

CHAPTER 2

PRELIMARIES



2.1 DEFINITION AND WELL-KNOWN RESULTS

The purpose of this chapter is to give necessary background on probability theory needed in this work. By a probability space we mean a triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , where

(1) Ω is a set called sample space.

(2) \mathcal{F} is a Borel field of subsets of Ω ,

i.e. \mathcal{F} is a non-empty family of subsets of Ω such that

(2-1) if $E \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\bar{E} \in \mathcal{F}$,

(2-2) if $E_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $E_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathcal{F}$,

(2-3) if E_1, E_2, \dots is a countably infinite sequence of sets belong to \mathcal{F} , then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{F}$.

(3) P is a probability measure on \mathcal{F} ,

i.e. P is a function from \mathcal{F} into the set R of real number such that

(3-1) For any $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $P(E) \geq 0$.

(3-2) For any sequence of disjoint sets $E_n \in \mathcal{F}$,

$n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(E_n).$$



$$(3-3) P(\Omega) = 1.$$

Any set in \mathcal{F} will be referred to as an event. If E is an event, i.e. $E \in \mathcal{F}$, the value of P at E , i.e. $P(E)$, will be called the probability of the event E .

Now $R^{(k)}$ will be used to denote the set of all k -tuples of real number. If $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in R^{(k)}$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in R^{(k)}$ we define $a \leq b$ to mean $a_i \leq b_i$ for all i and define $a < b$ to mean $a_i < b_i$ for all i .

The set $\{x / x \in R^{(k)} \text{ and } a < x \leq b\}$ will be denoted by $(a, b]$, and the set $\{x / x \in R^{(k)} \text{ and } x \leq a\}$ will be denoted by I_a .

By a random vector X we mean a function $X : \Omega \rightarrow R^{(k)}$ such that for any real number $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in R^{(k)}$, the set $\{w / w \in \Omega, X(w) \in I_a\} \in \mathcal{F}$. For the case $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$ we call X a random variable.

For each random vector X we define a function $F_X : R^{(k)} \rightarrow R$ by $F_X(x) = P(\{w / X(w) \in I_x\})$. F_X will be called the distribution function of X . Note that if

$$X(w) = (X^{(1)}(w), \dots, X^{(k)}(w)),$$

then each $X^{(i)} : \Omega \rightarrow R$ is a random variable. Hence $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}$ are k random variables. The function F_X is also known as the joint distribution function of the random variables $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}$.

If there exists a non-negative Lebesgue-measurable function

$f : R^{(k)} \rightarrow R$ such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_{I_x} \dots \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k,$$

we say that f is a density function of F . When this is the case,

we have $\frac{\partial^k F(x_1, \dots, x_k)}{\partial x_k \dots \partial x_1} = f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, at any point where

f is continuous.

For any random vector X , the family \mathcal{G}' of all subsets S' of $R^{(k)}$ such that $X^{-1}(S') \in \mathcal{G}$ form a Borel field of subsets of $R^{(k)}$. If we define P' by $P'(S') = P(X^{-1}(S'))$ then $(R^{(k)}, \mathcal{G}', P')$ form a probability space. If $g : R^{(k)} \rightarrow R$ is any measurable function which is integrable on $S' \in \mathcal{G}'$ with respect to the measure P' we have

$$\int_S g(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}) dP = \int_{S'} g(x_1, \dots, x_k) dP'.$$

In case S' is of the form $(a, b]$ and g is Riemann integrable we have

$$\int_{S'} g(x_1, \dots, x_k) dP' = \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \dots \int_{a_1}^{b_1} g(x_1, \dots, x_k) dF(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

If the integral $\int_{\Omega} g(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}) dP$ exists, and is finite we define

the expectation of $g(X)$, denoted by $E[g(X)]$, by

$$E [g(X)] = \int_{\Omega} g(X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}) dP.$$

Let $g_p(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_p$. If $\mu_p = E [g_p(X)]$ exists for each $p = 1, \dots, k$, we define $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$ to be the mean vector of X . Furthermore, if $\sigma_{pq} = E [(g_p(X) - \mu_p)(g_q(X) - \mu_q)]$ exists for each $p, q = 1, \dots, k$, we define the matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{pq})$ to be the covariance matrix of X . In general, if the expectation

$E \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (g_j(X) - a_j)^{e_j} \right]$ exists, it will be called a product moment

of X about $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ of order $n = e_1 + \dots + e_k$. Note that

each σ_{pq} is a product moment about the mean vector of order 2.

We shall use the symbol $\mu'_{e_1 \dots e_k}(F)$ to denote the product moment

$E \left(\prod_{j=1}^k (g_j(X))^{e_j} \right)$ of any random vector with distribution function F .

In the case $k = 1$, $\mu'_e(F)$ denote the e^{th} moment about the origin of X .

DEFINITION 2.1.1 A random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ will be said to have a non-singular normal distribution if it has a density function

of the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = c e^{-\frac{1}{2}Q(x_1, \dots, x_k)}$, where $Q(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_j \sum_i a_{ij}(x_i - b_i)(x_j - b_j)$ is a definite positive quadratic form.

It can be shown that the mean vector $\mu = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$, the covariance matrix $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ is related to $A = (a_{ij})$ by $A = \Sigma^{-1}$

and the constant c is given by $c = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}}$

Hence the distribution function of non-singular k -variate normal distribution has the density function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_i \sigma^{ij} (x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)},$$

where σ^{ij} is the ij -entry of Σ^{-1}

Note that for the case of $k = 1$, the density function of a

random variable X is $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$, where μ and σ^2 denote the mean and variance of X .

Normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1 will play an important role in the sequel. We shall refer to it as the standard normal distribution.

DEFINITION 2.1.2 The characteristic function of a random vector

X is defined as $\varphi(t) = E(e^{it \cdot X}) = \int_{R^{(k)}} e^{it \cdot X} dP$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $t \in R^{(k)}$ and $t \cdot X = t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_k x_k$.

It can be shown that the characteristic function of the k -variate normal distribution with the above density function is

$$\varphi(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \exp\left(i \sum_{p=1}^k \mu_p t_p - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q=1}^k \sigma_{pq} t_p t_q\right).$$

In the case of $k = 1$, the characteristic function of the standard normal distribution is $\varphi(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}$.

We can obtain the moments of the standard normal distribution by differentiating its characteristic function. We find that its m^{th} order moment is given by

$$\mu_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd integer,} \\ \frac{m!}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} (\frac{m}{2})!} & \text{if } m \text{ is even integer.} \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 2.1.3 Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random vectors. If the corresponding sequence $\{F_n\}$ of distribution functions converges to a distribution function F at every continuity point of F , we say that $\{X_n\}$ converges in distribution to F . In this case we shall write $X_n \xrightarrow{D} F$ or $F_n \xrightarrow{D} F$.

DEFINITION 2.1.4 A sequence of distribution function $\{F_n\}$ is said to be convergent, if there is a function F such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x) = F(x)$$

at every continuity point of F .

We then always find that F is a non-decreasing in each variable x_i and $0 \leq F(x) \leq 1$, but it is not necessarily a distribution function. Note that a sequence $\{F_n\}$ may be convergent without converging to a distribution function.

We state without proofs two important theorems on characteristic functions. For their proofs, we refer to [2].

THEOREM 2.1.1 (Uniqueness Theorem)

If X_1, X_2 are random vectors having distribution functions F_1 and F_2 respectively, and characteristic functions φ_1 and φ_2 respectively, a necessary and sufficient condition for $F_1 = F_2$ is that $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$.

THEOREM 2.1.2 (Continuity Theorem)

Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random vectors with corresponding sequence of characteristic functions $\{\varphi_n\}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\{X_n\}$ to converge in distribution to a distribution function F is that the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ converges to a limit φ , which is continuous at $(0, \dots, 0)$.

Under these conditions φ is identical with the characteristic function of F .

2.2 RESULTS ON DISTRIBUTIONS FUNCTIONS OF RANDOM VARIABLES

In this section we shall assume that all distribution functions under consideration are distribution functions of random variables.

THEOREM 2.2.1 Any sequence $\{F_n\}$ of distribution functions has a subsequence which converges to a non-decreasing function which is continuous to the right.

Proof Let $\{r_n\}$ be the enumerable set of all positive and negative rational numbers, including zero, and consider the sequence of real numbers $\{F_n(r_1)\}$. Since $\{F_n(r_1)\}$ is bounded, it contains a convergent subsequence. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{F_{n'_k}\}$ of $\{F_n\}$ that converges at r_1 . By the same argument, we find that $\{F_{n'_k}\}$ contains a subsequence $\{F_{n''_k}\}$ which converges at r_1 and r_2 . Keep going on, we get $\{F_{n'''_k}\}$ which is a subsequence of $\{F_{n''_k}\}$ that converges at r_1, r_2 and r_3 . Repeating the same procedure, we obtain successively the subsequence $\{F_{n^{(1)}_k}\}, \{F_{n^{(2)}_k}\}, \{F_{n^{(3)}_k}\}, \dots$, where $\{F_{n^{(i)}_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{F_{n^{(i-1)}_k}\}$ and $\{F_{n^{(i)}_k}\}$ converges at r_1, r_2, \dots, r_i .

Define $F_{n_1} = F_{n'_1}, F_{n_2} = F_{n''_2}, F_{n_3} = F_{n'''_3}, \dots$, we see that $\{F_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{F_n\}$. Put $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(r_i) = c_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{c_i\}$ is a bounded sequence, and since every F_{n_k} is a non-decreasing function, it follows that we have $c_i \leq c_k$ as soon as $r_i \leq r_k$.

Now we define $F(x) =$ greatest lower bound of c_i for all $r_i \geq x$. It then follows directly from the definition that $F(x)$ is a bounded non-decreasing function. We shall now show that at every continuity point x of F we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(x) = F(x),$$

so that the subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ is convergent.

If x is a continuity point of F we can, in fact, choose $h > 0$ such that for any given $\epsilon > 0$, the difference $F(x+h) - F(x-h) < \epsilon$. Let r_i and r_k be rational points such that $r_i \in (x-h, x)$ and $r_k \in (x, x+h)$, so that

$$F(x-h) \leq c_i \leq F(x) \leq c_k \leq F(x+h).$$

Further, for every ν we have

$$F_{n\nu}(r_i) \leq F_{n\nu}(x) \leq F_{n\nu}(r_k).$$

As ν tends to infinity, $F_{n\nu}(r_i)$ and $F_{n\nu}(r_k)$ tend to the limits c_i and c_k respectively. The difference between these limits is smaller than ϵ , and the quantity $F(x)$ is included between c_i and c_k . Since ϵ is arbitrary, it follows that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} F_{n\nu}(x) = F(x)$. Thus the subsequence $\{F_{n_k}\}$ is convergent.

THEOREM 2.2.2 Let $\{F_n\}$ be any sequence of distribution functions.

If $\mu_2'(F_n) < k < \infty$ for all n , then any convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ converges to a distribution function.

Proof We have, for any $x_0 > 0$,

$$K > \mu_2'(F_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 dF_n(x) \geq x_0^2 \int_{-\infty}^{-x_0} dF_n(x) + x_0^2 \int_{x_0}^{\infty} dF_n(x).$$

Therefore, we may write

$$\frac{K}{x_0^2} > F_n(-x_0) + 1 - F_n(x_0), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For a given $\epsilon > 0$, we can therefore choose $x_0 > 0$ so that

$$1 - [F_n(x) - F_n(-x)] < \epsilon \quad \text{for } x > x_0 \quad \text{and for all } n.$$

Let $\{F_{n_k}\}$ be a convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ which converges to a non-decreasing function $G(x)$ at all of its points of continuity. Then clearly for $x > x_0$ we have $1 - [G(x) - G(-x)] < \epsilon$, that is $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} [G(x) - G(-x)] = 1$. Since $G(\infty) - G(-\infty) = 1$ and $G(\infty) \leq 1$, $G(-\infty) \geq 0$. If $G(-\infty) > 0$ or $G(\infty) < 1$ then $G(\infty) - G(-\infty) < 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $G(-\infty) = 0$ and $G(\infty) = 1$. Therefore $G(x)$ is a distribution function. Hence the convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ converges to a distribution function.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.2.2.

THEOREM 2.2.3 Let $\{F_n\}$ be any sequence of distribution functions. If $\mu'_2(F_n) < K < \infty$ for all n , then $\{F_n\}$ has a convergence subsequence.

THEOREM 2.2.4 Let $F, F_n: n = 1, 2, \dots$, be distribution functions such that $\mu'_m(F_n), \mu'_m(F)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(F_n)$ exist. If $\{F_n\}$ converges to F , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(F_n) = \mu'_m(F)$.

Proof Since for any $K > 0$

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m dF_n(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m dF(x) \right| \leq A_1 + A_2 + A_3,$$

where

$$A_1 = \left| \int_{-K}^K x^m dF_n(x) - \int_{-K}^K x^m dF(x) \right| ,$$

$$A_2 = \left| \int_{E_K} x^m dF_n(x) \right| ,$$

$$A_3 = \left| \int_{E_K} x^m dF(x) \right| ,$$

and E_K is the set of values of x for which $|x| > K$. It follows from Schwarz's inequality that

$$A_2^2 \leq \int_{E_K} x^{2m} dF_n(x) \cdot \int_{E_K} dF_n(x) ,$$

both integrals being non-negative. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_{2m}(F_n)$ converges, there exists a constant $M_m^2 > 0$ which bounds the first integral for all n and K . Since $F_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} F$, the second integral on the right, and hence A_2 can be made arbitrary small for all n by choosing K sufficiently large.

Since $\mu'_m(F)$ is finite, A_3 can be made arbitrary small by K sufficiently large.

Since $F_n(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} F(x)$ and both $\mu'_m(F_n)$ and $\mu'_m(F)$ are finite, it is evident that for any fixed K , A_1 can be made

arbitrary small by choosing n sufficiently large.

$$\text{Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m dF_n(x) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^m dF(x) \right| = 0,$$

$$\text{therefore } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(F_n) = \mu'_m(F) \text{ for each } m.$$

THEOREM 2.2.5 Given a sequence of distribution functions $\{F_n\}$ and a distribution function F . If every convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ converges to F , then $\{F_n\}$ converges to F .

Proof Assume the contrary. Hence there exists a continuity point x_0 of F such that $\{F_n(x_0)\}$ does not converge to $F(x_0)$. Therefore there is a subsequence $\{F_{n_k}(x_0)\}$ of $\{F_n(x_0)\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(x_0) = 1 \neq F(x_0)$. Since $\{F_{n_k}\}$ is a subsequence of distribution functions, it has a convergence subsequence. Let $\{F_{n_{k_i}}\}$ be a convergence subsequence of $\{F_{n_k}\}$. So $\{F_{n_{k_i}}\}$ is a convergence sequence but it is also a subsequence of $\{F_n\}$. Hence $\{F_{n_{k_i}}\}$ converges to F , therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_{k_i}}(x_0) = F(x_0)$. But $\{F_{n_{k_i}}(x_0)\}$ is a subsequence of $\{F_{n_k}(x_0)\}$, which converges to 1. So that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_{k_i}}(x_0) = 1$. Hence we have $F(x_0) = 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\{F_n\}$ converges to F .

001876

THEOREM 2.2.6 Let $F, F_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, be distribution functions such that $\mu'_m(F), \mu'_m(F_n)$ exist for all n and m . If $\mu'_m(F_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mu'_m(F)$ for each m then $\{F_n\}$ converges to F .

Proof Since $\{\mu'_2(F_n)\}$ converges, so $\mu'_2(F_n)$ is bounded. By Theorem 2.2.2 we know that every convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ converges to some distribution function.

Let $\{F_{n'_k}\}, \{F_{n''_k}\}$ be any two convergent subsequence of $\{F_n\}$. Suppose $\{F_{n'_k}\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} F'$ and $\{F_{n''_k}\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} F''$, where F' and F'' are distribution functions. Hence by Theorem 2.2.4 we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(F_{n'_k}) = \mu'_m(F') \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(F_{n''_k}) = \mu'_m(F'').$$

But from what are given, $\{\mu'_m(F_{n'_k})\}$ and $\{\mu'_m(F_{n''_k})\}$ are subsequences of the same convergence sequence $\{\mu'_m(F_n)\}$, which converges to $\mu'_m(F)$.

Hence they converge to the same limit $\mu'_m(F)$, i.e. we have

$$\mu'_m(F') = \mu'_m(F'') = \mu'_m(F), \text{ this is true for all } m. \text{ Therefore}$$

F', F'', F have the same characteristic functions, so by Theorem 2.1.1, $F' = F'' = F$. Hence every convergence subsequence of $\{F_n\}$ converges to the same distribution function F . Hence by Theorem 2.2.5, $\{F_n\}$ converges to F .

COROLLARY 2.1 Let $\{X_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables.

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu'_m(X_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } m \text{ is odd integer,} \\ \frac{m}{2^{\frac{m}{2}} (\frac{m}{2})!} & \text{when } m \text{ is even integer,} \end{cases}$$

then $\{X_n\}$ converges in distribution to the standard normal

distribution Φ , where $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt$.