CHAPTER III



PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The lowest possible score for each scale was 5 - meaning that a mother showed disagreement with all five statements under a particular scale. The highest score was 20 - indicating that a mother strongly agreed with all the statements.

Results of the study indicated significant differences in attitudes between mothers of juvenile delinquents and of normal adolescents for 18 of the 23 scales at the .001 level and one scale at the .05 level. No Statistical significance was found for the remaining four scales - numbers 13, 14, 16 and 23. (See Table I)

When mothers of boys at elementary level are considered, nothers of narmal adolescents showed higher Comradeship and Sharing, and Encouraging Verbalization. Differences were significant at the .Ol level. (See Table II)

On the other hand, looking at the same table, it will be seen that mothers of delinquents expressed more hostile and rejecting attitudes as seen in the scales of Marital Conflict, Strictness, Irritability, and were significantly higher in controlling attitudes as measured by scales 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19 and 20.

Table I

Comparison of Attitudes toward Child - Rearing Practices between Mothers of Juvenile Delinquents and of Normal Adolescents.

	Scales	. Moth	ers of i			of Normals . = 50)
		M	1	S.D.	M	S.D.
	Democratic Attitudes					
1.	Encouraging Verbalization	11.02	4.19	14.72	1.90	5.70***
14.		14.86	2.49	14.36	1.32	1.25+++
21.	Comradeship and Sharing	13.10	3.25	15.82	1.53	5.33***
	Hostility - Rejection					
7.	Marital Conflict	17.74	2.57	14.58	1.47	7.44+++
8.	Strictness	16.54	2.70	14.76	1.99	3.71 +++
9:	Irritability	15.36	3.25	13.40	1.87	3.71 3.70
13.	Rejection of Homemaking Role	12.08	4.13	12.78	2.03	1.08
	Authoritarian - Control					
2.	Fostering Dependecy	16.92	2.21	13.62	2.0-	7.77 +++
3.	Seclusion of the Mother	15.48	3.42	13.00	1.81	4.52
4.	Breaking the Will	19.12	1.37	14.88	1.60	1/1 - 311
5.	Martyrdom	18.62	1.33	15.36	1.72	10-20
6.	Fear of Harming the Baby	16.40	2.26	14.76	1.67	4.10
10.	Excluding Outside Influences	16.50	2.19	13.50	1.61	1 - 1 -
11.	Deification	18.26	1.74	14.44	2.03	10.20
12.	Suppression of Aggression	16.18	2.75	13.68	2.15	5.10 9.69
15.	Approval of Activity	18.04	1.56	15.28	1.89	9.69
16.	Avoidance of Communication	11.86	2.87	12.50	1.44	1.39
17.	Inconsiderationess of Husband	16.84	2.52	14.06	1.78	6.37+++
18.	Suppression of Sex	17.80	1.96	14.40	1.88	0.11
19.	Ascendance of Mother	17.52	2.32	14.70	1.84	6.64+++
20.	Intrusiveness	18.12	1.96	15.16	2.05	7.40
22.	Acceleration of Development	14.78	3.52	13.66	1.55	2.04
23.	Dependency of Mother	13.22	4.21	13.84	1.64	0.96

⁺⁺⁺ P .05

Comparison of Attitudes between the two groups of Mothers With Elementary
Education

Table II

	Scales	. Mothers (of Deling. 42)	. Mothers	of Normals	
APP NISA pera	the same first and the same time that the same and care are the same to same and the same and th	И	S.D	М	S.D	
	Democratic Attitudes					
	Encouraging Verbalization	11.19	3.78	14.32	1.39	5.56+++
	Equalitarianism	14.76	2.06	14.39	1.27	0.88
21.	Comradeship and Sharing	12,52	2.89	15.54	1.38	5.35***
	Hostility - Rejection					
	Marital Conflict	18.26	2.20	14.67	1.38	7.77***
	Strictness	16.50	2.73	14.74	1.82	3.10**
	Irritability	15.73	2.20	13.61	1.94	3.26**
13.	Rejection of Momemaking Role	12.14	4.15	12.93	1.93	0.92
	Authoritarian - Control					
2.	Fostering Dependency	17.09	2.26	13.74	2.07	6.47***
3.	Seclusion of the Mother	15.71	3.54	13.38	1.69	3 38 ***
40	Breaking the Will	19.42	0.97	15.29	1.55	13.95***
	Martyrdom	18.66	1.39	15.67	1.88	7.29
10.	Fear of Harming the Baby	16.50	2.18	15.19	1.61	2.41*
70.	Excluding Outside Influences Deification	16.57	3.06	13.80	1.56	6.27
	Suppression of Aggression	12.61	2.61	15.03	1.92	8-15
T.5	Approval of Activity	16.33	1.77	13.96	2.35	3.84
	Avoidance of Communication	18.57	1.59	15.80	1.73	7.09***
	Inconsiderateness of Husband	16.78	3.02	12.58	1.43	0.95
18.	Suppression of Sex	17.71	2.88	14.48	1.55	4-43+++
19.	Ascendance of Mother	17.80	2.07	15.16	1.80	6.53+++
20.	Intrsiveness	18.14	1.93	15.51	1.53	5.98*** 6.22***
	Acceleration of Development	14.51	1.82	13.67	1.56 1.57	
23.	Dependency of Mother	13.16	4.39	13.90	1.75	0.88

^{*} p > .05

^{+ +} p > .OL

^{100. &}lt; q + + +

Looking at the comparison of mothers of boys at secondary level (Table III), we see that mothers of delinquents not only expressed higher punitive - controlling attitudes, but also higher democratic attitudes than the mothers of normals in Encouraging Verbalization, and Equalitationism, and again were higher in Marital Conflict and Strictness.

As Table IV below shows, among mothers of normal adolescents, those with elementary education showed more authoritarian attitudes then those with Secondary education. All five differences were significant at the .05 level. It is particularly interesting that the only scales for which there are significant differences are those under Authoritarian - Control.

Among mothers of delinquents, mothers with secondary education expressed higher democratic attitudes in Encourage Verbalization, and Comradeship and Sharing (See Table V). The mothers with elementary education had more Marital Conflict and a wider tendency toward Delification and Ascendance of the mothers themselves.

Looking at the variable of trauma in the family (Table VI), it will be seen that mothers of delinquents were higher on Marital Conflict and Irritability. Again, they were significantly higher in Authoritarian Control than were mothers of normal adolescents with the same marital status.

Comparison of Attitudes between the Two Groups of Mother's with Secondary
Level Education

Table III

Scales	• Mother	s of Delin q N = 8)		of Normals	· t
the the 2008 to the control of the c	M	S.D	М	S.D	
Democratic Attitud	98				
1. Ancouraging Verbaliz			14.47	2.50	2.49
14. Equalitarianism	15.37		14.47	1.39	4.55
21. Comradeship and Shar	ing 16.12	1.01	16.26	1.77	0.65
Hostility - Reject	<u>Lon</u>				
7. Marital Conflict	15.00		14.42	1.43	2.39+
8. Stricness	16.75		14.78	2.27	6.45
9. Irritability	13.37		13.05	1.68	1.17
13. Rejection of Homemak	ing Role 11.50	1.17	12.52	2.19	3.89***
Authoritarian - Con	atrol				
2. Fostering Dependency			13.42	2.92	6.69***
3. Seclusion of the Mot			12.36	1.92	7.05+++
4. Breaking the Will	17.50		14.21	1.40	17.31+++
5. Martyrdom	18.37		14.84	1.37	18.93+++
6. Fear of Harming the I		일하는 사람들은 이번 이번 가장 사람들이 없는데 하다.	14.05	1.52	7.38++
10. Excluding Outside of	시간 이 보면 가장 가장 하는 사람들은 아이에 살아왔다면 하는 것이 없었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들이 되었다면 가장 하는데		13.00	1.62	11.72+++
11. Deification	16.37	[[[[다. 다리 [] 다시 [] 다시 [] [[[[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	13.47	1.81	12.31**
12. Suppression of Aggre			13.21	1.70	8.37***
15. Approval of Activity	19.00		14.42	1.88	19.72++
16. Avoidance of Communication Inconsiderateness of			12.36	1.49	6.71++
18. Suppression of Sex	Husband 17.12		13.36	1.98	13.39***
19. Ascerdance of Mother			13.78 13.78	2.06	3.78++
20. Intrusiveness	18.00		14.57	2.63	10.30++
22. Acceleration of Devel			13.63	1.60	9.02++
23. Dependency of Mother			13.73	1.50	0.86

⁺ p > .05

^{+ +} p > .01

⁺⁺⁺ p > .001

Table IV

Comparison of Attitudes of Mothers of Normal Adolescents with Secondary and

Elementary Level Education

	Scales	Secon	dary 19)	Element (N -	ntary	t
		И	S.D	М	S.D	
4. 6. 11. 15.	Break Fear Harm. Deif. Appro. Ase. Nother	14.21 14.05 13.47 14.42 13.94	1.40 1.52 1.81 1.88 2.06	15.29 15.19 15.03 15.80 15.16	1.55 1.61 1.92 1.73 1.53	2.05 2.04 2.35 2.20 1.98

* p > .05

Comparison of Attitudes of Mothers of Juvenile Delinquents with Secondary and elementary Level Education

	Scales	Secon	dary	Element (N =		ŧ
	e de la companya del la companya de	И	S.D	М	S.D	
1. 7. 11. 19. 21.	Encou. Verbal Mari. Gonf. Deif. Asc. Mother Conrade.	15.37 15.00 16.37 16.00 16.12	3.17 2.54 1.62 3.08 1.01	10.19 18.26 18.61 17.80 12.52	3.78 2.20 1.62 2.07 2.89	3.62*** 3.73*** 1.96* 2.07* 3.44***

⁺ p > .05 +++ p > .001

Comparison of Attitudes of Mothers of the Two Groups with Regard to Trauma in the Family.

Table VI

	Scales	(N =	of Deling.		of Normal	t
		М	S.D	М	S.D	
	Democratic					
1.	The state of the s	11.00	3.75	13.70	1.51	-1.36
	Equal.	14.90	2.27	13.75	1.47	1.31
21.	Comrade.	13.42	2.70	14.87	1.50	-1.39
	Hostility - Rejection					
7.		18.28	2.31	14.30	2.08	4.34++
8.		16.00	2.81	14.10	1.43	7.80
9.	Irri.	16.33	2.86	12.25	1.19	3.86**
13.	Rej. Home. R.	12.23	4.12	12.37	1.83	0.91
	Authoritarian - Contro	1				
2.		16.21	4.33	14.00	2.17	0.95
	Sec. Mother	16.19	2.04	12.37	1.61	4.74++
	Break.	19.38	1.39	14.21	1.30	10.36
5.		18.85	1.51	16.00	1.32	1 677
6.		17.01	0.94	15.00	1.41	4.45
LO.		16.42	2.66	13.25	1.19	3-71
1.	[전문화장점 : [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18]	18.33	1.43	14.62	1.17	6.51++
12.	44 00	16.33	2.37	14.12	2.23	2.37
5.		18.66	1.30	14.75	1.85	6.40++1
6.	Avoid. Commu.	11.85	3.07	12.87	1.40	0.89
.8.	Incon. Husb.	17.23	2.94	14.50	2.12	2.38+
9.	Supp. Sex	17.57	2.20	14.62	1.76	3.37++
20.	Asc. Mother Intru.	17.57	2.60	14.75	1.56	2.85+
		18.23	1.97	14.12	1.41	5.35++4
33	Acce. Devel.	14.47	3.81	12.87	1.31	1.15
22.	Depend. Mother	13.42	3.93	12.75	1.39	0.46

⁺ p > .05

^{+ +} p > .01

⁺⁺⁺ p > .001

Table VII

Comparison of Attitudes of Mothers of the Two Groups with Regard to Normal

Family Status

	Scales	(1)	of Deling.	Mothers of Normals (N = 42)		ŧ
-	and the second control of the second of the	М	S.D	М	S.D	
	<u>Democratic</u>					
	En. Verbal.	11.03	4.30	14.90	1.99	5.08***
	Equal.	14.82	2.66	14.47	1.30	0.73
21.	Comrade.	12,86	3.52	16.00	1.46	5.16***
	Hestility - Rejection					
	Mari. Conf.	17.34	2.61	14.61	1.70	5.21+++
	Strict.	16.93	2.51	14.88	2.07	3.74++
	Irri.	14.65	3.31	13.61	1.94	1-66
10.	Rej. Home. R.	16.55	1.80	13.54	1.72	7.07***
	Authoritarian - Contr	01				
	Fos. Depend.	17.37	2.74	14.20	1.12	6.72+++
	Sec. Mother	14.96	4.04	13.11	1.87	7-65
	Break.	18.93	8.04	14.80	1.69	3.22**
	Martyr.	18.44	1.33	15.23	1,81	8.11++
	Fear Harm.	15.96	2.74	14.71	1.73	2-35+
	Ex. Out. Inf.	16.55	1.80	13.54	1.72	7-07++
	Delf.	18.20	2.00	14.40	2.17	7 17++
	Supp. Agg.	16.06	3.00	13.59	2.13	4-04
	Appro. Acti.	18.62	1.75	15.38	1.87	7.34++
	Avoid. Commi.	11.86	2.70	12.42	1.50	0.93
.7.	Incon. Husb.	16.55	2.14	13.97	1.73	5.59+++
	Supp. Sex	17.96	1.78	14.35	1.94	7.01++
	Asc. Mother	17.48	2.08	14.69	1.87	5 88'
	Intru.	18.03	2.01	15.35	2.13	3.89***
	Acce. Devel.	15.00	3.24	13.80	1.62	2.05+
3.	Depend. Mother					

⁺ p > .05

^{+ +} p > .00L

Looking at mothers with the same normal family status (See Table VII), mothers of normal boys surpassed the mothers of delinquants in Encouraging Verbalization, and Comradeship and Sharing at the .OCl level. Incontrast, mothers of delinquents were found to be significantly higher in Hostility - Rejection and Authoritarian - Control.

The results in Table VIII present the comparisons among mothers of normal adolescents and indicate that mothers with normal marital status gave more Comradeship and Sharing to their Children. However, mothers of normal adolescents from a family with trauma expressed a less strong Dependency attitude. The remaining twenty-one scales were not found to be significantly different.



Table VIII

Comparison of Attitudes among Mothers of Normal Adolescents with Regard to Trauma and Normal Family Status.

	Scales	Trauma	Family 8)	Normal (N =	Family 42)	
All of code gar sorter		М	S.D	М	S.D	
21.	Comrade.	14.87	1.50	16.00	1.46	1.99*
22.	Depend. Mother	12,75	1.39	14.04	1.65	2.06*

+ p > .05

With regard to the variable of mothers from families with trauma and those from normal families, there were no significant differences among mothers of juvenile delinquents. In table IX, concentrating on the working status of the mothers, it will be observed that mothers of normal adolescents score significantly higher on Democratic attitudes, On the other hand, mothers of delinquents are significantly higher on Hostility - Rejection scales, with the exception of Rejection of the Homemaking Role. In all cases of Authoritarian Control, the two groups are significantly different at the .OCI level. On the Suppression of Aggression scale, the difference is significant at the .OCI level.

The comparison of attitudes between the two groups of non working mothers (See Table X) indicates that mothers of normal adolescents have a significantly higher tendency towards Encouraging Verbalization and Comradeship and Sharing at the .OOL level. Only two scales of the Hostility - Rejection dimension - Marital Conflict and Strictness - produce significant differences. Again, the non - working mothers of delinquents express the higher trend of Authoritatians - Control attitudes than do the non - working mothers of normal boys. It is noticeable that on the two scales of Authoritarian - Control, mothers of normals are significantly higher on the Avoidance of Communication scale at the .OOL level.

Table IX

Comparison of Attitudes between the Two Groups of Mothers with Regard to

Working Mothers Status

	Scales	(N	of Deling. = 28)		Mothers of Normals (N = 17)	
-		М	S.D	М	S.D	t
	Democratic Attitudes					
	Encouraging Verbalization	10.67	4.27	15.17	2.05	4.10++
	Equalitarianism	14.67	2.91	14.35	1.55	0.41
21.	Comradeship and Sharing	12.96	3.21	15.64	1.27	3.26++
	Hostility - Rejection					
	Marital Conflict	18.11	2.82	14.29	1.67	5.04++
	Strictness	16.89	2.68	14.76	1.99	2.82++
	• Irritability	16.35	3.41	13.64	1.86	2.99**
13.	Rejection of Homemaking Ro	le 12.14	4.36	12.88	1.92	2.11*
	Authoritarian - Control					
2.	Fostering Dependency	17.14	2.49	13.76	2.13	4.64++
	Seclusion of the Mother	15.82	2.24	12.94	1.40	4.73
	Breaking the Will	19.14	1.43	15.47	1.38	10.72***
	Martyrdom	18.85	1.45	15.41	1.47	7-60***
6.	Fear of Harming the Baby	16.75	1.83	14.88	1.64	9 3344
.0.	Excluding Outside Influenc		2.12	13.58	1.88	1 36 11
	Deification	18.46	1.50	14.58	2.19	7.02
	Suppression of Aggression	16,21	2.76	14.11	2.36	2.59**
.5.	Approval of Activity	18182	1.61	15.58	2.03	5.90++4
0.	Avoidance of Communication	12.21	3.05	12.47	1.72	0.32
0	Inconsiderateness of Husba		2.62	13.88	2.12	4.55***
0	Suppression of Sex	17.50	2.08	14335	1.597	E 20 4 4 1
7.	Ascendance of Mothers	17.31	2.69	14.58	1.81	
	Intrusiveness	18.60	1.77	14.70	1.97	6.84
12	Acceleration of Developmen		3.79	13.47	1.54	1.40
6.30	Dependency of Mother	14.71	4.03	13.70	1.64	0.97

⁺ p > .05

^{+ +} p > .01

⁺⁺⁺ p > .001

Table X

Comparison of Attitudes between Two Groups of Mothers with Regard to

Non - Working Mothers Status

	Scales	Mothers of Deling. (N = 22)		Mothers of Normals (N = 33)		t	
-	man to the second process of the second seco	M	S.D	M	S.D		
	Democratic Attitudes						
1.	Encouraging Verbalization	11.45	4.13	14.48	1.81	3.70++	
	Equalitarianism	15.09	1.87	14.36	1.21	0.17	
4.	Comradeship and Sharing	13.22	3.36	15.90	1.74	6.44++	
	Hostility - Rejection						
	Marital Conflict	17.22	2.18	14.72	1.40	5.17++	
	Strictness	16.09	2.72	14-45	1.13	3.09++	
	Irritability	14.09	2.59	13.37	1.85	1.35	
.3.	Rejection of Homemaking Role	12.00	1.24	12.72	2.10	0.14	
	Authoritarian - Control						
2.	Fostering Dependency	16.63	1.82	13.54	2.09	5.63++1	
	Seclustion of the Mother	15.04	4.53	13.03	1.97	2.25	
	Breaking the Will	19.09	1.34	14.57	1.64	10.71++	
	Martyrdom	18.31	1.12	15.33	1.84	6.77	
6.	Fear of Harming the Baby	15.95	2.69	14.69	1.70	2.12+	
	Exclusion Outside Influences	16.72	2.31	31.45	1.51	2.24	
	Deification	18.00	2.02	14.36	1.96	6.66	
L	Suppression of Aggression	16.13	2179	13.45	2.01	1.99	
	Approval of Activity	18.40	1.50	15.12	1.81	7.02++	
	Avoidance of Communication Inconsiderateness of Husband	11.40	2.63	12.51	1.30	2.07	
8.	Suppression of Sex	16.22	2.30	14.15	1.55	3.96++	
9.	Ascendance of Mother	18.18	1.78	14.42	2.03	7.04**	
	Intrusiveness	17.50	1.75	14.75	1.91	5.70++	
	Acceleration of Development	14.63	2.06 3.24	15.39	2.07	3.70++	
3.	Dependency of Nother	11.31	3.72	13.75	1.60	3.49	

⁺ p > .05

^{+ +} p > .01

⁺⁺⁺ p > .001

Comparison of Attitudes among Mothers of Juvenile Delinquents with Regard to Working and Non - Working Status.

	Scales	Work	ing 28)	Non-W	orking 22)	t
M. Mark Street Sales		М	S.D	М	S.D	
9.	Irritability	16.35	3.41	14.09	2.59	2.57*
23.	Dependency of Mother	14.71	4.03	11.31	3.72	3.06++

Looking at Table XI, we see that the only significent differences among mothers of juvenile delinquents were found on scales 9 and 23, with working mothers scoring significantly higher on Irritability and Dependency of the Mother.

No significant differences were found among mothers of normal adolescents with regard to the variable of working and non - working status.