การเปรียบเทียบตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาโลหะเดี่ยวกับโลหะผสม โคบอลต์ นิกเกิล บนตัวรองรับ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 สำหรับปฏิกิริยาคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์รีฟอร์มมิ่งของมีเทน



# จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# **Chulalongkorn Universit**

บทคัดย่อและแฟ้มข้อมูลฉบับเต็มของวิทยานิพนธ์ตั้งแต่ปีการศึกษา 2554 ที่ให้บริการในคลังปัญญาจุฬาฯ (CUIR) เป็นแฟ้มข้อมูลของนิสิตเจ้าของวิทยานิพนธ์ ที่ส่งผ่านทางบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย

The abstract and full text of theses from the academic year 2011 in Chulalongkorn University Intellectual Repository (CUIR) are the thesis authors' files submitted through the University Graduate School.

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี คณะวิศวกรรมศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2558 ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย COMPARISON OF MONO METALLIC AND BIMETALLICS Co, NI CATALYSTS SUPPORTED ON Al\_2O\_3/ZSM-5 FOR CO\_2 REFORMING OF METHANE

Miss Sutarat Thongratkeaw



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering Program in Chemical Engineering Department of Chemical Engineering Faculty of Engineering Chulalongkorn University Academic Year 2015 Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	COMPARISON	OF	MONO	METALLIC	AND
	BIMETALLICS Co	o, Ni	CATALYST	S SUPPORTE	d on
	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5 FC	DR CO2		NG OF METH	ANE
Ву	Miss Sutarat The	ongrat	keaw		
Field of Study	Chemical Engine	eering			
Thesis Advisor	Assistant Profes	sor Su	iphot Pha	tanasri, Ph.D.	

Accepted by the Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree

\_\_\_\_\_Dean of the Faculty of Engineering

(Professor Bundhit Eua-arporn, Ph.D.)

THESIS COMMITTEE

Chairman

(Associate Professor Joongjai Panpranot, Ph.D.)

(Assistant Professor Suphot Phatanasri, Ph.D.)

Examiner

(Associate Professor Bunjerd Jongsomjit, Ph.D.)

External Examiner

(Assistant Professor Soipatta Soisuwan, Ph.D.)

สุฑารัตน์ ทองรัดแก้ว : การเปรียบเทียบตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาโลหะเดี่ยวกับโลหะผสม โคบอลต์ นิกเกิลบนตัวรองรับ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 สำหรับปฏิกิริยาคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์รีฟอร์มมิ่งของ มีเทน (COMPARISON OF MONO METALLIC AND BIMETALLICS Co, Ni CATALYSTS SUPPORTED ON Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 FOR CO<sub>2</sub> REFORMING OF METHANE) อ.ที่ปรึกษา วิทยานิพนธ์หลัก: ผศ. ดร. สุพจน์ พัฒนะศรี, 88 หน้า.

ปฏิกิริยารีฟอร์มมิ่งของมีเทนโดยใช้คาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ ผลิตก๊าซสังเคราะห์ที่มีไฮโดรเจน ต่อคาร์บอนมอนอกไซด์ในอัตราส่วนต่างๆซึ่งเป็นที่ต้องการสำหรับกระบวนการในอุตสาหกรรม . ปฏิกิริยานี้ยังมีความสำคัญมากในด้านผลกระทบต่อสิ่งแวดล้อมเนื่องจากทั้งสองก๊าซ คาร์บอนไดออกไซด์และก๊าซมีเทนก่อให้เกิดภาวะเรือนกระจก จึงนำก๊าซเหล่านี้มาเป็นวัตถุดิบที่มีค่า ซึ่งอาจจะช่วยลดก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์และก๊าซมีเทนในชั้นบรรยากาศ ในเบื้องต้นได้ทำการศึกษา เปรียบเทียบลำดับการโหลดโลหะนิกเกิลกับโลหะโคบอลต์บนตัวรองรับอะลูมินาผสมซีโอไลท์ชนิด ZSM-5(Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5) ที่เปอร์เซ็นต์โหลดโลหะผสม 10เปอร์เซ็นต์จากนั้น นำวิธีการโหลดโลหะที่ ้เหมาะสมจากส่วนแรก มาเตรียมตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาในส่วนที่สอง โดยใช้เปอร์เซ็นต์ของโลหะที่โหลดใน ปริมาณที่แตกต่างกันเป็น (10%Ni,7.5%Ni 2.5%Co,5%Ni 5%Co,2.5%Ni 7.5%Co,10%Co) บน ้ตัวรองรับAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 และเปรียบเทียบ ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาโลหะผสมและโลหะเดี่ยว สำหรับปฏิกิริยา คาร์บอนไดออกไซด์รีฟอร์มมิ่งของมีเทน การวิเคราะห์ลักษณะและคุณสมบัติของตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยา กระทำโดย เทคนิคXRD,BET, SEM, NH3 -TPD, H2-TPR, TGA และการดูดซับด้วยก๊าซคาร์บอนมอน ออกไซด์ ปฏิกิริยาคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์รีฟอร์มมิ่งของมีเทนได้ดำเนินการที่สภาวะ 700 องศาเซลเซียส อัตราส่วนคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ต่อมีเทนเป็น 50:50 ตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาโลหะผสม (5%Ni 5%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5) มีค่าการเลือกเกิดไฮโดรเจนสูงประมาณ 47เปอร์เซ็นต์ ค่าการเลือกเกิด คาร์บอนมอนออกไซด์ที่ต่ำประมาณ 53เปอร์เซ็นต์ อัตราผลตอบแทนไฮโดรเจนประมาณ 34 เปอร์เซ็นต์ อัตราผลตอบแทน คาร์บอนมอนออกไซด์ประมาณ 78เปอร์เซ็นต์ ค่าการดูดซับคาร์บอน มอนออกไซด์ ค่าการกระจายตัวของโลหะ ค่าตำแหน่งที่เกิดปฏิกิริยาและค่าเสถียรภาพมีค่าสูงแม้จะมี การสะสมตัวของโค้กค่อนข้างสูงกว่าตัวเร่งปฏิกิริยาอื่นๆ

ภาควิชา วิศวกรรมเคมี สาขาวิชา วิศวกรรมเคมี ปีการศึกษา 2558

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต	
ลายมือชื่อ อ.ที่ปรึกษาหลัก	

# # 5770329021 : MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

KEYWORDS: AL2O3/ZSM-5 ZEOLITE SUPPORT, BIMETALLIC CATALYST, DRY REFORMING OF METHANE, NICKEL CATALYST

> SUTARAT THONGRATKEAW: COMPARISON OF MONO METALLIC AND BIMETALLICS Co, NI CATALYSTS SUPPORTED ON Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 FOR CO<sub>2</sub> REFORMING OF METHANE. ADVISOR: ASST. PROF. SUPHOT PHATANASRI, Ph.D., 88 pp.

Carbon dioxide dry reforming of methane produces synthesis gas with variable ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide, which is desirable for industrial synthesis processes. This reaction also has much importance in environmental implications since both carbon dioxide and methane contribute to the greenhouse effect. Converting these gases into valuable feedstocks may reduce the atmospheric emission of CO2 and CH4. Firstly, the different loading order between 10%Co and 10%Ni metals on  $Al_2O_3/ZSM-5$  was studied. Then, the good catalyst from part one was selected to be investigated in part part two. Secondly, the catalysts preparation with different molar ratios to obtain 10%Ni, 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co, 5%Ni 5%Co, 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co and 10%Co on  $Al_2O_3/ZSM-5$  was investigated. Also, the monometallic and bimetallic were compared for CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane. The Characterization of chemical and physical properties of catalysts by techniques of X-Ray Diffraction pattern (XRD), Nitrogen physorption, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), NH<sub>3</sub> Temperature Programmed Desorption (NH3-TPD),  $H_2$  Temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR), Thermo gravimetric analyze (TGA), CO chemisorption was conducted. The CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane was carried out at 700  $^{\circ}$ C with CO<sub>2</sub>:CH<sub>4</sub> ratio of 50:50. The bimetallic catalysts (5%Ni 5%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5) had high H<sub>2</sub> selectivity of about 47% with low CO selectivity of about 53%, high H<sub>2</sub> yield of about 34 %, high CO yield 78 %, high volume of CO adsorption, high active site, high metal dispersion and high stability despite relatively higher coke formation than the other catalysts.

Department:	Chemical Engineering	Student's Signature	
Field of Study:	Chemical Engineering	Advisor's Signature	
Academic Year:	2015		

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express her greatest thankfulness and appreciation to her adviser, Assistant Professor Dr. Suphot Phatanasri for his instruction and valuable suggestions throughout this study. Without his guidance and persistent help this thesis would not have been possible.

Special thanks for kind suggestions and useful help to many friends in Center of Excellence on Catalysis and Catalytic Reaction Engineering who always provide the encouragement and co-operate along the thesis study.

Finally, she would like to dedicate the achievement of this work to her parents (Mr. Banyut Thongratkeaw) who have always been the source of her suggestion, support and encouragement.

# CONTENTS

	Page
THAI ABSTRACT	iv
ENGLISH ABSTRACT	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
CONTENTS	vii
TABLES CONTENT	xi
FIGURES CONTENT	xii
CHAPTER I	14
INTRODUCTION	14
1.1 Background and rationale	14
1.2 Research objectives	16
1.3 Research scopes	16
CHAPTER II	18
THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEWS	
2.1 Synthesis gas and hydrogen production	18
2.2 Carbon dioxide reforming of methane	20
2.3 Supported catalyst and Metal catalyst	23
2.3.1 Supported catalyst	23
2.3.2 Metal catalyst	26
2.4 Literature Reviews	
CHAPTER III	
EXPERIMENTAL	34
3.1 Catalyst Preparation	

3.1.1 Alumina support by sol-gel method	34
3.1.2 Alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support by sol-gel method	34
3.1.3 Nickel and Cobalt -base metal catalyst	36
3.1.4 Bimetallic catalysts	37
3.2 Catalyst characterization	37
3.2.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD)	37
3.2.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption	38
3.2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX)	38
3.2.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH <sub>3</sub> -TPD)	38
3.2.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR)	39
3.2.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption	39
3.2.7 Thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA)	40
3.3 Catalytic performance test	40
3.3.1 Chemicals for reaction	40
3.3.2 Instrument and Apparatus	40
3.3.3 Reaction Method	42
CHAPTER IV	45
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	45
4.1 Study of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals	45
4.1.1 Characterization of catalyst	45
4.1.1.1 X-Ray Diffraction	45
4.1.1.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption	47
4.1.1.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX)	50

viii

	Page
4.1.1.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH <sub>3</sub> -TPD)	52
4.1.1.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR)	54
4.1.1.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption	55
4.1.1.7 Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG- DTA)	55
4.1.2 Catalytic activity and selectivity	56
4.2 Study the catalysts with different molar ratio on mix supported	59
4.2.1 Characterization of catalyst	59
4.2.1.1 X-Ray Diffraction	59
4.2.1.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption	60
4.2.1.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	61
4.2.1.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH3-TPD)	63
4.2.1.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR)	64
4.2.1.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption	64
4.2.1.7 Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-	
DTA)	65
4.2.2 Catalytic activity and selectivity	66
CHAPTER V	70
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	70
5.1 Conclusions	70
5.2 Recommendations	70
REFERENCES	71
APPENDIX A	77
CALCULATION FOR CATALYST PREPARATION	77

ix

# Page

APPENDIX B	79
CALIBRATION CURVES	79
APPENDIX C	82
DATA FOR CALCULATION OF ACID SITE	82
APPENDIX D	84
CALCULATION FOR METAL ACTIVE SITES AND DISPERSION	84
= 1.66*10 <sup>19</sup> molecul CO/g	84
APPENDIX E	85
CALCULATION FOR CONVERSION SELECTIVITY AND YIELD	85
APPENDIX G	86
LIST OF PUBLICATION	86
VITA	87

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# TABLES CONTENT

Page
Table 1 Overall reaction for carbon dioxide reforming of methane21
Table 2 Physical and structural characteristic of common aluminum oxides24
Table 3 Physical properties of cobalt.(Othmer )27
Table 4 Physical properties of cobalt 29
Table 5 Recently Reported Catalysts for Dry Reforming of Methane
Table 6 Operating condition of gas chromatograph for $CO_2$ reforming of $CH_4$ 41
Table 7 Physical properties of support and bimetallic catalysts
Table 8 The acidity of supported and the different loading order between cobalt
and nickel metals catalysts53
Table 9 The amount of carbon monoxide adsorbed and dispersion on a
catalyst55
Table 10 Physical properties of bimetallic and monometallic catalysts60
Table 11 The acidity of catalysts with different molar ratio on mix supported63
Table 12 The amount of carbon monoxide adsorbed and dispersion on a
catalyst65
Table 13 $H_2$ and CO yield (%) obtained during CO <sub>2</sub> reforming of methane69

# FIGURES CONTENT

Page
Figure 1 Alumina phase present at different temperatures: (a) corresponds to the
path favored for fine crystals, (b) to the path for moist or particles24
Figure 2 Scheme diagram reaction of CO <sub>2</sub> reforming of methane44
Figure 3The XRD patterns of $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ , ZSM-5 and $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5 support46
Figure 4 The XRD patterns of fresh catalyst 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5,46
Figure 5 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of support
Figure 6 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of different loading order
bimetallic catalyst
Figure 7 The SEM images of the (a) $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , (b) ZSM-5 and (c) $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /ZSM-5
supports
Figure 8 The SEM images of (d) 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /ZSM-5
Figure 9 The SEM images of (h) 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5,51
Figure 10 The NH <sub>3</sub> -TPD profiles of the supports
Figure 11 The NH $_3$ -TPD profiles of the different loading order between cobalt and
nickel metals on $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5 support53
Figure 12 The TPR profiles of the different loading order between cobalt and
nickel bimetallic catalysts calcined at 500 °C
Figure 13 Thermo gravimetric profiles of catalysts after 5 hr. of reaction
Figure 14 The CH4 and CO2 conversion of catalysts; 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al2O3/ZSM-5,57
Figure 15 The H <sub>2</sub> and CO selectivity of catalysts; 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5,58
Figure 16 The XRD patterns of monometallic and bimetallic catalysts supported
on $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5

Figure 17 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of bimetallic and
monometallic catalysts61
Figure 18 The SEM images of (k) 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, (l) 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -
Al_2O_3/ZSM-5, (m) 2.5%Co 7.5%Ni / $\gamma$ -Al_2O_3/ZSM-5 and (n) 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al_2O_3/ZSM-
5 fresh catalysts
Figure 19 The SEM images of (o) 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, (p) 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -
Al_2O_3/ZSM-5, (q) 2.5%Co 7.5%Ni / $\gamma$ -Al_2O_3/ZSM-5 and (r) 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al_2O_3/ZSM-5
used catalysts time on the stream 5 hr62
Figure 20 The $NH_3$ -TPD profiles for different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and
monometallic
Figure 21 The H2-TPR patterns of bimetallic and mono metallic64
Figure 22 Thermo gravimetric profiles of different molar ratio loading of bimetallic
and monometallic after 5 hr. of reaction
Figure 23 The CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> conversion of catalysts; 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5, 7.5%Ni
2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -
$Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5 and 10%Co/ $\gamma$ - $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -567
Figure 24 The H <sub>2</sub> and CO selectivity of catalysts; 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5, 7.5%Ni
2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5, 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5 and 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5

# CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background and rationale

In the last decade, carbon dioxide was released into the atmosphere. The production of carbon dioxide was generated by the oxidation of fossil fuels. The carbon dioxide molecules are stable. The emission of carbon dioxide was found in natural process, i.e. photosynthesis. In the future, it is expected that the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, due to fossil fuel burning and high pollution. The methane was produced by the biological processes to 98%; based on the behavior of bacteria and enzyme[1]. As carbon dioxide and methane are greenhouse gases, contributing to serious global warming. Dry reforming of methane (DRM) was interested for utilization these two greenhouses gases and producing valuable synthesis gases (H<sub>2</sub> and CO) as a feed-stock for synthetic fuel. The fuel is a clean fuel and can burn completely. The hydrogen and carbon monoxide are used as raw materials for the production process of methanol, ammonia and dimethyl ether, through the process of Fischer-Tropsch[2]. Dry reforming of methane is highly endothermic reaction (Eq.1.1). It's normally accompanied by secondary reaction of the reverse water gas shift (Eq.1.2).

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \iff 2H_2 + 2CO \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 247 \quad kJ / mol$$
(Eq.1.1)  
$$CO_2 + H_2 \iff H_2O + CO \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 41.2 \quad kJ / mol$$
(Eq.1.2)

(Eq.1.2)

In addition, this process is high energy consumption because it is highly endothermic reaction. Also, one serious problem is deactivation of catalyst by carbon formation and deposition (Eq.1.3, Eq.1.4)[3]. Many attentions have been paid to research aiming to improve and develop catalysts properties such as increased coke resistance.

$$CH_4 \leftrightarrow C + 2H_2 \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 79.4 \ kJ / mol \qquad (Eq.1.3)$$
  
$$2CO \leftrightarrow C + CO_2 \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = -172.2 \ kJ / mol \qquad (Eq.1.4)$$

The catalysts for this reaction are mainly divided into two groups: Transition metal such as Ruthenium, Platinum, Rhodium, and Palladium exhibit prominent activity and resistance to coke formation for dry reforming of methane. However, this noble metal is inappropriate to the adoption in industry due to it is valued more expensive and inadequate availability. The second group is Ni-based metal and Cobased metal such as Nickel and Cobalt, which are industrially more favorable due to their low cost and plentiful supply, although they are decrease activity and increase carbon deposition on catalysts[4]. The catalysts support is important involvement in optimizing the performance of catalyst. Alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) and Zeolites are attractive support for dry reforming of methane because they possess high surface area, high thermal stability, well-defined structure and high affinity for carbon dioxide[5]. These properties are expected to enhance the catalyst selectivity and stability.

In this research demonstrates three main points; First, the loading order of cobalt and nickel metals, which are sequentially loaded on alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support are compared. Second, the selected sequence of metals loading from the first part were used to prepare the catalysts with metal molar ratio (Ni + Co = 10). The Comparison of catalytic activity between mono metallic and bimetallic catalyst

supported on  $\gamma$  -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 zeolite and the last, the selected high catalytic performance and comparison of catalytic activity between differences supports ( $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZSM-5,  $\gamma$  -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5) for carbon dioxide reforming of methane were investigated.

#### 1.2 Research objectives

- 1.2.1 To compare the loading order of cobalt and nickel metals are loaded sequentially on alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support.
- 1.2.2 To compare the catalytic performance and stability for carbon dioxide reforming of methane between mono metallic and bimetallic catalyst supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 zeolite.

## 1.3 Research scopes

- 1.2.3 Preparation of the composite supports between alumina and zeolite ZSM-5 support by sol-gel method with alumina: zeolite ratio of 3: 1.
- 1.2.4 Preparation of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals which are loaded sequentially on alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support. The catalysts are prepared with 5wt%Ni and 5wt%Co by incipient wetness impregnation methods.
- 1.2.5 The selected metals loading order from the 1.3.2 are used to prepare the catalysts with different molar ratio to obtain 10wt%Ni, 7.5wt%Ni 2.5wt%Co, 5wt%Ni 5wt%Co, 2.5wt%Ni 7.5wt%Co and 10wt%Co respectively.
- 1.2.6 Characterization of chemical and physical properties of mono metallic and bimetallic catalyst supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 using various techniques:
  - X-Ray diffraction pattern (XRD) was used to determine crystallite size and phase.

- Nitrogen adsorption-desorption was used to determine BET specific surface area, pore size and pore volume.
- Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used to study morphology.
- Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD) was used to determine acidity of catalysts.
- Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) was used to determine the metal oxide reduction.
- Carbon monoxide chemisorption (CO-CHEM) was used to determine quantities of active site of catalysts.
- Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) was used to study carbon deposition.
- 1.2.7 Investigation of the catalytic performance of prepared catalysts via carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction under the following condition:
  - The catalysts were reduced in situ at 500 °C for 1 hr. in  $H_2$  flow 50 ml/min.
  - Reactant feed using the mixed gas between methane in carbon dioxide as ratio
     1:1 was used with flow rate of 60 ml/min.
  - The reaction was performed at the temperature of 700 °C at the atmospheric pressure.
  - The gas compositions of the reactants and products were analyzed by thermal conductivity detector-type gas chromatography.

## CHAPTER II

# THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEWS

In this research is based on data from other research that is associated with study. It is confirmed the results of experiment, this chapter two are offered theories; Synthesis gas and hydrogen production, Carbon dioxide reforming of methane, Supported catalyst, Metal catalyst and literature reviews;

#### 2.1 Synthesis gas and hydrogen production

The production of hydrogen fuel can be produced many processes. However, the process is studied most commonly is reforming reaction because expected to developed into a commercial process. The reforming is divided to several categories depend on type of reactant used as follows:

- Steam reforming that produces hydrogen gas from water and a carbon containing feedstock, such as an alcohol or a hydrocarbon. The remaining oxygen from the water, hydrocarbons compound and carbon residue combination as carbon monoxide[6]. It is an effective process to produce hydrogen that low cost. Making it is used commercially. The principle of process is entering the steam in system to react with hydrocarbons compound at a gas phase.

$$CH_4 + H_2O \rightarrow 3H_2 + CO \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 206.3 \ kJ \ / \ mol$$
 (Eq.2.1)

- Carbon dioxide reforming (also known as dry reforming) is a method of producing synthesis gas (mixtures of hydrogen and carbon monoxide) from the reaction of carbon dioxide with hydrocarbons such as methane. Synthesis gas is conventionally

produced via the steam reforming reaction. In recent years, increased concerns on the contribution of greenhouse gases to global warming have increased interest in the replacement of steam as reactant with carbon dioxide[7]. The dry reforming reaction may be represented by:

$$CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2H_2 + 2CO \qquad \Delta H^\circ_{298} = 247.3 \ kJ / mol$$
 (Eq.2.2)

Thus, two greenhouse gases are consumed and useful chemical building blocks, hydrogen and carbon monoxide, are produced. A challenge to the commercialization of this process is that the hydrogen that is produced tends to react with the carbon dioxide. For example, the following reaction typically proceeds with lower activation energy than the dry reforming reaction itself:

The reverse water-gas shift reaction:

$$CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow H_2O + CO \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 42.1 \ kJ \ / \ mol$$
 (Eq.2.3)

- The partial oxidation is a process between hydrocarbons and oxygen. This process have the advantage it does not require external energy input because the reaction is exothermic, resulting the generate energy in the system. But the limitations of this process, the amount of oxygen fed to the system must not too high because the rest of oxygen will react with hydrogen and convert to water. As a result a loss of hydrogen. In addition, another important limitation of this process is in commercial operation will higher cost than the other reforming. Due to the system must be separated oxygen from air before. If not separate the oxygen, the concentration of hydrogen decreased.

$$CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2 + CO \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = -35.6 \ kJ \ / \ mol$$
 (Eq.2.4)

 $CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2H_2O + CO_2 \qquad \Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = -880 \ kJ \ / \ mol$  (Eq.2.5)

The synthesis gas produced is converted to organic hydrocarbons by Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. Instead of supplying heat to the steam reforming process, a partial oxidation reaction is also carried out to make it self-sustaining. Another possible method to produce carbon monoxide and hydrogen from methane is the dry reforming of CO<sub>2</sub>. The dry CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane is  $\approx$ 20% more endothermic than steam reforming of methane (see Reactions Eq.2.1, 2.2) and partial oxidation in shows that Eq.2.4, 2.5[8]. Synthesis gas was also using feed-stock for methanol synthesis. Another, hydrogen production was using feed-stock for ammonia synthesis, hydro treating, hydrogenation, and fuel cell power.

#### 2.2 Carbon dioxide reforming of methane

The carbon dioxide reforming of methane is a very interesting reaction. There **CHUARCONGROUM** are studies both the academic and industrial utilization, as various advantages such as: (a) reducing of carbon dioxide and methane, which are both the greenhouse gases, (b) converting of methane and carbon dioxide to hydrogen and valuable synthesis gas, (c) producing synthesis gas with a hydrogen/carbon monoxide ratio is close to 1, which is more appropriate for use in the production of oxygenated compounds and the production of liquid hydrocarbons in the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis[9, 10]. The products of this reaction, hydrogen could be applied as fuel cells and more, as mentioned above. Another product is the synthesis gas, a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen can be used a raw material in the production of methanol, dimethyl ether and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. Table 1 Overall reaction for carbon dioxide reforming of methane.

(1) $CH_4 + CO_2 \iff 2H_2 + 2CO_2$	$\Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 247.3 \ kJ \ / mol} \Delta G^{\circ} = 61770 - 67.32T$	CO₂ reforming of CH₄
(2) $CH_4 \Leftrightarrow C + 2H_2$	$\Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 75 \ kJ \ / \ mol$ $\Delta G^{\circ} = 2190 - 26.45T$	CH₄ <b>decomposition</b>
(3) $2Co \Leftrightarrow CO_2 + C$	$\Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = -171 \ kJ \ / \ mol$ $\Delta G^{\circ} = -39810 + 40.87T$	CO disproportionation or Boudouard
$(4) CO_2 + H_2 \Leftrightarrow CO + H_2O$	$\Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = 41 \ kJ / mol$ $\Delta G^{\circ} = -8545 + 7.84T$	Reverse water-gas shift
(5) $CO + H_2 \Leftrightarrow C + H_2O$	<b>KORN UNIVERSITY</b> $\Delta H^{\circ}_{298} = -131 \ kJ \ / \ mol$	Reverse carbon gasification

The reaction equilibrium for carbon dioxide reforming of methane (1), usually occur simultaneously with reverse water-gas shift reaction (4), results a  $H_2$ /CO ratio of less than unity. In addition, the side reaction, CH<sub>4</sub> decomposition (2), and CO disproportionation or Boudouard reaction (3) were involved with carbon deposition on the surface catalyst[11].

The equilibrium constant of the carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction (1), is a strongly endothermic reaction which the conversion are increases seriously with increasing reaction temperature[12]. However, methane decomposition (2), and reverse water-gas shift reaction (4), which are moderately endothermic reactions. Hence, the raising in temperature increases the rate of reactions. The Boudouard reaction (3) and the reverse carbon gasification reaction (5) are exothermic reaction. Therefore, the thermodynamic does not prefer at higher temperatures. In conclusion, high temperature is more favorable for the carbon dioxide reforming of methane (1) than those of the side reactions (2, 3, and 5).

Because the carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction is strongly endothermic it required high temperature. Hence, the main drawback of this reaction is rapid deactivation by carbon deposition on surface catalyst or sintering of metallic particles or metal oxidation. Thus, the selection of appropriate catalysts and supports are needed to prevent the deactivation.

> จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

#### 2.3 Supported catalyst and Metal catalyst

2.3.1 Supported catalyst

## Alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)

Aluminum oxide is a chemical compound of aluminum and oxygen with the chemical formula  $Al_2O_3$ . It is the most commonly occurring of several aluminum oxides, and specifically identified as aluminum (III) oxide. It is commonly called alumina, and may also be called alloy oxide, or aluminum depending on particular forms or applications. It commonly occurs in its crystalline polymorphic phase  $\mathbf{C}$ - $Al_2O_3$ , in which it composes the mineral corundum, varieties of which form the precious gemstones ruby and sapphire.

#### Physical Properties

Alumina is the most common commercial carriers because of their excellent thermal stability and wide range of chemical, physical, and catalytic properties. The alumina consists of more than a dozen well-characterized amorphous or crystalline structures. Which vary over wide range of surface area (0.5-600 m2/g), pore size, pore distribution and acidity of surface.

The structure and properties of a given alumina depend on its preparation, purity, dehydration, and thermal treatment history. The more acidic, high-surface-area alumina hydrate produced at relatively low temperature and treatment at high temperature to 'transitional  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\theta$ -alumina and ultimately  $\alpha$ -alumina, all of lower surface area and acidity. Alumina phase present at different temperatures as a function of calcination in Figure 1, and the physical and structural characteristics of important alumina phase are listed in Table 2.



Figure 1 Alumina phase present at different temperatures: (a) corresponds to the path favored for fine crystals, (b) to the path for moist or particles.

T <sub>calc</sub> (°C)	Alumina phase	SA, (m²/g)	V <sub>pore</sub> ,(cm³/g)	D <sub>pore</sub> , (nm)
	Se and a second		2	
250	pseudoboehmite	390	0.50	5.2
450	$\gamma$ -alumina	335	0.53	6.4
650		226	0.55	9.8
850		167	0.58	14
950	$\mathbf{\delta}$ -alumina	120	0.50	16.6
1050	$oldsymbol{ heta}$ -alumina	50	0.50	28
1200	<b>α</b> -alumina	1-5		

Table 2 Physical and structural characteristic of common aluminum oxides.

#### Usability

Aluminum oxide is used in a variety reactions including; benzene hydrogenation catalytic reforming, hydrotreating, emission control, methanol synthesis, the water gas shift reaction, and oxchlorination. It is also useful for dehydration of alcohols to alkenes. Alumina serves as a catalyst support for many industrial catalysts, such as those used in hydrodesulfurization and some Ziegler-Natta polymerizations. Zeolites are produced from alumina.

# Zeolite ZSM-5 (Faujasite)

Faujasite was discovered in 1842 by Damour and is named for Barthélemy Faujas de Saint-Fond, a French geologist and volcanologist.

#### **Physical Properties**

The faujasite framework consists of <u>sodalite</u> cages which are connected through hexagonal prisms. The pores are arranged perpendicular to each other. The pore, which is formed by a 12-membered ring, has a relatively large diameter of 7.4 Å. The inner cavity has a diameter of 12 Å and is surrounded by 10 sodalite cages. The unit cell is cubic with a length of 24.7 Å. Zeolite ZSM-5 have a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ratio of 23. It thermally decomposes at 793 °C.

#### Usability

ZSM-5 has been used as a support material for catalysis. In one such example, copper is deposited on the zeolite and a stream of ethanol is passed through at temperatures of 240 to 320 °C as a vapors stream, which causes the ethanol to oxidize to acetaldehyde; two hydrogen are lost by the ethanol as hydrogen gas. It appears that the specific pore size of ZSM-5 is of benefit to this process, which also functions for other alcohols and oxidations. The copper is

occasionally combined with other metals, such as chromium, to fine tune the diversity and specificity of the products, as there is likely to be more than one. Acetic acid is an example of one possible byproduct from hot copper oxidation.

## 2.3.2 Metal catalyst

## Nickel

Nickel is silvery-white. Hard, malleable and ductile metal. It is of the iron group and it takes on a high polish. It is a fairly good conductor of heat and electricity. In its familiar compounds nickel is bivalent, although it assumes other valences. It also forms a number of complex compounds. Most nickel compounds are blue or green. Nickel dissolves slowly in dilute acids but like iron, becomes passive when treated with nitric acid. Finely divided nickel adsorbs hydrogen.



# Physical Properties

From Table 3 shows the physical property of cobalt

Table 3 Physical properties of cobalt.(Othmer )

Parameters	Value
Name	Nickel
Symbol	Ni
Atomic number	28
Atomic mass	58.71 g.mol <sup>-1</sup>
Electronegativity according to Pauling	1.8
Density	8.9 g.cm <sup>-3</sup> at 20°C
Melting point	1453 ℃
Boiling point	2913 ℃
Vanderwaals radius	0.124 nm
Ionic radius 0.06	9 nm (+2) ; 0.06 nm (+3)
Isotopes Chulalongkorn Unive	RSITY 10

#### Cobalt

Cobalt-based catalysts began to be widely studied for the carbon dioxide reforming of methane because had good activity, availability and low cost Moreover, cobalt also has good activity which may help to promote the carbon resistance of the catalyst. Many cobalt compounds are used in chemical reactions such as cobalt acetate is used for the convert of xylene to terephthalic acid, the precursor to the bulk polymer polyethylene terephthalate. Generally catalysts are the cobalt carboxylates. They are also used in paints, varnishes, and inks as "drying agents" through the oxidation of drying oils.

Cobalt-based catalysts are also important in reactions relate with carbon monoxide. The reforming reaction such as stream reforming and carbon dioxide reforming, useful in hydrogen production, uses cobalt oxide-base catalysts. Moreover, cobalt is also a catalyst in the Fischer–Tropsch reaction, used in the hydrogenation of carbon monoxide into liquid fuels.

> จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# Physical Properties

From Table 4 shows the physical property of cobalt

Table 4 Physical properties of cobalt

Parameters	Value				
Name	Cobalt				
Symbol	Со				
Atomic number	27				
Element category	transition metal				
Atomic weight	58.93				
Electropegativity	1.88 (Pauling				
	scale)				
Ionization energies, kJ.mol <sup>-1</sup>					
• 1 <sup>st</sup>	760.4				
• 2 <sup>nd</sup>	1648				
• 3 <sup>rd</sup>	3232				
Latent heat of fusion					
Boiling point 395					
Latent heat of vaporization at bp, $\Delta H_{vap}$ kJ/g <sup>a</sup> 3100					
Specific heat, J/(g.°C)ª	6276				
• 15-100°C					
Molten metal	0.442				
Coefficient of thermalexperature, °C <sup>-1</sup>	0.560				
• cph at room temperature	12.5				
• fcc at 417°C	14.2				

# 2.4 Literature Reviews

Table 5 Recently Reported Catalysts for Dry Reforming of Methane

Metal	Support	Preparation	Result	Referenc
				es
Ni	zeoliteA,	Incipient	Ni/zeolite Y a weight of Ni loading at	[13]
	zeoliteX,	wetness	7wt.% showed the best catalytic	
	zeoliteY,	impregnation	performance than the other types of	
	ZSM-5		zeolites.	
Ni-Co	Al-Mg-O	Co-	The kinetic studies of Ni-Co/Al-	[14]
		precipitation	Mg-O bimetallic catalyst in the	
			temperature range 650-750 °C.	
			The L-H kinetic model derived from the	
			proposed mechanism was found to fit	
		0	the kinetic measurement well. It was	
		E.	also found that the power-law model	
		จหาลงกร	is able to satisfactorily fit the kinetic	
		CHULALONG	experimental results as well.	
Ni-Co	$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Impregnation	Ni,Co and Ni–Co alumina supported	[15]
			catalysts highest cobalt content are the	
			most active and stable, but they	
			produce a large amount of carbon.	

Metal	Support	Preparation	Result	References
Co-Mn	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Co- precipitated	The results presented in this work revealed that forming the catalyst under 75 bar compression pressure and 4 wt.% Syton binder led to the maximum selectivity.	[16]
Ni-Mg	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Incipient wetness impregnation	Ni/MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> and on gold modified Au-Ni/MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> samples in a plug flow reactor. The CO <sub>2</sub> conversion of the reaction is complete at 800 °C for both catalysts although 0.5 wt%, whereas on long range the activity is improved in the presence of gold.	[17]
Ni, NiRh, NiCo	Ce–Zr- oxide	Wet impregnation	Alloyed NiRh, NiCo particles and sintered Ni were observed, with the simultaneous presence of carbidic carbon, carbon nanotubes and shell- type graphitic carbon deposition. Support degradation and segregation happened to some extent, but still there was a certain amount of Ni in strong interaction with the support Broad metal particle size distribution seems to play a role in long term stability.	[18]

Motal	Support	Proparation	Posult	Referenc
metat	Support	rieparation	nesutt	es
Co ,Ni	CeO <sub>2</sub>	Co- precipitation	The Co-Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> catalyst is more active and more selective than the Co/CeO <sub>2</sub> and Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> monometallic catalysts. Co-Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> and Co/CeO2, show a substantially higher resistance to carbon deposition in comparison with Ni/CeO <sub>2</sub> .	[19]
Ni	ZSM-5	Hydrothermal	Ni nanoparticle supported on mesoporous ZSM-5 was found highly active. The 5%Ni loaded Ni-ZSM-5 catalyst shows 96.2% methane conversion at 800 °C. It was also found that, acidic support decreases the activity of the catalyst mainly due to the weak ability of adsorption of $CO_2$ on the acidic support. The deactivation of the catalyst is due to the coke deposition and also the formation of NiCO <sub>3</sub> over the catalyst.	[20]
LaNiO <sub>3</sub>	SBA-15, MCM-41	Hydrothermal	LaNiO <sub>3</sub> /MCM-41 exhibited the higher initial catalytic activity, owing to the higher Ni dispersion, while LaNiO <sub>3</sub> /SBA- 15 was superior to LaNiO <sub>3</sub> /MCM-41 in the long-term stability, which could be due to the stable silica matrix restricted the agglomeration of nickel species.	[21]

Metal	Support	Preparation	Result	Referenc
				es
Ni	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -	impregnation	- The results of this study showed that	[22]
	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	and so-gel	the sole gel method had excellent	
			effects on physicochemical properties	
			of Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub> nano catalyst in	
			comparison to impregnation method.	
			- Ni-Co/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -ZrO <sub>2</sub> had the excellent	
			activity and selectivity.	
			11100-	
Ni , Pt	$CeZrO_2$	Wet	The $CeZrO_2$ supported catalyst in the	[ <u>23</u> ]
		impregnation	presence of Pt shows high stability	
			due to oxygen spillover. The addition	
			of Pt to Ni reduces the induction	
			period especially on the alumina	
			supported catalyst.	

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

# CHAPTER III

## EXPERIMENTAL

In this chapter consist of three sections involved in the preparation process of catalyst. First, prepare for alumina and alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support by sol-gel method, after that load cobalt and nickel metals on other types of support. The catalysts are prepared with molar ratio (Ni/Co = 1) by incipient wetness impregnation methods. Second, characterize physical properties of mono metallic and bimetallic catalysts supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 zeolite by using various techniques: X-Ray diffraction pattern (XRD), Nitrogen adsorption-desorption, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX), Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD), Carbon monoxide chemisorption and Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA). Last, investigate performance of catalysts for carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction.

# าลงกรณมหาวทยาล

# 3.1 Catalyst Preparation

3.1.1 Alumina support by sol-gel method.

3.1.2 Alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support by sol-gel method.

#### Chemicals of alumina

- a) Alumina Isopropoxide: AIP,  $([(CH_3)_2CHO]_3Al)$  from Aldrich.
- b) Ethanol, ( $C_2H_5OH$ ) from Merck
- c) Hydrochloric acid, (HCl) from Merck

## Chemicals of zeolite ZSM-5

Zeolite ZSM-5 (BET area 202 m<sup>2</sup>/g), was obtained from TOSOH Corporation (Japan) in proton form with  $SiO_2/AlO_3$  mole ratio 23. Before used, zeolite ZSM-5 was powder.

#### Preparation of alumina support by sol-gel method.

The precursor, alumina isopropoxide dissolved in mixture of ethanol and deionized water with volume ratio 1:1 under mild stirring at 80°C for 1 hr. After that, hydrochloric acid is dropped into the solutions unit pH value is equaled to 2.5 and aged with stirring at 80°C about 5 hr. units eliminating the solvent and dried at 105°C overnight and calcination under air at 550°C for 2 hr.

## Preparation of alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support by sol-gel method.

The precursor, alumina isopropoxide dissolved in mixture of ethanol and deionized water with volume ratio 1:1 under mild stirring at 80°C for 1 hr. Then temperature is increases to 90°C. After that, zeolite ZSM-5 is mixed into the solutions and hydrochloric acid are dropped into the solutions unit pH value is equal to 2.5 and aged with stirring at 90°C about 5 hr., unit eliminating the solvent. And then mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support are dried at 105°C overnight and calcination under air at 550°C for 2 hr.

## 3.1.3 Nickel and Cobalt -base metal catalyst

#### Chemicals of nickel metal

a) Nickel nitrate hexahydrate, [Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O] from Carlo Erba

#### Chemicals of cobalt metal

b) Cobalt nitrate hexahydrate, [Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O] from Carlo Erba

Preparation of nickel and cobalt -base metal loading on alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM5 support by impregnation method.

The nickel and cobalt catalysts with various metal content (10wt.%Ni, 10wt.%Co) were prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation method using a alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 (sol-gel) support and aqueous solution of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O of the appropriate concentration to have the metal loading above. The impregnated support is kept at room temperature for 4 hr. to assure adequate distribution of metal complete. Then, the catalysts were dried at 105°C overnight and calcination under air at 500°C for 2 hr.

**CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY**
#### 3.1.4 Bimetallic catalysts

#### Chemicals of bimetallic

- a) Nickel nitrate hexahydrate, [Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O] from Merck
- b) Cobalt nitrate hexahydrate, [Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O] from Carlo Erba

Preparation of Ni-Co bimetallic catalysts loading on alumina, ZSM-5 and alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support by impregnation method.

The bimetallic Ni-Co catalysts are loaded sequentially on alumina (5wt.%Ni 5wt.%Co), ZSM-5 (5wt.%Ni 5wt.%Co) and alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 support with various compositions (5wt.%Ni 5wt.%Co, 5wt.%Ni-5wt.%Co, 5wt.%Co-5wt.%Ni, 7.5wt.%Ni-2.5wt.%Co and 2.5wt.%Ni-7.5wt.%Co) are prepared by the incipient wetness impregnation and method using a alumina mixed with zeolite ZSM-5 (sol-gel) support and aqueous solution of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O of the appropriate concentration to have the metal loading above. The impregnated support was kept at room temperature for 4 hr. to assure adequate distribution of metal complete. Then, the catalysts were dried at 105°C overnight and calcination under air at 500°C for 2 hr.

Chulalongkorn University

#### 3.2 Catalyst characterization

#### 3.2.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD)

The analysis of crystalline phases is performed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples are carried on an X-ray diffraction SIEMENS D 5000 connected to a personal computer with Diffract AT version 3.3 program for fully control of XRD analyzer. The XRD analysis is conducted to Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation between 20° - 80° with a generator voltage and current of 30 kV and 30 mA, respectively.

#### 3.2.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption

The catalyst 0.1 gram are study BET surface area, pore volume and pore diameter are measured by  $N_2$  adsorption–desorption isotherm at liquid nitrogen temperature (-196 °C) using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020. The surface area and pore distribution are calculated according to Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) and Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) methods.

#### 3.2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX)

Scanning Electron Microscopy is employed for including morphology metal dispersion of catalysts. The JEOL JSM-35 CF model at the Scientific and Technology Research Equipment Centre, Chulalongkorn University (STREC) was used for this purpose.

#### 3.2.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD)

The acid properties of prepared catalysts are observed by Temperature Programmed Adsorption of Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD) equipment by using Micromeritics chemisorp 2750 Pulse Chemisorption System. In an experiment, 0.10 g of the catalyst sample is placed in a quartz tube and pretreated at 200°C in a flow of helium. The sample was saturated with 15%NH<sub>3</sub>/He. After saturation, the physisorbed ammonia was desorbed in a helium gas flow about 30 min. Then the sample was heated from 40 to 500°C at a heating rate 10°C/min. The amount of ammonia in effluent was measured via TCD signal as a function of temperature.

#### 3.2.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR)

The reducing temperatures of prepared catalysts are observed by Temperature Programmed Reduction of Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) equipment by using Micromeritics chemisorp 2750 Pulse Chemisorption System. In an experiment, about 0.10 g of the catalyst sample is placed in a quartz tube and pretreated at 200 °C in a flow of nitrogen. The sample is reduced with 10%H<sub>2</sub>/Ar. Then the sample is heated from 30 to 800 °C at a heating rate 10 °C /min. The amount of hydrogen consumption in effluent is measured via TCD signal as a function of temperature.

## 3.2.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption

Static CO chemisorption at room temperature on the reduce catalysts will be used to determine the number of reduce surface nickel and cobalt metal atoms. The total CO chemisorption will be calculated from the number of injection of a known volume. CO chemisorption will be carried out following the procedure using a Micrometrics chemisorb 2750 Pulse Chemisorption System. In an experiment, about 0.10 g of the catalyst sample is placed in a quartz tube. Prior to chemisorption, the catalysts will be reduced at 500°C for 1 hour after ramping up at a rate of 10°C/min. After that, carbon monoxide 20 microliter was inject to catalyst and repeat until desorption peak constant. Amount of carbon monoxide adsorption on catalyst was relative amount of active site.

3.2.7 Thermal gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA)

The as-spun alumina fibers is subjected to the thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (Diamond Thermo gravimetric and Differential Analyzer, TA Instruments SDT Q600) to determine the carbon content in the sample, as well as their thermal behaviors in the range of  $30 - 1000^{\circ}$ C. The analysis was performed at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C /min in 100 ml/min flow of air.

#### 3.3 Catalytic performance test

## 3.3.1 Chemicals for reaction

- a) Feed gas: consisted of 50% methane balance carbon dioxide (molar ratio1:1)
- b) UHP Nitrogen gas, 99.999%
- c) UHP Hydrogen gas, 99.999%

#### 3.3.2 Instrument and Apparatus

- a) Reactor: The reactor is a fixed bed flow reactor made from a quartz tube length of 47 mm. and inner diameter of 12 mm.
- b) Automatic Temperature and controller: There is a magnetic switch connected to a variable voltage transformer and a temperature controller connected to a thermocouple attached to the catalyst bed in reactor. A dial setting established a set point at any temperature within the range between 0°C to 1000°C.
- c) Electric Furnace: This supply the required heated to the reactor for reaction. The reactor could be operating at 700°C.
- d) Gas Controlling System: Gas was equipped with pressure regulator (0-120 psig), an on-off valve and needle valve are used adjust flow of gas.

Gas Chromatograph	Shimazu, GC 8A	Shimazu, GC 8A	
Detector	TCD	TCD	
Column	Polapack-Q	Molecular sieve 5A	
Carrier gas	Argon	Argon	
Carrier gas flow	30 ml/min	30 ml/min	
Column			
Temperature			
- Initial	70 °C	70 ℃	
- Final	70 °C	70 ℃	
Detector			
temperature			
Injector	100 °C	100 °C	
Temperature	100 °C	100 °C	
Analyzed gas	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub> , CO, H <sub>2</sub>	

Table 6 Operating condition of gas chromatograph for  $CO_2$  reforming of  $CH_4$ 

#### 3.3.3 Reaction Method

The carbon dioxide reforming of methane is carried out at atmospheric pressure in fixed-bed flow reactor (quartz tube, length 47 mm and inner diameter 12 mm) packed with 0.05 g catalyst. The reactor temperature is measured and controlled by K-type thermocouple positioned at the middle of catalyst bed. The catalysts are reduced in pure hydrogen (50 ml/min) at 600°C for 1 h. Then, the hydrogen is replaced by pure nitrogen (50 ml/min) and the system is heated (10°C/min) to the reaction temperature for 40 min before. The catalytic performance test is carried out at 700°C. The feed gas consisted of methane and carbon dioxide (molar ratio 1:1), and feed flow rate 60 ml/min. Figure 2 shown the scheme diagram of CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of CH<sub>4</sub> reaction.

The gas compositions of reactants and products is analyzed by thermal conductivity detector-type gas chromatograph (Shimudzu, GC-8A) equipped with a Porapak-Q and Molecular sieve 5A packed column. Argon is used as carrier gas (30 ml/min).

The conversions of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  are defined in Equation (3.1) and (3.2) and the selectivity of  $H_2$  and CO are defined in Equation (3.3) and (3.4), respectively

# $X_{CH_4} = \frac{[CH_4]_{in-}[CH_4]_{out}}{[CH_4]_{in}} \times 100$ (Eq.3.1)

$$X_{CO_2} = \frac{[CO_2]_{in} - [CO_2]_{out}}{[CO_2]_{in}} \times 100$$
 (Eq.3.2)

$$S_{H_2} = \frac{[H_2]_{out}}{[H_2]_{out} + [CO]_{out}} \times 100$$
 (Eq.3.3)

$$S_{CO} = \frac{[CO]_{out}}{[H_2]_{out} + [CO]_{out}} \times 100$$
(Eq.3.4)

Where  $[CH_4]$  in and  $[CO_2]$  in are the flow rates of the reactants feed and  $[CH_4]$  out,  $[CO_2]$  out,  $[H_2]$  out and  $[\underline{4}]$  out are the flow rates of the corresponding gas compositions in the carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University



d∎•

·X.

050

N

₫,

ox.

-

220

To went

3

•X+

Figure 2 Scheme diagram reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane.

## CHAPTER IV

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this chapter compose of two sections. Firstly, 4.1 study of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals. Secondly, 4.2 study the catalysts with different molar ratio to obtain 10%Ni , 7.5%Ni-2.5%Co , 5%Ni-5%Co , 2.5%Ni-7.5%Co and 10%Co on mix supported. Each section presents catalyst characterization by several techniques such as X-Ray diffraction pattern (XRD), Nitrogen adsorption-desorption, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX), Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD), Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR), Carbon monoxide chemisorption, Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA) and catalytic activities and selectivity in carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction.

#### 4.1 Study of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals.

- 4.1.1 Characterization of catalyst
- 4.1.1.1 X-Ray Diffraction

The X-Ray Diffraction patterns of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared by sol gel methods, ZSM-5 commercial and mix supported  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 prepared by sol gel methods shown in Figure 3. The XRD patterns of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were shown at 36.6°, 45.5°, 67[24], ZSM-5 commercial were shown at 20.8°, 23°-24.4°, 29.2°-30.1°, 45.5°, 66.8 and mix phased  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 were shown similar ZSM-5 pattern[25].



Figure 3The XRD patterns of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZSM-5 and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 support.

After loading order between cobalt and nickel metals on  $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5 supports shown in Figure 4. The XRD patterns fresh catalyst were shown peck NiO, CoO, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 37°, 45°, 59°, 65° and 67° respectively[<u>25</u>, <u>26</u>]. There has small peck because percentage of loaded metal has less.



Figure 4 The XRD patterns of fresh catalyst 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5,

5%Ni- 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5.

#### 4.1.1.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption

The specific surface area, pore volume and pore size of the catalyst of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared by sol gel methods, ZSM-5 commercial and mix supported  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and catalysts were determined by technical analysis with Brunauer-Emmitt-Teller Method (BET). The results demonstrate in table 7 for the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and ZSM-5 supports have specific surface area 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g. In addition, specific surface area of mix supported  $\gamma$  -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 increased 259m<sup>2</sup>/g. The specific surface area and the pore volumes of a bimetal was decreased suggests that the metals are deposited inside the pores of supports. However, [27].

Catalysta	SBET	P <sub>v</sub> <sup>b</sup>	P <sub>s</sub> <sup>c</sup>
Catalysis	(m²/g)	(cm³/g)	(nm)
$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	200	0.30	3.8
ZSM-5	200	0.16	6.3
$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	259	0.30	4.7
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	204	0.23	4.7
5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	201	0.21	4.7
5%Co-5%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	161	0.22	5.2

Table 7 Physic	al properties	s of support	and bime	tallic catalysts
,				

 $S_{BET}^{a}$  : Specific surface area ; calculated by the BET equation.

 $\mathsf{P}_v^{\ b}:\mathsf{BJH}$  desorption pore volume.

P<sub>S</sub><sup>c</sup> : BJH desorption average pore diameter.

The N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms for the prepared support and catalysts display in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively. The isotherm of ZSM-5 has type I, characteristic of the microporous structure. While, the isotherms of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZSM-5 and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 supports and different loading order bimetallic catalyst can be classified as a type IV, characteristic for micro-mesoporous materials.[28, 29]







Figure 5 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of support.



Figure 6 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of different loading order bimetallic catalyst.

## 4.1.1.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX)

The morphology of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZSM-5 and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 supports were shown in Figure 7. The morphology of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals catalysts are appeared in Figure 8. The image of loaded sample shows that the original crystallinity of the supports is not affected by NiO and CoO loading hence the morphology of catalysts is significant similarity of supports in Figure 7[30].Moreover, used catalysts were indicated in Figure 9. The surface of the 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalysts were covered by filamentous carbon[31].



Figure 7 The SEM images of the (a)  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (b) ZSM-5 and (c)  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 supports.



Figure 8 The SEM images of (d) 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3/ZSM-5,



(e) 5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and (g) 5%Co-5%Ni /  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 fresh catalysts.

Figure 9 The SEM images of (h) 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, (i) 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and (j) 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 used catalysts time on the stream 5hr.

#### 4.1.1.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption ( $NH_3$ -TPD)

The corresponding desorption curves NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, ZSM-5 and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 supports are showed in Figure 10. The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD found weak acid sites at low temperature in range 70-350°C. Besides, ZSM-5 supports have strong acid sites at high temperature of in range 470°C. Furthermore, The different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals: 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalysts were represent in Figure 11. The catalysts have weak acid sites at low temperature in range 80-350°C. In addition, the amounts of acid sites on the surface of supports and catalysts were calculated as showed in Table 8. The acidity of bimetallic catalysts 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 have high acidity than 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalysts.



Figure 10 The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of the supports.



Figure 11 The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 support.

Table 8 The acidity of supported and the different loading order between cobalt and nickel metals catalysts.

Catalysts Tatal acid site (mmol H) (g)				
$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.46			
ZSM-5	2.91			
$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	1.73			
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	1.17			
5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	1.12			
5%Co-5%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	1.25			

## 4.1.1.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR)

Hydrogen temperature - programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR) were conducted to examine the reducibility of the catalysts. The TPR profiles are shown in Figure 12. The reduction peaks in Ni–Co bimetallic catalyst are included totally two peaks. First, weak peak were reduced NiO and CoO range at 300-450 °C as a result of very low metal– support interactions. Second, strong peak indicates that a broad peak at higher temperature range at 450-600 °C so assigned to reduction of NiO and CoO particles with high interaction with the supports [5, 32].



Figure 12 The TPR profiles of the different loading order between cobalt and nickel bimetallic catalysts calcined at 500 °C.

## 4.1.1.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption

The active site of the catalyst was investigated amount of carbon monoxide adsorption. The carbon monoxide adsorption directly is proportional the active site. The result amount of carbon monoxide adsorption and dispersion of the catalyst as shown in Table 9.The 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalyst has good dispersion because carbon monoxide were high adsorbed and high active site.

	volume of	-	Active site
Catalysta	CO	%	(*10 <sup>19</sup> molecul
Catalysis	adsorption	Dispersion	CO/g)
	(cm³/g)		
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.42	1.1	1.12
5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.27	0.7	0.73
5%Co-5%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.27	0.7	0.73
7.01	eccece proposition V		

Table 9 The amount of carbon monoxide adsorbed and dispersion on a catalyst.

## 4.1.1.7 Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA)

A quantitative analysis of coke formation over the used catalyst after the reaction was investigated by TGA-DTG in air. The results are shown in Figure 13. The rate of coke formation decreases in the following order: 5%Co-5%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 5%Ni-5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5. Therefore, catalysts were deactivated and break down.



Figure 13 Thermo gravimetric profiles of catalysts after 5 hr. of reaction

## 4.1.2 Catalytic activity and selectivity

There are studied catalysts performances for carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction. The catalysts were reduced in  $H_2$  at 500 °C for 1 hr. in fixed bed reactor. Then, the reaction was operated to feed  $CH_4$  mixed with  $CO_2$  flow rate 60 ml/min at 700 °C for 1 atm.

The CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> conversion of catalysts for carbon dioxide reforming of methane reaction were indicated in Figure 14. The catalysts 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 have conversion of methane 74% ,49% and 82%. Additionally, the catalysts have conversion of carbon dioxide 87%, 70% and 83%. Moreover, The H<sub>2</sub> and CO selectivity were demonstrated in Figure 15. The catalysts 5%Ni 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 had high H<sub>2</sub> selectivity 47% in contrast the catalysts has low CO selectivity 53%.



Figure 14 The CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> conversion of catalysts; 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5



Figure 15 The H<sub>2</sub> and CO selectivity of catalysts; 5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni-5%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 5%Co-5%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5

#### 4.2 Study the catalysts with different molar ratio on mix supported.

#### 4.2.1 Characterization of catalyst

#### 4.2.1.1 X-Ray Diffraction

There are XRD patterns of mono metallic and bimetallic catalysts supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 zeolite indicated in Figure 16. The catalysts were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation. Then, there were calcinated under air at 500°C. The XRD patterns fresh mono metallic 10%Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 display pecks of NiO and NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Also, 10%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 shown pecks of CoO and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. As well as, the XRD patterns fresh bimetallic demonstrate pecks NiO , CoO ,Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at 37°, 45°, 59°, 65° and 67°. The mono metallic and bimetallic catalysts have XRD patterns like Figure 4.2[<u>33</u>, <u>34</u>].



Figure 16 The XRD patterns of monometallic and bimetallic catalysts supported on  $\gamma$ -Al\_2O\_3/ZSM-5

#### 4.2.1.2 Nitrogen adsorption-desorption

There are characteristic investigations of mono metallic and bimetallic catalysts by BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller). The specific surface area, pore volume and pore size of the mono metallic (10%Ni, 10%Co) and bimetallic (7.5%Ni 2.5%Co, 5%Ni 5%Co and 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co) on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 support represent at Table 10. For mono metallic and bimetallic have specific surface area 171-204 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The bimetallic catalysts 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has higher specific surface area than other catalysts. Moreover, both the average pore diameter and pore size were no significant difference whole catalysts.

711	S <sub>BET</sub> <sup>a</sup>	Pv	P <sub>s</sub> <sup>c</sup>
Catalysts	(m²/g)	(cm³/g)	(nm)
10%Ni/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	200	0.24	4.8
7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	197	0.22	4.9
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	204	0.23	4.7
2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	171	0.20	5.0
10%Co/ <b>γ</b> - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	188	0.24	4.8

Table 10 Physical properties of bimetallic and monometallic catalysts.

 $S_{BET}^{a}$ : Specific surface area ; calculated by the BET equation.

 $P_v^{b}$  : BJH desorption pore volume.

 $P_{S}^{c}$ : BJH desorption average pore diameter.

Furthermore, the nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of bimetallic7.5%Ni 2.5%Co, 5%Ni 5%Co and 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co) and monometallic (10%Ni, 10%Co) on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 were revealed in Figure 17. Both, bimetallic and mono metallic have characteristic isotherm type IV for micro-mesoporous materials[35].



Figure 17 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms of bimetallic and monometallic catalysts.

# 4.2.1.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Characterizations of bimetallic and mono metallic fresh catalysts were further carried out with SEM. The SEM micrographs shown in Figure 18 the Figure morphology of samples have significant similarity of supports in Figure 7. Additionally, The SEM images of bimetallic and mono metallic catalysts were used time on the stream 5 hr. demonstrate in Figure 19 the surface catalysts were deposited by higher amount of filamentous carbon[<u>36</u>].





Figure 18 The SEM images of (k) 10%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, (l) 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, (m) 2.5%Co 7.5%Ni /  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and (n) 10%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 fresh catalysts.



Figure 19 The SEM images of (o) 10%Ni/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, (p) 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, (q) 2.5%Co 7.5%Ni /  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and (r) 10%Co/  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 used catalysts time on the stream 5 hr.

## 4.2.1.4 Ammonia temperature program desorption (NH3-TPD)

The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles for different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and mono metallic are shown in Figure 20. The profiles are composed of one broad peak at low temperature range of 30 to 500°C refer to weak acid sites. The acid properties of catalysts with different molar ratio on mix supported are also reported in Table 11. The bimetallic 5%Ni 5%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has acidity more than other catalysts.



Figure 20 The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles for different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and monometallic.

Catalysts	Total acid site, (mmol H⁺/g)		
10%Ni/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.96		
7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.87		
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	1.17		
2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.85		
10%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.87		

### 4.2.1.5 Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction ( $H_2$ -TPR)

The  $H_2$ -TPR patterns of bimetallic and mono metallic shown in Figure 21. Both bimetallic and mono metallic have reduction peak rang at 300-600 °C. The peaks are free NiO and CoO species, which have weak interaction with support.



Figure 21 The H2-TPR patterns of bimetallic and mono metallic

# 4.2.1.6 Carbon monoxide chemisorption

The amount CO chemisorption of bimetallic and mono metallic at room temperature is display in Table 12. The reduced catalysts are used to calculate amount of active surface Ni and Co metal. Active sites of catalysts were calculated from amount of carbon monoxide adsorption on catalysts. The bimetallic 5%Ni 5%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has high volume of CO adsorption 0.4 cm<sup>3</sup>/g hence the bimetallic (5%Ni 5%Co) has high active site and high metal dispersion.

Catalysts	volume of CO adsorption (cm³/g)	% Dispersion	Active site (*10 <sup>19</sup> molecul CO/g)
10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	0.40	1.1	1.10
7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.31	0.8	0.84
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.42	1.1	1.12
2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	0.35	0.9	0.93
10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	0.37	1.0	0.99

Table 12 The amount of carbon monoxide adsorbed and dispersion on a catalyst.

# 4.2.1.7 Thermo gravimetric and differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA)

Thermo gravimetric analysis was characterized amount of coke. Figure 22 showed the percent weight loss of spent different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and mono metallic. The results showed the coke decomposition over 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 > 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5.



Figure 22 Thermo gravimetric profiles of different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and monometallic after 5 hr. of reaction.

#### 4.2.2 Catalytic activity and selectivity

There are presented catalysts performances for carbon dioxide reforming of methane over different molar ratio loading of bimetallic and monometallic in Figure 23. The bimetallic 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> 81%. Moreover, it has conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> 96%. Also, the mono metallic 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> 68% and CO<sub>2</sub> 94%. Furthermore, the bimetallic 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> 68% and CO<sub>2</sub> 94%. Furthermore, the bimetallic 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> 66% and CO<sub>2</sub> 85%. As well as, both the bimetallic 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and mono metallic 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 have similarly conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> 58% but conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> 76% and 91%,respectively. Additionally, the selectivity of H<sub>2</sub> and CO all catalysts shown in Figure 24 at the bimetallic 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 has selectivity H<sub>2</sub> 47% and CO 56% more than other catalysts.



Figure 23 The CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> conversion of catalysts; 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5.



Figure 24 The H<sub>2</sub> and CO selectivity of catalysts; 10%Ni/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5, 2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 and 10%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5

The  $H_2$  and CO product yields (%) obtained after 5 h for  $CO_2$  reforming of methane are reported in Table 13 the bimetallic catalysts (5%Ni 5%Co/  $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5) had high  $H_2$  yield of about 34 % and high CO yield 78 % than the other catalysts.

Catalysts	H <sub>2</sub> Yield (%)	CO Yield (%)
5%Ni 5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	34	78
5%Ni - 5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	21	23
5%Co - 5%Ni/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2$ O $_3$ /ZSM-5	31	28
7.5%Ni 2.5%Co/ $\gamma$ -Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	28	28
2.5%Ni 7.5%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5	24	25
10%Ni/ $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	31	28
10%Co/ $\gamma$ - Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /ZSM-5	25	26

Table 13  $\rm H_2$  and CO yield (%) obtained during  $\rm CO_2$  reforming of methane.



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

## 5.1 Conclusions

The CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane was carried out at 700 °C with CO<sub>2</sub>:CH<sub>4</sub> ratio of 50:50. The bimetallic catalysts (5%Ni 5%Co/ Al2O3/ZSM-5) had high H<sub>2</sub> selectivity of about 47% with low CO selectivity of about 53%, high H<sub>2</sub> yield of about 34 %, high CO yield 78 %, high volume of CO adsorption, high active site , high metal dispersion and high stability despite relatively higher coke formation than the other catalysts.

## 5.2 Recommendations

From this experiment, we have expected to improve acidity, quantity of metal loading catalyst for syngas production. Recommendations for the future work are the following:

To determine type of acidity (Bronsted and Lewis) with pyridine desorption and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrophotometer (FTIR) for synthetic catalyst.

To the elements metal with inductively coupled plasma (ICP).

To study interaction of  $Al_2O_3$  cover on ZSM-5 by X-Ray photoelectron spectroscopy.

To provide elemental identification and quantitative compositional information by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX).



- [1] Fan, M.-S., Abdullah, A.Z., and Bhatia, S. Catalytic Technology for Carbon Dioxide Reforming of Methane to Synthesis Gas. ChemCatChem 1(2) (2009): 192-208.
- [2] Taufiq-Yap, Y.H., Sudarno, Rashid, U., and Zainal, Z. CeO2–SiO2 supported nickel catalysts for dry reforming of methane toward syngas production. Applied Catalysis A: General 468(0) (2013): 359-369.
- [3] Tang, M., Xu, L., and Fan, M. Effect of Ce on 5 wt% Ni/ZSM-5 catalysts in the CO2 reforming of CH4 reaction. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 39(28) (2014): 15482-15496.
- [4] Albarazi, A., Beaunier, P., and Da Costa, P. Hydrogen and syngas production by methane dry reforming on SBA-15 supported nickel catalysts: On the effect of promotion by Ce0.75Zr0.25O2 mixed oxide. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 38(1) (2013): 127-139.
- [5] Fakeeha, A.H., Khan, W.U., Al-Fatesh, A.S., and Abasaeed, A.E. Stabilities of zeolite-supported Ni catalysts for dry reforming of methane. Chinese Journal of Catalysis 34(4) (2013): 764-768.
- [6] Edlund, D., LaVen, A., Mace, T., Pledger, W., Studebaker, R., and Wambaugh, D.Steam reforming fuel processor. 2003, Google Patents.
- [7] Halmann, M.M. "Carbon Dioxide Reforming". Chemical fixation of carbon dioxide: methods for recycling CO2 into useful products. (1993).
- [8] Charienseri, S. Combined carbon dioxide reforming and partial oxidation of methane under periodic operation. Chulalongkorn university. Master's Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Fuculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn university. (2007).
- [9] Ozkara-Aydınoglu, S.O., E.; and Aksoylu, A.E. . The effect of impregnation strategy on methane dry reforming activity of Ce promoted Pt/ZrO2. . International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 34 ( (2009): ): 9711–9722.
- [10] Bradford, M.C.J.a.V., M. A. . Filamentous carbon formation caused by catalytic metal particles from iron oxide. Journal of Catalysis (173 (1998): ): 157–171.
- [11] Zhang, J., Wang, H., and Dalai, A.K. Development of stable bimetallic catalysts for carbon dioxide reforming of methane. Journal of Catalysis 249(2) (2007): 300-310.
- [12] Topalidis, A.P., D.E.; Ladavos, A.; Loukatzikou, L.; and Pomonis, P.J. Catalyst Today 127 (2007): 238–245.
- [13] Luengnaruemitchai, A. and Kaengsilalai, A. Activity of different zeolitesupported Ni catalysts for methane reforming with carbon dioxide. Chemical Engineering Journal 144(1) (2008): 96-102.
- [14] Jianguo Zhang, H.W., \* and Ajay K. Dalai. <Kinetic Studies of Carbon Dioxide Reforming of Methane.pdf>. Ind. Eng. Chem. 48 (2009): 677–684.
- [15] San-José-Alonso, D., Juan-Juan, J., Illán-Gómez, M.J., and Román-Martínez, M.C.
  Ni, Co and bimetallic Ni–Co catalysts for the dry reforming of methane. Applied
  Catalysis A: General 371(1-2) (2009): 54-59.
- Zakeri, M., Samimi, A., Khorram, M., Atashi, H., and Mirzaei, A. Effect of forming on selectivity and attrition of co-precipitated Co–Mn Fischer–Tropsch catalysts.
   Powder Technology 200(3) (2010): 164-170.
- [17] Guczi, L., et al. Methane dry reforming with CO2: A study on surface carbon species. Applied Catalysis A: General 375(2) (2010): 236-246.
- [18] Horváth, A., Stefler, G., Geszti, O., Kienneman, A., Pietraszek, A., and Guczi, L. Methane dry reforming with CO2 on CeZr-oxide supported Ni, NiRh and NiCo catalysts prepared by sol-gel technique: Relationship between activity and coke formation. Catalysis Today 169(1) (2011): 102-111.
- [19] Luisetto, I., Tuti, S., and Di Bartolomeo, E. Co and Ni supported on CeO2 as selective bimetallic catalyst for dry reforming of methane. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 37(21) (2012): 15992-15999.
- [20] Sarkar, B., et al. Reforming of methane with CO2 over Ni nanoparticle supported on mesoporous ZSM-5. Catalysis Today 198(1) (2012): 209-214.
- [21] Wongkaew, K., Pancharoen, U., Phatanasri, S., Leepipatpiboon, N., and Lothongkum, A.W. Effect of diluent polarity on membrane stability in the separation of trace Pd(II) from wastewater by HFSLM using LIX84-I. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 21 (2015): 212-220.
- [22] Sharifi, M., Haghighi, M., Rahmani, F., and Karimipour, S. Syngas production via dry reforming of CH4 over Co- and Cu-promoted Ni/Al2O3–ZrO2 nanocatalysts

synthesized via sequential impregnation and sol-gel methods. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 21 (2014): 993-1004.

- [23] Mahoney, E.G., Pusel, J.M., Stagg-Williams, S.M., and Faraji, S. The effects of Pt addition to supported Ni catalysts on dry (CO2) reforming of methane to syngas. Journal of CO2 Utilization 6 (2014): 40-44.
- [24] Alipour, Z., Rezaei, M., and Meshkani, F. Effect of Ni loadings on the activity and coke formation of MgO-modified Ni/Al2O3 nanocatalyst in dry reforming of methane. Journal of Energy Chemistry 23(5) (2014): 633-638.
- [25] E. L. Wu, S.L.L., D. H. Olson, A. C. Rohrman, Jr., and G. T. Kokotailo. ZSM-5-Type Materials. Factors Affecting Crystal Symmetry. Pbysicdl Cbemisfry 83(21) (1979): 2083-2777.
- [26] Hasin1, P., , N.K., and , A.L., \*. <Nickelaluminium complex.pdf>. Science and Technology (2008): 140-149.
- [27] Ruckenstein, E. and Hang Hu, Y. Role of Support in CO2Reforming of CH4to Syngas over Ni Catalysts. Journal of Catalysis 162(2) (1996): 230-238.
- [28] Yousheng Tao, † Hirofumi Kanoh,† Lloyd Abrams,‡ and Katsumi Kaneko\*,†. Mesopore-Modified Zeolites: Preparation, Characterization, and Applications. Chem. Rev. (106) (2006): 896-910.
- [29] Rutkowska, M., Macina, D., Mirocha-Kubień, N., Piwowarska, Z., and Chmielarz,
  L. Hierarchically structured ZSM-5 obtained by desilication as new catalyst for
  DME synthesis from methanol. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 174 (2015):
  336-343.
- [30] Shams-Ghahfarokhi, Z. and Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh, A. As-synthesized ZSM-5 zeolite as a suitable support for increasing the photoactivity of semiconductors in a typical photodegradation process. Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing 39 (2015): 265-275.
- [31] F. Bonnet, F.R., Y. Berthier and P. Marcus. Filamentous carbon formation caused by

catalytic metal particles from iron oxide. Materials and Corrosion 11(54) (2003): 870-880.

- [32] Ruckenstein, E. and Wang, H.Y. Carbon dioxide reforming of methane to synthesis gas over supported cobalt catalysts. Applied Catalysis A: General 204(2) (2000): 257-263.
- [33] Sajjadi, S.M., Haghighi, M., and Rahmani, F. Sol-gel synthesis of Ni-Co/Al2O3-MgO-ZrO2 nanocatalyst used in hydrogen production via reforming of CH4/CO2 greenhouse gases. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering 22 (2015): 9-21.
- [34] Chang, L.M., An, M.Z., Guo, H.F., and Shi, S.Y. Microstructure and properties of Ni–Co/nano-Al2O3 composite coatings by pulse reversal current electrodeposition. Applied Surface Science 253(4) (2006): 2132-2137.
- [35] Rostamizadeh, M. and Taeb, A. Highly selective Me-ZSM-5 catalyst for methanol to propylene (MTP). Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry (2015).
- [36] Pinheiro, A.N., Valentini, A., Sasaki, J.M., and Oliveira, A.C. Highly stable dealuminated zeolite support for the production of hydrogen by dry reforming of methane. Applied Catalysis A: General 355(1) (2009): 156-168.



#### APPENDIX A

#### CALCULATION FOR CATALYST PREPARATION

# 1. Preparation of 10%Ni/Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5 monometallic catalyst by impregnation.

**Example:** Preparation of the 10%Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalyst

<u>Reagent</u> :	Ni(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6	5H <sub>2</sub> O, Molecular weight	= 292 g/mol
	Ni, Molec	ular weight	= 59 g/mol
At 1 gram catalyst, consisted of: Alumina = 0			= 0.9 g
		Cobalt	= 0.1 g
<u>Calculati</u>	<u>on</u> :		
Ν	li(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	= (0.1×292)/59	=0.4949 g

The 10%Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalyst was prepared from the 0.4949 g of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O impregnated on 0.9 g of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 supported.

# 2. Preparation of Ni-Co/ $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5 bimetallic catalyst by coimpregnation

Preparation of Ni-Co/Al $_2O_3$ /ZSM-5 bimetallic catalysts by co-impregnation method with various nickel-cobalt contents were 5% 5% that showed as follows:

**Example:** Preparation of the 5%Ni 5%Co/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5 catalyst.

<u>Reagent</u> :	Ni(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O, Molecular weight	= 292 g/mol
	Ni, Molecular weight	= 59 g/mol
	Co(No3)2.6H2O, Molecular weight	= 292 g/mol
	Co, Molecular weight	= 59 g/mol
At 1 gram cata	= 0.9 g	
	Nickel	= 0.05 g
	Cobalt	= 0.05 g
<u>Calculation</u> :		
Ni(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	= (0.05×292)/59	= 0.2475 g
Co(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> C	) = (0.05×292)/59	= 0.2475 g

The 5%Ni 5%Co/  $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5 catalyst bimetallic catalyst was prepared from the 0.2475 g of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.2475 g of Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O impregnated on 0.9 g of  $Al_2O_3/ZSM$ -5 supported.

#### APPENDIX B

#### CALIBRATION CURVES

This appendix shows the calibration curves for calculation of composition of products and reactants in carbon dioxide reforming of methane over  $Al_2O_3/ZSM-5$  supported. The main products of this reaction are carbon monoxide and hydrogen.

The Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD), gas chromatography Shimadzu model 8A was used to analyze the concentration of products and reactants by using molecular sieve 5A and porapack-Q column.

Mole of reagent in y-axis and area reported by gas chromatography in x-axis are exhibited in the curves. The calibration curves of methane, carbon dioxide, hydrogen and carbon monoxide are illustrated in Figure C1-C4, respectively.



Figure B1 The calibration curve of methane.



Figure B2 The calibration curve of carbon dioxide.



Figure B3 The calibration curve of hydrogen.



Figure B4 The calibration curve of carbon monoxide.



## APPENDIX C

# DATA FOR CALCULATION OF ACID SITE

The total acid site of prepared catalyst is calculated as follows.

**Calculation** 

Total acidity is calculated from the NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles as the following step.

The NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD profiles:



- The mole of  $NH_3$  was calculated from the calibration curve of  $NH_3$  as formula:

The mole of  $NH_3$  of the sample = 0.294×A mmole.

- Amount of sample = B g.

จุหาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

The total acidity of sample =  $\frac{The mmole of NH3 of the sample}{Amount of dry catalyst}$ 

= (0.294×A)/B mmol  $NH_3$ /g catalyst



C1 The calibration curve of ammonia from Micromeritics Chemisorp 2750



จุฬาลงกรณีมหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

#### APPENDIX D

#### CALCULATION FOR METAL ACTIVE SITES AND DISPERSION

Calculation of the total CO chemisorption and metal dispersion of the catalyst, a stoichiometry of CO/Ni = 1 and CO/Co = 1. The calculation procedure is as follows:

**Example:** Calculation of the total CO chemisorption of 10%Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ZSM-5

$S_f$	=stoichiometry factor CO:Ni	= 1
$V_{ads}$	=volume adsorbed	= 0.62 cm <sup>3</sup> /g
Vg	= molar volume of gas at STP	= 22414 cm³/mol
m.w.	=molecular weight of metal = Ni	= 59 g/mol
%M	=%metal	= 10%Ni
V <sub>injet</sub>	=volume injected	$= 0.02 \text{ cm}^3$
Μ	=mass of sample	= 0.1 g

% Metal Dispersion (%D)	$= S_{f}^{*}(V_{ads}/V_{g})^{*}(m.w/\%M)^{*}100\%^{*}100\%$	
	= 1*(0.62 /22414)*(58.6934/10)*100*100 =1.6	
Active site (molecule of CO/g)	$= S_{f}^{*}(V_{ads}/V_{g})^{*}(6.02^{*}10^{23})$	
	=1*(0.62/22414)*(6.02*10 <sup>23</sup> )	
= 1.66*10 <sup>19</sup> molecul CO/g		

## APPENDIX E

#### CALCULATION FOR CONVERSION SELECTIVITY AND YIELD

The conversions of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  are defined in Equation (F.1) and (F.2) and the selectivity of  $H_2$  and CO are defined in Equation (F.3) and (F.4), respectively

$$X_{CH_4} = \frac{[CH_4]_{in} - [CH_4]_{out}}{[CH_4]_{in}} \times 100$$
(Eq.F.1)

$$X_{CO_2} = \frac{[CO_2]_{in} - [CO_2]_{out}}{[CO_2]_{in}} \times 100$$
(Eq.F.2)

$$S_{H_2} = \frac{[H_2]_{out}}{[H_2]_{out} + [CO]_{out}} \times 100$$
(Eq.F.3)

$$S_{CO} = \frac{[CO]_{out}}{[H_2]_{out} + [CO]_{out}} \times 100$$
 (Eq.F.4)

CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY

.

$$Y_{H_2} = \frac{[C_{H_2}]_{out}}{2[C_{CH_4}]_{in}} \times 100$$
(Eq.F.5)

$$Y_{CO} = \frac{[C_{CO}]_{out}}{[C_{CH_4}]_{in} + [C_{CO}]_{in}} \times 100$$
(Eq.F.6)

#### APPENDIX G

#### LIST OF PUBLICATION

Sutarat Thongratkeaw<sup>1</sup>, Suphot Phatanasri<sup>2</sup>\* "COMPARISON OF MONO METALLIC AND BIMETALLICS Co, Ni CATALYSTS FOR CO<sub>2</sub> REFORMING OF METHANE" The Thai Institute of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry (TIChE) Conference International 2014

"Changes: Cleaner Energy, Leaner Processes, Better Living"

December 18 - 19, 2014, Furama Hotel, Chiang Mai, Thailan

จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University

#### VITA

Miss. Sutarat Thongratkeaw was born on May 25th, 1990 in Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand. She finished high school from Satri Wat Rakhang School in 2009, and received the bachelor's degree of Chemical Engineering from Faculty of Engineer, Burapha University in 2013. She continued her master's study at Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University in June, 2014.



จุฬาลงกรณีมหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University



จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย Chulalongkorn University