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## Chapter I

## Introduction

Stingless bee is one of social insects in family Apidae like honeybee (Apis mellifera). In Thailand, Tetragonula laeviceps is one of native stingless bees that are widely dispersed and is one of the most meliniponiculture (stingless bee culturing) (2, 3). Since it has no sting, it is not aggressive like honeybee and easy to manage. In its hive, it is composed of many cells, called pots that are used to store larvae, pollen, honey, and other products. The main material used in the construction of these pots is cerumen which is a mixture of pure wax and propolis (4-6). It is dark brown and sticky material. Cerumen is not only the main material of storage pots and brood cells, but it is also the material for involucrum (Cerumen sheet that cover brood section for controling temperature) to protect brood cells.

Previously, it was reported that products of bees including stingless bees such as honey, propolis, wax, pollen, and venom had many bioactivities and pharmaceutical benefits such as anti-viral (7), antimicrobial (8-11), anti-oxidant (12, 13), anti-inflammatory (14, 15), anti-cholesterol (16), and antiproliferative activities (17-19). Interestingly, a bioactivity of mixed bee products was more active than a single product $(8,16)$. Thus, cerumen, which is a kind of mixed products, should be interesting in searching for a better bioactivity. Due to a few research on cerumen, it was reported that pimaric acid, iso-pimaric acid, and gallic acid from crude ethanol extraction of T. carbonaria's cerumen in Australia could inhibit 5-lipoxygenase which was one of inflammatory modulators (5). As known, a bioactivity and a chemical composition of bee products depends on species, biodiversity of food plants, climate, region, and separation technique (20). In this research, cerumen of $T$. laeviceps collected from Chantaburi province was the main target because it has a meliniponiculture and apiculture complex for orchard agricultural in this area. Also, it was focused on the antiproliferative activity against cancer cells, a disease causing by an abnormality in cell cycle which misled to the response in apoptosis process (21). As known, cancer is one of the most severe diseases causing the high rate of death nowadays. Since chemotherapy still gives many severe side effects to patients, it is important to find a new and better active chemical compound, especially from natural products. In this research, it was aimed to separate and analyse chemical compositions in cerumen of $T$. laeviceps by partition extraction with three different
organic solvents. All crude extracts were tested for the antiproliferative/ cytotoxic activity against five human cancer cell lines which were breast cancer cell line or BT474, lung cancer cell line or Chago, liver cancer cell line or Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, stomach cancer cell line or KATO-III, and intestine cancer cell line or SW620. The most active crude extract of cerumen was further purified by column chromatography. The active fraction was obtained by MTT assay. The purity of separated chemical compositions was observed by thin layer chromatography (TLC). The most active and purest compound was analyzed for the structure by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and molecular mass by mass spectroscopy (MS). Later, cancer cells treated with an active compound were observed for the morphology change. In addition, cell death type was investigated.

## Objectives

- To determine whether Tetragonula laeviceps cerumen shows the antiproliferative activity
- To observe the change in cancer cells treated with T. laeviceps cerumen in term of morphology, cell death, and cytotoxicity
- To characterize a chemical structure of active compound in T. laeviceps cerumen


## Chapter II

## literature review

### 2.1 Biology of stingless bees Tetragonula laeviceps

It is one type of eusocial insects which has a main role in pollination of plants. The benefit to bee farmers is that it is stingless and not aggressive. It is similar to honeybee like Apis mellifera, A. cerana in many points. For example, both stingless bee and honeybee are in the same family of Apidae (22). They have a complete metamorphosis which is composed of egg, larva, pupa, and adult. They have a sex determination and share a lot of common behaviors. A queen and a worker are diploid female which was born by fertilization while a drone is haploid male which was born by parthenogenesis. For task assignment in a hive, a drone is in charge of mating only. Whenever, a drone is successfully mated to a queen, it will die. A worker has a lot of activities in a hive such as cleaning, constructing a hive, foraging, nursing broods, guarding an enemy. A queen controls and manages everything in a hive by using its pheromone. The most important role is that only a queen can lay eggs while workers are sterile (23).

Nowadays, stingless bees in Thailand are essential for orchard agriculture because they do not have floral preference like honeybees. Thus, they can forage nectar, pollen, and plant resin from various plant species. Besides, they are very good pollinators because they want pollen more than nectar. That helps to spread pollen better than honeybees. Farmers of some orchard agricultural areas prefer stingless bees than honeybees in order to increasing fruit product (24).

Tetragonula laeviceps is one type of stingless bees that is most widely dispersed in Thailand. It looks black (Figure 2.1). Due to Klakasikorn et al. (3), stingless bees were collected from 5 provinces in Thailand which were Chiang Mai, Mae Hongson, Nan, Kanchanaburi, and Chantaburi. After identified, it was reported that $T$. laeviceps could be dispersed in Chiang Mai, Nan, and Chantaburi. Its hive was host specific to Havea brasiliensis (Yang-pa-ra in Thai) trees.


Figure 2.1 Tetragonula laeviceps Smith 1857 (www.discoverlife.org)

Taxonomic key of T. Laeviceps $(2,22,25)$
Kingdom Animalia
Phylum Arthopoda
Class Insecta
Order Hymenoptera
Family Apidae
Tribe Meliponini
Genus Tetragonula
Species Tetragonula laeviceps

A hive entrance of different stingless bee species has a different shape like cylindrical tube, no funnel. It is unique so it can be used for species identification. The tube is made from resin and mud. According to Figure 2.2, a hive in a dark hole is covered by batumen but on top and bottom of the hive is covered by a very thick batumen plate. Inside a hive, there are a lot of storage pots and brood cells which are made from cerumen as main material. Cerumen is a black-brown sticky mixture containg wax, propolis, and plant resin. These pots are used to keep honey and bee pollen (Figure 2.3). Brood cells are arranged in many rows and layers at the center of a hive. Both areas are separated by a laminate layer of cerumen called involucrum.

Involucrum is used to control the temperature within a hive. However, sometimes involucrum may not be needed $(6,26)$.


Figure 2.2 Overview of stingless bee's nest (27)


Figure 2.3 Honey pot of $T$. laeviceps (24)

In 1983, Sakagami and Inoue (26) reported T. laeviceps nesting in Indonesia. It could construct a nest in a bamboo shoot. On top of shoot cavity, it was coated with thick batumen that had a water layer surrounding with thin black resin. An entrance tube made from black resin was $9-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length. Inside the cavity, zones of honey pots, bee pollen pots, brood cells, and cacoon cells were separated (Figure 2.4).

Inner tunnel was located closely to brood cells. Honey and pollen pots were arranged in clump and were attached closely to cavity wall. Storage pots were made from cerumen and had an oval shape with the length of $5-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the width of $7-14 \mathrm{~mm}$. Brood cells at the center of cavity were coated with involucrum layers. Worker cells had an oval shape with the 3.9 mm length and 3.0 mm width. A queen cell has a larger size $\left(6 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ than a worker cell.


Figure 2.4 Overview of $T$. laeviceps nest in bamboo stem. A indicates large cavity. B indicates well occupied cavity. C indicates inner tunnel. D indicates honey pot and pollen pot which is labeled " $p$ " (26).

In 2005, Chinx et al. (4) reported stingless bee nesting in the Cuc Phong national forest, northern Vietnam. They collected 35 hives of stingless bees which could be classified into 3 groups of Lisotrigona carpentari, Lepidotrigona ventralis, and Tetragonula laeviceps. T. laeviceps's nest was found in a big tree trunk and between rocks. A hive entrance was not a tube but was a hole covered by black resin instead. The inner side of the nest was covered by thin black material (batumen plate). Brood cells were not arranged into layer but were in many clumps. New brood cells were brown. In contrast, cacoon cells looked yellow because wax was removed. These brood cells were covered by laminate involucrum. A storage pot had an oval shape with the $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ width and $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ height.

### 2.2 Bioactivity of bee and stingless bee products

For decades, honeybee and stingless bee products which are honey, bee pollen, beeswax, propolis, cerumen, royal jelly, and bee venom have had various bioactivities which are beneficial for medical and pharmaceutical applications. Since bioactivity of cerumen was hardly reported, in this chapter, bioactivity of other bee products were reported instead.

### 2.2.1 Antimicrobial activity

In 2011, Voidarou et al. (9) reported the antibacterial activity of 60 honey samples from $A$. mellifera collected from 4 different botanical sources in Greece. The antibacterial activity was against 16 bacterial strains belonging in 7 species of Staphylococcus spp., Escherichia coli, Salmonella sp., Bacillus sp., and Shigella sp. After agar well diffusion method with different concentrations of honey was done, the percentage of inhibition zone was calculated. The result showed that honey originated from coniferous botanical source had the best antimicrobial activity with the minimal dilution of $17.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$. The antimicrobial activity was followed by honey originated from thyme botanical source with the minimal dilution of $19.2 \%$ $(w / v)$, honey originated from citrus and polyfloral botanical sources with the minimal dilutions of $20.8 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and $23.8 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$, respectively.

In 2012, Choudhari et al. (10) found that crude ethanol extract (CEE) of Trigona sp.'s propolis in India had the antibacterial and antifungal activity against 6 strains of multidrug resistant bacteria and Candida glabrata as fungi. The minimum inhibition concentration (MIC), minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), and minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC) values were observed. It revealed that the MBC value was in the range of 2.43-19.50 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the MFC value was $2.43 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The killing curve for those microorganisms treated by CEE at its MIC value was in the period of 1.08 to 3.53 h . Later, active chemical compounds were analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrophotometry (GC-MS), 15 out of 24 compounds were reported to be firstly found.

In 2014, Boonsai et al. (11) reported that cardanol from crude methanol extract (CME) of A. mellifera's propolis in Thailand had the best antibacterial activity against $S$. aureus and $E$. coli with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.175 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $0.683 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. The change in morphology of $E$. coli was also observed after it was treated with cardol at its $3 \times \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value

### 2.2.2 Antiviral activity

In 2010, Nolkemper et al. (7) reported that crude water extract (CWE) and CEE of $A$. mellifera's propolis in Czech republic could inhibit plaques of herpes simplex virus in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $4-5 \times 10^{-4} \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$. Also, both CWE and CEE could reduce the risk on HSVII infected RC-37 cell lines at 99\%. When both extracts were analysed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), they revealed various types of polyphynolic compounds and phenylcarboxylic acids such as quercetin dihydrate, chrysin, pinochembrin, galanglin, benzoic acid, cinnamic acid, caffeic acid, and pcumaric acid.

In 2012, Shahzad and Cohrs (28) reported that commercial Manuka honey and clover honey in Colorado, USA, could inhibit Varicella zoster virus that infected human malignant melanoma cells lines (MeWo). Both types of honey had half effective concentration $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ at $4.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$. However, clover honey had cytotoxicity to uninfected MeWo cell lines. The viability of cells treated with $5 \%(w / v)$ clover honey was $60 \%$ while the viability of cells treated with $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Manuka honey was approximately $75 \%$.

### 2.2.3 Anti-inflammatory activity

In 2010, Rached et al. (14) injected $1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of bee venom from $A$. mellifera in Brazil to antigen-induced arthritic rabbits ( $n=7-9$ ) every day for total 7 days. It could reduce leukocyte concentration to be $18,571 \pm 1,909 \mathrm{cells} / \mathrm{mL}$, comparing to the control rabbit which the leukocyte concentration was 40,968 $\pm 5,248$ cells/mL. In addition, prostaglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PGE}_{2}\right)$ concentration in the treated group decreased to be $0.49 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, comparing to the control group which the $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ concentration was $2.92 \pm 0.68 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$.

In 2010, Maruyama et al. (15) reported that CEE of $A$. mellifera bee pollen from Cistus sp. and Brassica sp. in China had the anti-inflammatory activity to carrageenan inducing edema at hind paw of mice. CEE at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ could inhibit the percentage of swelling at 48.4 and 43.5, respectively. Both concentrations were significantly efficient, comparing to anti-inflammatory drugs. For example, indomethacin had the percentage of swelling at 27.3. Furthermore, CEE could inhibit cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), main inflammatory enzymes, with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $>150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $10.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

### 2.2.4 Anti-cholesterol activity

In 2010, Nemoseck et al. (29) reported that clover honey from A. mellifera in USA could decrease the body weight, adiposity, and triglyceride in Sprague-Dawley rats. Total 36 rats were used. Eighteen rats had been fed with diet mixing with honey while other 18 rats had been fed with diet mixing with liquid sucrose for 33 days. For the first group, the body weight, food uptake, epididymal fat weight, serum triglyceride, and leptin were less than the second group by $14.7 \%, 13.3 \%, 20.1 \%$, $29.6 \%$, and $21.6 \%$, respectively. However, non-high density lipoprotein (non-HDL) cholesterol in the first group was less than the second group by $16.8 \%$.

In 2011, Kasianenko et al. (16) reported bee products such as honey, bee pollen, and bee bread from Apis mellifera in Russia could treat patients those had a problem of cholesterol level. Total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol were decreased in a patient treated with honey and bee pollen to 18.3\% and $23.9 \%$, respectively. Also, total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol were decreased in a patient treated with bee bread to $15.7 \%$ and $20.5 \%$, respectively. Furthermore, body mass index (BMI) of overweight and obese patients treated with these bee products decreased, comparing to the untreated patients.

### 2.2.5 Anti-oxidant activity

In 2009, Saric et al. (12) studied bee pollen from A. mellifera collected in Dalmatia and surrounding island in Croatia. It was originated from Cystus incanus L. flower pollen. It was in vivo tested for the antioxidant activity on laboratory mice. The result showed that the level of antioxidant enzymes such as lipid peroxidation (LPO), superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (gpx) in liver, brain, and erythrocyte was changed. Also, lipid peroxidation in liver decreased. When analyzing this bee pollen by HPLC, it revealed various phenolic compounds in the group of flavonol, flavones, and phenyl propanoid or caffeic acid.

In 2011, Morais et al. (13) studied A. mellifera bee pollen collected from 5 national parks in northern Portugal. The dominant flower pollens in bee pollen came from 8 families of plants. This bee pollen was tested for the antioxidant activity by di (phenyl)-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl) iminoazanium (DPPH) and beta-carotene bleaching assay. The result revealed that bee pollen from Parque Natural de Montesinho had the best DPPH reduction with the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $2.60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the best betacarothene bleaching efficiency with the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $3.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

### 2.2.6 Antiproliferative activity

In 2010, Jo et al. (17) determined the antiproliferative activity against ovarian cancer cell lines (SKOV3 and PA-1 cell lines) and apoptosis relating protein from bee venom and mellitin (major protein in bee venom) of A. mellifera. The result showed that bee venom and mellitin had the antiproliferative activity against SKOV 3 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. In addition, bee venom and mellitin had the antiproliferative activity against PA-1 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $2.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. The expression and translation modification of apoptotic proteins increased in treated cell lines. However, the expression and modification of cancer proteins such as JAK2, STAT3, Bcl-2 decreased.

In 2011, Umthong et al. (18) found that 30DCM, a partial purified composition from T. laeviceps's propolis from Samut Songkram province had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $4.09-14.67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Also, the partial purified sample had no effect on CH-liver cell line as normal cells.

In 2012, Teerasripreecha et al. (19) found that cardol and cardonal purified from Apis mellifera's propolis in Nan province had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $3.13-5.97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $10.80-29.30$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Also, both compounds had no cytotoxicity and DNA damaging to normal fibroblast (Hs27 cell line).

### 2.3 Xanthone derivatives

Xanthone is an organic compound firstly used as ovicide and larvicide of Carpocapsa pomonella which was pest of apple trees (30). This compound could be synthesized by shikimate and acetate pathway with phenylalanine and metahydroxybenzoic acid. The result showed the product of 1,3,5-trihydroxyxanthone that was the origin of compounds in xanthone group or genistein derivatives (31).


Figure 2.5 A pathway of xanthone biosynthesis (30)

The nucleus structure of xanthones is dibenzo- $\gamma$-pyrone ring. The chemical structure is shown in Figure 2.5 and the main formula is $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The difference of xanthones are from various functional groups and alkyl groups.


Figure 2.6 Nucleus structure of xanthone group.

Xanthone was mostly found in Garcinia mangostana or mangosteen, a tropical fruit found in Southeast Asia including Thailand. This fruit was renounced to be "queen of fruit" because its pericarp contained chemical compounds having various interesting bioactivities which were valuable for pharmaceutical and medicinal applications (32).

Xanthone compositions were found in many parts of mangosteen like fruit, bark, root (1, 33, 34). It was reported that 50 types of xanthones were found in pericarp. In addition, xanthones were still found in other plants. For example, 6 pruniflorone and 19 known xanthones were found in stem of Cratoxylum formasum.

Mangostin group was one of mostly found xanthones in mangosteen. Compounds in this mangostin group were alpha-mangosteen, beta-mangostin, and gamma-mangostin (Figure 2.7). The last one was the one found in this research.

Alpha-mangostin is the most important composition in these derivatives. There are three positions of hydroxy group at carbon position 1, 3, and 6. Methoxy group is at position 7 of nucleus ring. At position 2 and 8 , there are terpene alkyl groups.

Beta-mangostin has a structure like alpha mangostin but there are 2 positions of methoxy group at carbon position 3 and 7 , instead.

Gamma-mangostin has no methoxy group in a nucleus ring.
Mangostin group had a lot of bioactivities. Examples were below.
In 1983, Sundaram et al. (35) found that alpha mangostin from mangosteen had the antibacterial and antifungal activities with the MIC values of $12-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1-5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

In 2003, Suksamran et al. (36) reported the anti-tuberculosis activity of mangostins from mangosteen. Alpha and beta mangostin could inhibit Mycobacterium tuberculosis at the similar MIC value of $6.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ while gamma mangostin could inhibit M. tuberculosis at the MIC value of $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

In 2007, Chen et al. (37) reported alpha and gamma mangostin from mangosteen had the anti-inflammatory effect. Both could inhibit nitric oxide production from lipopolysaccharide stimulated murine macrophaged RAW 264.7 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 12.4 and $10.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. In addition, both mangostins could decrease production of prostaglandin E2 which was the inflammatory modulator with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 11.08 and $4.50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, respectively. Also, they could decrease the transcription of iNOS and COX-2 genes.

In 2005, Matsumoto et al. (38) found that alpha, beta, and gamma mangostins from mangosteen had the antiproliferative activity against colon cancer DLD-1 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In addition, cell cycle arrest was observed. It presented that the cell cycle of DLD-1 cell line treated by alpha and beta
mangostins was arrested at $G_{1}$ subphase while the cell cycle of the same cell line treated by gamma mangostin was arrested at $S$ subphase.

(A)

(B)

(C)

Figure 2.7 Chemical structure of mangostin group. Alpha mangostin was in (A). Beta mangostin was in (B) and gamma mangostin was in (C). Carbon positions were from Ghazali .(1)

Relative xanthones like garcinone, gartanin groups also had interesting bioactivities.

In 2002, Ho et al. (39) reported that garcinone E (Figure 2.8) from crude ethyl acetate extract (CEAcE) from mangosteen had the antiproliferative activity against many types of cancer cell lines such as 6 hepatoma cell lines, 4 lung carcicoma cell lines, and 4 gastric carcicoma cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $0.4 \pm 0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ to $>10$ $\mu g / m L$.

In 2013, Liu et al. (40) reported that gartanin (Figure 2.9) and alpha mangostin from mangosteen had the antiproliferative activity against 6 bladder cancer cell lines. The result showed that gartanin had the antiproliferative activity against those 6 cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $4.1-18.1 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$. In addition, gartanin also increased the expression of p53 protein but decreased the expression of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ protein that was an anti-apoptosis protein.


Figure 2.8 Garcinone E (Carbon position from Sakai (41)).


Figure 2.9 Gartanin (Carbon position from Ghazali (1)).

### 2.4 Cancer disease

Cancer is one of the most severe diseases. It occurs from the disorder of cell cycle. Cell division cannot be controlled. It is caused by too up-regulated or downregulated expression of cell cycle genes. Also, it is caused by DNA damage and DNA mutation from radiation ray such as UV or gamma ray, microorganism, oncogenic virus, polluted environment, and chemical carcinogen such as tobacco, liquor, poison, heavy metal, radioactive element.

### 2.4.1 Overview of cancer patients $(42,43)$

In 2012, World Health Organization (WHO) reported that 8.2 million patients from all over the world were dead by cancer and 14.1 million patients were still suffered by cancer. Top five of cancers were found to be lung cancer, breast cancer, liver cancer, stomach cancer, and colorectum cancer.

In 2011, National Cancer Institute of Thailand reported that the top three of cancer in male patients were colorectum cancer (16.2\%), respiratory system cancer, especially lung cancer (15.5\%), and liver together with bile ducts cancer (15.3\%). Furthermore, the top three of cancer in female patients were breast cancer (37.5\%), cervix cancer (14.4\%), and colorectum cancer (9.6\%).

### 2.4.2 Apoptosis and cancer

Apoptosis or program cell death is one of cell physiology processes or biochemistry processes (44). The change of morphology within a cell such as cell shrinkage, chromatin condensation, organelle shrinking, DNA fragmentation can be observed. This process is essential in animal development. For example, during metamorphosis, a tadpole's tail will be degraded when it grows to be a frog. Also, during the development of paw, fingers between hand plate and toes between foot plate can be separated from each other's (45). There are two types of apoptosis pathways called an intrinsic and an extrinsic pathway (Figure 2.10).

Extrinsic apoptosis pathway is directly related to environment such as radiation, toxin, over-oxidation or irreversible DNA damage. When a cell is in this environment, its nucleus will release p53 protein as transcription factor to cytoplasm. This protein can activate p 21 protein so the cell cycle will be arrested in order for repairing DNA. If it cannot be repaired, p53 protein will activate Bax protein. Bax protein with Bcl-2 protein can activate the outer membrane of mitochondria to release cytochrome C which will have an interaction with APAF protein. That will result in activating procaspase-9 to be caspase-9 and formation of apoptosome (4648).

Intrinsic apoptosis pathway is related to tumor necrosis factor-alpha from $T$ lymphocyte. This necrosis factor will bind to a death receptor or Fas located at cell membrane with the help of FADD as adapter. This form will, later, be able to activate procaspase-8 to be caspase-8 (49, 50).

Both caspase-8 and apoptosome will have an interaction to caspase-3. This enzyme will activate DFFA to be DFF. This DFF will enter into nucleus and cause DNA fragmentation and chromatin condensation. Caspase-3 also digests cytoskeleton protein resulting in cell shrinkage, blebbing, and lysate. Later, apoptotic cells will be removed by macrophage cells $(51,52)$.


Figure 2.10 Extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathway (52).

### 2.4.3 Angiogenesis

Angiogenesis is one of reasons why cancer cells can survive, develop, and easily spread to other tissue and organs (Figure 2.11). New blood vessel can be created or formed by this process. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a main protein or transcription factor controlling this process. It can induce endothelial cells to form a new blood vessel which will connect to the main vessel finally.

In cancer cells, VEGF is over expressed. Thus, new blood vessels are more created within clumped cancer cells so they can have sufficient nutrients and oxygen. $(21,53)$


Figure 2.11 Angiogenesis overview (53).

### 2.4.4 Treatment of cancer

There are many ways to treat cancer like surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy. At present, chemotherapy is very efficient for cancer treatment. A lot of chemotherapeutic drugs were successfully developed as below.

Paclitaxel or Taxol (Figure 2.12) was the secondary metabolite of plants classified in Taxol spp. like a yew tree. It was the first anticancer drug and could be effective to many types of cancer. It could inhibit microtubule synthesis in cancer cells so spindle fiber which was important in cell division could not be formed (54). After the chemical structure of Taxol was revealed, it could be successfully synthesized in a laboratory (55-57).


Figure 2.12 Pacitaxel (Taxol).

5-Fluorouracil (Figure 2.13) could induce apoptosis of cancer cells by pyrimidine nucleic acid substitution. Thus, DNA replication and transcription could not occur. That leaded to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis eventually (58).


Figure 2.13 5-fluorouracil.
Doxorubicin or Adriamycin (Figure 2.14) could treat various types of cancer. This drus played a main role in DNA intercalation and inhibited the function of topoisomerase II. Thus, DNA replication could not be continued (59).


Figure 2.14 Doxorubicin.
At present, searching for new anticancer drugs is still challenging because of chemotherapeutic resistant cancer. In addition, new types of cancer can still be reported such as cancer causing by infection of new virus or new oncovirus (60). Besides, new innovation or technology has been developing in order for the better treatment like RNAi technology (61). The most interesting innovation was nanoparticle application. It helped an anticancer drug to be specifically delivered into cancer cells. Thus, there was no harm to normal cells (62). Furthermore, nano-
encapsulated drug containing both anticancer compound and anti-angiogenesis compound was successfully developed (63). A drug containing plasmid of p53 gene or various DNA repairing genes was also developed for cancer therapy (64) Briefly about nano-encapsulated drug, the outer surface was made from various materials such as nanosilver, chitosan, liposome. It had a specific ligand to bind to a receptor presenting at cancer cell membrane only. Thus, after the binding, a drug could enter into the cancer cell immediately (21). In overall, it seems to be that cancer patients may hope to be cured.

## Chapter III

## Materials and Methods

### 3.1 Equipments \& Supplies

- Laminar flow (ISSCO)
- Carbon dioxide incubator (Thermo Electron Cooporation)
- Autoclave (Udono-RII)
- UV light (Wilber lourmat)
- Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy
- Varian Model Mercury ${ }^{+} 400$ spectrometer
- Bruker Model ADVANCE (400 MHz) spectrometer
- Shaker (Bioer technology)
- Centrifuge (Hettich)
- Rotary evaporator
- for crude extract (Heidolph)
- for fraction (Eyela)
- Hot plate stirrer (Clifton cerastir)
- Distillator (Labquip)
- Cooler (AT 901)
- Pump
- Vacumn pump for column chromatography (Hailea®)
- Water pump for evaporator (Eyela)
- Microplate reader (Biotek synergy HT)
- Inverted light Microscope (Nikon)
- Inverted light Microscope and camera (Ziess and Canon EOS 7D)
- Vortex (Genie)
- Multichannel micropipette
- 2-20 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Arise)
- 5-50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Thermo scientific)
- 20-200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Socorex)
- 50-300 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Discovery comfort)
- Single micropipette
- $\quad 0.5-10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Biohit)
- 20-200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Biohit)
- 50-200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Biohit)
- 200-1,000 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ (Biohit)
- Cultured flask (Nunclon ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ )
- 96 well plate (Costar®)
- Autopipette (Swiftpet+)
- 50 ml plastic centrifugal tube (Biologix)
- Pipette tip
- $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Axygen)
- $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Axygen)
- $1,000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (Axygen)
- 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube (Axygen)
- Cryotube (Nunc)
- Silica gel 60 F254 coating thin layer chromatography plate (Merck)
- Silica gel 60G (0.004-0.063 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) (Merck)
- Filter paper(Whatman®)
- Buchner funnel (M.T.)
- Aluminum foil (Diamond)
- Glassware
- Round bottom flask

○ $25 \mathrm{~mL}(\mathrm{NK})$

- 100 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- 250 mL (Favorit®)

○ 500 mL (Witeg)
O $1,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ (Schott duran, Pyrex)
O $2,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ (Schott duran, Pyrex)

- Beaker
- 100 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- 250 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- 500 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- Flask
- 50 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)

O 100 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)

- 200 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- 250 mL (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- $1,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ (Schott duran, Pyrex)
- Volumetric cylinder

O 10 mL (Witeg)

- 100 mL (Witeg)
- 250 mL (Simax)
- 1,000 mL (Witeg)
- Glass column with bulb
- $1,500 \mathrm{~mL}$ (Schott duran)

O 350 mL (Schott duran)

- 250 mL (Schott duran)
- Adaptor for evaporator (NK)
- NMR tube $2.5 \mathrm{ml}($ Duran®)
- Funnel distillatory collection (Schott duran)


### 3.2 Chemicals

- Solvent, commercial grade
- Methanol (TSL chemical)
- Dichloromethane or methylene chloride (TSL chemical)
- Ethyl acetate (TSL chemical)
- Hexane (TSL chemical)
- Solvent, analytical grade
- Methanol (Burdick and Jackson)
- Dichloromethane or methylene chloride (Burdick and Jackson)
- Hexane (Burdick and Jackson)
- Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)
- For cryomedium preparation (Analytical grade) (Sigma)
- For general use (RCl labscan)
- Deuterated chloroform contained with TMS (Cambridge)
- Ceric ammonium molybdate powder (Sigma)
- RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Invitrogen)
- Basal iscove medium (Gibco, Invitrogen)
- Fetal calf serum (Biochrom AG)
- Trypsin (Biochrom AG)
- 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) powder (Biobasic Inc)
- Doxorubicin (Sigma)
- 5-fluorouracil (Sigma)


### 3.3 Cerumen collection

Cerumen of Tetragonula laeviceps was collected from mangosteen and rambutan orchard and meliniponiculture complex in Makham district, Chantaburi province, Thailand in May 8, 2012. This cerumen was from honeypot after honey was squeezed (Figure 3.1). It was wrapped by aluminium foil and kept in dark at $-20 C^{\circ}$ until used.


Figure 3.1 T. laeviceps's honeypot cerumen as raw material.

### 3.4 Bioassay guided partition extraction

## Method 1

It was adapted from Umthong et al. (18) and Teerasripreecha et al. (19). Two hundred grams of small pieces of cerumen were dissolved in 900 mL of $80 \%$ methanol (MeOH) and shaked at $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 18 h . Next, the mixture was centrifuged at $5,862 \mathrm{~g}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Supernatant were collected. The obtained sample would be crude methanol extract (CME). Remaining pellet from CME was further dissolved in 900 mL of dichloromethane (DCM) which the ratio between pellet and solvent was 1: 4.4. The mixture was again centrifuged at 5,862 g, 20 C for 15 min. Supernatant were collected. The obtained sample would be crude DCM extract (CDE). Remaining pellet from CDE was further dissolved in 900 mL of hexane. The mixture was again centrifuged at $5,862 \mathrm{~g}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Supernatant were collected. The obtained sample would be crude hexane extract (CHE).

## Method 2

In addition, 70 g of small pieces of cerumen were used for the extraction but the first used solvent was hexane. Then, it was followed by DCM and $80 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$.

The volume used for each extraction was 310 mL . The process and the condition used in this method were similar to those used in method $1(18,19)$.

All of six crude extracts were evaporated with rotary evaporator in order to remove the solvent. All crudes were then weighed and kept in the dark at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used.

### 3.5 The Isolation and Identification of bioactive compounds

All commercial grade solvents in this step were distilled prior to used. First, $1,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ of commercial grade solvent was added in a round flask ( $2,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ in size). Four to 5 small ceramic pieces were added and solvent was then heated at its boiling point. After it was evaporated, it would be condensed by a condenser connecting with a cooler. Later, distilled solvent was dropped into a funnel distillatory collector and was collected in a container.

### 3.5.1 The Isolation of bioactive crude extracted from cerumen of $T$.

## laeviceps

A glass column ( 5 cm diameter $\times 50 \mathrm{~cm}$ height with 500 ml bulb) was packed with 300 g of silica gel $60 \mathrm{G}(0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ as stationary phase and $1,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ of hexane was used as initial mobile phase. Next, 6 g of active crude was mixed with 12 g of silica gel $60 \mathrm{G}(0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and the mixture was left at RT until dry. Then, it was grinded in a mortar until it was like sandy powder. It was added onto the top of packed silica gel in the column. Then, it was eluted by the stepwise gradient of organic solvents such as hexane, ethyl acetate, MeOH . While eluting, a fraction of 100 mL was collected. Total number of collected fractions was mentioned in Table 3.1. Vacuum pump was also used in order to increase the speed of elution.

Table 3.1 The stepwise gradient of mobile phase used in the column chromatography for active crude extracts

| Serial step of mobile phase | Mobile phase system (Hexane: Ethyl acetate: Methanol) | Eluted fraction number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100: 0: 0 | 1-10 |
| 2 | 95: 5: 0 | 11-18 |
| 3 | 90: 10: 0 | 19-28 |
| 4 | 85: 15: 0 | 29-33 |
| 5 | 80: 20: 0 | 34-38 |
| 6 | 70: 30: 0 | 39-43 |
| 7 | 60: 40: 0 | 44-48 |
| 8 | 50: 50: 0 | 49-53 |
| 9 | 40: 60: 0 | 54-63 |
| 10 | 30: 70: 0 | 64-73 |
| 11 | 25: 75: 0 | 74-78 |
| 12 | 20: 80: 0 | 79-88 |
| 13 | 10: 90: 0 | 89-98 |
| 14 | 0: 100: 0 | 99-108 |
| 15 | 0: 75: 25 | 109-118 |
| 16 | 0: 50: 50 | 119-128 |
| 17 | 0: 25: 75 | 129-138 |
| 18 | 0: 0: 100 | 139-160 |

All fractions were analyzed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) as described in 3.5.4. Any fractions those showed the same pattern would be merged and evaporated to remove the solvent. The merged fraction was weighed and tested for the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines.

### 3.5.2 The Isolation of bioactive fractions

A glass column ( 3 cm diameter and 60 cm height with 140 ml bulb) was packed by silica gel 60G at $3 / 5$ of the column height for stationary phase and DCM were used as initial mobile phase. Fraction from Table 4.4 with antiproliferative activity (see the examination procedure 3.6.1) were mixed by silica gel 60 G (0.040$0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and left at RT to dry the sample. Then, it was grinded into sandy powder and was added on top of the column. It was eluted by the stepwise gradient of organic solvents DCM- MeOH as mentioned in Tables 3.2 and 3.3. A fraction of 5 ml was collected.

Table 3.2 The stepwise gradient of mobile phase used in the column chromatography for the bioactive fraction (the combined fraction VII).

| Serial step of mobile phase | Mobile phase system <br> (Dichloromethane: Methanol) | Eluted fraction number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100: 0 | 1-17 |
| 2 | 99.5: 0.5 | 18-32 |
| 3 | 99: 1 | 33-47 |
| 4 | 98.5: 1.5 | 48-62 |
| 5 | 98: 2 | 63-77 |
| 6 | 97.5: 2.5 | 78-92 |
| 7 | 97: 3 | 93-107 |
| 8 | 96.5: 3.5 | 108-122 |
| 9 | 96: 4 | 123-137 |
| 10 | 95.5: 4.5 | 138-152 |
| 11 | 95: 5 | 153-167 |
| 12 | 94.5: 5.5 | 168-187 |
| 13 | 94: 6 | 188-203 |
| 14 | 93.5: 6.5 | 204-218 |
| 15 | 93: 0 | 219-233 |
| 16 | 92.5: 7.5 | 234-248 |
| 17 | 90: 10 | 249-263 |
| 18 | 85: 15 | 264-283 |
| 19 | 80: 20 | 284-298 |
| 20 | 75: 25 | 299-313 |
| 21 | 70: 30 | 314-328 |
| 22 | 65: 35 | 329-343 |
| 23 | 60: 40 | 344-363 |

Table 3.3 The stepwise gradient of mobile phase used in the column chromatography for bioactive fraction (the combined fraction VIII).

| Serial step of mobile phase | Mobile phase system <br> (Dichloromethane: Methanol) | Eluted fraction number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100: 0 | 1-20 |
| 2 | 99.5: 0.5 | 21-35 |
| 3 | 99: 1 | 36-50 |
| 4 | 98.5: 1.5 | 51-65 |
| 5 | -98:2 | 66-80 |
| 6 | 97.5: 2.5 | 81-95 |
| 7 | 97: 3 | 96-110 |
| 8 | 96.5: 3.5 | 111-125 |
| 9 | 96: 4 | 126-140 |
| 10 | 95.5: 4.5 | 141-155 |
| 11 | 95: 5 | 156-175 |
| 12 | 94.5: 5.5 | 176-190 |
| 13 | 94: 6 | 191-205 |
| 14 | 93.5: 6.5 | 206-220 |
| 15 | 93: 0 | 221-235 |
| 16 | 92.5: 7.5 | 236-250 |
| 17 | 92: 8 | 251-265 |
| 18 | 90: 0 | 266-280 |
| 19 | 85: 15 | 281-300 |
| 20 | 80: 20 | 301-315 |
| 21 | 75: 25 | 316-330 |
| 22 | 70: 30 | 331-345 |
| 23 | 60: 40 | 346-360 |

All fractions were analyzed by TLC. Any fractions those showed the same pattern would be merged and evaporated to remove the solvent. All merged subfractions were tested for the antiproliferative activity or further step.

### 3.5.3 The Isolation of subfractions that originated from bioactive

## fractions

A glass column ( 2.5 cm diameter and 50 cm height with 100 ml bulb) was packed with silica gel 60G at $1 / 2$ of the height for stationary phase and DCM was used as initial mobile phase. Active subfractions from 3.6 .2 were mixed by 0.5 g of silica gel $60 \mathrm{G}(0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and was left at RT until dry. Then, it was grinded to be sandy powder and added on the top of the column. It was eluted by the stepwise gradient of organic solvent such as DCM, MeOH as recorded in Tables 3.4 and 3.5. A fraction of 2.5 mL was collected.

Table 3.4 The stepwise gradient of mobile phase used in the column chromatography for bioactive subfraction (combined subfraction F7F).

| Serial step of mobile phase | Mobile phase system (Dichloromethane: Methanol) | Eluted fraction number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100: 0 | 1-30 |
| 2 | 99.5: 0.5 | 31-60 |
| 3 | 99: 1 | 61-90 |
| 4 | 98.5: 1.5 | 91-120 |
| 5 | 98: 2 | 121-150 |
| 6 | 97.5: 2.5 | 151-180 |
| 7 | 97: 3 | 181-210 |
| 8 | 96.5: 3.5 | 211-240 |
| 9 | 96: 4 | 241-270 |
| 10 | 95: 5 | 271-300 |
| 11 | 94: 6 | 301-330 |
| 12 | 93: 7 | 331-360 |
| 13 | 92: 8 | 361-390 |
| 14 | 91: 9 | 391-420 |
| 15 | 90: 10 | 421-450 |
| 16 | 85: 15 | 451-480 |
| 17 | 80: 20 | 481-510 |
| 18 | 75: 25 | 511-540 |
| 19 | 70: 30 | 541-570 |
| 20 | 65: 35 | 571-600 |
| 21 | 60: 40 | 601-630 |
| 22 | 50: 50 | 631-660 |

Table 3.5 The stepwise gradient of mobile phase used in the column chromatography for bioactive subfraction (combined subfraction F8E).

| Serial step of mobile phase | Mobile phase system (Dichloromethane: Methanol) | Eluted fraction number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100: 0 | 1-40 |
| 2 | 99.5: 0.5 | 41-65 |
| 3 | 99: 1 | 66-90 |
| 4 | 98.5: 1.5 | 91-120 |
| 5 | 98: 2 | 121-150 |
| 6 | 97.5: 2.5 | 151-180 |
| 7 | 97: 3 | 181-210 |
| 8 | 96.5: 3.5 | 211-240 |
| 9 | 96: 4 | 241-270 |
| 10 | 95: 5 | 271-300 |
| 11 | 94: 6 | 301-330 |
| 12 | 93: 7 | 331-360 |
| 13 | 92: 8 | 361-390 |
| 14 | 91: 9 | 391-420 |
| 15 | 90: 10 | 421-450 |
| 16 | 85: 15 | 451-480 |
| 17 | 80: 20 | 481-510 |
| 18 | 75: 25 | 511-540 |
| 19 | 70: 30 | 541-570 |
| 20 | 65: 35 | 571-600 |
| 21 | 60: 40 | 600-630 |

All fractions were analyzed by TLC. Any fractions those showed the same pattern would be merged. All merged subfractions will be tested for the antiproliferative activity or further experiment step.

### 3.5.4 Thin layer chromatography (TLC) identification

Solvents in this step were analytical grade. An aluminum silica gel coated TLC plate (Merck) was cut into small pieces at the size of $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The baseline was drawn at 0.7 cm higher from the bottom of the plate. Also, the solvent front line was drawn at 0.5 cm lower from the top of the plate. Each sample was spotted on a TLC plate by using a small glass capillary tube. The mobile phases were $100 \%$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, 97: $3\left(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right.$ ) of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$, 95 : $5(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$, and 90: $10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$. The mobile phase ( 5 mL ) was added in a glass TLC tank. Then, a spotted TLC plate had been dipped into the TLC tank until the solvent level reached the solvent front line. The TLC plate was left at RT until dry. A composition in sample could be observed by 2 ways. The first one, an overview of composition could be screened under the ultraviolet light. The second one, it was observed by using ceric ammonium molybdate dipping reagent (Detail in appendix $C$ and $D$ ). A TLC plate was dipped in the reagent and hot blow until dry. Then, it was heated by a hot plate stirrer until compositions were appeared.

### 3.5.5 Identification of chemical structure

Evaporated, purified, and active chemical composition ( $5-10 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was dissolved in $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of deuterated chloroform mixed with TMS (Tetramethylsilane) for reference. Then, it was transferred to a glass NMR tube. All samples were analyzed and recorded for the ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum with Variance NMR (Nuclear magnetic resonance) spectroscopy and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and 2-dimensional NMR spectrum such as COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy), HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence Spectroscopy), and HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation) with Bruker NMR spectroscopy for analyzed chemical structure of that active compound (Figure 3.6). Then, all spectrums were analyzed by NMR program, Mest Renova, for peak reference calibration, phase and baseline correction, integration calculation, J coupling calculation, peak analysis, multiplets analysis, and correlation of peak in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum in 2-dimensional NMR. Reference peak of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR is 7.260 ppm and 13C NMR is 77.160 ppm. The chemical structure was designed by chemdraw ultra version 10.0.


Figure 3.2 NMR spectroscopy. Variance for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR is in (A) and Bruker for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and 2D NMR is in (B).

### 3.6 The antiproliferative activity

It was modified step of antiproliferative activity assay from Santos et al. (65), Hernandez et al. (66), Najafi et al. (67), Umthong et al. (18) and Teerasripreecha et al. (19).

### 3.6.1 Cancer cell lines

Five cancer cell lines in this research were human ductol carcicoma or breast cancer cell line (BT 474), human undifferentiated lung or lung cancer cell line (Chago), human hepatoblastoma or liver cancer cell line (Hep-G ${ }_{2}$ ), human gastric carcicoma or stomach cancer cell line (KATO-III), and human colon adenocarcicoma (SW620). Human skin fibroblast cell (CRL-1947) was used as control (Figure 3.2). All cell lines were maintained, cultured, and tested for cytotoxicity at the Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic engineering, Chulalongkorn University. All cancer cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with 5\% (v/v) fetal bovine serum while CRL1947 cell line was cultured in Basal Isscove medium. All cell lines were subcultured at every 3-4 days until use.


Figure 3.3 Image from microscope (20X magnification) showing morphology of five cancer cell lines: BT474 was in (A). Chago was in (B). Hep-G2 was in (C). KATO-III was in (D). SW620 was in (E) and CRL-1947 (normal cell line) was in (F).

### 3.6.2 Cancer cell line preparation

By trypsinisation, the medium in cell culturing flask was aspirated out. One mL of trypsin - EDTA [0.05 \% ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) in phosphate buffer saline at pH 7.4$]$ was added to the cells. They were then incubated at RT until cells began to detach from the surface of flask. Next, trypsin was aspirated out and 3 mL of new fresh RPMI 1640 medium or Basal Isscove medium were added to the detached cells. The cell suspension was transferred into a tube ( 15 mL in size). In order to count the number of cells, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension were ten-fold diluted ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension per $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of fresh RPMI media). Ten $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of diluted cell suspension was next transferred to
haematocytometer. Cells positioned at four large corner squares of haematocytometer were counted. The concentration of cells could be calculated by the following formula:

Concentration of cells (cells/mL) $=$ (total cells from four corners/4) $\times 10$ (dilution factor) $\times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$

The suspension of cells was transferred to a centrifugal tube ( 50 mL in size) or larger container. RPMI 1640 medium or Basal Iscove medium with 5\% (v/v) FCS was added in order to adjust the required concentration (Appendix C). Then, the required cells with the required volume were transferred to each well of a 96 well plate.

### 3.6.3 Antiproliferative activity assay

For each cell line, cancer cells $\left[5 \times 10^{3}\right.$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RPMI 1640 medium with $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ FCS] were transferred into each well of a 96 well plate. Similar condition was applied to CRC-1947 cell lines as well. All cell lines were incubated in an incubator at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for overnight. Later, each culture well was treated with $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a targeted chemical composition (crude or fraction) at different concentrations which were diluted by pure DMSO. In addition, $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pure DMSO in each well was used as control.

Positive control used in this research was anti-cancer drugs which were doxorubicin or doxil and 5- fluorouracil or 5-FU (Figure 3.3). Doxorubicin was prepared in various concentrations by diluted in sterile normal saline. Two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ at any concentration was treated to cells in each well and solely $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sterile normal saline was used as control. In the case of 5-FU, it was prepared in various concentrations by diluted in DMSO. Two $\mu \mathrm{L}$ at any concentration was treated to cells in each well and solely $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pure DMSO was used as control.

All treated cell lines were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for 72 h . These experiments were in triplication.

(A)

(B)

Figure 3.4 The chemical structure of anti-cancer drugs. Doxorubicin (A) and 5-FU (B) were used as positive control.

### 3.6.4 [3-(4,5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl)2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide]

 (MTT) assayThis step was a continued process from 3.7.3. After 72 h of incubation, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTT solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in sterile normal saline) was added into each well. Then, it was further incubated at 37 C with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for another 4 h . After that, the medium was aspirated supernatant out. Thus, purple-blue formazan crystal was clearly visible (Figure 3.4). The mixture containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 0.1 M glycine was used to dissolve the crystal. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm by a microplate reader.


Figure 3.5 Overview of [3-(4, 5-dimethyl-thiazol-2-yl) 2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide] (MTT) assay. For viable cells, succinate dehydrogenase from mitochondria can use MTT as substrate and later formazan crystal as reaction product will be formed.

### 3.6.5 Calculation for $50 \%$ inhibition concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ value

According to the absorbance at 540 nm , the relative percentage of viable cells could be calculated by the following formula.

Percentage of viable cell $=$ (Absorbance at 540 nm of sample) $\times 100$
(Absorbance at 540 nm of control)

Percentage of viable cell of control is set to be $100 \%$. All data were plotted in a graph. Percentage of viable cell was on $Y$ axis while concentration of crude, fraction or active compound ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) was on X axis. The line was horizontally drawn from the $50 \%$ point until it reached the curve line. Then, the line was drawn vertically until it reached the X axis where indicated the concentration of $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value.

### 3.6.6 Statistical analysis

According to $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value, all data were analysed by SPSS version 17. The mean and standard deviation (S.D.) was calculated. Mean and S.D. values were compared by one way analysis of variance or ANOVA with Tukey HSD.

### 3.7 Cell morphology analysis

Five cancer cell lines were cultured in a 96 well plate as same as mentioned in MTT cytotoxicity assay. They were treated with a purified compound at its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value and pure DMSO was used as control. All cell lines were observed and photographed by an inverted light microscope and camera at 0, 24, 48, and 72 h (Figure 3.5). These experiments were in triplication. All pictures will be measured of scale with Axio vision 4.8.2.


Figure 3.6 An inverted light microscope connecting to a camera.

## Chapter IV

## Results

### 4.1 Crude extract of cerumen

By successive extraction with $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{DCM}$ and Hexane (method 1 in 3.4) crude methanol extract (CME), crude dichloromethane extract (CDE), and crude hexane extract (CHE) were obtained in 4.86, 52.00 and $23.55 \%$ respectively (Table 4.1) while the reverse polarity of the solvents for the extraction (method 2 in 3.4) gave reCME (9.28\%), reCDE (30.10\%) and reCHE (43.37\%). Six crude extracts had a difference in yield, weight, appearance as recorded in Table 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.

Table 4.1 Mass, yield, and appearance of crude extracts.

| Crude extract | Mass (g) | Yield percentage <br> $(200 \mathrm{~g})$ | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4.858 | Brown liquid |
| CME | 9.715 | 51.997 | Sticky, red-brown, resinous rubber |
| CDE | 103.994 | 23.549 | Sticky, yellow-brown wax |
| CHE | 47.010 |  |  |

Table 4.2 Mass, yield, and appearance of crude reverse polarity extracts.

| Crude reverse <br> polarity extract | Mass (g) | Yield percentage <br> $(70 \mathrm{~g})$ | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ReCME | 5.850 | 9.280 | Brown liquid |
| ReCDE | 19.020 | 30.100 | Sticky, red-brown, resinous rubber |
| ReCHE | 27.410 | 43.370 | Sticky, yellow-brown wax |

### 4.2 Antiproliferative activity of crude extracts

The concentrations of all 6 crude extracts were prepared to be $0,1,10$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ in order to test for the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The results revealed that all crude extracts had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines (Table 4.3).

For CME, it had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ values were $6.95 \pm 0.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $2.20 \pm 1.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $2.11 \pm$ $0.97 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, $0.87 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for $\mathrm{Hep}^{-} \mathrm{G}_{2}$, and $0.57 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

For reCME, it had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $I_{50}$ values of reCDE were $56.57 \pm 9.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $2.38 \pm 1.51 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $0.89 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, $0.84 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Hep-G ${ }_{2}$, and $0.57 \pm 0.00$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

CDE also had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of CDE were $5.04 \pm 0.22 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $3.63 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, 0.95 $\pm 3.75 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $0.74 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Hep $-G_{2}$, and $0.56 \pm 0.00 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

In overview, reCDE had the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of ReCDE were $5.25 \pm 0.27 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $1.53 \pm 0.56 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $0.78 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, $0.75 \pm 0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Hep-G 2 , and $0.56 \pm$ $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

Furthermore, CHE had the weak antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were $6.95 \pm 0.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $2.20 \pm 1.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $2.11 \pm 0.97 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, $0.87 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Hep-G 2 , and $0.57 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

Lastly, reCHE had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were $36.42 \pm 4.92 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for BT474, $4.89 \pm 2.39 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Chago, $5.21 \pm$ $1.69 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for KATO-III, $0.92 \pm 0.03 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for Hep-G , and $0.56 \pm 0.00 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for SW620.

All of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were from graphs described in Figure 4.1(A), (B), (C), (D), (E), and (F), respectively.

Table 4.3 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6 crude extracts on the cancer cell lines.

| Cancer cell lines | $\mathrm{C}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BT474 | Chago | Hep-G 2 | KATO-III | SW620 |
| CME | $6.95 \pm 0.46^{\text {a }}$ | $2.20 \pm 1.10^{\text {a }}$ | $0.87 \pm 0.08^{\text {a }}$ | $2.11 \pm 0.97^{\text {ab }}$ | $0.57 \pm 0.02^{\text {a }}$ |
| CDE | $5.04 \pm 0.22^{\text {a }}$ | $0.95 \pm 0.04^{\text {a }}$ | $0.74 \pm 0.05^{\text {a }}$ | $3.63 \pm 1.95^{\text {ab }}$ | $0.55 \pm 0.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| CHE | $37.57 \pm 3.60^{\text {b }}$ | $6.97 \pm 0.69^{\text {b }}$ | $0.29 \pm 1.48^{\text {a }}$ | $2.42 \pm 1.08{ }^{\text {ab }}$ | $0.57 \pm 0.01^{\text {a }}$ |
| reCME | $56.57 \pm 9.15^{\text {c }}$ | $2.38 \pm 1.51^{\text {a }}$ | $0.84 \pm 0.08^{\text {a }}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.05^{\text {a }}$ | $0.57 \pm 0.00^{\text {a }}$ |
| reCDE | $5.24 \pm 0.27^{\text {a }}$ | $1.53 \pm 0.56^{\text {a }}$ | $0.75 \pm 0.04^{\text {a }}$ | $0.78 \pm 0.05^{\text {a }}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.01^{\text {a }}$ |
| reCHE | $36.42 \pm 4.92^{\text {b }}$ | 4.89. $\pm 2.39^{\text {ab }}$ | $0.92 \pm 0.03^{\text {ab }}$ | $5.21 \pm 1.69^{\text {b }}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.00^{\text {a }}$ |

Remark (1): These experiments were in triplication.
Remark (2): The different uppercase letter showed the significant difference of $I C_{50}$ values when p - value was equal or less than 0.05 . The mean was compared by Tukey HSD in SPSS V.17. (Example: Group (a) has a statistic significant difference of mean from group (b) or (c). In case of group (a) and (b) not have a statistic significant difference, the letter of this case is showed as (ab)).
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(B)

(D)


Figure 4.1 Percentage of viable cells presenting graph of 6 crude extracts against 5 different cancer cell lines. The antiproliferative activity of CME was in (A). The activity of reCME was in (B). The activity of CDE was in (C). The activity of reCDE was in (D). The activity of CHE in (E) and the activity of reCHE was in (F). The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean of triplication of percentage $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

### 4.3 Chemical composition of the reverse crude dichloromethane extract

ReCDE had the best overview antiprolifertive activity against 5 cancer cell lines. So this crude extract was further purified by silica gel 60G column chromatography (large column, $1,500 \mathrm{~mL}$ in size). One hundred and sixty fractions were obtained. According to TLC, fractions presenting the same pattern were merged. Thus, 16 various fractions were obtained. The mass, yield, and character were recorded as in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Mass, yield, and appearance of merged fractions from reCDE extract after isolation with column chromatography.

| Merged <br> fraction <br> number | Mass <br> (mg) | Yield <br> (\% of ReCDE, <br> $6,000 \mathrm{mg}$ ) | Eluted fraction <br> number | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 1,879 | 31.31 | $21-26$ |  |
| III | 662 | 179 | 2.98 | 27 |

### 4.4 Antiproliferative activity of merged fractions from reCDE

Sixteen merged fractions were used to determine the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The same concentrations ( $0,1,10$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of each fraction were prepared. The result revealed that only fraction I, II, XV, and XVI did not have the activity against any cancer cell lines.

Fraction III had the low antiproliferative activity against KATO-III and SW620 cell lines with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $81.57 \pm 2.09 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $76.43 \pm 2.78 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Fraction XIV had the antiproliferative activity against BT474 with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $59.57 \pm 4.07 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $57.50 \pm 1.85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $55.15 \pm 5.31 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $60.15 \pm 6.57$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $68.97 \pm 1.16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Remaining fraction had the antiproliferative activity against all cancer cell lines.

Fraction VII, VIII, and IX were the most active against 5 cancer cell lines according to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and statistical analysis.

Fraction VII had the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of Hep-G $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ was $3.38 \pm 2.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of Chago was $5.44 \pm$ $0.33 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of BT474 was $5.84 \pm 0.38 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of KATO-III was $6.07 \pm 0.36 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of SW620 was $6.46 \pm 0.17 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Next, fraction VIII had the antiproliferative activity against Hep-G $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.37 \pm 0.57 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, BT474 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.81 \pm 0.24 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $I C_{50}$ value of $5.98 \pm 0.73 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.33 \pm 0.48$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.60 \pm 0.29 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Lastly, fraction IX had the antiproliferative activity against Hep- $G_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $4.05 \pm 0.49 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.34 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $I C_{50}$ value of $7.33 \pm 0.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 with the $I C_{50}$ value of $7.74 \pm 0.49$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $7.96 \pm 0.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Fraction V, X, XII, and XIII had the medium activity against 5 cancer cell lines according to the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value and statistical analysis.

Fraction XII had the antiproliferative activity against Chago, BT474, SW620, KATO-III, and Hep-G ${ }_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.56 \pm 0.28,7.58 \pm 0.54,9.47 \pm 0.13,23.66$ $\pm 12.36$, and $29.14 \pm 31.85 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Next, fraction V had the antiproliferative activity against BT474, SW620, Chago, Hep- $G_{2}$, and KATO-III with the $I_{50}$ value of $6.94 \pm 0.74,8.65 \pm 0.19,13.97 \pm 4.26$, $18.39 \pm 10.73$, and $33.52 \pm 5.49 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Then, fraction $X$ had the antiproliferative activity against Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, SW620, KATO-III, Chago, and BT474 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $8.03 \pm 1.40,12.08 \pm 5.34,23.80 \pm$ 20.30, $24.28 \pm 14.32$, and $47.17 \pm 26.67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Lastly, fraction XIII had the antiproliferative activity against Chago, BT474, Hep$G_{2}$, SW620, and KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $20.02 \pm 7.63,21.03 \pm 17.34,21.07 \pm$ $20.54,27.74 \pm 4.34$, and $53.38 \pm 12.73 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Fraction IV, VI, and XI had the low activity against 5 cancer cell lines according to the $I C_{50}$ values and statistical analysis.

Fraction XI had the antiproliferative activity against SW620, Chago, Hep-G ${ }_{2}$, BT474, and KATO-III with the $I C_{50}$ value of $34.25 \pm 14.98,47.64 \pm 2.43,54.87 \pm 3.92$, $55.31 \pm 10.40$, and $59.71 \pm 5.72 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Next, fraction VI had the antiproliferative activity against Hep-G ${ }_{2}$, Chago, KATOIII, SW620, and BT474 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $28.76 \pm 26.83,47.26 \pm 6.54,60.62 \pm 3.05$, $65.25 \pm 3.93$, and $74.41 \pm 6.87 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

Lastly, fraction IV had the antiproliferative activity against KATO-III, SW620, BT474, Chago, and $\mathrm{Hep}-\mathrm{G}_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $51.84 \pm 2.93,56.77 \pm 0.65,59.57 \pm$ $4.07,60.73 \pm 4.95$, and $61.35 \pm 9.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

All of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were compared by using Tukey HSD one way analysis of variance at 95\% significant level ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). All fractions except fraction I, II, XV, and XVI had no activity. The result showed that the best to the least antiproliferative activity was from fraction VII, VIII, IX, XII, V, X, XIII, XI, VI, and IV, respectively.

The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (mean $\pm$ S.D.) were shown in Table 4.5. The percentage of cell viability was shown in the form of graph as in Figure 4.2.

Table 4.5 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of merged fractions from reverse crude dichloromethane extract.

| Fraction/Cancer cell lines | $1 C_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BT474 | Chago | HepG-2 | KATO-III | SW620 |
| 1 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| \|| | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| III | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | $\begin{gathered} 81.57 \pm \\ 2.09^{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.43 \pm \\ 2.78^{\mathrm{e}} \end{gathered}$ |
| IV | $\begin{aligned} & 59.57 \pm \\ & 4.07{ }^{\text {bc }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.73 \pm \\ 4.95^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.35 \pm \\ 9.11^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51.84 \pm \\ & 2.93^{\mathrm{bcd}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56.77 \pm \\ 0.65^{\mathrm{d}} \end{gathered}$ |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 6.94 \pm \\ & 0.74^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.97 \pm \\ 4.26^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.39 \pm \\ & 10.73^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.52 \pm \\ & 5.49^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.65 \pm \\ 0.19^{a} \end{gathered}$ |
| VI | $\begin{gathered} 74.41 \pm \\ 6.87^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.26 \pm \\ 6.54^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.76 \pm \\ & 26.83^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.62 \pm \\ & 3.05^{\mathrm{cd}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.25 \pm \\ 3.93^{\text {de }} \end{gathered}$ |
| VII | $\begin{gathered} 5.84 \pm \\ 0.38^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.44 \pm \\ 0.33^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.38 \pm \\ 2.18^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.07 \pm \\ 0.36^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.46 \pm \\ 0.17^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| VIII | $\begin{gathered} 5.81 \pm \\ 0.24^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.98 \pm \\ 0.74^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.37 \pm \\ & 0.57^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.33 \pm \\ 0.48^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.60 \pm \\ 0.30^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| IX | $\begin{aligned} & 7.74 \pm \\ & 0.49^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.32 \pm \\ 0.31^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.05 \pm \\ & 0.49^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.96 \pm \\ 0.46^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.34 \pm \\ 0.08^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| X | $\begin{aligned} & 47.17 \pm \\ & 26.67^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.28 \pm \\ 14.32^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \pm \\ & 1.40^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.80 \pm \\ & 20.30^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.08 \pm \\ 5.34^{\mathrm{ab}} \end{gathered}$ |
| XI | $\begin{aligned} & 55.31 \pm \\ & 10.40^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.64 \pm \\ 2.43^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.87 \pm \\ & 3.92^{\mathrm{bc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59.71 \pm \\ 5.711^{\mathrm{cd}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.25 \pm \\ 14.98^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ |
| XII | $\begin{aligned} & 7.58 \pm \\ & 0.54^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.56 \pm \\ 0.28^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.24 \pm \\ & 31.85^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.66 \pm \\ & 12.36^{\text {ab }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.47 \pm \\ 0.13^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ |
| XIII | $\begin{aligned} & 21.03 \pm \\ & 17.34^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.02 \pm \\ 7.63^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.06 \pm \\ & 20.54^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.38 \pm \\ & 12.53^{\mathrm{bcd}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.74 \pm \\ 4.34^{\mathrm{bc}} \end{gathered}$ |
| XIV | > 100 | $\begin{gathered} 57.50 \pm \\ 1.85^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.15 \pm \\ & 5.31^{\mathrm{abc}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.15 \pm \\ 6.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68.97 \pm \\ 1.16^{\text {de }} \end{gathered}$ |
| XV | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |
| XVI | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 | > 100 |

Remark (1): These experiments were in triplication.
Remark (2): The mean of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were compared by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey HSD in SPSS V.17. The different uppercase letter showed the significant difference when $p$-value was equal or less than 0.05 . (Example : Group (a) has a statistic significantly difference of mean from group (b) or (c). In case of group (a) and (b) not have a statistic significantly difference, the letter of this case is showed as (ab). In case of group (a), (b) and (c) not have a statistic significantly difference, the letter of this case is showed as (abc)). Remark (3): $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value at > 100 showed no antiproliferative activity against cancer cell lines in this concentration range.
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Figure 4.2 Percentage of viable cells presenting graph of 12 fractions of reverse crude dichloromethane extract against 5 cancer cell lines The antiproliferative activity of fractions III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI, XII, XIII, and XIV was in (A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), $(G),(H),(I),(J),(K)$ and (L) respectively. The percentage shown as mean $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

### 4.5 Chemical composition from fraction VII and VIII

From the first step chromatography, fraction VII and VIII had the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. Both fractions would be purified in the next step by silica gel 60G column chromatography (medium column, 350 mL in size). Total of 363 fractions were obtained when fraction VII was used as starting materials. Total of 370 fractions were obtained when fraction VIII was used as starting materials. By TLC, fractions presenting the same pattern would be merged. Finally, ten combined fraction would be obtained from the original fraction VII. Nine combined fraction would be obtained from the original fraction VIII. Again, mass yield, and character of those merged fractions were recorded as in Tables 4.6 and 4.7.

Table 4.6 Mass, yield, and appearance of 10 subfractions (fraction VII as origin).

| subfractions | Mass <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | Yield (\% of F. <br> VII, 330 mg$)$ | Eluted fraction <br> number | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F7A | 3.40 | 1.03 | $55-77$ | Yellow brown |
| F7C | 2.30 | 0.70 | $78-107$ | Yellow brown |
| F7D | 4.80 | 1.45 | $108-170$ | Yellow brown |
| F7E | 22.90 | 6.94 | $171-187$ | Yellow powder |
| F7F | 216.60 | 65.64 | $188-194$ | Yellow brown, |
| F7G | 19.40 | 2.88 | $195-243$ | Brown powder |
| F7H | 9.30 | 1.24 | $244-275$ | Brown solid |
| F7I | 4.10 | 4.21 | $276-300$ | Red brown solid |
| F7J | 13.90 | 8.00 | $300-334$ | Red brown solid |
| F7K | 26.40 |  | $335-363$ | Yellow green |

Table 4.7 Mass, yield, and appearance of 9 compositions (fraction VIII as origin).

| subfraction | Mass <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | Yield (\% of F. <br> VII, 300 mg$)$ | Eluted fraction <br> number | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F8A | 2.10 | 0.70 | $55-90$ | Yellow brown <br> solid |
| F8B | 6.40 | 2.13 | $90-120$ | Yellow powder |
| F8C | 1.90 | 0.63 | $121-130$ | Yellow powder |
| F8D | 11.50 | 3.83 | $131-158$ | Yellow, oily |
| F8E | 220.50 | 73.5 | $159-165$ | Yellow brown, |
| F8F | 27.60 | 6.20 | $166-195$ | Yellow brown, |
| F8G | 19.00 | 3.33 | $196-300$ | Red brown, solid |
| F8H | 10.00 | 4.83 | $301-350$ | Red brown, solid |
| F8I | 14.50 |  | $351-370$ | Yellow brown |

4.6 The antiproliferative activity of the combined fraction from fractions VII and VIII

After silica gel 60G chromatography (medium column, 350 mL in size), fraction VII (original from the first step chromatography) gave 10 subfractions and fraction VIII (original from the first step chromatography) gave 9 subfractions. All subfractions were tested for the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. Active subfractions were further searched for the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value. The result revealed that subfractions F7E, F7F, and F8E had the antiproliferative activity. The additional concentration range ( $10,5,2.5,1.25$, and $0.625 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of these 3 compositions were prepared to treat cancer cell line, except subfraction F7E which more concentration range of $0.3125-0.15625 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was prepared.

The result revealed that 3 subfractions had the different activity.
Subfraction F7E had the strong antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. This composition had the activity against KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.88 \pm$ $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\mathrm{HepG}_{2}$ was $0.32 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of Chago was $0.35 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\mathrm{HepG}_{2}$ was $0.42 \pm 0.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. And the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$
value of KATO-III was $0.56 \pm 0.09 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. This subfraction was examined by TLC and ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopy as a pure compound. Thus, this compound was subjected to a structural analysis.

Subfraction F7F also had the antiproliferative activity against SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.95 \pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.10 \pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.61 \pm 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.62 \pm 0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.71 \pm 0.27 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Subfraction F8E had the weak antiproliferative activity against Hep-G ${ }_{2}$ with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.96 \pm 1.93 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $4.16 \pm 0.91 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $4.30 \pm 1.81 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $4.67 \pm 0.20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and BT474 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $6.28 \pm 1.18 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Subfraction F7E had the very strong activity so, this subfraction was used to analyse the chemical structure which would be mentioned later in the section of 4.12. Since subfraction F7F and F8E still had the activity, they were continued purity in the next step.

Data of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were shown in Tables 4.8 and 4.9 as mean $\pm$ S.D. The activity graphs were shown in Figures 4.3 and 4.4.

Table 4.8 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of subfraction F7E and F7F originated from fraction VII.

| Cancer cell lines | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Subfraction F7E | Subfraction F7F |
| BT474 | $1.01 \pm 0.03$ | $1.61 \pm 0.02$ |
| Chago | $0.94 \pm 0.08$ | $1.62 \pm 0.01$ |
| Hep-G | $0.97 \pm 0.02$ | $1.71 \pm 0.03$ |
| KATO-III | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $1.10 \pm 0.06$ |
| SW620 | $1.64 \pm 0.06$ | $0.95 \pm 0.06$ |

Remark: Each experiment was in triplication.
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Figure 4.3 The percentage of viable cells of subfractions F7E and F7F against different 5 cancer cell lines. The activity with concentrations at $0,0.15625,0.3125,0.625,1.25,2.50,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of subfraction F7E was in (A). The activity with concentration at $62.5,125,250,500$ and $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of subfraction F7F was in (B). The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean of triplication of percentage $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

Table 4.9 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of subfraction F8E originated from fraction VIII.

| Cancer <br> cell lines | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Subfraction F8E |
| BT474 | $6.28 \pm 1.18$ |
| Chago | $4.30 \pm 1.81$ |
| HepG $_{2}$ | $3.96 \pm 1.93$ |
| KATO-III | $4.16 \pm 0.91$ |
| SW620 | $4.67 \pm 0.20$ |

Remark: Each experiment was in triplication.


Figure 4.4 The percentage of viable cells of subfraction F8E against different 5 cancer cell lines with concentrations at $0.625,1.25,2.5,5$ and 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean of triplication of percentage $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit

### 4.7 Chemical composition from F7F and F8E subfractions

Since subfractions F7F and F8E still had the antiprolifrative activity and TLC analysis showed many chemical compositions, both subfractions were purified by silica gel 60G chromatography (small column, 250 mL in size). To obtain 660 fractions from subfraction F7F and 600 fractions from subfraction F8E. The fraction with similar pattern on TLC were combined. Finally, Thirteen combined fraction would be obtained from the original subfraction F7F. Thirteen combined fraction would be obtained from the original subfraction F8E. Again, mass yield, and character of those merged fractions were recorded as in Tables 4.6 and 4.7 respectively.

Table 4.10 Mass, yield, and appearance of 13 combined fractions originated from subfraction F7F.

| Fraction | Mass (mg) | Yield (\% of F7F, <br> 205 mg) | Eluted fraction <br> number | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F7F-1 | 7.3 | 3.56 | $51-120$ | Brown, oily |
| F7F-2 | 1.9 | 0.93 | $121-140$ | Brown, oily |
| F7F-3 | 31.4 | 15.32 | $141-170$ | Yellow crystal |
| F7F-4 | 13.1 | 6.39 | $171-172$ | Yellow, oily |
| F7F-5 | 39.8 | 19.41 | $173-177$ | Yellow, oily |
| F7F-6 | 34.2 | 16.68 | $178-183$ | Yellow, oily |
| F7F-7 | 25.8 | 5.85 | $184-210$ | Orange, oily |
| F7F-8 | 12.0 | 4.20 | $211-230$ | Red, oily |
| F7F-9 | 8.6 | 4.63 | $231-261$ | Orange, oily |
| F7F-10 | 9.5 | 0.83 | $262-303$ | Yellow, oily |
| F7F-11 | 1.7 | 3.12 | $304-308$ | Yellow solid |
| F7F-12 | 6.4 | $16.68^{*}$ | $339-335$ | Yellow powder |
| F7F-13 | $34.2^{*}$ |  | $336-660$ | Red-brown |
|  |  |  | solid |  |

* This fraction may not be completely evaporated.

Table 4.11 Mass, yield, and appearance of 13 combined fractions originated from subfraction F8E.

| Fraction | Mass (mg) | Yield (\% of <br> F8E, 200 mg$)$ | Eluted fraction <br> number | Appearance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F8E-1 | 5.4 | 2.70 | $61-120$ | Yellow soild |
| F8E-2 | 1.0 | 0.50 | $121-140$ | Yellow soild |
| F8E-3 | 1.6 | 0.80 | $141-155$ | Orange solid |
| F8E-4 | 0.6 | 0.30 | $156-160$ | Yellow powder |
| F8E-5 | 10.2 | 5.10 | $161-190$ | Yellow, oily |
| F8E-6 | 5.6 | 2.80 | $191-200$ | Red-brown solid |
| F8E-7 | 33.5 | 16.75 | $201-210$ | Red-brown solid |
| F8E-8 | 15.1 | 7.55 | $201-218$ | Red, oily |
| F8E-9 | 43.5 | 21.75 | $219-234$ | Yellow, oily |
| F8E-10 | 16.9 | 8.45 | $235-242$ | Yellow, oily |
| F8E-11 | 36.0 | 18.00 | $243-337$ | Yellow solid |
| F8E-12 | 9.1 | 4.55 | $338-388$ | Yellow solid |
| F8E-13 | $28.3^{*}$ | $14.15^{*}$ | $389-600$ | Red solid |

* This fraction may not be completely evaporated.


### 4.8 Antiproliferative activity of combined fractions from F7F and F8E

Twenty six combined fractions positions from subfractions F7F and F8E were tested for the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The result revealed that the combined fractions F7F-3, F7F-4, F7F-5, F7F-6, F8E-5, F8E-6, and F8E-7 showed the antiproliferative activity with $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values in Tables 4.12 and 4.13. Later, more concentrations of these 7 compositions were prepared to treat cancer cell lines. For composition F8E-6 and F8E-7, more concentrations at 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, 0.625 $\mu g / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. Also, for composition F7F-4, F7F-5, and F7F-6, more concentration of $0.3125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was prepared. For composition F7F-3 and F8E-5, more concentration of $0.15625 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was prepared.

Nonetheless, only composition F7F-3, F7F-4, F7F-5, and F7F-6 originated from subfraction $F 7 F$ had the antiproliferative activity with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below.

Composition F7F-3 had the strong antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. This combined fraction was active against KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.36 \pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.39 . \pm 0.00$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.50 \pm 0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.62 \pm 0.16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and Chago cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.92 \pm 0.08$ $\mu g / \mathrm{mL}$. Since this combined fraction was pure compound by using TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. It was further determined for the structure analysis.

The rest of 3 compositions also had the antiproliferative activity but not as strong as composition F7F-3.

Composition F7F-4 was active against Hep- $G_{2}$ cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.16 \pm 0.81 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $4.55 \pm 0.21 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $7.24 \pm 0.62 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $8.13 \pm$ $0.63 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $8.47 \pm 0.84 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Composition F7F-5 was active against BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.32 \pm 0.77 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep-G2 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.36 \pm 0.38 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.48 \pm 0.78 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $8.13 \pm 0.63 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and Chago cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.67 \pm 1.58 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Composition F7F-6 was active against SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.07 \pm 0.14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $4.06 \pm 0.62 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $4.41 \pm 0.19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep-G $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ cell line with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $4.60 \pm 0.14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and Chago cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $8.46 \pm 0.76 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Next, for subfraction F8E, composition F8E-5, F8E-6, and F8E-7 had the antiproliferative activity with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values below.

Composition F8E-7 was active in some cancer cell lines. Chago and Hep-G2 cell lines were not sensitive to this composition. It was active against BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.77 \pm 0.73 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 7.07 $\pm 0.34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $2.17 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Besides, composition F8E-5 and F8E-6 had antiproliferative activity against all 5 cancer cell lines.

The combined fraction F8E-5 had the antiproliferative activity against KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.69 \pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $1.74 \pm 0.26 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep- $G_{2}$ cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.93 \pm 0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.94 \pm 0.15 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and Chago cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.88 \pm 0.10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. This fraction was similar to the fractions F7E and F7F-

3 in term of TLC plate. Thus, this combined fraction was further determined for the structure analysis.

The combined fraction F8E-6 was active against BT474 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2.32 \pm 0.49 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Hep- $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.37 \pm 1.14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, Chago cell line with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $5.86 \pm 0.89 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, KATO-III cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.93 \pm 1.54 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and SW 620 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $7.07 \pm$ $0.34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

Data of the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were shown in Tables 4.12 and 4.13 as mean $\pm$ S.D. Activity graphs were shown in Figures 4.5 and 4.6.

Table 4.12 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the F7F-3, F7F-4, F7F-5, and F7F-6 originated from subfraction F7F.

| Cancer cell <br> lines | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F7F-3 | F7F-4 | F7F-5 | F7F-6 |
|  | $0.50 \pm$ | $4.55 \pm$ | $3.31 \pm$ | $4.06 \pm$ |
| Chaco | $0.01^{a}$ | $0.21^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $0.77^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $0.62^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | $0.92 \pm$ | $8.13 \pm$ | $6.67 \pm$ | $8.46 \pm$ |
| HepG $_{2}$ | $0.08^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.63^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.58^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $0.76^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | $0.39 \pm$ | $3.16 \pm$ | $6.36 \pm$ | $4.60 \pm$ |
| KATO-III | $0.04^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.81^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $0.38^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.14^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | $0.36 \pm$ | $7.24 \pm$ | $6.48 \pm$ | $4.41 \pm$ |
| SW620 | $0.06^{a}$ | $0.62^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.78^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.19^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | $0.62 \pm$ | $8.47 \pm$ | $6.48 \pm$ | $3.07 \pm$ |
|  | $0.16^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.83^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $1.00^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.14^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

Remark (1): These experiments were in triplication.
Remark (2): The different uppercase letter showed the significant difference when $p$ - value was equal or less than 0.05. The data of mean was compared by Tukey HSD in SPSS V.17. (Example: Group (a) has a statistic significantly difference of mean from group (b) or (c).)

(A)

(B)
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Figure 4.5 The graphs presenting the percentage of viable cells of the combined fractions from subfraction F7F against different 5 cancer cell lines. Concentrations at 0, 0.15625, $0.3125,0.625,1.25,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of F7F-3 were used. For F7F-4, F7F-5 and F7F-6, concentrations at $0.3125,0.625,1.25,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. The percentage of viable cell by composition F7F-3 was in (A), by composition F7F-4 was in (B) by composition F7F-5 was in (C) by composition F7F-6 was in (D). The data was shown as mean $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

Table 4.13 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $\mathrm{F} 8 \mathrm{E}-5$, $\mathrm{F} 8 \mathrm{E}-6$, and $\mathrm{F} 8 \mathrm{E}-7$ originated from subfraction F8E.

| Cancer cell <br> lines | $\mathrm{I}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F8E-5 | F8E-6 | F8E-7 |
| BT474 | $1.94 \pm 0.15^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.32 \pm 0.49^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | $3.77 \pm 0.73^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Chago | $3.88 \pm 0.10$ | $5.86 \pm 0.89$ | $>10$ |
| HepG $_{2}$ | $1.93 \pm 0.04$ | $5.37 \pm 1.14$ | $>10$ |
| KATO-III | $1.73 \pm 0.26^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $5.93 \pm 1.54^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $7.07 \pm 0.34^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| SW620 | $1.68 \pm 0.06^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $7.07 \pm 0.34^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2.17 \pm 0.05^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

Remark (1): These experiments were in triplication.
Remark (2): The different uppercase letter showed the significant difference when pvalue was equal or less than 0.05 . The data was compared by Tukey HSD in SPSS V.17.

(A)


Figure 4.6 The graphs presenting the percentage of viable cells of combined fraction from subfraction F8E against different 5 cancer cell lines. Concentrations of F8E-5 at $0,0.15625,0.3125,0.625,1.25,2.5,5$, and 10 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. Concentrations of F8E-6 and F8E-7 at 0.625, $1.25,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. The activity of F8E-5, F8E-6 and $\mathrm{F} 8 \mathrm{E}-7$ was in (A), (B) and (C), respectively. The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu g / m L$ unit.

### 4.9 The antiproliferative activity of chemotherapeutic drugs

All cancer cell lines were treated by 2 chemotherapeutic drugs which were doxorubicin and 5 -flurouracil. They were used as positive control. The antiproferative activity was compared to the activity of active compounds of cerumen.

5-fluorouracil had the antiproliferative activity against Chago cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.38 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and SW620 cell line with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $5.9 \pm 0.79$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$. However, BT474, $\mathrm{HepG}_{2}$, and KATO-III cell lines were not sensitive to 5fluorouracil.

Doxorubicin also had the antiproliferative activity against SW620 cell line with the $I_{50}$ value of $0.07 \pm 0.00 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $\mathrm{HepG}_{2}$ was $0.32 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $I C_{50}$ value of Chago was $0.35 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $I C_{50}$ value of BT474 was $0.42 \pm$ $0.11 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Also, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of KATO-III was $0.56 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$.

The data of both drugs were showed in Table 4.14 and Figure 4.7. In addition $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil would be calculated from $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ to $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

Table 4.14 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{mL}$.

| Cell lines (Drug | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Doxorubicin | 5-fluorouracil | Doxorubicin | 5-fluorouracil |
| BT474 | $0.42 \pm 0.12$ | $>10$ | $0.77 \pm 0.21$ | $>76.5$ |
| CHACO | $0.35 \pm 0.07$ | $0.38 \pm 0.08$ | $0.65 \pm 0.14$ | $2.91 \pm 0.64$ |
| $\mathrm{HepG}_{2}$ | $0.32 \pm 0.08$ | $>10$ | $0.59 \pm 0.15$ | $>76.5$ |
| KATO-III | $0.56 \pm 0.09$ | $>10$ | $1.03 \pm 0.16$ | $>76.5$ |
| SW620 | $0.07 \pm 0.00$ | $5.69 \pm 0.79$ | $0.12 \pm 0.00$ | $43.53 \pm 5.60$ |

Remark (1): These experiments were in triplication.
Remark (2): Structure of both chemotherapeutic drugs was in page 19 and 38. Doxorubicin and 5fluorouracil have a molecular weight at 543.52 and $130.77 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively (Atomic weight of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen and fluorine are 12.010, 1.008, 15.999, 14.007 and 18.998 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively). $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of 5 -fluorouracil is equal of 76.5 in $\mu \mathrm{moVL}$ unit.

(A)
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Figure 4.7 The graphs presenting the percentage of viable cells from chemotherapeutic drugs against 5 different cancer cell lines. Concentrations of doxorubicin and 5 -fluorouracil at $0,0.001,0.01$, $0.1,1$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. The activity of doxorubicin was in (A) and the activity of 5 -fluorouracil was in (B). The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

### 4.10 CRL-1947 fibroblast cytotoxicity

CRL-1947 fibroblast as a representative of normal cells was treated with 3 bioactive compounds including subfraction F7E, F7F-3, and F8E-5. Also, 2 chemotherapeutic drugs, doxorubicin and 5 -fluorouracil were used as positive control. The F7F-3 had the cytotoxicity with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $4.56 \pm 0.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and composition $\operatorname{F7E}$ had the cytotoxicity with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $9.01 \pm 0.48 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. In contrast, F8E-5 had no cytotoxicity to fibroblast. Also, both doxorubicin and 5fluorouracil had no cytotoxicity to fibroblast. In overall, the result showed that purified compounds had low cytotoxicity to fibroblast (Table 4.15) and Figure 4.8.

Table 4.15 Cytotoxicity of the isolated compounds and drugs to fibroblast.

| Purified compound/drug | The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ of <br> fibroblast |
| :---: | :---: |
| F7E | $9.01 \pm 0.48$ |
| F7F-3 | $4.56 \pm 0.31$ |
| F8E-5 | $>10$ |
| Doxorubicin | $>10$ |
| 5-Fluorouracil | $>10$ |

Remark: These experiments were in triplication.


Figure 4.8 Fibroblast cytotoxicity by purified compounds. Concentrations of the isolated compounds at $0,0.3125,0.625,1.25,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ were prepared. The percentage of viable cells was shown as mean $\pm$ S.D. in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ unit.

### 4.11 Morphology of untreated cancer cell lines

Cancer cell lines were separately treated with F7E, F7F-3 and F8E-5 subfractions at their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. The change in morphology was observed under a light microscope at $0,24,48,72 \mathrm{~h}$ of incubation. A picture was captured with a photograph device that was connected directly to a microscope and a computer.

Among those 3 samples, subfraction F7F-3 had the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of those 3 samples were shown in Table 4.16.

Table 4.16 The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of F7E, F7F-3 and F8E-5.

| Cancer cell lines | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F 7 E | $\mathrm{F} 7 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | $\mathrm{~F} 8 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| BT474 | $1.01 \pm 0.03$ | $0.50 \pm 0.01$ | $1.94 \pm 0.15$ |
| Chago | $0.94 \pm 0.08$ | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $3.88 \pm 0.10$ |
| HepG $_{2}$ | $0.97 \pm 0.02$ | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ | $1.93 \pm 0.04$ |
| KATO-III $_{\text {SW620 }}$ | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.06$ | $1.73 \pm 0.26$ |
|  | $1.64 \pm 0.06$ | $0.62 \pm 0.16$ | $1.68 \pm 0.06$ |

After 5 cancer cell lines were treated with subfraction F7F-3 at its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value, the morphology change of 5 cancer cell lines were observed and compared to the morphology change of cancer cell lines treated with 100\% DMSO as control (Figures 4.9-4.13

### 4.11.1 Untreated BT474 cell line



Figure 4.9 The morphology of untreated BT474 cell line at $0 h(A), 24 h(B), 48 h(C)$, and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the drawn scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
4.11.2 Untreated Chago cell line


Figure 4.10 The morphology of untreated Chago cell line at $0 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}), 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B}), 48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{C})$, and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the drawn scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
4.11.3 Untreated Hep-G ${ }_{2}$ cell lines


Figure 4.11 The morphology of untreated Hep-G2 cell line at $0 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}), 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B}), 48 \mathrm{~h}$ (C), and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the drawn scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
4.11.4 Untreated KATO-III cell line


Figure 4.12 The morphology of untreated KATO-III cell line at 0 h (A), 24 h (B), 48 h (C), and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the drawn scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 4.13 The morphology of untreated SW620 cell line at $0 h(A), 24 h(B), 48 h(C)$, and 72 $h(D)$. All images were magnified at 20X and the drawn scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

For an overview of untreated cell line morphology, all untreated cancer cell lines were mixed with $100 \%$ DMSO as control only. The cell density of all cell lines was similar. The cell number of cell lines tended to increase. Each cell line had an identity of shapes.

In Figure 4.9, BT474 cells looked round in shape and had various types of shape. Some cell lines are attached together to form a clump. The density of cells is low or the cells were not spread all over the area of a well plate.

In Figure 4.10, Chago cells looked round, stellate, and spindle oval in shape. This cell line had a very fast growth rate. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.10D), the density of cells was high and cells were spread all over the area of a well plate.

In Figure 4.11, Hep-G2 cells had a spindle oval shape. Cell lines were tightly attached to each other so many small clumps were observed. This cell line had the medium rate of growth. The density of cells was moderate and cells were not spread all over the area of a well plate.

In Figure 4.12, KATO-III cells had a round and oval shape. Cells were mostly separated but, in some area, small clumps of cells could be noticed. The density of cells was low and cells were not spread all over the area of a well plate.

In Figure 4.13, SW620 cells had a spindle oval shape and a little round shape. This cell line had the fast rate growth. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.13D), the density of cells was high and cells were spread almost all over the area of a well plate

### 4.12 Morphology of treated cancer cell lines

After cell lines were treated with F7F-3 at its $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value, the change in cell morphology could be observed in various types. Each cell line had a different of overview death (Figures 4.14-4.18).
4.12.1 Treated BT474 cell line (by composition F7F-3 at $0.50 \pm 0.01 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )


Figure 4.14 The morphology of treated BT474 cell line at 0 h (A), 24 h (B), 48 h (C), and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A red arrow indicated shrunk nucleus and organelle while a yellow arrow indicated cell membrane.
4.12.2 Treated Chago cell line (by composition F7F-3 at $0.92 \pm 0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )


Figure 4.15 The morphology of treated Chago cell line at 0 h (A), 24 h (B), 48 h (C), and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A yellow arrow indicated hypertonic cells while a green arrow indicated cell debris.
4.12.3 Treated Hep-G2 cell line (by composition F7F-3 at $0.39 \pm 0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )


Figure 4.16 The morphology of treated Hep $-G_{2}$ cell line at $0 h(A), 24 h(B), 48 h(C)$, and $72 h(D)$. All images were magnified at 20X and the scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A red arrow indicated shrunk nucleus and organelle while a yellow arrow indicated cell membrane.
4.12.4 Treated KATO-III cell line (by composition F7F-3 at $0.36 \pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )


Figure 4.17 The morphology of treated KATO-III cell line at $0 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}), 24 \mathrm{~h}$ (B), 48 h (C), and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A red arrow indicated shrunk nucleus and organelle while a yellow arrow indicated cell membrane.
4.12.5 Treated SW620 cell line (by composition F7F-3 at $0.62 \pm 0.16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )


Figure 4.18 The morphology of treated SW620 cell line at $0 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A}), 24 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B}), 48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{C})$, and 72 h (D). All images were magnified at 20X and the scale bar was $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A red arrow indicated shrunk organelle. A yellow arrow indicated cell membrane. A green arrow indicated cell debris while a blue arrow indicated shrunk cell.

Figure 4.14 showed the treated BT474 cell line, at 0 h of incubation (Figure 4.14A), organelles started to shrink so the amount of cytoplasm looked increased. Cells looked round. At 24 h of incubation (Figure 4.14B), the number and the density of cells decreased. The area of organalles was smaller than where was seen at 0 h of incubation. At 48 h of incubation (Figure 4.14C), the number of cells was more decreased. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.14D), cell debris were seen. The left over cells looked smaller in size than cells at 0 h of incubation. The number of cells kept decreasing.

Figure 4.15 showed the treated Chago cell line, at 0 h of incubation (Figure 4.15A), cells started to be in a hypertonic form. Organalles started to shrink like the event of BT474 cell line but the treated Chago cells were smaller in size than treated BT474 cells. At 24 h of incubation (Figure 4.15 B ), the number and the density of cells were rapidly decreased. The shape of cells started to change not to be round. At 48 h of incubation (Figure 4.15C), morphology of death cell could be noticed in various forms. Round shape was disappeared. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.15D), only cell debris could be found and the shape of cell debris could not be identified.

Figure 4.16 showed the treated $\mathrm{Hep}-\mathrm{G}_{2}$ cell line, at 0 h of incubation (Figure 4.16A), cells started to be in a hypertonic form like BT474 and Chago cell lines at this period of incubation. However, some cells were still not in a shrinkage form but were attached to each other to form a clump. At 24 h of incubation (Figure 4.16B), the number of shrinkage cells increased but the number of total cells and density decreased. Cells were attached to each other to form a clump. At 48 h of incubation (Figure 4.16C), the morphology remained unchanged. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.16D), the number of cell debris increased but dead cells were noticed in some area, not widely dispersed in a well plate.

Figure 4.17 showed the treated KATO-III cell line, at 0 h of incubation (Figure 4.17A), cells started to be in a hypertonic form like BT474 cell line. At 24 h of incubation (Figure 4.17B), the morphology remained unchanged but the density and the number of cells decreased. At 48 h of incubation (Figure 4.17C), the morphology still remained unchanged. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.17D), the cell size became smaller than the cell size at 48 h of incubation. Nonetheless, the morphology remained unchanged.

Figure 4.18 showed for SW620 cell line, at 0 h of incubation (Figure 4.18A), cell death started to be noticed by observing the various changed shapes such as
hypertonic, shrank. The cell density and number were rapidly decreased. At 24 h of incubation (Figure 4.18B), cell debris could be visible. More cells were shrinkage. At 48 h of incubation (Figure 4.18C), the number of shrunk cells and cell debris increased. At 72 h of incubation (Figure 4.18D), the number of shrunk cells and cell debris kept increasing.

Overview morphology of all treated cell lines was that cells became hypertonic. Organalles were shrinkage so it made the amount of cytoplasm look increased. That led the cell look round and not broken. Thus, it's very interesting to continue finding the death program of those cell lines.

### 4.13 Chemical structure of a bioactive compound

According to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic data, subfractions F7E, F7F-3 and F8E were similar. Among these 3 samples, F7F-3 was the almost pure exhibited the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines. In addition, the best described morphology of cell death was visible. Thus, F7F-3 was further subjected analysis of the chemical structure. F7F-3 was solid or yellow crystal. Sometimes, it was yellow solid or in oily form. Its structure was analyzed by 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional NMR spectroscopy, including HSQC, HMBC, and COSY. The F7F-3 was identified as alpha-mangostin (Figure 4.19) and its NMR data were summarized in Table 4.18.


Figure 4.19 The chemical structure of F7F-3 by NMR analysis.

Table 4.17 NMR spectroscopic data of F7F-3.

| Position | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right. \\ \mathrm{MHz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta_{C}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR }\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right. \\ \mathrm{MHz}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right. \\ \mathrm{MHz}) \text { from (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \\ \text { from (1) } \end{gathered}$ | H-H-COSY | HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | - | 108.6 | - | 109.7 | - | - |
| 3 | - | 161.7 | - | 161.6 | - | - |
| 4 | $6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 93.4 | $6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 92.4 | - | C2, C3, C4a, C9a |
| $4 a$ | - | 155.2 | - | 154.8 | - | - |
| 5 | 6.83 (1H, s) | 101.7 | $6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 101.6 | - | C7, C8a, C10a |
| 6 | - | 155.9 | - | 155.4 | - | - |
| 7 | - | 142.7 | - | 142.7 | - | - |
| 8 | - | 137.2 | - | 137.2 | - | - |
| 8a | - | 112.4 | - | 111.7 | - | - |
| 9 | - | 182.2 | - | 181.8 | - | - |
| 9a | - | 103.8 | - | 103.1 | - | - |
| 10a | - | 154.7 | - | 155.2 | - | - |
| 11 | 4.09 (2H) | 26.7 | 4.00 (2H) | 26.3 | H14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { C7, C8, C8a, C12, } \\ \text { C13 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | 5.28 (1H) | 123.3 | 5.18 (1H) | 122.1 | H11 | C12 |
| 13 | - | 132.2 | - | 131.7 | - | - |
| 14 | 1.77 (3H, s) | 18.3 | 1.61 (3H, s) | 25.7 | - | C12, C13, C15 |
| 15 | 1.83 (3H, s) | 16.9 | $1.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 17.7 | - | C12, C13, C14 |
| 16 | 3.45 (2H) | 21.6 | 3.28 (2H) | 21.3 | H19 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 17, \\ \mathrm{C} 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 5.28 (1H) | 121.6 | 5.17 (1H) | 123.4 | H16 | C17 |
| 18 | - | 133.8 | - | 132.6 | - | - |
| 19 | 1.69 (3H, s) | 20.9 | $1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 25.7 | - | C17, C18, C20 |
| 20 | 1.84 (3H, s) | 18.0 | 1.75 (3H, s) | 18.1 | - | C17, C18, C19 |
| 7-OMe | 3.81 (3H, s) | 62.2 | $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ | 61.2 | - | C7 |
| $1-\mathrm{OH}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \mathrm{OH}) \end{gathered}$ | 160.8 | $\begin{gathered} 13.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \mathrm{OH}) \end{gathered}$ | 160.2 | - | C1, C2, C9a |



Figure 4.20 HMBC correlation of carbon position of F7F-3.

The chemical structure of compound F7F-3 was analysed according to the spectrum reference from Mahabusarakam et al. (32), Yu et al. (68), Ghazali et al. (1), and Massarani et al. (69). It was identified as 1,3,6-trihydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8diprenylxanthone or alpha-mangostin which was one of bioactive compounds in mangosteen (70).

This compound has molecular weight at $410.45 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ (atomic weight of Carbon, Hydrogen and Oxygen are 12.010, 1.008 and 15.999, respectively). This compound would be calculated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ from $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in table 4.12 to $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{ml}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of this compound in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in table 4.18

Table $4.18 \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of alpha-mangostin (F7F-3) in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$

| Cancer cell lines | alpha-mangostin (F7F-3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| BT474 | $0.50 \pm 0.01$ | $1.22 \pm 0.03$ |
| Chago | $0.92 \pm 0.08$ | $2.25 \pm 0.20$ |
| Hep-G | $0.39 \pm 0.04$ | $0.94 \pm 0.01$ |
| KATO-III | $0.36 \pm 0.06$ | $0.88 \pm 0.16$ |
| SW620 | $0.62 \pm 0.16$ | $1.50 \pm 0.39$ |

## Chapter V

## Discussion

In this research, honey pot cerumen of Tetragonula laeviceps was used to determine the antiproliferative activity on cancer cell lines. As it was the mixture of many bee products such as honey; beeswax; propolis, it was expected to have the better antiproliferative activity, comparing to single bee product. Ceremen was collected from Chantaburi province because there were many types of fruit orchard such as rambutan, mangosteen, durian which were food sources for stingless bees and honeybees. Meantimes, pollination by bees was very important for plants. Hence, in this province, there was a meliniponiculture and apiculture complex for orchard agriculture to increase products. Farmers seemed to prefer stingless bee to honeybee because it could not sting and was less aggressive. That led to a high density of stingless bee population in this province (3).

Since there were a very few research articles on cerumen, many articles about other bee products would be used for the better discussion here.

Cerumen collected from an orchard in Chantaburi province looked dark brown and sticky. However, it was reported that propolis from Brazil looked green (71) and propolis from China looked red (72). In overall, it seemed to be that the character of raw natural products depended on geography or external factors a lot. It might be possible that the color character depended on the color of plant resin. There were some supportive articles as below.

In 2007, Daugsch et al. (73) reported A. mellifera red propolis collected from many places in northeastern Brazil. Red propolis was extracted by ethanol and was analyzed for chemical compositions by reverse phase HPLC and reverse phase high performance thin layer chromatography. The result showed that there were various types of flavonoid and various compositions such as rutin, liquiritigenin, daiazein, quercitin, luteolin, dalbergin, isoliquiritigenin, formononetin, pinocembrin, pinobanksin-3-acetate, and biochanin. It was found out that main plant resin in red propolis was from Dalbergia ecastophyllum. produce red propolis is come from this plants.

In 2012, Shimomura et al. (74) presented propolis from A. mellifera in Jeju island, South Korea. This propolis was yellow black. It was originated from resin of
native plants and mixed with wax and old resin within a hive. After it was extracted by MeOH , it was analyzed by reversed phase-HPLC. The chemical components were revealed to be similar to those in Angelica keiskei, native plant in Korea. Those 6 main compositions were SS-(+)-laserpitin, (SS)-(-)-isolaserpitin, -(-)-selidin, 4hydroxyderricin, xanthoangelol, and xanthoangelol.

In addition, it was likely that extraction methods played a key role in bioactive compounds and bioactivity of natural products, too.

In 2011, Aspe and Fernandez (75) reported the extraction of Pinus radiata bark from Chile. Four different methods of extractions which were conventional maceration (batch), soxlet extraction, ultrasound assisted extraction (UAE), and microwave assisted extraction (MAE) were used. However, the solution of 3:7 between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :acetone was used in all methods. The result showed that, for the first step, soxlet extraction gave the most yield at 12.0\%. It was followed by MAE, UAE, and conventional maceration at $10.4 \%, 9.5 \%$, and $8.0 \%$, respectively. When it was continued to the second and third step, MAE could increase the yield of extract to be $15.2 \%$ and a few of solvent volume was used. Next, extracts from soxlet and MAE were used to test for the antioxidant activity by DPPH method. The result showed that both extracts had the better antioxidant activity than pycogenol. The activity was better when MAE extract was more extracted in the further step. The activity of extracts by MAE in the first, second, and third step was 11.6, 9.9, and $7.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively while the activity of extracts by soxlet in the first, second, and third step was $15.4,14.2$, and $14.5 \mu \mathrm{~mL}$, respectively. It showed that soxlet extraction gave the best yield for single extraction while MAE gave the best yield for mulitiple extractions.

Hence, in this research, cerumen was extracted by 2 methods. The first one was the extracted with $80 \%$ methanol, dichloromethane, and hexane, respectively which were followed by Umthong et al. (18) and Teerasripreecha et al. (19). The second method was the extraction in the reversed order of organic solvents with hexane, dichloromethane, and $80 \%$ methanol, respectively. All of 6 crude extracts (CME, CDE, CHE, ReCME, ReCDE, and ReCHE) were obtained. Considering the appearance of those crude extracts (Tables 4.1 and 4.2), CHE looked similar to ReCHE (sticky and waxy form), CDE looked similar to ReCDE (red-brown sticky resin), and CME looked similar to ReCME (brown liquid).

However, the percentage yield among 6 crude extracts was different (Tables 4.1 and 4.2). The percentage yield of CME, CDE, and CHE was 4.858, 51.997, and
23.549, respectively, but the percentage yield of reversed crude extracts was 9,280, 30.100, and 43.370, respectively. Thus, in this research, it supported that a different method for extraction gave a different yield.

Considering the appearance of crude extracts of cerumen, they looked different from crude extracts of propolis although they were from the same species. CME, CDE, and CHE of T. laeviceps' propolis were dark brown solid, sticky solid, and sticky liquid, respectively (18). Moreover, CME, CDE, and CHE of Apis mellifera's propolis were hazel, yellow brown as well as sticky, and brown as well as sticky, respectively. The percentage of yield was also different. For CME, CDE, and CHE of A. mellifera's propolis were 25.0, 1.47, and 0.82 , respectively (19).

The antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines like BT474, Chago, HepG2, KATO-III, and SW620 was determined by MTT assay which was described in Santos et al. (65), Hernandez et al. (66), Najafi et al. (67), Umthong et al. (18) and Teerasripreecha et al. (19). The result showed that reversed crude dichloromethane extract (ReCDE) had the overview best activity against 5 cancer cell lines at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value range of $0.56-5.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. CDE also had the good activity at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value range of $0.55-5.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of reCDE was lower than the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of CDE from A. mellifera's propolis ( $43.8-53.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 5 cancer cell lines) (19). Thus, at the crude level, it seemed to be that cerumen was more potential than propolis in term of antiproliferative activity.

Not only CDE of cerumen which was composed of plant resin as a main compound could have the antiproliferative activity, but CDE of other plants was also reported to be active.

In 2006, Kohn et al. (76) reported that CDE of Aspidosperma tomentosum that was one of Brazillian plants had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines like K562 (leukemia), UACC62 (melanoma), NCI460 (lung cancer), NCI-ADR (drug resistant breast cancer), and MCF-7 (breast cancer). When those cell lines were treated by this extract at $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 48 h , the growth percentage of K562, UACC 62, NCI460, NCI-ADR, and MCF-7 were 70\%, 6\%, 2\%, -1, and -46\%, respectively. Furthermore, when these cell lines were treated by crude $70 \%$ ethanol extract for 48 h, the growth percentage of K562, NCI460, NCI-ADR, UACC62, and MCF-7 were 97\%, $31 \%, 19 \%, 13 \%$, and $-18 \%$, respectively.

In 2012, Marchetti et al. (77) reported that CDE of Calea pinnatifida in Brazil had the antiproliferative activity against 9 cancer cell lines with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value range of $8.41-228.58 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. This extract was found to be better than crude ethanol extract
in inhibiting the growth of HT-40 cell line with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $80.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Nonetheless, other 8 cell lines were not sensitive to this extract at all.

Here, reCDE was purified due to the yield and activity. After silica gel 60G chromatography, it seemed to be that mobile phase and eluting solvents played the major role for the antiproliferative activity. Considering the results as in table 4.4, the pattern of compositions in initial fractions (compositions I-IV, waxy form) could be identified when pure dichloromethane was used as mobile phase in TLC. However, after they were eluted from silica gel column by using the mixed solvents of high percentage of hexane and low percentage of ethyl acetate, the obtained fractions were not active at all.

As the second type, middle fractions (compositions V-XIII) had oily form. The pattern of compositions could be identified when the mixed solvents of 97: 3, 95: 5 of dichloromethane per methanol were used as mobile phase. It showed that the compositions were medium in polarity because they could be eluted from silica gel column by using the mixed solvents of lower percentage of hexane and $100 \%$ ethyl acetate. The activity result of various compositions was varied from low to high.

As the last type, remaining fractions (compositions XIV-XVI) contained remaining sediments, solid or pigment form. The composition pattern could be identified when the mixed solvents of 90: 10 of dichloromethane per methanol was used as mobile phase. Although these fractions could be eluted from silica gel column by using the mixed mobile phase of ethyl acetate and methanol or pure methanol, no activity or very low activity was revealed.

The most interesting fractions were fraction VII and VIII because molybdated dipped TLC of these fractions showed a big yellow spot while other parts showed blue or purple patterns (Table 4.4 and appendix D).

After those 16 fractions were tested against 5 cancer cell lines by MTT assay, fraction VII, VIII, and XI had high activity and high yield, especially fraction VII $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ value of $3.38-6.45 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 379 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and fraction VIII ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.37-6.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, 304 mg ). However, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of these fractions were higher than IC50 values of ReCDE. It could be observed that, in this case, crude extracts was better than partially purified form.

In 2005, Al Waili (8) reported that the mixture of honey, beeswax, and olive oil in the ratio of 1: 1: $1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ from United Arab Emirates had antimicrobial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Candida albicans. Comparing to only one bee
product, honey was potential to inhibit the growth of both microorganisms, but not beeswax or olive oil.

After fractions VII and VIII were further purified by chromatography by silica gel 60G to give 10 and 9 fractions respectively. They were also different in yield, mass, and appearance (Tables 4.7 and 4.8). The most interesting combined fractions were in subfractions F7E and F8D because the pattern on TLC of these compositions was very yellow and single with various forms such as powder, oil, or crystal. In contrast, a lot of thick patterns were observed on TLC of subfractions F7F and F8E. It represented that there were a lot of compositions which could not be separated.

In order to get a pure bioactive compound, a bioactive combined fraction was purified three times by column chromatography. After it was assayed for the antiproliferative activity, a pure fraction F7F-3 had the highest activity against 5 cancer cell lines with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value range of $0.36-0.92 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (Tables 4.12 and 4.16).

The activity of fraction F7F-3 as a newly found compound in cerumen was compared to the activity of doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil, present chemotherapeutic drugs. The result showed that 5 -fluorouracil could not inhibit the growth of BT474, Hep-G 2 , and KATO-III. In this research, 5 -fluorouracil could inhibit the growth of SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.69 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The value was quite different from Sharma and Smith (78) which reported that the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 5 -FU for SW620 was only $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. The difference was possible that there were variation among cell lines. Alternatively, SW620 cell line used in this research had been subcultured for too many times. Thus, it was possible that the cell line was very weak and could be resistant to the drug. New isolation of SW620 cell line from a patient should be in concerned. For many years already, resistant cancer cell lines had become a severe problem for treatment.

For example, Zhong et al. (79) reported breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) which was resistant to 2 chemotherapeutic drugs which were adriamycin ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $403.56 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and docetaxel ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $68.31 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). In contrast, non-resistant MCF-7 cell line was sensitive to adriamycin with the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value of $0.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and docetaxel with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $3.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. However, resistant cell lines were differently sensitive to 2 types of mi-RNA (microRNA) which were miR-122 and miR29a. For adriamycin resistant cell lines treated by miR-122 and miR-29a had the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 295.01 and $282.34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Nonetheless, docetaxel resistant cell lines treated by miR-122 and miR-29a had the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values of 20.000 and 29.667 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. After protein was analysed by western blot, it revealed that resistant cell lines treated by both types of miRNA had more expression of tumor
suppressor protein or PTEN (Phosphate and Tensin homolog protein) than untreated resistant cell lines. From this research, it was possible that some types of resistant cancer cell lines could be alternatively treated.

According to tables 4.16 and 4.18 , the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of fraction F7F-3 for BT474, Chago, Hep-G2, KATO-III and SW620 were 1.22, 2.25, 0.94, 0.88 and 1.50 $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively while the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of doxorubicin for BT474, Chago, Hep-G 2 , KATO-III and SW620 were $0.77,0.65,0.59,1.03$ and $0.12 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively. It obviously showed that fraction F7F-3 had almost the similar potential to doxorubicin in some cancer cell lines. In the future, fraction F7F-3 may be applied to those cancer cell lines resistant to doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil. Alternatively, if the cost of producing fraction F7F-3 is cheaper than synthesizing both doxorubicin and 5fluorouracil, this fraction will be more challenging in drug development. Fortunately, after this fraction was tested on normal fibroblast cell line (CRL-1947 as control), low or no cytotoxicity was revealed (Table 4.15).

A bioactive compound, isolated from fractions F7E, F7F-3, and F8E-5, was analyzed to be alpha-mangostin (Figure 4.19). It was the main xanthone in mangosteen. This compound was reported to have a lot of medical and pharmaceutical bioactivities such as antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer activities (70).

From NMR spectroscopic data, the result of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlation in HMBC was presented in table 4.17 and figure 4.20. Proton at carbon position 4 ( $\delta_{H} 6.29$ ) has a correlation with carbon position $2,3,4 a$ and $9 a\left(\delta_{c} 108.6,161.7,155.2\right.$ and 103.8). Proton at position 5 ( $\delta_{H} 6.83$ ) has a correlation with position 7 , 8a and 10a ( $\delta_{c} 142.7$, 112.4 and 154.7). 2 protons at position 11 ( $\delta_{H} 4.09$ ) have a correlation with position 7 , $8,8 \mathrm{a}, 12$ and 13 ( $\delta_{c} 142.7,137.2,112.4,123.3$ and 132.2). Proton at carbon position 12 ( $\delta_{H} 5.28$ ) has own correlation with position $12\left(\delta_{\mathrm{c}} 123.3\right)$. Methyl protons at position 14 ( $\delta_{H}$ 1.77) have a correlation with position 12, 13 and 15 ( $\delta_{c} 123.3,132.2$ and 16.9). Methyl protons at position $15\left(\delta_{H} 1.83\right)$ have a correlation with position $12,13,14$ ( $\delta_{c}$ 123.3, 132.2 and 18.3). 2 protons at position 16 ( $\delta_{H} 3.45$ ) have a correlation with position 1, 2, 3, 17 and 18 ( $\delta_{c} 160.8,108.6,161.7,121.6$ and 133.8). Proton at carbon position 17 ( $\delta_{H} 5.28$ ) has own correlation with position $12\left(\delta_{c} 121.6\right)$. Methyl protons at position $19\left(\delta_{H} 1.69\right)$ have a correlation with position 17,18 and $20\left(\delta_{c} 121.6,133.8\right.$ and 18.0). Methyl protons at position $20\left(\delta_{H} 1.84\right)$ have correlation with position 17,18 and 19 ( $\delta_{c}$ 121.6, 133.8 and 20.9). Methoxy protons ( $\delta_{H} 3.81$ ) have a correlation with position 7 ( $\delta_{c} 66.2$ ). Lastly, hydroxyl proton ( $\delta_{H} 13.79$ ) has a correlation with carbon
position 1, 2 and 9a (160.8, 108.6 and 103.8). All of correlation positions would be designed to compound structure of alpha-mangostin. When comparing with alphamangostin spectrum from Ghazali et al. (1) in table 4.17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum peak have closely of this research F7F-3 compound. It's showed that F7F-3 is alphamangostin.a

It was very reasonable to explain why cerumen had this compound. Considering the sampling site, there were plenty of mangosteen trees. They could be a source of plant resin for bees to produce propolis and cerumen. Stingless bee could collect resin from pericarp of mangosteen.

According to table 4.16, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of fraction F7F-3 against SW620 cell line was $0.62 \pm 0.16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ but in 2011, Watanapokasin et al. (80) reported alphamangostin from pericarp of mangosteen in Chantaburi had the antiproliferative activity against SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $19.60 \pm 1.53 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. This controversial data should be studied for the difference. However, variation in cell line should be in concerned.

Besides the $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values, the change in morphology of cancer cell lines treated with alpha-mangostin (fraction F7F-3) at its $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ value was performed. The shape of cell, nucleus and organalle condensation, cell shrinking, and cell floating were parameters used to observe here. For some treated cell lines after 72 h incubation, a lot of debris and low cell density could be observed, too. According to figures 4.144.18, it could present that alpha-mangostin caused the cell death of those cancer cell lines by apoptosis.

There were some reports supporting that alpha-mangostin could induce the apoptosis of cells.

In 2002, Matsumoto et al. (81) reported that alpha-mangostin from pericarp of mangosteen in Indonesia had the antiproliferative activity against human leukemia cell line (HL60) with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $6.8 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$. In addition, after other cell lines such as K562, NB4 and U937 were treated with $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of alpha-mangostin, the cell number decreased, the nucleosomal DNA was fragmented, the amount of caspase-3 protein activated from procaspase-3 increased.

In 2011, Watanapokasin et al. (80) purposed the mechanism on how alphamangostin from pericarp of mangosteen in Chantaburi could induce apoptosis in 3 colorectal cancer cell lines which were COLO205, MIP-101, and SW620. It showed that the cell cycle of those cell lines treated with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of alpha-mangostin would be
arrested before G1 checkpoint. The fragmentation of DNA was occured. The amount of apoptotic proteins such as Bax, p53, FAS, cytochrome C increased. The caspase-3, caspase-8, and caspase-9 activation rate also increased. It was found that alphamangostin could promote the activation of procaspase-8 from extrinsic pathway to induce of apoptosis process.

In this research, cancer cell lines that treated with alpha-mangostin have an apoptotic character. However, for supporting this hypothesis in future. Treated cancer cell line must have a step of flow cytometer to check the cell cycle process and western blot for analyzed apoptotic protein that has any expression and activation or not.

From the above, it could concluded that alpha-mangostin in cerumen of $T$. laeviceps from Chantaburi province could inhibit the growth of cancer cells. It can be a new and alternative source for an alpha-mangostin. However, further experiments must be designed. A mechanism on how it can control the antiproliferative activity must be discovered.

## Chapter VI

## Conclusions

T. laeviceps cerumen extracted from 2 methods revealed that CME, CDE, and CHE from the first method had a yield percentage at 4.858, 51.997, and 23.459, respectively and reCHE, reCDE, and reCHE from the second method had a yield percentage at $9.280,30.100$, and 43.370 , respectively. The result of antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell line by MTT assay showed that reCDE had the best activity in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range at $0.56-5.24 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Then, reCDE was purified by silica gel 60G ( $0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column chromatography in a glass column ( 5 cm diameter $\times 50$ cm height with 500 mL bulb). It gave 16 different fractions (I-XVI) which were different in mass and yield. All fractions were tested for the antiproliferative activity. The result showed that fractions VII and VIII had the best antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $3.38-6.46 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $5.36-6.60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Both fractions were further purified by silica gel $60 \mathrm{G}(0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ column chromatography in a glass column ( 3 cm diameter and 60 cm height with 140 mL bulb). Both fractions gave 19 subfractions in total. All subfractions were tested for the antiproliferative activity. The result showed that subfractions F7E, F7F, and F8E had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of 0.88 $1.64 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 0.95-1.71 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and $3.96-6.28 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Subfractions F7F and F8E still had a lot of compositions so both subfractions were further purified by silica gel 60G ( $0.040-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column chromatography in a glass column ( 2.5 cm diameter and 50 cm height with 100 mL bulb). Both subfractions gave 26 compositions in total. All compositions were tested for the antiproliferative activity. The result showed that F7F-3 and F8E-5 had the good antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $0.36-0.92 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ and $1.74-3.88 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. Furthermore, doxorubicin, the recent chemotherapeutic drug, had the antiproliferative activity against 5 cancer cell lines in the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ range of $0.07-0.56$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ while, 5 -fluorouracil, other recent chemotherapeutic drug, had the antiproliferative activity against Chago and SW620 with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.38 and $5.69 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. However, BT474, Hep-G 2 , and KATO-III were not sensitive to 5 -fluorouracil. Bioactive fractions and chemotherapeutic drugs were tested for cytotoxicity with CRL1943 (fibroblast cell). The result showed that F7F-3 and F7E showed cytotoxic activity against CRL-1943 (fibroblast cell) with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 4.56 and $9.01 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$ respectively In contrast, F8E-5 had no cytotoxicity to fibroblast cells. Also, doxorubicin
and 5-fluorouracil had no cytotoxicity to fibroblast cells. Among F7F-3, F7F, and F8E5, F7F-3 had the best antiproliferative activity against cancer cell lines. Thus, F7F-3 was tested for morphology change of 5 cancer cell lines after they were treated by using concentration $\mathrm{I}_{50}$ values. The result showed that all cell lines had a hypertonic condition. Organelle and nucleus were shrinkage so it made the amount of cytoplasm looked increased. Cell looked round but not broken. Some cells remained like debris. In overall, it showed the event of apoptosis. Subfraction F7E, F7F-3, and F8E-5 were analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The result showed that 3 bioactive compounds had the similar ${ }^{1}$ H NMR pattern. Subfraction F7F-3 was the most bioactive compound and gave the most obvious cell death morphology. When it was analyzed by 1dimensional and 2-dimensional NMR spectroscopy, HSQC, HMBC, and COSY, subfraction F7F-3 was identified as alpha-mangostin the major bioactive compound of pericarp of Garcinia mangostana or mangosteen fruit. The suggestion from this research for further step in future is cancer cell line treated with alpha-mangostin will be confirmed for apoptosis by flow cytometry and western blot. At last, in T. laeviceps cerumen is a new choice for alpha-mangostin source for developing an effective anti-cancer drug in the future.
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APPENDICES

## Appendix A

Absorbance value at 540 nm of different cancer cell lines treated with crude extracts of T. laeviceps's cerumen

|  | CME ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | $\operatorname{ReCME}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |  | CDE ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | ReCDE ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | CHE ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | ReCHE ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Ctrl | 100 | 1000 | 10000 |
| BT474 | 0.376 | 0.386 | 0.094 | 0.092 | 0.804 | 0.838 | 0.838 | 0.075 | 0.804 | 0.633 | 0.081 | 0.136 | 0.804 | 0.676 | 0.083 | 0.132 | 0.435 | 0.336 | 0.359 | 0.073 | 0.804 | 0.979 | 0.528 | 0.156 |
|  | 0.386 | 0.562 | 0.074 | 0.085 | 0.710 | 0.803 | 0.818 | 0.111 | 0.710 | 0.666 | 0.091 | 0.199 | 0.710 | 0.738 | 0.079 | 0.107 | 0.726 | 0.324 | 0.395 | 0.070 | 0.710 | 0.888 | 0.553 | 0.075 |
|  | 0.424 | 0.450 | 0.100 | 0.110 | 0.873 | 0.774 | 0.580 | 0.100 | 0.873 | 0.689 | 0.077 | 0.189 | 0.873 | 0.648 | 0.080 | 0.105 | 0.549 | 0.386 | 0.385 | 0.070 | 0.873 | 0.838 | 0.494 | 0.074 |
| Mean | 0.395 | 0.466 | 0.089 | 0.096 | 0.796 | 0.805 | 0.745 | 0.095 | 0.796 | 0.662 | 0.083 | 0.174 | 0.796 | 0.687 | 0.081 | 0.115 | 0.570 | 0.348 | 0.380 | 0.071 | 0.796 | 0.901 | 0.525 | 0.101 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 117.93 | 22.67 | 24.194 | 100 | 101.25 | 93.782 | 11.983 | 100 | 83.379 | 10.44 | 21.966 | 100 | 86.491 | 10.12 | 14.423 | 100 | 61.140 | 66.58 | 12.444 | 100 | 113.42 | 66.03 | 12.767 |
| SD | 0 | 18.39 | 2.85 | 2.60 | 0 | 3.29 | 14.753 | 1.88 | 0 | 2.92 | 0.74 | 3.49 | 0 | 4.75 | 0.19 | 1.57 | 0 | 4.75 | 2.66 | 0.26 | 0 | 7.30 | 3.06 | 4.86 |
| CHACO | 1.571 | 0.833 | 0.704 | 0.085 | 1.571 | 0.59 | 0.634 | 0.08 | 1.571 | 0.832 | 0.736 | 0.075 | 1.571 | 0.965 | 0.048 | 0.078 | 1.571 | 1.284 | 0.504 | 0.07 | 1.571 | 0.857 | 0.609 | 0.301 |
|  | 1.739 | 0.889 | 0.426 | 0.09 | 1.739 | 0.87 | 0.55 | 0.086 | 1.739 | 0.776 | 0.633 | 0.078 | 1.739 | 0.727 | 0.059 | 0.091 | 1.739 | 1.197 | 0.65 | 0.065 | 1.739 | 1.051 | 0.643 | 0.419 |
|  | 1.724 | 1.088 | 0.239 | 0.079 | 1.724 | 0.998 | 0.589 | 0.11 | 1.724 | 0.769 | 0.722 | 0.068 | 1.724 | 0.884 | 0.063 | 0.088 | 1.724 | 1.323 | 0.685 | 0.066 | 1.724 | 1.173 | 0.707 | 0.476 |
| Mean | 1.678 | 0.936 | 0.456 | 0.085 | 1.678 | 0.819 | 0.591 | 0.092 | 1.678 | 0.792 | 0.697 | 0.074 | 1.678 | 0.859 | 0.057 | 0.086 | 1.678 | 1.268 | 0.613 | 0.067 | 1.678 | 1.027 | 0.653 | 0.399 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 55.820 | 27.20 | 5.046 | 100 | 48.828 | 35.220 | 5.482 | 100 | 47.219 | 41.54 | 4.390 | 100 | 51.172 | 3.377 | 5.105 | 100 | 75.566 | 36.53 | 3.993 | 100 | 61.204 | 38.92 | 23.758 |
| SD | 0 | 6.52 | 11.39 | 0.27 | 0 | 10.15 | 2.05 | 0.77 | 0 | 1.68 | 2.72 | 0.25 | 0 | 5.89 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0 | 3.14 | 4.67 | 0.13 | 0 | 7.75 | 2.42 | 4.34 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.580 | 0.252 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.580 | 0.269 | 0.139 | 0.066 | 0.580 | 0.152 | 0.182 | 0.063 | 0.580 | 0.189 | 0.149 | 0.084 | 0.580 | 0.273 | 0.12 | 0.068 | 0.580 | 0.245 | 0.153 | 0.113 |
|  | 0.670 | 0.273 | 0.077 | 0.074 | 0.670 | 0.208 | 0.117 | 0.077 | 0.670 | 0.176 | 0.165 | 0.067 | 0.670 | 0.217 | 0.076 | 0.085 | 0.670 | 0.409 | 0.095 | 0.062 | 0.670 | 0.267 | 0.214 | 0.208 |
|  | 0.458 | 0.197 | 0.066 | 0.072 | 0.458 | 0.212 | 0.128 | 0.067 | 0.458 | 0.219 | 0.17 | 0.056 | 0.458 | 0.173 | 0.07 | 0.072 | 0.458 | 0.339 | 0.122 | 0.064 | 0.458 | 0.269 | 0.205 | 0.185 |
| Mean | 0.569 | 0.241 | 0.069 | 0.071 | 0.569 | 0.229 | 0.128 | 0.070 | 0.569 | 0.182 | 0.172 | 0.062 | 0.569 | 0.193 | 0.098 | 0.080 | 0.569 | 0.340 | 0.112 | 0.065 | 0.569 | 0.260 | 0.191 | 0.169 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 42.296 | 12.07 | 12.419 | 100 | 40.363 | 22.496 | 12.302 | 100 | 32.045 | 30.29 | 10.896 | 100 | 33.919 | 17.28 | 14.118 | 100 | 59.813 | 19.74 | 11.365 | 100 | 45.752 | 33.51 | 29.643 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \frac{\text { SD }}{\text { KATO-IIII }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 5.63 | 1.06 | 0.60 | 0 | 4.90 | 1.58 | 0.87 | 0 | 4.87 | 1.25 | 0.80 | 0 | 3.20 | 6.31 | 1.04 | 0 | 9.76 | 2.16 | 0.44 | 0 | 1.91 | 4.73 | 7.11 |
|  | 0.589 | 0.203 | 0.154 | 0.072 | 0.589 | 0.275 | 0.21 | 0.085 | 0.589 | 0.247 | 0.278 | 0.08 | 0.589 | 0.182 | 0.065 | 0.08 | 0.589 | 0.286 | 0.162 | 0.063 | 0.589 | 0.318 | 0.205 | 0.089 |
|  | 0.623 | 0.333 | 0.117 | 0.076 | 0.623 | 0.256 | 0.159 | 0.08 | 0.623 | 0.415 | 0.148 | 0.081 | 0.623 | 0.242 | 0.102 | 0.075 | 0.623 | 0.326 | 0.217 | 0.06 | 0.623 | 0.409 | 0.179 | 0.096 |
|  | 0.549 | 0.353 | 0.084 | 0.07 | 0.549 | 0.231 | 0.197 | 0.093 | 0.550 | 0.381 | 0.183 | 0.074 | 0.549 | 0.217 | 0.058 | 0.075 | 0.549 | 0.314 | 0.203 | 0.064 | 0.549 | 0.438 | 0.227 | 0.146 |
| Mean | 0.587 | 0.296 | 0.118 | 0.073 | 0.587 | 0.254 | 0.189 | 0.086 | 0.587 | 0.348 | 0.203 | 0.078 | 0.587 | 0.214 | 0.075 | 0.076 | 0.587 | 0.309 | 0.194 | 0.062 | 0.587 | 0.388 | 0.203 | 0.110 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 50.483 | 20.16 | 12.379 | 100 | 43.271 | 32.140 | 14.651 | 100 | 59.228 | 34.58 | 13.345 | 100 | 36.400 | 12.78 | 13.061 | 100 | 52.584 | 33.05 | 10.619 | 100 | 66.156 | 34.70 | 18.796 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SD } \\ \hline \text { SW620 } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 11.329 | 4.87 | 0.42 | 0 | 3.07 | 3.67 | 0.91 | 0 | 12.355 | 9.36 | 0.53 | 0 | 4.19 | 3.29 | 0.40 | 0 | 2.86 | 3.98 | 0.29 | 0 | 8.71 | 3.34 | 4.32 |
|  | 0.967 | 0.174 | 0.08 | 0.063 | 0.967 | 0.133 | 0.086 | 0.062 | 0.967 | 0.083 | 0.096 | 0.062 | 0.967 | 0.139 | 0.074 | 0.096 | 0.967 | 0.092 | 0.083 | 0.064 | 0.967 | 0.114 | 0.068 | 0.103 |
|  | 1.037 | 0.115 | 0.095 | 0.071 | 1.037 | 0.118 | 0.09 | 0.074 | 1.037 | 0.094 | 0.119 | 0.064 | 1.037 | 0.145 | 0.064 | 0.11 | 1.037 | 0.118 | 0.093 | 0.065 | 1.037 | 0.102 | 0.085 | 0.107 |
|  | 0.893 | 0.100 | 0.076 | 0.068 | 0.893 | 0.144 | 0.072 | 0.068 | 0.893 | 0.102 | 0.091 | 0.062 | 0.893 | 0.084 | 0.063 | 0.088 | 0.893 | 0.138 | 0.098 | 0.061 | 0.893 | 0.115 | 0.087 | 0.101 |
| $\frac{\text { Mean }}{\text { Percentage of }}$ | 0.966 | 0.130 | 0.084 | 0.067 | 0.966 | 0.132 | 0.083 | 0.068 | 0.966 | 0.093 | 0.102 | 0.063 | 0.966 | 0.123 | 0.067 | 0.098 | 0.966 | 0.116 | 0.091 | 0.063 | 0.966 | 0.110 | 0.080 | 0.104 |
|  | 100 | 13.423 | 8.661 | 6.970 | 100 | 13.630 | 8.558 | 7.039 | 100 | 9.627 | 10.56 | 6.487 | 100 | 12.698 | 6.936 | 10.145 | 100 | 12.008 | 9.455 | 6.556 | 100 | 11.422 | 8.282 | 10.732 |
| SD | 0 | 3.31 | 0.85 | 0.34 | 0 | 1.10 | 0.80 | 0.51 | 0 | 0.81 | 1.26 | 0.1 | 0 | 2.84 | 0.51 | 0.94 | 0 | 1.95 | 0.65 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.61 | 0.88 | 0.26 |

Absorbance value at 540 nm of different cancer cell lines treated with fractions in reCDE

|  | Fraction III ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction IV ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction $\mathrm{V}(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ |  |  |  | Fraction VI ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 |
| BT474 | 0.482 | 0.495 | 0.804 | 0.565 | 0.804 | 0.565 | 0.804 | 0.565 | 0.804 | 0.565 | 0.294 | 0.077 | 0.226 | 0.258 | 0.185 | 0.064 |
|  | 0.473 | 0.447 | 0.710 | 0.567 | 0.710 | 0.567 | 0.710 | 0.567 | 0.710 | 0.567 | 0.273 | 0.075 | 0.218 | 0.206 | 0.174 | 0.070 |
|  | 0.611 | 0.537 | 0.873 | 0.747 | 0.873 | 0.747 | 0.873 | 0.747 | 0.873 | 0.747 | 0.295 | 0.091 | 0.190 | 0.218 | 0.245 | 0.075 |
| Mean | 0.522 | 0.493 | 0.796 | 0.626 | 0.796 | 0.626 | 0.796 | 0.626 | 0.796 | 0.626 | 0.287 | 0.081 | 0.211 | 0.227 | 0.201 | 0.069 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 94.444 | 100 | 78.774 | 100 | 78.774 | 100 | 78.774 | 100 | 78.774 | 36.103 | 10.173 | 100 | 107.570 | 95.158 | 33.118 |
| SD | 0 | 7.04 | 0 | 10.74 | 0 | 10.74 | 0 | 10.74 | 0 | 10.74 | 1.29 | 0.90 | 0 | 10.61 | 14.69 | 2.17 |
| CHACO | 1.93 | 2.682 | 1.838 | 1.929 | 1.838 | 1.929 | 1.838 | 1.929 | 1.838 | 1.929 | 0.933 | 0.094 | 2.359 | 2.218 | 2.131 | 0.068 |
|  | 1.765 | 1.694 | 1.476 | 1.359 | 1.476 | 1.359 | 1.476 | 1.359 | 1.476 | 1.359 | 0.842 | 0.095 | 1.991 | 1.928 | 1.611 | 0.070 |
|  | 1.702 | 2.824 | 1.817 | 2.101 | 1.817 | 2.101 | 1.817 | 2.101 | 1.817 | 2.101 | 0.869 | 0.088 | 2.407 | 2.542 | 1.953 | 0.074 |
| Mean | 1.799 | 2.400 | 1.710 | 1.796 | 1.710 | 1.796 | 1.710 | 1.796 | 1.710 | 1.796 | 0.881 | 0.092 | 2.250 | 2.229 | 1.898 | 0.071 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 133.407 | 100 | 105.030 | 100 | 105.030 | 100 | 105.030 | 100 | 105.030 | 51.534 | 5.401 | 100 | 98.977 | 84.284 | 3.133 |
| SD | 0 | 27.94 | 0 | 18.53 | 0 | 18.53 | 0 | 18.53 | 0 | 18.53 | 2.22 | 0.20 | 0 | 11.15 | 9.58 | 0.11 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.679 | 0.569 | 0.352 | 0.399 | 0.352 | 0.399 | 0.352 | 0.399 | 0.352 | 0.399 | 0.168 | 0.068 | 0.283 | 0.192 | 0.210 | 0.072 |
|  | 0.661 | 0.592 | 0.452 | 0.538 | 0.452 | 0.538 | 0.452 | 0.538 | 0.452 | 0.538 | 0.280 | 0.080 | 0.216 | 0.173 | 0.121 | 0.068 |
|  | 0.625 | 0.681 | 0.795 | 0.534 | 0.795 | 0.534 | 0.795 | 0.534 | 0.795 | 0.534 | 0.328 | 0.075 | 0.241 | 0.158 | 0.123 | 0.067 |
| Mean | 0.655 | 0.614 | 0.533 | 0.490 | 0.533 | 0.490 | 0.533 | 0.490 | 0.533 | 0.490 | 0.259 | 0.074 | 0.246 | 0.174 | 0.151 | 0.069 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 93.740 | 100 | 92.019 | 100 | 92.019 | 100 | 92.019 | 100 | 92.019 | 48.511 | 13.929 | 100 | 70.671 | 61.404 | 28.084 |
| SD | 0 | 7.37 | 0 | 12.14 | 0 | 12.14 | 0 | 12.14 | 0 | 12.14 | 12.58 | 0.88 | 0 | 5.66 | 16.94 | 0.94 |
| KATO-III | 0.736 | 1.105 | 0.308 | 0.379 | 0.308 | 0.379 | 0.308 | 0.379 | 0.308 | 0.379 | 0.226 | 0.078 | 0.427 | 0.486 | 0.426 | 0.065 |
|  | 0.736 | 0.769 | 0.355 | 0.332 | 0.355 | 0.332 | 0.355 | 0.332 | 0.355 | 0.332 | 0.200 | 0.079 | 0.443 | 0.400 | 0.382 | 0.075 |
|  | 0.776 | 0.871 | 0.404 | 0.375 | 0.404 | 0.375 | 0.404 | 0.375 | 0.404 | 0.375 | 0.207 | 0.086 | 0.374 | 0.375 | 0.351 | 0.075 |
| Mean | 0.749 | 0.915 | 0.356 | 0.362 | 0.356 | 0.362 | 0.356 | 0.362 | 0.356 | 0.362 | 0.211 | 0.081 | 0.415 | 0.420 | 0.386 | 0.072 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 122.109 | 100 | 101.819 | 100 | 101.819 | 100 | 101.819 | 100 | 101.819 | 59.392 | 22.770 | 100 | 100.631 | 92.401 | 17.090 |
| SD | 0 | 18.77 | 0 | 6.05 | 0 | 6.05 | 0 | 6.05 | 0 | 6.05 | 2.99 | 1.00 | 0 | 11.42 | 7.38 | 1.15 |
| SW620 | 1.281 | 1.411 | 0.294 | 0.279 | 0.294 | 0.279 | 0.294 | 0.279 | 0.294 | 0.279 | 0.158 | 0.078 | 0.300 | 0.367 | 0.285 | 0.069 |
|  | 1.668 | 1.708 | 0.386 | 0.462 | 0.386 | 0.462 | 0.386 | 0.462 | 0.386 | 0.462 | 0.154 | 0.096 | 0.306 | 0.364 | 0.268 | 0.068 |
|  | 1.653 | 1.865 | 0.391 | 0.477 | 0.391 | 0.477 | 0.391 | 0.477 | 0.391 | 0.477 | 0.127 | 0.088 | 0.332 | 0.338 | 0.314 | 0.080 |
| Mean | 1.534 | 1.661 | 0.357 | 0.406 | 0.357 | 0.406 | 0.357 | 0.406 | 0.357 | 0.406 | 0.146 | 0.087 | 0.313 | 0.356 | 0.289 | 0.072 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 108.301 | 100 | 113.618 | 100 | 113.618 | 100 | 113.618 | 100 | 113.618 | 40.909 | 24.622 | 100 | 114.003 | 92.455 | 23.135 |
| SD | 0 | 12.27 | 0 | 25.22 | 0 | 25.22 | 0 | 25.22 | 0 | 25.22 | 3.85 | 1.91 | 0 | 4.13 | 6.14 | 1.62 |


|  | Fraction VII ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction VIII ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction IX ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction $\times(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 |
| BT474 | 0.482 | 0.578 | 0.078 | 0.098 | 0.482 | 0.525 | 0.078 | 0.123 | 0.723 | 0.789 | 0.231 | 0.181 | 0.723 | 0.877 | 1.229 | 0.15 |
|  | 0.473 | 0.492 | 0.08 | 0.112 | 0.473 | 0.499 | 0.085 | 0.124 | 0.708 | 0.733 | 0.226 | 0.376 | 0.708 | 0.685 | 0.405 | 0.148 |
|  | 0.611 | 0.469 | 0.081 | 0.092 | 0.611 | 0.551 | 0.08 | 0.134 | 0.59 | 0.693 | 0.171 | 0.231 | 0.59 | 0.722 | 0.364 | 0.181 |
| Mean | 0.522 | 0.513 | 0.080 | 0.101 | 0.522 | 0.525 | 0.081 | 0.127 | 0.674 | 0.738 | 0.209 | 0.263 | 0.674 | 0.761 | 0.666 | 0.160 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 98.276 | 15.262 | 19.285 | 100 | 100.575 | 15.517 | 24.330 | 100 | 109.599 | 31.074 | 38.991 | 100 | 113.013 | 98.862 | 23.701 |
| SD | 0 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 0 | 4.07 | 0.56 | 0.95 | 0 | 5.84 | 4.04 | 12.28 | 0 | 12.35 | 59.15 | 2.24 |
| CHACO | 2.359 | 2.101 | 0.104 | 0.085 | 2.359 | 2.747 | 0.084 | 0.101 | 2.431 | 3.815 | 0.438 | 0.185 | 2.431 | 2.636 | 1.97 | 0.16 |
|  | 1.991 | 2.254 | 0.137 | 0.085 | 1.991 | 2.615 | 0.197 | 0.100 | 2.522 | 3.147 | 0.392 | 0.195 | 2.522 | 3.034 | 1.466 | 0.158 |
|  | 2.407 | 1.944 | 0.096 | 0.086 | 2.407 | 1.687 | 0.084 | 0.092 | 2.83 | 3.768 | 0.215 | 0.154 | 2.83 | 2.074 | 1.097 | 0.148 |
| Mean | 2.250 | 2.100 | 0.112 | 0.085 | 2.250 | 2.349 | 0.122 | 0.374 | 2.594 | 3.577 | 0.348 | 0.178 | 2.594 | 2.58 | 1.511 | 0.155 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 93.222 | 4.992 | 3.789 | 100 | 104.333 | 5.400 | 4.327 | 100 | 137.865 | 13.427 | 6.861 | 100 | 99.499 | 58.242 | 5.987 |
| SD | 0 | 5.62 | 0.78 | 0.01 | 0 | 20.94 | 2.37 | 0.17 | 0 | 11.73 | 3.71 | 0.67 | 0 | 15.18 | 13.79 | 0.20 |
| Hep-G | 0.283 | 0.112 | 0.060 | 0.065 | 0.283 | 0.164 | 0.066 | 0.082 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 0.114 | 0.178 | 0.81 | 0.572 | 0.326 | 0.217 |
|  | 0.216 | 0.141 | 0.063 | 0.066 | 0.216 | 0.171 | 0.067 | 0.083 | 0.818 | 0.568 | 0.102 | 0.172 | 0.818 | 0.687 | 0.264 | 0.124 |
|  | 0.241 | 0.200 | 0.066 | 0.071 | 0.241 | 0.143 | 0.068 | 0.129 | 0.812 | 0.522 | 0.101 | 0.192 | 0.812 | 0.806 | 0.41 | 0.137 |
| Mean | 0.247 | 0.151 | 0.065 | 0.067 | 0.247 | 0.159 | 0.067 | 0.098 | 0.813 | 0.567 | 0.106 | 0.181 | 0.813 | 0.688 | 0.333 | 0.159 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 61.391 | 25.528 | 27.381 | 100 | 64.732 | 27.097 | 39.705 | 100 | 69.672 | 12.992 | 22.213 | 100 | 84.631 | 40.984 | 19.590 |
| SD | 0 | 14.89 | 1.01 | 1.08 | 0 | 4.83 | 0.31 | 8.83 | 0 | 4.42 | 0.73 | 1.03 | 0 | 11.75 | 7.36 | 5.06 |
| KATO-III | 0.427 | 0.420 | 0.076 | 0.069 | 0.427 | 0.443 | 0.077 | 0.101 | 0.838 | 0.985 | 0.327 | 0.191 | 0.838 | 1.113 | 0.684 | 0.142 |
|  | 0.443 | 0.380 | 0.067 | 0.080 | 0.443 | 0.410 | 0.082 | 0.101 | 0.871 | 0.95 | 0.27 | 0.252 | 0.871 | 1.18 | 0.398 | 0.137 |
|  | 0.374 | 0.354 | 0.069 | 0.077 | 0.374 | 0.386 | 0.080 | 0.107 | 0.868 | 0.995 | 0.21 | 0.222 | 0.868 | 1.269 | 0.356 | 0.14 |
| Mean | 0.415 | 0.385 | 0.071 | 0.075 | 0.415 | 0.413 | 0.080 | 0.103 | 0.859 | 0.977 | 0.269 | 0.222 | 0.859 | 1.187 | 0.479 | 0.140 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 92.780 | 17.101 | 18.146 | 100 | 99.550 | 19.127 | 24.803 | 100 | 113.698 | 31.315 | 25.805 | 100 | 138.223 | 55.801 | 16.259 |
| SD | 0 | 6.49 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0 | 5.63 | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0 | 2.25 | 5.56 | 2.90 | 0 | 7.44 | 16.97 | 0.24 |
| SW620 | 1.281 | 1.713 | 0.097 | 0.109 | 1.281 | 1.800 | 0.096 | 0.207 | 1.523 | 1.683 | 0.101 | 0.218 | 1.523 | 1.695 | 0.781 | 0.142 |
|  | 1.668 | 1.854 | 0.095 | 0.108 | 1.668 | 1.936 | 0.105 | 0.203 | 1.403 | 1.55 | 0.136 | 0.212 | 1.403 | 2.369 | 0.429 | 0.163 |
|  | 1.653 | 1.597 | 0.103 | 0.113 | 1.653 | 2.109 | 0.101 | 0.229 | 1.39 | 1.563 | 0.142 | 0.208 | 1.39 | 2.022 | 0.373 | 0.151 |
| Mean | 1.534 | 1.721 | 0.098 | 0.11 | 1.534 | 1.948 | 0.101 | 0.213 | 1.439 | 1.599 | 0.126 | 0.213 | 1.439 | 2.029 | 0.528 | 0.152 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 112.212 | 6.410 | 7.171 | 100 | 127.01 | 6.56 | 13.89 | 100 | 111.121 | 8.781 | 14.782 | 100 | 141.010 | 36.677 | 10.565 |
| SD | 0 | 6.85 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0 | 8.24 | 0.24 | 0.75 | 0 | 4.16 | 1.26 | 0.29 | 0 | 19.13 | 12.55 | 0.60 |

(Continued in the next page)

|  | Fraction X1 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction XII ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction XIII ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  | Fraction XIV ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | Control | 100 | 1000 | 10000 |
| BT474 | 0.723 | 0.76 | 0.6 | 0.148 | 0.723 | 0.832 | 0.207 | 0.181 | 0.723 | 0.842 | 0.261 | 0.204 | 0.723 | 0.694 | 0.736 | 0.14 |
|  | 0.708 | 0.745 | 0.438 | 0.142 | 0.708 | 0.826 | 0.177 | 0.215 | 0.708 | 0.858 | 0.431 | 0.192 | 0.708 | 0.796 | 0.934 | 0.642 |
|  | 0.59 | 0.743 | 0.603 | 0.141 | 0.59 | 0.728 | 0.135 | 0.209 | 0.59 | 0.808 | 0.261 | 0.237 | 0.59 | 0.786 | 0.571 | 0.301 |
| Mean | 0.674 | 0.749 | 0.547 | 0.144 | 0.674 | 0.795 | 0.173 | 0.202 | 0.674 | 0.836 | 0.318 | 0.211 | 0.674 | 0.759 | 0.747 | 0.361 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 111.232 | 81.197 | 21.326 | 100 | 118.060 | 25.680 | 29.936 | 100 | 124.097 | 47.155 | 31.321 | 100 | 112.617 | 110.886 | 53.587 |
| SD | 0 | 1.13 | 11.44 | 0.46 | 0 | 7.08 | 4.38 | 2.20 | 0 | 3.095 | 11.896 | 2.824 | 0 | 6.81 | 22.03 | 31.07 |
| CHACO | 2.431 | 2.488 | 1.956 | 0.202 | 2.431 | 2.691 | 0.524 | 0.172 | 2.431 | 3.809 | 1.54 | 0.197 | 2.431 | 2.05 | 2.763 | 0.121 |
|  | 2.522 | 2.558 | 2.244 | 0.134 | 2.522 | 2.736 | 0.236 | 0.176 | 2.522 | 3.873 | 1.066 | 0.237 | 2.522 | 1.416 | 2.505 | 0.122 |
|  | 2.83 | 2.539 | 2.153 | 0.133 | 2.83 | 2.677 | 0.53 | 0.189 | 2.83 | 3.41 | 1.527 | 0.196 | 2.83 | 3.265 | 2.658 | 0.111 |
| Mean | 2.594 | 2.528 | 2.117 | 0.156 | 2.594 | 2.701 | 0.43 | 0.179 | 2.594 | 3.697 | 1.378 | 0.210 | 2.594 | 2.244 | 2.642 | 0.118 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 97.456 | 81.627 | 6.026 | 100 | 104.124 | 16.575 | 6.900 | 100 | 142.516 | 53.103 | 8.095 | 100 | 86.483 | 101.837 | 4.548 |
| SD | 0 | 1.14 | 4.63 | 1.24 | 0 | 0.97 | 5.29 | 0.28 | 0 | 7.90 | 8.50 | 0.74 | 0 | 29.57 | 4.08 | 0.19 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.81 | 0.662 | 0.591 | 0.186 | 0.81 | 0.683 | 0.233 | 0.132 | 0.81 | 0.562 | 0.568 | 0.211 | 0.81 | 0.759 | 0.739 | 0.168 |
|  | 0.818 | 0.829 | 0.749 | 0.133 | 0.818 | 0.722 | 1.039 | 0.161 | 0.818 | 0.745 | 0.111 | 0.223 | 0.818 | 0.568 | 0.63 | 0.142 |
|  | 0.812 | 0.864 | 0.766 | 0.14 | 0.812 | 0.697 | 0.255 | 0.148 | 0.812 | 0.707 | 0.275 | 0.263 | 0.812 | 0.704 | 0.665 | 0.121 |
| Mean | 0.813 | 0.785 | 0.702 | 0.153 | 0.813 | 0.701 | 0.509 | 0.147 | 0.813 | 0.671 | 0.318 | 0.232 | 0.813 | 0.677 | 0.678 | 0.143 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 96.517 | 86.312 | 18.812 | 100 | 86.148 | 62.582 | 18.074 | 100 | 82.541 | 39.099 | 28.566 | 100 | 83.238 | 83.361 | 17.664 |
| SD | 0 | 10.84 | 9.69 | 2.89 | 0 | 1.98 | 46.09 | 1.46 | 0 | 9.69 | 23.24 | 2.73 | 0 | 9.87 | 5.59 | 2.36 |
| KATO-III | 0.838 | 1.145 | 0.676 | 0.146 | 0.838 | 0.922 | 0.507 | 0.184 | 0.838 | 0.909 | 0.723 | 0.255 | 0.838 | 0.528 | 0.576 | 0.265 |
|  | 0.871 | 1.054 | 0.912 | 0.137 | 0.871 | 0.754 | 0.196 | 0.213 | 0.871 | 1.137 | 0.525 | 0.2 | 0.871 | 1.161 | 0.753 | 0.257 |
|  | 0.868 | 0.961 | 0.798 | 0.145 | 0.868 | 1.066 | 0.505 | 0.215 | 0.868 | 1.091 | 0.688 | 0.189 | 0.868 | 0.798 | 0.688 | 0.241 |
| Mean | 0.859 | 1.053 | 0.795 | 0.142 | 0.859 | 0.914 | 0.403 | 0.204 | 0.859 | 1.046 | 0.645 | 0.215 | 0.859 | 0.846 | 0.672 | 0.254 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 122.623 | 92.588 | 16.608 | 100 | 106.403 | 46.876 | 23.749 | 100 | 121.731 | 75.126 | 24.990 | 100 | 96.508 | 78.269 | 29.608 |
| SD | 0 | 8.74 | 11.22 | 0.47 | 0 | 14.84 | 17.01 | 1.65 | 0 | 11.46 | 10.04 | 3.36 | 0 | 30.19 | 8.51 | 1.16 |
| SW620 | 1.523 | 1.625 | 0.726 | 0.129 | 1.523 | 2.031 | 0.656 | 0.15 | 1.523 | 1.906 | 0.849 | 0.319 | 1.523 | 1.504 | 1.942 | 0.102 |
|  | 1.403 | 0.907 | 1.021 | 0.128 | 1.403 | 1.988 | 0.615 | 0.178 | 1.403 | 1.994 | 0.802 | 0.199 | 1.403 | 1.52 | 1.867 | 0.104 |
|  | 1.39 | 1.667 | 1.152 | 0.135 | 1.39 | 1.404 | 0.696 | 0.167 | 1.39 | 2.132 | 0.848 | 0.207 | 1.39 | 1.462 | 1.933 | 0.108 |
| Mean | 1.439 | 1.400 | 0.966 | 0.131 | 1.439 | 1.808 | 0.656 | 0.165 | 1.439 | 2.011 | 0.833 | 0.242 | 1.439 | 1.495 | 1.914 | 0.105 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 97.289 | 67.169 | 9.082 | 100 | 125.649 | 45.575 | 11.469 | 100 | 139.759 | 57.901 | 16.798 | 100 | 103.939 | 133.040 | 7.275 |
| SD | 0 | 24.24 | 12.38 | 0.21 | 0 | 19.88 | 2.30 | 0.80 | 0 | 6.47 | 1.52 | 3.81 | 0 | 1.70 | 2.32 | 0.17 |

Absorbance value at 540 nm of different cancer cell lines treated with compound and active compound from fraction VII and VIII

|  | F7E ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F7F ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 15.625 | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Control | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| BT474 | 0.491 | 0.672 | 0.586 | 0.545 | 0.166 | 0.192 | 0.061 | 0.062 | 0.153 | 0.112 | 0.122 | 0.099 | 0.062 | 0.056 |
|  | 0.641 | 0.675 | 0.626 | 0.616 | 0.068 | 0.078 | 0.065 | 0.068 | 0.288 | 0.204 | 0.114 | 0.092 | 0.071 | 0.077 |
|  | 0.601 | 0.616 | 0.672 | 0.498 | 0.130 | 0.099 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.222 | 0.196 | 0.123 | 0.068 | 0.075 | 0.056 |
| Mean | 0.578 | 0.654 | 0.628 | 0.553 | 0.121 | 0.123 | 0.064 | 0.066 | 0.221 | 0.171 | 0.120 | 0.086 | 0.069 | 0.063 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 113.350 | 108.782 | 95.814 | 21.040 | 21.295 | 11.155 | 11.363 | 100 | 77.225 | 54.148 | 39.065 | 31.373 | 28.507 |
| SD | 0 | 4.73 | 6.11 | 8.45 | 7.03 | 8.59 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0 | 18.83 | 1.82 | 6.01 | 2.46 | 4.48 |
| CHACO | 2.900 | 2.909 | 1.839 | 2.236 | 0.624 | 1.076 | 0.086 | 0.067 | 1.737 | 1.807 | 1.235 | 0.313 | 0.123 | 0.057 |
|  | 2.746 | 2.218 | 2.201 | 2.070 | 1.067 | 0.739 | 0.079 | 0.069 | 1.698 | 1.776 | 0.969 | 0.195 | 0.092 | 0.057 |
|  | 2.775 | 2.271 | 1.447 | 1.746 | 0.779 | 0.710 | 0.081 | 0.073 | 1.836 | 1.901 | 1.257 | 0.186 | 0.131 | 0.057 |
| Mean | 2.807 | 2.466 | 1.829 | 2.017 | 0.823 | 0.842 | 0.082 | 0.070 | 1.757 | 1.828 | 1.154 | 0.231 | 0.115 | 0.057 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 87.857 | 65.157 | 71.854 | 29.330 | 29.975 | 2.912 | 2.483 | 100 | 104.041 | 65.661 | 13.166 | 6.564 | 3.244 |
| SD | 0 | 11.18 | 10.97 | 7.24 | 6.53 | 5.92 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0 | 3.02 | 7.45 | 3.29 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.763 | 0.629 | 0.537 | 0.563 | 0.148 | 0.089 | 0.060 | 0.070 | 0.583 | 0.357 | 0.358 | 0.190 | 0.064 | 0.08 |
|  | 0.674 | 0.591 | 0.605 | 0.612 | 0.124 | 0.119 | 0.064 | 0.070 | 0.512 | 0.398 | 0.266 | 0.115 | 0.095 | 0.072 |
|  | 0.555 | 0.544 | 0.598 | 0.545 | 0.122 | 0.255 | 0.061 | 0.069 | 0.410 | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.182 | 0.088 | 0.08 |
| Mean | 0.664 | 0.588 | 0.580 | 0.573 | 0.131 | 0.154 | 0.062 | 0.070 | 0.502 | 0.405 | 0.305 | 0.162 | 0.082 | 0.077 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 88.528 | 87.354 | 86.365 | 19.776 | 23.210 | 9.318 | 10.473 | 100 | 80.731 | 60.731 | 32.359 | 16.412 | 15.415 |
| SD | 0 | 5.24 | 4.56 | 4.30 | 1.74 | 10.87 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0 | 8.44 | 7.77 | 6.70 | 2.65 | 0.75 |
| KATO-III | 0.500 | 0.679 | 0.939 | 0.412 | 0.087 | 0.106 | 0.057 | 0.057 | 0.287 | 0.206 | 0.094 | 0.069 | 0.057 | 0.06 |
|  | 0.562 | 0.765 | 0.747 | 0.460 | 0.069 | 0.110 | 0.063 | 0.062 | 0.248 | 0.286 | 0.092 | 0.063 | 0.062 | 0.058 |
|  | 0.749 | 0.698 | 0.714 | 0.518 | 0.078 | 0.088 | 0.062 | 0.063 | 0.279 | 0.362 | 0.093 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.064 |
| Mean | 0.604 | 0.714 | 0.800 | 0.463 | 0.078 | 0.101 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.271 | 0.285 | 0.093 | 0.064 | 0.058 | 0.061 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 118.309 | 132.511 | 76.754 | 12.942 | 16.819 | 10.077 | 10.066 | 100 | 104.914 | 34.275 | 23.464 | 21.499 | 22.359 |
| SD | 0 | 6.12 | 16.38 | 7.18 | 1.27 | 1.59 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0 | 23.47 | 0.30 | 1.51 | 0.97 | 0.92 |
| SW620 | 1.968 | 1.913 | 1.907 | 1.500 | 1.266 | 0.322 | 0.136 | 0.061 | 0.989 | 0.878 | 0.32 | 0.075 | 0.073 | 0.072 |
|  | 1.875 | 1.433 | 1.433 | 1.873 | 1.176 | 0.203 | 0.076 | 0.064 | 1.411 | 0.926 | 0.338 | 0.088 | 0.068 | 0.085 |
|  | 1.761 | 1.687 | 1.687 | 1.559 | 1.308 | 0.242 | 0.082 | 0.065 | 1.39 | 0.858 | 0.504 | 0.086 | 0.083 | 0.087 |
| Mean | 1.868 | 1.678 | 1.676 | 1.644 | 1.250 | 0.256 | 0.098 | 0.063 | 1.263 | 0.887 | 0.387 | 0.083 | 0.074 | 0.081 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 89.826 | 89.704 | 87.295 | 66.929 | 13.668 | 5.256 | 3.402 | 100 | 70.238 | 30.660 | 6.570 | 5.910 | 6.438 |
| SD | 0 | 10.51 | 10.36 | 9.42 | 2.95 | 2.65 | 1.44 | 0.09 | 0 | 2.26 | 6.56 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.53 |


|  | F8E ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | F7F-3 ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Control | 15.625 | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| BT474 | 0.298 | 0.202 | 0.129 | 0.291 | 0.110 | 0.079 | 0.166 | 0.122 | 0.224 | 0.067 | 0.082 | 0.087 | 0.067 | 0.072 |
|  | 0.251 | 0.108 | 0.194 | 0.152 | 0.205 | 0.064 | 0.270 | 0.288 | 0.174 | 0.073 | 0.082 | 0.085 | 0.071 | 0.065 |
|  | 0.259 | 0.228 | 0.109 | 0.237 | 0.165 | 0.071 | 0.287 | 0.328 | 0.197 | 0.071 | 0.081 | 0.095 | 0.069 | 0.067 |
| Mean | 0.269 | 0.179 | 0.144 | 0.227 | 0.160 | 0.071 | 0.241 | 0.246 | 0.198 | 0.070 | 0.082 | 0.089 | 0.069 | 0.068 |
| Percentage of viablecell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 66.634 | 53.434 | 84.202 | 59.372 | 26.500 | 100 | 102.116 | 82.241 | 29.073 | 33.831 | 36.902 | 28.548 | 28.064 |
| SD | 0 | 19.14 | 13.59 | 21.27 | 14.23 | 2.21 | 0 | 36.93 | 8.42 | 1.05 | 0.12 | 1.77 | 0.76 | 1.27 |
| CHACO | 1.165 | 1.488 | 1.059 | 0.642 | 0.574 | 0.086 | 2.225 | 3.230 | 1.440 | 2.359 | 0.317 | 0.083 | 0.081 | 0.065 |
|  | 1.162 | 0.856 | 1.375 | 0.704 | 0.285 | 0.080 | 2.283 | 2.302 | 1.821 | 1.645 | 0.419 | 0.084 | 0.087 | 0.074 |
|  | 1.441 | 0.881 | 1.245 | 0.713 | 0.951 | 0.082 | 2.047 | 1.676 | 1.569 | 1.630 | 0.198 | 0.084 | 0.087 | 0.068 |
| Mean | 1.256 | 1.075 | 1.226 | 0.686 | 0.603 | 0.083 | 2.185 | 2.402 | 1.610 | 1.878 | 0.311 | 0.084 | 0.085 | 0.069 |
| Percentage of viable cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 85.583 | 97.771 | 54.643 | 48.035 | 6.574 | 100 | 109.953 | 73.689 | 85.953 | 14.243 | 3.846 | 3.884 | 2.734 |
| SD | 0 | 23.27 | 10.36 | 2.53 | 21.68 | 0.19 | 0 | 29.22 | 7.23 | 15.58 | 4.14 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.21 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.258 | 0.200 | 0.169 | 0.076 | 0.083 | 0.064 | 0.299 | 0.209 | 0.170 | 0.087 | 0.064 | 0.068 | 0.064 | 0.069 |
|  | 0.267 | 0.215 | 0.188 | 0.133 | 0.106 | 0.063 | 0.311 | 0.167 | 0.171 | 0.073 | 0.066 | 0.069 | 0.066 | 0.068 |
|  | 0.212 | 0.167 | 0.177 | 0.153 | 0.151 | 0.060 | 0.286 | 0.194 | 0.170 | 0.076 | 0.065 | 0.073 | 0.069 | 0.069 |
| Mean | 0.246 | 0.194 | 0.178 | 0.121 | 0.113 | 0.062 | 0.299 | 0.190 | 0.170 | 0.078 | 0.065 | 0.070 | 0.066 | 0.068 |
| Percentage of viable cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 78.937 | 72.528 | 49.144 | 46.211 | 25.326 | 100 | 63.548 | 56.955 | 26.247 | 21.729 | 23.458 | 22.242 | 22.878 |
| SD |  | 8.14 | 3.17 | 13.38 | 11.50 | 0.77 | 0 | 5.77 | 0.07 | 2.10 | 0.36 | 0.79 | 0.59 | 0.22 |
| KATO-III | 0.168 | 0.132 | 0.167 | 0.090 | 0.069 | 0.060 | 0.515 | 0.307 | 0.247 | 0.126 | 0.083 | 0.061 | 0.071 | 0.070 |
|  | 0.151 | 0.128 | 0.165 | 0.124 | 0.069 | 0.062 | 0.510 | 0.306 | 0.326 | 0.135 | 0.087 | 0.070 | 0.056 | 0.066 |
|  | 0.194 | 0.150 | 0.133 | 0.133 | 0.087 | 0.062 | 0.555 | 0.300 | 0.307 | 0.141 | 0.090 | 0.071 | 0.059 | 0.060 |
| Mean | 0.171 | 0.137 | 0.155 | 0.116 | 0.075 | 0.061 | 0.527 | 0.304 | 0.293 | 0.134 | 0.087 | 0.068 | 0.062 | 0.065 |
| Percentage of viable cell |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 100 | 79.949 | 90.599 | 67.544 | 43.885 | 35.888 | 100 | 57.689 | 55.708 | 25.408 | 16.498 | 12.891 | 11.828 | 12.391 |
| SD | 0 | 5.51 | 9.17 | 10.80 | 4.93 | 0.69 | 0 | 0.59 | 6.38 | 1.22 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 1.23 | 0.75 |
| SW620 | 1.605 | 2.538 | 2.020 | 2.377 | 0.786 | 0.061 | 0.318 | 0.228 | 0.242 | 0.180 | 0.080 | 0.110 | 0.079 | 0.070 |
|  | 1.473 | 1.670 | 2.003 | 1.868 | 0.546 | 0.057 | 0.269 | 0.303 | 0.290 | 0.086 | 0.089 | 0.066 | 0.065 | 0.067 |
|  | 1.904 | 1.678 | 1.778 | 1.880 | 0.621 | 0.061 | 0.271 | 0.231 | 0.199 | 0.094 | 0.332 | 0.076 | 0.081 | 0.067 |
| Mean | 1.661 | 1.962 | 1.933 | 2.042 | 0.651 | 0.060 | 0.286 | 0.253 | 0.243 | 0.120 | 0.167 | 0.084 | 0.075 | 0.068 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 118.156 | 116.434 | 122.962 | 39.219 | 3.612 | 100 | 88.634 | 85.192 | 42.047 | 58.386 | 29.385 | 26.258 | 23.679 |
| SD | 0 | 24.54 | 6.64 | 14.28 | 6.06 | 0.13 | 0 | 12.47 | 12.89 | 14.94 | 40.83 | 6.52 | 2.51 | 0.49 |
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|  | F7F-4 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | F7F-5 ( $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | F7F-6 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| BT474 | 0.249 | 0.289 | 0.294 | 0.299 | 0.185 | 0.103 | 0.076 | 0.491 | 0.406 | 0.604 | 0.681 | 0.453 | 0.174 | 0.055 | 0.376 | 1.380 | 0.680 | 0.502 | 0.300 | 0.141 | 0.063 |
|  | 0.237 | 0.282 | 0.261 | 0.248 | 0.164 | 0.125 | 0.072 | 0.641 | 0.548 | 0.828 | 0.301 | 0.366 | 0.197 | 0.058 | 0.386 | 0.918 | 0.710 | 0.458 | 0.403 | 0.176 | 0.077 |
|  | 0.281 | 0.323 | 0.250 | 0.242 | 0.251 | 0.103 | 0.076 | 0.601 | 0.680 | 0.642 | 0.316 | 0.282 | 0.175 | 0.070 | 0.424 | 1.003 | 0.513 | 0.453 | 0.235 | 0.107 | 0.082 |
|  | 0.256 | 0.298 | 0.268 | 0.263 | 0.200 | 0.110 | 0.074 | 0.577 | 0.545 | 0.692 | 0.433 | 0.367 | 0.182 | 0.061 | 0.395 | 1.100 | 0.634 | 0.471 | 0.313 | 0.141 | 0.074 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 116.6 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | 104.865 | 102.948 | 78.166 | 43.107 | 29.099 | 100 | 94.388 | 119.782 | 74.970 | 63.520 | 31.480 | 10.509 | 100 | 278.331 | 160.388 | 119.132 | 79.098 | 35.759 | 18.744 |
| SD | 0 | 6.96 | 7.25 | 10.01 | 14.45 | 4.16 | 0.71 | 0 | 19.36 | 16.92 | 30.41 | 12.07 | 1.82 | 1.11 | 0 | 50.80 | 21.90 | 5.61 | 17.45 | 7.16 | 2.09 |
| CHACO | 2.123 | 2.034 | 2.598 | 1.895 | 1.966 | 1.779 | 0.179 | 2.900 | 2.240 | 1.815 | 3.376 | 2.397 | 1.891 | 0.992 | 1.304 | 1.455 | 1.315 | 1.530 | 1.452 | 1.430 | 0.564 |
|  | 1.912 | 1.806 | 1.464 | 2.334 | 1.873 | 1.900 | 0.737 | 2.746 | 2.528 | 1.911 | 2.301 | 1.984 | 1.909 | 1.032 | 1.207 | 1.496 | 1.302 | 1.629 | 1.239 | 1.347 | 0.161 |
|  | 1.986 | 1.659 | 1.975 | 1.263 | 1.771 | 1.693 | 0.484 | 2.775 | 2.513 | 1.665 | 1.522 | 1.679 | 1.325 | 0.884 | 1.498 | 1.801 | 1.258 | 1.426 | 1.442 | 1.454 | 0.139 |
| Mean | 2.007 | 1.833 | 2.012 | 1.831 | 1.870 | 1.791 | 0.466 | 2.807 | 2.427 | 1.797 | 2.400 | 2.020 | 1.708 | 0.970 | 1.337 | 1.584 | 1.292 | 1.528 | 1.378 | 1.410 | 0.288 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 91.32 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 100.262 | 91.204 | 93.166 | 89.223 | 23.241 | 100 | 86.455 | 64.010 | 85.489 | 71.972 | 60.849 | 34.541 | 100 | 118.510 | 96.638 | 114.337 | 103.085 | 105.519 | 21.550 |
| SD | 0 | 7.70 | 23.11 | 21.92 | 3.97 | 4.24 | 11.37 | 0 | 4.72 | 3.60 | 27.08 | 10.49 | 9.66 | 2.22 | 0 | 11.53 | 1.85 | 6.21 | 7.37 | 3.45 | 14.63 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.245 | 0.207 | 0.112 | 0.159 | 0.137 | 0.087 | 0.070 | 0.763 | 0.462 | 0.632 | 0.687 | 0.636 | 0.414 | 0.170 | 0.236 | 0.574 | 0.487 | 0.435 | 0.570 | 0.092 | 0.077 |
|  | 0.237 | 0.249 | 0.198 | 0.181 | 0.101 | 0.084 | 0.071 | 0.674 | 0.493 | 0.508 | 0.644 | 0.555 | 0.380 | 0.083 | 0.264 | 0.464 | 0.347 | 0.498 | 0.392 | 0.088 | 0.084 |
|  | 0.262 | 0.179 | 0.213 | 0.189 | 0.170 | 0.099 | 0.077 | 0.555 | 0.386 | 0.505 | 0.595 | 0.484 | 0.422 | 0.130 | 0.316 | 0.554 | 0.313 | 0.394 | 0.323 | 0.082 | 0.099 |
| Mean | 0.248 | 0.212 | 0.174 | 0.176 | 0.136 | 0.090 | 0.072 | 0.664 | 0.447 | 0.548 | 0.642 | 0.558 | 0.405 | 0.128 | 0.272 | 0.531 | 0.382 | 0.442 | 0.428 | 0.088 | 0.087 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 85.47 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 70.442 | 71.250 | 54.956 | 36.332 | 29.195 | 100 | 67.311 | 82.589 | 96.697 | 84.085 | 61.040 | 19.264 | 100 | 195.253 | 140.647 | 162.774 | 157.623 | 32.258 | 31.841 |
| SD | 0 | 11.71 | 17.89 | 5.13 | 11.37 | 2.51 | 1.25 | 0 | 6.72 | 8.92 | 5.65 | 9.34 | 2.74 | 5.36 | 0 | 17.67 | 27.67 | 15.62 | 38.30 | 1.50 | 3.40 |
| KATO-III | 0.384 | 0.565 | 0.451 | 0.392 | 0.389 | 0.261 | 0.137 | 0.749 | 0.461 | 0.542 | 0.580 | 0.583 | 0.453 | 0.065 | 0.413 | 1.031 | 0.582 | 0.640 | 0.469 | 0.141 | 0.090 |
|  | 0.469 | 0.551 | 0.439 | 0.417 | 0.364 | 0.250 | 0.130 | 0.500 | 0.584 | 0.593 | 0.606 | 0.564 | 0.462 | 0.068 | 0.302 | 0.762 | 0.670 | 0.472 | 0.703 | 0.124 | 0.107 |
|  | 0.415 | 0.462 | 0.452 | 0.372 | 0.363 | 0.322 | 0.136 | 0.562 | 0.542 | 0.521 | 0.771 | 0.642 | 0.320 | 0.079 | 0.338 | 0.514 | 0.446 | 0.351 | 0.345 | 0.141 | 0.091 |
| Mean | 0.422 | 0.526 | 0.447 | 0.394 | 0.372 | 0.278 | 0.134 | 0.604 | 0.529 | 0.552 | 0.652 | 0.596 | 0.412 | 0.070 | 0.351 | 0.769 | 0.566 | 0.488 | 0.507 | 0.135 | 0.096 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | $124.6$ | 105.953 | 93.202 | 88.149 | 65.767 | 31.833 | 100 | 87.621 | 91.420 | 108.045 | 98.758 | 68.196 | 11.672 | 100 | 219.087 | 161.229 | 138.990 | 144.450 | 38.534 | 27.243 |
| SD | 0 | 10.79 | 1.41 | 4.39 | 2.89 | 7.44 | 0.83 | 0 | 8.49 | 4.96 | 13.99 | 5.46 | 10.72 | 0.97 | 0 | 60.23 | 26.27 | 33.80 | 41.82 | 2.35 | 2.19 |
| SW620 | 0.268 | 0.210 | 0.335 | 0.238 | 0.167 | 0.279 | 0.094 | 2.218 | 1.473 | 1.758 | 1.892 | 1.710 | 1.522 | 0.4402 | 1.592 | 1.800 | 1.862 | 1.264 | 1.051 | 0.396 | 0.109 |
|  | 0.228 | 0.254 | 0.237 | 0.214 | 0.152 | 0.215 | 0.106 | 1.667 | 2.107 | 1.569 | 1.994 | 1.496 | 1.007 | 0.1942 | 1.683 | 1.877 | 1.408 | 1.455 | 0.973 | 0.248 | 0.092 |
|  | 0.273 | 0.230 | 0.326 | 0.209 | 0.108 | 0.151 | 0.101 | 1.667 | 1.347 | 1.797 | 2.067 | 1.540 | 1.089 | 0.3386 | 1.900 | 1.701 | 1.369 | 1.359 | 1.102 | 0.174 | 0.125 |
| Mean | 0.257 | 0.231 | 0.299 | 0.220 | 0.142 | 0.215 | 0.100 | 1.851 | 1.642 | 1.708 | 1.984 | 1.582 | 1.206 | 0.324 | 1.725 | 1.793 | 1.546 | 1.359 | 1.042 | 0.273 | 0.109 |
| Percentage of viale cell | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 90.18 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 116.660 | 85.848 | 55.517 | 83.847 | 39.168 | 100 | 88.742 | 92.276 | 107.234 | 85.475 | 65.161 | 17.526 | 100 | 103.917 | 89.627 | 78.752 | 60.419 | 15.816 | 6.295 |
| SD | 0 | 6.97 | 17.13 | 4.90 | 9.76 | 20.50 | 1.92 | 0 | 17.97 | 5.39 | 3.87 | 4.98 | 12.21 | 5.45 | 0 | 4.17 | 12.96 | 4.51 | 3.09 | 5.36 | 0.79 |
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|  | F8E-5 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F8E-6 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | F8E-7 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 15.25 | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Control | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Control | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| BT474 | 0.464 | 0.599 | 0.567 | 0.464 | 0.363 | 0.113 | 0.084 | 0.068 | 0.259 | 0.277 | 0.268 | 0.173 | 0.081 | 0.059 | 0.259 | 0.277 | 0.327 | 0.188 | 0.154 | 0.089 |
|  | 0.538 | 0.573 | 0.629 | 0.473 | 0.347 | 0.116 | 0.089 | 0.095 | 0.324 | 0.278 | 0.201 | 0.112 | 0.077 | 0.058 | 0.324 | 0.158 | 0.279 | 0.174 | 0.110 | 0.084 |
|  | 0.448 | 0.533 | 0.457 | 0.513 | 0.554 | 0.122 | 0.088 | 0.095 | 0.354 | 0.237 | 0.251 | 0.110 | 0.102 | 0.055 | 0.354 | 0.255 | 0.275 | 0.170 | 0.127 | 0.062 |
| Mean | 0.483 | 0.568 | 0.551 | 0.483 | 0.421 | 0.117 | 0.087 | 0.086 | 0.312 | 0.264 | 0.240 | 0.132 | 0.087 | 0.057 | 0.312 | 0.230 | 0.294 | 0.177 | 0.130 | 0.078 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 117.597 | 114.010 | 99.959 | 87.163 | 24.191 | 18.024 | 17.762 | 100 | 84.551 | 76.816 | 42.201 | 27.810 | 18.376 | 100 | 73.718 | 94.124 | 56.848 | 41.806 | 25.107 |
| SD | 0 | 5.63 | 14.69 | 4.38 | 19.47 | 0.84 | 0.49 | 2.64 | 0 | 6.17 | 9.13 | 9.44 | 3.58 | 0.66 | 0 | 16.57 | 7.55 | 2.44 | 5.81 | 3.70 |
| CHACO | 1.30 | 1.595 | 1.991 | 2.676 | 1.304 | 1.259 | 0.099 | 0.096 | 2.014 | 1.981 | 2.255 | 1.892 | 1.054 | 0.094 | 2.014 | 2.328 | 2.344 | 1.980 | 2.110 | 1.393 |
|  | 1.137 | 1.607 | 1.283 | 1.984 | 1.481 | 1.322 | 0.126 | 0.100 | 2.166 | 1.924 | 1.880 | 1.639 | 1.681 | 0.110 | 2.166 | 2.262 | 2.144 | 1.818 | 1.535 | 1.203 |
|  | 1.214 | 1.346 | 1.332 | 2.008 | 1.468 | 1.129 | 0.090 | 0.096 | 2.014 | 1.829 | 1.846 | 2.044 | 1.045 | 0.102 | 2.014 | 2.259 | 2.013 | 1.634 | 1.593 | 1.318 |
| Mean | 1.218 | 1.516 | 1.535 | 2.222 | 1.418 | 1.236 | 0.105 | 0.098 | 2.065 | 1.911 | 1.994 | 1.858 | 1.260 | 0.102 | 2.065 | 2.283 | 2.167 | 1.811 | 1.746 | 1.305 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 124.509 | 126.061 | 182.503 | 116.429 | 101.525 | 8.636 | 8.061 | 100 | 92.572 | 96.560 | 89.981 | 61.018 | 4.942 | 100 | 110.571 | 104.953 | 87.698 | 84.564 | 63.196 |
| SD | 0 | 9.88 | 26.52 | 26.32 | 6.60 | 6.58 | 1.27 | 0.13 | 0 | 3.05 | 8.97 | 8.14 | 14.41 | 0.31 | 0 | 1.53 | 6.58 | 6.83 | 12.51 | 3.77 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.330 | 0.321 | 0.278 | 0.311 | 0.239 | 0.082 | 0.069 | 0.080 | 0.377 | 0.385 | 0.340 | 0.402 | 0.149 | 0.075 | 0.377 | 0.457 | 0.412 | 0.318 | 0.353 | 0.299 |
|  | 0.355 | 0.330 | 0.270 | 0.315 | 0.229 | 0.102 | 0.087 | 0.063 | 0.465 | 0.392 | 0.472 | 0.423 | 0.152 | 0.133 | 0.465 | 0.336 | 0.315 | 0.194 | 0.292 | 0.176 |
|  | 0.271 | 0.277 | 0.295 | 0.294 | 0.169 | 0.087 | 0.067 | 0.061 | 0.341 | 0.246 | 0.417 | 0.337 | 0.282 | 0.069 | 0.341 | 0.417 | 0.297 | 0.338 | 0.365 | 0.202 |
| Mean | 0.319 | 0.310 | 0.281 | 0.307 | 0.212 | 0.090 | 0.074 | 0.068 | 0.394 | 0.341 | 0.410 | 0.387 | 0.194 | 0.092 | 0.394 | 0.403 | 0.341 | 0.283 | 0.336 | 0.226 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 97.144 | 88.158 | 96.213 | 66.555 | 28.308 | 23.350 | 21.299 | 100 | 86.484 | 103.905 | 98.174 | 49.197 | 23.415 | 100 | 102.215 | 86.534 | 71.834 | 85.292 | 57.202 |
| SD | 0 | 7.29 | 3.31 | 2.92 | 9.76 | 2.78 | 2.84 | 2.75 | 0 | 17.13 | 13.79 | 9.28 | 15.71 | 7.37 | 0 | 12.71 | 12.83 | 16.16 | 8.14 | 13.42 |
| KATO-III | 0.543 | 0.495 | 0.447 | 0.585 | 0.349 | 0.141 | 0.104 | 0.068 | 0.543 | 0.577 | 0.498 | 0.480 | 0.242 | 0.104 | 0.543 | 0.580 | 0.607 | 0.472 | 0.381 | 0.132 |
|  | 0.498 | 0.452 | 0.400 | 0.541 | 0.444 | 0.121 | 0.135 | 0.072 | 0.498 | 0.463 | 0.558 | 0.461 | 0.207 | 0.107 | 0.498 | 0.496 | 0.495 | 0.462 | 0.350 | 0.106 |
|  | 0.478 | 0.449 | 0.493 | 0.532 | 0.459 | 0.113 | 0.080 | 0.073 | 0.478 | 0.494 | 0.576 | 0.476 | 0.137 | 0.103 | 0.478 | 0.529 | 0.614 | 0.538 | 0.329 | 0.111 |
| Mean | 0.506 | 0.465 | 0.447 | 0.553 | 0.417 | 0.125 | 0.107 | 0.071 | 0.506 | 0.511 | 0.544 | 0.472 | 0.196 | 0.104 | 0.506 | 0.535 | 0.572 | 0.490 | 0.353 | 0.116 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 91.922 | 88.222 | 109.145 | 82.395 | 24.656 | 21.042 | 14.004 | 100.941 | 107.394 | 93.285 | 38.613 | 20.600 | 100.941 | 100 | 105.669 | 112.924 | 96.846 | 69.781 | 22.990 |
| SD | 0 | 4.13 | 7.45 | 4.59 | 9.58 | 2.31 | 4.43 | 0.46 | 9.51 | 6.63 | 1.60 | 8.63 | 0.33 | 9.51 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| SW620 | 1.875 | 1.913 | 1.907 | 1.460 | 1.266 | 0.322 | 0.136 | 0.061 | 0.781 | 1.036 | 0.952 | 0.430 | 0.074 | 0.070 | 0.781 | 0.836 | 1.082 | 0.292 | 0.111 | 0.093 |
|  | 1.761 | 1.747 | 1.433 | 1.873 | 1.176 | 0.203 | 0.076 | 0.064 | 0.874 | 1.192 | 0.780 | 0.076 | 0.073 | 0.074 | 0.874 | 1.245 | 1.122 | 0.285 | 0.103 | 0.078 |
|  | 1.751 | 1.827 | 1.687 | 1.559 | 1.308 | 0.241 | 0.082 | 0.065 | 1.205 | 1.143 | 0.758 | 0.136 | 0.077 | 0.102 | 1.205 | 1.280 | 0.872 | 0.291 | 0.129 | 0.088 |
| Mean | 1.795 | 1.829 | 1.676 | 1.631 | 1.250 | 0.255 | 0.098 | 0.064 | 0.953 | 1.124 | 0.830 | 0.214 | 0.074 | 0.082 | 0.953 | 1.120 | 1.025 | 0.289 | 0.114 | 0.086 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 101.870 | 93.320 | 90.814 | 69.627 | 14.219 | 5.468 | 3.539 | 100 | 117.894 | 87.118 | 22.483 | 7.810 | 8.604 | 100 | 117.523 | 107.597 | 30.345 | 11.979 | 9.059 |
| SD | 0 | 3.79 | 10.78 | 9.80 | 3.07 | 2.76 | 1.50 | 0.09 | 0 | 6.83 | 9.11 | 16.24 | 0.20 | 1.48 | 0 | 21.17 | 11.52 | 0.33 | 1.14 | 0.69 |

Absorbance value at 540 nm of different cancer cell lines and CRL-1947 (fibroblast) treated with doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil

|  | Doxorubicin ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | 5-Fluorouracil ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | 0.1 | 1 | 10 | 100 | 1000 | Control | 0.1 | , | 10 | 100 | 1000 |
| BT474 | 0.577 | 0.790 | 0.531 | 0.425 | 0.181 | 0.300 | 0.458 | 0.570 | 0.612 | 0.476 | 0.377 | 0.304 |
|  | 0.860 | 0.715 | 0.576 | 0.49 | 0.238 | 0.338 | 0.647 | 0.598 | 0.622 | 0.544 | 0.520 | 0.319 |
|  | 0.852 | 0.699 | 0.570 | 0.502 | 0.245 | 0.391 | 0.660 | 0.592 | 0.698 | 0.551 | 0.460 | 0.317 |
| Mean | 0.763 | 0.735 | 0.559 | 0.472 | 0.222 | 0.343 | 0.588 | 0.586 | 0.644 | 0.524 | 0.452 | 0.313 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 96.304 | 73.269 | 61.885 | 29.038 | 44.939 | 100 | 99.513 | 109.438 | 88.971 | 76.882 | 53.220 |
| SD | 0 | 5.21 | 2.61 | 4.42 | 3.76 | 4.93 | 0 | 1.88 | 6.55 | 5.77 | 10.01 | 1.08 |
| CHACO | 2.738 | 3.160 | 2.324 | 1.525 | 0.459 | 0.145 | 1.681 | 1.853 | 1.491 | 0.949 | 0.498 | 0.449 |
|  | 3.270 | 3.348 | 2.619 | 1.664 | 0.569 | 0.174 | 1.378 | 1.713 | 1.724 | 1.050 | 0.510 | 0.880 |
|  | 2.066 | 2.454 | 1.993 | 1.836 | 0.559 | 0.124 | 2.134 | 2.098 | 1.820 | 1.045 | 0.618 | 0.547 |
| Mean | 2.691 | 2.987 | 2.312 | 1.675 | 0.529 | 0.148 | 1.731 | 1.888 | 1.679 | 1.015 | 0.542 | 0.492 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 110.999 | 85.917 | 62.237 | 19.664 | 5.493 | 100 | 109.066 | 96.969 | 58.617 | 31.317 | 28.413 |
| SD | 0 | 14.30 | 9.49 | 4.73 | 1.84 | 0.75 | 0 | 9.20 | 7.97 | 2.68 | 3.10 | 2.35 |
| Hep-G2 | 0.456 | 0.461 | 0.413 | 0.291 | 0.088 | 0.155 | 0.409 | 0.398 | 0.419 | 0.340 | 0.246 | 0.208 |
|  | 0.471 | 0.413 | 0.392 | 0.327 | 0.076 | 0.120 | 0.378 | 0.453 | 0.379 | 0.383 | 0.278 | 0.222 |
|  | 0.494 | 0.452 | 0.443 | 0.261 | 0.085 | 0.140 | 0.382 | 0.471 | 0.466 | 0.314 | 0.280 | 0.203 |
| Mean | 0.474 | 0.442 | 0.416 | 0.293 | 0.083 | 0.139 | 0.390 | 0.441 | 0.421 | 0.346 | 0.268 | 0.211 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 93.316 | 87.800 | 61.845 | 17.484 | 29.255 | 100 | 113.021 | 108.028 | 88.688 | 68.690 | 54.121 |
| SD | 0 | 4.407 | 4.340 | 5.681 | 1.034 | 3.045 | 0 | 7.96 | 9.12 | 7.24 | 4.05 | 2.13 |
| KATO-III | 0.913 | 0.926 | 0.905 | 0.604 | 0.360 | 0.366 | 0.703 | 0.686 | 0.587 | 0.596 | 0.485 | 0.422 |
|  | 0.906 | 0.929 | 0.842 | 0.570 | 0.360 | 0.438 | 0.588 | 0.648 | 0.599 | 0.645 | 0.471 | 0.368 |
|  | 0.912 | 0.891 | 0.891 | 0.529 | 0.335 | 0.495 | 0.643 | 0.672 | 0.556 | 0.663 | 0.504 | 0.356 |
| Mean | 0.910 | 0.915 | 0.879 | 0.567 | 0.352 | 0.433 | 0.645 | 0.669 | 0.581 | 0.635 | 0.487 | 0.382 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 100.538 | 96.587 | 62.345 | 38.636 | 47.565 | 100 | 103.744 | 90.082 | 98.469 | 75.531 | 59.253 |
| SD | 0 | 1.91 | 2.95 | 3.37 | 1.33 | 5.81 | 0 | 2.48 | 2.81 | 4.43 | 2.06 | 4.45 |
| SW620 | 2.108 | 2.000 | 1.523 | 0.789 | 0.227 | 0.397 | 1.755 | 2.275 | 1.943 | 2.422 | 1.191 | 0.616 |
|  | 2.126 | 2.020 | 1.664 | 0.838 | 0.239 | 0.359 | 1.686 | 2.076 | 1.640 | 2.777 | 1.259 | 0.676 |
|  | 2.237 | 2.216 | 1.599 | 0.798 | 0.222 | 0.394 | 1.926 | 2.090 | 1.962 | 2.090 | 1.075 | 0.657 |
| Mean | 2.157 | 2.077 | 1.595 | 0.808 | 0.230 | 0.383 | 1.789 | 2.147 | 1.848 | 2.430 | 1.175 | 0.649 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 96.320 | 73.973 | 37.483 | 10.643 | 17.758 | 100 | 120.008 | 103.315 | 135.795 | 65.666 | 36.301 |
| SD | 0 | 4.56 | 2.67 | 1.00 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0 | 5.05 | 8.25 | 15.69 | 4.24 | 1.40 |
| CRL-1947 | 0.282 | 0.343 | 0.6533 | 0.355 | 0.343 | 0.454 | 0.202 | 0.481 | 0.481 | 0.408 | 0.326 | 0.247 |
|  | 0.314 | 0.478 | 0.5454 | 0.345 | 0.346 | 0.467 | 0.226 | 0.417 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 0.476 | 0.27 |
|  | 0.312 | 0.508 | 0.4038 | 0.290 | 0.341 | 0.5 | 0.331 | 0.321 | 0.342 | 0.342 | 0.409 | 0.463 |
| Mean | 0.303 | 0.443 | 0.534 | 0.330 | 0.343 | 0.474 | 0.253 | 0.406 | 0.360 | 0.336 | 0.404 | 0.327 |
| Percentage of viable cell | 100 | 146.205 | 176.293 | 108.911 | 113.311 | 156.326 | 160.606 | 142.424 | 132.806 | 159.552 | 129.117 | 160.606 |
| SD | 0 | 23.68 | 33.72 | 9.43 | 0.68 | 6.39 | 25.99 | 36.35 | 24.26 | 24.25 | 38.28 | 25.99 |

Absorbance value at 540 nm of CRL-1947 treated with active compounds

|  | F7E ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | F7F-3 ( $\mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | F8E-5 ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | Ctrl | 31.25 | 62.5 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 |
| CRL-1947 | 0.202 | 0.580 | 0.287 | 0.253 | 0.308 | 0.245 | 0.101 | 0.202 | 0.339 | 0.400 | 0.439 | 0.316 | 0.124 | 0.078 | 0.202 | 0.257 | 0.302 | 0.351 | 0.423 | 0.481 | 0.167 |
|  | 0.226 | 0.473 | 0.340 | 0.234 | 0.359 | 0.182 | 0.103 | 0.226 | 0.325 | 0.325 | 0.421 | 0.179 | 0.112 | 0.067 | 0.226 | 0.406 | 0.340 | 0.298 | 0.333 | 0.531 | 0.132 |
|  | 0.331 | 0.374 | 0.269 | 0.350 | 0.520 | 0.199 | 0.122 | 0.331 | 0.321 | 0.428 | 0.522 | 0.172 | 0.109 | 0.115 | 0.331 | 0.321 | 0.292 | 0.257 | 0.355 | 0.441 | 0.087 |
| Mean | 0.253 | 0.476 | 0.299 | 0.279 | 0.395 | 0.209 | 0.108 | 0.253 | 0.328 | 0.384 | 0.460 | 0.222 | 0.115 | 0.087 | 0.253 | 0.328 | 0.311 | 0.302 | 0.370 | 0.484 | 0.129 |
| Percentage <br> of viable <br> cell | 100 | 187.95 8 | 118.05 0 | $\begin{gathered} 110.30 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156.29 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 82.516 | 42.793 | 100 | $\begin{gathered} 129.80 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151.93 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 181.96 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 87.800 | 45.375 | 34.282 | 100 | 129.65 | 122.97 8 | 119.28 9 | $\begin{gathered} 146.23 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 191.43 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 50.870 |
| SD | 0 | 33.36 | 11.81 | 20.16 | 35.70 | 10.55 | 3.77 | 0 | 3.04 | 17.23 | 17.36 | 26.15 | 2.58 | 8.08 | 0 | 24.14 | 8.06 | 15.08 | 15.13 | 14.58 | 12.97 |

## Appendix B

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (NMR spectrum)


B1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of reverse crude dichloromethane extracted (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl} 3=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Fraction VII (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Fraction VIII (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of subfraction F7F (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of subfraction F 8 E (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{F7E}$ (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of F7F-3 (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


B8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of F8E-5 (reference peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).



B10. Correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum of F7F-3.


B11. heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectroscopy (HSQC) spectrum of F7F-3.


B12. heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) spectrum of F7F-3.

## Appendix C

## Cell culture and reagent preparation

1. Cell counting by haemacytometer

One drop of cells was transferred into a haemacytometer. Cells were counted under a light microscope.

Cell concentration (cells/mL) = the average number of cells from 4 corners $\times$ dilution factor $\times 10^{4}$
2. RPMI 1640 medium

RPMI 1640 powder 10.4 g
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{~g}$
Ultrapure $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 1 \mathrm{~L}$
Fetal bovine serum 5 mL
2.1 Dissolve RPMI powder and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in ultrapure $d-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
2.2 Adjust pH to be 7.2 by 2 N HCl .
2.3 Filter the solution by $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ millipore for sterilization.
2.4 Save in a bottle and keep at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
2.5 Add 5 mL of fetal bovine serum to 95 mL fresh medium to make $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ medium before use.
3. Freezing RPMI 1640 medium ( 100 mL )

| RPMI 1640 fresh medium | 65 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fetal bovine serum | 25 mL |
| Analytical grade DMSO | 10 mL |

4. Trypsin-EDTA preparation

| Phosphate buffer saline | 0.01 M |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid | $0.01 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ |
| (EDTA) |  |
| Trypsin powder | $0.05 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ |

After all ingredients are mixed, it will be filtered by $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ millipore for sterilization and stored at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.
5. Ceric ammonium molybdate dipping reagent for TLC preparation

| $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 200 mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| Conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 10 mL |
| $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 10 g |
| $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.4 g |

5.1) Gently fill conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to 100 mL of $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
5.2) Add 10 g of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the solution.
5.3) Add 0.4 g of $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right) \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to the solution.
5.4) Adjust the final volume with $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to be 200 mL .
6. MTT ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) preparation in final volume of 10 mL

MTT powder
Sterilized normal saline

50 mg
10 mL

## Appendix D

1. Thin layer chromatography pattern of fractions from reverse crude dichloromethane extracted.


Left TLC: Fraction I-VI pattern in mobile phase system of 100\% dichlomethane.
Center TLC: Fraction V-X pattern in mobile phase system of $95 \%$ dichloromethane and $5 \%$ methanol( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{v}$ ).

Right TLC: Fraction IX- XVI pattern in mobile phase system of $90 \%$ dichloromethane and $10 \%$ methanol( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{v}$ ).
2. Thin layer chromatography pattern of chemical compositions from fraction VII and VIII


Left TLC Fraction F7A-F7K pattern from left to right. Right TLC Fraction F8A- F81 pattern from left to right. Both condition used mobile phase system of $95 \%$ of dichloromethane and $5 \%$ methanol ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ).
3. Thin layer chromatography pattern of chemical composition from F7F and F8E


Left TLC: Chemical composition pattern of F7F-1 to F7F-10 from left to right.
Center left TLC: Chemical composition pattern of F7F-11 to F7F-13 from left to right (F7F-13 after merge with all 6 right pattern).

Center right TLC: Chemical composition pattern of F8E-1 to F8E-10 from left to right.
Right TLC: Chemical composition pattern of F8E-11 to F8E-13 from left to right.
Mobile phase system of left and center right is $97 \%$ dichloromethane and $3 \%$ methanol(v/ v). F8E-11 to F8E-13 (right TLC) use 90\% dichloromethane and 10\% methanol(v/ v). F7F-11 to F7F-13 (center left) use 95\% dichloromethane and 5\% methanol( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ).

All TLC plate in this research using ceric ammonium molybdate for reagent to identified pattern
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