

#### CHAPTER IX

#### RESULT

The study involved those students who had completed the certificate level program in nursing and graduated from all nursing campuses in Nepal. These students were in the graduating classes of 1986 - 1989. Thus, the subjects were students who entered five nursing campuses. The total number of students who entered into nursing campuses were 657 including those students who were admitted in 1981 but graduated in 1986. Of these, 111 students failed IFE and 32 students dropped from the program. So 514 subjects were remained for the study. Of the 514, 7 with missing information were eliminated. Finally the study analysed the data for 507 graduates.

Stepwise multiple regression was performed to assess the relative importance of each independent variable in determining the institute final examination (IFE) scores. There are three IFEs: first, second and third year IFEs. These are computed at first step of analysis process. Table 9.1 reports the result of the study. Six predictor variables accounted for 11 percent of the variance in first year IFE scores (P <.04). Their correlations with first year IFE scores are: school

leaving certificate (SLC) total score (p = .04); SLC division I (p = .01); English (p = .001); Mathematics (p =.003); parent occupation-business (p =.01) and Pokhara campus (p = .04). Other remaining variables were not statistically significant. (See Table 5.1). With regard to regression analysis, the two predictors account for a small, but statistically significant at the 0.001 level, percentage of variance in second year IFE total scores (5%). These two predictor variables are SLC total score and Pokhara campus. The amount of variance explained in third year IFE scores (8%) by five predictor variables: Mathematics, English, parents' occupation - business, Maharajgunj campus and Science. All are statistically significant (p < .04). Among those predictors, the most frequently identified were SLC total score, Mathematics, English, Pokhara campus and parents' occupation business. The six variables equation has higher R2, than two and five variables equation. The six variables equation appeared in first year IFE. Five variables appeared in third year IFE and two in second year IFE.

STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION OF ALL PREDICTOR VARIABLES
AND IFE SCORES:

VARIABLES		R	R <sup>2</sup>	ADJUSTED R <sup>2</sup>	P LEVEL
1.	FIRST YEAR IFE SCORES SLC TOTAL SCORES SLC DIVISION I	.20	. 04	.04	.041
	ENGLISH MATHEMATICS	.27	.07	.07	.001
	PARENT OCCUPATION-B	.31		.09	.008
	POKHARA CAMPUS	. 33	.11	.10	.042
2.	SECOND YEAR IFE SCORES				
	POKHARA CAMPUS SLC TOTAL SCORES	.16	.03	.02 .04	.001
	SEC TOTAL SCORES	. 22	.00	.04	.001
3.	THIRD YEAR IFE SCORES				
	MATHEMATICS	.18	. 03 . 05	.03	.000
	ENGLISH PARENT OCCUPATION-B	. 25	.07	.06	.012
	MAHARAJGUNJ CAMPUS SCIENCE	.27	.08	.07	.014 .036
	•				

TABLE 9.1

The first year IFE, second year IFE and third year IFE scores serve as student achievement indicators. These examinations comprise of various subjects. During the second step of data analysis process, these criterion variables were computed. There are ten subjects in first year IFE. These all subjects entered into the regression model. Similarly, there are seven and twelve subjects in second year IFE and third year IFE respectively. All these subjects entered into regression equation too.

There were fourteen independent variables identified from admission criterion and information. (Please see Table 5.1). These all independent variables were stepped into the regression equation sequentially in the order which produces the greatest increments to R2. Each predictor variable was entered into the regression model. The result of regression analysis is presented in Table 9.2. Multiple R and R<sup>2</sup> ranged from .19 to .52 and .04 to .27 respectively. Percentile rank was computed to indicate relative standing of the dependent variables. percentile rank was computed at 33.30, 66.70 and above level. According to the percentile rank, R2 .04 - .07 fall into lower one-third of the percentile rank. R2 .08 - .14 and .15 - .27 fall into middle and upper one-third respectively. The following were significantly (p < .04) related to predictor variables that fell in lower part of percentile rank: two subjects of first year IFE -Community I (R2 .04) and Human biology (R2 .05); four subjects of second year IFE - Adult nursing - practicum (  $R^2$  .04); Community II - practicum ( $R^2$  .05); Nutrition ( $R^2$ 0.05) and Adult nursing II ( $R^2$  .06) and four subjects of third year IFE - Midwifery 'A' - theory (R2 .05); Child nursing - theory ( $R^2$  .06); Midwifery 'B' - theory ( $R^2$ 0.07) and Community III ( $R^2$  .07). Middle one-third of percentile rank involved following variables on first year IFE: Fundamental of nursing - theory (R2 .10), English  $(R^2 .10)$  and Microbiology  $(R^2 .10)$ ; on second year IFE - Adult nursing I (R2 .08), Community II (R2 0.09). Social psychology ( $R^2$ .12) and on third year IFE -Midwifery 'C' - theory (R<sup>2</sup> .08), Community III practicum (R2 .12), Ward management - theory (R2 .14) and Child nursing - practicum ( $R^2$  .14). All are statistically significant (p <.04). The higher percentile rank involved five subjects of first year and four subjects of third year IFEs. The subjects of second year IFE did not fall in this rank. The five subjects of first year IFE which ranked higher are as follows: Pharmacology (R<sup>2</sup> .15), Fundamental of nursing - practicum  $(R^2 .17)$ , Applied science  $(R^2 .17)$ , Nepali  $(R^2 .19)$  and Nepal parichaya (R2 .21). The four subjects of third year IFE ranked higher are: Midwifery 'C' - practicum (R2 0.17), Midwifery 'B' - practicum ( $R^2$  .22), Midwifery 'A' - practicum ( $R^2$  .23) and Ward management - practicum ( $R^2$ 0.27). These all are statistically significant (p <.02). Out of fourteen predictor variables, thirteen variables contributed in increment of R<sup>2</sup>. Only one variable, SLC division II, did not enter into the regression model. The most frequently identified predictor variables in higher percentile rank are as follows: Pokhara campus, SLC division I, Mathematics, Maharajgunj campus, Birgunj campus, and Biratnagar campus (Frequency ranged from 3 -6). In the moderate rank, following seven predictor variables entered into regression model: total SLC score, Science, SLC division I, Mathematics, Birgunj campus, UMN campus and Pokhara campus (Frequency 3 - 6). SLC division I, total SLC score, Science, Pokhara campus and Birgunj campus were identified in lower rank (Frequency 3 - 4). This result is summarized in Table 9.2.

Provision of cross-validation evidence important to demonstrate the stability of prediction equations. Upon repetition of the study to new sample, in this case the correlation initially obtained becomes smaller or disappears, which is known as shrinkage. In other words, the tendency for predictive validities to decrease when the experiment is repeated is referred to as shrinkage (Kleinbaum, D. G. et al 1988). The total sample was split randomly into group 1 and group 2. Group 1 was used as a validation group and group 2 was used for cross-validation. The same set of predictor variables were applied to both groups. The crossvalidation result is presented in Table 9.3. Using a same set of predictors, the first and second year IFEs showed significant, but declining  $R^2$ . While the  $R^2$  of first year IFE was .11 for the group 1, the R2 for first year dropped to .10 for group 2. Subsequently R2 for second year IFE was decreased from .09 to .05. For group 1, R2 for third year IFE was .02 but for group 2 R2 was 0.05. It is increased. Among those variables, SLC total score entered in regression model while others did not.

The final step of analysis used the three compulsory subjects of admission criteria of nursing

education program to determine the strength of association between admission criteria and IFE scores. This set of predictor variables (English, Math, Science) were applied to both groups. Mathematics and English accounted for 5% and 6% of variance in first year IFEs for group 1 and group 2 respectively. English and Mathematics accounted 3% of variance in second year IFE for group 1. The amount of variance explained in second year IFE for group 2 was 2% for mathematics alone. For the third year IFE, Mathematics accounted for 3% and 2% of variance for group 1 and group 2 respectively. These two predictor variables account for a small, but statistically significant, percentage of variance in IFEs. Science did not appear in the regression equation.

### SUMMARY OF STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION FOR PREDICTING IFE SOORES:

			FIRST YEAR		SECOND Y	'EAR	THIRD YEAR	
PERCENTII RANK	LE R	2	CRITERION VARIABLES	PREDICTORS	CRITERION VARIABLES	PREDICTORS	CRITERION VARAIBLES	PREDICTORS
	.04	.07	1.COMMUNITY I** 2.HUMAN BIOLOGY**	4, 11, 14.1,1, 2, 7.1.	1.ADULT NSG.(PR.)* 2.COMMUNITY II(PR.)* 3.NUTRITION** 4.ADULT NURSING II*	5,11, 13,14.3,	1.MIDWIFERY 'A'(TH.)* 2.CHILD NSG.(TH.)** 3.MIDWIFERY 'B'(TH.)** 4.COMMUNITY III*	1,3, 4,7.3, 8,7.2, 10,11, 13,14.1, 14.2,14.3
33.30								
	.08	.14	1.FUND. OF NSG.(TH.)* 2.ENGLISH** 3.MICROBIOLOGY**	2,3, 9,10, 11,7.3, 14.1,14.4, 14.5.	1.ADULT MSG. I** 2.COMMUNITY II* 3.SOC. PSYCHOLOGY*	2,3, 4,13, 7.1,14.3, 14.4,14.5, 14.1.	1.MIDWIFERY 'C'(TH.)* 2.COMMENITY III(PR.)** 3.WARD MGT.(TH.)** 4.CHILD NSG.(PR.)**	1,2, 3,5, 6,7.3, 10,11, 14.3,14.4 14.1,14.2
66.70			-					
	,15-	.27	1.PHARMACOLOGY** 2.FUND.OF NSG.(PR.)* 3.APPLIED SCIENCE* 4.NEPALI** 5.NEPALI PARICHAYA**	8,9, 10,11,			1.MIDWIFERY 'C'(PR.)* 2.MIDWIFERY 'B'(PR.)* 3.MIDWIFERY 'A'(PR.)** 4.WARD MGT.(PR.)*	6,11,
P ( .00 P ( .00 P ( .00	1**				TABLE 9.2			

THE NUMBERS USED TO IDENTIFY PREDICTOR VARIABLES FOLLOW THE VARIABLES IDENTIFICATION TABLE 5.1.

# STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION OF ALL PREDICTOR VARIABLES AND IFE SCORESA:

### CROSS-VALIDATION RESULT:

				ADJUSTED	
VARIABLES		R	K5	K5	P LEVEL
GR	OUP 1.				
1.	FIRST YEAR IFE SCORES				
	SLC TOTAL SCORE	.21		.04	.011
	PARENT OCCUPATION-F	.26	.07		.003
	SLC DIVISION I	.29	.08		.008
	MAHARAJGUNJ CAMPUS	.33	.11	.10	.009
2.	SECOND YEAR IFE SCORES				
	PARENT OCCUPATION-F	.18	.03	.03	.003
		.25	.06	.05	.009
	SLC TOTAL SCORES	.29			.009
3.	THIRD YEAR IFE SCORES				
	MATHEMATICS	.15	.02	.02	.014
GR	OUP 2.			The state of the s	
1.	FIRST YEAR IFE SCORES				
	SLC TOTAL SCORES	.27	.07	.07	.000
	PARENT OCCUPATION-B	.31	.10	.09	.008
2.	SECOND YEAR IFE SCORES				
	SLC TOTAL SCORES	.18	.03	.03	.004
	ENGLISH	.22	.05		.028
9	THIRD YEAR IFE SCORES				
٥.	PREVIOUS WORK EXP.	18	.03	.03	.000
	ENGLISH	.27	.05		.027
	DIVITOR		.00	.04	.027

# STEPWISE MULTIPLE REGRESSION OF THREE COMPULSORY SUBJECTS OF ADMISSION CRITERION AND IFE SCORES:

VAI	BIABLES	R	R2	ADJUSTED R2	P <b>LEVE</b> L		
GROUP 1.							
1.	FIRST YEAR IFE SCORES MATHEMATICS ENGLISH	.14	.02	.02	.002		
2.	SECOND YEAR IFE SCORES ENGLISH MATHEMATICS	.14	.02	.01 .02	.005		
3.	THIRD YEAR IFE SCORES MATHEMATICS	.17	.03	.02	.006		
GR	OUP 2.	7					
1.	FIRST YEAR IFE SCORES MATHEMATICS ENGLISH	.19 .25		.03 .05	.000		
2.	SECOND YEAR IFE SCORES MATHEMATICS	.13	.02	.01	.032		
3.	THIRD YEAR IFE SCORES MATHEMATICS	. 15	.02	.02	.014		

TABLE 9.4