CHAPTER III

EXPERIMENTAL

1. Source of Plant Material

The leaves of Artocarpus gomezianus Wall. ex Trec. were optained from Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand in November, 1993. The plant material was authenticated by comparison with the herbarium specimen in the Botany Section, Technical Division, Department of Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperative. A voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand.

2. General Techniques

2.1 Analytical Thin-layer Chromatography (TLC)

Technique

: One way, ascending

Absorbent

: Silica gel 60 F254 (E. Merk) precoated plate

Layer thickness: 0.2 mm

Distance

: 5.0 cm

Temperature

: Laboratory temperature (30-35°C)

Detection

: 1. Visual detection under ultraviolet light at the wavelength of 254

and 365 nm

Anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid spraying reagent (0.5% ethanolic

solution of anisaldehyde with 5% sulphuric acid)

2.2 Column Chromatography (CC)

Absorbent

: Silica gel 60 (No. 7734) particle size 0.063-0.200 nm

Silica gel 60 (No. 9385) particle size 0.040-0.063 nm

Packing method: Dry packing

Sample loading: A portion of crude extract was dissolved in a small amount of organic solvent, mixed with a small quantity of adsorbent, then

dried, triturated and added gently on the column.

Examination of eluates: Fractions were examined by TLC using visual detection

under ultraviolet light at wavelenght of 254 and 365 nm and sprayed with anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid spraying reagent.

2.3 Spectroscopy

2.3.1 Ultraviolet (UV) Absorption Spectra

The spectra were obtained on Milton Roy Spectronic 3000Array spectrometer (Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University).

2.3.2 Infrared (IR) Absorption Spectra

The spectra were obtained form a Shimatsu IR-440 infrared spectrometer (The Scientific and Technological Research Equipment Center, Chulalongkorn University) in potassium bromide discs.

2.3.3 Mass Spectra (MS)

The Electron Impact Mass Spectra (EIMS) were obtained by operating at 70 ev with a Fisons VG Trio 2000 quadrupole mass spectrometer (Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University) and the High Resolution Fast-Atom Bombartment Mass Spectra (HR-FAB-MS) were measured with a Hitachi RMU-7M mass spectrometer.

2.3.4 <u>Proton and Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic</u> Resonance (¹H and ¹³C NMR) Spectra

The 500 MHz ¹H NMR spectra and 125 MHz ¹³C nmr spectra were obtained with a JEOL JMN-A 500 spectrometer (The Scientific and Technological Research Equipment Center, Chulalongkorn University).

The operated solvent for NMR spectra were deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃), deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-d₆). The chemical shifts were reported in ppm scale using the chemical shift of tetramethylsilane (TMS) at 0 ppm as the reference signal.

2.4 Sovents

Throughout this work, all organic sovents were commercial grade and had to be redistillated prior to use.

3. The Extraction

The dried powder of the leaves of *Artocarpus gomezianus* Wall. ex Trec. (1.8 kg) was macerated twice over a period of three days, with 95% ethanol and then filtered. The filtrate of each maceration was concentrated to remove ethanol under reduced pressure to yield 146 g of syrupy mass.

4. The Isolation

4.1. The Isolation of AG-1 and AG-2

The syrupy mass (146 g) was dissolved in a small volume of chloroform/methanol mixture, triturated with silica gel 60 (No. 7734) and dried under reduced pressure. It, then, was fractionated by quick column chromatographic technique using a sintered glass filter column of silica gel (20x4 cm). The eluents were used in the order as shown below:

-	hexane	3,300 ml	fraction # 1-11
-	chloroform in hexane (5%)	1,800 ml	fraction # 12-17
	chloroform in hexane (10%)	900 ml	fraction # 18-20
	chloroform in hexane (15%)	3,000 ml	fraction #21-30
	chloroform in hexane (20%)	3,000 ml	fraction #31-40
2	chloroform in hexane (30%)	600 ml	fraction #41-42
	chloroform in hexane (40%)	1,200 ml	fraction # 43-46
_	chloroform in hexane (50%)	1,500 ml	fraction # 47-51
_	chloroform in hexane (60%)	3,600 ml	fraction # 52-68
_	chloroform in hexane (70%)	3,900 ml	fraction # 69-81
	chloroform	9,300 ml	fraction # 82-112
2	methanol in chloroform (5%)	5,700 ml	fraction # 113-131
Ţ	methanol in chloroform (10%)	1,500 ml	fraction # 132-136
+	methanol in chloroform (20%)	600 ml	fraction # 137-138
_	methanol in chloroform (30%)	1,200 ml	fraction # 139-142
_	methanol in chloroform (40%)	600 ml	fraction # 143-144
2	methanol in chloroform (50%)	300 ml	fraction # 145

Methanol was used to wash the column until the eluates were diluted and clear comparing to former ones.

The fractional volume was about 300 ml and examined by TLC using 20% chloroform in hexane and 10% methanol in chloroform as developing solvents. Fractions giving similar chromatographic pattern were combined and designated.

Table 8 The combined fractions from crude extract

Fraction	Number of Eluates		
F-01	1-16		
F-02	17-28		
F-03	29-48		
F-04	49-54		
F-05	55-61		
F-06	62-78		
F-07	79-98		
F-08	99-141		
F-09	142-145		
F-10	MeOH eluted		

From F-06, the white amorphous compound was precipitated. It was recrystallized from chlorofrom as white flakes. It yielded 156 mg (8.67x10⁻³ % based on dry weight) and was named as AG-1. this compound was identified as 1-dotriacontanol.

From F-07, the white compound was crystallized. It was recystallized from hexane as colorless needles. It yielded 72 mg (4.00x10⁻³% basedon dry weight) and was named as AG-2. This compound was identified as β-sitosterol.

4.2 The Isolation of AG-3

Fraction F-04 (2.6 g) was dissolved in a small volume of chloroform and triturated with silica gel 60 (No. 7734) (5 g). This mixture were dried under reduced pressure. It was fractionated by the column chlomatographic technique using a column of silica gel (4.5x25 cm) with a gradient system of 1-50 % chloroform in hexane as an eluent. Forty ml fractions were collected based on the color band. The eluateds were examined by TLC using chloroform: hexane (4:6) as developing solvent. The fractions showing the same pattern were combined.

The colorless needles was crystallized from fractions 144-158. It yielded 69 mg (3.83x10⁻³% based on dry weight), was named as AG-3, and was identified as lupiol-3-acetate.

4.3 The Isolation of AG-4

Fraction F-05 (2.4 g) was dissolved in a small volume of chloroform, triturated with silica gel 60 (No. 7734) (5 g) and dried under reduced pressure. It was fractionated by the column chromatographic technique using a silica gel column (4.5x15 cm) with a gradient of chloroform in hexane, as eluent. Forty ml fractions were collected and combined after examining with TLC using chloroform as developing solvent. The results were shown in Table 9.

Table 9 Solvent systems used in column chlomatography of fraction F-05

Fraction	Eluent
1-14	chloroform:hexane (1:4)
15-90	chloroform:hexane (1:4)
91-111	chloroform:hexane (1:4)
112-147	chloroform:hexane (2:3)
148-198	chloroform:hexane (2:3), (1:1)

Fractions 148-198 (731 mg) were combined and further separated using a column of silica gel 60 (No. 9385) (2.5x40 cm) with hexane: ethylacetate (49:1) as an eluent. Fifty ml fractions were collected and combined after examining with TLC using developing solvent similar to an eluent. The eluates No. 13-15 showed one spot on TLC. The colorless needles was crystallized from hexane. It yielded 25 mg (1.39 x 10-3% based on dry weight), was named as AG-4, and was identified as similarenol.

4.4 The Isolation of AG-5

Fraction F-09 (22 g) was isolated by quick column chromatographic technique using a sintered glass filter column of silica gel 60 (No. 9385) (11x4 cm). The eluents were used in the order as shown below.

-chloroform	300	ml	fraction # 1
-ethylacetate in chloroform	600	ml	fraction # 2-3
-ethylacetate	1,500	ml	fraction # 4-8
-methanol in ethylacetate (5 %)	1,200	ml	fraction #9-11
-methanol in ethylacetate (10 %)	2,100	ml	fraction # 12-18

The fractional volume was about 300 ml and examined by TLC using 10% methanol in ethylacetate as developing solvent. Fractions giving similar chromatographic pattern were combined. The eluates No. 12-13 were dried under reduced pressure and then a white compound was precipitated. It was recrystallized from mixture of ethylacetate and methanol as colorless needles. It yielded 3.02 g (1.68 x 10-1% based on dry weight), was named as AG-5, and was identified as arbutin.

5. Characterization of the Isolated Compounds

5.1 Characterization of AG-1

AG-1 was obtained as white crystals. It was soluble in chloroform.

EIMS; m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 2

449 (0.37), 421 (0.26), 393 (7), 365 (7), 364 (3), 153 (6), 181 (3), 167 (4), 209 (2), 195 (3), 111 (36), 97 (66), 83 (72), 139 (9), 125 (18), 43 (100) 69 (67), 57 (100), 55 (64),

IR: v cm-1, KBr disc; Figure 3

3424, 3298, 2918, 2849, 1473, 1463, 1062, 720

¹H NMR; δ ppm, 500 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 4

0.88 (t, J=7.2 Hz), 1.25 (br s), 1.55 (m), 3.63 (t, J=7.2 Hz)

13C NMR; δ ppm, 125 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 5

14.06, 22.65, 25.71, 29.31, 29.39, 29.55, 29.65, 31.87, 32.78,

63.07

5.2 Characterization of AG-2

AG-2 was crystallized as colorless needles from hexane. It was soluble in chloroform.

EIMS; m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 6

399 (14) 396 (17) 381 (14), 329 (21). 414 (32), 213 (29), 255 (22), 231 (16), 173 (15), 273 (15), 161 (24), 159 (28), 147 (24), 145 (39), 163 (22), 133 (29), 131 (20), 121 (23). 119 (23), 135 (22), 95 (51), 83 (86), 109 (23), 107 (43), 105 (37), 57 (94) 81 (57), 69 (100),

IR; υ cm-1, KBr disc; Figure 7

3500-3200, 2960-2860, 1642, 1465, 1381, 1062, 840, 802

¹H NMR; δ ppm, 500 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 8-9

0.67-2.3, 3.52 (m), 5.34 (m)

13C NMR; δ ppm, 125 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 10-11

11.87, 11.99, 18.78, 19.05, 19.40, 19.82, 21.10, 23.08, 24.31,

26.09, 28.25, 29.16, 31.67, 31.91, 33.95, 36.15, 36.51, 37.27,

39.78, 42.31, 45.84, 50.41, 56.78, 71.80, 121.71, 140.77

5.3 Characterization of AG-3

AG-3 was obtained as colorless needles from chloroform. It was soluble in chloroform and ethylacetate, and insoluble in acetone and ethanol.

m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 12 EIMS: 468 (7), 455 (3), 408 (6), 297 (6), 257 (7), 189 (98), 175 (26), 229 (17), 218 (78), 203 (39) 121 (51) 107 (65), 161 (29), 147 (45), 135 (100), 95 (70), 81 (83), 69 (79), 55 (79) IR: υ cm-1, KBr disc; Figure 13

3073, 2941, 2872, 2854, 1736, 1640, 1455, 1367, 1247, 1025, 980, 877

δ ppm, 500 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 14-15 ¹H NMR:

0.79-1.03, 1.68 (s), 2.04 (s), 4.47 (m), 4.57 (br s), 4.69 (br s)

13C NMR; δ ppm, 125 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 16

14.50, 15.97, 16.16, 16.48, 17.99, 18.20, 19.27, 20.93, 21.30, 23.70, 25.10, 27.43, 27.94, 29.83, 34.21, 35.56, 37.07, 37.78. 38.04, 38.39, 39.98, 40.84, 42.81, 42.98, 48.00, 48.29, 50.35, 55.38, 80.96, 109.34, 105.93, 170.97

5.4 Characterization of AG-4

AG-4 was obtained as colorless needles from hexane. It was soluble in hexane and chloroform.

EIMS: m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 17

> 411 (2), 408 (3), 274 (100), 259 (90), 426 (4), 175 (75), 152 (42), 245 (13), 231 (26), 205 (13), 134 (66), 122 (56), 107 (40), 95 (50), 81 (40), 69 (39), 55 (37)

IR; υ cm-1, KBr disc; Figure 18

3508, 2931, 2868, 1650, 1470, 1545, 1384, 1052, 831, 818

¹H NMR: δ ppm, 500 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 19-20

> 0.829 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 0.888 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz), 0.782 (3H,s),

0.895 (3H, s), 0.926 (3H, s), 1.006 (3H,s). 1.045 (3H, s), 1.140 (3H, s), 1.16 - 2.16,

3.467 (1H,br s). 5.615 (1H, ddd, J=1.93, 1.93, 5.81)

δ ppm, 125 MHz, in chloroform-d; Figure 16 13C NMR:

> 15.00, 15.74, 16.06, 17.84, 18.06, 19.91, 21.95, 22.89, 24.06, 25.46, 27.78, 28.30, 29.00, 29.06, 29.11, 30.77, 34.14, 34.82, 35.41, 38.60, 39.31, 40.81, 42.80, 44.26, 50.25, 51.73, 60.04,

76.34, 121.96, 142.00

5.5 Characterization of AG-5

AG-5 was obtained as colorless needles from mixture of ethylacetate and methanol. It was soluble in methanol.

HR-FAB-MS (dithiodiethanol + NaCl); m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 23 295 (29), 273 (16)

EIMS; m/z (% relative intensity); Figure 24

110 (100)

UV; λ_{max} nm (log ϵ), in methanol; Figure 25

224 (log ε 3.82), 286 (log ε 3.32)

IR; v cm-1, KBr disc; Figure 26

3372, 3292, 3204, 1611, 1513, 1461, 1223, 1106, 1080, 1050, 1027,

1016, 833

¹H NMR; δ ppm, 500 MHz, in dimethylsulfoxide-d₆; Figure 27-28

3.13 (1H, ddd, J=9.21, 8.55, 5.26 Hz),

3.17 (1H, ddd, J=9.21, 7.24, 5.26 Hz), 3.20-3.25 (2H, overlaping),

3.45 (1H, ddd, J=11.84, 5.26, 5.26 Hz),

3.67 (1H, ddd, J=11.84, 5.26, 0.97 Hz), 4.51 (1H, t, J=5.26 Hz),

4.62 (1H, d, *J*=7.24 Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, *J*=5.26 Hz),

5.00 (1H, d, J=5.26 Hz), 5.20 (1H, d, J=5.26 Hz),

6.65 (2H, d, J=8.55 Hz), 6.85 (2H, d, J=8.55 Hz),

8.97 (1H, s)

¹H NMR; δ ppm, 500 MHz, in pyridine-d₅

4.075 (1H, ddd, J=9.38, 5.00, 2.50 Hz), 4.27-4.39 (3H, m),

4.40 (1H, dd, J=11.84, 5.26 Hz), 4.54 (1H, dd, J=11.84, 2.63 Hz),

5.517 (1H, d, J=7.62 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, J=8.33 Hz),

7.38 (2H, d, J=8.33 Hz)

13C NMR; δ ppm, 125 MHz, in dimethylsulfoxide-d₆; Figure 29

61.18, 70.25, 73.75, 77.11, 77.41, 102.38, 116.18, 118.40,

151.28, 153.12

13C NMR; δ ppm, 125 MHz, in pyridine-d₅

62.5, 71.4, 75.1, 78.6, 78.7, 103.6, 116.8, 118.8, 151.9,

154.1