

CHAPTER II

ALMOST MULTIPLICATIVELY CANCELLATIVE SEMINEAR-RINGS

In [2] the concept of almost multiplicatively cancellative semirings was given. By definition, a seminear-ring is a generalization of a semiring. In this chapter we generalize the concept of an almost multiplicatively cancellative semiring to a new concept, an almost multiplicatively cancellative seminear-ring.

Definition 2.1. A seminear-ring (S,+,*) is called an almost multiplicatively cancellative seminear-ring (A.M.C. seminear-ring) if there exists an element a ε S such that (S\{a},*) is a cancellative semigroup. If a ε S has the property that (S\{a},*) is a cancellative semigroup, then S is called an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a and a is called an essential element of S.

- Remark. (1) If S is an A.M.C. seminear-ring, then |S| > 1.
 - (2) Every seminear-field is an A.M.C. seminear-ring.

<u>Proposition 2.2.</u> Let S be an A.M.C. seminear-ring. Let $A = \{a \in S \mid (S \setminus \{a\}, \cdot) \text{ is a cancellative semigroup}\}$. If there exists an $a \in A$ such that a is not M.C. in S, then $|A| \le 2$.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that there exists an a ϵ A such that a is not M.C. in S.

<u>Case 1.</u> a is not L.M.C. in S. Then there exist x,y ϵ S such that ax = ay and x \neq y. To prove that $|A| \leq 2$, suppose not. Then there

exist b,c ϵ A-{a} such that b \neq c. Clearly, x,y ϵ S-{a} or x,y ϵ S-{b} or x,y ϵ S-{c}. If x,y ϵ S-{b} or x,y ϵ S-{c}, then x = y. This is a contradiction, so x,y ϵ S-{a}.

Subcase 1.1. a is a right multiplicative zero. Then aa = a = ba. Since a,b ϵ S $\{c\}$ and S $\{c\}$ is M.C., a = b. This is a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. a is not a right multiplicative zero. Then there exists a u ε S such that ua \neq a. Since (ua)x = (ua)y, x = y. This is a contradiction.

Case 2. a is not R.M.C. in S. Using the same proof as in Case 1, we can show that $|A| \leqslant 2$.

Proposition 2.3. Let S be an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If xa = ax = x for all $x \in S$, then either $(S \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$ has an identity or S is M.C..

Proof. We shall consider two cases.

Case 1 . There exists an e ε S\{a} such that $e^2 = e$. Let $x \varepsilon$ S\{a}. Then $xe^2 = xe$ and $e^2x = ex$. Therefore xe = ex = x. Hence e is an identity of (S\{a},.).

Case 2. For all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$, $x^2 \neq x$. Let $x,y,z \in S$ be such that xy = xz. If x = a, then ay = az. Therefore y = z. Assume that $x \neq a$. If $y \neq a$ and $z \neq a$, then y = z so done. Without loss of generality, assume that y = a and $z \neq a$. Then xa = xz, so x = xz. Therefore $xz = xz^2$ and hence $z = z^2$, a contradiction. Thus S is L.M.C.. Similarly, we can show that S is R.M.C..

Theorem 2.4. Let S be an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then exactly one of the following statements hold:

- (1) xa = ax = a for all x ε S.
- (2) $a^2 = a$ and there exists a b ϵ SN(a) such that ab \neq a or ba \neq a.
- (3) $a^2 \neq a$ and there exists a b ϵ S\{a\} such that ab = a.
- (4) $ax \neq a$, $ax \neq x$ and $xa \neq x$ for all $x \in S$.
- (5) $ax \neq a$ for all $x \in S$ and $a^2 = a^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. Consider a2.

Case 1. $a^2 = a$.

Subcase 1.1. a is a multiplicative zero. Then xa = ax = a for all $x \in S$.

Subcase 1.2. a is not a multiplicative zero. There exists a b ϵ S such that ab \neq a or ba \neq a. Clearly, b \neq a.

Case 2. $a^2 \neq a$.

Subcase 2.1. There exists a b ϵ S\{a} such that ab = a.

Subcase 2.2. For all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$, $ax \neq a$. Then $ax \neq a$ for all $x \in S$.

Subcase 2.2.1. For all $x \in S$, $xa \neq x$ and $ax \neq x$.

Subcase 2.2.2. There exists an $x_0 \in S$ such that $x_0 = x_0$ or $ax_0 = x_0$. Assume that $x_0 = x_0$. Then $x_0 \neq a$, $a^3 = aa^2 \neq a$ and $x_0a^3 = x_0a^2$. Therefore $a^3 = a^2$. By induction, $a^2 = a^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$.

Similarly, we can show that if $ax_0 = x_0$, then $a^2 = a^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\{1\}$.

From Theorem 2.4 we see that if S is an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then there are exactly five mutually exclusive possibilities for the essential element a of S:

- In (1) we say that S is a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. a.
- In (2) we say that S is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a.
- In (3) we say that S is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a.
- In (4) we say that S is a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a.
- In (5) we say that S is a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

If S is a Classification A, B, C, D or E seminear-ring w.r.t. some element of S, then we call S a Classification A, B, C, D or E seminear-ring, respectively.

Note that S may contain essential elements of different classifications, so S may be of several classifications.

- Remark. (1) If S is a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then SxS is never a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. (a,a) since for $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$ we have that (a,x), $(x,a) \in (SxS) \setminus \{(a,a)\}$ but (a,x)(x,a) = (a,x) = (a,a).
- (2) If S is a Classification A or E seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then a is not M.C..
- (3) If S is a Classification C, D or E seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then a is cancellative in (S\{a},.).
- (4) If S is a Classification D seminear-ring, then |S| > 2. Proposition 2.5. Let S be a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

If S is also a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. b, then a = b.

Proof. Since ax = a = xa and bx = b = xb for all $x \in S$, a = ab = b.

Theorem 2.6. Let S be a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then:

- (1) a+a = a.
- (2) S is 0-M.C..
- (3) For all $x, y \in S$, xy = a if and only if x = a or y = a.

Proof. (1) a = (a+a)a = aa+aa = a+a.

(2) Let $x,y,z \in S$ be such that xy = xz and $x \neq a$.

Case 1. $y \neq a$ and $z \neq a$. We get that y = z.

Case 2. y = a. If $z \neq a$, then $a \neq xz = xy = xa = a$, a contradiction. Hence z = a = y.

Case 3. z = a. The proof is similar to the proof of Case 2. Similarly, we can show that yx = zx and $x \neq a$ imply that y = z. Thus S is 0-M.C..

(3) The proof of (3) is obvious.

<u>Proposition 2.7.</u> Let S be a Classification A seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that (S, \cdot) satisfies the right [left] Ore condition. Then either a is an additive identity or a is an additive zero or (S, +) is a right zero semigroup or (S, +) is a left zero semigroup.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.40 and Theorem 2.6(2).

<u>Proposition 2.8.</u> Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be an A.M.C. seminear-ring. If (S,\cdot) is a right or a left zero semigroup, then $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a Classification B seminear-ring and |S|=2.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that $(S,+,\cdot)$ is an A,M.C, seminear-ring w.r,t. a such that (S,\cdot) is a right zero semigroup. Then $a^2 = a$. Let $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then $ab = b \neq a$. Thus $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. To prove that |S| = 2, suppose not. Then there exists a $c \in S \setminus \{a,b\}$. Therefore bb = b = cb which implies that b = c, a contradiction. Hence |S| = 2.

Similarly, we can show that if $(S,+,\cdot)$ is an A.M.C. seminear-ring such that (S,\cdot) is a left zero semigroup, then $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a Classification B seminear-ring and |S|=2.

Theorem 2.9. Let S = {a,b} be a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If ab = ba = b, then S must be isomorphic to a seminear-field with a category I special element of order 2. Otherwise, S must be isomorphic to a seminear-field with a category II special element of order 2 or a seminear-field with a category III or IV special element.

Proof. S must have one of the structures given below :

Let K = {a',e'} be a seminear-field with a' as a category IV special element. Then K must have one of the structures given below:

Define $F: S \to K$ by $F(a) = a^t$ and $F(b) = e^t$. Then we can show that (1) \cong (i), (2) \cong (ii), (3) \cong (iii) and (4) \cong (iv).

Let $K = \{a',e'\}$ be a seminear-field with a' as a category III special element. Then K must have one of the structures given below :

ø.

Define $F: S \to K$ by F(a) = a' and F(b) = e'. Then we can show that $(5) \cong (v)$, $(6) \cong (vi)$, ..., $(12) \cong (xii)$.

Let K = {a',e'} be a seminear-field with a' as a category I special element. Then K must have one of the structures given below :

Define $F: S \rightarrow K$ by F(a) = e' and F(b) = a'. Then we can show that (13) \cong (c), (14) \cong (d), ..., (18) \cong (h).

<u>Proposition 2.10.</u> Let S be a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If a is M.C. in S, then ax \neq a and xa \neq a for all x ϵ S\{a\}.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a b ϵ S-{a} such that ab = a or ba = a. Then ab = aa or ba = aa. Therefore a is not M.C. in S. Hence we have the proposition.

Let S be a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then there is a b ϵ S $\{a\}$ such that ab \neq a or ba \neq a. We shall now show that b may not be unique.

Example 2.11. \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} with the usual addition and multiplication is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. 1 but 2,3 \in \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} $\{1\}$ are such that $2.1 = 2 \neq 1$ and $3.1 = 3 \neq 1$.

<u>Proposition 2.12</u>. Let S be a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If there exists a b ϵ S $\{a\}$ such that ba = a and xa = x for all x ϵ S $\{b\}$, then the following statements hold:

- (i) S\{b} is a seminear-ring.
- (ii) For all $x \in S \setminus \{b\}$, b+x = b or b+x = a+x.
- (iii) If S is A.C., then |S| = 2.

Proof. (i) Let $x,y \in S \setminus \{b\}$. If x+y=b, then b=x+y=xa+ya=(x+y)a=ba=a. This is a contradiction, so $x+y \neq b$. Similarly, we can show that $xy \neq b$. Hence $S \setminus \{b\}$ is a seminear-ring.

- (ii) Let $x \in S \setminus \{b\}$. If b+x = b, then done. Assume that $b+x \neq b$. Then b+x = (b+x)a = ba+xa = a+x.
- (iii) Assume that S is A.C.. To prove that |S| = 2, suppose not. Let $x \in S \setminus \{a,b\}$. By (ii), b+x = a+x or b+x = b.

Case 1. b+x = a+x. Then x is not A.C., a contradiction.

Case 2. b+x = b. By (ii), b+a = b or b+a = a+a.

Subcase 2.1. b+a = a+a. Then a is not A.C., a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2. b+a=b. Then b+a=b=b+x. Hence b is not A.C., a contradiction.

The converse of (iii) in Proposition 2.12 is not always true as the next example shows.

Example 2.13. Let S = {a,b}. Define • and + on S as follow :

By Proposition 2.8, S is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a. S is not A.C. because a+a=a+b but $a\neq b$.

<u>Proposition 2.14.</u> Let S be a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S\{a} be such that ab = a. If there exists an element c ϵ S such that ac = a, then b = c.

Proof. Clearly, $c \neq a$. Since ab = a = ac, $a^2b = a^2c$. Since $a^2 \neq a$ and $b \neq a$ and $c \neq a$, b = c.

Corollary 2.15. Let S be a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S {a} be such that ab = a. Then ax \neq a for all x ϵ S {b}.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition 2.14.

Proposition 2.16. Let S be a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S\{a} be such that ab = a. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) $b^2 = b$.
- (ii) bx = x for all x ∈ S (a).
- (iii) xb = x for all x ε S.

Proof. (i) Since ab = a, $a^2b^2 = a^2b$. Since $a^2 \neq a$ and $b \neq a$, $b^2 = b$.

- (ii) Let $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then $b^2x = bx$. Since $b \neq a$ and $bx \neq a$, bx = x.
- (iii) Using a proof similar to the proof of (ii), we have that xb = x for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Since ab = a, xb = x for all $x \in S$.

Proposition 2.17. Let S be a finite Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S $\{a\}$ be such that ab = a. Then (S $\{a\}$, \cdot) is a group and b is the identity of (S $\{a\}$, \cdot).

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.6 and Proposition 2.16(i).

Proposition 2.18. Let S be a finite Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S\{a\} be such that ab = a. If b is either an additive zero or an additive identity of S\{a\}, then |S| = 2.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.17.

<u>Proposition 2.19</u>. A Classification C seminear-ring of order 2 must be isomorphic to a seminear-field with a category V special element.

Proof. Let S = {a,b} be a Classification C seminear-ring
w.r.t. a. Then S must have one of the structures given below:

Let K = {a*,e*} be a seminear-field with a' as a category V special element. Then K must have one of the structures given below :

Define $f: S \to K$ by f(a) = a' and f(b) = e'. Then we can show that (1) \cong (i) and (2) \cong (ii).

Proposition 2.20. Let S be a Classification C . seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ε SN(a) be such that ab = a. If b+b \neq a, then for all x,y ε SN(a), x+x = y+y if and only if x = y.

Proof. Let x,y ε S\{a\} be such that x+x = y+y. Then (b+b)x = bx+bx = x+x = y+y = by+by = (b+b)y. Since b+b \neq a and x \neq a and y \neq a, x = y.

The converse is obvious.

Remark. If S is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then a may not be L.M.C. in S. First, we shall give an example where a is L.M.C. in S.

Example 2.21. Z^{\dagger} with the usual addition and multiplication is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. 2 and 2 is L.M.C. in Z^{\dagger} .

We shall now give an example of a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C..

Example 2.22. \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} with the usual addition and multiplication is a seminear-ring. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} . Extend + and • from \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} to \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} U {a} by defining

 $a+x=2+x \text{ and } x+a=x+2 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \cup \{a\},$ $1a=a1=a, \text{ ax}=2x \text{ and } xa=x2 \text{ for all } x \in (\mathbf{Z}^+ \cup \{a\}) \setminus \{1\}.$ We shall show that $\mathbf{Z}^+ \cup \{a\}$ is a Classification C

seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let x,y,z & Z+U {a}.

To show that (x+y)+z = x+(y+z), we shall consider the following cases:

- Case 1. x = y = z = a. (x+y)+z = (a+a)+a = 4+a = 4+2 = 2+4 = a+4 = a+(a+a) = x+(y+z).
- Case 2. x = y = a, $z \neq a$. (x+y)+z = (a+a)+z = (2+2)+z = 2+(2+z) = a+(2+z) = a+(a+z) = x+(y+z).
- Case 3. x = z = a, $y \neq a$. (x+y)+z = (a+y)+a = (2+y)+a = (2+y)+2 = 2+(y+2) = a+(y+2) = a+(y+a) = x+(y+a).
- Case 4. $x = a, y \neq a, z \neq a$. (x+y)+z = (a+y)+z = (2+y)+z = 2+(y+z) = a+(y+z) = x+(y+z).
- Case 5. $x \neq a$, y = z = a. (x+y)+z = (x+a)+a = (x+2)+2 = x+(2+2) = x+(a+a) = x+(y+z).
- Case 6. $x \neq a$, y = a, $z \neq a$. (x+y)+z = (x+a)+z = (x+2)+z = x+(2+z) = x+(a+z) = x+(y+z).
- Case 7. $x \neq a, y \neq a, z = a$. (x+y)+z = (x+y)+a = (x+y)+2 = x+(y+2) = x+(y+a) = x+(y+z).
- Case 8. $x \neq a$, $y \neq a$, $z \neq a$. This case is clear.

To show that (xy)z = x(yz), we shall consider the following cases :

Case 1. x = y = z = a.

(xy)z = (aa)a = 4a = 8 = a(4) = a(aa) = x(yz).

Case 2. $x = y = a, z \neq a$.

Subcase 2.1. $z \neq 1$. (xy)z = (aa)z = 4z = 2(2z) = a(2z) = a(az) = x(yz).

Subcase 2.2. z = 1. (xy)z = (xy)1 = (aa)1 = aa = a(a1) = x(yz).

Case 3. $x = z = a, y \neq a$.

Subcase 3.1. $y \neq 1$. (xy)z = (ay)a = (2y)a = (2y)2 = 2(y2) = a(y2) = a(ya) = x(yz).

Subcase 3.2. y = 1. (xy)z = (a1)a = aa = a(1a) = x(yz).

Case 4. $x = a, y \neq a, z \neq a$.

Subcase 4.1. $y \ne 1$, $z \ne 1$. (xy)z = (ay)z = (2y)z = 2(yz) = a(yz) = x(yz).

Subcase 4.2. $y \neq 1$, z = 1. (xy)z = (ay)1 = (2y)1 = 2(y1) = a(y1) = x(yz).

Subcase 4.3. y = 1, $z \neq 1$. (xy)z = (a1)z = az = a(1z) = x(yz).

Subcase 4.4. y = z = 1. (xy)z = (a1)1 = a1 = a(yz) = x(yz).

Case 5. $x \neq a$, y = z = a.

Subcase 5.1. $x \ne 1$. (xy)z = (xa)a = (x2)a = (x2)2 = x4 = x(aa) = x(yz).

Subcase 5.2. x = 1. (xy)z = (1a)a = aa = 4 = 1(4) = 1(aa) = x(yz).

Case 6. $x \neq a$, y = a, $z \neq a$.

Subcase 6.1. $x \neq 1$, $z \neq 1$. (xy)z = (xa)z = (x2)z = x(2z) = x(az) = x(yz).

Subcase 6.2. $x \neq 1$, z = 1. (xy)z = (xa)1 = (x2)1 = x2 = xa = x(a1) = x(yz).

Subcase 6.3. x = 1, $z \neq 1$. (xy)z = (1a)z = az = 2z = 1(2z) = 1(az) = x(yz).

Subcase 6.4. x = z = 1. (xy)z = (1a)1 = a1 = a = 1a = 1(a1) = x(yz).

Case 7. $x \neq a, y \neq a, z = a$.

Subcase 7.1. $x \neq 1$, $y \neq 1$. (xy)z = (xy)a = (xy)2 = x(ya) = x(yz).

Subcase 7.2. $x \neq 1$, y = 1. (xy)z = (x1)a = x2 = xa = x(1a) = x(yz).

Subcase 7.3. x = 1, $y \neq 1$. (xy)z = (1y)a = ya = y2 = 1(y2) = 1(ya) = x(yz).

Subcase 7.4. x = y = 1. (xy)z = 1a = 1(1a) = x(yz).

Case 8. $x \neq a$, $y \neq a$, $z \neq a$. This case is clear.

Lastly, we shall show that (x+y)z = xz+yz. Consider the following cases:

Case 1. x = y = z = a.

(x+y)z = (a+a)a = 4a = 8 = 4+4 = 2a+2a = aa+aa = xz+yz.

Case 2. $x = y = a, z \neq a$.

Subcase 2.1. $z \neq 1$. (x+y)z = (a+a)z = 4z = 2z+2z = az+az = xz+yz.

Subcase 2.2. z = 1. (x+y)z = (a+a)1 = (4)1 = 4 = a+a = a+a1 = xz+yz.

Case 3. x = z = a, $y \neq a$.

Subcase 3.1. $y \ne 1$. (x+y)z = (a+y)a = (2+y)a = (2+y)2 = 4+y2 = aa+ya = xz+yz.

Subcase 3.2. y = 1. (x+y)z = (a+1)a = (2+1)a = (2+1)2 = 4+2 = 4+a = aa+1a = xz+yz.

Case 4. $x = a, y \neq a, z \neq a$.

Subcase 4.1. $y \neq 1$, $z \neq 1$. (x+y)z = (a+y)z = (2+y)z = 2z+yz = az+yz = xz+yz.

Subcase 4.2. $y \ne 1$, z = 1. (x+y)z = (a+y)1 = (2+y)1 = 2+y = a+y = a1+y1 = xz+yz.

Subcase 4.3. y = 1, $z \neq 1$. (x+y)z = (a+1)z = (2+1)z = 2z+z = az+1z = xz+yz.

Subcase 4.4. y = z = 1. (x+y)z = (a+1)1 = (2+1)1 = 3 = 2+1 = a+1 = a1+yz = xz+yz.

Case 5. $x \neq a, y = z = a$.

Subcase 5.1. $x \ne 1$. (x+y)z = (x+a)a = (x+2)a = (x+2)2 = x2+4 = xa+aa = xz+yz.

Subcase 5.2. x = 1. (x+y)z = (1+a)a = (1+2)a = (1+2)2 = 2+4 = a+4 = 1a+aa = xz+yz.

Case 6. $x \neq a$, y = a, $z \neq a$.

Subcase 6.1. $z \neq 1$. (x+y)z = (x+a)z = (x+2)z = xz+2z = xz+az = xz+yz.

Subcase 6.2. z = 1. (x+y)z = (x+a)1 = (x+2)1 = x1+2 = x1+a1 = xz+yz.

Case 7. $x \neq a, y \neq a, z = a$. (x+y)z = (x+y)a = (x+y)2 = x2+y2 = xa+ya = xz+yz.

Case 8. $x \neq a$, $y \neq a$, $z \neq a$. This case is clear.

Thus \mathbf{Z}^+ U {a} is a seminear-ring. Since \mathbf{Z}^+ is a cancellative semigroup and 1 \in \mathbf{Z}^+ is such that a1 = a and a² = 4 \neq a, \mathbf{Z}^+ U {a} is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Furthermore, a is not L.M.C. in S because aa = a2 but a \neq 2.

Proposition 2.23. Let S be a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is L.M.C. in S. Let b ϵ S $\{a\}$ be such that ab = a. Then ba = a and b is L.M.C. in S. Furthermore, if a is R.M.C. in S, then b is R.M.C. in S.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that a is L.M.C. in S. To show that ba = a, suppose not. Since a(ba) = (ab)a = aa, a is not L.M.C. in S. This is a contradiction, so ba = a. Let x,y ϵ S be such that bx = by. Then (ab)x = (ab)y which implies that ax = ay. Hence x = y.

Furthermore, assume that a is R.M.C. in S. Let $x,y \in S$ be such that xb = yb. Then xa = x(ba) = (xb)a = (yb)a = y(ba) = ya. Hence x = y. Thus b is R.M.C. in S.

Theorem 2.24. Let S be a finite Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let b ϵ S $\{a\}$ be such that ab = a. Then for any c ϵ D = S $\{a\}$, the following statements hold:

- (i) $LI_D(c) = LI_D(b)$. c.
- (ii) $RI_D(c) = RI_D(b)$. c.
- (iii) $\text{LI}_{D}(b) \cdot \text{LI}_{D}(c) \subseteq \text{LI}_{D}(c)$.
- (iv) $RI_D(b) \cdot RI_D(c) \subseteq RI_D(c)$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.17, b is the identity of (D, .).

(i) Let $x \in LI_D(b)$. c. Then x = yc for some $y \in LI_D(b)$, so y+b = b. Therefore x+c = yc+bc = (y+b)c = bc = c. Thus $x \in LI_D(c)$ and hence $LI_D(b) \cdot c \subseteq LI_D(c)$. On the other hand, let $x \in LI_D(c)$. Then x+c = c, so $b = cc^{-1} = xc^{-1}+b$. Therefore $xc^{-1} \in LI_D(b)$ and hence $x = xc^{-1} \cdot c \in LI_D(b)$. c. Thus $LI_D(c) \subseteq LI_D(b)$. c.

The proof of (ii) is similar to the proof of (i).

(iii) Let $x \in LI_D(b)$ • $LI_D(c)$. Then x = yz for some $y \in LI_D(b) \text{ and some } z \in LI_D(c), \text{ so } y+b = b \text{ and } z+c = c. \text{ Therefore } z+c = yz+c = yz+(z+c) = (yz+z)+c = (yz+bz)+c = (y+b)z+c = z+c = c.$ Thus $x \in LI_D(c)$ and hence $LI_D(b)$ • $LI_D(c) \subseteq LI_D(c)$.

The proof of (iv) is similar to the proof of (iii).

#

Theorem 2.25. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then a is not L.M.C. in S if and only if there exists a unique $d \in S \setminus \{a\}$ such that ax = dx for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that a is not L.M.C. in S. Then there exist $d,y \in S$ such that $d \neq y$ and ay = ad, so $a^2y = a^2d$. If $y \neq a$ and $d \neq a$, then y = d, a contradiction. Therefore y = a or d = a. Without loss of generality, assume that y = a. Then $d \neq a$ and $a^2 = ad$. Let $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then $a^2(ax) = a^2(dx)$ which implies that ax = dx. To prove uniqueness, assume that there exists $ad \in S \setminus \{a\}$ such that $ax = d \in A$ for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then $dd = ad = d \in A$ so $d = d \in A$.

Conversely, assume that there exists a unique d ε S\{a} such that ax = dx for all x ε S\{a}. Then (a²)d = a(ad) = a(dd) = (ad)d. Since a² \neq a and ad \neq a and d \neq a, a² = ad. Hence a is not L.M.C..

Proposition 2.26. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let d ε S\{a} be such that ax = dx for all x ε S\{a}. If xa \neq a for all x ε S\{a}, then xa = xd for all x ε S\{a} and ad = da.

Proof. Let $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then ax = dx, so (xa)x = (xd)x. Since $xa \neq a$ and $xd \neq a$ and $x \neq a$, xa = xd. Moreover, ad = dd = da.

Theorem 2.27. Let S be an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that $a^2 \neq a$. Then S is a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a if and only if ax = x for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that S is a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Let $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Then $a^2x = a^2(ax)$. Since $ax \neq a$ and $x \neq a$ and $a^2 \neq a$, ax = x.

Conversely, assume that ax = x for all x ε S\{a\}. Let $x_0 \varepsilon$ S\{a\}. Then $ax_0 = x_0 \neq a$. Since $a^2 \neq a$, $ax \neq a$ for all $x \varepsilon$ S. Since $a^2x_0 = a^3x_0$, $a^2 = a^3$. By induction, $a^2 = a^n$ for all $n \varepsilon$ Z⁺\{1\}. Thus S is a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

Proposition 2.28. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then $xa \neq a$ for all $x \in S$.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose that there exists an $x \in S$ such that xa = a. Clearly, $x \ne a$. Since $xa^2 = a^2 = a^2a^2$, $x = a^2$. Thus $a = xa = a^2a = a^3$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.29. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then xa = x for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. By Proposition 2.28, $xa \neq a$. Since $(xa)a^2 = xa^3 = xa^2$ and $xa \neq a$ and $a^2 \neq a$, xa = x.

<u>Proposition 2.30</u>. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

If S is also a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. b, then a = b.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose that $a \neq b$. Then $a \in S \setminus \{b\}$ and $b \in S \setminus \{a\}$. By Theorem 2.27, ab = b and ba = a. Therefore a = ba = (ab)a = a(ba) = aa, a contradiction.

#

We shall now show that if S is a Classification B, C or D seminear-ring w.r.t. a, then a may not be unique. First, we shall give some examples where a is unique.

Example 2.31. \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} with the usual addition and multiplication is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. 1 and 1 is the unique essential element of \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} .

Example 2.32. Let S = {a,b}. Define and + on S as follows :

Then (S,+,*) is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. a and a is the unique essential element of S.

Example 2.33. Let S = {a,b,c}. Define • and + as follows :

•	a	ь	С	and	+	a	Ъ	С
a	С	С	b		a	a	a	a
Ъ	С	С	Ъ		b	ь	b	b
С	Ъ	ь	С		c	С	С	с.

Then S is a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a and a is the unique essential element of S.

We shall now give some examples of Classification B, C and D seminear-rings w.r.t. a such that a is not unique.

Example 2.34. Let $S = \{a,b\}$. Define + and • on S by $x+y = x = x \cdot y$ for all $x,y \in S$. Then S is a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. a and a Classification B seminear-ring w.r.t. b.

Example 2.35. Z⁺ with the usual addition and multiplication is a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. 2 and a Classification C seminear-ring w.r.t. 3.

Example 2.36. 2+{1} with the usual addition and multiplication is a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. 2 and a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. 3.

Proposition 2.37. Let S be a Classification D or E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If S is finite, then S {a} is a seminear-ring.

<u>Proof.</u> It suffices to show that $x+y \neq a$ for all $x,y \in S \setminus \{a\}$. Let e be the identity of the group $(S \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$. Let $x,y \in S \setminus \{a\}$. If x+y = a, then a = x+y = xe+ye = (x+y)e = ae, a contradiction. Thus $x+y \neq a$.

The converse of this proposition is not always true as the next examples show.

Example 2.38. Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \mid x > 1\}$. Define + on S by x+y = minimum of x,y for all x,y ϵ S. Then $(S,+,\cdot)$ is a seminear-ring where \cdot is the usual multiplication. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of S. Extend + and \cdot from S to S U {a} by defining

ax = 2x , xa = x2, a+x = 2+x and x+a = x+2

for all $x \in S \cup \{a\}$. Claim that $S \cup \{a\}$ is a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a. The proof that $S \cup \{a\}$ is a seminear-ring is the same as the proof used in the proof of Example 2.22. Clearly, (S, \cdot) is a cancellative semigroup, $ax \neq a$, $ax \neq x$ and $xa \neq x$ for all $x \in S \cup \{a\}$. Therefore $S \cup \{a\}$ is an infinite Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

Example 2.39. $(\mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\cdot})$ is a seminear-ring where $x\boldsymbol{\theta}y = x$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger}$ and $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ is the usual multiplication. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} . Extend $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ on \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} to \mathbf{Z}^{\dagger} \boldsymbol{U} {a} by defining

$$a^2 = 1$$
 , $ax = x = xa$ for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $a\theta x = a$ and $x\theta a = x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \cup \{a\}$.

We shall show that Z+ U {a} is a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

Let $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{a\}$. Then $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus z = x = x \oplus y = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$ and $(x \oplus y)z = xz = xz \oplus yz$.

To show that (xy)z = x(yz), we shall consider several cases:

- Case 1. x = y = z = a. (xy)z = (aa)a = 1a = 1 = a1 = a(aa) = x(yz).
- Case 2. x = y = a, $z \neq a$. (xy)z = (aa)z = 1z = z = az = a(az) = x(yz).
- Case 3. x = a = z, $y \neq a$. (xy)z = (ay)a = ya = y = ay = a(ya) = x(yz).
- Case 4. $x = a, y \neq a, z \neq a$. (xy)z = (ay)z = yz = a(yz) = x(yz).
- Case 5. $x \ne a, y = z = a$. (xy)z = (xa)a = xa = x = x1 = x(aa) = x(yz).
- Case 6. $x \neq a, y = a, z \neq a$. (xy)z = (xa)z = xz = x(az) = x(yz).

Case 7. $x \neq a, y \neq a, z = a$. (xy)z = (xy)a = xy = x(ya) = x(yz).

Case 8. $x \neq a$, $y \neq a$, $z \neq a$. This case is clear.

Thus \mathbf{Z}^+ U {a} is a seminear-ring. Clearly, (\mathbf{Z}^+, \cdot) is a cancellative semigroup, $\mathbf{a}^2 = 1 \neq \mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{x} = \times \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{a}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in S \setminus \{\mathbf{a}\}$ and $\mathbf{a}^2 = \mathbf{a}^n = 1$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \setminus \{1\}$. Thus \mathbf{Z}^+ U {a} is an infinite Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

Proposition 2.40. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a.

If a is L.M.C. in S, then S is infinite.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume that a is L.M.C. in S. To prove that S is infinite, suppose not, say $S = \{a, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists a $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ such that $ax_i = x_j$.

Case 1. There exist distinct i, j ε {1,2,...,n} such that $ax_i = ax_j$. Then a is not L.M.C., a contradiction.

Case 2. For all distinct i,j ε {1,2,...,n}, $ax_i \neq ax_j$. Then $\{ax_1,ax_2,...,ax_n\} = \{x_1,x_2,...,x_n\}$. Since $a^2 \neq a$, there exists a $k \in \{1,2,...,n\}$ such that $a^2 = ax_k$. Hence a is not L.M.C., a contradiction. Thus S is infinite. #

The converse of this proposition is not always true as Example 2.38 showed.

Proposition 2.41. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let d ϵ S $\{a\}$ be such that ax = dx

for all $x \in S\setminus \{a\}$. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) For all $x \in S$, a+x = a or a+x = d or a+x = d+x.
- (2) For all $x \in S$, x+a = a or x+a = d or x+a = x+d.
- (3) a+a = a or a+a = d or a+a = d+d.

Proof. (1) Let $x \in S$. Assume that $a+x \neq a$. Then (a+x)d = ad+xd = dd+xd = (d+x)d.

Case 1. $d+x \neq a$. Then a+x = d+x, so done.

Case 2. d+x = a. Then (a+x)d = (d+x)d = ad = dd, so a+x = d.

The proof of (2) is similar to the proof of (1).

(3) Suppose that a+a \neq a. Then (a+a)d = ad+ad = dd+dd = (d+d)d.

Case 1. d+d \neq a. Then a+a = d+d, so done.

Case 2. d+d = a. Then (a+a)d = (d+d)d = ad = dd, so a+a = d.

<u>Proposition 2.42</u>. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) For all $x \in S$, a+x = a or $a+x = a^2$ or $a+x = a^2+x$.
- (2) For all $x \in S$, x+a = a or $x+a = a^2$ or $x+a = x+a^2$.
- (3) $a^2+a^2=a^2$ or $a^2+a^2=a+a$.

<u>Proof.</u> The proofs of (1) and (2) are similar to the one used in Proposition 2.41 (substitute a² for d).

To show (3), suppose that $a^2+a^2\neq a^2$. Then $a+a\neq a$. Since $(a+a)a^2=(a^2+a^2)a^2$, $a+a=a^2+a^2$.

<u>Proposition 2.43</u>. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let $d \in S \setminus \{a\}$ be such that ax = dx for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. If $x+y \neq a$ for all $x,y \in S$, then we get that:

- (1) a+x = d+x and x+a = x+d for all $x \in S$.
- (2) a+a = d+d.

<u>Proof.</u> (1) Let $x \in S$. Then (a+x)d = ad+xd = dd+xd = (d+x)d. Since $a+x \neq a$ and $d+x \neq a$, a+x = d+x. Similarly, we can show that x+a = x+d.

(2) Since (a+a)d = ad+ad = (d+d)d, a+a = d+d.

<u>Proposition 2.44.</u> Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If $x+y \neq a$ for all $x,y \in S$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) $a+x = a^2+x$ and $x+a = x+a^2$ for all $x \in S$.
- (2) $a+a = a^2+a^2$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof
of Proposition 2.43 (substitute a² for d).

Proposition 2.45. Let S be a Classification D or E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then $xy \neq a$ for all $x \in S$ and all $y \in S \setminus \{a\}$.

Proof. The proof is obvious.

Proposition 2.46. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then $xy \neq a$ for all $x,y \in S$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.28 and Proposition 2.45.

Theorem 2.47. There does not exist a Classification D seminear-ring which is also a seminear-field with a category I,II,III,IV or V special element.

<u>Proof.</u> Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then ax \neq a, ax \neq x and xa \neq x for all x \in S and |S| > 2. Suppose that S is a seminear-field with a category I,II,III,IV or V special element.

Case 1. S is a seminear-field with b as a category I special element. Then xb = b for all $x \in S$. Hence ab = b, a contradiction.

Case 2. S is a seminear-field with b as a category II special element. Then xb = x for all $x \in S$. Hence ab = a, a contradiction.

Case 3. S is a seminear-field with a category III, IV or V special element. Then |S| = 2, a contradiction.

Now we shall give an example of a Classification D seminear-ring which is also a seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Example 2.48. Let S = {a,b,c}. Define • and + on S as follows :

•	a	b	С	and	+	a	Ъ	С	
a	Ъ	С	b		a	a	, b	С	
b	С	Ъ	С				b		
С	ь	С	Ъ		С	a	b	С	

Then S is a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a which is a seminear-field with a as a category VI special element.

<u>Proposition 2.49</u>. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let d ε S {a} be such that ax = dx for all x ε S {a}. If xa \neq a for all x ε S {a}, then S {d} is M.C..

<u>Proof.</u> By Proposition 2.26, xa = xd for all $x \in S\setminus\{a\}$. Let $x,y,z \in S\setminus\{d\}$ be such that xy = xz.

Case 1. x = a. Then ay = az.

Subcase 1.1. y = a. If $z \neq a$, then dd = ad = aa = az = dz. Thus d = z, a contradiction. Hence z = a = y.

Subcase 1.2. z = a. Using a proof similar to the proof of Subcase 1.1, we get that y = a.

Subcase 1.3. $y \neq a$ and $z \neq a$. Then dy = ay = az = dz, so y = z.

Case 2. $x \neq a$.

Subcase 2.1. y = a. If $z \neq a$, then xz = xa = xd. Thus z = d, a contradiction. Hence z = a.

Subcase 2.2. z = a. Using a proof similar to the proof of Subcase 2.1, we get that y = a.

Subcase 2.3. y,z & S\{a,d}. Since S\{a} is M.C., we are done.

Hence S\{d} is L.M.C.. Similarly, we can show that S\{d} is

R.M.C..

#

<u>Proposition 2.50</u>. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then $S \setminus \{a^2\}$ is M.C..

<u>Proof.</u> The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.49 (substitute a² for d).

<u>Proposition 2.51</u>. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let $d \in S \setminus \{a\}$ be such that ax = dx for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$. If $xa \neq a$ for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$ and $u+v \neq d$ for all $u,v \in S$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) a+x = d+x and x+a = x+d for all $x \in S$.
- (2) a+a = d+d.

<u>Proof.</u> By Proposition 2.26, xa = xd for all $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$ and ad = da. By Proposition 2.49, $S \setminus \{d\}$ is M.C..

- (1) Let $x \in S$. Then (a+x)a = aa+xa = da+xa = (d+x)a. Since $a+x \neq d$ and $d+x \neq d$ and $a \neq d$, a+x = d+x. Similarly, we can show that x+a = x+d.
- (2) Since (a+a)a = aa+aa = da+da = (d+d)a and a+a ≠ d and d+d ≠ d and a ≠ d, a+a = d+d.

<u>Proposition 2.52</u>. Let S be an A.M.C. seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that |S| > 2. Then for any $x \in S \setminus \{a\}$, x is not a multiplicative zero of S.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose that there exists an $x_0 \in S \setminus \{a\}$ such that x_0 is a multiplicative zero of S. Then $x_0 x = x_0 = xx_0$ for all $x \in S$. Let $y \in S \setminus \{a, x_0\}$. Then $x_0 x_0 = x_0 = x_0y_0$. Thus $x_0 = y_0$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.53. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring. Then S contains no multiplicative zero.

Remark. Let $S = \{a,b\}$ be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. Then xy = b for all $x,y \in S$, so b is a multiplicative zero of S.

<u>Proposition 2.54.</u> Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let d ϵ S\{a} be such that ax = dx for all x ϵ S\{a}. If x+d \neq a for all x ϵ LI_S(a), then we get that:

- (1) Either $LI_S(d) = \phi$ or $LI_S(d)$ is an additive semigroup and either $LI_S(a) = \phi$ or $LI_S(a)$ is an additive subsemigroup of $LI_S(d)$.
 - (2) If $d \in LI_S(a)$, then $LI_S(a) = LI_S(d)$.

<u>Proof.</u> (1) Assume that $LI_S(d) \neq \phi$. Let x,y ϵ $LI_S(d)$. Then x+d = d = y+d. Therefore (x+y)+d = x+(y+d) = x+d = d. Thus x+y ϵ $LI_S(d)$. Hence $LI_S(d)$ is an additive semigroup.

Assume that $\operatorname{LI}_S(a) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \operatorname{LI}_S(a)$. Then x+a=a. Therefore $\operatorname{dd} = \operatorname{ad} = (x+a)\operatorname{d} = (x+d)\operatorname{d}$. Thus $\operatorname{d} = x+d$, so $\operatorname{LI}_S(a) \subseteq \operatorname{LI}_S(d)$. Let $x,y \in \operatorname{LI}_S(a)$. Then x+a=a=y+a and (x+y)+a=x+(y+a)=x+a=a, so $x+y \in \operatorname{LI}_S(a)$. Thus $\operatorname{LI}_S(a)$ is an additive subsemigroup of $\operatorname{LI}_S(d)$.

(2) Assume that d ϵ LI_S(a). Then d+a = a. By (1), $\operatorname{LI}_{S}(a) \subseteq \operatorname{LI}_{S}(d). \text{ Let } x \in \operatorname{LI}_{S}(d). \text{ Then } x+d=d. \text{ Therefore } a=d+a=(x+d)+a=x+(d+a)=x+a. \text{ Hence } x \in \operatorname{LI}_{S}(a). \text{ Thus } \operatorname{LI}_{S}(d) \subseteq \operatorname{LI}_{S}(a), \text{ so } \operatorname{LI}_{S}(a)=\operatorname{LI}_{S}(d).$

<u>Proposition 2.55</u>. Let S be a Classification D seminear-ring w.r.t. a such that a is not L.M.C. in S. Let d ε S\{a} be such that ax = dx for all x ε S\{a}. If d+x \neq a for all x ε RI_S(a), then we get that:

- (1) Either $RI_S(d) = \phi$ or $RI_S(d)$ is an additive semigroup and either $RI_S(a) = \phi$ or $RI_S(a)$ is an additive subsemigroup of $RI_S(d)$.
 - (2) If $d \in RI_S(a)$, then $RI_S(a) = RI_S(d)$.

<u>Proof.</u> The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.54.

The proofs of the following two propositions are similar to the proofs of Proposition 2.54 and Proposition 2.55, respectively (substitute a² for d).

<u>Proposition 2.56</u>. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If $x+a^2 \neq a$ for all $x \in LI_S(a)$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) Either $LI_S(a^2) = \phi$ or $LI_S(a^2)$ is an additive semigroup and either $LI_S(a) = \phi$ or $LI_S(a)$ is an additive subsemigroup of $LI_S(a^2)$.
 - (2) If $a^2 \in LI_S(a)$, then $LI_S(a) = LI_S(a^2)$.

<u>Proposition 2.57</u>. Let S be a Classification E seminear-ring w.r.t. a. If $a^2+x \neq a$ for all $x \in RI_S(a)$, then the following statements hold:

(1) Either $\mathrm{RI}_{S}(a^{2})=\phi$ or $\mathrm{RI}_{S}(a^{2})$ is an additive semigroup and either $\mathrm{RI}_{S}(a)=\phi$ or $\mathrm{RI}_{S}(a)$ is an additive subsemigroup of $\mathrm{RI}_{S}(a^{2})$.

(2) If $a^2 \in RI_S(a)$, then $RI_S(a) = RI_S(a^2)$.