## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH RESULTS

This chapter describes the results of the study in 3 parts. The first part addresses general characteristics of first and second year medical students in Thaibinh Medical University. General dental status of these subjects is included in this part. Descriptive data of oral hygiene practice, fluoride supplements, eating habits and perception of oral health problem are presented in part 2. The third part presents associations between dental caries, as measured by DMFT score (continuous variable) and DMFT category (presence or absence of non-zero DMFT), and general characteristics, oral hygiene practice, fluoride supplements, eating habits and perception of oral health. It was originally proposed to evaluate tobacco smoking. However, very few people smoked (4\%), and a substantial percentage, $20.3 \%$ could not estimate how much time a day they exposed to tobacco smoke. Therefore, effects of smoking could not be analyzed with confidence.

## 1. General characteristics of the medical students in the first and second academic years

General subject characteristics are presented in table 4. A total of 365 medical students at first year (67.4\%) and second year (32.6\%) in Thaibinh Medical University received dental examination and were interviewed. $55.1 \%$ of respondents were male, and $44.9 \%$ were female.

Table 4: General characteristic of subjects

| Characteristics | Number of subjects (\%) <br> or Mean $\pm$ SD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Academic year ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ): |  |
| First year | 246 (67.4) |
| Second year | 119 (32.6) |
| Age |  |
| 17-19 | 266(72.9) |
| 20-24 | 97(26.6) |
| Gender ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ): | 201 (55.1) |
| Male | 164 (44.9) |
| Female |  |
| Nationality ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ): |  |
| Vietnamese | 329 (90.1) |
| Non-Vietnamese | 36 (9.9) |
| Residence before admitted to university ( $\mathrm{n}=358$ ): |  |
| Rural area | 259 (72.3) |
| Urban area | 99 (27.7) |
| Current residence while at university ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ): |  |
| Outside campus | 216 (59.3) |
| On campus | 148 (40.7) |
| Monthly expenditure (VND/in thousand) | $753.35 \pm 313.86$ |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=361$ ) |  |
| < 500,000 VND/month | 91 (25.2) |
| $\geq 500,00 \mathrm{VND} /$ month | 270(74.8) |
| Perception of monthly expenditure: $(\mathrm{n}=361)$ |  |
| Satisfied | 238 (65.9) |
| Unsatisfied | 123 (34.1) |

Most of participants were Vietnamese (90.1\%), only few of them (9.9\%) come from Lao and Cambodia. Mean of monthly expenditure of all participants was 753.35 thousand VND, higher than minimum wage established by Vietnam government in 2006, which was 500 thousand VNDs per month (one thousand VNDs is about 0.062 USD, approximates 2 Thai Bath). Even so, about $25 \%$ of subjects spent less than 500 thousand VND per month. There were about two thirds of participants satisfied with amount of money they have to spend every month. $59.3 \%$ of the students were living outside campus at the time of study.

Table 5: Parental occupation and education

| Parental occupation and education | Fathers (\%) | Mothers (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | $\mathrm{n}=361$ | $\mathrm{n}=364$ |
| Farmer | 210 (58.2) | 236 (64.8) |
| Government employee | 45 (12.5) | 33 (9.1) |
| Private business | 32 (8.9) | 38 (10.4) |
| General worker | 25 (6.9) | - |
| Teacher | 17 (4.7) | 27 (7.4) |
| Other jobs | 32 (8.9) | 30 (8.2) (includes general worker) |
| Education | $=360$ | $\mathrm{n}=362$ |
| Primary or no education | 120 (33.3)(inc | 29 (8.0) |
|  | secondary) |  |
| Secondary |  | 130 (35.9) |
| High school | $146(40.6)$ | 133 (36.7) |
| Occupation training | 31 (8.6) | 25 (6.9) |
| College or higher | 63 (17.5) | 45 (12.4) |

As shown in table 5, more than half of students have father or mother or both being farmers. $7.4 \%$ of mothers were teachers and only $4.7 \%$ of fathers were teachers. As for government employee which did not include teacher, 45 fathers (12.5\%) and 33 mothers ( $9.1 \%$ ) were taking these positions. Private business, general worker and other jobs take not over one-fourth of the total parental occupations. Table 5 also shows that most of parents' education are high school level or lower. Fathers and
mothers who had occupational training account for 31 (8.6\%) and 25 (6.9\%), respectively. There are more fathers (17.5\%) having college or higher degrees than mothers (12.4\%).

Table 6: Dental status of the subjects

| Dental status $(\mathrm{n}=365)$ | Frequency $(\%)^{*}$ | Mean score $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unerupted wisdom teeth |  |  |
| Percentage of population with no erupted teeth |  | $2.48 \pm 1.62$ |
| Percentage of population with at least one erupted |  |  |
| wisdom teeth | 191 (52.3) |  |
| DMFT | 257 (70.4) | $2.28 \pm 2.18$ |
| DT | 254 (69.6) | $2.16 \pm 2.09$ |
| MT | 17 (4.7) | $0.07 \pm 0.36$ |
| FT | 8 (2.2) | $0.05 \pm 0.46$ |

*prevalence of non-zero measurements only, for example 2.2 is prevalence of $F T>0$
Table 6 shows the students' tooth status. There was 47.7 percent of this population with no erupted teeth. The number of visible wisdom teeth in the rest of the subjects varied from 1 to 4 . In view of these findings, wisdom teeth were not considered in data analysis. Dental caries prevalence was assumed as the proportion of individuals with DMFT $>0$. As revealed in table 6, dental caries affected 70.4\% ( 257 subjects) of population, in which $69.6 \%$ of population with untreated decayed teeth (represented by DT). Mean number of DMFT in this population was 2.28 , in which mean number of $D$ component, $M$ component was 2.16 and 0.07 , respectively.

F, the last component of DMFT, which summarizes treatment for decay, had a mean of only 0.05 .

Table 7: Tests of Normality of DMFT



Figure 3: Histogram of DMFT score
2. Oral hygiene practice, fluoride supplement, eating habits and perception of oral health problem

### 2.1 Descriptive data of oral hygiene practice:

Brushing behaviors of medical students in this study were measured by questionnaire with 4 items: frequency of brushing, frequency of changing toothbrush, forgetting to brush for 7 or more days and brushing model. These three first behaviors are shown in table 8. Most of the students brushed their teeth twice or more a week ( $83.5 \%$ ). Only 31 students used their brush until it broke or wore out, accounts for $8.1 \% .6 .8 \%$ reported they had ever forgotten to brush their teeth for 7 days. All participants brushed their teeth everyday. Thus, the proportion was $100 \%$ not presented in the table.

Table 8: General oral hygiene practice of medical students

| Brushing behaviors | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of brushing per day ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  |
| once or less | 60 | 16.5 |
| twice or more | 304 | 83.5 |
| Toothbrush change ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| once 3 month | 273 | 74.8 |
| once 6 month | 61 | 16.7 |
| breaks or wears |  | 8.5 |
| Ever forgot to brush ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| never | 340 | 93.2 |
| ever | $25$ | 6.8 |

$7.1 \%$ of subjects reported that they had no regular brushing schedule, followed by brushing after breakfast with $11.6 \%$. About 80 percent brushed after getting up and around 72 percent brushed before going to bed. However, in the population of the research, there was not more than 12 percent brushing their teeth after breakfast (table 9).

Table 9: Time of brushing

| Time of brushing | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brushing, no regular schedule ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  |
| No | 338 | 92.9 |
| Yes | 26 | 7.1 |
| Brush after getting up ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  |
| No | 74 | 20.3 |
| Yes |  | 79.7 |
| Brush after breakfast ( $n=363$ ) |  |  |
| No | 321 | 88.4 |
| Yes | 42 | 11.6 |
| Brush before going to bed ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  |
| No | 99 | 27.2 |
| Yes | 265 | 72.8 |

More than half of the students surveyed said that they had ever visited a dentist, specifically 207 in total of 365 people. $40.3 \%$ had never gone to see dentist and only very few (3.0) said they did not remember whether they had done or not. In 207 people who had visited dentist, there were over one third had their most recent visits more than two years ago. 35 people ( $16.9 \%$ ) had most recent dental visit between last year and the year after last year. Nearly half of these 207 students reported they had most recent dental exam last year. (See table 10 below).

Table 10: Visits to dentist by subjects

|  | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Visit history ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| ever | 207 | 56.7 |
| never | 147 | 40.3 |
| don't remember | 11 | 3.0 |
| Most recent visit (*) |  |  |
| less than 6 months |  | 22.2 |
| 6 months to 1 year | 55 | 26.6 |
| 1 year to 2 years | 35 | 16.9 |
| more than 2 years | 71 | 34.3 |

(*) in total of 207 those who ever visited dentist
As for reasons for going to dentist, extraction, scaling and pain were the three most frequent reasons; followed by filling with $19.8 \%$ reported, as shown in table 11. Each of these three reasons counted for $21.3 \%$ of total reasons for dental visiting in sample of 207 medical students who had ever gone to see dentist. There were $14.0 \%$ said that they did not remember why they had to see dentist as shown in table 11. Our analysis revealed that all students who did not remember the reason for dental visiting had the most recent visit more than 2 years ago (data not shown).

Table 11: Reasons for going to dentist (may choose more than 1)

| Reasons (n=207) | Frequency | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Extraction | 44 | 21.3 |
| Scaling | 44 | 21.3 |
| Pain | 44 | 21.3 |
| Filling | 41 | 19.8 |
| Checkup | 28 | 13.5 |
| Bleeding | 17 |  |
| Other treatments | 29 | 8.2 |
| Do not remember reason | 7.2 |  |

### 2.2 Description of fluoride supplements

Regarding to fluoride supplements in medical students in Thaibinh Medical University, some types of fluoride supplements were listed to ask. These included fluoride mouth rinse, fluoride gel and other source of fluoride supplement such as fluoride vitamin or fluoride tablet. Fluoride in tooth paste was not included in these questions. The "yes" answers for these questions related to using fluoride never exceeded $50 \%$ of the whole study sample. $43.3 \%$ reported that they had ever used fluoride mouth rinse. 20.9\% said that they had ever used fluoride gel and only $11.2 \%$ of sample answered they had ever used other sources of fluoride supplements. 196 students (53.7\%) said that they had ever used at least one type of fluoride supplement (table 12).

Table 12: Fluoride supplementation in medical students by fluoride source

| Fluoride supplements | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ever used Fluoride mouth rinse ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| yes | 158 | 43.3 |
| never | 183 | 50.1 |
| don't remember | 24 | 6.6 |
| Ever used Fluoride gel ( $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) |  |  |
| yes |  | 20.9 |
| never | 253 | 69.7 |
| don't remember | 34 | 9.4 |
| Ever used other Fluoride sources ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| yes | 41 | 11.2 |
| never | 272 | 74.5 |
| don't remember |  | 14.2 |
| Ever used any Fluoride supplement | $196$ | 53.7 |

We summed all fluoride supplements in Thaibinh medical students to see how many sources of supplement the students could access. This data is presented in table 13. The very few of students supplement their teeth with fluoride from all source ( $3.8 \%$ ). Otherwise, the figure for those who never used any type of fluoride supplement was quite high with 46.3 percent; follow by 35.9 percent of those who had ever used only one source of fluoride supplement. Among subjects who had ever used fluoride supplements, more than half were not using them at the time of survey (53.6\%). Some people were using two or three types of fluoride at that time with $7.7 \%$
for the former and $3.1 \%$ for the latter. There were around 36 percent using one source of fluoride supplement when data were collected.

Table 13: Number of fluoride supplement in medical students

| Fluoride supplements | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ever used fluoride ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |
| Never | 169 | 46.3 |
| Used one type | 131 | 35.9 |
| Used two types | 51 | 14.0 |
| Used all |  | 3.8 |
| Using fluoride at present ( $\mathrm{n}=196$ ) |  |  |
| Not using now |  | 53.6 |
| Using one type | 70 | 35.7 |
| Using two type | 15 | 7.7 |
| Using all | 6 | 3.1 |

### 2.3 Description of eating habits

For the questions related to food intake, we gave three score for three level of intake. 1, 2, 3 score were respectively given to food which was never or rarely taken ( $0-10 \%$ of days such food is taken), sometimes taken ( $10-50 \%$ of days such food is taken) or often taken (more than $50 \%$ of days such food is taken). To get the total score for each food item I summed all score of each food items given by each individual in the whole sample. It means that for each food the lowest score might be 365 and the highest score might be $3 \times 365=1095$. Thus, the score closes to the
lowest score means the food is rarely consumed. Inversely, the food had score closes to the highest score is most frequent consumed. Then, we divided total of 32 food items into 6 groups, namely protein, grain, vegetable, fruit, beverages and snack. Protein group consisted of beef, pork, chicken, egg, tofu, and fish. Grain group included rice, bread, and noodles. Vegetable group was carrot, morning-glory, cabbage, tomato, and other vegetable. Fruit group included banana, orange, pineapple, and other fruits. In beverage group there were soft carbonated drinks, fruit juice, and yoghurt drinks. Snack group consisted snacks, cookies, cake, candy, chocolate and gel. Sweetened milk and unsweetened milk has documented having inverse role in causing dental caries. Hence, these two kinds of milk were not combined. Sweetened milk, snack and beverage were put together in one new group namely "unhealthy food" according to the classification by Le, T.H. (Le, 2002). Other foods included protein, grain, vegetable, fruit, and unsweetened milk were considered as healthy food. To get the score for each food group, we summed all score of each food item in the group. Table 14 shows mean score and adjusted mean of each food group. Adjusted means were calculated by dividing mean of each food group by number of its food item. Among 8 food groups (include unsweetened and sweetened milk) grain was the most frequently consumed, followed by other healthy food group that were protein, vegetable and fruit. Beverage and snacks and unsweetened milk were at the end of the list of intake frequency of 7 food groups. In comparison, unhealthy food was less consumed than healthy food with adjusted mean $=1.49$ comparing with 1.95 .

Table 14: Food intake in medical students

| Food group | Number of food items | Range score | Mean | Adjusted mean* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) | 3 | 3-9 | 6.66 | 2.22 |
| Protein ( $\mathrm{n}=362$ ) | 6 | 6-18 | 12.94 | 2.16 |
| Vegetable ( $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) | 6 | 6-18 | 11.58 | 1.93 |
| Fruit ( $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) | 5 | 5-15 | 8.83 | 1.77 |
| Unsweetened Milk ( $\mathrm{n}=362$ ) | 1 117 | 1-3 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| Sweetened milk (365) | 1 | 1-3 | 1.64 | 1.64 |
| Beverage ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  | 3-9 | 4.54 | 1.51 |
| Snack ( $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) |  | 6-18 | 8.90 | 1.48 |
| Unhealthy food ( $\mathrm{n}=363$ ) |  | $9-27$ | 13.42 | 1.49 |
| Healthy food ( $\mathrm{n}=360$ ) | 22 | 26-66 | 43.05 | 1.95 |

[^0]Table 15: Intake of individual unhealthy food items

| Order | Food items | Total score in | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean score* |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | whole sample |  |  |
| 1. | Candy | 602 | 364 | 1.65 |
| 2. | Sweetened milk | 599 | 365 | 1.64 |
| 3. | Snack | 582 | 365 | 1.59 |
| 4. | Cookies | 567 | 363 | 1.56 |
| 5. | Fruit juice | 530 | 365 | 1.45 |
| 6. | Soft drink | 523 | 365 | 1.43 |
| 7. | Cake | 509 | 364 | 1.40 |
| 8. | Gel | 498 | 364 | 1.37 |
| 9. | Chocolate | 483 | 364 | 1.33 |

* mean score $=$ total score $/ N$

Table 15 shows the total score of each unhealthy food items in order of descending of intake level. Candy was consumed most frequently and chocolate was consumed least frequently. However, there were not much differences of food intake within the unhealthy food group.

Table 16: Tests of normal distribution for food variables

|  | Kolmogorov-Smirnov (a) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food group | Statistic | df | p-value |
| Protein score | .101 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Grain score | .161 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Vegetable score | .119 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Fruit score | .100 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Beverage score | .201 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Snack score | .407 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Unsweetened milk score | .293 | 362 | $<.001$ |
| Sweetened milk score | .138 | 359 | $<.001$ |
| Unhealthy food score | .056 | 359 | .008 |
| Healthy food score |  |  | 30.001 |

(a) Lilliefors Significance Correction

Tests of normality for food variables are given in table 16. The table shows that none of food variables were distributed normally. Therefore, the analysis used non-parametric tests to test the associations between these variables and DMFT.

### 2.4 Description of perceived oral health problems

Table 17: Perception of oral health problem

| Perception of oral health problem |  | Number of subjects (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pain $\mathrm{n}=354$ |  |  |
|  | Present | 54 (15.3) |
|  | Past only | 202 (57.1) |
|  | Never | 98 (27.7) |
| Chewing $\mathrm{n}=352$ |  |  |
|  | Present | 26(7.4) |
|  | Past only | 125 (35.5) |
|  | Never | 201 (57.1) |
| Smiling $\mathrm{n}=356$ |  |  |
|  | Present | 48 (13.5) |
|  | Past only | 33(9.3) |
|  | Never | 275 (77.2) |
| Communication$\mathrm{n}=353$ |  |  |
|  | Present | 32 (9.1) |
|  | Past only | 23 (6.5) |
|  | Never | 298 (84.4) |
| Tooth color |  |  |
| $\mathrm{n}=354$ | Present | 109 (30.8) |
|  | Past only | 35 (9.9) |
|  | Never ชาลง | 210 (59.3) |
| Tooth damage$\mathrm{n}=355$ | GHULALO |  |
|  | Present | 95 (26.8) |
|  | Past only | 61 (17.2) |
|  | Never | 199 (56.1) |
| Bad odor |  |  |
| $\mathrm{n}=354$ | Present | 62 (17.5) |
|  | Past only | 71 (20.1) |
|  | Never | 221 (62.4) |
| School absence |  |  |
| $\mathrm{n}=356$ | Present | 8 (2.2) |
|  | Past only | 16 (4.5) |
|  | Never | 332 (93.3) |

$72.4 \%$ of population reported they have ever had oral pain, in which 54 people said they were suffering from such symptom, as shown in table 17. Following pain problem were chewing, bad odor and tooth damage with $35.5 \%, 20.1 \%$ and $17.2 \%$ respectively of population had it in the past. Smiling and tooth color hold the similar frequency with $9.3 \%$ for the former and $9.9 \%$ for the latter. School absence is reported as lowest frequency for both past (4.5\%) and at present (2.2\%).
3. Relationship between dental caries and general characteristics, oral hygiene practice, fluoride supplements, eating habits and perception of oral health problem

The DMFT score variable and group food scores are continuous variable with non-normal distribution as indicated in previous tables. Thus, the relationships between DMFT and general characteristic, oral hygiene practice, fluoride supplement, eating habits and perception of oral health problem were examined by non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, Kruskal-Wallis test or Spearman correlation. Chi- square test was used to determine the association between DMFT category and the examined factors. The level of significance for relationships among these variables was set at $\alpha=0.05$. Table 18 shows the relationship between DMFT score and general characteristics. Significantly higher mean ranks of DMFT can be seen in second years, in older subjects, in females, in non-Vietnamese, in those whose hometown was urban area and in those who was living on campus at the time of survey. Only monthly expenditure showed no significant between higher and lower expense group.

Table 18: Relationship between general characteristics and DMFT score

|  | N | Mean Rank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mann-Whitney } \mathrm{U} \\ & \text { Z (p-value) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic year ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |  |
| First year | 246 | 171.09 | -3.162 (.002) |
| Second year | 119 | 207.63 |  |
| Age |  |  |  |
| 17-19 | 266 | 174.35 | -2.345 (.019) |
| 20-24 | 97 | 202.98 |  |
| Gender ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  |  |  |
| Male |  | 172.90 | -2.065 (.039) |
| Female |  | $195.38$ |  |
| Nationality ( $\mathrm{n}=365$ ) |  | $\frac{2 x}{2 x}=9$ |  |
| Vietnamese |  | 177.72 | -2.948 (.003) |
| Non-vietnamese |  | 231.28 |  |
| Hometown ( $n=364$ ) จุาลงกรถ์มหาวิทยาลัย |  |  |  |
| Rural area CHUL | 259 | 172.81 ERSITY | -2.018 (.044) |
| Urban area | 99 | 197.00 |  |
| Recent residence ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  |  |
| Outside campus | 216 | 173.02 | -2.117 (.034) |
| On campus | 148 | 196.33 |  |
| Monthly expenditure ( $\mathrm{n}=361$ ) |  |  |  |
| $<500,000 \mathrm{VND} /$ month | 91 | 169.04 | -1.289 (.198) |
| $\geq 500,00 \mathrm{VND} /$ month | 270 | 185.03 |  |

Table 19: Relationship between DMFT score and parental occupation and education


## * Kruskal-Wallis test

For parent occupation, the table mentioned that the higher DMFT, the better occupation of parents. The highest DMFT score can be seen in subjects whose parent were private businessman and lowest DMFT score was in subjects whose parent were
famer (exclude jobs which was not specified). The association was strongly significant in mother occupation.

Parent education shows the inverse association with dental caries. Among students whose father was more educated had more teeth affected by caries. No educated mothers or high educated mothers were more likely to have children affected by caries than those whose schooling was secondary or high school. The lowest DMFT score was in those whose mother education was secondary or high school level.

Table 20: Relationship between brushing behavior and DMFT category

| Brushing behavior | DMFT category |  | Pearson Chi-Square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMFT $=0$ | DMFT > 0 | Value (d) | p-value |
| Brushing frequency per $(\mathrm{n}=364)$ | r day | ? ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | . 004 (1) | . 951 |
| Once or less | ( $18(30.0)$ | 42 (70.0) |  | $\mathrm{OR}=1.01$ |
| Twice or more | 90 (29.6) | 214 (70.4) | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(0$ | (0.55-1.86) |
| Changing toothbrush $(\mathrm{n}=365)$ | าลงกรณม | ทยาลัย | . 614 (2) | . 736 |
| Once 3 month | AL 80 (29.3) | 193 (70.7) |  |  |
| Once 6 month | 17 (27.9) | 44 (72.1) |  |  |
| breaks or wears out | 11 (35.5) | 20 (64.5) |  |  |
| Ever forgot to brush $(\mathrm{n}=365)$ |  |  | . 529 (1) | . 467 |
| Ever | 9 (36.0) | 16 (64.0) |  | $\mathrm{OR}=0.73$ |
| Never | 99 (29.1) | 241 (70.9) | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=$ | (0.31-1.70) |

Table 20 showed the relationship between brushing behavior and DMFT category. It was shown that there was no significant association between frequency of
brushing and DMFT category $(\mathrm{p}$-value $=0.951)$. The proportion of non-zero DMFT among those who brushed their teeth twice or more than twice per day was not too much difference from this figure among those who did not (70.4\% compare within 70.4\%). Similarly, frequency of changing toothbrush and ever forgetting to brush were not associated with DMFT category.

Table 21: Relationship between brushing time and DMFT category

| Brushing time | DMFT category | Pearson Chi-Square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMFT $=0$ DMFT $>0$ | Value(df) p-value |
| Brush, no regular schedule ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  | 4.302 (1) . 038 |
| Yes | $3(11.5) \quad 23$ (88.5) | $\mathrm{OR}=3.40$ |
| No | $104(30.8) \quad 234(69.2)$ | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=(1.01-11.60)$ |
| brush after getting up $(n=364)$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | 4.912 (1) . 027 |
| Yes | ( 93 (32.1) 197 (67.9) | $\mathrm{OR}=0.49$ |
| No | 14 (18.9) 60 (81.1) | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=(0.26-0.93)$ |

## Brush after breakfast

( $\mathrm{n}=363$ )

Yes
No
Bush before going to
bed ( $n=364$ )
Yes
No

79 (29.8) 186 (70.2)
734 (1)392

| Yes | 10 (23.8) | 32 (76.2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OR}=1.38 \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=(0.65-2.93) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 97 (30.2) | 224 (69.8) |  |  |
| Bush before going to bed ( $\mathrm{n}=364$ ) |  |  | . 081 (1) | . 776 |
| Yes | 79 (29.8) | 186 (70.2) | $\mathrm{OR}=0.92$ |  |
| No | 28 (28.3) | 71 (71.7) | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=(0.55-1.54)$ |  |

There was significant association between unfixed brushing behavior, brushing after getting and DMFT category ( p -value $=0.38$ for the former and p -value $=0.27$ for the latter) as shown in table 21 . In those who did not brush their teeth
regularly, the prevalence of non-zero DMFT score was higher than those who did. Students in "brush after getting up" group had lower non-zero DMFT score prevalence than "not brush after getting up" group. Brushing at other times of day was not significantly associated with this index ( $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.392$ ).

Table 22: Relationship between fluoride use and untreated decay (DT)


Table 23: relationship between fluoride use and DMFT category

| Ever used any | DMFT category |  |  | Pearson Chi-Square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Value(df) p-value |  |
| fluoride | DMFT $\pm$ SD | DMFT $=0$ | DMFT $>0$ |  |  |
| Never | $2.13 \pm 2.208$ | 58 (34.3) | 111 (65.7) | 3.38 (1) | . 066 |
| Ever | $2.41 \pm 2.167$ | 50 (25.5) | 146 (74.5) | $\mathrm{OR}=1.52$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 95\%CI | (.97-2.39) |

Tables 22 and 23 show the relationship between using any fluoride and dental caries. In table 22, the association was determined between untreated decay (represented by non-zero DT score or DT score $>0$ ) and fluoride use. P -value $=0.05$ revealed the marginal significant difference between prevalence of untreated decay
teeth in those who had never used any type of fluoride supplement and in those who had ever used. The difference between prevalence of non-zero DMFT score in "never used" group and "ever used" group was not significant with p-value $=.066$ (table 23. OR in these two tests were higher than 1 showing the association between DMFT category and fluoride supplement but $95 \%$ confidence interval of OR in these two cases included 1 confirming the associations not being significant. However, the direction of the association goes in the way that prevalence of non-zero DMFT score was higher in subjects who had used fluoride than in those who had not.

When looking at relationship between DMFT score and each fluoride supplements, there was also no significant association. The association went in the same way for all three supplements of fluoride that DMFT score was higher in those who ever used and lower in those who never used or did not remember ever used or not (table 24).

Table 24: Relationship between each type of fluoride supplement with DMFT score

| Fluoride supplements | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean rank of DMFT | K-W test p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fluoride mouth rinse | 158 |  |  |
| Yes | 183 | 185.84 | .364 |
| Never | 24 | 177.33 |  |
| Don't remember |  | 207.56 |  |
| Fluoride gel |  |  |  |
| Yes | 76 | 183.51 | .857 |
| Never | 253 | 182.80 |  |
| Don't remember | 34 |  |  |
| Fluoride from other source |  | 210.27 | .187 |
| Yes | 41 | 180.52 |  |
| Never | 272 | 174.48 |  |
| Don't remember | 52 |  |  |

Table 25: Relationship between fluoride supplement parent occupations

| Parent occupation | Fluoride supplement | Chi-square |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Never | Ever | (p-value) |

The relationship between fluoride use and parents' occupation is shown in table 25. The table states that subjects whose mother's occupation was high income job had had more access to fluoride than those whose mother's occupation was not. The association is almost significant with p-value $=.056$.

Table 26: Relationship between fluoride supplement and parent education


Table 26 shows the relationship between fluoride supplement and parent education. The prevalence of using fluoride among students whose mother was highly educated was significantly higher than among students whose mother was not. This trend was not as strong in relation father's education.

Table 27: Spearman correlations of DMFT score with food intake variables

| Food groups | Correlation Coefficient (p-value) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Protein score $(\mathrm{n}=362)$ | $-.012(.823)$ |
| Grain score $(\mathrm{n}=364)$ | $.055(.295)$ |
| Vegetable score $(\mathrm{n}=363)$ | $-.015(.770)$ |
| Fruit score $(\mathrm{n}=363)$ | $.111(.034)$ |
| Beverage score $(\mathrm{n}=364)$ | $.056(.285)$ |
| Snack score $(\mathrm{n}=363)$ | $.096(.068)$ |
| Unsweetened milk score $(\mathrm{n}=362)$ | $.076(.150)$ |
| Sweetened milk score $(\mathrm{n}=365)$ | $.146(.005)$ |
| Unhealthy food score $(\mathrm{n}=363)$ | $.109(.039)$ |
| Healthy food score $(\mathrm{n}=360)$ | $.044(.403)$ |

Spearman correlations of food intake-related scores with DMFT score are given in table 27. This table consisted two parts. The first part listed 8 separate food groups in association with DMFT score and second part looked at the association between DMFT score and the group of unhealthy foods, which include beverage, snack and sweetened milk, and between DMFT score and healthy foods, which include the rest of 31 foods listed in the survey. Protein and vegetables were negatively correlated with DMFT score and these correlations were not significant. 5 of 8 food groups were positively correlated with DMFT score in which fruit, which was treated as healthy food but showed significant positive correlation (p-value $=$ $.034)$, sweetened milk showed highly significant correlation $(\mathrm{p}$-value $=.005)$. Snack score was almost significant with p-value $=.068$. The overall score for unhealthy
foods was positively and significantly correlated with DMFT score $(=0.039)$. The healthy food score was not significantly correlated with DMFT score.

Then we used DMFT category to further assess the relationship between this measurement and food intake and we found that only sweetened milk and unhealthy food showed the significant association with DMFT category, p -value $=0.011$ and 0.03 , respectively (table 28 ). Other food groups were not significant at all.

Table 28: Relationship between DMFT category and food intake variables

| DMFT category | $\mathbf{N}$ | Mean Rank | Mann-Whitney Z (p-value) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protein score |  |  | $-.069(.945)$ |


| $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 6 2})$ | DMFT $=0$ | 107 | 182.07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DMFT $>0$ | 255 | 181.26 |

Grain score -1.352 (.176)
$\mathbf{( n = 3 6 4 )} \quad$ DMFT $=0 \quad 107 \quad 171.29$

DMFT > $0 \quad 257 \quad 187.17$

## Vegetable score

-.299 (.765)
( $\mathrm{n}=363$ )
DMFT $=0 \quad 107$
184.52
DMFT > 0256
180.95

## Fruit score

( $\mathrm{n}=363$ )
DMFT $=0 \begin{array}{lll}0 & 107 & 168.23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { DMFT }>0 & 256 & 187.76\end{array}$

## Beverage score

( $\mathrm{n}=364$ )
DMFT $=0 \quad 108$
171.06
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{DMFT}>0 & 256 & 187.33\end{array}$

## Snack score

( $\mathrm{n}=363$ )
DMFT $=0 \quad 107 \quad 165.93$
DMFT $>0 \quad 256 \quad 188.71$
Unsweetened milk score
$\begin{array}{llll}(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 6 5}) & \text { DMFT }=0 & 107 & 174.85 \\ & \text { DMFT }>0 & 255 & 189.29\end{array}$
Sweetened milk score

| $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 6 2})$ | DMFT $=0$ | 108 | 163.53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | DMFT $>0$ | 257 | 191.18 |

Unhealthy food score
-2.168 (.030)
$\begin{array}{llll}(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 6 3}) & \text { DMFT }=0 & 107 & 163.63 \\ & \text { DMFT }>0 & 256 & 189.68\end{array}$
Healthy food score
$-2.531(.011)$
( $\mathrm{n}=360$ )
DMFT $=0 \quad 106$
173.80
DMFT > $0 \quad 254 \quad 183.30$

Table 29: Relationship between DMFT category and unhealthy foods

| Food items |  | DMFT category |  | Chi-square |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DMFT $=0$ | DMFT > 1 | (p-value) |
| Snacks | often | 6 (14.6) | 35 (85.4) | 5.705 (.058) |
|  | sometimes | 39 (28.9) | 96 (71.1) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 63 (33.3) | 126 (66.7) |  |
| Cake | often | 6 (28.6) | 15 (71.4) | . 748 (.688) |
|  | sometimes | 27 (26.2) | 76 (73.8) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 74 (30.8) | 166 (69.2) |  |
| Candy | often | 8 (21.6) | 29 (78.4) | 1.206 (.547) |
|  | sometimes | 50 (30.5) | 114 (69.5) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 49 (30.1) | 114 (69.9) |  |
| Chocolate | often | 3 (23.1) | 10 (76.9) | 6.695 (.035) |
|  | sometimes ลงกรถ | 18 (19.4) | 75 (80.6) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 86 (33.3) | 172 (66.7) |  |
| Gel | often | 7 (43.8) | 9 (56.3) | 11.788(.003) |
|  | sometimes | 17 (16.7) | 85 (83.3) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 83 (33.7) | 163 (66.3) |  |
| Sweetened milk | often | 7 (19.4) | 29 (80.6) | 6.424 (.040) |
|  | sometimes | 41 (25.3) | 121 (74.7) |  |
|  | never or rarely used | 60 (35.9) | 107 (64.1) |  |

Among unhealthy foods, the trend that the more frequent intake, the higher prevalence of non-zero DMFT score could be seen in snacks, chocolate and sweetened milk. Chocolate and sweetened milk revealed significant association with DMFT category. Snacks revealed marginal significance. In 5 of 6 unhealthy food items, DMFT prevalence went in the way that "sometimes" user had equal or a little bit higher prevalence of non-zero DMFT score in comparison with "often" user but had marked higher prevalence of non-zero DMFT score than "never or rarely" user (table 29).

Table 30: Relationship between brushing behavior and snacks consumption


Even there was not any brushing behavior significantly associated with snacks consumption, there was still a trend that snacks consumption was higher among those who brushed their teeth less than twice per day, who had ever forgot to brush for 7 days, who had no regular brushing schedule. The consumption level was lower among
those who usually brushed after getting up and those who usually brushed before going to bed (table 30).

## 3. Relationship between perception of oral problems and DMFT score

Table 31: Relationship between perception of oral health problems and DMFT score (DMFT is considered as outcome)

| Oral Health Problems |  | Frequency (\%) of DMFT |  | Chi-square p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DMFT $=0$ | DMFT > 0 |  |
| Pain | Never | 35 (35.7) | 63 (64.3) |  |
| $(\mathrm{n}=354)$ | Ever | $68(26.6)$ | 188 (73.4) | 2.877 (.090) |
| Chewing | Never | 62 (30.8) | 139 (69.2) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=352$ ) | Ever | 41 (27.2) | 110 (72.8) | . 568 (.451) |
| Smiling | Never | 79 (28.7) | 196 (71.3) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=356$ ) | Ever | 27 (33.3) | 54 (66.7) |  |
| Communication | Never | - 86 (28.9) | 212 (71.1) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=353$ ) | Ever | ชา 18 (32.7) | 37 (67.3) | . 334 (.563) |
| Color | Never | A 62 (29.5) | 148 (70.5) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) | Ever | 42 (29.2) | 102 (70.8) | . 005 (.942) |
| Tooth damage | Never | 76 (38.2) | 123 (61.8) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=355$ ) | Ever | 28 (17.9) | 128 (82.1) | $17.299(<0.01)$ |
| Odor | Never | 66 (29.9) | 155 (70.1) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) | Ever | 38 (28.6) | 95 (71.4) | . 067 (.796) |
| School absence | Never | 101 (30.4) | 231 (69.6) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=356$ ) | Ever | 4 (16.7) | 20 (83.3) | 2.036 (.154) |

Table 32: Relationship between perception of oral health problems and DMFT score (Oral health problems are considered as outcomes)

| Oral Health Problems |  | Frequency (\%) of Problems |  | Chi-square p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Never | Ever |  |
| Pain | DMFT $=0$ | 35 (34.0) | 68 (66.0) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 63 (25.1) | 188 (74.9) | 2.877 (.090) |
| Chewing | DMFT $=0$ | 62 (60.2) | 41 (39.8) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=352$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 139 (55.8) | 110 (44.2) | . 568 (.451) |
| Smiling | DMFT $=0$ | 79 (74.5) | 27 (25.5) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=356$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 196 (78.4) | 54 (21.6) | . 635 (.426) |
| Communication | DMFT $=0$ | 86 (82.7) | 18 (17.3) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=353$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 212 (85.1) | 37 (14.9) | . 334 (.563) |
| Color | DMFT $=0$ | 62 (59.6) | 42 (40.4) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 148 (59.2) | 102 (40.8) | . 005 (.942) |
| Tooth damage | DMFT $=0$ | 76 (73.1) | 28 (26.9) | 17.299 (< |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=355$ ) | DMFT $>0$ | 123 (49.0) | 128 (51.0) | 0.01) |
| Odor | DMFT $=0$ | 66 (63.5) | 38 (36.5) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=354$ ) | DMFT > 0 | 155 (62.0) | 95 (38.0) | . 067 (.796) |
| School absence | DMFT $=0$ | 101 (96.2) | 4 (3.8) |  |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=356$ ) | DMFT > 0 | 231 (92.0) | 20 (8.0) | 2.036 (.154) |

Relationships between perceived dental health-related problems and dental caries are presented in tables 31 and 32. Time relationships between these variables are not clear, that is, it is not clear whether occurrence of dental caries preceded the
perceived problems or vice versa. Therefore, in data analysis the researcher considered both possibilities. Table 31 shows the relationships between dental caries and perception of oral health problems as though the problems preceded the caries (caries considered to be the dependent variable). In this table, except smiling and communication, others problems show the higher prevalence of non-zero DMFT when the problems were reported (shown in bold). Inversely, table 32 shows the same relationship but perception of oral health problems were considered as dependent variables. However, in this case, the similar results were repeated. Except smiling and communication, the higher prevalence of problems reported in non-zero DMFT group comparing with the lower prevalence of problems reported in zero DMFT group (shown in bold). Highly significant and marginally significant associations can be seen between dental caries and perceived tooth damage and pain, respectively. For each specific perceived problem, the strength of association with dental caries, as measured by the $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ statistic and the corresponding p -value, was the same in tables 31 and 32 .


[^0]:    * Overall mean divided by number of questions used to calculate the score.

