#### **CHAPTER IV**

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 4.1 Effect of DEG stabilizing agent

#### 4.1.1 Formation of crystal with DEG

#### 4.1.1.1 Formation of crystal with different molar ratios of DEG

In this work, to investigate the effect of DEG on the formation of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$ , the different mole ratios of DEG were varied. However, the calcination temperature was kept constant at 450°C. The mole ratios of TTiP:DEG included 1:0, 1:0.5:, 1:1. 1:1.5, and 1:2.0. The crystal structures of  $TiO_2$  from each condition obtaining from X-ray diffraction analysis are illustrated in Figure 4.1 and the corresponding surface morphology of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals are shown in Figure 4.2 (a)-(e).



Figure 4.1 XRD spectrum showing crystal structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> obtained from different mole ratio of TTiP:DEG



(e) TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG, TTiP:DEG is 1:2.0

## Figure 4.2 SEM images showing surface morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals obtained from different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG

As shown in the X-ray diffraction patterns of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles in Figure 4.1, anatase phase was the predominant structure in all synthesis conditions. A major peak corresponding to (1 0 1) reflections of the anatase phase of  $TiO_2$  is shown at the angle Moreover, DEG delays the phase transformation of anatase to rutile phase with mole ratio of TTiP:DEG higher than 1:0.5 as represented by X-ray diffraction pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in which a smaller amount of rutile was detected compared without DEG. To quantify the phase transformation from anatase to rutile with the different mole ratios of DEG, the percentage of anatase to rutile was calculated. The phase content of a sample can be calculated from the integrated intensities of the above-mentioned anatase, rutile, and brookite peaks. If a sample contains only anatase and rutile, the weight fraction of rutile ( $W_R$ ) can be calculated from equation (Gribb and Banfield, 1997).

$$W_R = \frac{A_R}{0.884A_A + A_R} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $A_A$  represents the integrated intensity of the anatase (101) peak, and  $A_R$  the integrated intensity of rutile (110) peak. If brookite phase is also present in a sample, similar relations can be derived:

$$W_A = \frac{k_A A_A}{k_A A_A + A_R + k_B A_B} \tag{4.2}$$

$$W_R = \frac{A_R}{k_A A_A + A_R + k_B A_B}$$
(4.3)

$$W_B = \frac{k_B A_{\bar{B}}}{k_A A_A + A_R + k_B A_B}$$
(4.4)

where  $W_A$  and  $W_B$  represent the weight fraction of anatase and brookite, respectively.  $A_B$  is the integrated intensity of the brookite (121) peak, and  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  are two coefficients to be determined where  $k_A = 0.886$  and  $k_B = 2.721$  (Zhang and Banfield, 2000).

Using above equations, the percentage values of anatase to rutile in each condition was calculated and shown in Table 4.1.

ł

Mole ratio of TTiP:DEG	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)
1:0	72.24	27.76
1:0.5	77.11	22.89
1:1.0	100.00	0.00
1:1.5	100.00	0.00
1:2.0	100.00	0.00

**Table 4.1** Percentage of anatase and rutile phases in samples obtained with different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG

It was found that the percentage of anatase:rutile of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents was calculated as equal to 72.24:27.76. With DEG addition in the ratio TTiP:DEG of 1:0.5, the percentage ratio of anatase:rutile was changed to be 77.11:22.89. Finally, the rutile phase not formed at all when  $TiO_2$  was synthesized using 1:1.0 of the TTiP:DEG as 1:1.0. From this work, it is clear that one of the effects of DEG is delaying the phase transformation from anatase to rutile phase.

From microstructures of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals, shown in Figure 4.2, it is obvious that DEG also significantly reduce the size of the nanocrystals. As the amount of DEG increases, the size of the  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal decreases. Compared to the morphology of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents shown in Figure 4.2 (a), the size of  $TiO_2$  with DEG is relatively smaller. To determine this sizing effect of DEG, crystallite sizes of  $TiO_2$  were estimated from the broadening of corresponding X-ray diffraction peaks by Debye-Scherrer equation (Liqiang et al., 2003):

$$L = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{4.5}$$

where *L* is the crystallite size, *K* usually taken as 0.89,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation (0.15418 nm for Cu<sub>Ka</sub>),  $\beta$  is the line width at half-maximum height and  $\theta$  is the half diffraction angle of the centroid of the peak in degree. The crystal size of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder as a function of mole ratio of TTiP:DEG is illustrated in Figure 4.3

In this work, it is found that as the amount of DEG increased, the size of  $TiO_2$  crystallites slightly decreased. The crystallite size of anatase phase was decreased

from 21.10 nm without any DEG to 11.81 nm with the 1:2.0 mole ratio of TTiP:DEG. The results suggest that adding DEG reduces the crystallite size of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles. As a consequence, DEG is expected to have significant influence on photocatalytic activity due to the increased active surface area of the smaller size of nanoparticles.



Figure 4.3 Nanocrystal size of TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of DEG

The BET surface area of the synthesized  $TiO_2$  was also determined and the results are shown in Figure 4.4. As discussed previously that DEG tends to decrease the crystalline size of  $TiO_2$ , this effect is confirmed by the measurement of surface area. If the crystalline size of  $TiO_2$  decreases, the surface area of  $TiO_2$  will be increased simultaneously. The effect of DEG on enhancing the surface area is clearly seen in Figure 4.4. Without any stabilizing agents, the surface area of crystalline size was 83.7 m<sup>2</sup>/g. With the DEG addition to the preparation method with a ratio of TTiP:DEG as of 1:0.5, the surface area of  $TiO_2$  became 176.87 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Moreover, surface area of  $TiO_2$  was gradually increased with the increasing of amount of DEG in the sol solution. Finally, the highest surface area of 205.76 m<sup>2</sup>/g was obtained with the 1:2.0 TTiP:DEG.



Figure 4.4 Surface area of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of DEG

In terms of porosity of the sample set the pore volumes and the diameter of pores are shown in Table 4.2.

Mole ratio of TTiP:DEG	Pore volume	Diameter of pore size
	(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	(nm)
1:0	0.013	60.9
1:0.5	0.150	3.226
1:1.0	0.124	2.762
1:1.5	0.094	2.440
1:2.0	0.101	2.494

Table 4.2 Porosity of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of DEG

The effects of DEG on the pore volume and pore size are not drastic. With the small ratio of 1:0.5 TTiP:DEG, the pore volume and pore size were higher than at the higher ratio of 1:1.0 - 1:2.0. This observation may be due to the fact that, the major crystal phase of  $TiO_2$  prepared by 1:0.5 TTiP:DEG was mostly anatase with a small amount of rutile. Due to the fact that the phase usually has larger crystal size, thus higher pore volume and size than the samples containing only anatase. For the conditions that only pure anatase formed, the addition of DEG does not have any significant effect on increasing the pore volume and the pore size.

## 4.1.1.2 Photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of DEG

To investigate the effect of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  preparing from different mole ratios of DEG on removal of hazardous wastes from the wastewater, the synthesized  $TiO_2$  was used in the photocatalysis process of chromium (VI) removal from the synthetic wastewater.

In general, photocatalytic process involves different processes including adsorption-desorption, electron-hole pair production and recombination, and chemical conversion (Demeestere et al., 2004). Since recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs occurs within a fraction of a nanosecond, charge separation is only kinetically competitive if trapping species are already adsorbed prior to electron-hole pair generation (Fox and Dulay, 1993; Alberici and Jardim, 1997; Wittmann et al., 2005). In this work, the photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> is divided into two parts, adsorption and irradiation processes.

In adsorption process, the highest concentrations of adsorbed chromium (VI) on different types of  $TiO_2$  surface were determined. Results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.5. It was found that the contact time to reach the equilibrium was 60 minutes. Apparently, the equilibrium concentrations of chromium (VI) in the aqueous solution using different types of  $TiO_2$  were not significantly different. The adsorbed chromium (VI) was in the range of 0.692-1.109 mg Cr (VI)/g TiO<sub>2</sub>. Adsorption data revealed that the 4.15-6.65% of the total amount of chromium (VI) in the reactor was adsorbed on the catalyst surface.



Figure 4.5 Adsorption of chromium (VI) on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG

The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) under irradiation process for the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG was compared. The ratio of residual to initial concentration of chromium (VI) in term of  $C/C_0$  as a function of irradiation time was illustrated in Figure 4.6. It is obvious that the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared without DEG provided the lowest efficiency in chromium (VI) removal compared to other conditions with some DEG. This might be due to the fact that TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared without DEG was a mixture of both anatase and rutile phases. When increasing amount of DEG, the rutile phase was not generated. Thus, with the pure anatase of TiO<sub>2</sub> synthesized by adding higher amount of DEG, the photocatalytic activity was much higher. However, as presented in the previous section, the nanoparticle size, pore volume, and pore size of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were not much different when TTiP:DEG was in the range of 1:1.0 - 1:2.0. Therefore, the photocatalytic activities obtained from these three conditions were not significantly different.





To study the kinetic of the photocatalysis process, the observed kinetic constant,  $k_{obs}$ , from each experimental condition was also calculated. Considering the pattern of kinetic equation, the reaction behavior of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> in chromium (VI) removal was followed the zero-order pattern with the equations as follow:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -k[C]^0 = -k \tag{4.6}$$

$$dC = -k(dt) \tag{4.7}$$

$$\int_{C_0}^{C} dC = -k \int_{0}^{t} dt$$
 (4.8)

$$C - C_0 = -k_{obs}t \tag{4.9}$$

where  $k_{obs}$  is the apparent reaction rate constant, t is the reaction time,  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of chromium (VI) in aqueous solution, and C is the residual concentration of chromium (VI) at time t. The value of  $k_{obs}$  is determined by a linear regression method.

The plot of  $k_{obs}$  as a function of the amount of DEG presented in titania from each condition is shown in Figure 4.7. This figure shows that  $k_{obs}$  is dependent on the

37

amount of DEG. The values of  $k_{obs}$  and chromium (VI) removal efficiencies in each synthesis condition are also shown in Table 4.3. This behavior can be explained in terms of the surface area. The photocatalytic reaction is confined mostly to the surface of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles under illumination. Therefore, the higher the surface area, the more the photocatalytic reaction occurs (Yoon et al., 2006) and the mole ratio of 1:2.0 TTiP:DEG provided the smallest size of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub>, highest surface area and porosity, the highest photocatalytic activity could be found at this condition.



Figure 4.7 Comparison of photocatalytic decomposition rates using different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG

**Table 4.3** Value of  $k_{obs}$  and chromium removal efficiencies of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared from different mole ratios of TTiP:DEG

Mole ratio of	Equation	k	$R^2$	%Removal
TTiP:DEG				
1: 0.0	y = -0.1041x + 50	0.1041	0.9898	47.67
1: 0.5	y = -0.1411x + 50	0.1411	0.9909	61.37
1: 1.0	y = -0.1483x + 50	0.1483	0.9945	64.41
1:1.5	y = -0.1511x + 50	0.1511	0.9959	64.62
1: 2.0	y = -0.1551x + 50	0.1551	0.9976	65.20

#### 4.1.2 Crystal growth of TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG

# 4.1.2.1 Effect of calcination temperatures on nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with DEG additive

As the amount of DEG in nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> synthesis affects the TiO<sub>2</sub> properties, the grain growth pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG stabilizing agents would be changed with the variation of calcination temperature. To study the effect of calcination temperature on nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub>, the mole ratio of TTiP:DEG was fixed at 1:1.0 and the calcination time was 30 min, the calcination temperatures were varied as 300, 450, 500, 600, and 800 °C. A set of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles prepared without DEG was also calcined at the above temperatures for a comparison. The crystal structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> from each condition obtained from X-ray diffraction analysis are illustrated in Figure 4.8.



**Figure 4.8** XRD patterns showing crystal structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> with 1:1.0 TTiP:DEG stabilizing agents at different calcination temperatures

As shown in Figure 4.8, anatase phase with a major peak corresponding to (1 0 1) was the predominant structure for the  $TiO_2$  calcined at 300-600 °C. The growth of anatase was increased with the higher calcination temperature as shown in the figure. Rutile phase with a major peak corresponding to (1 1 0) was developed with the 800 °C calcination temperature while the intensity corresponding to the anatase phase was

reduced sharply. It is worth to note that the transformation of anatase to rutile phase of  $TiO_2$  without DEG was developed at 450 °C.

Percentages of anatase and rutile phases were also calculated to compare the phase transformation of  $TiO_2$  between  $TiO_2$  synthesis with DEG stabilizing agents and without any stabilizing agents as shown in Table 4.4

**Table 4.4** Percentages of anatase and rutile phases in samples obtained from different calcination temperatures

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without s	tabilizing agents	TiO <sub>2</sub> wit	h DEG
temperature (°C)	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)
300 °C	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
450 °C	72.24	27.76	100.00	0.00
500 °C	42.02	57.98	100.00	0.00
600 °C	11.59	88.41	100.00	0.00
800 °C	0.00	100.00	5.52	94.48

From this experimental set, rutile phase in  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents was formed in lower calcination temperature than the  $TiO_2$  with DEG. At the 500°C calcination temperature almost 60% of anatase phase in the  $TiO_2$  without DEG has been transformed into rutile but no rutile phase was formed in the  $TiO_2$  with DEG. With the same trend, at the 800 °C calcination temperature  $TiO_2$  was completely formed in rutile phase in the  $TiO_2$  without DEG but about 5.52 % of anatase phase was detected in the  $TiO_2$  with DEG. It is suggested that DEG delays the transformation of anatase to rutile phase up to the calcination temperature of above 600°C.

The surface morphologies of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals from each condition are shown in Figure 4.9 (a)-(e). There is no obvious surface morphological difference with the different calcination temperatures in the range of 300-600°C However, when the calcination temperature increased to 800°C, the size of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  was significant bigger than those obtained from the lower calcination temperatures.



- (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 450 °C
- (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 300 °C



(c) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 500  $^{\circ}$ C



(d) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 600 °C



(e) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 800 °C

**Figure 4.9** SEM images showing surface morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal with DEG in different calcination temperatures

To determine that growth of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  is dependent on the calcination temperature, the sizes of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  from each condition were calculated using Debye-Scherrer equation. Summary of size variation of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal synthesized with DEG stabilizing agent and the calcination temperatures is shown in Table 4.5. The size variation of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal synthesized without any stabilizing agents is also included in the same table for comparison.

**Table 4.5** Sizes of anatase and rutile of  $TiO_2$  in samples prepared at different calcination temperatures

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without st	abilizing agents	TiO <sub>2</sub> wi	ΓiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	
temperature (°C)	Anatase Rutile		Anatase	Rutile	
	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)	
300 °C	9.31	-	-	-	
450 °C	21.10	37.45	13.88	-	
500 °C	31.03	56.18	20.82	-	
600 °C	39.57	76.24	32.97	-	
800 °C	-	76.24	83.30	164.21	

As shown in the table, the particle size increases with increasing calcination temperature. The particles grow slowly at low calcination temperatures and then becomes very fast at high calcination temperatures. The relationship between the particle size and the calcination temperatures in reported here is in agreement with the results reported in previous works (Sullivan and Cole, 1959; Li et al., 2002). This behavior can be explained by the equation (Turnbull, 1956)

$$u = a_0 v_0 \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{KT}\right) \right]$$
(4.10)

where u is the growth rate,  $a_0$  is the particle diameter,  $v_0$  the atomic jump frequency, Q the activation energy for an atom to leave the matrix and attach itself to the growing phase, and T is the calcination temperature.

When the calcination temperature is high, the activation energy is very small and the growth rate is large. Thus, the particle size increases very quickly as the calcination temperature increases. In contrast, when the calcination temperature is low, the activation energy is very large and the growth rate becomes slow. Therefore, the grain size increases very slowly as the calcination temperature increases (Li et al., 2002).

Considering the DEG effect on grain growth of TiO<sub>2</sub>, it was found that, in average, size of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG addition was smaller than those without stabilizing agents. It is worth to note that the grain size of anatase is normally smaller than the rutile. As discussed previously, when the calcination temperature is lower than 800°C, the phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG was fully anatase, while phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> without any stabilizing agents contained both anatase and rutile. This difference in phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> is expected to be the cause of the difference in the properties of nanosize TiO<sub>2</sub>.

At the calcination temperature of 300 °C, nanosizes of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents were about 9.31 nm, and the phase is completely anatase. Above 450°C, growth of  $TiO_2$  was observed due to the transformation of anatase to rutile phase. For rutile, the crystal size was increased drastically from 37.45 nm at 450°C to 56.18 nm at 600 °C and the crystal size of anatase was also increased from 21.10 nm at 450°C to 39.57 nm at 600 °C. Above 600 °C, the nanocrystal size of titania was 76.24 nm which was mostly by rutile phase. At the selected calcination temperature in the range of 450-600 °C, it is found that the nanocrystal size of  $TiO_2$  with DEG was relatively smaller than that of  $TiO_2$  synthesized without any stabilizing agents. This is due to the fact that the  $TiO_2$  with DEG was mostly or completely anatase phase.

Table 4.6 shows the surface area of  $TiO_2$  prepared from the range of above calcination temperatures. It can be clearly seen that, when comparing the surface areas of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents and  $TiO_2$  with DEG, DEG not only decreases the size of nanoparticles but also increases the surface area of nanoparticles. When varying calcination temperature, the surface area of  $TiO_2$  was drastically changed. As calcination temperature increased, the size of nanoparticle increases resulting in the reduced surface area. Moreover, the calcination temperature leads to the phase transformation from anatase to rutile yielding the increasing of pore diameter with the exception when  $TiO_2$  is in the amorphous phase, which was formed at 300 °C calcination temperature.

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without DEG		TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	
temperature	Surface area	Pore diameter	Surface area	Pore diameter
(°C)	(m²/g)	(nm)	(m <sup>2</sup> /g)	(nm)
300 °C	174.50	2.285	1.63	9.391
450 °C	83.73	5.981	185.30	2.763
500 °C	22.20	5.914	82.25	4.362
600 °C	0.52	17.830	4.23	8.055
800 °C	0.43	12.670	3.11	15.250

**Table 4.6** Surface area and pore diameter of  $TiO_2$  at different calcination temperatures

## 4.1.2.2 Photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with DEG at different calcination temperatures

Effects of calcination temperature on photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  were studied. In this experimental set,  $TiO_2$  nanopowders obtained previously at calcination temperatures in the range of 300 °C to 600 °C were used and the amount of  $TiO_2$  in each study was fixed at 3 g/L. The experiments in this section were divided into two parts, adsorption and irradiation process.

The highest concentrations of adsorbed chromium (VI) on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> in adsorption process were determined and shown in Figure 4.10. The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) under irradiation process for the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with different molar ratios of TTiP:DEG was also compared and the ratio of residual to initial concentration of chromium (VI) in terms of  $C/C_0$  as a function of irradiation time was illustrated in Figure 4.11. It was found that the contact time to reach the equilibrium was 60 minutes. The equilibrium concentrations of chromium (VI) in the aqueous solution using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> were considerably different. The adsorbed chromium (VI) was in the range of 0.343-1.0 mg Cr(VI)/g TiO<sub>2</sub>. Adsorption data revealed that the 2.06-5.97% of the total amount of chromium (VI) in the reactor was adsorbed on the catalyst surface. Generally, the major factor affecting the adsorption process is surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, since the TiO<sub>2</sub> from 450 °C calcination temperature provided the smallest size of 13.88 nm and the highest surface area of 185.30 m<sup>2</sup>/g, the TiO<sub>2</sub> from this condition can attract the highest amount of chromium (VI) on the particle surface.



Figure 4.10 Adsorption of chromium (VI) on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared at different calcination temperatures as a function of time



Figure 4.11 Photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) by TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared at different calcination temperatures as a function of time



46

It is obvious that the nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  prepared at 600°C calcination temperature provided the highest efficiency in chromium (VI) removal compared to other conditions. It is worth to note that the TiO<sub>2</sub> with 450°C calcination temperature provided the highest amount of chromium (VI) adsorption on titania surface, while the TiO<sub>2</sub> with 600°C calcination temperature provided the highest amount of chromium (VI) reduction upon irradiation process. This may be due to the fact that crystal phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> at the 600 °C calcination temperature was pure anatase phase with highest amount of TiO<sub>2</sub> in the crystal compare to those obtained from other calcination temperatures as shown by XRD pattern in Figure 4.8. In addition, the pore diameter of TiO<sub>2</sub> in this condition was the largest (80.5 Å) compared to those obtained from other conditions having the pure anatase.

In terms of kinetics, the photocatalytic reduction reactions of chromium (VI) of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> obtained from various calcination temperatures were followed the zero-order pattern. The values of  $k_{obs}$  were calculated and the plots of  $k_{obs}$  as a function of calcination temperature are shown in Figure 4.12. This figure shows that  $k_{obs}$ increased with increasing calcination temperatures. However after reached the highest value for sample of 600 °C calcination temperature, the value of  $k_{obs}$  decreased. From this information, the photocatalytic activity as represented by the  $k_{obs}$  was mainly governed by the anatase phase of TiO<sub>2</sub>. As the amount of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> increased with calcination temperature, the photocatalytic activity increased. At calcination temperature higher than 600 °C, crystal phase was transformed to rutile, causing the decreased of photocatalytic activity. For the effect of nanocrystal size of TiO<sub>2</sub>, it was found that nanocrystal size has less effect than the titania crystal phase as the value of nanocrystal size is slightly difference in the range of 13-33 nm for the range of calcination temperature. It is worth to note that in the photocatalysis process, the photocatalytic oxidation-reduction process during irradiation is much more the major mechanism in contaminant removal than the adsorption process at the beginning step. Thus, in overall consideration, the TiO<sub>2</sub> with 600 °C calcination temperature provided the best condition for chromium (VI) removal.



Figure 4.12 Comparison of photocatalytic decomposition rates using different calcination temperature

#### 4.1.3 Determination of adsorption isotherm of TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG as additive

To obtain the adsorption characteristics of  $TiO_2$  with DEG, it is necessary to determine the adsorption isotherm of the titania. The best condition from the previous section (TTiP:DEG mole ratio = 1:1, and 600 °C calcination temperature) is used in this part. The adsorption of chromium (VI) with TiO<sub>2</sub> as the initial concentration of chromium (VI) varied in the range of 10-100 mg/L was conducted. The results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.13. It is obvious that the amount of chromium (VI) adsorbed on titania surface is increased with increasing initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the water.

To obtain the adsorption isotherm of  $TiO_2$ , the plot of adsorbed chromium (VI) on titania surface (mg Cr(VI)/mgTiO<sub>2</sub>) versus the concentration of chromium (VI) in the solution after reaching the equilibrium (mg/L) was performed as shown in Figure 4.14. The value of adsorbed chromium (VI) on titania surface was increased with increasing of the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI) until it reached the certain value and the plateau of the adsorption pattern was obtained.



Figure 4.13 Adsorption of chromium (VI) on the surface of  $TiO_2$  at different initial concentration of chromium (VI) as a function of time



**Figure 4.14** Plot of Cr (VI) equilibrium concentration vs. chromium (VI) adsorbed concentration on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface

The values of chromium (VI) adsorption at the equilibrium condition were used further in determining the adsorption isotherm in both Langmuir and Freundlich equations. The Langmuir equation was applied for the adsorption equilibrium of chromium (VI) onto  $\text{TiO}_2$  surfaces. The Langmuir adsorption isotherm is based on these assumptions: (i) maximum adsorption corresponds to a saturated monolayer of adsorbate molecules on the adsorbent surface; (ii) the energy of adsorption is constant; and (iii) there is no transmigration of adsorbate in the surface plane. The Langmuir equation is shown below:

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = \frac{1}{(Q_0 b)} + \frac{C_e}{Q_0}$$
(4.11)

where  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI), mg/L, (x/m) is the amount of adsorbed chromium (VI) at equilibrium per unit mass of TiO<sub>2</sub>, mg/g, and  $Q_0$  and b are Langmuir constants related to adsorptive capacity and energy of adsorption, respectively.

The linear plot of  $C_e/(x/m)$  vs.  $C_e$  in Figure 4.15 shows that the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface obeys the Langmuir adsorption isotherm.



**Figure 4.15** Langmuir adsorption isotherm plots for the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface

The correlation coefficient for the linear regression fit of the Langmuir plot was found to be 0.9959. The equation 4.11 becomes:

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = 0.9164C_e + 30.495 \tag{4.12}$$

The Langmuir constants  $Q_0$  and b were determined from the Langmuir plots and found to be 1.09 mg/g and 0.030 L/mg respectively.

The essential characteristic of the Langmuir isotherm can be expressed in term of a dimensionless constant separation factor or equilibrium parameter,  $R_L$ , which is defined by (Mckay et al., 1982)

$$R_{L} = 1/(1+bC_{0}) \tag{4.13}$$

where b is the Langmuir constant, and  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of chromium (VI).  $R_L$  values and the corresponding behavior are summarized in Table 4.7. The calculated values of  $R_L$  in this experiment set were shown in Table 4.8 and it was found that all values are between 0 and 1, indicating favorable chromium (VI) adsorption onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface.

 $R_L$  ValueBehavior of isotherm $R_L > 1$ Unfavorable $0 < R_L < 1$ Favorable $R_L = 0$ Irreversible

**Table 4.7**  $R_1$  Value and Isotherms

Table 4.8 Value of  $R_L$  for Langmuir adsorption isotherm for TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG

Initial concentration of chromium (VI) (mg/L)	R <sub>L</sub> Value
10	0.77
25	0.58
50	0.41
75	0.31
100	0.26

The Freundlich equation was also applied to describe the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the  $TiO_2$  surface. The Freundlich equation is basically empirical, and generally agrees with the experimental data over a moderate range of adsorbate concentrations. The Freundlich isotherm is represented by the equation (Mckay et al., 1982)

$$\log(x/m) = (1/n)\log C_e + \log k_f$$
(4.14)

where  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI), mg/L, (x/m) is the amount of adsorbed chromium (VI) at equilibrium per unit mass of TiO<sub>2</sub>, mg/g, and  $k_f$  and *n* are the Freundlich constants.

The linear plot of log (x/m) vs. log  $C_e$  in Figure 4.16 shows that the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface obeys the Freundlich adsorption isotherm.





The expressed equation is

$$\log(x/m) = 0.5315 \log C_e - 1.112 \tag{4.15}$$

The correlation coefficient for the Freundlich plot was found to be 0.9730, which was less than that from the Langmuir plot. The value of correlation coefficient of chromium (VI) adsorption indicates that the adsorption behavior of chromium (VI) onto  $\text{TiO}_2$  tends to be a monolayer adsorption as described by the Langmuir isotherm rather than the Freundlich isotherm.

### 4.1.4 Determination of kinetic values following Langmuir-Hinshelwood Model for TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG as stabilizing agent

Additional kinetic values were obtained using Langmuir-Hinshelwood model. The best condition from the previous section (TTiP:DEG mole ratio = 1:1, and 600 °C calcination temperature) was used in this part. The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) by  $TiO_2$  with variation of initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the range of 10-100 mg/L was conducted.

The results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.17. It is obvious that the efficiency in chromium (VI) removal decreased with the increasing of initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the water. To explain the behavior of photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) by the synthesized  $TiO_2$  in term of kinetic study, two patterns of kinetic orders, which are zero-order and pseudo-first order equations, are considered. As can be seen in the chromium (VI) declining pattern, the kinetic order to explain the existing reaction can be either zero-order or pseudo first order. Thus, the value of  $k_{obs}$  can be calculated by both equations.



Figure 4.17 Photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) as a function of time using different initial concentration of chromium (VI)

The zero-order equation can be derived as follow:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -k[C]^0 = -k \tag{4.16}$$

$$dC = -k(dt) \tag{4.17}$$

$$\int_{C_0}^C dC = -k \int_0^t dt$$
 (4.18)

$$C - C_0 = -k_{obs}t \tag{4.19}$$

where  $k_{obs}$  is the apparent reaction rate constant, *t* is the reaction time,  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of chromium (VI) in aqueous solution, and *C* is the residual concentration of chromium (VI) at time *t*. Value of  $k_{obs}$  was determined from the slope of graph which was plotted between  $C-C_0$  and reaction time, *t*. The value  $R^2$  for linear regression was calculated to exhibit the tendency of the reaction pattern to be a zero-order pattern. Values of  $k_{obs}$  from zero-order equation were determined as shown in Table 4.9.

The pseudo-first order equation can be obtained by the following relationship of C and t:

$$\frac{d[C]}{dt} = -k_{obs}[C]^n = -k_{obs}[C]^1$$
(4.20)

$$\frac{1}{[C]}d[C] = -k_{obs}dt \tag{4.21}$$

$$\int_{C_0}^{C} \frac{1}{[C]} d[C] = -\int_{0}^{t} k_{obs} dt$$
(4.22)

$$\ln(\frac{C}{C_0}) = -k_{obs}t \tag{4.23}$$

where  $k_{obs}$  is the apparent reaction rate constant, *t* is the reaction time,  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of chromium (VI) in aqueous solution, and *C* is the residual concentration of chromium (VI) at time *t*. Value of  $k_{obs}$  was determined from the slope of graph which was plotted between -ln (*C*/*C*<sub>0</sub>) and reaction time, *t*. The value  $R^2$  for linear regression was calculated to exhibit the tendency of the reaction pattern to be a

pseudo-first order pattern. Values of  $k_{obs}$  from pseudo-first order equation were determined as shown in Table 4.10.

Initial concentration	k <sub>obs</sub>	$R^2$	% Removal	<b>Final concentration</b>
of chromium (VI)	(mg/L-			of chromium (VI)
(mg/L)	min)			(mg/L)
10	0.3310	0.9994	100.00	0.00
25	0.3049	0.9482	100.00	0.00
50	0.2432	0.9975	100.00	0.00
75	0.0592	0.9848	14.62	64.03
100	0.0481	0.9388	11.10	88.90

**Table 4.9** Values of  $k_{obs}$  from zero-order equation for photocatalytic process using TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG for chromium (VI) removal

**Table 4.10** Values of  $k_{obs}$  from pseudo-first order equation for photocatalytic process using TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG for chromium (VI) removal

Initial concentration	k <sub>obs</sub>	$R^2$	% Removal	<b>Final concentration</b>
of chromium (VI)	(min <sup>-1</sup> )			of chromium (VI)
(mg/L)				(mg/L)
10	0.05930	0.8997	100.00	0.00
25	0.02030	0.9370	100.00	0.00
50	0.00920	0.8480	100.00	0.00
75	0.0022	0.9903	44.39	41.71
100	0.0016	0.9933	30.23	69.77

Considering the pattern of kinetic equation, the photocatalytic reduction reactions of chromium (VI) by  $TiO_2$  with DEG can be represented by zero-order pattern when initial concentration was relatively low in the range of 10-50 mg/L. However, as concentration of chromium (VI) was leveled up, the kinetic pattern was changed from the zero-order pattern to the first-order pattern as represented by the R<sup>2</sup> values. The change of the reaction behavior can be explained that at low level of chromium (VI) concentration, the reaction was dependent only on the reaction time and it was independent from the concentration of chromium (VI) in the aqueous

solution. The surface of titania is not the limitation of the reaction. As chromium (VI) concentration increased with the fixed dosage of  $TiO_2$  in the system, the reaction became dependent on both concentration of chromium (VI) and the reaction time. Results from this experiment set provide the important information that at low level of contaminant concentration, the reaction can be described by zero-order pattern and at high level of contaminant concentration, the reaction is best described by pseudo first-order pattern.

The value of  $k_{obs}$  obtained from the pseudo first-order pattern can be used further to find the intrinsic kinetic coefficient occurred during irradiation process of photocatalysis. The intrinsic kinetic values of photocatalysis can be determined from the Langmuir-Hinshelwood model. In this model, the reaction rate for second-order surface decomposition of chromium (VI) can be represented as:

$$rate = -\frac{d[Cr]}{dt} = k_c \frac{K_{Cr}[Cr]}{1 + K_{Cr}[Cr]_0}$$
(4.24)

where [Cr] is the Cr concentration at time t,

 $k_c$  is the second-order rate constant,

 $K_{Cr}$  is the equilibrium adsorption constants of chromium (VI) onto TiO<sub>2</sub>, and  $[Cr]_0$  is the initial concentration of chromium (VI)

The photocatalytic degradation of chromium (VI) in the presence of  $TiO_2$  exhibits pseudo first-order kinetics with respect to chromium (VI) concentration as in

$$-\frac{d[Cr]}{dt} = k_{obs}[Cr] = k_c \frac{K_{Cr}}{1 + K_{Cr}[Cr]_0}[Cr]$$
(4.25)

where  $k_{obs}$  is the observed pseudo first-order rate concentration for the photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI). Therefore, the integration of Equation (4.25) results in

$$\ln\left(\frac{[Cr]_0}{[Cr]}\right) = k_{obs}t \tag{4.26}$$

Based on Equation (4.26), the straight-line relationship of  $ln([Cr]_0/[Cr])$  versus irradiation time, *t*, was obtained as listed in Table 4.11.

Next, the relationship between  $k_{obs}$  and  $[Cr]_0$  from Eq. (4.25) can be expressed with Equation (4.27)

$$\frac{1}{k_{obs}} = \frac{1}{k_c K_{Cr}} + \frac{[Cr]_0}{k_c}$$
(4.27)

Equation (4.27) shows that the linear expression also can be obtained by plotting the reciprocal of degradation rate  $(1/k_{obs})$  as a function of the initial chromium (VI) concentration.

To obtain a kinetic value for both  $k_c$  and  $K_{cr}$ , the experiment with initial chromium (VI) concentration as of 60, 70, 80, and 90 mg/L were added. The values of  $k_{obs}$  from pseudo-first order equation were calculated and shown in Table 4.11.

**Table 4.11** Values of  $k_{obs}$  used in Langmuir-Hinshelwood model for photocatalytic process using TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG for chromium (VI) removal

Initial	k <sub>obs</sub>	$R^2$	% Removal	Final
concentration of	(min <sup>-+</sup> )			concentration of
chromium (VI)				chromium (VI)
(mg/L)				(mg/L)
60	0.0025	0.9789	40.84	35.49
70	0.0024	0.9899	39.59	42.29
75	0.0022	0.9803	44.39	41.71
80	0.0020	0.9820	34.30	52.56
90	0.0018	0.9910	31.48	61.67
100	0.0016	0.9833	30.23	69.77

Figure 4.18 shows that the linear expression also can be obtained by plotting the reciprocal of degradation rate  $(l/k_{obs})$  as a function of the initial chromium (VI) concentration. By means of a least square best fitting procedure, the values of the adsorption equilibrium constant  $(K_{Cr})$ , and the second-order rate constant  $(k_c)$  were obtained, and this value found to be  $K_{Cr} = 0.287$  L/mg and  $k_c = 0.168$  mg/L-min ( $R^2 = 0.9687$ ), respectively.



Figure 4.18 Photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) using TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG

#### 4.2 Effect of PEG stabilizing agent

#### 4.2.1 Formation of crystal with PEG

#### 4.2.1.1 Formation of crystal with different molar ratios of PEG

In this work, to investigate the effect of PEG on the formation of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$ , the different mole ratios of PEG were varied. However, the calcination temperature was kept constant at 450 °C. The mole ratios of TTiP:PEG included 1:0, 1:0.5:, 1:1. 1:1.5, and 1:2.0. The crystal structures of  $TiO_2$  from each condition obtaining from X-ray diffraction analysis are illustrated in Figure 4.19 and the corresponding surface morphology of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals are shown in Figures 4.20 (a)-(e).



Figure 4.19 XRD spectrum showing Crystal structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> obtained from different mole ratio of TTiP:PEG

As shown in the X-ray diffraction patterns of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles in Figure 4.19, anatase phase was the predominant structure in all synthesis conditions. A major peak corresponding to (1 0 1) reflections of the anatase phase of  $TiO_2$  is shown at the angle at 25.36°, while the minor peaks are appeared at 48.15° and 54.05°. Peaks for rutile morphology could be found at the angle at 27.39°. It was also found the intensity of anatase peaks was not significantly different as the molar ratio of PEG increased.



(c) TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG, TTiP:PEG is 1:1.0

(d)  $TiO_2$  with PEG, TTiP:PEG is 1:1.5



(e) TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG, TTiP:PEG is 1:2.0

Figure 4.20 SEM images showing surface morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals obtained from different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

Recalling that in previous section for the formation of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  with DEG, it was found that DEG delays the phase transformation of anatase to rutile phase with mole ratio of TTiP:DEG higher than 1:0.5. With the same consideration, it is found that with addition of PEG, the rutile phase was disappeared. This behavior is clearly illustrated by the percentage values of anatase to rutile in each condition as calculated and shown in Table 4.12.

**Table 4.12** Percentage of anatase and rutile phases in samples obtained with different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

Mole ratio of TTiP:PEG	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)
1:0.0	72.24	27.76
1:0.5	100.00	0.00
1:1.0	100.00	0.00
1:1.5	100.00	0.00
1:2.0	100.00	0.00

The percentage of anatase:rutile of  $TiO_2$  without PEG was calculated as equal to 72.24:27.76. With PEG addition, the rutile phase was not formed at all. From this work, it is clear that PEG halts the progressive of phase transformation from anatase to rutile phase.

Morphologies of  $TiO_2$  with different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG are shown in Figure 4.20. From pictures of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals, it is obviously that PEG also exerts pronounce effect on reducing of nanocrystal size. As the amount of PEG increase, the  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal size tends to decrease. Apparently, comparing with the  $TiO_2$  morphology in Figure 4.21 (a), the size of  $TiO_2$  with PEG has relatively smaller than that of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents.

The crystal size of  $TiO_2$  nanopowder as a function of mole ratio of TTiP:PEG is illustrated at Figure 4.21. In this work, it is found that as the amount of PEG increased, the size of  $TiO_2$  crystallites slightly decreased. The crystallite size of anatase phase was decreased from 21.10 nm without any PEG to be 7.91 nm with the 1:2.0 TTiP:PEG. The results suggest that adding PEG the crystallite size of  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles as the same way as DEG does. As a consequence, PEG is expected to

have significant influence on photocatalytic activity due to the increased active surface area of the smaller size of nanoparticles.



Figure 4.21 Nanocrystal size of TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of PEG

The BET surface area of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> was also determined and the results are shown in Figure 4.22. As discussed previously that PEG tends to decrease the crystalline size of TiO<sub>2</sub>, this effect is confirmed by the measurement of surface area. If the crystalline size of TiO<sub>2</sub> decreases, the surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub> will be increase simultaneously. The effect of PEG on enhancing the surface area is clearly seen in Figure 4.22. Without any stabilizing agents, the surface area of crystalline size was 83.73 m<sup>2</sup>/g. With the PEG addition to the preparation method with the 1:0.5 TTiP:PEG, the surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub> became 208.44 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Moreover, surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub> was gradually increased with the increasing of amount of PEG in the sol solution. Finally, the highest surface area of 263.58 m<sup>2</sup>/g was obtained with the 1:2.0 TTiP:PEG.

In terms of porosity of the sample set, the pore volumes and the diameter of pores are shown in Table 4.13. In comparison of pore volume and pore size between  $TiO_2$  with PEG and  $TiO_2$  without PEG, the pore volume was increased with the addition of PEG as the pore volume was  $0.127 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$  for  $TiO_2$  without PEG and this value was changed to  $0.121-0.132 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$  for  $TiO_2$  with PEG. In parallel, the diameter of pore size was 5.98 nm for  $TiO_2$  without PEG and this value was decreased in the range of 3.10-3.35 nm for  $TiO_2$  with PEG. It is worth to note that the differences in

pore volume and pore size with different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG were not obvious in this work.



Figure 4.22 Surface area of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of PEG

Mole ratio of TTiP:PEG	Pore volume	Diameter of pore size
	(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	(nm)
1:0	0.127	5.981
1:0.5	0.184	3.201
1:1.0	0.131	3.103
1:1.5	0.132	3.353
1:2.0	0.121	3.141

Table 4.13 Porosity of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of PEG

### 4.2.1.2 Photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal $TiO_2$ with different amounts of PEG

Effects of different amounts of PEG on photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  were studied. In this experimental set,  $TiO_2$  nanoparticles prepared from different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG in the range of 1:0 - 1:2.0 were used and the amount of  $TiO_2$  in each study was fixed at 3 g/L. The experiments in this section were divided into two parts, adsorption and irradiation processes.

In adsorption process, the highest concentrations of adsorbed chromium (VI) on different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> surface were determined. Results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.23. It was found that the contact time to reach the equilibrium was 60 minutes. Apparently, the equilibrium concentrations of chromium (VI) in the aqueous solution using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> were not significantly different. The adsorbed chromium (VI) was in the range of 0.692 - 1.182 mg Cr(VI)/g TiO<sub>2</sub>. Adsorption data reveal that the 4.15 - 7.10% of the total amount of chromium (VI) in the reactor was adsorbed on the catalyst surface.



Figure 4.23 Adsorption of Cr (VI) on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) under irradiation process for the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG was compared. The ratio of residual to initial concentration of chromium (VI) in term of  $C/C_0$  as a function of irradiation time was illustrated in Figure 4.24. It is obvious that the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with PEG provided the higher efficiency in chromium (VI) removal comparing to the TiO<sub>2</sub> without PEG. In consideration of treating efficiency of chromium (VI) using different mole ratio of TTiP:PEG, it was found that there is not much difference in chromium (VI) removal from each condition as presented in the previous section, the nanoparticle size, pore volume, and pore size were not much different when TTiP:PEG was in the range of 1:1.0 –1:2.0. Therefore, the photocatalytic activities obtained from these three conditions were not significantly different.



Figure 4.24 Photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) using different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

The plot of  $k_{obs}$  as a function of the amount of PEG presented in titania from each condition is shown in Figure 4.25. This figure shows that  $k_{obs}$  obtained from TiO<sub>2</sub> with mole ration of TTiP:PEG as 1:2.0 was slightly higher than other conditions (Table 4.14). This behavior can be explained in terms of the surface area. The photocatalytic reaction is confined mostly to the surface of the TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles under illumination. Therefore, the higher the surface area, the more the photocatalytic reaction occurs (Yoon et al., 2006) and the mole ratio of 1:2.0 TTiP:PEG provided the smallest size of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub>, highest surface area and porosity, the highest photocatalytic activity could be found at this condition.



Figure 4.25 Comparison of photocatalytic decomposition rates using different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

**Table 4.14** Value of  $k_{obs}$  and chromium removal efficiencies of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared from different mole ratios of TTiP:PEG

Mole ratio of	k <sub>obs</sub>	$R^2$	% Removal
TTiP:PEG	(mg/L-min)		
1: 0.0	0.1041	0.9898	47.67
1:0.5	0.1712	0.9939	71.89
1:1.0	0.1754	0.9850	73.29
1:1.5	0.1785	0.9934	73.88
1:2.0	0.1813	0.9926	75.40

#### 4.2.2 Crystal growth of TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG

#### 4.2.2.1 Effect of calcination temperatures on nanocrystal TiO2 with PEG

To study the effect of calcination temperature on nanocrystal  $TiO_2$ , the mole ratio of TTiP:PEG was fixed at 1:1.0 and the calcination temperatures were varied as 300, 450, 500, 600, and 800 °C. The crystal structures of  $TiO_2$  from each condition obtaining from X-ray diffraction analysis are illustrated in Figure 4.26.



Figure 4.26 Crystal structures of TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG stabilizing agents in different calcination temperatures

As shown in Figure 4.26, anatase phase with a major peak corresponding to (1 0 1) was the predominant structure for the TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 300-600 °C. The growth of anatase was increased with the higher calcination temperature as shown in the figure. Rutile phase with a major peak corresponding to (1 1 0) was developed with the 600 °C calcination temperature while the intensity corresponding to the anatase phase was reduced sharply. It is worth to note that the transformation of anatase to rutile phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> without PEG was developed at 450 °C and the transformation phase was developed at 800 °C with PEG addition. Percentages of anatase and rutile phases were also calculated to compare the phase transformation of TiO<sub>2</sub> between TiO<sub>2</sub> synthesis with PEG stabilizing agents and without PEG as shown in Table 4.15.

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without sta	bilizing agents	TiO <sub>2</sub> wit	h PEG
temperature (°C)	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)	Anatase (%)	Rutile (%)
300 °C	100.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
450 °C	72.24	27.76	100.00	0.00
500 °C	42.02	57.98	100.00	0.00
600 °C	11.59	88.41	86.52	13.48
800 °C	0.00	100.00	0.00	100.00

 Table 4.15 Percentages of anatase and rutile phases in samples obtained from

 different calcination temperatures

From this experimental set, rutile phase in  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents was formed in lower calcination temperature than the  $TiO_2$  with PEG. At the 500 °C calcination temperature almost 60% of anatase phase in the  $TiO_2$  without PEG has been transformed into rutile but no rutile phase was formed in the  $TiO_2$  with PEG. With the same trend, at the 600 °C calcination temperature percentage ratio of anatase to rutile was 11.59:88.41 in the  $TiO_2$  without PEG, while this ratio was changed to 86.52:13.48 with the addition of PEG. At 800 °C, anatase was disappeared for both  $TiO_2$  without PEG and  $TiO_2$  with PEG.

Apparently, results from this work indicate that PEG also delays the transformation of anatase to rutile phase at a high temperature, which is the same effect with DEG but the deferral is shown in different calcination temperature.

The surface morphologies of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystals from each condition are shown in Figures 4.27 (a)-(e). It is obvious that the surface morphological was different with the variation of different calcination temperatures in the range of 300-600 °C. The effect of the calcination time upon the grain size of  $TiO_2$  powders is clearly shown in this figure. As calcination temperature was lower than 450 °C, the prolongation of calcination time has little influence upon the particle size. However when the calcination temperature was leveled up, the obvious influence upon grain size was found. The size of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  obtained at 800°C calcination temperature was the biggest size comparing to those obtained from the lower calcination temperatures.



- (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 300 °C
- (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 450 °C



- (c) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 500 °C
- (d) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 600 °C



(e) TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 800°C

Figure 4.27 SEM images showing surface morphology of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal with PEG in different calcination temperatures

To determine that growth of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  was dependent on the calcination temperature, the sizes of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  from each condition were calculated using Debye-Scherrer equation. Summary of size variation of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal synthesized with PEG stabilizing agent and the calcination temperatures

is shown in Table 4.16. The size variation of  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal synthesized without any stabilizing agents is also included in the same table for comparison.

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without PEG		TiO <sub>2</sub> wit	th PEG
temperature	Anatase	Rutile	Anatase	Rutile
(°C)	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)
300	9.31	-	-	-
450	21.10	37.45	9.89	-
500	31.03	56.18	18.40	-
600	39.57	76.24	32.97	73.61
800	-	76.24	-	142.32

**Table 4.16** Size of anatase and rutile  $TiO_2$  in samples prepared at different calcinationtemperatures

Considering the effect of PEG on particle growth of  $TiO_2$ , it was found that, in average, size of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  with PEG stabilizing agent was smaller than those without PEG. Normally, the particle size of anatase is smaller than the rutile. As discussed previously, at the calcination temperature lower than 600 °C, the phase of  $TiO_2$  with PEG was fully anatase, while phase of  $TiO_2$  without PEG contained both anatase and rutile. The difference in phase of  $TiO_2$  is expected to be the result of the difference in nanosize of  $TiO_2$ .

At the calcination temperature of 300 °C, TiO<sub>2</sub> was in amorphous form, thus, the nanosize cannot be defined. As the calcination temperature increased to 450 °C, the nanosizes of TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG in anatase form were determined as 9.89 nm. This value was increased to 18.40 nm with higher calcination temperature at 500 °C. Above 600 °C, growth of TiO<sub>2</sub> was clearly observed due to the transformation of anatase to rutile phase. For rutile, the crystal size was increased drastically from 73.61 nm at 600°C to 142.32 nm at 800°C. For anatase, the nanocrystal size of titania was reduced from 32.97 nm at 600 °C calcination temperature to 9.89 nm at 450 °C.

Table 4.17 shows the surface area of  $TiO_2$  prepared from the range of above calcination temperatures. It can be clearly seen that, when comparing the surface areas of  $TiO_2$  without PEG and  $TiO_2$  with PEG, PEG not only decreases the size of nanoparticles but also increases the surface area of nanoparticles. When varying calcination temperature, the surface area of  $TiO_2$  was drastically changed. As

calcination temperature increased, the size of nanoparticle increases resulting in the reduced surface area. Moreover, the calcination temperature leads to the phase transformation from anatase to rutile yielding the increasing of pore diameter with the exception when  $TiO_2$  is in the amorphous phase, which is formed at 300 °C calcination temperature.

**Table 4.17** Surface area and pore diameter of  $TiO_2$  prepared with and without PEG at different calcination temperatures

Calcination	TiO <sub>2</sub> without PEG		n TiO <sub>2</sub> without PEG		TiO <sub>2</sub> w	vith PEG
temperature	Surface area	Pore diameter	Surface area	Pore diameter		
(°C)	(m <sup>2</sup> /g)	(nm)	(m <sup>2</sup> /g)	(nm)		
300 °C	174.50	2.285	1.58	1.501		
450 °C	83.73	5.981	199.40	3.103		
500 °C	22.20	5.914	109.60	4.969		
600 °C	0.52	17.830	30.97	9.797		
800 °C	0.43	12.670	1.17	31.670		

### 4.2.2.2 Photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared with DEG at different calcination temperatures

Effects of calcination temperature on photocatalytic activity of nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  were studied. In this experimental set,  $TiO_2$  nanopowders obtained previously at calcination temperatures in the range of 300 °C to 600 °C were used and the amount of  $TiO_2$  in each study was fixed at 3 g/L. The experiments in this section were divided into two parts, adsorption and irradiation process.

In adsorption process, the highest concentrations of adsorbed chromium (VI) on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> were determined. Results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.28. It was found that the contact time to reach the equilibrium was 60 minutes. The equilibrium concentrations of chromium (VI) in the aqueous solution using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> were considerably different. The adsorbed chromium (VI) was in the range of 0.309-0.995 mg Cr (VI)/g TiO<sub>2</sub>. Adsorption data revealed that the 1.86-5.97% of the total amount of chromium (VI) in the reactor was adsorbed on the catalyst surface. Generally, the major factor affecting the adsorption process is surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, since the TiO<sub>2</sub> from 450 °C

calcination temperature provided the smallest size of 4.14 nm and the highest surface area of 199.40  $m^2/g$ , the TiO<sub>2</sub> from this condition can attract the highest amount of chromium (VI) on the particle surface.



Figure 4.28 Adsorption of chromium (VI) on the surface of TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared At different calcination temperatures as a function of time

The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) under irradiation process for the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with different calcination temperatures was compared. The ratio of residual to initial concentration of chromium (VI) in term of  $C/C_0$  as a function of irradiation time was illustrated in Figure 4.29. It obvious that the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared at 500 °C calcination temperature provided the highest efficiency in chromium (VI) removal compared to other conditions. It is worth to note that the TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared at 450°C calcination temperature provided the highest amount of chromium (VI) adsorption on titania surface, while the TiO<sub>2</sub> prepared at 500°C calcination temperature provided the highest amount of chromium (VI) reduction upon irradiation process. This may be due to the fact that beyond 600 °C there was the transformation of anatase to rutile phase. Moreover, the growth of TiO<sub>2</sub> crystal was clearly seen as the crystal in rutile phase was bigger than the crystal of anatase phase yielding the decreasing in surface area of the titania. With both factors (crystal phase and surface area), the decreasing of photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) was seen in this experiment.





In term of kinetics, the photocatalytic reduction reactions of chromium (VI) of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> obtained from various calcination temperatures were followed the zero-order pattern. The values of  $k_{obs}$  were calculated and the plots of  $k_{obs}$  as a function of calcination temperature are shown in Figure 4.30. This figure shows that  $k_{obs}$ increased with increasing calcination temperatures. However after reached the highest value for sample of 500 °C calcination temperature, the value of  $k_{obs}$  decreased. From this information, the photocatalytic activity as represented by the  $k_{obs}$  is mainly governed by the anatase phase of TiO<sub>2</sub>. At calcination temperature higher than 500 °C, crystal phase was transformed to rutile, causing the decreased of photocatalytic activity. Moreover, in PEG addition, the crystal size of TiO<sub>2</sub> was strongly depended on the calcination temperature, as the rutile is formed, the crystal growth is clearly observed and the surface area of TiO<sub>2</sub> crystal is drastically decreased. The decreasing in surface area of  $TiO_2$  is another reason that might effect in the decreasing of the photocatalytic activity as well in this case. Thus, in overall consideration, the TiO<sub>2</sub> with 500 °C calcination temperature provided the best condition for chromium (VI) removal.



Figure 4.30 Comparison of photocatalytic decomposition rates using different calcination temperatures

#### 4.2.3 Determination of adsorption isotherm of TiO2 with PEG as additive

To obtain the adsorption characteristic of  $TiO_2$  with PEG, it is necessary to determine the adsorption isotherm of the titania. The best condition learned from the previous section (TTiP:PEG mole ratio = 1:1, and 500 °C calcination temperature) was used in this part. The adsorption of chromium (VI) with  $TiO_2$  as the initial concentration of chromium (VI) varied in the range of 10-100 mg/L was conducted. The results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.31. It is obvious that the amount of chromium (VI) adsorbed on titania surface is increased with increasing initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the water.

To obtain the adsorption isotherm of  $TiO_2$ , the plot of adsorbed chromium (VI) on titania surface (mg Cr(VI)/mg TiO<sub>2</sub>) versus the concentration of chromium (VI) in the solution after reaching the equilibrium (mg/L) was performed as shown in Figure 4.32. The value of adsorbed chromium (VI) on titania surface was increased with increasing of the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI) until it reached the certain value and the plateau of the adsorption pattern was obtained.

The values of chromium (VI) adsorption at equilibrium condition were used further in determining adsorption isotherm in both Langmuir and Freundlich equations.



Figure 4.31 Adsorption of chromium (VI) on the surface of  $TiO_2$ at different initial concentration of chromium (VI) as a function of time



**Figure 4.32** Plot of chomium (VI) equilibrium concentration vs. chromium (VI) adsorbed concentration on TiO<sub>2</sub> surface

The Langmuir equation was applied for the adsorption equilibrium of chromium (VI) onto TiO, surfaces. The Langmuir equation is shown below:

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = \frac{1}{(Q_0 b)} + \frac{C_e}{Q_0}$$
(4.28)

where  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI), mg/L, (x/m) is the amount of adsorbed chromium (VI) at equilibrium per unit mass of TiO<sub>2</sub>, mg/g, and  $Q_0$  and b are Langmuir constants related to adsorptive capacity and energy of adsorption, respectively.

The linear plot of  $C_e(x/m)$  vs.  $C_e$  in Figure 4.33 shows that the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface obeys the Langmuir adsorption isotherm. The correlation coefficient for the linear regression fit of the Langmuir plot was found to be 0.9654. The expressed equation is

$$\frac{C_e}{(x/m)} = 0.7477C_e + 30.749 \tag{4.29}$$



Figure 4.33 Langmuir adsorption isotherm plots for the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the  $TiO_2$  surface

The Langmuir constants  $Q_0$  and b were determined from the Langmuir plots and found to be 1.34 mg/g and 0.024 L/mg respectively. The essential characteristic of the Langmuir isotherm can be expressed in terms of a dimensionless constant separation factor or equilibrium parameter,  $R_L$ . The values of  $R_L$  in this experiment set

Initial concentration of	$R_L$ Value
chromium (VI)	
(mg/L)	
10	0.85
25	0.69
50	0.52
75	0.42
100	0.36

Table 4.18 Value of  $R_L$  for Langmuir adsorption isotherm for TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG

The Freundlich equation was also applied to describe the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the  $TiO_2$  surface. The Freundlich equation is basically empirical, and generally agrees with the experimental data over a moderate range of adsorbate concentrations. The Freundlich isotherm is represented by the equation (Mckay et al., 1982)

$$\log(x/m) = (1/n)\log C_{e} + \log k_{f}$$
(4.30)

where  $C_e$  is the equilibrium concentration of chromium (VI), mg/L, (x/m) is the amount of adsorbed chromium (VI) at equilibrium per unit mass of TiO<sub>2</sub>, mg/g, and  $k_f$  and n are the Freundlich constants.

The linear plot of log (x/m) vs. log  $C_e$  in Figure 4.34 shows that the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto the TiO<sub>2</sub> surface obeys the Freundlich adsorption isotherm.



**Figure 4.34** Freundlich adsorption isotherm plots for the adsorption of chromium (VI) onto TiO<sub>2</sub> surface

The expressed equation is

$$\log(x/m) = 0.6138 \log C_e - 1.1993 \tag{4.31}$$

The correlation coefficient for the Freundlich plot was found to be 0.9523, which was less than that from the Langmuir plot. The value of correlation coefficient of chromium (VI) adsorption indicates that the adsorption behavior of chromium (VI) onto  $\text{TiO}_2$  tends to be a monolayer adsorption as described by the Langmuir isotherm rather than the Freundlich isotherm.

## 4.2.4 Determination of kinetic values following Langmuir-Hinshelwood Model for TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG stabilizing agent

Additional kinetic values were obtained using Langmuir-Hinshelwood model. The best condition from the previous section (TTiP:PEG mole ratio = 1:1, and 500°C calcination temperature) is used in this part. The photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) by  $TiO_2$  with variation of initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the range of 10-100 mg/L was conducted. The results of this experimental part are shown in Figure 4.35. It is obvious that the amount of chromium (VI) adsorbed on titania surface is increased with the increasing of initial concentration of chromium (VI) in the water. The values of  $k_{obs}$  from zero-order and pseudo-first order equations were also determined as shown in Table 4.19 and Table 4.20.



using different initial concentration of chromium (VI) as a function of time

Table	4.19	Values	of k <sub>obs</sub>	from	zero-order	equation	for	photocatalytic	process	using
TiO <sub>2</sub> v	vith P	EG for	chromi	um (V	I) removal					

Initial	k <sub>obs</sub>	$R^2$	% Removal	Final concentration of
concentration of	(mg/L-min)			chromium (VI)
chromium (VI)				(mg/L)
(mg/L)				
10	0.4347	0.9773	100.00	0.00
25	0.4381	0.9902	100.00	0.00
50	0.3235	0.9830	100.00	0.00
75	0.2018	0.9922	42.32	43.26
100	0.1556	0.9922	35.00	65.00

Initial concentration of chromium (VI) (mg/L)	k <sub>obs</sub> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	% Removal	Final concentration of chromium (VI) (mg/L)
10	0.1572	0.7316	100.00	0.00
25	0.0317	0.8570	100.00	0.00
50	0.0080	0.9049	100.00	0.00
75	0.0023	0.9966	42.32	43.26
100	0.0018	0.9993	35.00	65.00

**Table 4.20** Values of  $k_{obs}$  from pseudo-first order equation for photocatalytic process using TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG for chromium (VI) removal

Considering the pattern of kinetic equation, the photocatalytic reduction reactions of chromium (VI) by  $TiO_2$  with PEG can be represented by zero-order pattern when initial concentration was relatively low in the range of 10-50 mg/L. However, as concentration of chromium (VI) was leveled up, the kinetic pattern was changed from the zero-order pattern to the first-order pattern as represented by the R<sup>2</sup> values. The change of the reaction behavior can be explained that at low level of chromium (VI) concentration, the reaction was dependent only on the reaction time and it was independent from the concentration of chromium (VI) in the aqueous solution. The surface of titania was not the limitation of the reaction. As chromium (VI) concentration increased with the fixed dosage of TiO<sub>2</sub> in the system, the reaction became dependent on both concentration of chromium (VI) and the reaction time. Results from this experiment set provide the important information that at low level of contaminant concentration, the reaction is best described by zero-order pattern and at high level of contaminant concentration, the reaction is best described by pseudo first-order pattern.

The value of  $k_{obs}$  obtained from the pseudo first order pattern can be used further to find the intrinsic kinetic coefficient occurred during irradiation process of photocatalysis. The intrinsic kinetic values of photocatalysis can be determined from the Langmuir-Hinshelwood model. To obtain a kinetic value for both  $k_c$  and  $K_{cr}$ , the experiment with initial chromium (VI) concentration as of 60, 70, 80, and 90 mg/L were added. The values of  $k_{obs}$  from pseudo-first order equation were calculated and shown in Table 4.21.

Initial concentration of chromium (VI) (mg/L)	k <sub>obs</sub> (min <sup>-1</sup> )	R <sup>2</sup>	% Removal	Final concentration of chromium (VI) (mg/L)
60	0.0027	0.9955	43.28	34.03
70	0.0024	0.9990	42.45	40.29

0.9966

0.9970

0.9985

0.9993

42.32

37.00

36.52

35.00

43.26

50.40

57.13

65.00

75

80

90

100

0.0023

0.0022

0.0020

0.0018

**Table 4.21** Values of  $k_{obs}$  used in Langmuir-Hinshelwood model for photocatalytic process using TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG for chromium (VI) removal

Figure 4.36 shows that the linear expression also can be obtained by plotting the reciprocal of degradation rate  $(l/k_{obs})$  as a function of the initial chromium (VI) concentration. By means of a least square best fitting procedure, the values of the adsorption equilibrium constant  $(K_{Cr})$ , and the second-order rate constant  $(k_c)$  were obtained, and this value found to be  $K_{Cr} = 0.480$  L/mg and  $k_c = 0.220$  mg/L min ( $R^2 = 0.9958$ ), respectively.



**Figure 4.36** Photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) using TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG as a function of initial concentration of chromium (VI)



### 4.3 Roles of DEG and PEG 600 on TiO<sub>2</sub> Properties and Photocatalytic Activity 4.3.1 Roles of DEG and PEG 600 on the formation of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub>

As shown in previous sections, both DEG and PEG 600 exerted key effects on  $TiO_2$  properties and photocatalytic activity. The difference of DEG and PEG 600 is only the short chain and long chain of polyethylene glycol respectively as exhibited in Figure 4.37.

	НН НН         OH-C-C-O-C-C-OH         НН НН	Н Н ОН-{-С-С-О-} <sub>п</sub> Н Н Н
	(a)	(b)
	DEG	<b>PEG 600</b>
Formula	(C2H4O)2·H2O	(C2H4O)n H2O
M.W.	62.07	600

Figure 4.37 Molecular structures and formula of DEG and PEG 600

Considering roles of DEG and PEG 600 in forming of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub>, the XRD patterns for TiO<sub>2</sub> without any stabilizing agents, with DEG and with PEG 600 were compared as depicted in Figure 4.38. Note the phase transformations from anatase to rutile in each set of data. Both DEG and PEG 600 delay the transformation from anatase to rutile phase compared with the XRD patterns of TiO<sub>2</sub> without stabilizing agents. In comparison the effect of short and long chain of polyethylene glycol in DEG and PEG 600, the PEG accelerates the formation of rutile at a lower calcination temperature of 600 °C than the short chain of DEG in which the rutile phase appeared at 800 °C as shown in Figure 4.38. The lower temperature transformation of TiO<sub>2</sub> from anatase to resulted rutile phase by PEG 600 is expected to affect the overall properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> as well.

To discuss the residual of DEG and PEG 600 in the  $TiO_2$  crystal after calcination at high temperature process, the thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed in the temperature range of 40-800°C with a heating rate of 10°C/min in a dried nitrogen atmosphere to minimize oxidation. The thermo gravimetric analysis of  $TiO_2$  in three conditions: without any stabilizing agents, with DEG and with PEG 600 are shown in Figure 4.39.



Figure 4.38 XRD patterns showing crystal structures of various nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> after calcinated at temperature 300 - 800°C

83



(a) TiO<sub>2</sub> without any stabilizing agents



(b) TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG





Figure 4.39 Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) of various types of TiO<sub>2</sub>

It can be seen that, the weight loss proceeds in stages with increasing temperature. The most significant weight loss for these three preparation conditions, without any stabilizing agents, with DEG, and with PEG 600 occurred between 100-550°C. It is noted that there are two endothermic peaks in all three curves. The sharp and strong peak at approximately 100 °C and extended to 150 °C was attributed to the dehydration of absorbed water in the gel (Harizanov and Harizanova, 2000). The wide endothermic peak in the 150 - 340 °C temperature range may be caused by the decomposition of organic species such as alcohol in the gel. The wide exothermic peak in the range of 340-440 °C was due to the dehydration and combustion of organic substances contained in gel (Yu et al., 2000). Their positions and intensities were strongly dependent on the gel preparation process and its composition. This peak may correspond to the decomposition of organic additive (DEG or PEG 600) used in this work. In weight loss comparison, the percentages of weight loss of TiO<sub>2</sub> were 39, 48, and 87 % for TiO<sub>2</sub> without any stabilizing agents, with DEG and with PEG 600, respectively. It is obvious that the TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 had the highest percentage of weight loss. This may be due to the fact that PEG 600 has higher molecular weight compared to DEG. Moreover, PEG 600 was likely to be well decomposed below

440°C with the significant loss of PEG 600 itself. Thus, the  $TiO_2$  after calcination temperature higher than 440 °C does not contain any residual organic substances in its crystal.

As DEG and PEG 600 exerted almost similar effects on  $TiO_2$  nanocrystal, however, there are slight differences of  $TiO_2$  properties obtained from DEG and PEG 600. Table 4.22 summarized the properties of  $TiO_2$  prepared without any stabilizing agents, with DEG and with PEG 600.

When consider the effect of DEG and PEG 600 on the crystallite size of  $TiO_2$ , we chose to review 500 °C calcination temperature where nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  were in anatase form. At this temperature, the crystallite size of  $TiO_2$  with PEG600 is smaller than that of  $TiO_2$  with DEG (18.40 nm and 20.82 nm, respectively). The surface area was also in an inversed proportion with crystallite size. As the size of  $TiO_2$  was smaller the higher the surface area was obtained. The pore volume also tends to increase, whereas the pore diameter at this temperature also increased in case of  $TiO_2$ with PEG 600.

Type of TiO <sub>2</sub>	Calcined	Crystal Phase	Crystallite	Surface area	Pore volume	Pore size
	Temperature		size (nm)	(cm <sup>2</sup> /g)	(cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	(nm)
	(°C)					
TiO <sub>2</sub> w/o stabilizing agents	300 °C	Anatase	9.31	174.5	0.0889	2.285
TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	300 °C	Amorphous	-	1.63	0.00421	9.391
TiO <sub>2</sub> with PEG 600	300 °C	Amorphous	-	1.58	0.005	1.501
TiO <sub>2</sub> w/o stabilizing agents	500 °C	Anatase (42.02 %) +	31.03 (a)	22.2	0.03427	5.914
		Rutile (57.98 %)	56.18 (r)			
TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	500 °C	Anatase	20.82	82.25	0.09258	4.362
TiO <sub>2</sub> with PEG 600	500 °C	Anatase	18.40	109.6	0.1393	4.969
TiO <sub>2</sub> w/o stabilizing agents	600 °C	Anatase (11.59 %) +	39.57 (a)	0.5187	0.002474	17.83
		Rutile (88.41 %)	76.24 (r)			
TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	600 °C	Anatase	32.97	4.23	0.009124	8.055
TiO <sub>2</sub> with PEG 600	600 °C	Anatase (86.52 %) +	31.65 (a)	30.97	0.06122	9.797
		Rutile (13.48 %)	73.61 (r)			
TiO <sub>2</sub> w/o stabilizing agents	800 °C	Rutile	76.24	0.434	0.001501	12.67
TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	800 °C	Anatase (5.52 %) +	83.30 (a)	3.11	0.025	15.25
in the second se		Rutile (94.48 %)	164.21 (r)			
TiO <sub>2</sub> with PEG 600	800 °C	Rutile	142.32	1.17	0.005	31.67

Table 4.22 Properties of as-synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub> at various calcined temperature

For TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600, a single anatase phase was observed up to the 500 °C calcination temperature. However, rutile phase emerged for the powder calcined at 600 °C. At this calcination temperature, the anatase crystal phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG was relatively unchanged. The rutile phase in TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 contained crystals of a although the anatase phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 was slightly smaller than that obtained from DEG. The surface area of nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 was  $30.97 \text{cm}^2/\text{g}$  while the surface area of anatase nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> with DEG was 4.23 cm<sup>2</sup>/g. The pore size of mixture phase in TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 at 600°C was also increased with the increasing of calcination temperature to 800°C.

At 800 °C calcination temperature, while  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents and  $TiO_2$  with PEG 600 were fully rutile phase, the  $TiO_2$  with DEG contained the mixture of a small portion of anatase and a bigger portion of rutile phase. Thus,  $TiO_2$ with DEG provided the highest surface area of 3.11 cm<sup>2</sup>/g and lowest pore size of 15.25 nm compared to  $TiO_2$  from other preparation conditions.

The different effects of the DEG and PEG 600 in phase transformation at different temperatures might be explained by the short and long chains of polyethylene glycol in their molecules. The roles of PEG were described previously in the structure-directing process in PEG in the precursor sol by Zhang et al., 2003. In sol-gel system, water can exist as three forms: combined water, bonded water and free water. In the PEG chain, the water absorbed through hydrogen bond is called combined water, which makes the chain structure of PEG loose, thus providing enough room to hold bonded water. After the formation of combined water and bonded water, the leftover water is free water (Bailey and Koleske, 1990). At the beginning, titanium tetraisopropoxide (TTiP) might hydrolyze by free water and condensed to form sol particles. However, these particles cannot be fully covered by PEG chain. When further aged, TTiP will be hydrolyzed by the bonded water and combined water to form more particles. At the same time, the loose structure of PEG chains become tight after losing bonded water and combined water. Thus, the particles can be covered by PEG chains more tightly to form "particles-PEG" complexes. The resulting complexes then self-assemble through cross-linking and polymerization to form mesoscopically ordered inorganic/polymer composites (Sun et al., 2000). The whole process for a long chain PEG can be simply described as shown in Figure 4.40.



Figure 4.40 The structure-directing process of PEG in the precursor sol (Zhang et al., 2003)

As shown in Figure 4.39, the thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) of TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG, both DEG and PEG 600 were well decomposed at 440 °C calcination temperature. When organic decomposed, the residual holes of organic substances was left as porosity in the TiO<sub>2</sub> structure. Another comparison of DEG and PEG, the long chain of PEG 600 can agglomerate the nanocrystal TiO<sub>2</sub> more than the short chain of DEG. Thus, with the linkage particles, PEG 600 can accumulate the anatase and transform from the anatase to rutile phase at the lower temperature as compared to DEG, i.e. 600 °C for PEG 600 and 800 °C for DEG, as shown in Table 4.22.

#### 4.3.2 Role of DEG and PEG 600 on photocatalytic activity

As DEG and PEG 600 affected the phase transformation from anatase to rutile, the photocatalytic activity of  $TiO_2$  should be changed according to the existing phase of the nanocrystal. In previous work (Wang et al., 2003), it has been reported that the rutile phase of  $TiO_2$  has a lesser photocatalytic activity than the anatase phase since the rutile phase possesses a slightly lower Fermi level and a lower degree of surface hydroxylation. In addition, excessive rutile phase is harmful to the photocatalytic activity of a powder, due to the large absorption coefficient and low photoactivity of the rutile phase (Wang et al., 2003).

To consider the role of DEG and PEG 600 on photocatalytic activity in chromium (VI) removal, the treatability of each type of  $TiO_2$  prepared at difference calcination temperatures were compared. The efficiencies in chromium (VI) removal using different types of  $TiO_2$  calcined at 300 °C are shown in Figure 4.41.



using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 300 °C

Figure 4.41 shows that  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents possesses the highest photocatalytic activity for the chromium (VI) removal among the three materials. This is due to the fact that the  $TiO_2$  was anatase, while  $TiO_2$  with DEG and with PEG 600 were in amorphous form. In addition, the  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents has the highest surface area compared to those two types of  $TiO_2$  (see Table 4.22).

As the calcination temperature was up to 500 °C, the rutile was formed in the mixture phase of  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents, while both two types of  $TiO_2$  with DEG and with PEG 600 were purely anatase. In addition, with higher molecular weight of PEG 600, the smaller in nanocrystal  $TiO_2$  were formed when compared with DEG. This background information in  $TiO_2$  properties were supported the reason that  $TiO_2$  with PEG 600 performed the highest efficiency in chromium (VI) removal as presented in Figure 4.42.

At 600 °C calcination temperature, the long chain of PEG accelerated the agglomerate of anatase leading to the transformation from anatase to rutile phase as the rutile content in TiO<sub>2</sub> was 13.48 vol. %, while the short chain of DEG persisted with the anatase phase of TiO<sub>2</sub>. The rutile content as of 88.41 vol. % was also existed in the mixture phase of TiO<sub>2</sub> without stabilizing agents. The comparison of chromium

(VI) removal efficiencies of different types of  $TiO_2$  is shown in Figure 4.43. The  $TiO_2$  with PEG provided the highest in chromium (VI) removal efficiency because of the pure anatase phase in  $TiO_2$  crystals.



**Figure 4.42** Efficiencies in chromium (VI) removal using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 500 °C





With the relatively high temperature of 800 °C, anatase phase in both  $TiO_2$  without any stabilizing agents and  $TiO_2$  with PEG 600 were fully changed to rutile phase while the mixed phase of anatase and rutile was seen in  $TiO_2$  with DEG. The

rutile content in  $TiO_2$  with DEG was 94.48 vol. % (Table 4.22). Thus, with rutile as the dominant crystal phase in all three types of  $TiO_2$ , the photocatalytic efficiency in all three cases are not considerably different (Figure 4.44).



Figure 4.44 Efficiencies in chromium (VI) removal using different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> calcined at 800 °C

#### 4.3.3 Role of DEG and PEG 600 on kinetic study of photocatalytic activity

As described in previous section (4.1 and 4.2) that the appropriate calcination temperature to synthesis  $TiO_2$  with DEG and PEG 600 was found to be 600°C and 500°C, respectively The kinetic studies of photocatalytic activity in chromium (VI) removal for both conditions were calculated and compared in this section. The appropriate calcination temperature for  $TiO_2$  without any additive was found at 300°C in which this condition provided the highest photocatalytic activity in chromium (VI) removal.

The photocatalytic activity of for  $TiO_2$  in three conditions, without additive, with DEG and with PEG, are shown in Figure 4.45. It was found that the  $TiO_2$  with PEG 600 has the lowest residual fraction chromium, therefore, provided the highest efficiency in chromium (VI) removal. It is worth to emphasize that in all three cases the crystal phase of  $TiO_2$  were pure anatase phase. However, if comparing amount of  $TiO_2$  appear in each case, it was found that the content of anatase phase in  $TiO_2$  crystal was in the order of PEG 600 > DEG > no additive. From above information, the highest amount of anatase presented in  $TiO_2$  crystal and high surface area of  $TiO_2$  obtained with PEG 600 addition might be the explanations that the  $TiO_2$  with PEG 600 is the best photocatalyst.





The values of  $k_c$  and  $K_{cr}$  of the three conditions, which were calculated following Langmuir-Hinshelwood model, were compared as shown in Table 4.23. Apparently, the TiO<sub>2</sub> with PEG 600 provided the highest value of  $K_{cr}$  representing the highest chromium (VI) adsorption on the surface of titania and the highest value of  $k_c$ illustrating the highest reaction rate in photocatalytic reduction of chromium (VI) upon irradiation process.

Types of TiO <sub>2</sub>	k <sub>c</sub>	K <sub>cr</sub>
	mg/L-min	L/mg
TiO <sub>2</sub> without additive	0.055	0.105
TiO <sub>2</sub> with DEG	0.168	0.287
TiO <sub>2</sub> with PEG 600	0.220	0.480

**Table 4.23** Comparison of  $k_c$  and  $K_{cr}$  for three types of TiO<sub>2</sub>