CHAPTER IV

RESULT

The study subjects involved 882 professional nurses, of which, 88 with no response were eliminated. Thus, the study analysed the results for 794 subjects. Descriptive statistics were performed to assess the demographic data and level of burnout as following.

Table 4.1: Frequency and Percentage of Demographic Data

Demographic data	'n	%
 Sex		
Male	5	0.6
Female	789	99.4
Marital Status		
Single	537	67.6
Married	240	30.2
Widowed	· 10	1.3
Divorced	5 WEI 25	0.6
Religion		
Buddhist	786	96.7
Christian	16	2.0
Muslim	7	0.9
Other	2	0.3

Table 4.1: (continue)

Demographic data		n	%
Education Level			
Certificate		19	2.4
Diploma		24	3.0
Bachelor degre	e	730	91.9
Master degree		19	2.4
Salary			
4,000 - 6,000	Baht	381	48.0
6,001 - 8,000		29	16.2
8,001 - 10,000		69	21.3
more than 10,0	000 Baht 1	13	14.2
Working Overtime	in Ward		
None	1	.08	13.6
1-2 times/mon	th 3	29	41.4
3-4 times/mon	th 2	48	31.2
more than 4 ti	mes/month 1	05	13.2
Patient			
Ordinary	•1	74	21.9
VIP		76	9.6
Ordinary and V		40	68.0
Working Extra Job			
Work	1 3 6 6 8 4 1 6 7 3	47	43.7
Don't work	4	39	55.3

Table 4.2: Mean and SD of age and experience

Damasan II. 14		
Demographic data	Mean	SD
Age	32.25	7.74
Experience	10.08	7.04

Table 4.3: Mean and SD of subscales in MBI

Subscales	Mean	SD	
 Emotional exhaustion	23.98	11.89	
Depersonalization	4.45	4.89	
Personal accomplishment	40.90	4.88	

Table 4.4: Range of perceived burnout in nurses (%)

MBI scale	low	moderate	high
Emotional exhaustion	29.7	25.7	41.8
Depersonalization	72.4	16.2	9.2
Personal accomplishment	65.4	23.4	4.0

Stepwise multiple regression was performed to assess the relative importance of each independent variable in determining burnout. There are three subscales of burnout: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and personal accomplishment. These are computed at second step of analysis process.

Table 4.5: Stepwise multiple regression of all predictor variables influencing Emotional Exhaustion

Variables	R	R ²	R ² chan	ge F	Sig F	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
1 Workload	.369	.136	.136	99.309	0.0	0.710	.150	.183	4.738	.000
2. Quality of work	.463	.215	.079	85,953	0.0	-0.745	.211	154	-3.536	.000
3. Conflict with other nurses and supervisors	.512	.262	.047	74.279	0.0	1.573	.263	.218	5.989	.000
4. Experience	.549	.301	.039	67.501	0.0	-0.283	.057	169	-4.976	.000
5. Survival/personal maintenance	.569	.324	.023	60.099	0.0	-0.519	.109	171	-4.740	.000
6. Death and dying	.576	.332	.008	51.724	0.0	0.355	.136	.096	2.620	.009
7. Autonomy	.582	.338	.006	45.553	0.0	-0.377	.154	102	-2.455	.014
(constant)		9. 7				37.392	3.105		12.042	.000

Table 4.5 reports the result of the predictor of emotional exhaustion. Seven predictor variables accounted for 34 percent of variance (p < 0.05). Their correlations with EE scores are: Workload (p < 0.001); Quality of work (p < 0.001); Conflict with other nurses and supervisors (p < 0.001); Experience (p < 0.001); Survival and personal maintenance (p < 0.001); Death and dying (p < 0.01) and Autonomy (p < 0.05).



Table 4.6: Stepwise multiple regression of all predictor variables influencing Depersonalization

Variables	R	R ²	R char	ige F	Sig F	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig T
1 Inadequate preparation	.341	.116	.116	83.323	0.0	.590	.143	.160	4.133	.000
2. Conflict with physicians	.405	.164	.048	61.916	0.0	.350	.120	.120	2.919	.004
3. Experience	.449	.202	.038	53.122	0.0	151	.026	213	-5.904	.000
4. Autonomy	.485	.235	.033	48.346	0.0	250	.058	158	-4.333	.000
5. Conflict with other nurses	.501	.2251	.016	42.131	0.0	.400	.127	.128	3.147	.002
and Supervisors										
6. Survival/personal maintenance	.508	.258	.007	36.344	0.0	099	.046	077	-2.143	.033
7. Lack of staff support	.513	.263	.005	31.990	0.0	.251	.111	.082	2.252	.025
8. Working overtime in ward	.518	.268	.005	28.707	0.0	.752	.356	.076	2.116	.035
(constant)						6.809	1.435		4.744	.000

The amount of variance explained in depersonalization (27%) by eight predictor variables (see Table 4.6): Inadequate preparation (p < 0.001); Conflict with physicians (p < 0.005); Experience (p < 0.001); Autonomy (p < 0.001); Conflict with other nurses and supervisors (p < 0.005); Survival and personal maintenance (p < 0.05); Lack of staff support (p < 0.05) and working overtime in ward (p < 0.05).

Table 47: Stepwise multiple regression of all predictor variables influencing Personal Accomplishment

Variables	R	R ²	R ² char	nge F	Sig F	В	SE B	Beta	Т	Sig T
1 Autonomy	.234	.055	.055	35.683	6.0	.298	.059	.194	5.040	.000
2. Conflict with physicians	.305	.093	.038	31.406	0.0	267	.128	093	-2.078	.038
3. Inadequate preparation	.327	.107	.014	24.475	0.0	335	.150	093	-2.233	.026
4. Salary	.342	.117	.010	20.266	0.0	-1.157	.402	111	-2.880	.004
5. Conflict with other nurses and supervisors	.359	.129	.012	17.989	0.0	371	.131	123	-2.822	.005
(constant)						39.521	1.365		28.961	.000

The amount of variance explained in personal accomplishment (13%) by five predictor variables (see Table 4.7): Autonomy (p < 0.001); Conflict with physician (p < 0.05); Inadequate preparation (p < 0.05); Salary (p < 0.005); Conflict with other nurses and supervisors (p < 0.005).
