

## CHAPTER 6

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 6.1 Conclusions

The permeate flux and sodium chloride rejection of aqueous extract of *A. ebracteatus* Vahl. are found to be depend on both of flow velocity and transmembrane pressure (TMP). At low flow velocity, the TMP seems not to effectively increase permeate flux due to fouling effect. The optimal conditions are determined at the flow velocity of 0.875 l/min and TMP of 10 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> at which the rejection of sodium chloride is 0.31. The deviations between experiment and the selected mathematical models based on Steric-Hindrance Pore (SHP) model and Teorell-Meyer-Sievers (TMS) occur at low flow velocity. The mathematical model seems to be better agreement with high flow velocity because of less fouling effect at high shear rate.

The application of diafiltration process comprises 1.5 concentration step followed by 2 times of diafiltration allows 80% sodium chloride removal. In addition, the use of diafiltration enhances the permeate flux due to the reducing of membrane fouling by adding fresh water. The mathematical simulation for diafiltration process is found to be excellent to experiment results.

The aqueous extract of *A. ebracteatus* Vahl. after desalinization shows better cytotoxicity against both of KB and HeLa cell lines with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 3200 and 3500 µg/ml, respectively to compare with the initial extract. This indicates that the removal of salts could be improved bioactivity due to the higher concentration of bioactive components in the product.

#### 6.2 Recommendations

The other components than sodium chloride are found to pass through the membrane (with 36.6 % removal after diafiltration process). Thus it should be interesting to study feed properties of aqueous extract of *A. ebracteatus* Vahl.; also the rejections of active components should be evaluated.

In our experiment, the aqueous extract is used with the constant concentration. The concentration may be different from other systems, thus it should be interesting to study the effect of concentration on permeate flux and sodium chloride rejection, also the effect of temperature should be considered.

## Nomenclature

NF	nanofiltration
TMP	transmembrane pressure ( $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ )
$A$	filtration area ( $\text{m}^2$ )
$A_k$	membrane porosity
$c$	concentration ( $\text{mol m}^{-3}$ )
$c_b$	concentration in bulk
$c_m$	concentration at membrane surface
$c_p$	concentration in permeate
$d_h$	equivalent hydraulic diameter (m)
$D$	diffusion coefficient ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$D_i$	diffusivity of ion $i$ in free solution ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$D_s$	solute diffusivity for neutral molecule or generalized diffusivity for 1-1 type of electrolyte defined as $D_s = 2D_1D_2/(D_1 + D_2)$ ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$F$	Faraday constant ( $=96487$ ) ( $\text{Cmol}^{-1}$ )
$J_s$	averaged solute flux over membrane surface ( $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$J_v$	averaged volume flux over membrane surface ( $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ )
$H_D, H_F$	steric parameters related to wall correction factors under diffusion and convection conditions respectively
$k$	mass transfer coefficient ( $\text{ms}^{-1}$ )
$k_{D,i}, \bar{k}_{F,i}$	averaged distribution coefficients of ion $i$ by the electrostatic effect under diffusion and convection condition respectively
$L_w$	pure water permeate flux
$N_{Re}$	Reynolds number ( $=u \cdot d_h/\nu$ )
$N_{Re-\gamma}$	new Reynolds number ( $=u \cdot d_h/\nu_w$ )
$N_{Sc}$	Schmidt number ( $=$ )
$N_{Sh}$	Sherwood number ( $=k \cdot d_h/D$ )
$R$	gas constant or actual rejection.
$R_{\text{obs}}$	observed rejection
$r$	radial variable of capillary (m)
$\bar{r}$	dimensionless radial location defined as $\bar{r} = r/r_p$ (-)
$r_p$	pore radius (m)

$r_s$	Stockes radius (m)
$S_D$	distribution coefficient of solute in diffusion condition
$S_F$	distribution coefficient of solute in convection condition
$T$	temperature
$t$	time recorded using to calculate permeate flux (s)
$V$	volume of permeate measured, using to calculate volume permeate flux (ml)
$V_{fo}$	initial feed volume using in diafiltration simulation (l)
$V_w$	volume of fresh water using in diafiltration simulation (l)
$x$	distance inside the membrane
$X$	charge density of membrane
$z_i$	electrochemical valence of $i$ -th ion
$\alpha$	transport number of cation in free solution defined as $\alpha = D_1 / (D_1 + D_2)$
$\epsilon_0$	dielectric constant of vacuum ( $= 8.8542 \times 10^{-12} \text{ C}^2 \text{ J}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$ )
$\epsilon$	relative dielectric constant of water ( $= 78.303$ )
$\eta$	ratio of solute radius to pore radius
$\nu$	kinematic viscosity ( $\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )
$\phi_x$	effective charge density of membrane
$v_i$	specific volume
$\Delta x$	membrane thickness
$\Delta \pi$	osmotic pressure difference across the membrane (Pa)
$\Delta P$	transmembrane pressure difference

<subscript>

D	diffusion
f	feed
F	convection
i	$i$ -th ion ( $i=1$ cation; $i=2$ anion)
m	membrane surface
p	permeate
salt	salt or sodium chloride
neu	neutre
w	water