## PRELIMINARIES

Let us begin this thesis by recalling several definitions.

Definition 13: A ring R is said to satisfy the descending chain condition for ideals if, given descending chain of ideals of R,

$$\mathbf{I}_1 \supseteq \mathbf{I}_2 \supseteq \mathbf{I}_3 \supseteq \ldots \supset \mathbf{I}_n \supseteq \ \ldots,$$

there exists an integer n such that  $I_n = I_{n+1} = I_{n+2} = \dots$ This definition is equivalent to the next definition.

Definition 2: The minimum condition (for ideals) is said to hold in a ring R if every nonempty set of ideals of R, partially ordered by inclusion, has at least one minimal element.

Definition 3: An algebra A over a field K is a ring A with an identity element which is at the same time a vector space over K. Moreover the scalar multiplication in the vector space and the ring multiplication are required to satisfy the axiom

$$\alpha(ab) = (\alpha a)b = \alpha(ab), \alpha \in K, a,b \in A.$$

Observe that these definitions yield the following result.

<u>Proposition 4</u>: Every algabra A finite dimensional over K is a ring with minimum condition.

Proof: Ler k & K and b & A, then Definition 3 implies that

$$kb = k(1.b) = (k1)b$$

and

(1) 
$$(k1)b = k(1b) = k(b1) = b(k1)$$
.

These imply that the set of elements

$$K = \{ k1 \mid k \in K \}$$

is contained in the center of A, and is a field isomorphic to K. We shall always identify K and K and regard K as embedded in A.

Then (1) shows that every left, right, or two-sided ideal in the ring A is also a K subspace of the vector space A. Since the subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space satisfy the descending chain condition, if follows that A is a ring with minimum condition.

Q.E.D.

<u>Definition 5</u>: Let R be a ring with identity. By a <u>left module over R</u> (or a left R-module), we mean a commutative group M (written additively) together with an operation of multiplication which associates with each  $r \in R$  and  $a \in M$  a unique element  $ra \in M$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $(1) \quad (r+s)a = ra + sa$
- $(2) \quad (rs)a = r(sa)$
- $(3) \quad r(a+b) = ra + rb$
- (4) la = a

for all r, s & R and a, b & M. The parallel notion of a right R-module can be defined symmetrically.

Definition 6: An abelian group M is an (R,R)-bimodule over the ring R if M is both a left R-module and a right R-module, and if we have

$$(sm)r = s(mr)$$

for all r, s & R and m & M.

Definition 7: A homomorphism of a ring R into a ring R is a mapping f: R → R such that

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y),$$
  
 $f(xy) = f(x)f(y),$  x, y 6

Let M,N be commutative groups, written additively and let Hom(M,N) denote the set of all homomorphisms of M into N. If we define the sum of two homomorphism f and g by

$$(f+g)m = f(m) + g(m), m \in M.$$

Then Hom(M,N) becomes a commutative group.

The additive group Hom(M,M) becomes a ring with an identity element if we define multiplication of homomorphisms by composition, namely

$$(fg)(m) = f(g(m)), m \in M.$$

Now, let M and N be a vector spaces over a field K . Then  $Hom_{K} (M,N) \ denotes \ the \ subgroup \ of \ Hom(M,N) \ consisting \ of \ all \ mappings$   $f \in Hom(M,N) \ such \ that$ 

$$f(\alpha m) = \alpha f(m)$$
,  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $m \in M$ 

The mappings in Hom (M,N) are called K-homomorphisms.

Definition 8: An element x in a ring R is nilpotent if there exists a positive integer m such that  $x^m = 0$ . An element x in R is idempotent if  $x^2 = x \neq 0$ . A left, right or two-sided ideal I of R is nilpotent if there exists a positive integer m such that  $I^m = \{0\}$ .

Definition 9: Given a finite number of ideals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,...,  $I_n$  of a ring R, we define sum in the natural way:

$$I_1 + I_2 + ... + I_n = \{a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_n \mid a_i \in I_i\}$$

Then  $I_1 + I_2 + \ldots + I_n$  is likewise an ideal of R and is the smallest ideal of R which contains every  $I_i$ .

Definition 10: Let R be a ring with minimum condition. The left ideal which is the sum of all nilpotent left ideals of R is called the radical of R and is denoted by rad R. We say that R is semisimple if rad. R = 0.

We have the following theorem of which the proof can be found in reference [2].

Theorem 11: The sum of all nilpotent left ideals in a ring R with minimum condition is a two-sided nilpotent ideal N. The ideal N contains every nilpotent right ideal of R, and the factor ring  $R/_{N}$  has no nilpotent ideals except 0.

Definition 12: Let A be a finite-dimensional associative algebra over a field K. Then A is said to be a separable algebra (over K) if A is semisimple.

The proof of the following theorem can be found in [2].

Theorem 13: Let A be a finite-dimensional associative algebra over a field K. Then A is a separable algebra over K if and only if for some K-basis  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$  of A, there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  in A such that

(1) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a'_{i} a_{i} = 1$$
,

and

(2) for 
$$a \in A$$
,
$$a_{i}a = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{ij}(a)a_{j}, \qquad k_{ij}(a) \in K.$$

implying that

$$a a'_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a'_{j} k_{ji}(a)$$

Notation 14:  $R_0[x] = \{a_1x + a_2x^2 + ... + a_nx^n \mid a_k \in R; n > 0\}$ 

Notation 15: For an algebra B over a field K we denote (B: K) to be the dimension of B over K.

Definition 16: A ring R # 0 is called simple if R has no 2-sided ideals other than 0 and R.

<u>Definition 17</u>: A ring R is called a <u>division ring</u> if its nonzero elements form a group (not necessary commutative) with respect to multiplication.