

CHAPTER 5

VILLE THEOREM ON CONTINUOUS GAME

5.1 Introduction

In this chapter, Ville theorem is extended to continuous two-person zero sum game

Let B_1 and B_2 be Banach spaces and let a set P in B_1 and a set Q in B_2 be sets of distribution functions on $[0,1]$.

Suppose that the payoff function for a continuous game is M which is a continuous function on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and suppose that player I chooses x from $[0,1]$ by means of the distribution function $p \in P$ and that player II chooses y from $[0,1]$ by means of the distribution function $q \in Q$

Let A be the mapping from P into B_2^* defined by

$$Ap = \psi$$

where
$$\psi(y) = \int_0^1 M(x,y) dp(x) \quad (y \in [0,1], p \in P).$$

Then the total expectation of player I will be the bilinear form

$$(Ap, q) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 M(x,y) dp(x) dq(y) \quad \text{for } q \in Q.$$

It is assumed that to each $q \in Q$ there exists a $p \in P$ such that $(Ap, q) \geq 0$. 3.3.7 asserts the existence of a $p_0 \in P$ for which $(Ap_0, q) \geq 0$ for all $q \in Q$ if

(i) The image of P under A is weak*-compact and regularly convex.

(ii) The cone $\bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda Q$ is convex and closed.

5.2.2 Remark. Let B be a topological vector space. If E is weak*-open in $B^* \cap C[0,1]$, then E is open in $C[0,1]$

Proof : For any $f_0 \in E$, there exists $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ such that

$$f_0 \in \left\{ f \in C[0,1] : |f(x_i) - f_0(x_i)| < r_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\} \subset E$$

$$\text{Let } r = \min \{ r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \}.$$

Then $f_0 \in \left\{ f \in C[0,1] : |f(x) - f_0(x)| < r, \forall x \in [0,1] \right\} \subset E$.

So E is open in $C[0,1]$.

5.2.3 Remark. If $K \subset B^* \cap C[0,1]$ and K is compact in $C[0,1]$, then K is weak*-compact in B^*

Proof : Let $\{V_\lambda\}$ be an open covering of K where V_λ is weak*-open in B^* , i.e., $K \subset \bigcup_\lambda V_\lambda$. Then $K \subset \bigcup_\lambda (V_\lambda \cap C[0,1])$

where $V_\lambda \cap C[0,1]$ is weak*-open in $B^* \cap C[0,1]$. By 5.2.2, $V_\lambda \cap C[0,1]$ is open in $C[0,1]$. Since K is compact in $C[0,1]$,

$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{\lambda_i} \cap C[0,1])$. Hence $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{\lambda_i}$, that is K is weak*-compact in B^*

5.2.4 Theorem. Let B_1 and B_2 be the spaces of bounded Borel measures on $[0,1]$. And let

$$P = \left\{ \mu \in B_1 : \mu(E) \geq 0 \text{ for all } E \in \text{Borel algebra } M \text{ and } \mu([0,1]) = 1 \right\},$$

$$Q = \left\{ \nu \in B_2 : \nu(E) \geq 0 \text{ for all } E \in \text{Borel algebra } M \text{ and } \nu([0,1]) = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $K(x,y)$ be a continuous function on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and A be a linear mapping from P into B_2^* defined

$$\text{by } A\mu = \psi \quad \text{where}$$

$$\psi(y) = \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu(x) \quad (y \in [0,1], \mu \in P).$$

If to each $\sigma \in Q$ there exists corresponding $\mu \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu d\sigma \geq 0, \quad \text{then there exists a fixed } \mu_0 \in P$$

such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu_0 d\sigma \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in Q.$$

Proof : First, we have to show that $A(P)$ is weak*-compact regularly convex. Since K is continuous on a compact set $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, K is uniformly continuous and there exists a real number m such that

$$|K(x,y)| \leq m \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1].$$

$$\text{Hence } |A\mu(y)| = \left| \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu(x) \right| \leq \int_0^1 |K(x,y)| d\mu(x) \leq m$$

for $y \in [0,1]$ and $\mu \in P$. Thus $A(P)$ is uniformly bounded.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by uniform continuity of K , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|K(x,y_1) - K(x,y_2)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } |y_1 - y_2| < \delta, \text{ and}$$

for $x \in [0,1], y_1, y_2 \in [0,1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{So } \left| \int_0^1 K(x, y_1) d\mu(x) - \int_0^1 K(x, y_2) d\mu(x) \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 (K(x, y_1) - K(x, y_2)) d\mu(x) \right| \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |K(x, y_1) - K(x, y_2)| d\mu(x) \\
&< \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } |y_1 - y_2| < \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

and for all $\mu \in P$.

Thus $A(P)$ is equicontinuous. Since by 2.5.19 $A(P) \subset C[0,1]$, by Ascoli theorem, $A(P)$ is compact. Hence, by 5.2.3, $A(P)$ is weak*-compact in B_2^* .

Let μ_1, μ_2 be any elements in P . For $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_2](E) &= t\mu_1(E) + (1-t)\mu_2(E) \text{ which is non-negative,} \\
&\text{since } \mu_1(E) \text{ and } \mu_2(E) \text{ are non-negative.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } [t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_2]([0,1]) = t\mu_1([0,1]) + (1-t)\mu_2([0,1]) = 1.$$

Thus P is convex

Consider $\int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \in A(P)$ and $\int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_2(x) \in A(P)$, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
t \int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_1(x) + (1-t) \int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_2(x) &= t \int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_1(x) + (1-t) \int_0^1 K(x, y) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\text{for } y \in [0,1]. \\
&= \int_0^1 K(x, y) d(t\mu_1 + (1-t)\mu_2)(x) \text{ for } y \in [0,1]
\end{aligned}$$

By convexity of P , $A(P)$ is convex. So, by 3.3.2 $A(P)$ is weak*-compact regularly convex.

Let $X = \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda Q$. Since Q is convex, X is a convex cone.

And since Q is closed and $0 \notin Q$, by 5.1.1, X is closed in B_2 .

From the hypothesis to each $\sigma \in Q$, there exists $\mu \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu(x) d\sigma(y) \geq 0. \text{ Therefore to each } \lambda \sigma \in X,$$

there exists $A\mu \in A(P)$ such that $\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu(x) d\sigma(y) \geq 0$

and hence $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu(x) d(\lambda\sigma)(y) \geq 0.$

By 3.3.7, there exists $A\mu_0 \in A(P)$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu_0(x) d(\lambda\sigma)(y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in Q,$$

$$\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu_0(x) d\sigma(y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in Q,$$

i.e., there exists $\mu_0 \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) d\mu_0(x) d\sigma(y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in Q.$$

This completes the proof.

5.2.5 Theorem. Let B_1 and B_2 be the spaces of functions of bounded variation on $[0,1]$. Let P and Q , in B_1 and B_2 respectively, be the sets of distribution functions, i.e., the sets of non-decreasing functions of total variations 1. Let $K(x,y)$ be a continuous function on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ and A a linear mapping from P into B_2^* defined by

$$Ap = \psi$$

$$\text{where } \psi(y) = \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) \quad (y \in [0,1], p \in P)$$

If to each $q \in Q$ there exists $p \in P$ such that

5.2 The Ville theorem

In this section we shall state and prove the Ville theorem on continuous two-person zero sum game which B_1 and B_2 mentioned in section 5.1 are as follows :

- (i) spaces of bounded Borel measures on $[0,1]$,
- (ii) spaces of bounded variation functions on $[0,1]$,
- (iii) space of bounded variation functions on $[0,1]$,

and space of bounded Borel measures on $[0,1]$, respectively.

The proof needs the following remarks.

5.2.1 Remark. If Q is a closed subset of B^+ (set of positive measure) and $0 \notin Q$, then $A = \bigcup_{c \geq 0} cQ$ is closed subset in B

Proof : Let $\{\alpha_i\}$ be sequence of point in A such that $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha$ in B . We want to show that $\alpha \in A$.

Choose $c_i \geq 0$ and $\nu_i \in Q$ such that $\alpha_i = c_i \nu_i$.

We claim that $\overline{\lim} c_i < +\infty$.

Suppose $\overline{\lim} c_i = +\infty$. There exists subsequence c_i such that $\lim c_i = +\infty$. Then

$$0 \leq \nu_i = c_i^{-1}(c_i \nu_i) = c_i^{-1} \alpha_i,$$

which would entail that $\lim_i \nu_i = 0$ and so, since Q is closed, $0 \in Q$. This would contradict our hypothesis. So $\overline{\lim} c_i < +\infty$.

In that case there exists subsequence c_i which converges to c , say, and at the same time subsequence ν_i converging to ν . Then $c_i \nu_i$ is convergent, and its limit, $c\nu$, belongs to cQ . Hence $c\nu \in A$, i.e., α_i converges to $\alpha = c\nu$ and $\alpha \in A$.

$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) dq(y) \gg 0$, then there exists $p_0 \in P$ such that $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) dq(y) \gg 0$ for all $q \in Q$.

Proof : Since K is continuous function on a compact set $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, K is uniformly continuous and there exists a real number m such that

$$|K(x,y)| \leq m \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1]. \text{ Hence}$$

$$|A_p(y)| = \left| \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) \right| \leq \int_0^1 |K(x,y)| dp(x) \leq m V^1(P) = m$$

for $p \in P$. Thus $A(P)$ is uniformly bounded.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by uniform continuity of K , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0,1]$

$$|K(x,y_1) - K(x,y_2)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } |y_1 - y_2| < \delta.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } |A_p(y_1) - A_p(y_2)| &= \left| \int_0^1 K(x,y_1) dp(x) - \int_0^1 K(x,y_2) dp(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |K(x,y_1) - K(x,y_2)| dp(x) \\ &< \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } |y_1 - y_2| < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $A(P)$ is equicontinuous. By Ascoli theorem $A(P)$ is compact in $C[0,1]$ and hence, by 5.2.3, $A(P)$ is weak*-compact in B_2^* .

Since $A(P)$ is convex, by 3.3.2, $A(P)$ is regularly convex.

Let $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda Q$. Then X is closed convex cone. From the

hypothesis, to each $q \in Q$ there exists $p \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) dq(y) \gg 0. \text{ Therefore to each } \lambda q \in X \text{ there}$$

exists $p \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) d\lambda_q(y) = \lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) dq(y) \gg 0.$$

By 3.3.7, there exists $p_0 \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) d(\lambda q)(y) \gg 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in Q,$$

$$\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) dq(y) \gg 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in Q,$$

i.e., there exists $p_0 \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) dq(y) \gg 0 \quad \text{for all } q \in Q.$$

The proof is complete.

5.2.6 Theorem. Let B_1 be a space of functions of bounded variation on $[0,1]$ and B_2 a space of bounded Borel measures.

Let P in B_1 be the set of non-decreasing function of total variation 1 and Q in B_2 a set of positive measure such that $\mu([0,1]) = 1$. And let $K(x,y)$ be a continuous function on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, and A be a linear mapping from P into B_2^* defined by

$$\text{where } \psi(y) = \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) \quad (y \in [0,1], p \in P)$$

If to each $\mu \in Q$ there exists $p \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) d\mu(y) \gg 0, \text{ then there exists } p_0 \in P \text{ such}$$

$$\text{that } \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) d\mu(y) \gg 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in Q.$$

Proof : Since K is continuous function on a compact set $[0,1] \times [0,1]$, K is uniformly continuous and there exists a real number m such that

$$|K(x,y)| \leq m \quad \text{for } x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1]. \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$|A_p(y)| = \left| \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) \right| \leq \int_0^1 |K(x,y)| dp(x) \leq m \int_0^1 dp(x) = m \quad \text{for } p \in P.$$

Thus $A(P)$ is uniformly bounded.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, by uniform continuity of K , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x \in [0,1]$, $y_1, y_2 \in [0,1]$

$$|K(x,y_1) - K(x,y_2)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } |y_1 - y_2| < \delta$$

$$|A_p(y_1) - A_p(y_2)| = \left| \int_0^1 K(x,y_1) dp(x) - \int_0^1 K(x,y_2) dp(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 |K(x,y_1) - K(x,y_2)| dp(x)$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

whenever $|y_1 - y_2| < \delta$ and for $p \in P$. Thus $A(P)$ is equicontinuous.

By Ascoli theorem $A(P)$ is compact in $C[0,1]$ and hence by 5.2.3,

$A(P)$ is weak*-compact in B_2^* . Since $A(P)$ is convex, by 3.3.2,

$A(P)$ is regularly convex. Let $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \geq 0} \lambda Q$. Then X is closed convex cone. From the hypothesis, to each $\mu \in Q$, there exists,

$$p \in P \quad \text{such that} \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) d\mu(y) > 0. \quad \text{Therefore to}$$

each $\lambda \mu \in X$ there exists $A_p \in A(P)$ such that

$$\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) d\mu(y) > 0 \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp(x) d(\lambda \mu)(y) > 0. \quad \text{By 3.3.7, there exists}$$

$A_{p_0} \in A(P)$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) d(\lambda/\mu)(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{Q},$$

$$\lambda \int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) d\mu(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{Q},$$

i.e., there exists $p_0 \in P$ such that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 K(x,y) dp_0(x) d\mu(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mu \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

The proof is complete.