## CHAPTER II

A THEOREM ON MEAN VALUE ITERATIONS

2.1 <u>Introduction</u>. In this chapter we consider a mapping T which continuously maps the closed interval E = [0,1] into itself. We prove that a certain mean value iterative scheme always converges to a fixed point of T on E. This result was proved in [7], where T was required to have a unique fixed point in the interval. In this chapter we show that this restriction is unnecessary, convergence is proved by considering only the continuity of T:  $E \longrightarrow E$ .

2.2 <u>A convergent iterative scheme</u>. A well-known theorem of Brouwer asserts: Every continuous mapping T maps the closed N-cell (= N-disk) into itself has at least one fixed point, i.e. a point p such that Tp = p.

Consider a mapping T with the following properties.

(i) T is continuous on E = [0,1].

(ii) T maps E into itself.

From Brouwer's fixed point theorem, T has at least one fixed point on this interval. We will now show that the iterative scheme (1)  $x_{n+1} = Tv_n$ , (2)  $v_n = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , (3)  $v_1 = x_1 \in E = [0, 1]$ converges to a fixed point of T. 2.3 . <u>theorem</u>. Let E be the closed unit interval and let  $T:E \longrightarrow E$  be continuous. Then the iterative scheme

(1)  $x_{n+1} = Tv_{n}$ (2)  $v_{n} = \frac{1}{n} (x_{1} + \dots + x_{n}), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (3)  $v_{1} = x_{1} \in E$ 

converges to a fixed point of T on E.

Before proving the above theorem, we establish the following theorem.

2.4 <u>Theorem</u>. If either of the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  converges, then the other also converges to the same point, and their common limit is a fixed point of T.

To prove this theorem we need a lemma.

2.5 Lemma. If  $x_n \rightarrow p$ , and if  $v_n = \frac{1}{n} (x_1 + \cdots + x_n)$ ,

then v<sub>n</sub>--> p.

Proof. We have at once

 $v_n - p = \frac{1}{n} \left\{ (x_1 - p) + (x_2 - p) + \dots + (x_n - p) \right\}$ 

Since every convergent sequence is bounded, the sequence  $x_n - p \longrightarrow 0$ , and so, is bounded. Hence there exists K such that  $|x_n - p| < K$ for all n, and for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists N such that  $|x_n - p| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$  when  $n \ge N$ . Take a definite such value of N (>1) and let  $n \ge N$ . Then

$$|v_n - p| < \frac{(N-1)K}{n} + \frac{(n-N+1)}{2n} \varepsilon$$
$$< \frac{(N-1)K}{n} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

But N, K are fixed, and we can make the first term of the last expression less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  by taking  $n > 2(N-1)K\epsilon^{-1}$ . Hence for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_1$ ,  $N_1 = \max \{ N, [2(N-1)K\epsilon^{-1} + 1] \}$ , such that  $|v_n - p| < \epsilon$  when  $n \ge N_1$ . Therefore  $v_n \longrightarrow p$ . Q.E.D.

<u>Proof.</u> (of Theorem 2.4) Let  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = p$ . Then by Lemma 2.5,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = p. \text{ Since T is continuous, } \lim_{n \to \infty} Tv_n = Tp. \text{ But } Tv_n = x_{n+1}, \\ n \to \infty$ 

so that Tp = p.

If now we assume that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = q$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = Tq$  and by Lemma 2.5,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = Tq$ . Hence, Tq = q.

Q.E.D.

<u>Proof.</u> (of Theorem 2.3) We first show that  $v_n \in E$  by induction on n. Since  $v_1 = x_1 \in E$ , we have finished the first step.

Assume the statement holds for lesser values of n. Then

$$v_{n} = \frac{1}{n} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} ((n-1)(x_{1} + \dots + x_{n-1}) + Tv_{n-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} ((n-1)v_{n-1} + Tv_{n-1})$$

$$= (1 - \frac{1}{n})v_{n-1} + \frac{1}{n} Tv_{n-1} \in E$$

20

by induction hypothesis. (Recall that if  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  are points in  $\mathbb{R}$  then the point

$$x(t) = tx_2 + (1-t)x_1, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

lies on the straight line segment joining  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with  $\dot{x}(0) = x_1$ ,  $x(1) = x_2$ .)

Hence by induction,  $\textbf{v}_n \in \textbf{E}$  for all positive integral values of n.

Since both vn and Tvn are in E; we obtain

(5) 
$$|v_{n+1} - v_n| \leq \frac{1}{n+1}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

This means the step size becomes arbitrarily small as n increases. The proof can now be accomplished in two steps.

1. We first show that  $\{v_n\}$  converges. The sequence  $\{v_n\}$  is contained in E, a compact set, so it has at least one cluster point, by Theorem 1.13. We will prove that  $\{v_n\}$  converges to a unique cluster point.

Assume, on the contrary, that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are two distinct cluster points of  $\{v_n\}$  and  $p_1 < p_2$ .

a) We will show that a consequence of this assumption is that Tx = x for every x in  $(p_1, p_2)$ . Suppose there exists  $x^* \in (p_1, p_2)$  such that  $Tx^* \neq x^*$ . Then either  $Tx^* > x^*$  or  $Tx^* < x^*$ .

We assert that if  $Tx^* > x^*$ , then there is a  $\delta \in (0, (x^*-p_1)/2)$ such that Tx > x for all x satisfying  $|x-x^*| < \delta$ .

To prove this assertion, consider the function

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}$$

Since  $Tx^* > x^*$ , therefore

$$g(x^*) = Tx^* - x^* > 0.$$

Let  $0 < \mathcal{E} < g(x^*)$ . Since  $x^* > p_1$ , a number  $\mathcal{E}'$  can be chosen such that  $\mathcal{E}'' = \min \{ (x^* - p_1)/2, \mathcal{E}'/2 \}$ .

Since T is continuous, there exists a S > 0, S < E'' say, such that  $|Tx - Tx^*| < E''$  whenever  $|x-x^*| < S$ . Now

$$|g(x) - g(x^{*})| = |Tx - x - Tx^{*} + x^{*}|$$
  

$$\leq |Tx - Tx^{*}| + |x^{*} - x|$$
  

$$< \epsilon'' + \delta$$
  

$$< \epsilon'' + \epsilon'' = 2\epsilon'' \leq \epsilon'.$$

Consequently

$$g(x) > g(x^*) - \epsilon' > 0.$$

i contra i

That is

$$Tx - x > 0$$
, or  $Tx > x$ ,

whenever  $|x - x^*| < \delta$  and

$$\delta \in (0, (x^*-p_1)/2).$$

Then, 17 (4),

$$v_{n+1} - v_n = \frac{Tv_n - v_n}{n+1} > 0$$

when  $|v_n - x^*| < \delta$ .

I.e.,

(6) 
$$|v_n - x^*| \leq \delta \text{ implies } v_{n+1} > v_n \cdot$$

Now (5) implies there is a number M > 0 such that

(7) 
$$|v_{n+1} - v_n| < \delta$$
,  $n = M, M+1, ...$ 

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < p_2 - x^*$  and note that  $p_2 > x^*$ . Since  $p_2$  is a cluster point of  $\{v_n\}$ , a number N can be chosen such that N > M and  $|v_N - p_2| < \varepsilon$ . Hence

(8) 
$$v_N > p_2 - \epsilon > x^*$$

We now show by induction on n that if  $v_{\bar N}>x^*,$  then  $~v_{\bar N+n}>x^*$  for all positive integers n.

F om (8), it follows that either  $v_N < x^{*+}\delta$  or  $v_N \ge x^{*+}\delta$  . If  $v_N < x^{*}+\delta$  , then

$$|v_N - x^*| < \delta$$

and then, by (6),

$$v_{N+1} > v_N > x^*$$
.

If  $v_N \stackrel{>}{=} x^* + \delta$  , then

 $v_{N+1} > v_N - \delta \ge x^*$ 

since  $|v_{N+1} - v_N| < \delta$ , by (7).

Thus, we have finished the first step.

23

OLANN PIN

Assume the statement holds for n = k, k a positive integer, i.e. assume that  $v_{N+k} > x^*$ . We want to show that it is true for n = k+1.

By assumption, we see that either  $x^* < v_{N^+k} < x^* + \delta$  or  $v_{N^+k} \ \geqq \ x^* + \delta$  .

If  $x^* < v_{N^+k} < x^* + \delta$  , then

$$|v_{N+k} - x^*| < \delta$$

and then, by (6),

 $v_{N+k+1} > v_{N+k} > x^*$ .

If  $v_{N+k} \ge x^* + \delta$ , then

 $v_{N+k+1} > v_{N+k} - \delta \ge x^*$ since  $|v_{N+k+1} - v_{N+k}| < \delta$ , by (7).

Hence by induction,  $v_{N+n} > x^*$  for all positive integral values of n. Therefore

$$v_n > x^* > x^* - \delta > p_1$$

i.e.,

¥.

$$v_n - p_1 \ge \delta$$
,  $n = N+1, N+2, ...,$ 

which means  $\textbf{p}_l$  is not a cluster point of  $\{\textbf{v}_n\}$  , contrary to our assumption.

If  $Tx^* < x^*$ , similar reasoning contradicts the assumption that  $p_2$  is a cluster point. Therefore  $Tx^* = x^*$  for all  $x^* \in (p_1, p_2)$ .

b) We will now show that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are not both cluster points. Observe that

(9)  $v_n \notin (p_1, p_2)$  for all n = 1, 2, 3, ...,

since if there exists  $v_{n_0} \in (p_1, p_2)$  then by (a), we have just proved,

$$Tv_{n_0} = v_{n_0}$$

and then, by (4),

$$v_m = v_{n_0}$$
 for all  $m > n_0$ .

Now let  $\varepsilon < v_{n_0} - p_1$  and  $\varepsilon < p_2 - v_{n_0}$ , then  $v_m - p_1 > \varepsilon'$  for all  $m > n_0$ ,

and

$$p_2 - v_m > \varepsilon''$$
 for all  $m > n_0$ .

Therefore, neither p<sub>1</sub> nor p<sub>2</sub> could be cluster points, contrary to our assumption.

By assumption  $p_2 > p_1$ , let  $\mathfrak{E} = (p_2 - p_1)/2$ . Then there exists a number M such that  $\frac{1}{M} \leq \mathfrak{E}$ .

It follows from (9) that either  $v_{M} \stackrel{\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle \perp}}{=} p_{1}$  or  $v_{M} \stackrel{\scriptstyle {\scriptstyle \perp}}{=} p_{2}$ .

We again prove by induction on n that if  $v_{h_1} \ge p_2$ , then  $v_{h_1+n} \ge p_2$  for all positive integers n.

For n = 1; we have, by (5),

$$|\mathbf{v}_{M+1} - \mathbf{v}_{M}| \leq \frac{1}{M+1} < \frac{1}{M} < \varepsilon$$

thus

4

$$v_{M+1} > v_M - \epsilon \ge p_2 - \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - p_1) = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + p_1) > \frac{1}{2}(p_1 + p_1) = p_1.$$

Since  $v_{M+1} \notin (p_1, p_2)$ , by (9), therefore

$$v_{M+1} \geqslant p_2$$
.

Hence, we have finished the first step.

Assume the statement holds for n = k, i.e.,  $v_{M+k} \stackrel{>}{=} p_2$ . We want to show that it is true for n = k+1.

By (5),

k

A

4

$$|v_{M+k+1} - v_{M+k}| \leq \frac{1}{M+k+1} < \frac{1}{M} < \varepsilon$$
,

and by induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\mathbf{v}_{M+k+1} > \mathbf{v}_{M+k} - \mathbf{E} \ge \mathbf{p}_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1) > \mathbf{p}_1.$$

Since  $v_{M+k+1} \notin (p_1, p_2)$ , by (9), therefore

$$v_{M+k+1} \ge p_2$$
.

Hence by induction,  $v_{M+n} \ge p_2$  for all positive integral values of n. I.e.,

 $v_n \ge p_2 > p_1$  for all  $n \ge M$ .

Therefore

 $v_n - p_1 \ge p_2 - p_1 = 2\xi > \xi$  for all  $n \ge M_p$ 

and  $p_1$  is not a cluster point.

Similarly, if  $v_M \leq p_1$  then  $v_n \leq p_1 < p_2$  for all  $n \geq M$  and  $p_2$  is not a cluster point.

Either way,  $\{v_n\}$  cannot have two distinct cluster points. Therefore  $\{v_n\}$  converges to its unique cluster point p. The point p is also a limit of  $\{v_n\}$ , by Theorem 1.14.

To finish the proof we have to show that p is a fixed point of T.

2. To show that p is a fixed point of T, i.e. to show Tp = p,

assume Tp > p. Let  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}(Tp - p) > 0$ . Consider the function

$$g(x) = Tx - x.$$

Since T is continuous, g is also continuous and

$$g(p) = Tp - p.$$

Since  $v_n \longrightarrow p$ , therefore, by the continuity of g,

$$g(v_n) \longrightarrow g(p).$$

Then there is a number N > 0 such that

V

V

$$|g(v_n) - g(p)| < \epsilon$$

when  $n \ge N$ . Consequently

$$g(v_n) > g(p) - \xi = (Tp - p) - \frac{1}{2}(Tp - p) = \frac{1}{2}(Tp - p) = \xi,$$
  
i.e.,  $Tv_n - v_n > \xi$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

By (4)

2

×.

$$v_{n+1} - v_n = \frac{Tv_n - v_n}{n+1} > \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1}$$
 for all  $n \ge N$ .

Therefore

$$v_{N+m} - v_N = \sum_{n=N}^{N+m-1} (v_{n+1} - v_n)$$
  
 $\geq \sum_{n=N}^{N+m-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{n+1} \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty$ 

Therefore  $v_n \longrightarrow \infty$ , contradicting the fact that  $v_n \in [0,1]$  for all n.

Similarly, assuming Tp v\_n \longrightarrow -\infty. Therefore Tp = p. Q.E.D.