

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARIES

The purpose of this chapter is to summarize the necessary background materials of abstract algebra needed as a basic reference for the remaining ones. However, for the most part definitions and theorems are stated without proofs which can be found in references [6] , [7] , [8] , [9] , [10] , [11] .

IDEALS

Definition 1-1 A nonempty subset I of a ring R is said to be a (two-sided) ideal of R if

- i) $a, b \in I$ imply $a - b \in I$, and
- ii) for every $a \in I$ and $r \in R$ both ar and ra are in I .

Theorem 1-1 Let $\{I_i\}$ be an arbitrary collection of ideals of a ring R , where i ranges over some index set. Then $\bigcap I_i$ is also an ideal of R . (For proof see [6]).

Definition 1-2 The ideal generated by a nonempty subset S of a ring R is the ideal which is the intersection of all ideals of R containing S and is denoted by (S) , that is,

$$(S) = \bigcap \{I \mid S \subseteq I ; I \text{ is an ideal of } R\} .$$

This definition is well-defined, since the entire ring R itself is an ideal containing any subset of R ; thus the set (S) exists and satisfies $S \subseteq (S)$, and by virtue of theorem 1-1 (S) forms an ideal. It is noteworthy that whenever I is any ideal of R with $S \subseteq I$, then

necessarily $(S) \subseteq I$. For this reason, one often speaks of (S) as being the smallest ideal of R containing S .

Theorem 1-2 Let R be a commutative ring with identity 1 and S be a nonempty subset of R , then the ideal of R generated by S is the set of all elements of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i$$

for $r_i \in R$, $x_i \in S$ and $n \geq 1$.

Proof For convenience, set

$$\bar{S} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \mid r_i \in R, x_i \in S \right\}.$$

What we must prove is $(S) = \bar{S}$. Let $x \in S$, hence $x \in (S)$, and since (S) is an ideal, $rx \in (S)$ for any $r \in R$, and thus $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i \in (S)$.

So we can conclude that $\bar{S} \subseteq (S)$. On the other hand, $S \subseteq \bar{S}$ since if $x \in S$, then $x = 1 \cdot x \in \bar{S}$. It remains to prove that \bar{S} is an ideal so that \bar{S} is an ideal containing S , and using the fact that (S) is the smallest ideal containing S , we have $(S) \subseteq \bar{S}$ implying that $(S) = \bar{S}$.

To prove that \bar{S} is an ideal, let $a, b \in \bar{S}$, then a and b are of the form

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i, \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i, \quad \text{for } a_i, b_i \in R, x_i, y_i \in S.$$

Hence

$$a - b = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i.$$

That is, $a - b$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i z_i$ where $r_i \in R$, $z_i \in S$, and thus $a - b \in \bar{S}$. For any $r \in R$,

$$ra = \sum_{i=1}^n r a_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i x_i$$

where $s_i = r a_i \in R$, so $ra \in \bar{S}$ implying that \bar{S} is an ideal. This completes the proof.

Remark If S consists of a finite number of elements, say a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , then the ideal which they generate is customarily denoted by (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) . Such an ideal is said to be finitely generated with the given elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n as its generators. That is,

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \{r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + \dots + r_n a_n \mid r_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Definition 1-3 An ideal I of the ring R is a prime ideal if for all a, b in R , $ab \in I$ implies that $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

Definition 1-4 An ideal P in a ring R is called perfect if P contains an element of R whenever it contains some power of that element: $a^t \in P$ implies $a \in P$.

Theorem 1-3 Let

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \subseteq \dots$$

be an ascending chain of ideals of a ring R . Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ is also an ideal of R . Furthermore, if it is an ascending chain of prime ideals, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ is a prime ideal in R .

Proof Let $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ and $a, b \in I$. Then $a \in I_i$ and $b \in I_j$ for some i and j . Now one of the ideals I_i and I_j contains the other, and so we may choose $\ell = \max\{i, j\}$ so that a and b belong to I_ℓ . Then $a - b$ belongs to I_ℓ , and so to I . Let $a \in I$ and $r \in R$. Then $a \in I_s$ for some s . Since I_s is an ideal, ra and ar belong to I_s and hence belong to I . Therefore I is an ideal. If each I_n is a prime ideal, we shall prove that I is also a prime ideal. Let $ab \in I$, then $ab \in I_k$ for some k . Since I_k is a prime ideal, $a \in I_k$ or $b \in I_k$. Thus $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Therefore I is a prime ideal.

Polynomial Rings

Definition 1-5 Let R be a ring. By the polynomial ring over R in one indeterminate X , written as $R[X]$, we mean the set of all elements of the form

$$f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n,$$

where n can be any nonnegative integer and where the coefficients a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are all in R . Such elements are called polynomials over R .

If $a_n \neq 0$, we call a_n the leading coefficient of $f(x)$, and the integer n is called the degree of the polynomial.

If $a \in R$ we can define

$$f(a) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n a^n$$

and if $f(a) = 0$, we call the element a a root or a zero of the polynomial $f(X)$.

Definition 1-6 If $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_mX^m$ and $g(X) = b_0 + b_1X + \dots + b_nX^n$ are both in $R[X]$ then

$$i) f(X) + g(X) = c_0 + c_1X + \dots + c_tX^t$$

where for each i , $c_i = a_i + b_i$

$$ii) f(X)g(X) = c_0 + c_1X + \dots + c_kX^k$$

where $c_t = a_t b_0 + a_{t-1} b_1 + a_{t-2} b_2 + \dots + a_0 b_t$.

Definition 1-7 Let R be a ring with identity 1, a polynomial whose leading coefficient is 1 is said to be a monic polynomial.

Theorem 1-4 (Division Algorithm)

Let F be a field and $f(x)$, $g(x) \neq 0$ polynomials in $F[X]$. Then there exist unique polynomials $t(X)$ and $r(X)$ in $F[X]$ such that

$$f(X) = t(X)g(X) + r(X)$$

where either $r(X) = 0$ or $\deg r(X) < \deg g(X)$.

(For proof see [7])

Theorem 1-5 Let R be an integral domain and $R[X]$ the polynomial ring over R . Let $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ be two polynomials in $R[X]$ of respectively degrees m and n , let $k = \max(m - n + 1, 0)$ and b_n be the leading coefficient of $g(X)$. Then there exist unique polynomials $q(X)$ and $r(X)$ such that

$$b_n^k f(X) = q(X) g(X) + r(X)$$

where $r(X)$ is either of degree less than n or is zero.

Proof If $m < n$, there is nothing to prove since we take $k = 0$, $q(X) = 0$, $r(X) = f(X)$ and we certainly have that

$$f(X) = 0 \cdot g(X) + f(X).$$

Consider the case $m \geq n$, let $d = m - n \geq 0$. We can write

$$f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_mX^m \text{ and } g(X) = b_0 + b_1X + \dots + b_nX^n$$

where $a_m, b_n \neq 0$. The existence proof is by induction on d , if $d = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_n f(X) &= a_n g(X) + (a_{n-1}b_n - a_n b_{n-1})X^{n-1} + (a_{n-2}b_n - a_n b_{n-2})X^{n-2} + \dots \\ &\quad + a_0 b_n - a_n b_0. \end{aligned}$$

So we have proven the theorem if $d = 0$, therefore we can assume that the theorem holds for all polynomials $l(X)$ such that $\deg l(X) - \deg g(X) < d$ ($d \geq 1$). Consider

$$b_n f(X) = a_m X^{m-n} g(X) + r_1(X)$$

$$\text{where } r_1(X) = (b_n a_{m-1} - b_{n-1} a_m)X^{m-1} + \dots + (b_n a_{m-n} - b_n a_m)X^{m-n} + \dots + b_n a_0.$$

Thus $b_n f(X) - a_m X^{m-n} g(X)$ has degree at most $m-1$, we might as well assume that it has degree $m-1$, if not, the argument is the same. By the induction hypothesis there exist polynomials $q_1(X), r_2(X)$ such that

$$b_n^{(m-1)-n+1} (b_n f(X) - a_m X^{m-n} g(X)) = q_1(X)g(X) + r_2(X),$$

where $\deg r_2(X) < n$ or $r_2(X) = 0$. We need now only take

$$q(X) = a_m b_n^{m-n} X^{m-n} + q_1(X), \quad r(X) = r_2(X).$$

As regards uniqueness, we suppose that $b_n^k f(X)$ has an other form

$$b_n^k f(X) = h(X)g(X) + p(X). \text{ Then}$$

$$(h(X) - q(X))g(X) = p(X) - r(X).$$

If $h(X) - q(X) \neq 0$, then $(h(X) - q(X))g(X)$ has degree at least n , whereas

$\deg(p(X) - r(X)) < n$. Hence $h(X) - q(X) = 0$, $p(X) - r(X) = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Definition 1-8 Let R be a commutative ring with identity. If $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_nX^n \in R[X]$, then the derivative of $f(X)$, written as $f'(X)$, is defined to be

$$f'(X) = a_1 + 2a_2X + \dots + na_nX^{n-1}.$$

Theorem 1-6 If $f(X), g(X) \in R[X]$ and $r \in R$, then

- i) $(f(X) + g(X))' = f'(X) + g'(X)$
- ii) $(r f(X))' = r f'(X)$
- iii) $(f(X)g(X))' = f'(X)g(X) + f(X)g'(X)$

(For proof see [10])

Definition 1.9 Let R be an integral domain. A polynomial $p(X)$ in $R[X]$ is said to be irreducible over R if whenever $p(X) = a(X)b(X)$ with $a(X), b(X) \in R[X]$ then either $a(X)$ or $b(X)$ has degree 0 (i.e., is a constant).

Theorem 1-7 (Unique Factorization in $F[X]$)

If F is a field, then each polynomial $f(X) \in F[X]$ of positive degree is the product of a non-zero element of F and irreducible monic polynomials of $F[X]$. Apart from the order of the factors, this factorization is unique. (see [6])

Polynomials in several indeterminates.

Definition 1-10 Let R be a ring. A polynomial ring over R in n indeterminates X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , denoted by $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$, is the set of all elements of the form of a finite sum:

$$\sum a_{i_1 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n},$$

where the i_j are non-negative integers and $a_{i_1 \dots i_n} \in R$. Each

$a_{i_1 \dots i_n} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}$ is called a monomial.

Definition 1-11 A polynomial in the n indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_n is called a symmetric polynomial if it is invariant under all permutations of the indices $1, 2, \dots, n$.

Example $X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 - X_1X_2 - X_1X_3 - X_2X_3$ is a symmetric polynomial in 3 indeterminates X_1, X_2 and X_3 .

Definition 1-12 The elementary symmetric polynomials are defined as follows:

$$s_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$$

$$s_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i < j} X_i X_j = X_1 X_2 + \dots + X_1 X_n + X_2 X_3 + \dots + X_2 X_n + \dots + X_{n-1} X_n$$

$$s_3(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i < j < k} X_i X_j X_k$$

.

.

.

$$s_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_1 X_2 \dots X_n .$$

From now on F will denote a field.

Theorem 1-8 If $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n \in F[X]$ has the n zeroes X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n in F , then

$$s_j(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (-1)^j \frac{a_{n-j}}{a_n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(For proof see [11])

Theorem 1-9 Every symmetric polynomial in X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n over F can be expressed as a polynomial in the elementary symmetric polynomials over F .

(For proof see [11])

Theorem 1-10 Let $f(X) \in F[X]$ of degree n with roots X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

If $g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial in y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n over F , then $g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is an element of F .

Proof Since X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are the roots of $f(X)$, by theorem 1-8 the elementary symmetric polynomials $s_j(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (-1)^j \frac{a_{n-j}}{a_n}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $s_j(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in F$. Now $g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ is a symmetric polynomial over F , it then follows from theorem 1-9 that $g(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ can be written as a polynomial over F in indeterminates $s_1(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $s_2(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, s_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)$. This implies that $g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a polynomial over F in $s_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $s_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $\dots, s_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ which are in F . Hence $g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in F$.

Fields and Extension Fields

Definition 1-13 Let F be a field and 1 its multiplicative identity. F is said to be of characteristic $p > 0$ if p is the least positive integer for which $p \cdot 1 = 0$ and F is said to be of characteristic 0 if there is no positive integer p for which $p \cdot 1 = 0$ except $p = 0$.

Definition 1-14 A field which does not contain any proper subfields is called a prime field.

Theorem 1-11 Any prime field of characteristic zero is isomorphic to the field of rational numbers. (For proof see [3])

Definition 1-15 Let F be a field; a field K is said to be an extension of F if F is a subfield of K . We call F a ground field.

From now on F will denote a ground field and K an extension of F .

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be fixed elements in K . Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be two polynomials in $F[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ such that $g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$, then the quotient $\frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{g(a_1, \dots, a_n)}$ belongs to K

(since K is a field) and the set of all such quotients is a field, denoted by $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. We call $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ the subfield of K which is obtained by adjunction of the elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n to F .

Definition 1-16 If K is finite dimensional as a vector space over F , we say that K is a finite extension of F . If K is infinite dimensional as a vector space over F , we say that K is an infinite extension of F .

Definition 1-17 An element $a \in K$ is said to be algebraic over F if there exists a polynomial $f(X)$ in $F[X]$ such that $f(a) = 0$. Otherwise, a is transcendental over F .

Definition 1-18 Let a be an element of K which is algebraic over F . The monic irreducible polynomial in $F[X]$ of which a is a root will be called the minimal polynomial of a in $F[X]$, or over F .

Theorem 1-12 If a is algebraic over F , then there exists a unique minimal polynomial of a in $F[X]$ and the field $F(a)$ coincides with $F[a]$. Moreover, if the minimal polynomial of a over F is of degree n , then any element of $F(a)$ has a unique expression of the form

$$c_0 a^{n-1} + c_1 a^{n-2} + \dots + c_{n-1}, c_i \in F$$

(For proof see [8]).

Definition 1-19 Two elements a and b of one and the same extension field K of F are conjugate over F if they are algebraic over F and have the same minimal polynomial over F .

Definition 1-20 The extension field K of F is simple extension of F if $K = F(a)$ for some a in K .

Theorem 1-13 If $f(X)$ is a non-constant irreducible polynomial in $F[X]$, then there exists a simple extension $F(a)$ such that a is a root of $f(X)$. (For proof see [8]).

Definition 1-21 The extension K of F is called an algebraic extension of F if every element in K is algebraic over F . Extensions which are not algebraic are called transcendental extensions.

Theorem 1-14 Any finite extension of a field of characteristic zero is a simple extension (See [7]).

Definition 1-22 If F is a subfield of K , then K is said to be an algebraic closure of F if

- i) K is an algebraic extension of F and
- ii) K possesses no proper algebraic extensions (that is, if every algebraic extension of F coincides with F).

Theorem 1-15 If F is a field, then there exists an algebraic closure of F , and any two algebraic closures of F are isomorphic (For proof see [8]).