GLUCOSE EVOLUTION FROM CASSAVA RESIDUE BY MICROBIAL HYDROLYSIS USING BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM THAI HIGHER TERMITES



Pitcha Wongskeo

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,

Case Western Reserve University, and Institut Français du Pétrole
2012

Thesis Title:

Glucose Evolution from Cassava Residue by Microbial

Hydrolysis Using Bacteria Isolated from Thai Higher

Termites

By:

Pitcha Wongskeo

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisor:

Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit

Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

Thesis Committee:

(Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

(Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

(Assoc. Prof. Ratana Rujiravanit)

Rafana Rujiravanit

(ASSOC. FIOI. Katalia Kujiravallit)

sented sinanualge

(Prof. Suntud Sirianuntapiboon)

ABSTRACT

5371038063: Petrochemical Technology Program

Pitcha Wongskeo: Glucose Evolution from Cassava Residue by Microbial Hydrolysis Using Bacteria Isolated from Thai Higher

Termites

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit and Prof. Sumaeth

Chavadej

Keywords: Hydrolysis/ Termites/ Glucose/ Cassava

The possibility of using cassava residue from a bioethanol plant containing 49.66 % starch, 21.47 % cellulose, 12.97 % hemicellulose, and 21.86 % lignin as a raw material to produce glucose using enzymatic hydrolysis was investigated. In the experiment, each reactor contained cassava residue, bacteria cells, and production medium. The effects of particles size (40 mesh, 60 mesh and 80 mesh), strain of bacteria (A002 and M015) isolated from Thai higher termites, *Microcerotermes* sp., reaction temperature (30°C and 37°C), and concentration of secondary carbon source on the sugars concentration were tested. High performance liquid chromatography was used to determine the quantity of sugars. The maximum sugar concentration obtained at 30 °C using strain A002 and 80 mesh cassava residue was 1.44 g/L at 7 h. The optimum quantity of malt extract was 10 g/L.

บทคัดย่อ

พิชชา วงษ์แก้ว: การผลิตกลูโคสจากกากมันสำปะหลังโดยใช้แบคทีเรียจากปลวกขั้นสูง (Glucose Evolution from Cassava Residue by Microbial Hydrolysis Using Bacteria Isolated from Thai Higher Termites) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: รศ. คร. ปราโมช รังสรรค์วิจิตร และ ศ. คร. สุเมธ ชวเคช 84 หน้า

งานวิจัยนี้วิเคราะห์ความเป็นไปได้ในการผลิตกลูโคส โดยใช้แบคทีเรียจากปลวกขั้นสูงเพื่อ ย่อยกากมันสำปะหลัง ซึ่งเป็นผลิตภัณฑ์เหลือใช้ จาก บริษัท ทรัพย์ทิพย์ จำกัด กากมันสำปะหลัง ที่ ศึกษาประกอบด้วย คาร์โบไฮเครต 49.66 % เซลลูโลส 21.47 % เฮมิเซลลูโลส 12.97 % และลิกนิน 21.86 % กระบวนการผลิตกลูโคสในเครื่องปฏิกรณ์ ซึ่งประกอบด้วย กากมันสำปะหลัง แบคทีเรีย และ แหล่งอาหารของ แบคทีเรีย การทดลองศึกษาผลกระทบของคัวแปรต่างๆ ดังนี้ ขนาดของกาก มัน สำปะหลัง 40 60 และ 80 เมช สายพันธุ์แบคทีเรียจากปลวกขั้นสูง ชนิด เอ 002 และเอ็ม 015 อุณหภูมิที่ ใช้ในการย่อย ที่ 30 และ 37 องศาเซลเซียส และปริมาณแหล่งอาหารของแบคทีเรีย โดยการ ลดปริมาณ ของมอลท์ สกัด จากปกติ 10 กรัมต่อลิตร เหลือ 5 กรัมต่อลิตร และ 1 กรัมต่อลิตร ผลิตภัณฑ์ที่ได้ วิเคราะห์โดย เครื่อง HPLC (high performance liquid chromatography) โดยสภาวะ ที่ให้ปริมาณ กลูโคสสูงสุด ที่ 1.44 กรัมต่อลิตร คือ การย่อยกากมันสำปะหลังขนาด 80 เมชด้วย แบคทีเรียสายพันธ์ เอ 002 ที่ 30 องศาเซลเซียส และปริมาณมอลท์สกัดที่เพียงพอสำหรับ แบคทีเรีย คือ 10 กรัมต่อลิตร

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis work would have never been possible without the assistance of the following persons and organizations:

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit and Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej for all of their excellent guidance, useful recommendations, creative comments, intensive attention, and encouragement throughout the course of research. They have not only taught me about the theoretical knowledge but also made me realize in myself that this research is very challenging. I feel proud to have been their student.

Furthermore, I would like to thank Mr. Paramet Kerdkaew and Ms. Wannaporn Eourarekullart at the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalonglongkorn University, for advices and friendships throughout my research.

I would like to express my sincere thank to the Thaioil and the Center of excellence on Petrochemical and Materials Technology under the Ministry of Education, Thailand for providing the financial support for this thesis work.

My gratitude is absolutely extended to all staffs of the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, for all their kind assistance and cooperation.

Finally, I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of my PPC friends for their friendly assistance, cheerfulness, and encouragement. Also, I am greatly indebted to my parents and my family for their support, love, and understanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
Tit	le Page	i
Ab	stract (in English)	iii
Ab	stract (in Thai)	iv
Ac	knowledgements	v
Ta	ble of Contents	vi
Lis	et of Tables	ix
Lis	et of Figures	X
СНАРТ	ER	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1 Lignocellulosic Biosmass Materials	3
	2.2 Chemical Struture	5
	2.2.1 Cellulose	6
	2.2.2 Hemicellulose and Starch	7
	2.2.3 Lignin	10
	2.2.4 Extractives	11
	2.3 Pretreatment	11
	2.3.1 Steam Explosion	13
	2.3.2 Freeze Pretreatment	15
	2.4 Hydrolysis	15
	2.4.1 Dilute Acid Hydrolysis	16
	2.4.2 Concentrated Acid Hydrolysis	17
	2.4.3 Enzymatic Hydrolysis	17
	2.5 Cellulase Enzymes	20
	2.6 Enzyme from Termites	25
	2.7 Cassava Residue	26

CHAPTER		PAGI
III	EXPERIMENTAL	28
	3.1 Materials and Equipment	28
	3.2 Experimental Procedures	29
	3.2.1 Preparation of Cassava Residue	29
	3.2.2 Preparation of Bacteria Cells for Microbial	
	Hydrolysis	29
	3.2.3 Microbial Hydrolysis	30
	3.2.4 Determination of Sugar and Bacteria	
	Concentrations	30
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	31
	4.1 Cassava Residue Composition	31
	4.2 Hydrolysis Capacity Value (HC)	32
	4.3 Enzymatic Hydrolysis	32
	4.3.1 Effects of Cassava Residue Particle Size	33
	4.3.2 Effects of Bacteria Strains	37
	4.3.3 Effects of Operation Temperature	46
	4.2.4 Effects of Concentration of Secondary Carbon	
	Source	48
	4.2.5 Glucose and Bacteria Evolutions	49
	4.2.6 Glucose, Starch, Cellulose Concentration	
	vs.Time	50
	4.4 Structure of Enzymatically Hydrolyzed Cassava	
	Residue Samples	51
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	52
	5.1 Conclusions	52
	5.2 Recommendations	52
	REFERENCES	53

CHAPTER	₹	PAGE
	APPENDICES	57
	Appendix A Standard Calibration Curve	57
	Appendix B Media for Microorganisms	59
	Appendix C Reagent Preparations	60
	Appendix D Bacteria Concentration	61
	Appendix E Experiment Data of Enzymatic Hydrolysis	64
	Appendix F SEM images of Before and After Enzymatic	
	Hydrolysis of Cassava Residue	77
	CURRICULUM VITAE	84

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	Chemical composition of various lignocellulosic biomass	
	materials	5
2.2	Main differences between cellulose and hemicelluloses	9
2.3	Summary of various processes used for the pretreatment of	
	lignocellulosic biomass	12
2.4	Chemical composition of potato peel	17
2.5	Accepted and systematic names of enzymatic complexes	
	capable of hydrolyzing lignocellulosic materials	18
2.6	Formation of sugars as assayed by HPLC during enzymatic	
	hydrolysis of different cellulosic and hemiceilulosic	
	materials at 40 °C	23
2.7	Composition of cellulosic waste materials	24
2.8	Characteristics of isolates A 002, M 015, and F 018 by	
	microbiological methods	26
2.9	The chemical composition of cassava waste	27
4.1	Elemental composition of the cassava residue	31
4.2	Chemical composition of the cassava residue	32
4.3	Hydrolysis apacity value for strain A 002 and strain M 015	
	for their potential to degrade the cellulose	32
4.4	Hydrolysis capacity value for strain A 002 and strain M 015	
	for their potential to degrade starch	33

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Integrated biomass processing scheme	4
2.2	Representation of lignocellulosic structure showing	
	cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin fractions	6
2.3	Schematic illustration of the cellulose chain	7
2.4	schematic illustrations of sugar units of hemicelluloses	8
2.5	Schematic illustration of starch (A - Amylose chain, B -	
	Amylopectin chain)	10
2.6	Schematic representation of the cellulase enzymes over the	
	cellulose structure	22
4.1	Effects of cassava residue particle size on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of cassava residue	
	at 30°C using strain A 002	34
4.2	Effects of cassava residue particle size on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of cassava residue	
	at 30°C using strain M 015	35
4.3	Effects of cassava residue particle size on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of cassava residue	
	at 37°C using strain A 002	36
4.4	Effects of cassava residue particle size on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of cassava residue	
	at 37°C using strain M 015	36
4.5	Effects of enzymatic hydrolysis temperature on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh	
	cassava residue using strain A 002	37
4.6	Effects of enzymatic hydrolysis temperature on the produced	
	glucose concentration from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh	
	cassava residue using strain M 015	38

FIGURE		PAGE
4.7	Schematic for the product inhibition by cellobiose and	
	glucose	38
4.8	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 40 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 30 °C	40
4.9	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 40 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain M 015 at 30 °C	40
4.10	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 60 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 30 °C	41
4.11	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 60 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain M 015 at 30 °C	41
4.12	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 30 °C Effects of cassava residue particle	42
4.13	size on the Comparison of cellobiose and glucose	
	concentration from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava	
	residue with strain M 015 at 30 °C	42
4.14	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 40 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 37 °C	43
4.15	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 40 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain M 015 at 37 °C	43
4.16	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration from	
	the hydrolysis of 60 mesh cassava residue with strain	
	A 002 at 37 °C	44

FIGURE		PAGE
4.17	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 60 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain M 015 at 37 °C	44
4.18	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 37 °C	45
4.19	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain M 015 at 37 °C	45
4.20	Effects of temperature on the produced glucose	
	concentration from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh particle	
	size cassava residue with strain A002	46
4.21	Comparison of cellobiose and glucose concentration	
	from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava residue with	
	strain A 002 at 37 °C	47
4.22	Effects of malt extract quantity on the produced glucose	
	concentration from the hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava	
	residue using strain A 002 at 30 °C	48
4.23	Effects of bacteria strain on the glucose concentration	
	produced from the hydrolysis of the 80 mesh size cassava	
	residue at 30 °C	49
4.24	Concentration of glucose, starch, and cellulose after	
	hydrolysis of 80 mesh cassava residue with strain A 002	
	at 30 °C	50