N\right)}{k_{B} T}\right)^{k}}{k!} \right\rvert\, \psi_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n, N}\left\langle\psi_{n}\right| e^{-\frac{\left(E_{n}-\mu N\right)}{k_{B} T}}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle, \\
& =\sum_{n} e^{\left.-\frac{E_{n}-\mu N}{k B}\right)}=Z(T, V, \mu) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{N}$ are energy and number operator, respectively. We can change equations (2.12) and (2.13) to an operator equation, in quantum statistical mechanics, which can be written generally in an any basis


In the classical point of view, we can always determino all coordinates and momenta of the particles at each time. Therefore we can keep track of particles even though they may look alike. But from the point of view in quantum mechanics, we cannot specify all corordinates and momenta more accurately in phase space than the size $\AA^{3}$ (3-dimensional space) of a phase-space cell according to uncertainty principle $\rfloor$ we can mention only the total probability of finding a particle in a phase-spacereell then we cannot labeb the particle andfollow the trajectory of them, i.e., identical particles areatruly indistinguishable [13]. 6

Because of the indistinguishability of identical particles which does not exist in classical mechanics, we see that the quantum Hamiltonian should be invariance under the enumerated changing of the particle coordinates and momenta. We can define the permutation operator $\hat{P}_{i k}$ which commute Halmiltonian operator $\hat{H}$. Then the eigenvalue problem of the $\hat{P}_{i k}$ has a form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_{i k} \Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right) & =\lambda \Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right), \\
\Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots\right) & =\lambda \Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a eigenvalue of the permutation operator $\hat{P}_{i k}$. If we permute again, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{i k}^{2} \Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right)=\Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right)=\lambda^{2} \Psi\left(\ldots, \vec{r}_{i}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{k}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the permutation operator $\hat{P}_{i k}$ can have only the eigenvalues $\lambda= \pm 1$. If $\lambda=+1$, the wave function is said that symmetric and if $\lambda=-1$, the wave function is said to be anti-symmetric. In other words, there exist two kinds of particles in the nature. Particles which are described by symmetric wave functions, they are called bosons, and particles that are described by anti-symmetric wave functions, they are called fermions. There are two interesting property of bosons and fermions. The wave function construction in such a way they are either completely symmetric or completely anti-symmetric. The fermions must obey the Pauli exclusion principle, h.e. two equal fermions cannot occupy the same one-particle state. But bosons can violate this principle, i.e., bosons can occupy the same one-particle state. Moreover, the spin-statistics theorem, implies that fermions have half-integer spin and bosons have integer spin $[14,15]$.

We shall now consider ideal and non-interacting quantum systems. Hamiltonian operator can be split into assum of one-particle operators

$$
\hat{H}\left(\vec{r}_{1}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{n}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{h}\left(\vec{r}_{i}, \vec{p}_{i}\right) .
$$

Each operator $\hat{h}\left(\vec{r}_{i}, \vec{p}_{i}\right)$ satisfy $\hat{h} \psi_{k}(\vec{r})=\epsilon_{k} \psi_{k}(\vec{r})$, where $\psi_{k}(\vec{r})$ is a one-particle functions, then the general eigenfunction is

$$
\Psi^{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}}\left(_{1}, \ldots, \vec{r}_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{k_{i}}\left(\overrightarrow{r_{i}}\right)
$$

This product wave function can be written/ in Dirac's notation, we have ket state vectors are

It means thąt a particle no. 1 is in the quanntumostate kiv, a particle no. 2 is in the state $k_{2}$, etc. And the bra state vectors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right|=\left\langle k_{n}\right|\left\langle k_{n-1}\right| \ldots\left\langle k_{1}\right| . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we consider the anti-symmetric wave functions, the fermions, they can be written in Dirac's notation

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle^{A} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{P}(-1)^{P} \hat{P}\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle, \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \sum_{P}(-1)^{P} \hat{P}\left|k_{P_{1}}, \ldots, k_{P_{n}}\right\rangle, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for the symmetric wave functions, bosons, they are similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle^{S} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots}} \sum_{P} \hat{P}\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!n_{1}!n_{2}!\ldots}} \sum_{P} \hat{P}\left|k_{P_{1}}, \ldots, k_{P_{n}}\right\rangle \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

and if we act the Hamiltonian operator on the wave functions, the eigenvalue problem are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{H}\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle^{S, A}=E\left|k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{S, A} \quad \text { with } \quad E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{k} \text {. } \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we enumerate the one-particle state (k) by the index $k$, then each state $|k\rangle$ has the occupation number $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ which determine $n$-particle state. For fermions, each occupation number have values $n_{k}=0,1$ but occupation number of bosons have values $n_{k}=0,1, \ldots, n$. Moreover, we get the occupation number condition, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} \equiv n$, and the energy of equation (2.21) can be expressed in terms of the occupation numbers, $E=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} \in_{k}[13]$.

We instead represent the quantum numbers of the occupied states by the occupation numbers.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots \frac{s, 4}{} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { I. we have } \\
\left.\hat{H} \mid n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots\right)^{S, A}=E\left|n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots\right|^{S, A} \text { with } E=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k} \epsilon_{k}, \\
\hat{N}\left|n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle^{S, A}=n\left|n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle^{S, A} \quad \text { with } n=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k},
\end{array} \tag{2.23a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{N}$ is a number operator. From equation (2.14), it changes to

We consider only fermions, then

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{F D}(T, V, \mu) & =\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots=0}^{1}\left(e^{-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{1}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(e^{-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{2}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right)^{n_{2}} \ldots, \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{k}=0}^{1}\left(e^{-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right)^{n_{k}} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+e^{-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln Z=\sum_{k} \ln \left(1+e^{-\frac{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right) . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From statistical mechanics, the grand canonical potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(T, V, \mu)=-k_{B} T \ln Z(T, V, \mu)=U-T S-\mu N=-P V \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{k_{B} T}{V} \ln Z(T, V, \mu) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and another thermodynamics quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& N(T, V, \mu)=k_{B} T \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln Z\left(T=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(e^{\frac{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)},\right.  \tag{2.29a}\\
& U(T, V, \mu)=-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \ln Z\right|_{z, V}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_{k}}{\left(e^{\frac{\left(\epsilon_{k}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}, \tag{2.29b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=e^{\frac{\mu}{k_{B} T}}$ [13].

### 2.3 Einstein equations of spherically symmetric star

General relativity is the physical theory of gravity, it was constructed by Albert Einstein in 1915. This theory use the notion of the metric to measure the distance between two points in space-time, denoted by $d s^{2} \oiint g_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}$. The distance square is invariant under Lorentz transformations and the form of metric tensor, $g_{\mu \nu}$, come fromithe energy and matter thatisis present in agiven region of spacetime. The existences of the energy and matter determine geometry of space-time and the equation which connects energy-matter and geometry together is called the Einstein's equation. So we may say that thecundamental idea of this theory is to deeply relate gravity, energy-momentum tensor, and the curvature of space-time together.

### 2.3.1 Einstein equations and Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation in 4 dimensions

We find solutions of Einstein's equation of hydrostatic equilibrium for a spherical symmetric star. The general form of metric in 4 dimensional space-time, spherical
coordinates, is defined by [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=A(r) c^{2} d t^{2}-B(r) d r^{2}-r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}\right), \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two arbitrary functions $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ are determined by the energy and matter that we consider and require that $A(r) c^{2} \rightarrow c^{2}, B(r) \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ [17]. We assume the energy and matter to be described by a perfect fluid, so that [18]

$$
T_{\nu}^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\rho c^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.31}\\
0 & -P_{r} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & P_{\theta_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -P_{\theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Lagrangian of equation (2.30) is [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=A(r) c^{2} \dot{t}^{2}-B(r) \dot{r}^{2}-r^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2}\right), \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can find Christoffle symbols

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma^{r}{ }_{t t}=\frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 B}, \quad \Gamma_{r r}^{r}=\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 B}{ }_{2}, \Gamma_{\theta \theta}^{r}=-\frac{r}{B}, \Gamma_{\phi \phi}^{r}=-\frac{r \sin ^{2} \theta}{B},  \tag{2.33b}\\
\Gamma^{\theta}{ }_{r \theta}=\Gamma_{\theta r}^{\theta}=\frac{1}{r}, T^{\theta}{ }_{\phi \phi}=-\sin \theta \cos \theta,  \tag{2.33c}\\
\Gamma_{r \phi}^{\phi}=\Gamma_{r \phi}^{\phi}=\frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{\theta \phi}^{\phi}=\Gamma_{\phi \theta}^{\phi}=\cot \theta .
\end{gather*}
$$

The others of Christeffle symbol are all zero. The Riemann tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{t \theta}^{t \theta}=\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}, \quad \therefore R_{r \phi}^{r \phi}=-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

There are only four non zero components of the Ricci tensor. They are

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{t}^{t} & =R_{r t}^{r t}+R_{\theta t}^{\theta t}+R_{\phi t}^{\phi t}, \\
& =\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B}-\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime 2}}{4 A^{2} B}+\frac{A^{\prime}}{r A B},  \tag{2.34a}\\
R_{r}^{r} & =R^{t r}+R_{\theta r}^{\theta r}+R^{\phi r}, \\
& =\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B}-\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime 2}}{4 A^{2} B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{r B^{2}},  \tag{2.34b}\\
R_{\theta}^{\theta} & =R^{t \theta}+R_{r \theta}^{r \theta}+R^{\phi \theta}, \\
& =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B},  \tag{2.34c}\\
R_{\phi}^{\phi} & =R_{t \phi}^{t \phi}+R_{r \phi}^{r \phi}+R_{\theta \phi,}^{\theta \phi}  \tag{2.34d}\\
& =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B} .
\end{align*}
$$

From Einstein equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\nu}^{\mu}=R_{\nu}^{\mu} \frac{-g_{\nu}^{\mu}}{\left(c_{\nu}\right.} \frac{R}{2}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} T_{\nu}^{\mu} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ and $c$ are Newton's constanf and speed of light, respectively. We can calculate $G^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{t}=-R_{r \theta}^{r \theta} \frac{B^{\prime}}{r B^{r}}+\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B},  \tag{2.36a}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
G^{r}=-R_{t \theta}^{t \theta}-R_{t \phi}^{t \phi}-R_{\theta \phi}^{\theta \phi}=-\frac{A^{\prime}}{r A B}+\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B}, \\
G_{\theta}^{\theta}=-R_{t r}^{t r}-R_{t \theta}^{t \theta}-R_{r \theta}^{r \theta}
\end{array}  \tag{2.36b}\\
& =-\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B}+\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B^{2}}+\frac{A^{\prime 2}}{4 A^{2} B}-\frac{\bar{A}^{\prime}}{2 r A B}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}, \tag{2.36c}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $G^{t}{ }_{t}$ component in perfect fluid case, we can solve to find the function

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(r)9 \circ \cap ด) ? \\
& G^{t}{ }_{t}=\frac{B^{\prime}}{r B^{2}}+\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} \rho c^{2}, \\
& \frac{r B^{\prime}}{B^{2}}+\frac{B-1}{B}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{2}} \rho r^{2} \quad \longrightarrow \quad r \frac{B-1}{B}=\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{2}} \int_{0}^{r} \rho r^{2} d r, \\
& \therefore B(r)=\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 G \int_{0}^{r} \rho 4 \pi r^{2} d r}{c^{2} r}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r}} \quad \text { where } \quad M=\int_{0}^{r} \rho 4 \pi r^{2} d r . \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $G_{r}^{r}$ component in perfect fluid case, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{r}^{r}=\frac{B-1}{r^{2} B}-\frac{A^{\prime}}{r A B}=-\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} P_{r}, \\
\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r^{3}}-\left(1-\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r}\right) \frac{A^{\prime}}{r A}=-\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} P_{r} \rightarrow \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}=\frac{\frac{2 G M}{c^{2}}+\frac{8 \pi G}{c^{4}} P_{r} r^{3}}{r^{2}\left(1-\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r}\right)}, \\
\therefore \quad \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}=\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r^{2}}\left(1+\frac{4 \pi r^{3}}{M} \frac{P_{r}}{c^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 G M}{c^{2} r}\right)^{-1} . \tag{2.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, we consider the energy momentum conserved $\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}=0$ by let $\nu=r$, $c=1$ and $P_{r}=P_{\theta_{1}}=P_{\theta_{2}}=P$, it leads to the important equation, called the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation (TOV equation)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} T_{r}^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\mu \alpha}^{\mu} T_{r}^{\alpha}-\Gamma_{r \mu}^{\alpha} T^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha} \\
& =\partial_{\mu} T_{r}^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\mu r}^{\mu} T_{r}{ }_{r}\left(\Gamma^{t}{ }_{r t} T_{t}^{t}+\Gamma_{r r}^{r} T_{r}^{r}+\Gamma_{r \theta}^{\theta} T_{\theta}^{\theta}+\Gamma_{r \phi}^{\phi} T_{\phi}^{\phi}\right), \\
& =-\frac{d \widehat{P_{r}}}{d r}+\left(\Gamma_{t r}^{t}+\Gamma_{r}^{r}+\Gamma_{\theta_{r}}^{\theta}+\Gamma_{\phi r}^{\phi}\right)\left(-P_{r}\right) \\
& -\left(\Gamma^{t}{ }_{r t} \rho+\Gamma^{r} r_{r r}\left(-P_{r}\right)+\Gamma^{\theta}{ }_{r \theta}\left(-P_{\theta}\right)+\Gamma_{r \phi}^{\phi}\left(-P_{\phi}\right)\right), \\
& =-\frac{d P}{d r}-(\rho+P) \Gamma_{t r}^{t}=0, \\
& \therefore \frac{d P}{d r}=-\frac{(\rho+P)}{2} A \text {, }  \tag{2.39a}\\
& =-\frac{G M \rho}{r^{2}}\left(1+\frac{P}{\rho}\right)\left(1+\frac{4 \pi r^{3} P}{M}\right)\left(1-\frac{2 G M}{r}\right)^{-1} . \tag{2.39b}
\end{align*}
$$

The TOV equation completely determines the structure of a spherically symmetric body of isotropic material which is in static gravitational equilibrium. Furthermore, equations (2.37) and (2.39b) use to describe the interior of a spherical, static, relativistic star.

## ศศนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

### 2.3.2 Relation between thermodynamic property and TOV 

Notice that the TOV equation (2.39a) concerns energy density and pressure of matter. From thermodynamics, we know that both quantities are related by equation (2.8). Then we can connect the thermodynamic relation and energy conserved condition with the TOV equation (2.39a). Taking derivative of equation (2.8), we get

$$
\frac{d \rho}{d r}+\frac{d P}{d r}=\frac{d \mu}{d r} n+\mu \frac{d n}{d r},
$$

use equation (2.7) $\left(\therefore \mu \frac{d n}{d r}=\frac{d \rho}{d n} \cdot \frac{d n}{d r}=\frac{d \rho}{d r}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d r}=-\frac{d \rho}{d r}+\frac{d \mu}{d r} n+\frac{d \rho}{d r}=n \frac{d \mu}{d r}, \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

substitute into TOV equation (2.39a)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d P}{d r}+\frac{1}{2 A} \frac{d A}{d r}(\rho+P)=0 \\
\frac{\mu^{\prime}}{\mu}+\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 A}=0 \quad n \frac{d \mu}{d r}+\frac{1}{2 A} \frac{d A}{d r} \mu n=0 \\
\therefore \quad \ln (\mu \sqrt{A})=C  \tag{2.41}\\
\therefore \mu(r)=\frac{e^{C}}{\sqrt{A(r)}},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant. If we consider at zero temperature and $r=0$, then we have $\mu(T=0)$ equal to Fermi energy, denoted by $\epsilon_{F}$.

### 2.4 Degenerate star

The term compact star (sometimes called compact object) is used to refer collectively to white dwarfs, neutron stars, other exotic dense stars, and black holes. They form the endpoint of stellarevolution, A star radiate all the time so it loses nuclear energy reservoir in a finite time. When the nuclear fuel of the star has been consumed, the gas pressuxe of the hot interior can no longer support the gravity of matter in the star and the star collapses to a denser state. We call the compact star which is built by degenerate matter that degenerate star. There are two major differences between degenerate stars and normal stars. First, they do not use nuclear fuel to generate thermal, pressure against the gravitational collapse, they aresupported bythegressure of/degenerate matter. Second, the size of degenerate stars is smaller than normal stars when both of them are having the same mass [19, 20].

White dwarf was the first object of compact star which was studied. Initially, Frederick William Herschel [21] investigated double stars. Then many astronomers, e.g. Friedrich Georg Wilhelm von Struve, Friedrich Wilhelm Bessel, Otto Wilhelm von Struve, Walter Adams etc., had studied continuously via observation. Willem Jacob Luyten [22, 23, 24, 25] appeared to had been the first to used the term white dwarf in 1922 and the term was later popularized by Arthur Stanley Eddington [26]. In December 1926, Ralph Howard Fowler [27] applied non-relativistic Fermi-Dirac statistics to explain electron degeneracy pressure holding up the star from gravitational collapse. Then Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar
[28, 29] improved this idea to describe the structure of white dwarf star in 1930. He uses the relativistic form of the Fermi-Dirac statistics for the degenerate case. He showed that the white dwarf should have a maximum mass of 1.4 times that of the sun (now known as the Chandrasekhar limit, is an upper bound on the mass of bodies made from electron-degenerate matter). In 1932, James Chadwick [30] discovered the neutron. Immediately, the ideas formulated by Fowler for the electron was generalized to neutron. The existence of a new class of compact star was predicted with a large core of degenerate neutron, the neutron star. In 1934, Walter Baade and Fritz Zwicky [31, 19] proposed the idea of neutron star, pointing out that they would be at very high density and small radius, and would be much more gravitationally bound than ordinary star. The first neutron star model calculations were performed by Richard Chace Tolman, Julius Robert Oppenheimer and George Michael Volkoff in 1939 [ 1,2$]$, describing the matter in such a star as an ideal degenerate neution gas. Their calculations also showed the existence of a maximum mass, like in the case of white dwarf, above which the star is not stable and collapses into a black hole. They found a maximum stable mass of 0.75 times that of the sun $[1,2,20]$. An upper bound of the mass of stars which compose degenerate neutron, the neutronstar, is called the TolmanOppenheimerVolkoff limit (or TOV limit). The TOV limifis analogous to the Chandrasekhar limit for white dwarf star.

The coupled equations of relativistic stellar structure is derived first by Oppenheimer and Volkoff (eqautions (2.37), (2.39a)). By rewriting them we can arrive at the physicalinterpretation

$$
\begin{align*}
& d M(r)=4 \pi r^{2} \rho(r) d r, \tag{2.42a}
\end{align*}
$$


 (2.42a) gives the mass-energy in this shell and equation (2.42b) expresses the balance between the force acting on a shell of matter due to material pressure from within and the weight of matter weighing down on it from without. The first factor of equation (2.42b) is the attractive Newtonian force of gravity acting on the shell by the mass interior to it and the other three factors are the exact corrections for general relativity. So these equations express the balance at each $r$ between the internal pressure as it supports the overlaying material against the gravitational collapse of the mass-energy interior to $r$. Since the derivative of
pressure is negative, it is clear that the pressure decreases monotonically in a star. Moreover, the equation of state $P=P(\rho)$ is the manner in which the properties of dense matter enter the equations of stellar structure [32].

There are two boundary conditions for the TOV equation. At $r=0$, mass of the star becomes zero, $M(r=0)=0$, and the pressure in the center of the star can be an arbitrary value, $P(r=0)=P_{0}>0$. Consider the pressure drop to zero, it cannot support overlaying material against the gravitational collapse exerted on it from the mass within and so marks the edge of the star. The point $R$ where the pressure vanishes defines the radius of the star. Thus at the edge of the star, $r=R$, the pressure go to zero, $P(r \notin R)=0$, and the mass of the star is then read off at this point, $M(r=R)=M_{\text {total }}$ [32, 33].

Electron and neutron are fermions, particles of half odd-integer spin. They obey the Pauli exclusion principle, not more than one fermion can occupy a given quantum state. We neglect all interactions so the simplest model for the equation of state of white dwarf and neutron star can be thought of as an ideal degenerate Fermi gas. Ideal in this context means that all interactions are ignored and degenerate means that all quantum states up to a given energy, called the Fermi energy, are occupied. Thus, in summing aver the occupied states over the energies, we want to sum or integrate over momentum states. From quantum mechanics we recall normalization of momentum states in a box of dimension $L$ [32], so that


For degenerate systerns, all energy states are filled in order up to the Fermi energy or in the case that momentum eigenstates are used, up to the Fermi momentum, and we let subscript $F^{\text {todenote the Fermi energy and momentum and set } c=}$ $\hbar=1$. Then thee energy density, pressure and number density at zero temperature

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { are given by }[32,33] \\
& \qquad 9 ด \cap)  \tag{2.43a}\\
& P=\frac{1}{3} \frac{g_{s}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F}} d k \frac{k^{4}}{\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}}  \tag{2.43b}\\
& n=\frac{g_{s}}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{k_{F}} d k k^{2}, \tag{2.43c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{s}=(2 s+1)$ denotes the degenerate spin state, for electron and neutron $s=\frac{1}{2}$ and $g_{s}=2$, and the Fermi momentum $k_{F}$ is related to the chemical potential $\mu$ via $[32,33]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\sqrt{k_{F}^{2}+m^{2}} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can integrate analytically to find energy density, pressure and number density [32, 33], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho & =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\mu k_{F}\left(\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} m^{4} \ln \left(\frac{\mu+k_{F}}{m}\right)\right),  \tag{2.45a}\\
P & =\frac{1}{12 \pi^{2}}\left(\mu k_{F}\left(\mu^{2}-\frac{5}{2} m^{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2} m^{4} \ln \left(\frac{\mu+k_{F}}{m}\right)\right),  \tag{2.45b}\\
n & =\frac{k_{F}^{3}}{3 \pi^{2}} . \tag{2.45c}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations of state are roughly approximation to easy solving. Indeed, at very high density, the nuclear force, especially the repulsive components, will become important. Electron would react with proton to form neutron via inverse beta decay or electron capture and the nuclear force therefore provides additional resistance to gravitational attraction beyond that provided by the neutron Fermi pressure. Nevertheless, the Fermi gas model for the equations of state use the fundamental idea, i.e. the role of the Pauli exclusion principle, to deal with dense matter and this model can be improved by (1) include the Fermi distribution at finite temperature, (2) consider many species of matter, (3) rotation of the star, (4) effect of the Coulomb force and magnetic field, (5) effect of nuclear interactions and finally (6) phase transitions, such as quark deconfinement or kaon condensation [19, 32]. So we can say that me main uncertainty in degenerate star model is the equation of state. After the work of Tolman, Oppenheimer and Volkoff, there are now many models of degenerate star giving different mass limits and other properties.

Unfortunately, when we consider the effects of strong interaction, the underlying theory for thisinteraction is the quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The perturbative method cannot be used int the strong-couphing regime observed at low temperature. Hobwever, we can use the holographic principle and the weak-strong duality to deal-with this problem by performing calculations of the partition function in five dimensional Antide Sitterspace. Although-we donofeonsider strong interaction in $A d S_{5}$ partition function, the effect of interaction has been included in four dimensional spacetime via duality. We use an ideal degenerate Fermi gas model in five dimensional Anti de Sitter space to study a degenerate star in the 5 dimensional $A d S$ space. At present, the physical relevance of the 5 dimensional degenerate star in the AdS space to the realistic degenerate star in 4 dimension is still unclear [7]. In the future, we hope that we can use duality to describe behavior of a degenerate star in four dimensional spacetime.

### 2.5 Landau energy level

A particle that has a spin also has a certain intrinsic magnetic moment $\mu$. The intrinsic magnetic moment of the electron is $\mu_{B}=-\frac{e \hbar}{2 m}$, where $e, \hbar$, and $m$ are electric charge of the electron, reduced Planck constant and mass of the electron, respectively. This quantity is called Bohr magneton. The magnetic moment of heavy particles is measured in nuclear magnetons, $\mu_{N}=\frac{e \hbar}{2 m_{p}}$ where $m_{p}$ is a mass of the proton. The intrinsic magnetic moment of the proton, $\mu_{p}$, and neutron, $\mu_{n}$, are found by experiment. The magnetic moment of the proton is parallel to the spin but the magnetic moment of the neutron is opposite to the spin [34].

In classical mechanics, Hamiltonian of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{p-}{c} A\right)^{2}+q \phi \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, \phi$ and $A$ are generalized momentum of the particles, the electric scalar potential, and the components of the magnetic vector potential, respectively. If the particle has a spin, then the intrinsic magnetic moment of the particle interacts directly with the magnetic field. Since the spin is a purely quantum effect, it vanishes in the classical limit Hrquantum mechanics, we include an extra term, $-\mu \cdot B$ corresponding to the energy of the magnetic moment $\mu$ in the magnetic field $B$, and promote dynamical variable to the operator [34]. Thus the Hamiltonian of a particle with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}-\frac{q}{c} \hat{\mathbf{A}}\right)^{2}+q \phi-\hat{\mu} \mathbf{B} . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, we must keep in mand that themomentumpperator $\hat{p}$ does not commute with the magnetic vector potential $A$ to expanding the square. Let us consider a particle move in a uniform magnefic field [35]. Let $A_{x}=-B y, \angle A_{y}=A_{z}=0=\phi$ and the wave function in the form $\left.\psi=g^{( }\right)\left(p_{1} x+p_{z} z\right) \chi(y)$. Then we can obtain the expression for the energy levels of a particle in a uniform magnetic field [34]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}+\sigma\right) \hbar \omega_{H}+\frac{p_{z}^{2}}{2 m} \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{H}=\frac{|e| B}{m c}$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Notice that the energy in the $x-y$ plane gives the discrete energy values corresponding to motion in a plane perpendicular to the field, it is called Landau energy level [34].

## Chapter III

# HOLOGRAPHIC DEGENERATE STAR UNDER EXTERNAL MAGNETIC FIELD 

Analytic calculations are performed rigorously in this chapter. We use the notion of holographic description to study a degenerate star. In de Boer et al's work $[6,7]$, they propose that composite operators in the $C F T$ correspond to a degenerate Fermi gas in AdS space. Thus, we must extend Einstein equations from 4D to 5D in $A d S$ space and find the coupled equations of motion to study properties of strongly coupled degenerate star. Moreover, we take into account the external magnetic field and finite temperature in our system. Energy's system is separated to be energy level, called-Landau energy level, and equations of state of the system obey Fermi-Dirac statistics.

### 3.1 The equation of hydrostatic equilibrium for a spherical symmetric star in dimensions

We solve Einstein's equation in $d$-dimensional. From the Einstein's equation, we have

where $R_{\nu}^{\mu}, g^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}, R, T^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}, V_{d-2}, C_{d-1}$ are Ricci tensor, metric tensor, Ricci scalar, energy momentum tensor, the area of $S^{d-2}$ and constant $\left(\frac{16 \pi G}{(d-2) V_{d-2} c^{4}}\right)$, respectively. Momentum tensor's form is perfect fluid

$$
T_{\nu}^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\rho c^{2} & & & &  \tag{3.2}\\
& -P_{r} & & & \\
& & -P_{\theta_{1}} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & -P_{\theta_{d-2}}
\end{array}\right),
$$

and we use a spherically symmetric metric in $d$ dimension [36]

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2} & =A(r) c^{2} d t^{2}-B(r) d r^{2}-r^{2} d \Omega_{d-2}^{2} \\
& =A(r) c^{2} d t^{2}-B(r) d r^{2}-r^{2} d \theta_{1}^{2}-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(d \theta_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\prod_{i=2}^{d-3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} d \theta_{d-2}^{2}\right) \\
& =A(r) c^{2} d t^{2}-B(r) d r^{2}-r^{2} d \theta_{1}^{2}-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(d \theta_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} d \theta_{j}^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the Lagrangian of this metric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L=A(r) c^{2} \dot{t}^{2}-B(r) \dot{r}^{2}-r^{2} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\right) \mid r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this thesis, we use the functions $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ to find formulation but our calculation adjust them to $A(r)^{2}$ and $B(r)^{2}$, respectively. Use Lagrange's equation to find the equations of motion and affine connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each component, we show first step, the equations of motion and affine connection, respectively. Consider time component, we have $\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{t}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial t}$,

$$
\partial_{-2}\left(z^{2} \operatorname{Hc}^{2} t\right)=0,
$$

we get the equation of motion in tcomponent is inform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{t}+\frac{A}{A} \dot{r} \dot{t}=0, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the affine connection in $t$ component is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{r t}^{t}=\Gamma_{t r}^{t}=\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 A} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $r$ component, we have $\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r}\right) \cong \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}, \ell \cap ? \tilde{\delta}$

$$
-2 B^{\prime} \dot{r}^{2}-2 B \ddot{r}=A^{\prime} c^{2} \dot{t}^{2}-B^{\prime} \dot{r}^{2}-2 r \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}-2 r \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=2}^{d-2} \operatorname{H}_{2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}\right),
$$

we obtain the equation of motion in $r$ component,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{r}+\frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 B} \dot{t}^{2}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 B} \dot{r}^{2}-\frac{r}{B} \dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}-\frac{r \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B}\left(\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}\right)=0, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the affine connection in $r$ component is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma^{r}{ }_{t t}=\frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 B}, \Gamma_{r r}^{r}=\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 B}, \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{r}=\frac{-r}{B}, \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{r}=\frac{-r \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B}, \\
\ldots, \Gamma_{\theta_{j} \theta_{j}}^{r}=\frac{-r \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider $\theta_{1}$ component, we have $\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{1}}$,

$$
-\left(2 r \dot{r} \dot{\theta}_{1}+r^{2} \ddot{\theta}_{1}\right)=-r^{2} \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

we get the equation of motion in $\theta_{1}$ component is inform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\theta}_{1}+\frac{2}{r} \dot{r} \dot{\theta}_{1}-\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1}\left(\dot{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the affine connection in $\theta_{1}$ component is

which $3 \leqslant j \leqslant d-2$. Consider $\theta_{2}$ component, we have $\partial_{\tau}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{2}}$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\left(2 r \dot{r} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2}+2 \bar{r}^{2} \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2}+r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \ddot{\theta}_{2}\right) \\
=-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \sum_{j=3}^{d-2} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2},
\end{array}
$$

we get the equation of motion $\operatorname{in}_{2}$ component is inform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\theta_{2}}+\frac{2}{r} \dot{r} \dot{\theta}_{2}+2 \cot \theta_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{2}-\sin \theta_{2} \cos \theta_{2} \sum_{j=4}^{d-2} \prod_{i=3}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}^{2}=0, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the affine connection in $\theta_{2}$ component is
which $4 \leqslant j \leqslant d \hat{\theta}_{2}$. consider $\theta_{j}$ component, we have $\partial \tau\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{j}}$ which $j \geqslant 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 r \dot{r} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{j}+2 r^{2} \sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{j} \\
& +2 r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} \prod_{\substack{=2 \\
i \neq l}}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{l} \cos \theta_{l} \dot{\theta}_{l} \dot{\theta}_{j}+r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \ddot{\theta}_{j} \\
& =r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{d-2} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\
i \neq j}}^{k-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \dot{\theta}_{k}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the equation of motion in $\theta_{j}$ component is inform

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{\theta}_{j}+\frac{2}{r} \dot{r} \dot{\theta}_{j}+2 \cot \theta_{1} \dot{\theta}_{1} \dot{\theta}_{j}+\frac{2 \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\
i \neq l}}^{j-1} \sin \theta_{l} \cos \theta_{l} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}{\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}} \dot{\theta}_{l} \dot{\theta}_{j} \\
& -\sum_{k=j+1}^{d-2} \prod_{i=j+1}^{k-1} \sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i} \dot{\theta}_{k}^{2}=0, \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and the affine connection in $\theta_{j}$ component is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{r \theta_{j}}^{\theta_{j}}=\Gamma_{\theta_{j} r}^{\theta_{j}}=\frac{1}{r}, \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{j}}^{\theta_{j}}=\Gamma_{\theta_{j} \theta_{l}}^{\theta_{j}}=\cot \theta_{1}, \Gamma_{\theta_{l} \theta_{j}}^{\theta_{j}}=\Gamma_{\theta_{j} \theta_{l}}^{\theta_{j}} \\
=\frac{\prod_{\substack{i=2 \\
i \neq l}}^{j-1} \sin \theta_{l} \cos \theta_{l} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}{\prod_{i=2}^{j-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}=\cot \theta_{l}, \Gamma_{\theta_{k} \theta_{k}}^{\theta_{j}}=-\sin \theta_{j} \cos \theta_{j} \prod_{i=j+1}^{k-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}, \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

which $2 \leqslant l \leqslant j-1$ and $j+1 \leqslant l \leqslant d-2$. Find Ricci scalar from

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\sigma \mu \nu}^{\rho}=\partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu \sigma}^{\rho}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \sigma}+\Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\rho} \Gamma_{\nu \sigma}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\rho} \Gamma_{\mu \sigma}^{\lambda},  \tag{3.16a}\\
\partial_{\mu \nu}=R_{\mu \lambda \nu}^{\lambda},  \tag{3.16b}\\
R R_{\mu}, R_{\mu}^{\mu}=g^{\mu \nu} R_{\mu \nu} . \tag{3.16c}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the component $t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{t t}=R_{t \lambda t}^{\lambda}=R_{t t t}^{t}+R_{t r t}^{r}+R_{t \theta_{1} t}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{t \theta_{2} t}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{t \theta_{i} t}^{\theta_{i}}+\ldots+R_{t \theta_{d-2} t}^{\theta_{d-2}}, \\
& R_{t t t}^{t}=\partial_{t} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t t}-\partial_{t} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t t}+\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t t}-\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t t}=0, \\
& R_{t r t}^{r}=\partial_{r} \Gamma^{r}{ }_{t t}-\partial_{t} \Gamma^{r}{ }_{r t}+\Gamma^{r}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t t}-\Gamma^{r}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r t} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{t \theta_{2} t}^{\theta_{2}}=\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{t t}^{\theta_{2}}-\partial_{t} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} t}^{\theta_{2}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{t t}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{t \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} t}^{\lambda}, \\
& =\left(\frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 r B}\right) \text {, } \\
& R^{\theta_{i}}{ }_{\theta_{i} t}=\partial_{\theta_{i}}{ }^{\theta_{i}}{ }_{t t}-\partial_{t} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} t}^{\theta_{i}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t t}-\Gamma^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} t}^{\lambda}, \\
& =\left(\frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 r B}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{t t} & =\frac{A^{\prime \prime} c^{2}}{2 B}-\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime} c^{2}}{4 B^{2}}-\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2} c^{2}}{4 A B}+(d-2) \frac{A^{\prime} c^{2}}{2 r B},  \tag{3.17a}\\
g^{t t} R_{t t} & =\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B}-\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B^{2}}-\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 A^{2} B}+(d-2) \frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B} . \tag{3.17b}
\end{align*}
$$

For the component $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{r r}=R^{\lambda}{ }_{r \lambda r}=R^{t}{ }_{r t r}+R^{r}{ }_{r r r}+R_{r \theta_{1} r}^{\theta_{1}}+R^{\theta_{2}}{ }_{r \theta_{2} r}+\ldots+R^{\theta_{i}}{ }_{r \theta_{i} r}+\ldots+R^{\theta_{d-2}}{ }_{r \theta_{d-2} r} \\
& R_{r t r}^{t}=\partial_{t} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{r r}-\partial_{r} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t r}+\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r r}{ }^{\prime}-\Gamma^{t}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t r}, \\
& =-\left(\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A}-\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2 A^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 A^{2}}\right)=\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 A^{2}}-\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A}+\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B}, \\
& R_{r r r}^{r}=\partial_{r} \Gamma_{r r}^{r}-\left.\partial_{r} r^{r}\right|_{r r}+\Gamma^{r}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r r}-\Gamma_{r \lambda}^{r} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r r}=0, \\
& \begin{aligned}
R_{r \theta_{1} r}^{\theta_{1}} & =\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma^{\theta_{1}}-\partial_{r} \Gamma_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}+\Gamma^{\theta_{1}}+\Gamma^{\lambda}-\Gamma^{\theta_{1}}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} r}^{\lambda}, \\
& \left.=-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)=\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B},
\end{aligned} \\
& R_{r \theta_{2} r}^{\theta_{2}}=\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{r r}^{\theta_{2}}=\partial_{r} I_{\theta_{2} r}^{\theta_{2}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{r r}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{r \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} r}^{\lambda}, \\
& =-\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)=\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B}, \\
& R_{r \theta_{i} r}^{\theta_{i}}=\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{r r}^{\theta_{i}}-\partial_{r} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} r}^{\theta_{i}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{r \underline{E}}^{\lambda}=\Gamma^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{r \lambda}^{\lambda}{ }_{\theta_{i} r}, \\
& 4=-\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)=\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B} \text {, } \\
& \text { then }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the component $\theta_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}= & R_{\theta_{1} \lambda \theta_{1}}^{\lambda} \\
= & R_{\theta_{1} t \theta_{1}}^{t}+R_{\theta_{1} r \theta_{1}}^{r}+R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{i} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{i}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{d-2} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{d-2}}, \\
& R^{t}, \\
& =\partial_{\theta_{1} t \theta_{1}} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}-\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \theta_{1}}+\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}-\Gamma^{t}{ }_{\theta_{1} \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t \theta_{1}}, \\
& =-\left(-\frac{r A^{\prime}}{2 A B}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{r}{ }_{\theta_{1} r \theta_{1}}=\partial_{r} \Gamma^{r}{ }_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}-\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma^{r}{ }_{r \theta_{1}}+\Gamma^{r}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}-\Gamma^{r}{ }_{\theta_{1} \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r \theta_{1}}, \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{B}+\frac{r B^{\prime}}{B^{2}}\right)+\left(-\frac{r B^{\prime}}{2 B^{2}}\right)-\left(-\frac{1}{B}\right)=\frac{r B^{\prime}}{2 B^{2}}, \\
& R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}=\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}-\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{1} \lambda}^{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{1} \lambda}^{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}=0, \\
& R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}}=\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}}-\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{1} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}, \\
& =-\left(-\csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)+\left(-\frac{1}{B}\right)-\left(\cot ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)=1-\frac{1}{B} \text {, } \\
& R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{i} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{i}}=\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\theta_{i}}-\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{1} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{1}}^{\lambda}, \\
& =-\left(-\csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{B}\right)-\left(\cot ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)=1-\frac{1}{B} \text {, } \\
& R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}=-\frac{r A^{\prime}}{2 A B}+\frac{r B^{\prime}}{2 B^{2}}+(d-3)\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right),  \tag{3.19a}\\
& g^{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}} R_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}=\frac{A^{2}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{(d-3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) . \tag{3.19b}
\end{align*}
$$

then

For the component $\theta_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}=R_{\theta_{2} \lambda \theta_{2}}^{\lambda} \\
& =R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{t}+R^{r} \theta_{\theta_{2} r \theta_{2}}+R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R^{\theta_{i}}{ }_{\theta_{2} \theta_{i} \theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{d-2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{d-2}}, \\
& R_{\theta_{2} t \theta_{2}}^{t} \# \partial_{t} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}-\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \theta_{2}}+\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}-\Gamma^{t}{ }_{\theta_{2} \lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t \theta_{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{r B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 B^{2}} \text {, } \\
& R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{1} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{1}}=\partial_{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{1}}-\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{1}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{1} \lambda}^{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{1}} \Gamma_{\theta_{1} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}, \\
& =\left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)+\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B}\right)-\left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)=\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) \text {, } \\
& R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}=\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}-\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{i} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{i}} & =\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\theta_{i}}-\partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}} \theta_{2}+\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{2}}^{\lambda}, \\
& =-\left(-\csc ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)+\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)-\left(\cot ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)=\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}=-\frac{r A^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 A B}+\frac{r B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 B^{2}}+(d-3) \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right),  \tag{3.20a}\\
g^{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}} R_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}}=\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{(d-3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) . \tag{3.20b}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the component $\theta_{i}, i \geqslant 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}=R_{\theta_{i} \lambda \theta_{i}}^{\lambda} \\
& =R^{t} \theta_{i} \theta_{i}+R^{r} \underset{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}{r}+R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{1} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{2} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{j} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{d-2} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{d-2}} \\
& R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{t}=\partial_{t} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}-\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \theta_{i}}+\Gamma^{t}{ }_{t \lambda} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{t} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{t \theta_{i}}, \\
& =\left(-\frac{A^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 A B}\right) \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}, \\
& R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{r}=\partial_{r} \Gamma^{r}{ }_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}-\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma^{r} r_{i}+\Gamma^{r}{ }_{r \lambda} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{r} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{r \theta_{i}}, \\
& =\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{k=2}^{1-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}+\frac{r B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B^{2}} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \\
& \left(-\frac{r B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 B^{2}} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right)-\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \text {, } \\
& =\frac{r \overrightarrow{B_{j}} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 B^{2}} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right)-\left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \\
= & \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{2} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{2}}= & \partial_{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{2}}-\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{2}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{2} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{2}} \Gamma_{\theta_{2} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}, \\
= & \left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \prod_{k=3}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{2} \prod_{k=3}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \\
& +\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right)-\left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \prod_{k=3}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right), \\
= & \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we divide $R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{j} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}}$ in three case. Case I, $\theta_{j}=\theta_{i}$, we have

$$
R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}=\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}-\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}=0,
$$

Case II, $j<i$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{j} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}}= & \partial_{\theta_{j}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}}-\partial_{\theta_{i}} \Gamma_{\theta_{j} \theta_{i}}^{\theta_{j}}+\Gamma_{\theta_{j} \lambda}^{\theta_{j}} \Gamma_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\theta_{i} \lambda}^{\theta_{j}} \Gamma_{\theta_{j} \theta_{i}}^{\lambda} \\
= & \left(-\cos ^{2} \theta_{j} \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{j} \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \\
& +\left(-\frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{B} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \prod_{k=3}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right. \\
& \left.-\cos ^{2} \theta_{3} \prod_{k=4}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\ldots-\cos ^{2} \theta_{j-1} \prod_{k=j}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\left.\cos ^{2} \theta_{j} \prod_{k=j+1}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\right)}{\left.\sum_{k}\right)}\right. \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \prod_{k=3}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{3} \prod_{k=4}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}-\ldots-\cos ^{2} \theta_{i-1}\right)-\left(\cot ^{2} \theta_{i}\right), \\
& =\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}}= & -\frac{r A^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 A B} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}+\frac{r B^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}{2 B^{2}} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k} \\
& +(d-3) \sin ^{2} \theta_{1} \prod_{k=2}^{i-1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right),  \tag{3.21a}\\
g^{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}} R_{\theta_{i} \theta_{i}} & =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{(d-3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) . \tag{3.21b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{t}^{t} & =\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B}-\frac{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}{4 A B^{2}}-\frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 A^{2} B}+(d-2) \frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}, \\
R_{r}^{r} & =\frac{A^{\prime \prime}}{2 A B} A^{\prime} B^{\prime} \\
4 A B^{2} & \frac{\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{4 A^{2} B}+(d-2) \frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}, \\
R_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}} & =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{(d-3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right), \\
R_{\theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}} & =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A \bar{B}}-\frac{(d-3)}{B^{\prime}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right), \\
R_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}} & =\frac{A^{\prime}}{2 r A B}-\frac{B^{\prime}}{2 r B^{2}}-\frac{(d-3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider $G^{t}{ }_{t}=R^{t}{ }_{t}-\frac{g^{t}+}{2}\left(R_{t}^{t}+R_{1}^{r}+R_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{\theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{d-2}}^{\theta_{d-2}}\right)=$ $V_{d-2} C_{d-1} T_{t}^{t} \rightarrow R_{t}^{t}-\left(R_{r}^{r}+\frac{R^{\theta_{d}-2}}{}\right)=2 V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}$, then


Let $b=B^{1-n}$ and $n=3$, we have $b=B^{1-3}=B^{-2}$ moreover, $\frac{d b}{d r}=\frac{(-2)}{B^{3}} \frac{d B}{d r} \rightarrow \frac{d B}{d r}=$ $\frac{B^{3}}{(-2)} \frac{d b}{d r}$. So

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{B^{3}}{(-2)} \frac{d b}{d r}-\frac{(d-3)}{2 r} B=B^{3}\left(\frac{r V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}-\frac{(d-3)}{2 r}\right), \\
b^{\prime}+(d-3) \frac{b}{r}=\left(\frac{(d-3)}{r}-\frac{2 r V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}\right) . \tag{3.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Put equation (3.25) $\times r^{d-3}$, we have
$(d-2) r^{d-3} b^{\prime}+(d-3)(d-2) b r^{d-4}=\left((d-3)(d-2) r^{(d-4)}-2 r^{d-2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}\right)$,
or

$$
\left(r^{(d-3)} b\right)^{\prime}=\left((d-3) r^{(d-4)}-\frac{2 r^{d-2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}\right) .
$$

We get $b$ in form

$$
b=1-\frac{2 c^{2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2) r^{d-3}} \int \rho r^{d-2} d r,
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 c^{2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1}} \int \rho r^{d-2} d r . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider an $A d S$ Space (with a negative cosmological constant, $\Lambda$ ), then the Einstein's equation becomes
$G_{\nu}^{\mu}+\Lambda g_{\nu}^{\mu}=V_{d-2} C_{d-1} T_{\nu}^{\mu}$,
and equation (3.23) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}-\frac{(d-3)}{r} B=B^{2}\left(\frac{2 r t_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}-\frac{(d-3)}{r}-\frac{2 \Lambda r}{(d-2)}\right) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Change $B \rightarrow B^{2}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}-\frac{(d-3)}{2 r} B=B^{3}\left(\frac{r V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}-\frac{(d-3)}{2 r}-\frac{\Lambda r}{(d-2)}\right) . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b=B^{1-n}$ and $n=3$, we have $b=B^{1-3}=B^{-2}$ moreover, $\frac{d b}{d r}=\frac{(-2)}{B^{3}} \frac{d B}{d r} \rightarrow \frac{d B}{d r}=$ $\frac{B^{3}}{(-2)} \frac{d b}{d r}$. So ค9\&\& .

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{\prime}+(d-3) \frac{b}{r}=\left(\frac{(d-3)}{r}-\frac{2 r V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}+\frac{2 \Lambda r}{(d-2)}\right) . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Put equation (3.30) $\times r^{d-3}$, we have
$(d-2) r^{d-3} b^{\prime}+(d-3)(d-2) b r^{d-4}=\left((d-3)(d-2) r^{(d-4)}-2 r^{d-2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}\right)$,
or

$$
\left(r^{(d-3)} b\right)^{\prime}=\left((d-3) r^{(d-4)}-\frac{2 r^{d-2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1} \rho c^{2}}{(d-2)}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
b=1-\frac{2 c^{2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2) r^{d-3}} \int \rho r^{d-2} d r+\frac{2 \Lambda r^{2}}{(d-2)(d-1)}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 c^{2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2) r^{d-3}} \int \rho r^{d-2} d r+\frac{2 \Lambda r^{2}}{(d-2)(d-1)}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\frac{2 \Lambda}{(d-2)(d-1)}=\frac{1}{l^{2}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 c^{2} V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2) r^{d-3}} \int \rho r^{d-2} d r-\frac{\frac{2}{2}^{2}}{l^{2}}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{M C_{d-1}}{r^{d-3}}+\frac{r^{2}}{l^{2}}} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xrightarrow{\square}+ \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $G_{r}^{r}=R_{r}^{r}-\frac{g_{r}^{r}}{2}\left(R_{t}^{t}+R_{r}^{r}+R_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}+R_{\theta_{2}}^{\theta_{2}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{i}}^{\theta_{i}}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{d-2}}^{\theta_{d-2}}\right)=$ $V_{d-2} C_{d-1} T_{r}^{r} \rightarrow R_{r}^{r}=\left(R_{t}^{t}+\ldots+R_{\theta_{d-2}}^{\theta_{d-2}}\right)=2 V_{d-2} C_{d-1} P_{r}$, then

$$
\frac{(d-2) A^{\prime}}{r A B}-\frac{(d-2)(d d 3)}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{B}\right)=2 V_{d-2} C_{d-1} P_{r} .
$$

Use equation (3.22) from $G^{t}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(d-2) A^{\prime}}{r A B}+\frac{(d-2) B^{\prime}}{r B^{2}}=2 V_{d-2} C_{d-1}\left(\rho g^{2}+P_{r}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let equation (3.34) $\times \frac{r B}{(d-2)}$, so

For $A \rightarrow A^{2}, B \rightarrow B^{2} \therefore \frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \rightarrow \frac{\left(A^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{A^{2}}=\frac{2 A^{\prime}}{A} \triangleq \frac{B^{\prime}}{B} \rightarrow \frac{2 B^{\prime}}{B}$, then equation (3.35)


$$
\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}+\frac{B^{\prime}}{B}=\frac{V_{d-2} C_{d-1} r B^{2}}{(d-2)}\left(\rho c^{2}+P_{r}\right),
$$

Solve this equation to find relations between $A$ and $B$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}(r)=\frac{e^{2 \chi(r)}}{B^{2}(r)} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(r)=\frac{V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2)} \int\left(\rho(r) c^{2}+P_{r}(r)\right) r B^{2}(r) d r . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have coupled equations of motion from equation (3.33) and (3.37)

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{2 V_{d-2}}{(d-2)} \rho(r) r^{d-2}  \tag{3.38a}\\
\chi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2)}\left(\rho(r) c^{2}+P_{r}(r)\right) r B^{2}(r) . \tag{3.38b}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, when we consider the energy momentum conserved $\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}=0$ by let $\nu=r, c=1$ and $P_{r}=P_{\theta_{1}}=\ldots=P_{\theta_{i}}=\ldots=P_{\theta_{d-2}}=P, A \rightarrow A^{2}$, it leads to the TOV equation in $d$ dimension that is given by

$$
\frac{d P}{d r}=-(\rho+P) \frac{A^{\prime}}{A}
$$

and use thermodynamic relation, we have $\qquad$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y)=\frac{\epsilon_{F}}{A(r)} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A(r)=\frac{\epsilon_{F}}{\mu(r)} \rightarrow \frac{e^{\chi(r)}}{B(r)}=\frac{\epsilon_{F}}{\mu(r)} \rightarrow \chi(r)-\ln B(r)=\ln \epsilon_{F}-\ln \mu(r) \therefore \chi^{\prime}(r)=$ $\frac{B^{\prime}(r)}{B(r)}-\frac{\mu^{\prime}(r)}{\mu(r)}$ and the coupled equations of motion can be written new form of relation between mass and chemical potential

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{2 V_{d-2}}{(d-2)} \rho(r) r^{d-2},  \tag{3.40a}\\
\mu^{\prime}(r) & =\mu(r)\left(\frac{B^{\prime}(r)}{B(r)}-\frac{V_{d-2} C_{d-1}}{(d-2)}\left(\rho(r) c^{2}+P_{r}(r)\right) r B^{2}(r)\right) . \tag{3.40b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2 Relativistic Landau energy level in 5 dimension

## 6)

We solve Diracequation to find relativisticenergylevel under electromagnetic field. Our calculation considers only positive energy solution and uses Dirac gamma

where $\hbar, c$ and $m$ are Planck constant, speed of light and mass of particle, respectively and Dirac gamma matrices are

$$
\gamma^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{3.42}\\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \vec{\gamma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \vec{\sigma} \\
-\vec{\sigma} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where 1 and $\vec{\sigma}$ are $2 \times 2$ identity matrix and Pauli matrices[Appendix A], respectively. We consider solution in two cases. First, positive energy solution,
we have solution $\psi(x)=u(p) e^{-i p x}=u(p) e^{-i E t+i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}$ and Dirac equation is $\left(\gamma^{\mu} p_{\mu}-m\right) u(p)=0$. Second, negative energy solution, we have solution $\psi(x)=$ $v(p) e^{i p x}=v(p) e^{i E t-i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}$ and Dirac equation is $\left(\gamma^{\mu} p_{\mu}+m\right) v(p)=0$. We concern only positive energy solution because we consider particle not the anti-particle. Let $\hbar=c=1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma^{\mu} p_{\mu}-m\right) & =\gamma^{0} p_{0}+\gamma^{1} p_{1}+\gamma^{2} p_{2}+\gamma^{3} p_{3}-m 1_{4 \times 4}=\gamma^{0} E-\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}-m 1_{4 \times 4}, \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(E-m) 1_{2 \times 2} & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} \\
\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} & -(E+m) 1_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider particle in an external magnetic field $\therefore p_{\mu} \rightarrow p_{\mu}-q A_{\mu}$

$$
\left(\gamma^{\mu}\left(p_{\mu}-q A_{\mu}\right)-m\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(E-q A_{0}-m\right) 1_{2 \times 2} & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) \\
\vec{\sigma}(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) & -\left(E-q A_{0}+m\right) 1_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right)=q .3 .
$$

Let magnetic field be uniform in z-direction $\therefore A_{0}=A_{x}=A_{z}=0, A_{y}=B x$. So

$$
\left(\gamma^{\mu}\left(p_{\mu}-q A_{\mu}\right)-m\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\vec{E}-m) 1_{2 \times 2} & -\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})  \tag{3.45}\\
\vec{q}(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) & -(E+m) 1_{2 \times 2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $u(p)=\binom{\phi}{\chi}$, where $\phi$ and $\chi$ are twe-component spinor. From $\left(\gamma^{\mu}\left(p_{\mu}-q A\right)-m\right) u(p)=$ 0 , we have $(E-m) \phi=\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) \chi$ and $(E+m) \chi=\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) \phi$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E^{2}-m^{2}\right) \phi=(\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}))^{2} \phi \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use Appendix A.1, equation (A.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}))^{2}= & (\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}))(\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})), \\
= & (\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})+i \vec{\sigma} \cdot((\vec{p}-q \vec{A}) \times(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})),
\end{aligned}
$$

## ค) $\frac{\rho}{\square}(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})^{2} 9 q \vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p} \times \vec{A}+\vec{A} \nmid \vec{p})$.

Consider term
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$(\vec{p} \times \sim$

$$
=\epsilon_{i j k}\left[p_{j}, A_{k}\right]=-i \epsilon_{i j k}\left[\nabla_{j}, A_{k}\right],
$$

$$
=-i(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A})_{i}=-i(\vec{B})_{i} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(\vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{p}-q \vec{A}))^{2} & =(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})^{2}-i q \vec{\sigma} \cdot(-i \vec{B}) \\
& =(\vec{p}-q \vec{A})^{2}-q \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \\
& =p^{2}+q^{2} B^{2} x^{2}-q B\left(\sigma_{z}+2 x p_{y}\right) . \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

We get equation [37]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}+p_{z}^{2}-2 q B x p_{y}+q^{2} B^{2} x^{2}-q B \sigma_{z}\right\} \phi=\left(E^{2}-m^{2}\right) \phi . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

But we want to study the Fermi gas in 5-dimensional $A d S$ space, then we put new momentum $p_{w}$ and coordinate $w$ and consider solution in the form $\phi=$ $e^{i\left(p_{y} y+p_{z} z+p_{w} w\right)} f(x)$. So equation (3.48) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}+p_{z}^{2}+p_{w}^{2}-2 q B x p_{y}+q^{2} B^{2} x^{2}-q B \sigma_{z}\right\} \phi=\left(E^{2}-m^{2}\right) \phi . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Change variables to operators and substitute $\phi$ by our solution. we get

$$
\left\{-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}-\frac{d^{2}}{d y^{2}}-\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}-\frac{d^{2}}{d w^{2}}-2 q B x p_{y}+q^{2} B^{2} x^{2}-q B \sigma_{z}\right\} e^{i\left(p_{y} y+p_{z} z+p_{w} w\right)} f(x)
$$

$$
\left.-m^{2}\right) e^{i\left(p_{y} y+p_{z} z+p_{w} w\right)} f(x)
$$

So

$$
\left\{-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left(q B x-p_{y}\right)^{2}-q B \sigma_{z}\right\} f=\left(E^{2}-m^{2}-p_{z}^{2}-p_{w}^{2}\right) f
$$

 $\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d}{d \xi}\left(\frac{d}{d \xi} \cdot \sqrt{q B}\right) \sqrt{q B}=q B \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}$ and $\xi^{2 /=}=q B\left(x-\frac{p_{y}}{q B}\right)^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}+\xi^{2}-\sigma_{z}\right) f=\left(\frac{E^{2}-m^{2}-p_{z}^{2}-p_{w}^{2}}{q B}\right) f=a f \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spinor function $f$ may be chosen so that it is an eigenfunction of the operator $\sigma_{z} ; \sigma_{z} f=\mu f$, where $\left.\bar{\mu}\right]= \pm 1\left(\because \sigma_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)\right)[37]$. See appendix A. 2 , we have each function component $f_{\mu}$ in function $f=\binom{f_{\mu=1}}{f_{\mu}=-1}$ is a Hermite function. Moreover, we have energy conditionfollowing from ordinary differential equation form which is given by

If we let ${ }^{9} j=n-\frac{\mu}{2}$ (we consider the case, $\mu=0$, throwing away the Zeeman energy term), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{j}^{2} & =m^{2} c^{4}+p_{z}^{2} c^{2}+p_{w}^{2} c^{2}+\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right) 4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B  \tag{3.51a}\\
& =m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right) 4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B . \quad\left(p_{n}^{2}=p_{z}^{2}+p_{w}^{2}\right) \tag{3.51b}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (3.51a) and (3.51b), energy is quantized in $x-y$ plane and can be degenerate, i.e., there are several states with the same one-particle energy. We
find the number of states $g_{j}$ of a discrete energy level $j$

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{j} & =\frac{g_{s}}{h^{2}} \int d p_{x} d p_{y} d x d y=\frac{g_{s} L_{x} L_{y}}{h^{2}} 2 \pi \int_{p_{j}}^{p_{j+1}} p d p=\frac{g_{s} \pi L_{x} L_{y}}{h^{2}}\left(p_{j+1}^{2}-p_{j}^{2}\right), \\
& =\frac{g_{s} \pi L_{x} L_{y}}{h^{2}}\left(4 m \mu_{B} B\right) . \quad\left(\because p_{j}^{2} c^{2}=\left(p_{x}^{2}+p_{y}^{2}\right) c^{2}=4 j m c^{2} \mu_{B} B\right) \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{s}(=2 s+1$ in the case of fermions) is a spin degeneracy and the degeneracy factor $g_{j}$ is independent of $j$ and vanishes for $B \rightarrow 0$.

### 3.3 Pressure and energy density under magnetic field at finite temperature

We consider equation (2.26). For a large volume, the sum over all one-particle states can be rewritten in terms of an integral, $\sum_{k} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^{d-1} \overrightarrow{d^{d-1}} \vec{p}}{h^{d-1}}$ where $d=$ space-time dimension. We consider 5 dimensional $A d S$ space $\therefore d=5$

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Z & =\int \frac{d^{4} r d^{4} p}{h^{4}} \ln (1)(1) d \frac{(\epsilon-\mu)}{k_{B} T} \\
& =\frac{1}{h^{4}} \int d x d y d z d x d A d p_{x} d p_{y} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} \ln \left(1+e^{-\frac{(\epsilon-\mu)}{k_{B} T}}\right), \\
& =\frac{L_{x} L_{y} L_{z} L_{A d S}}{h^{4}} \int d p_{x} d p_{y} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} \ln \left(1+e^{-\frac{(\epsilon-\mu)}{k_{B} T}}\right), \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

but the energy levels are degenerate when the magnetic field is applied

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln Z=\frac{g_{s}}{h^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} d z d x_{A d S} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_{j} \ln \left(1+e^{-\frac{\left(E_{j}-\mu\right)}{k_{B} T}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where ( $V=L_{x} L_{y} L_{z} L_{A d S}, p_{n}^{2}=p_{z}^{2}+p_{A d S}^{2}$ ) and use Appendix A, equation (A.8), then we have
where $z=e^{\frac{\mu}{k_{B} T}}$. Therefore

Consider $1^{s t}$ term in $\ln Z\left(\ln Z_{0}\right)$ and let $\epsilon=\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}=$ $\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} c^{2}} ; p^{\prime 2}-p_{n}^{2}+4 x m \mu_{B} B \therefore d \epsilon=\frac{2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}{\epsilon} d x \rightarrow d x=\frac{\epsilon}{2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B} d \epsilon$

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} d x d p_{n} p_{n} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)
$$

From $\epsilon^{2}=m^{2} c^{4}+\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} c^{2}, \quad \therefore 2 \epsilon d \epsilon=2 p^{\prime} c^{2} d p^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime 2}=\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}$, when $\epsilon \rightarrow$ $m c^{2} \quad p^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ and $\quad \epsilon \rightarrow \infty \quad p^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$. So

$$
\frac{1}{4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B} \int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} d \epsilon \epsilon\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)=\frac{c^{2}}{4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p^{\prime} p^{\prime 3} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln Z \approx\left(\frac{8 g_{s} \pi^{2} m \mu_{B} B V}{h^{4}}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} d x \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{n} p_{n} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\ln Z_{0}+\ln Z_{B} . \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)=\ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}\right),  \tag{3.55a}\\
& \left.\left.f^{\prime}(x)\right|_{x=0}=\frac{z e^{-\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}}{\left(1+z e^{-\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}\right.}\right)\left.\cdot \frac{\left(-4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B\right)}{2 k_{B} T\left(\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}\right)}\right|_{x=0}, \\
& =\frac{\left(-2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B\right)}{k_{B} T\left(\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}\right)} \cdot \sqrt{1+z e^{-\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}}{k_{B} c^{2}}}} \frac{\sqrt{m^{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}}}{k_{B} T}, \tag{3.55b}
\end{align*}
$$

The logarithm can be removed by integrating by parts $\left(\int u d v=u v-\int v d u\right)$. Let $u=\ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right), d v=p^{\prime 3} d p^{\prime} \therefore d u=\frac{z e^{-\frac{\epsilon^{\epsilon}}{k_{B} T}}}{k_{B} T\left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)} \cdot \frac{d \epsilon}{d p^{\prime}} d p^{\prime}$ and $v=\frac{p^{\prime 4}}{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4 m \mu_{B} B} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p^{\prime} p^{\prime 3} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)= & \frac{1}{4 m \mu_{B} B}\left(\left.\frac{p^{\prime 4}}{4} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right|_{0} ^{\infty}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4 k_{B} T} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{4}}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1} \cdot \frac{d \epsilon}{d p^{\prime}} d p^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider limit of the first term $p^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \therefore$ the first term $\rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon=\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} c^{2}}$ $\therefore p^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty \epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $\ln (1)=0$, then the first term vanishes and $\frac{d \epsilon}{d p^{\prime}}=\frac{p^{\prime} c^{2}}{\epsilon}$,
$\frac{1}{4 m \mu_{B} B} \int_{0}^{\infty} d p^{\prime} p^{\prime 3} \ln \left(1+z e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}\right)=\int_{4 m \mu_{B} B}\left(\frac{c^{2}}{4 k_{B} T}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{\prime 5}}{\epsilon\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)} d p^{\prime}$
$\therefore 1^{\text {st }}$ term in $\ln Z$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln Z_{0}=\left(\frac{8 g_{s} \pi^{2} m \mu_{B} B V}{h^{4}}\right)\left(\frac{c^{2}}{16 k_{B} T m \mu_{B} B}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{\prime 5}}{\epsilon\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}, \\
&\left.=\left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2} c^{2} V}{2 h^{4} k_{B} T}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{5}}{\epsilon(z-1} e^{\frac{c}{k_{B}^{T}}}+1\right)  \tag{3.58}\\
& k^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

This is just the partition function of a free relativistic Fermi gas without a magnetic field in $5 D$ at finite temperature (the first term in $\ln Z$ reproduces the limiting case $B \rightarrow 0$ ). The higher ordep torms thus represent corrections to the free case. Consider $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{\prime 5}}{\epsilon\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{e_{B}^{\epsilon}}{\sigma_{B}}}+1\right)} d p^{\prime}$. From $\epsilon^{2}=m^{2} c^{2}+p^{\prime 2} c^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{\prime 5}}{\epsilon\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)} d p^{\prime}=\int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{e^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}}{c^{2}\left(e^{\frac{\epsilon-\mu}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}=\int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{f(\epsilon) d \epsilon}{c^{2}\left(e^{\frac{\epsilon-\mu}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)} \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(\epsilon)=\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}$. Now we use a trick to integrate by change of variable, we call integrate trick $[38,039]$. Let $\frac{(\epsilon \epsilon \mu)}{k_{B} T} d=y . โ d \epsilon \neq k_{B} T d y$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow m c^{2} \quad y \rightarrow$ $\frac{m c^{2}-\mu}{k_{B} T}, \epsilon \rightarrow \infty \quad y \rightarrow \infty$. Consider $\sigma$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\int_{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu}{k_{B} T}}^{0} \frac{f\left(\mu+k_{B} T d y\right)}{e^{y}+1} k_{B} T d y+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\mu+k_{B} T d y\right)}{e^{y}+1} k_{B} T d y . \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

In $1^{\text {st }}$ term, change $T \rightarrow-T$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu}{k_{B} T}}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\mu+k_{B} T d y\right)}{e^{y}+1} k_{B} T d y= & \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{f\left(\mu-k_{B} T d y\right)}{e^{-y}+1} k_{B} T d y \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\mu+k_{B} T d y\right)}{e^{y}+1} k_{B} T d y \tag{3.61}
\end{align*}
$$

and $1-\frac{1}{e y+1}=\frac{e^{y}+1-1}{e^{y}+1}=\frac{e^{y}}{e^{y}+1}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-y}}$. If we let $\mu-k_{B} T=\epsilon \therefore d y=\frac{d \epsilon}{-k_{B} T} y \rightarrow 0$, $\epsilon \rightarrow \mu$ and $y \rightarrow \frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}, \epsilon \rightarrow m c^{2}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{f(\epsilon) d \epsilon}{\left(e^{\frac{(\epsilon-\mu)}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}= & \int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu} f(\epsilon) d \epsilon-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{f\left(\mu-k_{B} T\right)}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)} d y \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right)}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)} d y \tag{3.62}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Z_{0}= & \left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2} V}{2 h^{4} k_{B} T}\right)\left\{\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2} d \epsilon-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}}{e^{y}+1} d y\right. \\
& \left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}}{e^{y}+1} d y\right\} \tag{3.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $2^{\text {nd }}$ term in $\ln Z$. Let $\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}=\left.\left.\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}} \therefore 2 \epsilon\right|_{x=0} d \epsilon\right|_{x=0}=2 p_{n} c^{2} d p_{n}$, $\left.p \rightarrow 0 \quad \epsilon\right|_{x=0} \rightarrow m c^{2}, p \rightarrow \infty \quad ;\left.\epsilon\right|_{x \neq 0 \rightarrow \infty}$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(-\frac{2 g_{s} \pi^{2} m^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2} V}{3 h^{4} k_{B} T}\right) \int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\left.d \epsilon\right|_{x=0}}{\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon x=0}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)} . \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

Use integrate trick following equation (3.62), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln Z_{B}= & \left(-\frac{2 g_{s} \pi^{2} m^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2} V}{3 h^{4} k_{B} T}\right)\left\{\left(\mu-m c^{2}\right)-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{d y}{e^{y}+1}\right. \\
& \left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{e^{y}+1}\right\} . \tag{3.66}
\end{align*}
$$

From thermodynamics (equation(2.28))

$$
\begin{align*}
& P= \frac{k_{B} T}{V} \ln Z=\frac{k_{B} T}{V}\left(\ln Z_{0}+\ln Z_{B}\right), \\
&=\left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2}}{2 h^{4}}\right)\left\{\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2} d \epsilon-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}}{e^{y}+1} d y\right. \\
&\left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)^{2}}{e^{y}+1} d y\right\}-\left(\frac{2 \pi^{2} m^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2}}{3 h^{4}}\right)\left\{\left(\mu-m c^{2}\right)\right. \\
&-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{d y}{e^{y}+1}+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} d y  \tag{3.67}\\
& e^{y}+1
\end{align*} .
$$

Find energy from equation (2.29b) and $\sum_{k} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^{d-1} \vec{r} d^{d-1} \vec{p}}{h^{d-1}}, d=5$
$U=\int \frac{d^{4} r d^{4} p}{h^{4}} \frac{\epsilon}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{\partial}{k_{B} T}}}=1 \quad=\frac{1}{h^{4}} \int d x d y d z d x_{A d S} d p_{x} d p_{y} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} \frac{\epsilon}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1}$.
We apply a magnetic field

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\frac{g_{s}}{h^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} d z d x_{A d S} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_{j} \frac{E_{j}}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{E_{j}}{k_{B} T}}+1}, \\
&=\frac{g_{s} L_{x} L_{y} L_{z} L_{A d S} \frac{\left.A \pi m \mu_{B} B\right)}{h^{4}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d p_{z} d p_{A d S} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_{j}}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{E_{j}}{k_{B} T}}},}{} \\
&=\left(\frac{8 g_{s} \pi^{2} m \mu_{B} B V}{h^{4}}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} p_{n} d p_{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j}^{-\frac{H_{j}}{k_{j}}},  \tag{3.68}\\
& \text { ndix A, equation (A.8) }
\end{align*}
$$

use Appendix A, equation (A.8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left.\frac{\left(2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B\right) z^{-1} e^{\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{2}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}}{\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)^{2}}\right|_{x=0} . \tag{3.69b}
\end{align*}
$$

So

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
U & \approx\left(\frac{8 g_{s} \pi^{2} m \mu_{B} B V}{h^{4}}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} d x \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{n} p_{n} \frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}}{k_{B} T}}\right.}+1\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}{12}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{n} p_{n}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}\right)\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{z^{-1} e^{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}}}{k_{B} T\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}}}{k_{B} T}}\right.}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.70}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Consider $1^{\text {st }}$ term in U. Let $\epsilon=\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p_{n}^{2} c^{2}+4 x m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}=\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{2} c^{2}}$;
$p^{\prime 2}=p_{n}^{2}+4 x m \mu_{B} B . . d \epsilon=\frac{2 m m^{2} \mu_{B} B}{\frac{\epsilon}{S}} d x \rightarrow d x=\frac{\epsilon}{2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} \bar{B}} d \epsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} d x \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{n} p_{n} \frac{\epsilon}{z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1}=\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B} \int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} d \epsilon \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{\epsilon}{\frac{\epsilon^{2}-m^{2} c^{2}}{c^{2}}}} d p_{n} p_{n}, ~\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon}{k_{B} T}}+1\right.}{0} \\
& \left.\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4 m c^{2} \mu_{B} B} \int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} d \epsilon \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{z^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right) 3
\end{align*}
$$

Use integrate trick, equation (3.62)

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{0}= & \left(\frac{2 g_{s} \pi^{2} V}{h^{4} c^{2}}\right)\left\{\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu} \epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right) d \epsilon\right\}  \tag{3.72}\\
& \left.-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T\right.}{c^{2}} y\right)^{2}}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)}-m^{2} c^{2}\right) \\
& \text { U }
\end{align*}
$$


 $\left.p \rightarrow 0 \quad \uparrow \epsilon\right|_{x=0} \rightarrow m c^{2},\left.p \rightarrow \infty \quad \epsilon\right|_{x=0} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} d p_{n} p_{n}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}\right)\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon_{x=0}}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}-\frac{z^{-1} e^{\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}}}{k_{B} T\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& =\int_{m c^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\left.d \epsilon\right|_{x=0}}{c^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\epsilon l_{x=0}}{k_{B} T}}+1\right)}-\frac{\left(\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}\right) z^{-1} e^{\frac{\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}}{k_{B} T}}}{k_{B} T\left(z^{-1} e^{\frac{\left.\epsilon\right|_{x=0}}{k_{B} T}}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{3.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we use integrate trick, equation (3.62), and use $\frac{1}{\left(e^{-y}+1\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(e^{-y}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(e^{-y}+1\right)}=$ $\left(1-\frac{1}{e^{y}+1}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{e^{y}+1}\right)=\left(1-\frac{2}{e^{y}+1}+\frac{1}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)^{2}}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{B}= & \left(\frac{8 g_{s} \pi^{2} m \mu_{B} B V}{h^{4}}\right)\left(\frac{m c^{2} \mu_{B} B}{12}\right)\left(\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right)\left\{\left[\left(\mu-m c^{2}\right)-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{d y}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)}\right]-\left[\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu} \frac{\epsilon e^{\frac{\epsilon-\mu}{k_{B} T}}}{k_{B} T} d \epsilon-2 k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right) e^{-y}}{k_{B} T\left(e^{y}+1\right)} d y\right. \\
& \left.\left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right) e^{-y}}{k_{B} T\left(e^{y}+1\right)^{2}} d y+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right) e^{y}}{k_{B} T\left(e^{y}+1\right)^{2}} d y\right]\right\} . \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

Find energy density, inherited from energy, $\rho c^{2}=\frac{U}{V}=\frac{U_{0}+U_{B}}{V}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho c^{2}=\left(\frac{2 g_{s} \pi^{2}}{h^{4} c^{2}}\right)\left\{\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu} \epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)-m^{2} c^{2}\right) d \epsilon \\
& -k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m e^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)} d y \\
& \left.+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right)^{2}}{c^{2}}-m^{2} c^{2}\right)}{\left(e^{2}\right)} d y\right\} \\
& +\left(\frac{2 g_{s} \pi^{2} m^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2}}{3 h^{4}}\right)\left\{f\left(\mu-m c^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}} \frac{d y}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)}+k_{B} T \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d y}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)}\right]-\left[\int_{m c^{2}}^{\mu} \frac{\epsilon e^{\frac{\epsilon-\mu}{k_{B} T}}}{k_{B} T} d \epsilon\right. \\
& -2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m^{2}}{k_{B T}}} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right) e^{-y}}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)} d y+\int_{0}^{\frac{\mu-m c^{2}}{k_{B}}{ }^{2} \frac{\left(\mu-k_{B} T y\right) e^{-y}}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)^{2}} d y} \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mu+k_{B} T y\right) e^{y}}{\left(e^{y}+1\right)^{2}} d y\right]\right\} \mid \cdot \partial M \& \cap\right\} \approx \tag{3.75}
\end{align*}
$$

## Chapter IV

## NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter, we present our results from the analytic approximation and numerical analyses. Since we consider the system under an external magnetic field at finite temperature, we divide the results to five cases, i.e. zero temperature and zero magnetic field, finite temperature and zero magnetic field, zero temperature and finite magnetic field, finite temperature and finite magnetic field, and variation of the radius of curvature of $A d S$ space, respectively. We study and discuss the effect of temperature, magnetic field and the radius of curvature of $A d S$ space to the mass limit and other properties of a degenerate star in $A d S$ space in each case.

Before going into the detail of each case, we integrate equations (3.67) and (3.75) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-10 m^{2} c^{-1} \mu(r)^{3}+15 m^{4} c^{8} \mu(r)-8 m^{5} c^{10}-10 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu(r)\right. \\
& +7 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu(r)=10 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2} \mu(r)^{3}-120 k_{B}^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} I_{3}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right) \\
& +360 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} m c^{2} L i_{A}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{\left.k_{B} T^{( }\right)}}\right)-360 k_{B}^{5} T^{5} L i_{5}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +10 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2} \mu(r)^{3}+30 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} m^{3} c^{6} L i_{2}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)-150 k_{B}^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} L i_{3}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right) \\
& \left.+360 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} m c^{2} L i_{4}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)-360 k_{B}^{5} T^{5} L i_{5}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right)+\left(\frac{4 m^{2} \pi^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2}}{3 h^{4}}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{m c^{2}}{\left(1+e^{\frac{\mu(r)-c^{2}}{k_{B} T}}\right)}-\mu(r)-k_{B} T \ln \left(1+e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)+k_{B} T \ln \left(1+e^{\frac{\mu(r)-m c^{2}}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L i_{s}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k^{s}}$ is a polylogarithm function. For numerical analysis, we set $G_{5}=G l, G=c=\hbar=k_{B}=\mu_{B}=l=1, m=0.1$ where $G, G_{5}, l$ are the Newton constant in 4 dimensional space-time, the Newton constant in 5 dimensional space-time and radius of the $A d S$ space, respectively. We can transform the numerical results to the SI unit by using the table of dimensional translation in Appendix B. We use the coupled equations of motion between mass and chemical potential (Eqs. (3.40a), (3.40b)) to find the mass limit and study other physical properties. We set the initial conditions at the center of star to be $M(r=0)=0$ and $\mu(r=0)=e=2.718281828$.

### 4.1 Case I, zero temperature and zero magnetic field

Case I, the pressure and the energy density (Eqs. (4.1), (4.2)) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =\left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-10 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3}+15 m^{4} c^{8} \mu(r)-8 m^{5} c^{10}\right),  \tag{4.3a}\\
\rho c^{2} & =\left(\frac{g_{s} 2 \pi^{2}}{15 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-5 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3}+2 m^{5} c^{10}\right) . \tag{4.3b}
\end{align*}
$$

We present here the accumulated mass, the chemical potential, the energy density and the pressure distribution in the degenerate star versus the radius of the star in Figs. 4.1 and 4.2 . Relation between the total mass and the central chemical potential of the degenerate star is shown in Fig 4.3. Relation between the total mass and the energy density of the degenerate star is shown in Fig. 4.4.


Figure 4.1: The accumulated mass(left) and the chemical potential(right) distribution in the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0$


Figure 4.2: The energy density(left) and the pressure(right) distribution in the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0$

Mass Limit at T $=0, B=0$


Figure 4.3: The relation between mass and central chemical potential (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0$

ศน่ยวทยทับยากร
In the simulation, the edge of the degenerate star is at $r=17.6922$ where pressure drops to zero. In Eig. 4.1(left) the accumulated máss grows rapidly, in particular for the inferval between $r=0$ and $r=5$. Beyond the central region, the accumulated mass increases less rapidly and becomes gradual. The behavior of the accumulated mass is determined by the energy density and the pressure distribution within the star. Initially, both of them, the energy density and pressure in Fig. 4.2, decrease rapidly then they drop to zero more gradually at larger distance. The chemical potential also behaves similarly(Fig. 4.1 (right)). It is clear that the matter in the star becomes extremely dense in the region near the core of the star. Figs. 4.3 and 4.4 show the maximum mass of the degenerate star. From numerical analysis, the maximum mass is found to be 0.767302 for the


Figure 4.4: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0$
 $e^{-0.122306}$.

### 4.2 Case II, finite temperature and zero magnetic field

Case II, we include the effects of the finite temperature and study changing in the mass limit and other properties of the star by comparing the results to the zero temperature case. The pressure and energy density in thiscase reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
P= & \left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2}}{30 c T^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5} T 10 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3} c+15 m^{4} \mu(r)\right. \\
& +7 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu(r)+10 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2} \mu(r)^{3}-120 k_{B}^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} L i_{3}\left(-e^{\frac{10}{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}}\right) \\
& \left.+360 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} m c^{2} L i_{4}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)-360 k_{B}^{5} T^{5} L i_{5}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right),  \tag{4.4a}\\
\rho c^{2}= & \left(\frac{g_{s} 2 \pi^{2}}{15 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-5 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3}+2 m^{5} c^{10}-5 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu(r)+7 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu(r)\right. \\
& +10 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2} \mu(r)^{3}+30 k_{B}^{2} T^{2} m^{3} c^{6} L i_{2}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)-150 k_{B}^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} L i_{3}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right) \\
& \left.+360 k_{B}^{4} T^{4} m c^{2} L i_{4}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)-360 k_{B}^{5} T^{5} L i_{5}\left(-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r)}{k_{B} T}}\right)\right) . \tag{4.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

We set temperature values in the simulation unit to be 0.001 and 0.002 . For $T \gtrsim 0.003$, the energy density and pressure do not decrease to zero at finite radius and therefore the surface of the star cannot be defined properly. We will further investigate this case in the future work.


Figure 4.5: The relation between mass and central chemical potential (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $B=0$

Mass Limit at $\mathrm{F}=0$ (Lower), 0.001 (Middle), 0.002 (Upper), $\mathrm{B}=0$


Figure 4.6: Enlargement of the relation between mass and chemical potential density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $B=0$


Figure 4.7: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $B=0$


Figure 4.8: Enlargement of the relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $B=0$


Figure 4.9: The accumulated mass distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $B=0, T=0$ (lower), $=0.001$ (middle), $=0.002$ (upper)

Energy Density \& Radius


Figure 4.10: The energy density distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $B=0, T=0$ (lower),$=0.001$ (middle),$=0.002$ (upper)


Figure 4.11: The pressure distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $B=0, T=0$ (lower),$=0.001$ (middle),$=0.002$ (upper)

In Figs. 4.5 and 4.7 show that temperature increasing rarely affect the mass limit. In addition, the maximum mass are shown in Figs. 4.6 and 4.8 which take the numerical value as $0.767451(r=23.8829)$ at $T=0.001$ and $0.767903(r=38.5232)$ at $T=0.002$. This is because the small increase in the temperature affects the Fermi-Dirac distribution very slightly. It enables almost the particles are still in the quantum states as before, degenerate state, and very small part of the particles obtain influence by gaining more pressure. Consequently, when temperature increases, the maximum mass also grows. Temperature increasing results in the increase of pressure and energy density as shown in Figs. 4.10 (right) and 4.11 (right). However the changes are quite small as are shown in Figs. 4.10(left) and 4.11(left). Certainly, the accumulated mass also increases in figure 4.9.

### 4.3 Case III, zero temperature and finite magnetic field

Case III, we turn on the magnetic fied and study the mass limit and other properties at zero temperature by comparing to the results of Case I. The pressure and energy density in this case become to

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =\left(\frac{g_{s} \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-10 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3}+15 m^{4} c^{8} \mu(r)-8 m^{5} c^{10}\right),  \tag{4.5a}\\
\rho c^{2} & =\left(\frac{g_{s} 2 \pi^{2}}{15 c^{4} h^{4}}\right)\left(3 \mu(r)^{5}-5 m^{2} c^{4} \mu(r)^{3}+2 m^{5} c^{10}\right)-\mu(r)\left(\frac{4 m^{2} \pi^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2}}{3 h^{4}}\right) . \tag{4.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the pressure of the star hascthe same form as the pressure in Case I since the correction term of the magnetic field contains the temperature. But the energy density becomes smafter due to thecontribution from thetern $-\mu(r)\left(\frac{4 m^{2} \pi^{2} \mu_{B}^{2} B^{2}}{3 h^{4}}\right)$. We let the numerical values of the magnetic field to be 0.01 and 0.1 .


Figure 4.12: The relation between mass and central chemical potential (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0$


Figure 4.13: Enlargement of the relation between mass and central chemical potential (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0$


Figure 4.14: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0$


Figure 4.15: Enlargement of the relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star at $T=0$


Figure 4.16: The accumulated mass distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0($ upper $), 0=0.01$ (middle),$=0.11$ (lower)

Energy Density \& Radius


Figure 4.17: The energy density distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0$ (upper),$=0.01$ (middle),$=0.11$ (lower)


Figure 4.18: The pressure distribution and its enlargement in the degenerate star at $T=0, B=0($ upper $),=0.01$ (middle),$=0.11$ (lower)

Figs. 4.12-4.15 show that the mass limit decreases when the magnetic field increases with the maximum mass equal to $0.767036(r=16.1099)$ at $B=0.01$ and $0.751856(r=9.92797)$ at $B=0.1$. We can see the cause of this effect from the equation of state in the energy density part (Eq. 4.5b), since the coupled equations of motion between mass and the chemical potential of the star (Eqs. (3.40a) and (3.40b)) involve the energy density. Decreasing the energy density leads to the decrease of mass and the chemical potential of the star. The decrease of the chemical potential leads to the decrease in the pressure of the star subsequently. Numerical analysis confirms these behaviour as are shown in Figs. 4.16, 4.17 and 4.18.

### 4.4 Case IV, finite temperature and finite magnetic field

Case IV, we consider finite both temperature and magnetic field to study the mass limit of the star. Then the equations of state have the full form according to equations (4.1) and (4.2).


Figure 4.19: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star


Figure 4.20: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star

In this case, we see the simifar behaviour as in the second and the third case, temperature increase leads to the increase of the mass limit whereas the effect of the magnetic field is the opposite. In Fig. 4.19, although we set the temperature $T=0.001$, the magnetie field $B=0.01$ has more the effect on the profile of the star. The mass limitis smaller than the mass limit in the case of the zero temperature and magnetic field. Surely, when we raise the temperature, the mass limit also grows up (the upper line in the Fig 4.19). In Fig. 4.20, we set the temperature $T=0.0035$ and the magnetic field $B=0.01$. In this case, it turns out that the mass limit has increased with respect to the case when $T=0, B=0$. When we change the magnefic field $40 B=00.10$, the mass fimit becomes smaller than the zero-field zero-temperature mass limit. Namely, the influence of the magnetic has oyercome those of the temperature.

## 

### 4.5 Case V, variation of the curvature radius at zero temperature and magnetic field

We vary the curvature radius of $A d S$ space, $l$, and study the changes in the profile of the star in this section. For simplicity, we will set the temperature and the external magnetic field to be zero. We let the curvature radius to be $1,3,5$ and 7, and observe considerable changes in the mass limit of the star as are shown


Figure 4.21: The relation between mass and central energy density (in logarithmic scale) of the degenerate star
in Fig. 4.21. The mass limit of the degenerate star increases evidently when we raise the curvature radius of the $A d S$ space. Moreover, the peak of the mass limit curve shifts to the lower central density side. For $l=3$, the maximum mass is $1.96473(r=27.4029)$ for the central chemical potential $\mu=e^{0.3825}$ or the central energy density $\rho=e^{-3.38048}$. For $l /=5$, the maximum mass is 2.92023( $r=$ 33.5921) for the central chemical potential $\mu=e^{0.083}$ or the central energy density $\rho=e^{-4.88441}$. For $l=\underline{7}$, the maximum mass is $3.71782(r=38.4035)$ for the central chemical potential $\mu=e^{-0.1115}$ or the central energy density $\rho=e^{-5.86373}$.

## ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## Chapter V

## CONCLUSIONS

Both temperature and magnetic field affect the mass limit and other properties of the degenerate star. The increase of temperature enables the pressure and the energy density of the star to increase, i.e. the degenerate pressure also increases. Then the mass limit becomes slightly greater due to the larger pressure. This is the typical behavior of the Fermi gas at finite temperature.

In the presence of external magnetic field, the mass limit decreases when the magnetic field increases. As we can see from Eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), an increase in the magnetic field will result in a smatler energy and pressure density as well as a smaller chemical potential.

The radius of curvature of the $A d S$ space also affects the mass limit evidently. When the radius of curvature increases, the mass limit increases appreciably as are shown in Fig. 4.21. Interestingly, the peak of the mass limit curve shifts to the lower central density side.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร } \\
\text { จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย }
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Appendix A

## Useful Calculation

## A. $1 \quad$ Pauli matrices

Pauli matrices are a set of $2 \times 2$-matrix, denoted by $\sigma$. They are

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{A.1}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2} \neq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where subscripts 1,2 and 3 refer $\operatorname{to} \vec{x}, y$ and $z$, respectively. Properties of Pauli matrices are firstly,


Secondly, Pauli matrices satisfy the following anticommutation and commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ठ }\left\{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right\}=2 \delta_{j j} \cdot I_{2 \times 2} \text {, } \tag{A.3a}
\end{align*}
$$

Thirdly, Pauli vector is defined by $\vec{\sigma}=\sigma_{1} \hat{x}+\sigma_{2} \hat{y}+\sigma_{3} \hat{z}$. Consider $\vec{a}=a_{1} \hat{x}+a_{2} \hat{y}+$


$$
\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a}=\sum_{k} a_{k} \sigma_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
+a_{3} & a_{1}-i a_{2}  \tag{A.4}\\
a_{1}+i a_{2} & -a_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and we have a very important identity

$$
\begin{align*}
(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{a})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b}) & =\left(\sum_{j} \sigma_{j} a_{j}\right)\left(\sum_{k} \sigma_{k} b_{k}\right)=\sum_{j} \sum_{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}\right]\right) a_{j} b_{k} \\
& =\sum_{j} \sum_{k}\left(\delta_{j k}+i \epsilon_{j k l} \sigma_{l}\right) a_{j} b_{k} \\
& =(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})+i \vec{\sigma} \cdot(\vec{a} \times \vec{b}) \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 2 Hermite function

When we have the differential equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(2 n+1-x^{2}\right) \psi_{n}(x)=0 \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution of this equation is a Hermite function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n} n!\sqrt{\pi}}} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(e^{-x^{2}}\right) . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A. 3 Euler-Maclaurin formula

The Euler-Maclaurin formula provides a powerful approximate connection between integral and summation.


## Appendix B

## Table and Codes

## B. 1 Dimensional translation table

Table B. 1: Dimensional translation table of physical quantities

$\rho_{0}=\frac{\left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{s}}\right)^{5}}{c^{4} \hbar^{4}}$ yhere $m_{p}$ and $m_{s}$ are rest mass of particles (i.e. electron, neutron etc.) and mass that use in simulation, respectively.

## ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## B. 2 Mathematica Codes

We present the principal mathematica codes for simulation. Our codes presented here consider the case for zero temperature and magnetic field and the curvature radius of $A d S$ space $l=1$. For other cases, we can adjust the parameters to match the case of our interest. There are three main codes.

1. Mathematica code for finding the mass limit of the star.
$\operatorname{For}\left[j=0, j \leq 36, j++,\left\{\right.\right.$ Clear;,$G=1\left(* 6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right.$ and $G_{5}=G 1\left(e^{\frac{2 R}{1}}-1\right) \approx G 1$,
the distance $R$ is the radion, 1 is a curvature radius of $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$ *);,
$\mathrm{c}=1(* 299792458 *) ; \mathrm{h}=2 * \operatorname{Pi}\left(* 6.626068 * 10^{-34} *\right) ; \mathrm{k}=1\left(* 1.3806503 * 10^{-23} *\right) ;$,
$\mu \mathrm{B}=1$ (*for electron $9.27400915 * 10^{-24}$ and for neutron $-9.662364 * 10^{-24} *$ ); ,
$\mathrm{V}_{3}=2 * \mathrm{Pi}^{2} ;, 1=1 . ;, \mathrm{C}_{4}=\frac{16 * \mathrm{Pi} * \mathrm{G} * \mathrm{I}}{3 * \mathrm{~V}_{3}} ;, \mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{2}$
$m=.1$ (*for electron $9.10938188 * 10^{-31}$ and for neutron $1.67492729 * 10^{-27} *$ ); ,
$\rho 0=\frac{\left(\left(\frac{1.67492729 * 10^{-27}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)(299792458)^{2}\right)^{5}}{(299792458)^{4}\left(\frac{6.626068 * 10^{-34}}{2 \mathrm{Pi}}\right)^{4}} ;$
$r 0=\left(\frac{(299792458)^{4}}{\left(6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right)(\rho 0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} ;, T=10^{-6}\left(* 10^{8} *\right) ;, \mathrm{B}=0$.
$P\left[r_{-}\right]:=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}$

 $360 \mathrm{k}^{5} \mathrm{~T}^{5}$ PolyLog $\left.\left[5,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mu[x]}{k T}}\right]-20 \mathrm{kT} \mathrm{m}^{2} C^{4}(\mu \mathrm{~B})^{2} B^{2} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{\mu[r]-m c^{2}}{k T}}\right]\right) ;$,


 $\left(\frac{m c^{2}}{\left(1+e^{\frac{\mu(x)-\mathrm{m}^{2}}{k T}}\right)}-\mu[r] 9 \mathrm{kT} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[x]}{k T]}}\right]+\mathrm{kT} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{\mu[x]-m c^{2}}{k T}}\right]\right) ;$, \$RecursionLimit = Infinity; ,

Mathematica code for simulation of the mass limit of the star Part 1

```
solution =
    NDSolve[{
            \partial}M[r]==\frac{2}{3}*\mp@subsup{V}{3}{*}*\mp@subsup{r}{}{3}*\rho[r]
            \partial}\mp@subsup{\partial}{r}{}\mu[r]==\mu[r](\frac{(\frac{\mp@subsup{C}{4}{}\mp@subsup{M}{}{\prime}[r]}{2*\mp@subsup{r}{}{2}}-\frac{\mp@subsup{C}{4}{}M[r]}{\mp@subsup{r}{}{3}}-\frac{r}{\mp@subsup{1}{}{2}})}{(1-\frac{\mp@subsup{C}{4}{}M[r]}{\mp@subsup{r}{}{2}}+\frac{\mp@subsup{r}{}{2}}{\mp@subsup{1}{}{2}})}=(\frac{\mp@subsup{V}{3}{}*\mp@subsup{C}{4}{}*r*(1-\frac{\mp@subsup{C}{4}{}M[r]}{\mp@subsup{r}{}{2}}+\frac{\mp@subsup{r}{}{2}}{\mp@subsup{1}{}{2}}\mp@subsup{)}{}{-1}}{3}(\rho[r]+P[r]) ))
```




```
    R1 = Last[Last[FindRoot[{\rho[r]/. solution} == 0,{r, 1.}]]];,
    R2 = Last[Last[FindRoot[{(\rho[r] + P[r])(/..solution} == 0, {r, 1.}]]];,
    R = Last[Last[FindRoot[{P[r] /. solution} == 0, {r, 1.}]]];,
    Print[R0, " ", R1, " ", R2, ") "/r, R," ", First[\mu[R]/. solution], " ",
            First[Log[\mu[10-12 ] ] . solution], #
            First[M[R] / . solution], " /",r0*R,",
            " ", \frac{\frac{(\rho0)(r0)4}{(299792458\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}}}{|}\mathrm{ First[M[R]/. solution]}
    \rho[j] = First[Llog[\rho[10-12}]]/. solution];, M[j] = First[M[R]/. solution];}]
Table[{\mu[j], M[j]}, {j, 0, 36}]
ListPlot[Table[{\mu[j], M[j]}, {j, 0, 36}], PlotRange }->{{-1.0,2.6}, {0, . 8}}
    Frame }->\mathrm{ True, FrameLabel }->\mathrm{ TraditionalForm @@ {"ln }\mu(0)", "M(R)"}
    BaseStyle }->\mathrm{ {FontFamily }->\mathrm{ "Times", FontSize }->16}\mathrm{ , PlotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Mass Limit at T=0, B=0",
```




```
ListPlot[Table[{p[j], M[j]}, {j, 0, 36}], PlotRange }->{{-10.5, 7.8},{0,.8}}
    Frame }->\mathrm{ True, FrameLabel }->\mathrm{ TraditionalForm /@ {"ln }\rho(0)", "M(R)"}
BaseStyle }->{\mathrm{ FontFamily }->\mathrm{ "Times", FontSize }->16}\mathrm{ , plotLabel }->\mathrm{ "Mass Limit at T=0, B=0",
```



```
Mathematica code for simulation of the mass limit of the star Part 2
```

2. Mathematica code for finding the relation between the mass, chemical potential and energy density and radius of the star.

Clear;
$G=1\left(* 6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right.$ and $G_{5}=G 1\left(e^{\frac{2 R}{1}}-1\right) \approx G 1$, the distance $R$ is the radion,
1 is a curvature radius of $\left.\mathrm{AdS}_{5} *\right)$; $\mathrm{c}=1$ (*299792458*) ; $\mathrm{h}=2 * \mathrm{Pi}\left(* 6.626068 * 10^{-34} *\right)$; $\mathrm{k}=1$
$\left(* 1.3806503 * 10^{-23} *\right) ; \mu \mathrm{B}=1$ ( $*$ for electron $9.27400915 * 10^{-24}$ and for neutron $-9.662364 * 10^{-24} *$ );
$\mathrm{V}_{3}=2 * \mathrm{Pi}^{2} ; \mathrm{l}=1 ; \mathrm{C}_{4}=\frac{16 * \mathrm{Pi} * \mathrm{G} * \mathrm{l}}{3 * \mathrm{~V}_{3}} ; \mathrm{m}=.1$
(*for electron $9.10938188 * 10^{-31}$ and for neutron $1.67492729 * 10^{-27} *$ );
$\rho 0=\frac{\left(\left(\frac{1.67492729 * 10^{-27}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)(299792458)^{2}\right)^{5}}{(299792458)^{4}\left(\frac{6.626068 * 10^{-34}}{2 \mathrm{Pi}}\right)^{4}}$;
$r 0=\left(\frac{(299792458)^{4}}{\left(6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right)(\rho 0)}\right)$
P[r_]:=
$\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}\left(3(\mu[r])^{5}-10 m^{2} c^{4}(\mu[r])^{3}+15 m^{4} c^{8} \mu[r]-8 m^{5} c^{10}-10 k^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu[r]+7 k^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu[r]+\right.$

$360 \mathbf{k}^{5} \mathbf{T}^{5}$ PolyLog $\left.\left[5,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mu[\tau]}{k T}}\right]-20 \mathrm{kT} \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{4}(\mu \mathrm{~B})^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{\mu(x)-\mathrm{m}^{2}}{k T}}\right]\right) ;$
$\rho\left[r_{-}\right]:=\frac{2}{15 c^{4} h^{4}} 2 \pi^{2}\left(3(\mu[r])^{5}-5 m^{2} c^{4}(\mu[r])^{3}+2 m^{5} c^{10}-5 k^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu[r]+7 k^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu[r]+\right.$ $10 k^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2}(\mu[r])^{3}+30 k^{2} T^{2} m^{3} c^{6} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[2,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(x)}{k T}}\right]-150 k^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[3,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(r]}{k T}}\right]+$ $\left.360 \mathrm{k}^{4} \mathrm{~T}^{4} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{C}^{2} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[4,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{mc}}{} \mathrm{c}^{2}-\mu(t)} \mathrm{kT}\right]-360 \mathrm{k}^{5} \mathrm{~T}^{5} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[5,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{m} c^{2}-\mu[x]}{k T}}\right]\right)+$


Mathematica code for simulation of the mass, chemical potential, pressure and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย }
\end{aligned}
$$

\$RecursionLimit = Infinity;
solution =
NDSolve [\{

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{r} M[r]=\frac{2}{3} * V_{3} * r^{3} * \rho[r], \\
& \partial_{r} \mu[r]=\mu[r]\left(\frac{\left(\frac{C_{4} M^{\prime}[r]}{2 * r^{2}}-\frac{C_{4} M[r]}{r^{3}}-\frac{r}{1^{2}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{C_{4} M[r]}{r^{2}}+\frac{r^{2}}{1^{2}}\right)}-\left(\frac{V_{3} * C_{4} * r *\left(1-\frac{C_{4} M[r]}{r^{2}}+\frac{r^{2}}{1^{2}}\right)^{-1}}{3}(\rho[r]+P[r])\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\mathbf{M}\left[10^{-12}\right]=0, \mu\left[10^{-12}\right]==\mathbf{E}^{1 .}\right\},\{\mathbf{M}, \mu\},\left\{\mathbf{r}, 10^{-12}, 1000\right\}, \text { MaxSteps } \rightarrow 1000000000\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{R0}=\operatorname{Last}\left[\text { Last }\left[\text { FindRoot }\left[\{\mu[r] / \text { solution }\}-\sqrt{\left(m c^{2}\right)^{2}+2 m c^{2} \mu \mathrm{BB}=}=0,\{r, 1 .\}\right]\right]\right]
$$

R1 = Last[Last[FindRoot[\{ $[r] /$. solution $\}=0,\{r, 1\}]$.$] ;$
$\mathbf{R 2}=\operatorname{Last}[$ Last $[F i n d R o o t[\{(\rho[x]+P[x]) /$ solution $\}=0,\{x, 1\}]$.$] ;$
$\mathbf{R}=$ Last[Last[FindRoot $[\{P[x] /$ solution $\}=0,\{x, 1\}]]$.$] ;$
Print[R0, " ", R1, "

First $\left[\log \left[\rho\left[10^{-12}\right]\right] /\right.$ solution $], " \ln , \operatorname{First}[M[R] /$ solution], " ", r0*R, " ",
$\frac{(\rho 0)(r 0)^{4}}{(299792458)^{2}}$ First[M[R] /. solution], $\left.\frac{\frac{(\rho 0)(r 0)^{4}}{(299792458)^{2}} \text { First[M[R]/.solution] }}{1.98892 * 10^{30}}\right]$
Plot [\{M[r]/. solution\}, $\{r, 0, R\}$, PlotRange $\rightarrow\{0,0.8\}$,
PlotLabel $\rightarrow$ "Accumulated Mass \& Radius at $T=0, B=0$ ",
Frame $\rightarrow$ True, FrameLabel $\rightarrow$ TraditionalForm/@ \{"r", "M(r)"\},
BaseStyle $\rightarrow$ \{FontFamily $\rightarrow$ "Times", FontSize $\rightarrow$ 16\}]
Plot [ $\{\mu[r] /$. solution $\}$, $\{r, 0, R\}, \operatorname{PlotRange~} \rightarrow\{0,3\}$
PlotLabel $\rightarrow$ "Chemical Potential \& Radius at $T=0, B=0 "$
Frame $\rightarrow$ True, FrameLabel $\rightarrow$ TraditionalForm/@ \{"r", " $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ "\},
BaseStyle $\rightarrow$ \{FontFamily $\rightarrow$ "Times", FontSize $\rightarrow$ 16\}]
Plot $[\{\rho[r] /$. solution $\},\{r, 0,2\},. \operatorname{PlotRange} \rightarrow\{0,1.8\}$,
PlotLabel $\rightarrow$ "Energy Density \& Radius at $T=0, \mathrm{~B}=0$ " $0 / \sim$
Frame $\rightarrow$ True, FrameLabel $\rightarrow$ TraditionalForm/@\{"r", " $\rho(x)$ " $\}$
BaseStyle $\rightarrow$ \{FontFamily $\rightarrow$ "Times", FontSize $\rightarrow$ 16\}]
Plot [\{P[r] /. solution\}, \{r, 0, 2.\}, PlotRange $\rightarrow$ \{0, 0.2\},
PlotLabel $\rightarrow$ "Pressure \& Radius at $T=0, B=0 "$, Frame $\rightarrow$ True,
FrameLabel $\rightarrow$ TraditionalForm $/ @\{" r ", " P(r) "\}$, BaseStyle $\rightarrow$ \{FontFamily $\rightarrow$ Mrimes", FontSize $\rightarrow 16\}]$
Mathematica code for simulation of the mass, chemical potential, pressure and
3. Mathematica code for comparing the mass, chemical potential, pressure and energy density distributions when some parameter values are changed.

```
\(\operatorname{For}\left[j=0, j \leq 2, j++,\left\{\right.\right.\) Clear;,\(G=1\left(* 6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right.\) and \(G_{5}=G 1\left(e^{\frac{2 R}{1}}-1\right) \approx G 1\),
    the distance \(R\) is the radion, 1 is a curvature radius of \(A d S_{5}\) *) ;
    \(\mathrm{c}=1(* 299792458 *) ; \mathrm{h}=2 * \mathrm{Pi}\left(* 6.626068 * 10^{-34} *\right) ;, \mathrm{k}=1\left(* 1.3806503 * 10^{-23} *\right)\); ,
    \(\mu \mathrm{B}=1\) (*for electron \(9.27400915 * 10^{-24}\) and for neutron \(-9.662364 * 10^{-24} *\) ); ,
    \(\mathrm{V}_{3}=2 * \mathrm{Pi}^{2} ;, \mathrm{l}=1 . ;, \mathrm{C}_{4}=\frac{16 * \mathrm{Pi} * \mathrm{G} * 1}{3 * \mathrm{~V}_{3}} ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\frac{1}{2}\); ,
    \(\mathrm{m}=.1\) (*for electron \(9.10938188 * 10^{-31}\) and for neutron \(1.67492729 * 10^{-27}\) *) ; ,
    \(\rho 0=\frac{\left(\left(\frac{1.67492729 * 10^{-27}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)(299792458)^{2}\right)^{5}}{(299792458)^{4}\left(\frac{6.626068 * 10^{-34}}{2 \mathrm{Pi}}\right)^{4}} ;\),
    \(r 0=\left(\frac{(299792458)^{4}}{\left(6.67428 * 10^{-11}\right)(\rho 0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} ;, T=10^{-6}+(0.001 \mathrm{j})\left(* 10^{8} *\right)\); ,
    \(B=0 .\left(* 0 .-(0.035 j)+0.045(j)^{2} *\right) i\),
    \(\mathbf{P}\left[r_{-}\right]:=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{30 c^{4} h^{4}}\left(3(\mu[r])^{5}-10 m^{2} c^{4}(\mu[r])^{3}+15 m^{4} c^{8} \mu[r]-8 m^{5} c^{10}-10 k^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu[r]+\right.\)
        \(7 k^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu[r]+10 k^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2}(\mu[r])^{3}-120 k^{3} T^{3} m^{2} C^{4} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[3,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[r]}{k T}}\right]+360 k^{4} T^{4} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c}^{2}\)
        PolyLog \(\left.\left[4,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{mc}^{2}-\mu[x]}{k T}}\right]-360 \mathrm{k}^{5} \mathrm{~T}^{5} \operatorname{Pol} y \log \left[5,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu(x)}{k T}}\right]-20 \mathrm{kT} \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}(\mu \mathrm{~B})^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{\mu[x]-\mathrm{mc}^{2}}{k T}}\right]\right)\);,
    \(\rho\left[r_{-}\right]:=\frac{2}{15 c^{4} h^{4}} 2 \pi^{2}\left(3(\mu[r])^{5}-5 m^{2} c^{4}(\mu[r])^{3}+2 m^{5} c^{10}-5 k^{2} T^{2} m^{2} c^{4} \pi^{2} \mu[r]+7 k^{4} T^{4} \pi^{4} \mu[r]+\right.\)
            \(10 k^{2} T^{2} \pi^{2}(\mu[r])^{3}+30 k^{2} T^{2} m^{3} c^{6} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[2,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[r]}{k T}}\right]-150 k^{3} T^{3} m^{2} c^{4} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[3,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[r]}{k T}}\right]+\)
            \(360 \mathrm{k}^{4} \mathrm{~T}^{4} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{C}^{2}\) POlyLog \(\left.\left[4,-e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[r]}{k T}}\right]-360 \mathrm{k}^{5} \mathrm{~T}^{5} \operatorname{PolyLog}\left[5,-e^{\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}-\mu[r]}{k T}}\right]\right)+\)
            \(\left.\frac{2 * 2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \pi^{2}(\mu \mathrm{~B})^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2}}{3 \mathrm{~h}^{4}}\left(\frac{m c^{2}}{\left(1+e^{\frac{\mu[x]] \mathrm{m}^{2}}{k \mathrm{~m}}}\right)}\right)-\mu[\mathrm{r}]-\mathrm{kT} \log \left[1+e^{\frac{m c^{2}-\mu[x]}{k T}}\right]+k T \log \left[1+e^{\frac{\mu[r]-\mathrm{m}^{2}}{k T}}\right]\right) ;\),
    \$RecursionLimit = Infinity; ,
    solution =
    NDSolve[\{
            \(\partial_{r} M[r]=\frac{2}{3} * V_{3} * r^{3} * \rho[r]\),
```




Mathematicalcode for simulation of comparing the mass, chemical potential,
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